## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Normal and Range Value Evaluations Using Heart Risk View-Function Based on the Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine Working Group Database

Kosuke Tatsuno<sup>1</sup>, Koichi Okuda<sup>2</sup>, Kenichi Nakajima<sup>3</sup>, Hisahiro Saito<sup>1</sup>, Takayuki Shibutani<sup>4</sup>, Masahisa Onoguchi<sup>4</sup>, Tomoko Takahashi<sup>5</sup>, Takafumi Mochizuki<sup>5</sup>, Naoto Watanabe<sup>5</sup> and Munetaka Matoba<sup>5</sup>

Received: May 11, 2022/Revised manuscript received: July 6, 2022/Accepted: July 13, 2022 © The Japanese Society of Nuclear Cardilogy 2022

#### Abstract

*Background*: Gated myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) has been used to non-invasively evaluate the left ventricular (LV) volume and function. This study aimed to measure the normal and range values for heart risk view-function (HRV-F) software using the Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine Working Group (JSNM-WG) normal database and clarify the characteristics of the normal database. *Methods*: We used 206 myocardial perfusion short-axis images from the normal database. Ejection fraction (EF), end-systolic volume (ESV), end-diastolic volume (EDV), peak filling rate (PFR), 1/3 mean filling rate (MFR), time to PFR (TTPF), and TTPF divided by RR interval (TPFR/RR) were calculated. Phase parameters of 95% histogram bandwidth and standard deviation were also computed using the phase analysis. The relationships among phase parameters, LV volumes, and body surface area (BSA) were evaluated in the age group of  $\leq 65$  years.

*Results*: Higher EF was observed in females than in males (p < 0.0001). EDV and ESV were significantly higher in males than in females (p < 0.0001). Additionally, PFR and 1/3 MFR significantly differed between sexes ( $p \le 0.075$ ). Phase parameters were higher in males than in females, and higher at stress than at rest. All diastolic parameters showed no significant differences between sexes in any age group, whereas differences have remained in phase values. Phase parameters were weakly correlated with EDV (r=0.31), ESV (r=0.43), and BSA (r=0.27), respectively.

*Conclusions*: Mean normal and range values of the normal database were determined using the HRV-F software. The normal and range values can help diagnose gated SPECT data in patients with cardiac diseases. Keywords: <sup>99m</sup>Tc-sestamibi database, <sup>99m</sup>Tc-tetrofosmin database, Automatic quantification, Gated myocardial perfusion SPECT, Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine (JSNM) working group, Normal database Ann Nucl Cardiol 2022; 8 (1): 51–56

G ated myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), using <sup>99m</sup>Tc-labeled radiopharmaceuticals, is one of the most common examinations in nuclear medicine, which can non-invasively evaluate the left ventricular (LV) function (1). In recent years, phase analysis in gated myocardial perfusion SPECT is considered important for the LV mechanical dyssynchrony evaluation, which helps diagnose and prognosticate patients with cardiac diseases (2).

Currently, quantitative analysis software, such as quantitative gated SPECT (QGS, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA), heart risk view-function (HRV-F, Nihon

doi: 10.17996/anc.22-00156

<sup>1)</sup> Department of Radiological Technology, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital, Uchinada, Kahoku, Japan

<sup>2)</sup> Department of Physics, Kanazawa Medical University, Uchinada, Kahoku, Japan

Department of Functional Imaging and Artificial Intelligence, Graduate School of Advanced Preventive Medical Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

Department of Quantum Medical Technology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

<sup>5)</sup> Department of Radiology, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital, Uchinada, Kahoku, Japan

-52-

Tatsuno et al.

Normal and Range Value Evaluations in Heart Risk View-Function

Medi-Physics, Tokyo, Japan) (3), and cardioREPO (cREPO, FUJIFILM RI Pharma, Tokyo, Japan; PDRadiopharma, Tokyo, Japan, at present), have been used in clinical examinations in Japan. However, calculated cardiac functions differ depending on the software programs when gated myocardial perfusion SPECT is used. Moreover, patient sex affects cardiac functional values. Consequently, normal and range values have been reported to help the diagnosis as the baseline to discriminate between normal and abnormal conditions (4). We have determined normal and range values for QGS and cREPO software using the Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine Working Group (JSNM-WG) database (5–7).

The Japanese assessment of cardiac events and survival study by gated SPECT (J-ACCESS) and a number of clinical studies using HRV-F software have been conducted and exhibited that the LV function and phase parameters were helpful for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease, prognostic risk stratification, and determination of subsequent treatments (8–10). However, few studies have reported the mean and range of normal values for HRV-F were to compare software differences (5, 7). Consequently, we tried to determine mean and range values for HRV-F software so that these values could aid the interpretation of LV function and phase parameters. This study aimed to measure the normal values and range for HRV-F software using the JSNM-WG and clarify the characteristics of the normal database.

## Materials and methods

## JSNM-WG normal database

A normal database of gated myocardial perfusion SPECT by JSNM-WG was used (5, 11). All patients underwent stressrest <sup>99m</sup>Tc-sestamibi or <sup>99m</sup>Tc-tetrofosmin gated myocardial perfusion SPECT. A total of 206 short-axis images of 106 males and 100 females were used.

Sex differences were examined for diastolic and phase parameters in 206 patients. The younger age group was defined as  $\leq 65$  years (52 males and 45 females), and the details of the normal database have been published elsewhere (5, 6).

#### Data acquisition and image processing condition

The images were acquired with  $64 \times 64$  matrices, R-R division number of 16, and  $180^{\circ}$  or  $360^{\circ}$  gamma camera rotation. All image data were reconstructed by a filtered back-projection method, without attenuation and scatter corrections. All images were acquired with a stress-preceding protocol.

LV ejection fraction (EF), end-systolic volume (ESV), enddiastolic volume (EDV), peak filling rate (PFR), 1/3 mean filling rate (MFR), time to PFR (TTPF), and TTPF divided by RR interval (TPFR/RR) were calculated. The 95% histogram bandwidth and phase standard deviation (SD) were also computed using the phase analysis of gated SPECT. All functional values were calculated for each sex using the HRV-F, QGS, and cREPO software.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Continuous variables were compared using a student *t*-test for parametric distribution and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for non-parametric distribution. Normal distribution was determined using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The Tukey-Kramer test was used for multiple comparisons in LV function and phase parameters. Pearson's correlation analysis was used to evaluate the relationship between two variables. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and p-values of <0.05 were considered significant. These analyses were performed using the JMP version 11.2.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) software.

## Results

#### Comparison between sexes

Table 1 shows the normal values of LV volumes, diastolic parameters, and phase parameters for stress and rest conditions in males and females. The EF was higher in females than in males (p<0.0001). Both EDV and ESV were significantly higher in males than in females (p<0.0001). Additionally, PFR and 1/3 MFR significantly differed between sexes (p=0.075). Both 95% bandwidth and phase SD were higher in males than in females, and higher at stress than at rest.

The normal values were examined in two age groups, namely,  $\leq 65$  (n=97) and > 65 years (n=109), and sex differences were compared for diastolic and phase parameters in the younger age group of  $\leq 65$  years (52 males and 45 females). Table 2 shows normal values and ranges of diastolic and phase parameters. All diastolic parameters showed no significant differences between sexes, whereas phase value differences have been observed between sexes. Moreover, phase value differences remained between stress and rest conditions, in males and females for 95% bandwidth (p <0.0001 and  $p \le 0.0001$ , respectively) and phase SD ( $p \le 0.0001$ and p < 0.0001, respectively). Although the diastolic parameters were comparable in the younger age group among the three software programs, TTPF showed no sex difference in HRV-F (177  $\pm$  35 vs. 168  $\pm$  31 for males and females, respectively). However, QGS and cREPO showed significant differences in TTPF between males and females  $(175 \pm 33 \text{ vs.})$  $165 \pm 30$ , p=0.024; and  $171 \pm 46$  vs.  $153 \pm 38$ , p=0.001; respectively).

#### Comparison of LV volume and phase parameters

Figure 1 shows the relationships of phase parameters and LV volumes; and of those depending on body habitus. The

<b>Table I</b> Normal values and ranges for LV volume, diastonic, and bhase bar
---

	Males	Females	
	$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean \pm SD$	p-value
Stragg condition	(Mean - 2SD to + 2SD)	(Mean - 25D to + 25D)	
			40,0001
EF (%)	$69.4 \pm 6.2 (57.0 - 81.8)$	$73.8 \pm 6.6 \ (60.6 - 87.0)$	< 0.0001
EDV (mL)	$81.3 \pm 20.0 (41.3 - 121.3)$	$59.9 \pm 13.9 (32.1 - 87.7)$	< 0.0001
ESV (mL)	$25.2 \pm 9.2 \ (6.8 - 43.6)$	$15.7 \pm 5.5 \ (4.7 - 26.7)$	< 0.0001
EDVI $(mL/m^2)$	48.3±11.4 (25.5–71.1)	40.4 ± 8.4 (23.6–57.2)	< 0.0001
ESVI (mL/m <sup>2</sup> )	14.9 ± 5.4 (4.1–25.7)	$10.6 \pm 3.6 (3.4 - 17.8)$	< 0.0001
Diastolic parameters			
PFR (per s)	$2.36 \pm 0.54$ (1.28–3.44)	$2.59 \pm 0.64 \ (1.31 - 3.87)$	0.0205
1/3 MFR (per s)	$1.46 \pm 0.32 \ (0.82 - 2.10)$	$1.60 \pm 0.36 \ (0.88 - 2.32)$	0.0060
TTPF (ms)	177 ± 35 (107–247)	168±31 (106–230)	n.s.
TTPF/RR interval	$0.20 \pm 0.06 \ (0.08 - 0.32)$	0.19±0.05 (0.09-0.29)	n.s.
Phase parameters			
Bandwidth (degree)	33.9±12.3 (9.3-58.5)	$26.5 \pm 10.7 (5.1 - 47.9)$	< 0.0001
Phase SD (degree)	9.2±3.4 (2.4–16.0)	7.2±2.8 (1.6-12.8)	< 0.0001
Rest condition			
LV volume			
EF (%)	$68.3 \pm 6.1 \ (56.1 - 80.5)$	72.6 ± 6.2 (60.2–85.1)	< 0.0001
EDV (mL)	81.6±19.6 (42.4–120.8)	$60.0 \pm 14.5 (30.9 - 89.1)$	< 0.0001
ESV (mL)	26.0±8.7 (8.6-43.4)	$16.4 \pm 5.8 \ (4.9 - 27.9)$	< 0.0001
EDVI (mL/m <sup>2</sup> )	$48.5 \pm 10.9 \ (26.7 - 70.3)$	$40.4 \pm 8.9 (22.6 - 58.2)$	< 0.0001
ESVI (mL/m <sup>2</sup> )	$15.4 \pm 5.1$ (5.2–25.6)	$11.1 \pm 3.7 (3.7 - 18.5)$	< 0.0001
Diastolic parameters			
PFR (per s)	$2.25 \pm 0.49$ (1.27–3.23)	$2.50 \pm 0.57$ (1.36–3.64)	0.0023
1/3 MFR (per s)	$1.47 \pm 0.33 \ (0.81 - 2.13)$	$1.61 \pm 0.38 \ (0.85 - 2.37)$	0.0122
TTPF (ms)	$173 \pm 36 (101 - 245)$	$170 \pm 39 (92 - 248)$	n.s.
TTPF/RR interval	$0.19 \pm 0.06 \ (0.05 - 0.33)$	$0.19 \pm 0.05 \ (0.09 - 0.29)$	n.s.
Phase parameters		(	
Bandwidth (degree)	$25.6 \pm 9.7 (6.2 - 45.0)$	$20.8 \pm 8.0(4.8 - 36.8)$	< 0.0006
Phase SD (degree)	$6.9 \pm 2.6 (1.7 - 12.1)$	$5.7 \pm 2.2 (1.3 - 10.1)$	< 0.0017
(degree)		2 2.2 (1.5 10.1)	0.0017

EDV: end-diastolic volume, EF: ejection fraction, ESV: end-systolic volume, LV: left ventricular, MFR: mean filling rate, PFR: peak filling rate, SD: standard deviation, TTPF: time to PFR

95% bandwidth correlated with EDV (r=0.31), ESV (r=0.43), and body surface area (BSA, r=0. 27). Phase SD also correlated with EDV (r=0.26) and ESV (r=0.39), and BSA (r=0.23).

The comparison of the normal values with the three software programs revealed similarities in phase values between QGS and HRV-F:  $28.5 \pm 17.9$  vs.  $25.6 \pm 9.7$  for males (p=n.s.) and  $22.0 \pm 12.2$  vs.  $20.9 \pm 8.0$  for females (p= n.s.) in bandwidth; and  $8.8 \pm 7.4$  vs.  $6.9 \pm 2.6$  for males (p= 0.0047) and  $6.9 \pm 6.8$  vs.  $5.7 \pm 2.2$  for females (p=n.s.) in phase SD, respectively. However, 95% bandwidth and phase SD of cREPO showed higher values ( $42.9 \pm 12.2$  for males [p<0.001 for comparing HRV-F] and  $36.8 \pm 9.1$  for females

[p<0.001]; and  $10.6 \pm 2.6$  [p<0.001] and  $9.2 \pm 2.1[p<0.001]$ , respectively).

#### **Discussion**

The mean and ranges of normal subjects were determined using the JSNM-WG normal database and HRV-F, and the main results are summarized as follows. EF was significantly higher in females, and both EDV and ESV were significantly higher in males. PFR and 1/3 MFR in the diastolic parameters were significantly different between sexes; however, TTPF and TTPF/RR were not significantly different between sexes. Phase values were higher at stress than at rest. Moreover, phase values for males are higher than those for females.

#### Tatsuno et al.

#### Normal and Range Value Evaluations in Heart Risk View-Function

	Males	Females	
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	p-value
	(Mean $-2SD$ to $+2SD$ )	(Mean $-2SD$ to $+2SD$ )	
Stress condition			
Diastolic parameters			
PFR (per s)	2.53 ± 0.53 (1.47-3.59)	$2.64 \pm 0.52 \ (1.60 - 3.68)$	n.s.
1/3 MFR (per s)	1.48 ± 0.32 (0.85-2.11)	$1.59 \pm 0.35 \ (0.89 - 2.30)$	n.s.
TTPF (ms)	178 ± 29 (119–237)	174±28 (118-231)	n.s.
TTPF/RR interval	0.21±0.06 (0.10-0.32)	$0.20 \pm 0.04 \ (0.12 - 0.28)$	n.s.
Phase parameters			
Bandwidth (degree)	36.9±12.0 (13.0-60.8)	25.6±8.1 (9.4-41.9)	< 0.0001
Phase SD (degree)	10.1 ± 3.3 (3.4–16.8)	6.9±2.2 (2.6-11.3)	< 0.0001
Rest condition			
Diastolic parameters			
PFR (per s)	2.44 ± 0.50 (1.44-3.44)	2.59±0.60 (1.40-3.79)	n.s.
1/3 MFR (per s)	1.56 ± 0.36 (0.84-2.27)	$1.70 \pm 0.42 \ (0.87 - 2.54)$	n.s.
TTPF (ms)	171±33 (106–236)	165±33 (99–231)	n.s.
TTPF/RR interval	0.20±0.06 (0.08-0.31)	0.18 ± 0.03 (0.11-0.25)	n.s.
Phase parameters			
Bandwidth (degree)	27.0±9.7 (7.6-46.5)	19.0±7.2 (4.5-33.4)	< 0.001
Phase SD (degree)	$7.2 \pm 2.6 (2.0 - 12.4)$	$5.1 \pm 1.9 (1.4 - 8.9)$	< 0.001

Table 2Normal values and ranges for diastolic and phase parameters in the age group of  $\leq 65$ 

MFR: mean filling rate, PFR: peak filling rate, SD: standard deviation, TTPF: time to PFR

Previous studies by Nakajima et al. used the JSNM-WG database and QGS and cREPO software to determine normal values of LV volumes and diastolic parameters (5, 6). When compared with these studies, EF for HRV-F was nearly equivalent to those for QGS and cREPO. EDV and ESV volumes were similar between HRV-F and QGS. Regarding the diastolic parameters, we calculated normal values in the younger age group of <65 years, because the aged subjects show abnormal diastolic dysfunction, which caused a wide range of normal values (5). The diastolic parameters were comparable in the younger age group among the three software programs. However, TTPF was significantly higher in males than that in females in QPS and cREPO software. The characteristics of software programs should be taken into consideration in diagnosing gated SPECT data.

Phase parameters are affected by software, sex, and radiopharmaceutical dosage. The phase parameters based on sex differences have been reported (7), and a similar trend was found in this study. The differences in injected radiopharmaceutical dosage influence photon count statistics and image noise (12–14); thus, phase values would change depending on the stress and rest conditions in myocardial perfusion SPECT. Regarding the relationships among phase parameters, LV volume, and body habitus, the 95% bandwidth and phase SD were weakly correlated with EDV, ESV, and BSA. Such weak correlations between phase parameters and LV volumes were

also observed in the previous study using the cREPO software program (6).

This study has several limitations. The JSNM-WG normal database was collected using clinically acceptable image quality and optimal image processing conditions, and the selection criteria were clearly defined. However, JSNM-WG normal database provides short-axis images, which include the differences in acquisition and image processing conditions, although acceptable image quality was confirmed during database accumulation. Lastly, the latest cardiac imaging cameras, such as cadmium-zinc-telluride cameras, were not included and required a new database.

#### Conclusions

Mean normal values and ranges of the JSNM-WG normal database were determined using the HRV-F software. Sex differences were exhibited in the LV volumes, diastolic parameters, and phase parameters except for TTPF and TTPF/RR. In future clinical researches using HRV-F software, the mean and normal ranges of this study can be applied to diagnostic and prognostic analyses. Moreover, when the mean and range of normal values for the LV function and phase parameters are incorporated into HRV-F software, automatic detection of abnormal parameters will be feasible, which is clinically convenient to help diagnose gated SPECT data in patients with cardiac diseases.



Figure 1 Relationships of phase parameters and LV volumes, and those depending on body habitus at rest condition.

a: Scatter plots of 95% bandwidth and EDV (left) and ESV (middle), and a scatter plot of that by BSA (right).
b: Scatter plots of phase SD and EDV (left) and ESV (middle), and a scatter plot of that by BSA (right).
Blue and red points indicate male and female data, respectively. The shaded area denotes a 95% confidence band around the fitted line.

BSA: body surface area, EDV: end-diastolic volume, ESV: end-systolic volume, LV: left ventricular, SD: standard deviation

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank physicians and technologists who contributed to the accumulation and generation of the JSNM-WG normal database. The authors also thank Enago (www. enago.jp) for English language editing.

#### Sources of funding

None.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

K. Nakajima belongs to endowed department partly funded by Nihon Medi Physics, Tokyo, Japan; PDRadiopharma, Tokyo, Japan; and Siemens Healthcare Japan, Tokyo, Japan. Others have no conflict of interest to disclose. Reprint requests and correspondence:

Kosuke Tatsuno, MS.c.

- Department of Radiological Technology, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital
- 1-1 Daigaku, Uchinada, Kahoku, Ishikawa 920-0293, Japan E-mail: koutatsuno@gmail.com

## References

- JCS Joint Working Gourp. Guidelines for clinical use of cardiac nuclear medicine (JCS 2010) – digest version –. Circ J 2012; 76: 761–7.
- Chen J, Garcia EV, Folks RD, Cooke CD, Faber TL, Tauxe EL, et al. Onset of left ventricular mechanical contraction as determined by phase analysis of ECG-gated myocardial perfusion SPECT imaging: development of a diagnostic tool for assessment of cardiac mechanical dyssynchrony. J Nucl

— 55 —

Tatsuno et al. Normal and Range Value Evaluations in Heart Risk View-Function

Cardiol 2005; 12: 687-95.

- Kobayashi K, Tsukamoto K. Myocardial image analysis software heart risk view, heart score view and heart function view. The Japanese Journal of Nuclear Medicine Technology 2014; 34: 136–44. [Article in Japanese]
- Nakae I, Hayashi H, Matsumoto T, Mitsunami K, Horie M. Clinical usefulness of a novel program "Heart Function View" for evaluating cardiac function from gated myocardial perfusion SPECT. Ann Nucl Med 2014; 28: 812–23.
- Nakajima K, Matsumoto N, Kasai T, Matsuo S, Kiso K, Okuda K. Normal values and standardization of parameters in nuclear cardiology: Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine working group database. Ann Nucl Med 2016; 30: 188–99.
- Okuda K, Nakajima K. Normal values and gender differences of left ventricular functional parameters with cardioREPO software. Ann Nucl Cardiol 2017; 3: 29–33.
- Nakajima K, Okuda K, Matsuo S, Kiso K, Kinuya S, Garcia EV. Comparison of phase dyssynchrony analysis using gated myocardial perfusion imaging with four software programs: Based on the Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine working group normal database. J Nucl Cardiol 2017; 24: 611–21.
- Sakatani T, Nakajima K, Nishimura T. Cardiovascular event risk estimated by myocardial perfusion SPECT combined with clinical data. J Cardiol 2022; 80: 64–71.
- Hatta T, Yoda S, Hayase M, Monno K, Hori Y, Fujito H, et al. Prognostic value of left ventricular dyssynchrony assessed

with nuclear cardiology in patients with known or suspected stable coronary artery disease with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. Int Heart J 2020; 61: 685–94.

- Fujito H, Yoda S, Hatta T, Hori Y, Hayase M, Miyagawa M, et al. Prognostic significance of left ventricular dyssynchrony assessed with nuclear cardiology for the prediction of major cardiac events after revascularization. Intern Med 2021; 60: 3679–92.
- Nakajima K. Normal values for nuclear cardiology: Japanese databases for myocardial perfusion, fatty acid and sympathetic imaging and left ventricular function. Ann Nucl Med 2010; 24: 125–35.
- Aljaroudi W, Koneru J, Heo J, Iskandrian AE. Impact of ischemia on left ventricular dyssynchrony by phase analysis of gated single photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging. J Nucl Cardiol 2011; 18: 36–42.
- Mukherjee A, Singh H, Patel C, Sharma G, Roy A, Naik N. Normal values of cardiac mechanical synchrony parameters using gated myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography: Impact of population and study protocol. Indian J Nucl Med 2016; 31: 255–9.
- Kortelainen MJ, Koivumäki TM, Vauhkonen MJ, Hakulinen MA. Dependence of left ventricular functional parameters on image acquisition time in cardiac-gated myocardial perfusion SPECT. J Nucl Cardiol 2015; 22: 643–51.