



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Eleonora Porcu,  
University of Bologna, Italy

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Ming-Jer Chen  
mingjerchen@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed  
equally to this work and share  
first authorship

## SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to  
Reproduction,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 16 November 2022

ACCEPTED 22 November 2022

PUBLISHED 07 December 2022

## CITATION

Chen M-J, Yi Y-C, Guu H-F, Chen Y-F,  
Kung H-F, Chang J-C, Chuan S-T and  
Chen L-Y (2022) Corrigendum: A  
retrospective, matched case-control  
study of recombinant LH versus hMG  
supplementation on FSH during  
controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in  
the GnRH-antagonist protocol.  
*Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1099647.  
doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1099647

## COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Chen, Yi, Guu, Chen, Kung,  
Chang, Chuan and Chen. This is an  
open-access article distributed under  
the terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright  
owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is  
cited, in accordance with accepted  
academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with  
these terms.

# Corrigendum: A retrospective, matched case-control study of recombinant LH versus hMG supplementation on FSH during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in the GnRH-antagonist protocol

Ming-Jer Chen<sup>1,2\*†</sup>, Yu-Chiao Yi<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hwa-Fen Guu<sup>1</sup>,  
Ya-Fang Chen<sup>1</sup>, Hsiao-Fan Kung<sup>1</sup>, Jui-Chun Chang<sup>1</sup>,  
Shih-Ting Chuan<sup>1</sup> and Li-Yu Chen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Women's Health, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan

## KEYWORDS

controlled ovarian hyperstimulation, recombinant FSH, recombinant LH, human menopausal gonadotrophin (hMG), pregnancy

## A corrigendum on

**A retrospective, matched case-control study of recombinant LH versus hMG supplementation on FSH during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in the GnRH-antagonist protocol**

by Chen M-J, Yi Y-C, Guu H-F, Chen Y-F, Kung H-F, Chang J-C, Chuan S-T and Chen L-Y (2022) *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:931756. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.931756

In the published article, there was an error. Instead of “cumulative pregnancy rate”, it should be “cumulative live birth rate”.

A correction has been made to the Synopsis. This sentence previously stated:

“The cumulative pregnancy rate was significantly higher in the r-hFSH+r-hLH group (53% vs. 64%,  $p=0.02$ ).”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“The cumulative live birth rate was significantly higher in the r-hFSH+r-hLH group (53% vs. 64%,  $p=0.02$ ).”

In the published article, there was an error. Instead of “patients”, it should be “cycles”.

A correction has been made to Section 3 Results. The sentences previously stated:

“The cLBR of the patients included in the analysis is shown in **Figure 2**. There were 138 patients out of 259 achieved live births in Group 1 (cLBR = 53.3%), and 107 out of 166 in Group 2 (cLBR =64.5%).”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“The cLBR of the cycles included in the analysis is shown in **Figure 2**. There were 138 cycles out of 259 achieved live births in Group 1 (cLBR = 53.3%), and 107 out of 166 in Group 2 (cLBR =64.5%).”

In the published article, there was an error in **Figure 2** as published. The y-axis title was marked “Cumulative pregnancy rate”. The corrected y-axis title of **Figure 2** should be “Cumulative live birth rate”.

The corrected figure and its caption appear below:

The authors apologize for the errors and state that these do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.




FIGURE 2

The cumulative live birth rate in Group 1 (rFSH+hMG) and Group 2 (rFSH+rLH). \*\*represents statistical significance (p<0.05).

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.