RESEARCH ARTICLE



ACK1 upregulated the proliferation of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells by promoting p27 phosphorylation and degradation

Hsuan-Hsiang Peng¹ · Hao-Chin Yang¹ · Darius Rupa¹ · Chun-Han Yen¹ · Ya-Wen Chiu¹ · Wei-Jia Yang² · Fuh-Jinn Luo² · Ta-Chun Yuan¹

Received: 31 May 2021 / Accepted: 2 February 2022 / Published online: 5 March 2022 © The International CCN Society 2022

Abstract

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is a malignancy with a worldwide distribution. Although intensive studies have been made, the underlying oncogenic mechanism of HNSCC requires further investigation. In this study, we examined the oncogenic role of activated Cdc42-associated kinase 1 (ACK1), an oncogenic tyrosine kinase, in regulating the proliferation of HNSCC cells and its underlying molecular mechanism. Results from immunohistochemical studies revealed that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors, with 77% (77/100) of tumors showing a high ACK1 immunoreactivity compared to 40% (8/20) of normal mucosa. Knockdown of ACK1 expression in HNSCC cells resulted in elevated p27 expression, reduced cell proliferation, and G1-phase cell cycle arrest. Rescue of ACK1 expression in the ACK1-knockdown cells suppressed p27 expression and restored cell proliferation. Compared to ACK1-knockdown cells, ACK1-rescued cells exhibited a restored p27 expression after MG132 treatment and showed an elevated level of ubiquitinated p27. Our data further showed that knockdown of ubiquitin ligase Skp2 resulted in elevated p27 expression. Importantly, the expression of p27(WT), p27(Y74F), or p27(Y89F) in ACK1-overexpressed 293T cells or ACK1-rescued SAS cells showed higher levels of tyrosyl-phosphorylated p27 and interaction with ACK1 or Skp2. However, the expression of p27(Y88F) mutant exhibited a relatively low phosphorylation level and barely bound with ACK1 or Skp2, showing a basal interaction as the control cells. These results suggested that ACK1 is highly expressed in HNSCC tumors and functions to promote cell proliferation by the phosphorylation and degradation of p27 in the Skp2-mediated mechanism.

Keywords ACK1 \cdot HNSCC \cdot p27 \cdot Skp2 \cdot Tyrosine phosphorylation

Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is a malignant disease in the oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx, affecting over 700,000 patients per year worldwide (Bray et al. 2018). Although intensive studies have been made in the diagnosis and treatment, the overall 5-year survival rates

Hsuan-Hsiang Peng and Hao-Chin Yang contributed equally to this work.

Ta-Chun Yuan yuan415@gms.ndhu.edu.tw

² Department of Pathology, Mennonite Christian Hospital, Hualien 970, Taiwan, Republic of China of patients are approximately 60% (Marur and Forastiere 2016). One of the reasons for mortality is the lack of effective treatment. Accumulated evidence suggested the predominant role of receptor tyrosine kinases, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), in HNSCC malignancy, which is considered as the optimal therapeutic target for treating HNSCC. However, clinical trials of targeting EGFR in HNSCC with specific inhibitors failed to obtain satisfactory results. For example, the response rate of patients to cetuximab, an EGFR-targeted monoclonal antibody, is only 13% in the monotherapy and 36% in combination with chemotherapy (Vermorken et al. 2007, 2008). These results revealed that other molecules or signaling pathways, in addition to receptor tyrosine kinases, are critical for promoting HNSCC malignancy.

ACK1, also called TNK2, is a structurally unique nonreceptor tyrosine (Y) kinase that is linked to cellular transformation. Functionally, ACK1 integrates signals from

Department of Life Science, National Dong Hwa University, No. 1, Sec. 2, Da Hsueh Rd., Shoufeng, Hualien 974301, Taiwan, Republic of China

ligand-activated receptor tyrosine kinases and activates intracellular signaling cascades to regulate cellular functions (Galisteo et al. 2006; Pao-Chun et al. 2009; Mahajan and Mahajan 2015). Due to gene amplification or missense mutations, aberrant activation of ACK1 is commonly found in human cancers and is associated with transformed phenotypes (van der Horst et al. 2005; Prieto-Echague et al. 2010; Mahajan and Mahajan 2015). Aberrantly expressed or activated ACK1 can modulate the activities of multiple proteins by tyrosine phosphorylation. ACK1 has been proved to phosphorylate AKT at Y176, promoting AKT activation and leading to cell proliferation and survival (Mahajan et al. 2010b). ACK1 can interact with the androgen receptor (AR) in prostate cancer cells and phosphorylate it at Y267 and Y363, facilitating androgen-independent AR activation (Mahajan et al. 2007). Alternatively, ACK1 phosphorylates histone H4 at Y88 at the AR transcription start site, which causes the recruitment of the WDR5/MLL2 complex and enhances AR transcription (Mahajan et al. 2017). ACK1 also phosphorylates estrogen receptor co-activator KDM3A at Y1114, promoting the tamoxifen-resistance phenotype in breast cancer (Mahajan et al. 2014). While ACK1 expression and function have been intensively studied in different cancer types, the oncogenic role of ACK1 in HNSCC cells and the possible regulatory mechanism is still unclear.

p27^{Kip1} (p27) is a member of the kinase inhibitor protein family, which plays an important role in controlling cell cycle progression (Hnit et al. 2015). By direct binding, p27 inhibits cyclin E/A-Cdk2 complex and causes cell cycle G1 arrest (Okuyama et al. 2021). According to the literature, p27 mutation in human cancer is rare but reduced p27 expression is closely associated with tumor size, disease progression, and poor prognosis (Migita et al. 2002). Indeed, the downregulation of p27 was found in HNSCC tumors with a positive rate of p27 varying from 10 to 56% in eight independent studies (de Almeida et al. 2015). Importantly, the downregulation of p27 in tumors is primarily mediated by ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis mechanisms and requires p27 phosphorylation (Okuyama et al. 2021). The p27 can be phosphorylated at multiple serine (S) and threonine (T) residues, including S10, T157, T187, and T198, which are critical for its cytoplasmic localization or proteasomal degradation (Hnit et al. 2015). A line of evidence further demonstrated that the tyrosine phosphorylation of p27 is also crucial for its proteasomal degradation and cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) activation. Among 198 amino acids in p27, only three tyrosine residues, i.e., Y74, Y88, and Y89, are found and all are located in the Cdk binding domain. So far, several non-receptor tyrosine kinases have been reported to phosphorylate p27, including c-Src, Yes, Lyn, Brk, JAK2, and Abl (Jakel et al. 2012; Patel et al. 2015). Whether ACK1 can phosphorylate p27 and lead to its proteasomal degradation is currently unknown.

In this study, we found that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors. The expression of ACK1 was closely associated with the decreased p27 expression and the increased proliferation in HNSCC cells. Our data further showed that ACK1 enhanced the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of p27. Importantly, ACK1 could bind to p27 and phosphorylate it at Y88, which is critical for recruiting Skp2 to p27. Together, our data suggested the oncogenic role of ACK1 in modulating HNSCC cell proliferation by promoting p27 phosphorylation and degradation. Thus, ACK1 could potentially serve as a therapeutic target for HNSCC.

Materials and methods

Antibodies and chemicals

The primary antibodies against ACK1 (sc-28336), COP1 (sc-166799), Skp2 (sc-74477), KPC1 (sc-101122), ubiquitin (sc-8017), β -actin (sc-69879) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, Texas, USA). Antibodies against p21 (ab109520), p27 (ab32034), or cyclin D1 (ab134175) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The anti-phosphotyrosine (pY) antibodies (AP0905) were from ABclonal (Woburn, MA, USA), and the anti-Flag M2 antibodies (F1804) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Burlington, MA, USA). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Proteasome inhibitor MG132 (C2211), (R)-9bMS (SML2073), cycloheximide (C7698), and other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Burlington, MA, USA).

Cell culture

293T, OECM-1, HSC-3, or SAS cells were purchased from the JCRB Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan) or kindly provided by Dr. Kuo-Wei Chang (National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan). OECM-1 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium. 293T, HSC-3, and SAS cells were cultured in the DMEM medium. Each medium was supplemented with 5% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/ mL streptomycin. Cell culture media and supplements were purchased from Invitrogen.

cDNA expression vector construction and site-directed mutagenesis

The full-length mouse ACK1 cDNA carried by the pmCherry-C1 vector was a gift from Christien Merrifield (Addgene plasmid # 27684). The cDNA was amplified using oligonucleotide primers: (forward): 5'-GCG<u>ATT TAAAT</u>CCCCGTAATGCAGAAGAAGA-3' (SwaI site

is underlined), and (Reverse):5'-GCGGCGGCCGCGAA ATTTGTGATGCTATTGC-3' (NotI site is underlined). The PCR products were digested by SwaI/NotI and subsequently ligated with the SwaI/NotI-cut pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1puro (pCDH) lentiviral vector (System Biosciences). The ligated products were designated as pCDH-ACK1(WT). For the construction of p27-containing plasmid, we have purchased the pCMV-SPORT6 expression vector carrying the wild-type human p27 cDNA from Bioresource Collection and Research Centre (BCRC, Hsinchu, Taiwan). The PCR reaction (94 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min; 30 cycles) were conducted using the paired primers: (forward) 5'-GGGTCTAGAATGGACTACAAAGACGAT GACGACAAGTCAAACGTGCGAGTGTCT-3' (XbaI site is underlined), and (reverse): 5'-GGGGGGATCCTTACGT TTGACGTCTTCTGAG-3' (BamHI site is underlined). The PCR products were then digested by Xbal/BamHI and subsequently ligated with the XbaI/BamHI-cut pCDH vector. The ligated products were designated as pCDH-Flagp27(WT). To further prepare Y74F, Y88F, and Y89F mutant constructs of p27, the pCMV-SPORT6-p27 plasmid was served as a template and the following oligonucleotides were used as the primers: The cDNA was amplified using oligonucleotide primers: The Y74F forward: 5'-GAGGGC AAGTTCGAGTGGCAAGAGGTGGAGAAGGGCAGC TTGCCC-3', and reverse: 5'-TTGCCACTCGAACTTGCC CTCTAGGGGTTTGTGATTCTGAAAATC-3'. The Y88F forward: 5'-CCCGAGTTCTTCTACAGACCCCCGCGG CCCCCCAAAGCTGC-

CTGC-3' and reverse: 5'-GGGTCTGTA<u>GAA</u>GAACTC GGGCAAGCTGCCCTTCTCCAC-

CTCTTG-3'. The Y89F forward: 5'-GAGTTCTAC**TTC** AGACCCCCGCGGCCCCCAA-

AGCTGCCTGC-3' and reverse: 5'-CGGGGGGTCTGAA GTAGAACTCGGGCAAGCTG-

CCCTTCTCCACCTC-3' (mutated nucleotides are underlined). The mutagenized PCR fragments have been sequenced to confirm the mutations and then amplified using the following primers: The forward: '-GGG<u>TCTAGA</u>ATG GACTACAAAGACGATGACGACA-

*AG*TCAAACGTGCGAGTGTCT-3' (XbaI site is underlined and the Flag sequence is showed in *italics*) and reverse: 5'-GGG<u>GGATCC</u>TTACGTTTGACGTCTTCTGAG-3' (BamHI site is underlined). Subsequently, the mutagenized PCR fragments were digested by XbaI/BamHI and ligated with the enzyme-cut pCDH lentiviral vector. The ligated products were designated as pCDH-Flag-p27(Y74F), pCDH-Flag-p27(Y88F), and pCDH-Flag-p27(Y89F).

Lentiviral transduction

For gene knockdown experiments, lentiviral vectors carrying shRNA targeting the coding sequence (i.e, shACK1-1 or shACK1-2) or the 3'-UTR (i.e., shACK1) of human *TNK2* gene were obtained from the RNAi Core at Academic Sicina (Taipei, Taiwan). Lentivirus transfection was carried out according to the protocol described previously (Hsiao et al. 2016). Briefly, 293T cells were co-transfected with the lentiviral plasmids plus packaging plasmids, using a PolyJet Transfection Reagent from SignaGen Lab (Frederick, MD, USA). The control cells were co-transfected with the control vector plus packaging plasmids. After 48 h of incubation, the culture medium containing lentiviruses was harvested. For infection, cells grown in 6-well plates were incubated with viruses in the presence of 8 μ g/mL of polybrene overnight at 37 °C. After refreshing with the media, the infected cells were grown for 48 h and may undergo the puromycin selection for an additional 24 or 48 h.

Cell proliferation assay

A density of $0.7-1 \times 10^5$ cells/well was plated on 24-well plates. After 48 h of incubation, one set of attached cells was harvested and counted as day 0, while the remaining cells were fed with fresh medium, and the cell number was counted on day 3. Alternatively, cell counting kit-8 (Enzo, New York, USA) was used to analyze the effect of (R)-9bMS on cell proliferation. Briefly, a density of 5×10^3 cells/well in 100 µL was seeding in a 96-well plate, and 10 µL CCK-8 solution was added to each well. After incubating the plate for 1-4 h, the absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a microplate reader.

Cell cycle analysis

Cells were harvested and fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol. After washing with PBS, the cell pellets were stained with 1 mL of propidium iodide (PI) staining solution containing 0.1% Triton X-100, 20 μ g/mL PI, and 200 μ g/mL RNase for 30 min. Acquisition and analysis were performed by Cytomics FC500 flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) with excitation at 488 nm.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting

For co-immunoprecipitation, 0.7-1 mg of supernatant protein was incubated with Ab-conjugated protein A/G-Sepharose complexes overnight at 4 °C. The immunocomplexes were spun at 10000xg for 1 min, washed three times with ice-cold lysis buffer and suspended in an SDS-PAGE sample buffer. The immunoblot analyses were performed as previously described (Chang et al. 2014). The relative level of p27 protein was semi-quantified by densitometric analysis using ImageJ from National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MA, USA).

Tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry

Tissue arrays of head and neck regions (OR601b and HN803d) containing normal and malignant samples were purchased from US Biomax (Rockville, MD, USA). The cores on these samples were 1.5 mm in diameter. Immunohistochemistry was performed using a Bond Max Automated Immunostainer and reagents from Leica Biosystems (Wetzlar, Germany). Briefly, the slides were dewaxed in Bond Dewax solution and hydrated in Bond Wash solution. Antigen retrieval was performed at alkaline pH using Epitope Retrieval 2 solution for 20 min at 100°C. The slides were subsequently incubated with anti-ACK1 antibodies (ab185726) from Abcam (Cambridge, UK) at 1:100 dilution for 30 min. Detection of the antibodies was performed using the Bond Polymer Refine Detection (DS9800, Leica Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin for 2.5 min. The expression of ACK1 was evaluated independently by two experienced pathologists, Dr. F-J Luo (30 years of experience) and Dr. W-J Yang (6 years of experience). We adopted the examination method was adopted from Okuyama K et al. (Okuyama et al. 2019). Briefly, the total immunostaining score of ACK1 expression was ranged from 0 to 7, which was the sum of the positive area score (0, none; 1, <10%; 2, 10–50%; 3, 50–80%; 4, >80%) and the intensity score (0, no staining; 1, weak; 2, moderate; 3, strong) in each tumor section. The total score of ACK1 \leq 3 was defined as the low expression, while the score ≥ 4 was considered the high expression.

Statistical analysis

Significance for group comparisons was assessed by Student's two-tailed t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc comparison. The Fisher's exact test was used for comparison between categorical variables. A Pvalue <0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

The expression of ACK1 was elevated in HNSCC tissues

To examine the oncogenic role of ACK1 in HNSCC cells, we first examined the clinical significance of ACK1 expression in HNSCC specimens by immunohistochemical analyses. Our data clearly showed that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors compared to normal tissues. A high level of ACK1 immunostaining was found in 77% (77/100) of

malignant tissues in comparison with 40% (8/20) of normal epithelia (p=0.0021, Table 1). The representative images of normal epithelia (Fig. 1a) and HNSCC tissues (Fig. 1b-d) displayed differential ACK1 immunoreactivities. Table 1 summarizes the intensity data of ACK1 immunostaining in arrayed tissues, including 100 HNSCC tumors. Among them, 77% (77/100) of tumors showed a high ACK1 immunoreactivity, whereas 23 cases (23/100; 23%) displayed low immunoreactivity. In addition, there was no significant association between ACK1 expression and tumor size, nodal stage, or tumor grade. These results suggested that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors.

Depleting of ACK1 expression caused elevated p27 expression, reduced cell proliferation, or G1-phase arrest in HNSCC cells

To examine whether ACK1 is involved in regulating the proliferation of HNSCC cells and the possible molecular mechanism, we analyzed the expression levels of three cell cycle regulatory proteins, i.e., p21, p27, and cyclin D1, in ACK1-knockdown HNSCC cells. As shown in Fig. 2a, the ACK1-knockdown OECM-1 cells exhibited increased levels of p27, approximately 2.5-3.4 folds higher than that of the shCt control cells. Decreased ACK1 levels were also correlated with reduced expression of p21 but did not affect cyclin D1. Again, elevated p27 expression was also found in ACK1-knockdown HSC-3 or SAS cells. However, the changes of p21 and cyclin D1 levels in those cells were

Table 1	Association	of	ACK1	expression	with	clinicopathological				
parameters in HNSCC specimens										

Tissues	n (100%)	Low (%)	High (%)	p value
Normal epithelium	20	12 (60.0)	8 (40.0)	0.0021*
HNSCC	100	23 (23.0)	77 (77.0)	
Age (mean)				
≤ 56	52	12 (23.1)	40 (76.9)	1.0
>56	48	11 (22.9)	37 (77.1)	
Gender				
Male	71	15 (21.1)	56 (78.9)	0.601
Female	29	8 (27.6)	21 (72.4)	
Tumor size				
T1+T2	74	17 (23.0)	57 (77.0)	1.0
T3+T4	26	6 (23.1)	20 (76.9)	
Nodal stage				
N=0	79	18 (22.8)	61 (77.2)	1.0
N>0	21	5 (23.8)	16 (76.2)	
Tumor grade				
]	50	14 (26.0)	36 (74.0)	0.342
II+III	50	9 (9.1)	41 (81.8)	

*p value was identified by Fisher's exact test

Fig. 1 Immunohistochemical analyses of ACK1 expression in HNSCC tumors. Normal epithelia and HNSCC tumors arrayed in a tissue microarray were evaluated for the expression of ACK1 (**a**–**d**). Representative images showed **a** normal epithelia with low ACK1 expression and the HNSCC tumors with **b** low or **c**, **d** high expression of cytoplasmic ACK1. Magnification, 400x. Scale bar, 25 µm





Fig. 2 Effects of depleting ACK1 expression on the p27 expression, cell proliferation or cell cycle distribution in HNSCC cells. **a** OECM-1, **b** HSC-3, or **c** SAS cells were infected with viruses carrying control shRNA (shCt) or ACK1-targeted shRNA (shACK1-1 or shACK1-2). Infected cells were harvested and total lysates were prepared for immunoblotting. The protein levels of p27 and β -actin were quantified using ImageJ software. The relative ratio of p27 expression was normalized to the corresponding β -actin levels. Alternatively, infected **d** OECM-1, **e** HSC-3, or **f** SAS cells were seeded and cultured for 48 h. Subsequently, one set of attached cells was harvested and counted as day 0. On day 3, the number of adherent cells was

counted. For flow cytometric analyses, the shCt control and ACK1-knockdown **g** OECM-1, **h** HSC-3, or **i** SAS cells were harvested and then stained with propidium iodide. The histograms showed the percentages of cells in the sub-G1, G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases of the cell cycle. **j** OECM-1 cells were treated with 2.5 or 5 μ M (R)-9bMS for 24 h and the cells were harvested for western blot analyses. **k** The cell proliferation assays of (R)-9bMS-treated OECM-1 cells. Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***<0.001 vs. the ratio of shCt or vehicle control cells

inconsistent with those in ACK1-knockdown OECM-1 cells (Fig. 2b, c). It suggested that ACK1 may have a less regulatory effect on p21 or cyclin D1 expression in those cells. We further performed the cell proliferation assays in ACK1-knockdown HNSCC cells. As shown in Fig. 2d, depletion of ACK1 expression in OECM-1 cells caused the inhibited proliferation, nearly 40-60% lower than that of the shCt control cells. Similarly, ACK1-knockdown HSC-3 and SAS cells showed reduced proliferation rates compared to the shCt control cells (Fig. 2e, f). Results from flow cytometric analyses further revealed that ACK1-depleted OECM-1 cells exhibited an increased population in the G0/G1 phase (66-77%), compared to the shCt control cells (50%)(Fig. 2 g). Similar results were also observed in the ACK1knockdown HSC-3 and SAS cells (Fig. 2 h, i). We next examined whether ACK1 activity is critical for modulating p27 expression and HNSCC cell proliferation by treating cells with an ACK1 inhibitor (R)-9bMS (Wu et al. 2017). As shown in Fig. 2 J, treatment of 2.5 µM and 5 µM (R)-9bMS in OECM-1 cells caused reduced ACK1 phosphorylation but led to the increased expression of p27. Furthermore, treating cells with (R)-9bMS for 72 or 96 h significantly inhibited cell proliferation by approximately 40-60%, compared to the untreated control cells (Fig. 2 K). These data collectively suggested the functional role of ACK1 in regulating p27 expression and the proliferation in HNSCC cells.

Rescued ACK1 expression in the ACK1-knockdown cells suppressed p27 expression and restored cell proliferation

We further examined whether the rescue of ACK1 expression in ACK1-knockdown HNSCC could suppress p27 expression and restore cell proliferation. We stably expressed a mouse ACK1 in ACK1-knockdown HNSCC cells to establish the ACK1-rescued cells. As shown in Fig. 3a (upper panel), compared with the shCt control cells, the ACK1-knockdown OECM-1 cells exhibited decreased ACK1 expression, correlating with elevated p27 expression and reduced cell proliferation (lower panel). By expressing a mouse ACK1, the elevated p27 level was greatly suppressed and reduced cell proliferation was fully restored. Similar results were also observed in the ACK1-rescued HSC-3 and SAS cells. The rescue of ACK1 expression in ACK1-knockdown cells resulted in the depletion of p27 expression and the complete recovery of cell proliferation (Fig. 3b, c). These data further supported the notion that ACK1 was critical for modulating p27 expression and the proliferation of HNSCC cells.



Fig. 3 Effects of rescued ACK1 on the expression of p27 and the proliferation of ACK1-knockdown HNSCC cells.a OECM-1, b HSC-3, or c SAS cells infected with viruses carrying the control (shCt) or ACK1-targeted shRNA (shACK1). After 2 days of puromycin selection, the ACK1-knockdown cells were infected with the lentiviruses

carrying a mouse ACK1 (shACK1+ACK1). After 4 days of incubation, cells were harvested for western blot analyses (upper panel) or seeded for cell proliferation assays (lower panel). Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. ***<0.001 vs. the ratio of shCt control or shACK1 cells

ACK1 promoted ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of p27

To determine whether ACK1-regulated p27 downregulation is mediated by a proteasome-dependent mechanism, we treated the ACK1-rescued HNSCC cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 and analyzed the levels of p27. As shown in Fig. 4a, the treatment of 0.01 μ M MG132 in ACK1-rescued OECM-1 cells led to the restoration of p27 levels and 0.05 μ M MG132 caused a further increase in the p27 level, approximately three folds higher than that of the untreated ACK1-rescued cells. Similar results were also found in ACK1-rescued HSC-3 or SAS cells, in which treatment of MG132 restored the expression of p27 in ACK1-rescued cells (Fig. 4b, c). For further examining the role of ACK1 in enhancing the ubiquitination of p27, we expressed the Flag-tagged p27 wild-type (WT) protein in ACK1-knockdown and ACK1-rescued SAS cells. As shown



Fig. 4 ACK1 promoted the ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation of p27.The ACK1-knockdown **a** OECM-1, **b** HSC-3, or **c** SAS cells were stably expressing ACK1 and treated with 0.01 or 0.05 μ M MG132 for 48 h. These cells were then harvested and total lysates were prepared for western blot analyses. **d** ACK1-knockdown (shACK1) or ACK1-rescued (shACK1+ACK1) SAS cells were transfected with the control vector or the Flag-tagged wild-type (WT) of p27 plasmids. After 48 h of culture, transfected cells were harvested and total lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag Abs. The supernatants of immunoprecipitated complexes were then immunoblotted with anti-ubiquitin (Ub) or anti-Flag Abs. Input indicates about 10% of protein amounts used for co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP). **e** SAS cells were infected with viruses carrying control shRNA (shCt) or COP1-, Skp2-, or KPC1-targeted shRNA (i.e., shCOP1, shSkp2, or shKPC1). Total lysates were prepared for western blot analyses (upper panel) and the quantification result of p27 expression was shown (lower panel). Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. **<0.01 vs. the ratio of shCt control cells. **f** The shCt control, ACK1-knockdown, and ACK1-rescued SAS cells were harvested and the total lysates were prepared for western blot analyses

in Fig. 4d, the ubiquitination of exogenous p27 was markedly increased in the ACK1-rescued cells compared with the ACK1-knockdown cells. The data collectively suggested that ACK1 promoted the ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation of p27 in HNSCC cells.

To examine which E3 ubiquitin ligase was involved in ACK1-promoted p27 degradation in HNSCC cells, we knocked down the expression of COP1, Skp2, or KPC1, three p27-associated E3 ubiquitin ligases (Sheaff et al. 1997; Kamura et al. 2004; Choi et al. 2015), in SAS cells. As shown in Fig. 4e, knockdown of COP1 or KPC1 in SAS cells caused decreases in itself and p27 levels. However, knockdown of Skp2 expression led to a significant increase in p27 expression. We further examined whether ACK1 enhances Skp2 expression and thus leads to p27 degradation. Compared to the shCt control cells, the levels of Skp2 were not altered in ACK1-knockdown or ACK1-rescued SAS cells, although the levels of p27 were affected (Fig. 4f). These results suggested that Skp2 played a central role in regulating p27 degradation in HNSCC cells, while the expression of Skp2 was not affected by ACK1.

Phosphorylation of p27 at tyrosine 88 was essential for the interaction of p27 with ACK1 or Skp2

Since the tyrosine phosphorylation of p27 is critical for itself degradation, we next examined whether ACK1 could phosphorylate p27 at its tyrosine residues, including Y74, Y88, and Y89. We first co-expressed ACK1 with the wild-type or mutants of Flag-tagged p27 in 293T cells. As shown in Fig. 5a, the exogenously expressed p27(WT)

in ACK1-overexpressed cells exhibited a higher tyrosylphosphorylation level than in the vector control cells. Furthermore, the expression of p27(Y88F) in ACK1-overexpressed cells showed a relatively low level of phosphorylation compared to the cells with the p27(WT), p27(Y74F), or p27(Y89F) expression. Importantly, the p27(WT), p27(Y74F), or p27(Y89F) showed differential levels of interaction with ACK1 and Skp2. However, the p27(Y88F) mutant was barely bound with ACK1 or Skp2, showing a basal interaction as the vector control cells. Similar results were also observed in the ACK1-knockdown and ACK1rescued SAS cells (Fig. 5b). In the ACK1-knockdown SAS cells, the exogenously expressed p27(WT) did exhibit tyrosine phosphorylation. The expression of ACK1 in the ACK1-knockdown cells further enhanced the phosphorylation of p27(WT). Compared to the p27(WT), p27(Y74F), or p27(Y89F), the expression of p27(Y88F) in ACK1-rescued cells showed a lower level of p27 phosphorylation. Again, the p27(Y88F) mutant failed to have enhanced interaction with ACK1 or Skp2 (Fig. 5b). The data collectively suggested that ACK1 could interact with p27 and phosphorylate it predominantly at tyrosine 88, which is essential for the binding of p27 with ACK1 and Skp2.

AKT was involved in ACK1-promoted p27 degradation

Studies have shown that ACK1 could activate AKT and AKT promoted p27 degradation. To examine whether AKT is involved in the ACK1-promoted p27 degradation, we knocked down AKT expression in ACK1-rescued





SAS

(b)

Fig. 5 ACK1 phosphorylated and interacted with p27. **a** 293T cells were co-transfected with the control vector (vec) or ACK1 plasmids plus the Flag-tagged wild-type (WT), Y74F, Y88F, or Y89F mutant of p27 plasmids. **b** ACK1-knockdown (shACK1) or ACK1-rescued (shACK1+ACK1) SAS cells were transfected with the control vector, or the WT, Y74F, Y88F, or Y89F mutant of p27 plasmids. After

48 h of culture, transfected cells were harvested and total lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag Abs. The supernatants of immunoprecipitated complexes were then immunoblotted with anti-ACK1, anti-phosphotyrosine, or anti-Skp2 Abs. Input indicates about 10% of protein amounts used for co-IP

cells and analyzed the expression level of p27. As shown in Fig. 6a, compared to the ACK1-knockdown OECM-1 cells, ACK1-rescued cells exhibited a reduced p27 expression. However, knockdown of AKT expression in ACK1rescued cells restored p27 expression. Similarly, knockdown of AKT expression in ACK1-rescued SAS cells caused the elevated expression of p27, compared to the control ACK1rescued cells (Fig. 6b). These results indicated that AKT



Fig. 6 Effects of knocking down AKT expression on ACK1-promoted p27 degradation in HNSCC cells. The ACK1-knockdown **a** OECM-1 or **b** SAS cells stably expressing ACK1 were infected with viruses carrying AKT-targeted shRNA (shAKT). Cells were then harvested and total lysates were prepared for western blot analyses. The protein levels of p27 and β -actin were quantified using ImageJ software. The relative ratios of p27 expression were normalized to β -actin expression levels was involved in ACK1-promotes p27 degradation in HNSCC cells.

Discussion

ACK1 is an oncogenic kinase aberrantly expressed or activated in different cancers correlated with tumor progression. However, the oncogenic role of ACK1 in HNSCC cells and its underlying molecular mechanism remains unclear. In this study, we showed that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors and functionally regulated cell proliferation by promoting p27 phosphorylation and degradation. Our main finding was that ACK1 interacted with p27 and phosphorylated it at tyrosine 88, which was critical for recruiting Skp2 to bind with p27 and caused its degradation. The proposed model is shown in Fig. 7. To our knowledge, this is the first report showing the oncogenic role of ACK1 in HNSCC cells and its growth-promoting mechanism. Thus, ACK1 could potentially serve as therapeutic targets for HNSCC.

Accumulated evidence showed the deregulated ACK1 expression in different malignant tumors. Further studies demonstrated that gene amplification of ACK1, instead of mutation or deletion, is the major factor causing its oncogenic activation. In gastric carcinoma, the ACK1 gene is up-regulated in 22 malignant tumors compared with genes in the normal controls (Wang et al. 2006). In hepatocellular



Fig.7 The proposed model of ACK1-regulated p27 phosphorylation and degradation in HNSCC cells. We proposed that ACK1 activates AKT activity, which causes the cytoplasmic localization of p27 by phosphorylating it at serine/threonine (S/T) residues. ACK1 could

interact with p27 and phosphorylated it at tyrosine (Y) 88 residues, which led to the recruitment of Skp2 and subsequent polyubiquitination and degradation

carcinoma (HCC), both ACK1 mRNA and protein levels are significantly over-expressed in HCC tissues (Wang et al. 2014). In non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), ACK1 is highly expressed in cancerous tissues compared to adjacent noncancerous tissues. In prostate carcinoma, the total ACK1 levels remain unchanged between normal and tumor samples. However, the phosphorylation level of ACK1 at Y284 in tumors is higher than those in benign tissues, correlating with disease progression (Mahajan et al. 2010a). Importantly, high expression of ACK1 in cancer cells is critical for active cell proliferation. For example, depletion of ACK1 expression or activity in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells suppresses their proliferation and tumor formation in xenograft mouse models (Wu et al. 2017). In addition, knockdown of ACK1 in HCC cells results in reduced proliferation and enhanced cell apoptosis (Xie et al. 2015). Silencing ACK1 expression in gastric cancer cells also inhibits cell proliferation and colony formation, induces G2/M arrest and cellular apoptosis, and suppresses tumor growth (Xu et al. 2017). In this study, our data first reported that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors and demonstrated the role of ACK1 in regulating HNSCC cell proliferation.

Studies have reported that Src family kinases, including Src, Yes, Lyn, and Brk, can phosphorylate p27 at Y74, Y88, or Y89. In addition, Abl and Jak2 phosphorylate p27 at Y88 or Y89 (Jakel et al. 2012; Patel et al. 2015). In this study, we provided evidence showing that ACK1 could be the 7th tyrosine kinase known by far to involve the phosphorylation and degradation of p27. Our data further demonstrated that ACK1 could interact with p27, and Y88 phosphorylation was critical for p27 to bind with ACK1 and recruit Skp2. Although it is unknown how ACK1 could interact with p27, a study showed that p27 structurally exhibits three prolinerich sequences (K1, K2, and K3) containing the PXXP core motif. Moreover, Abl, Yes, or Brk interacts with the K3 region of p27 via their SH3 domain. Interestingly, Brk also binds weakly to the K1 region, which is essential for Brk's phosphorylation of p27 at Y88 (Patel et al. 2015). Thus, ACK1 may be via its SH3 domain to interact with the proline-rich sequences of p27 in HNSCC cells.

Based on the model proposed by Galea et al. (Galea et al. 2008), tyrosine kinases phosphorylate the residue Y88 of p27 bound to cyclin A/Cdk2 in the nucleus. It leads to the activation of Cdk2 and phosphorylation of p27 at T187, resulting in the Skp2-mediated p27 ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. Thus, It is possible that nuclear ACK1 interacted with the Cdk2-bound p27 and phosphorylated it, causing the subsequently T187 phosphorylation, Skp2 recruitment, and p27 degradation. However, our IHC data clearly showed that ACK1 was predominantly expressed in the cytoplasm of HNSCC cells. In other words, ACK1 may drive p27 phosphorylation and Skp2 recruitment in the

cytoplasm of HNSCC cells. This notion was supported by the observations that the Y88-phosphorylated p27 is highly abundant in the cytoplasm of cancer cells (Jakel et al. 2011). In addition, the cytoplasmic expression of Skp2 is found in HNSCC cells (Yamada et al. 2016). Furthermore, our data clearly showed that AKT was involved in the ACK1-promoted p27 degradation. According to the literature, ACK1 can interact with AKT and phosphorylate it at Y176, causing AKT activation in a PI3K-independent fashion (Mahajan et al. 2010b; Mahajan and Mahajan 2010). In addition, AKT can phosphorylate p27 at S10, T157, or T198, which caused the nuclear export or cytoplasmic retention of p27 (Hnit et al. 2015). AKT also functions to promote the cytoplasmic localization of Skp2 by phosphorylating it (Gao et al. 2009). Thus, ACK1 might activate AKT to promote the cytoplasmic localization p27 and Skp2. It allowed ACK1 to bind and phosphorylate p27, thus causing Skp2 recruitment and p27 degradation.

In summary, we demonstrated that ACK1 was highly expressed in HNSCC tumors. We further showed that ACK1-enhanced proliferation in HNSCC cells was associated with the proteasomal degradation of p27. Importantly, ACK1 could bind to p27 and phosphorylate it at Y88, leading to Skp2 recruitment. Thus, our data supported that ACK1 could serve as therapeutic targets for the treatment of HNSCC.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Dr. Kuo-Wei Chang at National Yang-Ming University (Taipei, Taiwan) for kindly providing experimental materials. This work was supported by a grant from the Taiwan National Science Council (NSC MOST 107-2314-B-259-001).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. Ca-a Cancer J Clin 68:394–424. https://doi.org/10.3322/ caac.21492
- Chang YL, Hsu YK, Wu TF, Huang CM, Liou LY, Chiu YW, Hsiao YH, Luo FJ, Yuan TC (2014) Regulation of estrogen receptor alpha function in oral squamous cell carcinoma cells by FAK signaling. Endocr Relat Cancer 21:555–565. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1530/ERC-14-0102
- Choi HH, Phan L, Chou PC, Su CH, Yeung SCJ, Chen JS, Lee MH (2015) COP1 enhances ubiquitin-mediated degradation of p27(Kip1) to promote cancer cell growth. Oncotarget 6:19721–19734
- de Almeida M, Perez-Sayans M, Suarez-Penaranda JM, Somoza-Martin JM, Garcia-Garcia A (2015) p27(Kip1) expression as a prognostic marker for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and

neck. Oncol Lett 10:2675–2682. doi:https://doi.org/10.3892/ol. 2015.3726

- Galea CA, Wang Y, Sivakolundu SG, Kriwacki RW (2008) Regulation of cell division by intrinsically unstructured proteins: intrinsic flexibility, modularity, and signaling conduits. Biochemistry 47:7598–7609. doi:https://doi.org/10.1021/bi800 6803
- Galisteo ML, Yang Y, Urena J, Schlessinger J (2006) Activation of the nonreceptor protein tyrosine kinase Ack by multiple extracellular stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:9796–9801. doi:https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0603714103
- Gao D, Inuzuka H, Tseng A, Chin RY, Toker A, Wei W (2009) Phosphorylation by Akt1 promotes cytoplasmic localization of Skp2 and impairs APCCdh1-mediated Skp2 destruction. Nat Cell Biol 11:397–408. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1847
- Hnit SST, Xie CL, Yao M, Holst J, Bensoussan A, De Souza P, Li Z, Dong QH (2015) p27(Kip1) signaling: transcriptional and posttranslational regulation. Int J Biochem Cell B 68:9–14. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biocel.2015.08.005
- Hsiao YH, Huang YT, Hung CY, Kuo TC, Luo FJ, Yuan TC (2016) PYK2 via S6K1 regulates the function of androgen receptors and the growth of prostate cancer cells. Endocr Relat Cancer 23:651– 663. doi:https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-16-0122
- Jakel H, Weinl C, Hengst L (2011) Phosphorylation of p27Kip1 by JAK2 directly links cytokine receptor signaling to cell cycle control. Oncogene 30:3502–3512. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/onc. 2011.68
- Jakel H, Peschel I, Kunze C, Weinl C, Hengst L (2012) Regulation of p27 (Kip1) by mitogen-induced tyrosine phosphorylation. Cell Cycle 11:1910–1917. doi:https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.19957
- Kamura T, Hara T, Matsumoto M, Ishida N, Okumura F, Hatakeyama S, Yoshida M, Nakayama K, Nakayama KI (2004) Cytoplasmic ubiquitin ligase KPC regulates proteolysis of p27(Kip1) at G1 phase. Nat Cell Biol 6:1229–1235. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncb1194
- Mahajan K, Mahajan NP (2010) Shepherding AKT and androgen receptor by Ack1 tyrosine kinase. J Cell Physiol 224:327–333. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.22162
- Mahajan K, Mahajan NP (2015) ACK1/TNK2 tyrosine kinase: molecular signaling and evolving role in cancers. Oncogene 34:4162–4167. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2014.350
- Mahajan NP, Liu Y, Majumder S, Warren MR, Parker CE, Mohler JL, Earp HS, Whang YE (2007) Activated Cdc42-associated kinase Ack1 promotes prostate cancer progression via androgen receptor tyrosine phosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:8438– 8443. doi:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700420104
- Mahajan K, Challa S, Coppola D, Lawrence H, Luo Y, Gevariya H, Zhu W, Chen YA, Lawrence NJ, Mahajan NP (2010a) Effect of Ack1 tyrosine kinase inhibitor on ligand-independent androgen receptor activity. Prostate 70:1274–1285. doi:https://doi.org/10. 1002/pros.21163
- Mahajan K, Coppola D, Challa S, Fang B, Chen YA, Zhu W, Lopez AS, Koomen J, Engelman RW, Rivera C, Muraoka-Cook RS, Cheng JQ, Schonbrunn E, Sebti SM, Earp HS, Mahajan NP (2010b) Ack1 mediated AKT/PKB tyrosine 176 phosphorylation regulates its activation. PLoS ONE 5:e9646. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0009646
- Mahajan K, Lawrence HR, Lawrence NJ, Mahajan NP (2014) ACK1 tyrosine kinase interacts with histone demethylase KDM3A to regulate the mammary tumor oncogene HOXA1. J Biol Chem 289:28179–28191. doi:https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.584425
- Mahajan K, Malla P, Lawrence HR, Chen Z, Kumar-Sinha C, Malik R, Shukla S, Kim J, Coppola D, Lawrence NJ, Mahajan NP (2017) ACK1/TNK2 Regulates histone H4 Tyr88-phosphorylation and AR gene expression in castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer Cell 31:790-803e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.05.003

- Marur S, Forastiere AA (2016) Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: update on epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Mayo Clin Proc 91:386–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2015. 12.017
- Migita T, Oda Y, Naito S, Tsuneyoshi M (2002) Low expression of p27(Kip1) is associated with tumor size and poor prognosis in patients with renal cell carcinoma. Cancer 94:973–979. doi:Doi https://doi.org/10.1002/Cncr.10338
- Okuyama K, Fukushima H, Naruse T, Yanamoto S, Tsuchihashi H, Umeda M (2019) CD44 Variant 6 expression and tumor budding in the medullary invasion front of mandibular gingival squamous cell carcinoma are predictive factors for cervical lymph node metastasis. Pathol Oncol Res 25:603–609. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12253-018-0529-y
- Okuyama K, Suzuki K, Naruse T, Tsuchihashi H, Yanamoto S, Kaida A, Miura M, Umeda M, Yamashita S (2021) Prolonged cetuximab treatment promotes p27(Kip1)-mediated G1 arrest and autophagy in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Sci Rep 11:5259. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84877-4
- Pao-Chun L, Chan PM, Chan W, Manser E (2009) Cytoplasmic ACK1 interaction with multiple receptor tyrosine kinases is mediated by Grb2: an analysis of ACK1 effects on Axl signaling. J Biol Chem 284:34954–34963. doi:https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.072660
- Patel P, Asbach B, Shteyn E, Gomez C, Coltoff A, Bhuyan S, Tyner AL, Wagner R, Blain SW (2015) Brk/Protein tyrosine kinase 6 phosphorylates p27KIP1, regulating the activity of cyclin D-cyclin-dependent kinase 4. Mol Cell Biol 35:1506–1522. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01206-14
- Prieto-Echague V, Gucwa A, Craddock BP, Brown DA, Miller WT (2010) Cancer-associated mutations activate the nonreceptor tyrosine kinase Ack1. J Biol Chem 285:10605–10615. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.060459
- Sheaff RJ, Groudine M, Gordon M, Roberts JM, Clurman BE (1997) Cyclin E-CDK2 is a regulator of p27(Kip1). Gene Dev 11:1464– 1478. doi:DOI https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.11.1464
- van der Horst EH, Degenhardt YY, Strelow A, Slavin A, Chinn L, Orf J, Rong M, Li S, See LH, Nguyen KQ, Hoey T, Wesche H, Powers S (2005) Metastatic properties and genomic amplification of the tyrosine kinase gene ACK1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:15901–15906. doi:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508014102
- Vermorken JB, Trigo J, Hitt R, Koralewski P, Diaz-Rubio E, Rolland F, Knecht R, Amellal N, Schueler A, Baselga J (2007) Openlabel, uncontrolled, multicenter phase II study to evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of cetuximab as a single agent in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck who failed to respond to platinum-based therapy. J Clin Oncol 25:2171–2177. doi:https://doi.org/10.1200/Jco.2006. 06.7447
- Vermorken JB, Mesia R, Rivera F, Remenar E, Kawecki A, Rottey S, Erfan J, Zabolotnyy D, Kienzer HR, Cupissol D, Peyrade F, Benasso M, Vynnychenko I, De Raucourt D, Bokemeyer C, Schueler A, Amellal N, Hitt R (2008) Platinum-based chemotherapy plus cetuximab in head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 359:1116–1127. doi:https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0802656
- Wang L, Zhu JS, Song MQ, Chen GQ, Chen JL (2006) Comparison of gene expression profiles between primary tumor and metastatic lesions in gastric cancer patients using laser microdissection and cDNA microarray. World J Gastroenterol 12:6949–6954. doi:https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i43.6949
- Wang B, Xu T, Liu J, Zang S, Gao L, Huang A (2014) Overexpression of activated Cdc42-associated kinase1 (Ack1) predicts tumor recurrence and poor survival in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Pathol Res Pract 210:787–792. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp. 2014.09.014
- Wu X, Zahari MS, Renuse S, Kelkar DS, Barbhuiya MA, Rojas PL, Stearns V, Gabrielson E, Malla P, Sukumar S, Mahajan NP,

Pandey A (2017) The non-receptor tyrosine kinase TNK2/ACK1 is a novel therapeutic target in triple negative breast cancer. Oncotarget 8:2971–2983. doi:https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget. 13579

- Xie B, Zen Q, Wang X, He X, Xie Y, Zhang Z, Li H (2015) ACK1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression via downregulating WWOX and activating AKT signaling. Int J Oncol 46:2057–2066. doi:https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2015.2910
- Xu SH, Huang JZ, Chen M, Zeng M, Zou FY, Chen, Yan GR (2017) Amplification of ACK1 promotes gastric tumorigenesis via ECDdependent p53 ubiquitination degradation. Oncotarget 8:12705– 12716. doi:https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.6194
- Yamada S, Yanamoto S, Naruse T, Matsushita Y, Takahashi H, Umeda M, Nemoto TK, Kurita H (2016) Skp2 Regulates the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9, and enhances the invasion potential of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Pathol Oncol Res 22:625–632. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12253-016-0049-6

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.