Adverse Effects of Excessive Zinc Intake in Infants and Children Aged 0–3 Years: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Marena Ceballos-Rasgado,[1] Nicola M Lowe,[1] Simonette Mallard,[2] Andrew Clegg,[3] Victoria H Moran,[1] Catherine Harris,[3] Jason Montez,[4] and Maria Xipsiti[5]

[1] Centre for Global Development, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, Lancashire, United Kingdom; [2] Independent contractor, Dunedin, New Zealand; [3] Synthesis, Economic Evaluation and Decision Science (SEEDS) Group, Applied Health Research Hub, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, Lancashire, United Kingdom; [4] Department of Nutrition and Food Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; and [5] Food and Nutrition Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy

ABSTRACT

Zinc supplementation reduces morbidity, but evidence suggests that excessive intakes can have negative health consequences. Current guidelines of upper limits (ULs) of zinc intake for young children are extrapolated from adult data. This systematic review (PROSPERO; registration no. CRD42020215187) aimed to determine the levels of zinc intake at which adverse effects are observed in young children. Studies reporting potential adverse effects of zinc intake in children aged 0–3 y were identified (from inception to August 2020) in MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Library, with no limits on study design. Adverse clinical and physical effects of zinc intake were synthesized narratively, and meta-analyses of biochemical outcomes were conducted. Random effects models were used to generate forest plots to examine the evidence by age category, dose, dose duration, chemical formula of zinc, and zinc compared with placebo. The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist, Cochrane Risk of Bias 2, and Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) guideline were employed to assess risk of bias and to appraise the certainty of evidence. Fifty-eight studies assessed possible adverse effects of zinc doses ranging from 3 to 70 mg/d. Data from 39 studies contributed to meta-analyses. Zinc supplementation had an adverse effect on serum ferritin, plasma/serum copper concentration, serum transferrin receptor, hemoglobin, hematocrit, and the odds of anemia in ≥1 of the subgroups investigated. Lactulose:mannitol ratio was improved with zinc supplementation, and no significant effect was observed on C-reactive protein, erythrocyte superoxide dismutase, zinc protoporphyrin, blood cholesterol, and iron deficiency anemia. The certainty of the evidence, as assessed using GRADE, was very low to moderate. Although possible adverse effects of zinc supplementation were observed in some subgroups, it is unclear whether these findings are clinically important. The synthesized data can be used to undertake a dose–response analysis to update current guidelines of ULs of zinc intake for young children. *Adv Nutr* 2022;13:2488–2518.

Statement of Significance: This systematic review and meta-analysis was commissioned by the FAO-WHO, and aimed to synthesize the available evidence to answer clearly defined questions posed by the FAO-WHO expert group, regarding zinc intake in relation to needs for growth (tissue composition), excretion, absorption, and factors affecting bioavailability in children aged 0–3 y. Findings of this review can be a valuable resource for future national and international organizations looking to update or adapt dietary guidelines for infants and children.

Introduction

The upper limit (UL) of a nutrient's intake has been defined as the maximum intake from food, water, and supplements that is unlikely to pose risk of adverse health effects to most individuals in the general population (1). This information is particularly valuable when designing large-scale supplementation or fortification programs to ensure that the resulting nutrient intake does not exceed a value that is considered safe for human health. ULs are determined through a risk assessment process that assesses the probability of the occurrence of an adverse health effect from an excess exposure to the nutrient (2). This process

requires the collection of information of known or potential adverse effects attributed to the nutrient, followed by a dose–response analysis to determine the relation between the dose of the nutrient and adverse effect on key outcome measures (3). For most nutrients no adverse effects are anticipated when they are consumed as foods because their absorption and/or excretion are regulated through homeostatic mechanisms (1). This is the case for zinc, where absorption and excretion are adjusted over a wide range of dietary intakes (4). In addition, zinc is not stored in body tissues, thus the potential for zinc to reach toxic concentrations is limited. However, if zinc is ingested in excessive amounts or in smaller amounts but on a chronic basis through supplementation, it is associated with deleterious alterations in iron, copper lipoprotein, and cholesterol metabolism (3), and adverse physiological effects including nausea, vomiting, and general gastrointestinal disturbances (3, 5).

The current FAO-WHO values for zinc ULs are 35–50 mg/d (690 mmol/d) for adults, and 23–28 mg/d (350–430 mmol/d) for children, depending on their age (1). In setting these ULs, a dose–response analysis for children was not possible due to a lack of data, therefore the ULs for children in various age categories were extrapolated from adult data based on basal metabolic rate (1, 3). An alternative strategy was adopted when considering zinc ULs by expert groups convened by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (6) and International Zinc Nutrition Consultative Group (IZiNCG) (7). Both groups used data from a small number of studies conducted in children relating to the impact of zinc intake on copper status. IZiNCG concluded that there were insufficient data to define ULs for children and instead published a "No Observed Adverse Effect Level" (NOAEL) value of 6–26 mg/d, depending on the age of the child. The IOM identified a NOAEL value and divided it by an uncertainty factor, which considered the length of exposure and the number of infants included in the 1 study (8). After obtaining values for young infants, the IOM adjusted the ULs for older infants and children on the basis of relative body weight to produce a recommendation of 4 mg/d for infants 0–6 mo, 5 mg/d for infants 7–12 mo, and 7 mg/d for children 1–3 y (6).

FAO-WHO has convened an expert group to update their vitamin and mineral requirements and ULs of intake for micronutrients in children aged 0–3 y (9), and commissioned this review to inform the work of updating the ULs for zinc in this age group. The aim of this review was to determine the levels of zinc intake at which adverse effects are observed in children aged 0–3 y.

Methods

Protocol and registration
This systematic review was registered with the international Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; registration no. CRD42020215187) and was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-2020) statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses (10).

Eligibility criteria
Eligibility criteria are based on the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study (PICOS) elements. Criteria were identified through discussion with the expert group and are shown in **Table 1**.

Search strategy, study selection, and data extraction
The search was carried out using MEDLINE (OVID), Embase (OVID), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (Cochrane Library) from date of inception to August 7, 2020. The searches had no date or language restriction. The initial search strategy is presented in **Supplemental File 1** and comprised terms related to zinc, adverse effects, toxicity, and outcomes known to be potentially affected by excess zinc. Because a preceding scoping review indicated a lack of studies investigating excess zinc intake in children aged 0–3 y, the search was not limited by age. However, for the purposes of this article, only studies that included children aged 0–3 y will be reported.

The search results were downloaded into Endnote software for automatic and manual deduplication and then exported into the Rayyan web app (11) where 1 reviewer (MC-R) screened for inclusion and exclusion by title and abstract. The articles were flagged when there was uncertainty, and discussions for their inclusion or exclusion took place with senior members of the review team (NML, VHM). Hand searches were conducted by examining the reference lists of the retrieved articles, and relevant systematic reviews. Articles potentially meeting inclusion criteria and those that remained uncertain were moved forward to the next stage, where 1 reviewer (SM) screened the full text. At each stage of screening, a randomly selected 10% of articles were cross-checked by a second member of the review team (NML, VHM, or MC-R). Any disagreement was resolved by discussion and changes made accordingly.

Due to the broad range of outcome measures reported by a small number of studies, the search strategy was adjusted to include studies that did not have terms related to toxicity

and adverse effects in the title and abstract. The expanded search strategy is shown in Supplemental File 1. The purpose of this expanded search was to capture studies that measured relevant outcomes without the presupposition of toxicity, thus increasing the number of included studies, particularly at the lower zinc dose/exposure rates. The expanded search results were deduplicated against the original search and screened for inclusion and exclusion as described above (Supplemental File 1).

Data extraction and synthesis

One reviewer (SM) extracted the data from the included articles into a specifically designed Excel form, and a randomly selected sample (10%) of extracted articles was cross-checked by a member of the review team (MC-R). Data extracted included bibliographic information, location, aim, study methods, population characteristics, type of exposures to zinc (the type of exposure, duration of exposure, amount of zinc exposed to), outcomes (adverse event or status measures), and background dietary zinc intake. If dietary zinc intake was not reported, data obtained from external studies conducted in areas and with demographics close to the study were used, with preference given to data nearest in age range to that of the study population. Where such data were not available, data from national surveys were obtained. Efforts were also taken to directly contact authors for dietary intake data, if available. For studies including only infants <6 mo of age at baseline (n = 2) (12, 13), estimated dietary zinc intake from exclusive breastfeeding was used, obtained from a systematic review on breast milk intake commissioned by FAO-WHO to inform the work of updating requirements and ULs (14). For studies in which zinc intake was only assessed from complementary foods, breast milk zinc intake was added to the complementary food zinc intake. These age-matched values were taken from the FAO-WHO breast milk review, to provide a total dietary zinc intake estimate (14).

Studies were considered for meta-analyses if they included children aged 0–3 y and where the effect of zinc intake could be isolated (including a comparable arm without zinc). Where possible, forest plots were generated for the following: age category (0–90 d, 91–180 d, 6–12 mo, >12 mo), dose of zinc given (<5 mg/d, 5–10 mg/d, 10.1–20 mg/d, >20 mg/d, bolus), duration of intervention (0–3 mo, >3 mo), chemical formula of zinc (gluconate, sulfate, acetate, not stated, other), zinc compared with placebo, and high compared with low dose of zinc.

A narrative synthesis was undertaken incorporating information about the population characteristics, zinc exposure, and physical and clinical descriptive outcomes proposed by the FAO-WHO expert group (i.e., vomiting, regurgitation, nausea, constipation, abdominal pain, drowsiness, mouth irritation, taste aversion, diarrhea, and dysentery). Because there was no standard way of reporting these outcomes, they were not considered for meta-analyses.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analyses were conducted using RevMan (Version 5.4; The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration 2014). Where outcomes were presented as continuous data, they were synthesized as weighted mean differences with 95% CIs using the generic inverse-variance method. Dichotomous data were pooled as ORs with 95% CIs through the Mantel–Haenszel method. Given the likelihood of variability among the studies, we estimated random-effects models. Heterogeneity was assessed through visual inspection of forest plots and through the χ^2 and I^2 statistic, with possible causes investigated through subgroup and sensitivity analyses. Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots for comparisons of ≥10 studies (15), with the causes of asymmetry judged in relation to nonreporting bias, methodological quality, heterogeneity, and artefactual reasons. Where appropriate, Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) assessment was adjusted to reflect any bias identified.

Assessment of risk of bias

Cochrane Risk of Bias 2 (RoB) was used to assess the quality of all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (16). Four trials were classified as "quasi-experimental" rather than RCTs (8, 17–19) because details of the randomization process were not made explicit, although all had elements of random allocation and all were double blind. In 2 studies participants were allocated into groups based on successive age sequence (8, 18), and in 2 studies methods of allocation were not adequately described (17, 19). Therefore these studies were deemed acceptable for inclusion in meta-analyses by consensus and were assessed using Cochrane RoB. Two case studies (20, 21) were assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Appraisal checklist (22). The GRADE (23) system was used to evaluate the certainty of evidence of all outcomes included in meta-analyses. Because all studies included in meta-analyses had been assessed using Cochrane RoB, the initial level of certainty for GRADE was high (24). Reasons for considering lowering the level of certainty included risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness (such as the population having an underlying health condition), imprecision, and publication bias (25). Raising the level of certainty was not considered because this is generally used for observational studies only (24).

Results

Description of studies

From the studies retrieved by the initial electronic search (n = 7158), hand searches (n = 90), and the expanded search (n = 15), 316 potentially relevant studies were assessed for inclusion when the full-text reports had been obtained. From these, 180 articles were excluded on a full-text basis and the reasons for exclusion are shown in the PRISMA diagram in **Figure 1**. A total of 136 articles were considered relevant across all population groups (n = 58

TABLE 1 Eligibility criteria are based on the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study (PICOS) elements

Population(s)[1]	Children and adults who were generally healthy or who had symptoms related to excessive zinc intake
Interventions, exposures	• Intake of zinc via supplements or foods (fortified and nonfortified)
	• Studies where zinc intake was not given were excluded
	• Studies where the effect of zinc intake could not be isolated were excluded
Comparator(s)	• Higher zinc intake vs. lower or no zinc intake
Outcome	Adverse effects included impact on:
	• Absorption/status of other minerals (e.g., copper, iron, etc.)
	• Hemoglobin, ferritin
	• Blood lipids
	• Immune function
	• Gastrointestinal function
	• DNA breaks/damage
Study designs	• Intervention studies assessing effects of zinc intake. Including but not limited to: RCTs, crossover RCTs, non-RCTs, pre-post studies
	• Observational studies assessing effects of zinc intake. Including but not limited to: case-control, cohort studies, cross-sectional studies
	• Case reports of excess zinc intake
	• In vitro and animal studies were *not* included

[1]Based on results of the scoping review and related discussions, the expert group concluded that the limited data available in children aged 0–36 mo would not be sufficient to identify ULs and therefore it was decided to expand the literature search to include studies in older children and adults. Data for older children and/or adults would be used (i.e., extrapolated) only if data obtained via the literature for children aged 0–36 mo were insufficient to identify ULs directly. RCT, randomized control trial, UL, upper limit.

infants and children aged 0–3 y, $n = 18$ children aged >3 y, and $n = 53$ adults). Because the evidence available on infants and children aged 0–3 y was sufficient to avoid extrapolation from data on older children and adults, this article focuses only on 58 articles from 55 studies (8, 12, 13, 17–21, 26–75) that investigated possible adverse effects of excess zinc intake in infants and children aged 0–3 y. A complete list of biochemical outcome measures and physical and clinical descriptive outcomes included in these studies is given in **Table 2**. (Detailed information on study design can be found in Table 1 **of Supplemental File 2**.)

Two articles, Hess et al. (43) and Sazawal et al. (63), were the same as the studies of Abbeddou et al. (74) and Olney et al. (53), respectively, but reported additional data, therefore all four articles were included in this review. Two articles that reported on the same study but measured outcomes at different timepoints following zinc supplementation [Muñoz et al. (52) at 6 mo and Rosado et al. (59) at 12 mo] were included in the review, but only data from Rosado et al. (59) were considered for meta-analyses.

Almost all included studies were RCTs ($n = 52$) (12, 13, 26–75) and 4 were quasi-experimental (8, 17–19).

Daily doses of zinc ranged from 3 mg/d to 45 mg/d, from zinc supplementation or fortification. Six studies (8, 19, 34, 48, 50, 72) administered doses <5 mg/d, 34 studies (in 36 articles: 12, 13, 17, 26, 29–31, 35–43, 47, 51, 53–56, 60–65, 68–75) reported administering doses of 5 to 10 mg/d, 10 studies (in 11 articles) (28, 44, 46, 49, 52, 56–59, 67, 69) reported the effects of doses between 10.1 and 20 mg/d, and in 6 studies children consumed doses of between 21 and 45 mg/d (20, 21, 33, 45, 49, 67).

In 1 study children received a dose of 50 mg weekly (42), and 2 studies gave a 70-mg bolus either weekly (66) or twice weekly (18).

Only 10 studies (13, 17, 19, 34, 37, 40, 47, 48, 60, 75) included in the meta-analyses included dietary zinc intake data. Estimated dietary zinc intake from the studies included in the meta-analyses can be found in Table 2 **of Supplemental File 2**.

A total of 39 studies from 41 articles (8, 12, 13, 17–19, 26, 28–40, 43, 47, 48, 51, 53–55, 58–60, 62–65, 67, 70–75)

FIGURE 1 PRISMA-2020 flow diagram of the search procedure. [1] See Supplemental File 2 for further information on how studies were selected. PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. (Note: Reports refers to 'articles' in the main text).

FIGURE 2 Effect of zinc supplementation on hemoglobin (g/L) in children aged 0–90 d by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo.

TABLE 2 Biochemical, physical, and clinical adverse effects of zinc intake in infants and children aged ≤3 y reported in the included studies[1]

Outcome reported	Number of studies[2]	References
Biochemical outcome measures		
Hemoglobin	34 (32)[3]	(8, 12, 13, 18, 19, 26, 28, 29, 31, 33–40, 43, 47, 48, 51, 53, 54, 58, 59, 62, 64, 65, 70–73) (66)[4] (52)[5]
Hematocrit	6 (6)	(8, 19, 31, 51, 62, 65)
Serum ferritin	21 (20)	(12, 18, 19, 26, 28, 29, 31, 34, 35, 39, 40, 47, 48, 51, 59, 70–72, 74, 75) (52)[3]
Serum/soluble transferrin receptor	5 (5)	(12, 28, 36, 47, 74)
Iron deficiency	9 (9)	(12, 31, 34, 39, 47, 53, 59, 70, 71, 73)
Anemia	18 (18)	(12, 19, 29, 34, 36–39, 43, 47, 48, 53–55, 63, 64, 70, 71, 73)
Severe anemia	3 (3)	(12, 63, 64)
Iron deficiency anemia	4 (4)	(29, 34, 47, 71, 74)
Serum iron	2 (2)	(51, 65)
Zinc protoporphyrin	2 (2)	(53, 74)
Plasma/serum copper	13 (13)	(51, 65)
Erythrocyte superoxide dismutase	2 (2)	(18, 72)
Elevated C-reactive protein	3 (3)	(36, 43, 70)
Lactulose:mannitol ratio	2 (2)	(18, 60)
Serum total cholesterol	3 (3)	(8, 17, 72)
Physical or clinical descriptive outcomes		
Vomiting	13 (0)	(8, 27, 30, 36, 41, 44–46, 49, 50, 67, 69)
Regurgitation	5 (0)	(8, 33, 46, 67, 69)
Nausea	2 (0)	(44, 45)
Constipation	4 (0)	(44, 45, 49, 50)
Abdominal pain	3 (0)	(41, 44, 45)
Drowsiness	2 (0)	(44, 45)
Mouth irritation	2 (0)	(44, 45)
Taste aversion	3 (0)	(33, 44, 45)
Respiratory infection	13 (0)	(13, 18, 29, 33, 34, 49, 50, 55, 57–59, 61, 75)
URTI	3 (0)	(33, 34, 50)
Bronchiolitis	2 (0)	(33, 36)
Cough	2 (0)	(29, 72)
Diarrhea	27 (0)	(8, 13, 18, 26, 27, 29–34, 36, 42–45, 49, 50, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 63, 67, 72, 75)
Dysentery/bloody diarrhea	3 (0)	(36, 42, 55)
ORS use	2 (0)	(27, 56)
Malaria	9 (0)	(18, 43, 55, 58, 63, 64, 70, 73, 74)
Fever	5 (0)	(29, 34, 59, 72, 74)
Death	7 (0)	(31, 33, 36, 43, 49, 58, 63)
Other	23 (0)	(8, 18, 20, 21, 31–34, 36, 41–45, 50, 51, 56, 62–64, 68, 72, 74)

[1] ORS, oral rehydration solution; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.
[2] Number of studies reporting the outcome in the review (no. studies included in meta-analysis).
[3] Includes 2 values converted from hematocrit.
[4] No SD values reported.
[5] Not included in meta-analysis because same study as that of Rosado et al. (59).

were included in meta-analyses. The outcomes that were examined through meta-analyses were hemoglobin, anemia, serum ferritin, serum copper, iron deficiency, serum transferrin receptor (sTfR), hematocrit, C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte superoxide dismutase (eSOD), zinc protoporphyrin (ZPP), serum total cholesterol, lactulose:mannitol molar ratio, and serum iron concentration.

A total of 32 studies (8, 13, 18, 26, 27, 29–34, 36, 41–46, 49, 50, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 63, 67, 69, 72, 74, 75, 75) included physical and clinical outcomes (i.e., vomiting, regurgitation, nausea, constipation, abdominal pain, drowsiness, mouth irritation, taste aversion, diarrhea, and dysentery). These studies are summarized narratively.

The GRADE, RoB, and JBI quality assessment of the studies can be found in **Supplemental File 3**. Less than 20% of studies were at high risk of bias using Cochrane RoB 2 criteria, with risk of bias in the randomization process being the main contributor. After consideration of risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias, GRADE certainty of evidence assessments for meta-analyses ranged from very low to moderate quality. Certainty of the evidence was primarily downgraded for risk of bias, indirectness (where study populations included some older children and/or had underlying morbidities), and imprecision.

FIGURE 3 Effect of zinc supplementation on hemoglobin (g/L) in children aged 91–180 d by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; PI, placebo.

Meta-analyses of the biochemical outcomes

Outcome measures from all studies were mapped and, where reported in ≥2 studies, were considered for meta-analysis. A summary of the biochemical outcome measures considered for meta-analyses is presented in Table 2. Where possible, forest plots have been generated for the following: age category (0–90 d, 91–180 d, 6 to <12 mo, ≥12 mo); dose of zinc given (<5 mg/d, 5–10 mg/d, 10.1–20 mg/d, >20 mg/d, bolus); duration of intervention (0–3 mo, >3 mo); chemical formula of zinc (gluconate, sulfate, acetate, not stated, other); zinc compared with placebo; and high compared with low dose of zinc.

Hemoglobin

Thirty-two studies (8, 12, 13, 18, 19, 26, 28, 29, 31, 33–40, 43, 47, 48, 51, 53, 54, 58, 59, 62, 64, 65, 70–73) assessed the effect of zinc on hemoglobin, all of which were included in the meta-analyses. The pooled analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation on hemoglobin concentration (grams per liter) by age and dose, showed that overall, there was no evidence for an impact of zinc on hemoglobin concentrations in infants aged between 0 and 90 d when doses of 4–10 mg/d were provided (**Figure 2**). In children aged 91–

180 d, doses of 5–10 mg/d were associated with a significant reduction in hemoglobin concentration [mean difference (MD): −2.39 g/L; 95% CI: −3.94, −0.84 g/L; $I^2 = 28\%$] (**Figure 3**). Pooled analyses of studies with children aged 6–12 mo (**Figure 4**) and >12 mo (**Figure 5**) showed that at the doses assessed, there were no significant effects on hemoglobin concentration.

No significant effects of zinc on hemoglobin concentrations were found in studies grouped by study duration, chemical formula, or comparator group, as summarized in **Table 3**. The quality of evidence for hemoglobin assessed using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

Anemia and severe anemia

The effect of zinc supplementation on the OR for anemia (defined as hemoglobin less than 10.0–11.0g/dL) was explored in all age categories. Only 1 study, by Carter et al. in 2018 (12), included children aged 0–90 d. Doses of 5–10 mg/d zinc were provided with no significant effect on the OR for anemia (OR = 1.20; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.60; $I^2 =$ not applicable). Three studies (29, 39, 71) (6 data sets) included children aged 91–180 d. All studies administered doses of 5–10 mg/d with and without additional iron, compared with

FIGURE 4 Effect of zinc supplementation on hemoglobin (g/L) in children aged >6 mo to 12 mo by zinc dose. FA, folic acid.; IFA, iron plus folic acid; IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo; Vit A, vitamin A; Vit C, vitamin C.

iron alone or placebo, respectively (**Figure 6**). In children aged 6–12 mo, 7 studies (34, 36, 38, 43, 47, 53, 73) (14 comparisons) examined doses of zinc with and without combinations of iron, multiple micronutrients (MMN), and vitamin C (**Figure 7**). In children aged >12 mo, 6 studies (19, 37, 48, 54, 55, 70) (9 comparisons) investigated zinc supplementation with and without combinations of vitamin A, iron, and MMN (**Figure 8**). In all age groups, there was no significant effect of zinc supplementation on the OR for anemia.

Pooled analyses of the OR for anemia by duration of intervention, chemical formula of zinc supplement, and comparator (placebo or high compared with low zinc dose) are summarized in **Table 4**. Zinc supplementation had no effect on the OR for anemia when data were grouped by chemical formula and comparator. When grouped by study duration, 2 studies (48, 73) had interventions that lasted for

0–3 mo, and 15 studies (12, 19, 29, 34, 36–39, 43, 47, 53–55, 70, 71) (26 comparisons) had interventions that lasted >3 mo. In both duration categories children received doses of 3–10 mg/d. For studies with interventions of a shorter duration, the OR for anemia increased significantly with zinc supplementation (Table 4). For studies of longer duration, the overall OR for developing anemia was not significantly changed by zinc supplementation.

The impact of zinc supplementation on the risk of severe anemia was examined by 3 studies (12, 63, 64), and defined as hemoglobin concentration <70 g/L in 2 studies (63, 64) and <85 g/L in 1 study (12). Combining data from these 3 studies did not reveal a significant impact of zinc supplementation at doses of 5–10 mg/d on the OR for severe anemia (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.77, 1.28; $I^2 = 0$%).

The quality of evidence for anemia assessed using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

	Zinc			Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 Zn < 5 mg/d									
Lopez de Romana 2005 [48] (Zn 3mg+Fe vs Fe)	124.2	17.3	5	129.6	13.9	6	0.3%	-5.40 [-24.21, 13.41]	
Mendoza 2016 [19] (Zn 4.12mg vs PI)	119.1	8.9	21	124.2	9	26	3.4%	-5.10 [-10.24, 0.04]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn3mg vs PI)	-3.1	13.7	17	-6.8	14.1	19	1.3%	3.70 [-5.39, 12.79]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			43			51	5.0%	-2.40 [-8.43, 3.64]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 9.04; Chi² = 2.79, df = 2 (P = 0.25); I² = 28%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.78 (P = 0.44)									
1.4.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d									
Alarcon 2004 [26] (Fe+Zn 7.35mg vs Fe)	119.1	7.05	109	114.7	6.19	104	10.9%	4.40 [2.62, 6.18]	
Chen 2012 [37] (Zn 10mg+Vit A vs Vit A)	122	8	93	120	7	88	9.4%	2.00 [-0.19, 4.19]	
Lopez de Romana 2005 [48] (Zn 9mg+Fe vs Fe)	117.4	10.1	6	129.6	13.9	6	0.6%	-12.20 [-25.95, 1.55]	
Lopez de Romana 2005 [48] (Zn 9mg+Fe vs Zn 3mg+Fe)	117.4	10.1	6	124.2	17.3	5	0.4%	-6.80 [-23.98, 10.38]	
Shankar 2000 [64] (Zn 10mg vs PI))	86	13	100	87	17	108	4.7%	-1.00 [-5.10, 3.10]	
Silva 2006 [65] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	118	11	30	121	7	28	3.9%	-3.00 [-7.71, 1.71]	
Veenemans 2011 [70] (MMN+Zn10mg vs MMN)	107.5	11.4	148	106.6	10.7	151	8.4%	0.90 [-1.61, 3.41]	
Veenemans 2011 [70] (Zn10mg vs PI)	103.7	11.8	149	104	11.9	150	7.9%	-0.30 [-2.99, 2.39]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn10mg vs PI)	-3.9	13.3	18	-6.8	14.1	19	1.3%	2.90 [-5.93, 11.73]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn10mg vs Zn3mg)	-3.9	13.3	18	-3.1	13.7	17	1.3%	-0.80 [-9.75, 8.15]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn10mg vs Zn7mg)	-3.9	13.3	18	-4.3	15.1	18	1.2%	0.40 [-8.90, 9.70]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn7mg vs PI)	-4.3	15.1	18	-6.8	14.1	19	1.2%	2.50 [-6.93, 11.93]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn7mg vs Zn3mg)	-4.3	15.1	18	-3.1	13.7	17	1.2%	-1.20 [-10.74, 8.34]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			731			730	52.4%	0.74 [-0.98, 2.46]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 3.46; Chi² = 22.62, df = 12 (P = 0.03); I² = 47%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.84 (P = 0.40)									
1.4.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d									
Moradveisi 2019 [51] (Zn 12.3mg + Fe vs Fe)	126.2	7.3	48	125.8	11.3	40	4.8%	0.40 [-3.67, 4.47]	
Ouedraogo 2008 [54] (Zn 10.2 mg+Fe vs Fe)	106.3	15.6	100	107.1	12.9	96	4.9%	-0.80 [-4.80, 3.20]	
Richard 2006 [58] (Fe+Zn 20mg vs Fe)	119.09	10.49	185	119.02	10.9	183	9.4%	0.07 [-2.12, 2.26]	
Richard 2006 [58] (Zn 20mg vs PI)	116.61	11.88	191	116.91	12.48	189	8.6%	-0.30 [-2.75, 2.15]	
Rosado 1997 [59] (Fe+Zn 20mg vs Fe)	118	7	47	118	9	46	6.3%	0.00 [-3.28, 3.28]	
Rosado 1997 [59] (Zn 20mg vs PI)	118	7	47	118	8	46	6.9%	0.00 [-3.06, 3.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			618			600	40.9%	-0.09 [-1.28, 1.11]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.23, df = 5 (P = 1.00); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.14 (P = 0.89)									
1.4.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.4.5 Zn Bolus									
Bates 1993 [18] (Zn 140mg/wk vs PI)	117	23.3	50	116	14.8	55	1.8%	1.00 [-6.55, 8.55]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			50			55	1.8%	1.00 [-6.55, 8.55]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.26 (P = 0.80)									
Total (95% CI)			1442			1436	100.0%	0.34 [-0.73, 1.41]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.92; Chi² = 33.80, df = 22 (P = 0.05); I² = 35%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.63 (P = 0.53)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 1.33, df = 3 (P = 0.72), I² = 0%									

-20   -10   0   10   20
Favours [control] Favours [zinc]

FIGURE 5 Effect of zinc supplementation on hemoglobin (g/L) in children aged >12 mo by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance, Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; PI, placebo; Vit A, vitamin A.

Serum ferritin

The effect of zinc supplementation on serum ferritin (micrograms per liter) in children was assessed by age category and dose. Meta-analysis showed that in children aged 91–180 d, a dose of 10 mg/d resulted in a significantly lower serum ferritin concentration than in controls. However, no significant effect was observed in children aged 0–90 d, 6–12 mo, or >12 mo at any of the doses assessed (**Figures 9–12**).

When assessed by dose and duration of intervention (across all ages), no significant difference was found in serum ferritin concentration compared with controls either in interventions lasting <3 mo or in those lasting >3 mo (**Table 5**). No significant effect associated with the zinc chemical formula could be detected with the data available (Table 5).

The quality of evidence for serum ferritin using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

Serum/plasma copper concentration

Zinc supplementation had no significant effect on serum/plasma copper concentration (micrograms per deciliter) at any dose in children aged 0–3 mo [data from 1 study (8) P = 0.056] or those aged 3–6 mo (**Figure 13**). However, in age groups 6–12 mo and >12 mo (**Figures 14** and **15**), doses of 3–20 mg/d had a significant, negative effect on serum/plasma copper concentration.

TABLE 3 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation intake in infants and children aged ≤3 y on hemoglobin (g/L), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

Group/subgroup	References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I[2]
Children receiving interventions for <3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(48)	−5.40	−24.21, 13.41		NA
5–10 mg/d	(48, 73)	−5.26	−8.98, −1.54		0
10.1-20 mg/d	(51)	0.40	−3.67, 4.47		NA
Overall		−3.13	−6.82, 0.57	0.10	24
Children receiving interventions for >3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(8, 19, 34, 72)	0.39	−2.70, 3.48		33
5–10 mg/d	(12, 13, 26, 29, 31, 35–40, 43, 47, 53, 62, 64, 65, 70–72)	−0.21	−1.20, 0.77		63
10.1–20 mg/d	(54, 58, 59)	−0.13	−1.39, 1.12		0
Zn bolus[2]	(18, 28, 33)	−1.24	−2.53, 0.06		0
Overall		−0.25	−1.00, 0.50	0.51	54
Children receiving zinc through zinc sulfate by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(8, 34, 48, 72)	1.82	−0.89, 4.53		0
5–10 mg/d	(12, 13, 26, 29, 35, 39, 40, 47, 48, 65, 71, 72)	−0.97	−2.51, 0.56		66
10.1–20 mg/d	(54, 58, 59)	−0.03	−1.54, 1.49		0
Overall		−0.46	−1.60, 0.68	0.43	54
Children receiving zinc through zinc acetate by zinc dose					
Zn bolus[2]	(28, 33)	−1.30	−2.62, 0.01		0
Overall		−1.30	−2.62, 0.01	0.05	0
Children receiving zinc through an unstated approach by zinc dose					
5–10 mg/d	(31, 36, 43, 53, 70)	0.59	−1.01, 2.18		66
10.1–20 mg/d	(54)	−0.80	−4.80, 3.20		NA
Overall		0.47	−1.01, 1.96	0.53	0
Children receiving zinc through an "other" approach by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19)	−5.10	−10.24, 0.04		NA
10.1–20 mg/d	(59)	0.00	−2.24, 2.24		0
Overall		−1.06	−3.73, 1.62	0.44	37
Children receiving zinc vs. placebo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19, 72)	−1.12	−9.70, 7.47		73
5–10 mg/d	(12, 13, 29, 36, 39, 40, 43, 47, 53, 64, 65, 70–72)	0.25	−1.11, 1.61		52
10.1–20 mg/d	(58, 59)	−0.18	−2.09, 1.73		0
Zn bolus[2]	(18, 28, 33)	−1.04	−2.39, 0.31		0
Overall		−0.10	−1.10, 0.90	0.84	43
Children receiving low- compared with high-dose zinc					
5–10 mg/d	(43, 48, 72)	0.35	−1.89, 2.58		0
Overall		0.35	−1.89, 2.58	0.76	0

[1]NA, not applicable.
[2]Bolus doses given as follows: Brooks et al. (33) 21 mg given weekly; Baqui et al. (28) 20 mg given weekly; Bates et al. (18) 70 mg given twice weekly.

The effect of zinc supplementation on serum copper concentrations across all age groups was analyzed by duration of intervention, chemical formula of zinc supplement, and comparator group (**Table 6**). From the 2 studies (32, 67) with interventions <3 mo, only 1 (32) reported a highly significant impact of a dose of 18 mg/d, given with MMN, compared with a placebo plus MMN in children >12 mo of age. For interventions >3 mo, data from 1 study (30) showed that a dose of 10–20 mg/d was associated with a significant reduction in serum/plasma copper concentration. This association was not shown for interventions with zinc doses of 0–10 mg/d zinc or a bolus of 20–21 mg/wk.

Two studies used zinc gluconate, 1 at a dose of 10 mg/d of elemental zinc (62) and the other at 10–20 mg/d of elemental zinc depending on participant age (30). The overall effect was a significant reduction in serum/plasma copper concentration, mainly driven by the large effect at the higher dose. The overall effects of zinc sulfate, acetate, zinc-fortified breakfast (17), and nonstated forms of zinc were nonsignificant. However, studies using zinc sulfate at an elemental zinc dose of 3 mg/d (34) and 18 mg/d zinc with MMN (32) had a deleterious impact on serum/plasma copper concentrations. Combining studies where the comparator arm was a placebo, there was an overall significant effect of zinc on serum/plasma copper concentration (Table 5).

The quality of evidence for copper using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

Iron deficiency

Analysis of the effect of zinc supplementation on the OR for iron deficiency (serum ferritin concentration <12 μg/L) by age showed a significantly increased OR in infants aged <3 mo (**Figure 16**). However, this was derived from a single

FIGURE 6 Effect of zinc supplementation on anemia (OR) in children aged 91–180 d by zinc dose. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Pl, placebo.

study (73), providing a dose of 10 mg/d zinc, with and without MMN. In older children, pooled analysis showed no evidence of a significant effect of zinc supplementation on the OR for iron deficiency at the doses, intervention duration, or chemical formula used in the studies (**Table 7**).

The quality of evidence for iron deficiency using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

Iron deficiency anemia

No significant association was observed between zinc intake and the odds of iron deficiency anemia (defined as having both anemia and iron deficiency) in any of the assessed age categories (**Figure 17**), zinc dose, duration of dose, or zinc chemical formula (**Table 8**). No studies were conducted in children aged <3 mo or >12 mo.

The quality of evidence for iron deficiency using GRADE ranged from very low to low (Supplemental File 3).

Serum transferrin receptor

Five studies examined the impact of zinc (5–20 mg/d) on sTfR (milligrams per liter). Of these, 1 study (12) analyzed the effect of zinc on sTfR in children aged 0–3 mo; results

showed no significant effect of a dose of 5–10 mg/d on sTfR (**Figure 18**). Four studies (28, 36, 47, 74) examined the impact of 5–20 mg/d zinc on sTfR in children aged 6–12 mo. Overall, there was a significant impact of zinc on sTfR, indicating worsening iron status with zinc supplementation (Figure 18). No data were available for children aged 91–180 d or for those aged >12 mo.

A statistically significant negative impact on sTfR was observed when zinc sulfate was administered. No significant effects were observed when data were combined by other chemical formula, duration of intervention, or comparator (**Table 9**).

The quality of evidence for sTfR using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

Hematocrit

There was no overall effect of zinc supplementation on hematocrit in children aged 0–3 mo, 6–12 mo, or >12 mo (**Figure 19**). Zinc doses ranged from 4 to 12.3 mg/d, with additional supplements including iron, folic acid, and MMN. The duration of the intervention was >3 mo in all studies

FIGURE 7 Effect of zinc supplementation on anemia (OR) in children aged >6 mo to 12 mo by zinc dose. FA, folic acid; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; PI, placebo; Vit A, vitamin A; Vit C, vitamin C.

except that of Moradveisi et al. (51). When examined by chemical formula, 1 study reported that zinc gluconate had a significant positive effect on hematocrit (MD = 0.02%; 95% CI: 0.00, 0.03%; $P = 0.04$) (62). No other significant effects were observed (**Table 10**).

The quality of evidence for hematocrit using GRADE ranged from very low to moderate (Supplemental File 3).

C-reactive protein

Data assessing the effect of zinc intake on CRP were limited, and there are no data in children aged <6 mo, but data suggest there is no association between zinc supplementation and the likelihood of raised CRP in children aged >6 mo (**Figure 20**). The duration of the intervention for all studies was >3 mo. None of the studies stated the chemical formula of the zinc administered. The combined data from all

studies showed no significant effect of duration of treatment or chemical formula on the likelihood of raised CRP (**Table 11**).

The quality of evidence for CRP using GRADE was low (Supplemental File 3).

Erythrocyte SOD

Two studies reported the effect of zinc supplementation on eSOD. Wuehler et al. (72) provided a dose of 10 mg/d elemental zinc (zinc sulfate), and Bates et al. (18) administered 2 bolus doses of 70 mg elemental zinc per week (zinc gluconate). Both studies compared zinc with a placebo in children aged >12 mo. Combining the data from the 2 studies showed no overall significant effect on eSOD (**Figure 21**).

| Study or Subgroup	Zinc Events	Total	Control Events	Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 Zn < 5 mg/d							
Lopez de Romana 2005 [48] (Zn 3mg+Fe vs Fe)	1	5	0	6	0.5%	4.33 [0.14, 132.32]	
Mendoza 2016 [19] (Zn 4.12mg vs Pl)	5	21	2	26	2.0%	3.75 [0.65, 21.74]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		26		32	2.5%	3.87 [0.81, 18.45]	
Total events	6		2				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.01, df = 1 (P = 0.94); I² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.70 (P = 0.09)							
1.4.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d							
Chen 2012 [37] (Zn 10mg+Vit A vs Vit A)	10	93	13	88	7.9%	0.70 [0.29, 1.68]	
Lopez de Romana 2005 [48] (Zn 9mg+Fe vs Fe)	1	6	0	6	0.5%	3.55 [0.12, 105.82]	
Lopez de Romana 2005 [48] (Zn 9mg+Fe vs Zn 3mg+Fe)	1	6	1	5	0.6%	0.80 [0.04, 17.20]	
Owusu-Agyei 2013 [55] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	21	88	27	92	13.8%	0.75 [0.39, 1.47]	
Veenemans 2011 [70] (MMN+Zn10mg vs MMN)	79	148	77	151	29.6%	1.10 [0.70, 1.73]	
Veenemans 2011 [70] (Zn10mg vs Pl)	100	149	99	150	26.4%	1.05 [0.65, 1.70]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		490		492	78.9%	0.97 [0.74, 1.29]	
Total events	212		217				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 2.08, df = 5 (P = 0.84); I² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.18 (P = 0.85)							
1.4.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d							
Ouedraogo 2008 [54] (Zn 10.2mg+Fe vs Fe)	61	100	57	96	18.6%	1.07 [0.60, 1.90]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		100		96	18.6%	1.07 [0.60, 1.90]	
Total events	61		57				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.23 (P = 0.82)							
1.4.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d							
Subtotal (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable	
Total events	0		0				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
1.4.5 Zn Bolus							
Subtotal (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable	
Total events	0		0				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
Total (95% CI)		616		620	100.0%	1.03 [0.80, 1.31]	
Total events	279		276				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 5.00, df = 8 (P = 0.76); I² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.20 (P = 0.84)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 2.92, df = 2 (P = 0.23), I² = 31.5%							

FIGURE 8 Effect of zinc supplementation on anemia (OR) in children aged >12 mo by zinc dose. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo; Vit A, vitamin A.

The quality of evidence for eSOD using GRADE was low (Supplemental File 3).

Zinc protoporphyrin

Two studies (53, 74) measured ZPP following doses of 5–10 mg/d for a period >3 mo. The pooled analysis revealed that zinc supplementation had no significant effect on ZPP (**Figure 22**).

The quality of evidence for ZPP using GRADE was very low (Supplemental File 3).

Serum total cholesterol

Three studies assessed the effect of zinc on serum total cholesterol (milligrams per deciliter). One study (8) examined serum total cholesterol in children aged 0–3 mo, and 2 studies (17, 72) were conducted with children aged >12 mo. There was no significant effect on zinc in any age group (**Figure 23**). All 3 studies had an intervention period >3mo, with doses ranging from 3.75 to 10 mg/d elemental zinc, either in the form of zinc sulfate (8, 72), or in a fortified

breakfast cereal (17). Grouping the studies by dose, mode of delivery, duration, and zinc compared with placebo did not reveal any significant impact of zinc supplementation on total blood cholesterol concentration (**Table 12**).

The quality of evidence for serum total cholesterol using GRADE ranged from very low to low (Supplemental File 3).

Lactulose:mannitol molar ratio

Two studies (18, 60) reported the effect of zinc supplementation on lactulose:mannitol molar ratio. Both studies were conducted with children aged >12 mo. Overall, there was a significant reduction in the ratio following zinc supplementation, indicating reduced (improved) gut permeability (**Figure 24**). Ryan et al. (60) provided a dose of 20 mg/d elemental zinc in the form of zinc acetate for a period <3mo. This dose regimen yielded a significant reduction in the ratio compared with a placebo. Bates et al. (18) provided a dose of 70 mg elemental zinc, twice a week, in the form of zinc sulfate for a period >3 mo. There was a fall in the ratio compared with

TABLE 4 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on anemia (OR), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

Group/subgroup	References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
Children receiving interventions for <3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(48)	4.33	0.14, 132.32		NA
5–10 mg/d	(48, 73)	1.74	1.01, 2.98		0
Overall		1.78	1.04, 3.03	0.03	0
Children receiving interventions for >3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19, 34)	0.85	0.37, 1.95		44
5–10 mg/d	(12, 29, 36–39, 43, 47, 53–55, 70, 71)	1.08	0.93, 1.25		30
10.1–20 mg/d	(54)	1.07	0.60, 1.90		NA
Overall		1.06	0.92, 1.22	0.43	28
Children receiving zinc through zinc gluconate by zinc dose					
5–10 mg/d	(37, 38, 55, 73)	1.10	0.71, 1.72		41
Overall		1.10	0.71, 1.72	0.66	41
Children receiving zinc through zinc sulfate by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(34, 48)	0.66	0.36, 0.121		0
5–10 mg/d	(12, 29, 39, 47, 48, 71)	1.28	0.98, 1.67		49
Overall		1.18	0.92, 1.53	0.19	47
Children receiving zinc through an unstated approach by zinc dose					
5–10 mg/d	(36, 43, 53, 70)	0.97	0.81, 1.15		0
10.1–20 mg/d	(54)	1.07	0.60, 1.90		NA
Overall		0.97	0.82, 1.15	0.76	0
Children receiving zinc vs. placebo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19)	3.75	0.65, 21.74		NA
5–10 mg/d	(29, 36, 39, 43, 47, 53, 55, 70, 71)	0.89	0.75, 1.05		0
Overall		0.90	0.76, 1.06	0.21	0
Children receiving low compared with high dose zinc					
5–10 mg/d	(43, 48)	1.13	0.83, 1.53		0
Overall		1.13	0.83, 1.53	0.44	0

[1]NA, not applicable.

FIGURE 9 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum ferritin (μg/L) in children aged 0–90 d by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo.

FIGURE 12 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum ferritin (μg/L) in children aged >12 mo by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo.

placebo, but it failed to reach statistical significance (**Table 13**).

The quality of evidence for lactulose:mannitol molar ratio using GRADE ranged from very low to low (Supplemental File 3).

Serum iron concentration

Two studies assessed the effect of zinc on serum iron concentration (micrograms per deciliter). Both studies were conducted in children aged >2 y (51, 65). Pooling data from both studies revealed no significant effect on serum iron concentrations (**Figure 25**). Moradveisi et al. (51) provided a dose of 12.3 mg/d elemental zinc as zinc sulfate with iron (60 mg/d) or iron alone (60 mg/d) for a period <3 mo with no significant effect. Silva et al. (65) provided a dose of 10 mg/d elemental zinc as zinc sulfate or a placebo for >3 mo. There was a significant increase in serum iron following zinc supplementation (**Table 14**).

The quality of evidence for serum iron using GRADE was very low (Supplemental File 3).

Narrative description of physical and clinical outcomes
Vomiting, regurgitation, and nausea.

Thirteen studies (8, 27, 30, 36, 41, 44–46, 49, 50, 67, 69) reported on the effect of zinc supplementation on the

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 Zn < 5 mg/d									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.2.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d									
Berger 2006 [29] (Zn 10mg+Fe vs Fe)	52.3	39.5	161	58	43.7	166	7.3%	-5.70 [-14.72, 3.32]	
Berger 2006 [29] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	16.5	12.4	173	16.5	12.5	176	23.3%	0.00 [-2.61, 2.61]	
Dijkhuizen 2001 [39] (Zn 10mg 5d/wk + Fe vs Fe)	26.6	18.37	74	36.8	31.19	90	9.2%	-10.20 [-17.88, -2.52]	
Dijkhuizen 2001 [39] (Zn 10mg 5d/wk vs Pl)	12	11.3	97	14.3	12.74	87	20.1%	-2.30 [-5.80, 1.20]	
Fahmida 2007 [40] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	9.4	3.5	29	14.1	2.7	29	26.6%	-4.70 [-6.31, -3.09]	
Wasantwisut 2006 [71] (Fe+Zn10mg vs Fe)	50.7	50.2	67	69.6	50.6	65	2.4%	-18.90 [-36.10, -1.70]	
Wasantwisut 2006 [71] (Zn10mg vs Pl)	24.4	22.14	58	24.2	13.8	66	11.2%	0.20 [-6.40, 6.80]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			659			679	100.0%	-3.50 [-6.31, -0.69]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 7.03; Chi² = 16.91, df = 6 (P = 0.010); I² = 65%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.44 (P = 0.01)									
1.2.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.2.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.2.5 Zn Bolus									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
Total (95% CI)			659			679	100.0%	-3.50 [-6.31, -0.69]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 7.03; Chi² = 16.91, df = 6 (P = 0.010); I² = 65%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.44 (P = 0.01)									
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable									

-20 -10 0 10 20
Favours [control] Favours [zinc]

FIGURE 10 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum ferritin (μg/L) in children aged 91–180 d by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Pl, placebo.

occurrence of vomiting (8, 27, 30, 36, 41, 44–47, 49, 50, 67, 69).

Overall, 4 (30, 46, 67, 69) of the 13 studies found a higher incidence of vomiting following zinc supplementation, with single daily zinc doses ranging from 10 to 20 mg for infants aged <12 mo, and 20 to 30 mg/d for children aged ≥12 mo. Three studies were of short duration (10–14 d) in children with acute diarrhea (46, 67) or pneumonia (69), and 1 study (30) was of 4 mo duration for the prevention of diarrhea. In Chang et al. (36), zinc provided at the same time as iron resulted in a significant increase in the frequency of vomiting compared with placebo or other intervention groups provided with zinc alone or zinc with iron separately.

Five studies (8, 33, 46, 67, 69) reported on posttreatment regurgitation. Three studies found an increased frequency of regurgitation in children with acute diarrhea (46, 67) or pneumonia (69), who received single daily zinc doses ranging from 10 to 20 mg (infants <12 mo of age) or 20 to 30 mg/d (children aged ≥12 mo) for 10–14 d. One study (33) that administered weekly zinc doses of 21 mg to children aged 2–11 mo for 12 mo for the prevention of diarrhea and pneumonia, cited taste aversion sometimes leading to regurgitation as a potential reason for the higher number of withdrawals in the treatment group (n = 103 compared with n = 44 in the placebo group).

Two studies (44, 45) reported on the frequency of nausea without specifying its relation to timing of treatment. Neither study found an increase in reports of nausea with zinc supplementation.

Constipation and abdominal pain.
Four studies reported on the effect of zinc on constipation (44, 45, 49, 50); 1 found a significant difference between treatment groups (50).

Three trials (41, 44, 45) reported on the occurrence of abdominal pain without specifying its relation to timing of treatment. No significant differences between treatment groups were found.

Drowsiness.
Two studies (44, 45) reported on the effect of zinc on drowsiness; neither study found a significant increase in reports of drowsiness with zinc supplementation.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.3.1 Zn < 5 mg/d								
Brown 2007 [34] (Fe+MMN+Zn 3mg vs Fe+ MMN)	-20.9	28.7	67	-14.3	27.4	38	9.3%	-6.60 [-17.70, 4.50]
Brown 2007 [34] (Zn 3mg+Fe+MMN vs Fe+MMN)	-15.3	43.3	70	-14.3	27.4	38	8.4%	-1.00 [-14.37, 12.37]
Subtotal (95% CI)			137			76	17.6%	-4.32 [-12.86, 4.22]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.40, df = 1 (P = 0.53); I² = 0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.99 (P = 0.32)								
1.3.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d								
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	34	26.1	39	44.6	43	42	7.6%	-10.60 [-25.97, 4.77]
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs Zn 5mg)	34	26.1	39	47.7	43.3	37	7.3%	-13.70 [-29.88, 2.48]
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 5mg vs PI)	47.7	43.3	37	44.6	43	42	6.3%	3.10 [-15.97, 22.17]
Bhandari 2007 [31] (Zn 5-10mg+IFA vs IFA)	55	67.7	436	57.2	56.3	412	10.3%	-2.20 [-10.56, 6.16]
Caulfield 2013 [35] (Fe+Cu+Zn 10mg vs Fe+Cu)	20.3	36.9	85	26.4	53.7	85	8.2%	-6.10 [-19.95, 7.75]
Lind 2003 [47] (Fe+Zn 10mg vs Fe)	32.3	3.6	136	46.5	2	136	12.2%	-14.20 [-14.89, -13.51]
Lind 2003 [47] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	13.3	3.6	134	12.9	3.7	143	12.2%	0.40 [-0.46, 1.26]
Subtotal (95% CI)			906			897	64.0%	-6.27 [-14.95, 2.41]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 103.39; Chi² = 676.24, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); I² = 99%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.42 (P = 0.16)								
1.3.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d								
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.3.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d								
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.3.5 Zn Bolus								
Baqui 2005 [28] (Fe+Zn 20mg/wk vs Fe)	-13.7	24.7	41	-18	26.8	38	9.1%	4.30 [-7.09, 15.69]
Baqui 2005 [28] (Zn 20mg/wk vs PI)	-15.3	22.6	40	-21.7	27.7	37	9.2%	6.40 [-4.95, 17.75]
Subtotal (95% CI)			81			75	18.3%	5.35 [-2.68, 13.39]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.07, df = 1 (P = 0.80); I² = 0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.31 (P = 0.19)								
Total (95% CI)			1124			1048	100.0%	-3.73 [-10.64, 3.18]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 102.05; Chi² = 688.81, df = 10 (P < 0.00001); I² = 99%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.06 (P = 0.29)								
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 4.37, df = 2 (P = 0.11), I² = 54.2%								

FIGURE 11 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum ferritin (μg/L) in children aged >6 mo to 12 mo by zinc dose. IFA, iron plus folic acid; IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model, 95% CI; 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; PI, placebo.

Mouth irritation and taste aversion.
Two studies (44, 45) reported on the frequency of mouth irritation without specifying its relation to timing of treatment. Both studies used a syrup formulation with 15 mg/d elemental zinc as zinc sulfate in 5 mL syrup; neither study found an increase in mouth irritation with zinc supplementation. Three studies (33, 44, 45) reported on taste aversion to zinc syrup, provided as 21 mg/d elemental zinc acetate in 10 mL syrup (33) or 15 mg/d elemental zinc as zinc sulfate in 5 mL syrup (44, 45). Only Brooks et al. (33) reported taste aversion, sometimes leading to regurgitation, and this was highlighted as a potential reason for the higher number of withdrawals in the treatment group ($n = 103$ compared with $n = 44$ in the placebo group) (44, 45).

Diarrhea and dysentery.
Twenty-seven studies (8, 13, 18, 26, 27, 29–34, 36, 42–45, 49, 50, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 63, 67, 72, 75) reported on the effect of zinc on diarrhea, with the majority ($n = 23$) investigating

zinc supplementation for the prevention or treatment of diarrhea. Four studies (8, 44, 45, 49) suggested that zinc supplementation could have a potential adverse effect on diarrheal incidence (8, 44, 76). None of the included studies reported a significant increase in or worsening of diarrhea with zinc supplementation.

Three studies (36, 42, 55) reported on the effect of zinc on dysentery or bloody/mucoid diarrhea in children. None of the studies reported a worsening of the condition as a result of zinc supplementation given alone or in combination with iron. Two of these studies reported an improvement in the dysentery, 1 when zinc was provided alone (42) and 1 when zinc was provided at the same time as iron (36).

Case studies.
Two case studies were identified. Botash et al. (20) reported data from a 6-mo-old infant given a dose of 16–24 mg/d zinc prophylactically. Adverse effects were noted for hematological indices, including serum copper, ceruloplasmin, and serum iron. Sugiura et al. (21) reported on an 11-mo-old infant with atopic dermatitis who consumed 45 mg/d zinc,

FIGURE 13 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum/plasma copper (μg/dL) in children aged 91–180 d by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Pl, placebo.

FIGURE 14 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum/plasma copper (μg/dL) in children aged >6 mo to 12 mo by zinc dose. MMN, multiple micronutrients; IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval Pl, placebo.

TABLE 5 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum ferritin (μg/L), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

Group/subgroup	References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I[2]
Children receiving interventions for <3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(48)	− 3.00	−22.84, 16.84		NA
5–10 mg/d	(48)	− 3.79	−16.21, 8.63		0
10.1–20 mg/d	(51)	− 0.10	−3.85, 3.65		NA
Overall		− 0.49	−4.02, 3.04	0.79	0
Children receiving interventions for >3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19, 34)	− 1.85	−9.37, 5.67		0
5–10 mg/d	(12, 26, 29, 31, 35, 39, 40, 47, 70–72, 74, 75)	− 2.32	−5.18, −0.53		99
10.1–20 mg/d	(59)	− 3.23	−8.40, 1.94		0
Zn bolus	(18, 28)	3.09	−2.25, 8.43		0
Overall		− 1.80	−4.31, −0.72	0.16	98
Children receiving zinc through zinc gluconate by zinc dose					
Zn bolus[2]	(18)	1.30	−5.84, 8		NA
Overall		1.30	−5.84, 8	0.72	NA
Children receiving zinc through zinc sulfate by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(34, 48)	− 4.11	−11.95, 3.73		0
5–10 mg/d	(12, 26, 29, 35, 39, 40, 47, 48, 71, 72, 75)	− 2.50	−6.69, 1.70		99
10.1–20 mg/d	(51)	− 0.10	−3.85, 3.65		NA
Overall		− 2.48	−6.30, 1.34	0.20	99
Children receiving zinc through zinc acetate by zinc dose					
10.1–20 mg/d	(28)	5.35	−2.68, 13.39		0
Overall		5.35	−2.68, 13.39	0.19	0
Children receiving zinc through an unstated approach by zinc dose					
5–10 mg/d	(31, 70, 74)	− 0.93	−2.46, 0.61		78
Overall		− 0.93	−2.46, 0.61	0.24	78
Children receiving zinc through an "other" approach by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19)	6.65	−9.21, 22.51		NA
10.1–20 mg/d	(59)	− 3.23	−8.40, 1.94		0
Overall		− 2.28	−7.19, 2.63	0.36	0
Children receiving zinc vs. placebo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(19)	6.65	−9.21, 22.51		NA
5–10 mg/d	(12, 29, 39, 40, 47, 70–72, 74, 75)	− 0.56	−2.35, 1.23		90
10.1–20 mg/d	(59)	− 3.20	−9.33, 2.93		NA
Zn bolus[2]	(18, 28)	2.75	−3.30, 8.79		0
Overall		− 0.41	−2.08, 1.25	0.63	86
Children receiving low- compared with high-dose zinc					
5–10 mg/d	(48, 74)	− 8.10	−18.98, 2.78		45
Overall		− 8.10	−18.98, 2.78	0.14	45

[1]NA, not applicable.
[2]Bolus doses given as follows: Baqui et al. (28) 20 mg/d once weekly; Bates et al. (18) 70 mg/d twice weekly.

and recorded adverse effects on serum copper, ceruloplasmin, and hemoglobin.

Discussion

The setting of ULs for zinc has previously been considered by international panels, including those convened by FAO-WHO (2004) (1), the IOM (77), IZiNCG (7), and the European Food Safety Authority (3). An early step in this process is to collate data from published literature that enable the relation between zinc intake and adverse effects on key outcomes to be described. To date, however, this has been hindered by a lack of data in the 0–3-y age range. Our search identified 62 studies that assessed possible adverse effects of zinc intake in children aged 0–3 y with zinc doses ranging from 3 to 70 mg/d. In most studies doses were <20 mg/d.

Data from 39 studies allowed meta-analyses of outcome measures of interest, as identified by the FAO-WHO expert group. Meta-analyses revealed that zinc supplementation had a significant adverse effect on serum ferritin, plasma/serum copper concentration, sTfR, hemoglobin, hematocrit, and the odds of anemia in ≥1 of the subgroups of pooled data. A significant reduction of the lactulose:mannitol ratio was found, indicating improved gut permeability. No significant effects of zinc supplementation on CRP, eSOD, ZPP, blood cholesterol, or iron deficiency anemia were observed in any of the pooled datasets.

Our analyses revealed a significant reduction in serum copper concentration following zinc supplementation in children aged >6 to 12 mo (Figure 14) and >12 mo (Figure 15). Mean reductions were 3.17 and 5.25 μg/dL,

TABLE 6 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum/plasma copper concentration (μg/dL), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

Group/subgroup	References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I[2]
Children receiving interventions for <3 mo by zinc dose					
10.1–20 mg/d	(32)	− 16.50	−24.09, −8.91		NA
>20 mg/d	(67)	0.02	−0.41, 0.45		42
Overall		− 0.50	−1.90, 0.90	0.48	90
Children receiving interventions for >3 mo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(8, 17, 34)	− 4.62	−15.01, 5.78		50
5–10 mg/d	(13, 35, 47, 62, 72)	− 1.50	−5.10, 2.11		0
10.1–20 mg/d	(30)	− 15.50	−18.21, −12.79		NA
Zn bolus[2]	(28, 33)	− 1.03	−4.08, 2.01		0
Overall		− 4	−8.82, 0.82	0.10	82
Children receiving zinc through zinc gluconate by zinc dose					
5–10 mg/d	(62)	− 5.20	−20.16, 9.76		NA
10.1–20 mg/d	(30)	− 15.50	−18.21, −12.79		NA
Overall		− 13.08	−21.64, −4.53	0.003	43
Children receiving zinc through zinc sulfate by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(34)	− 10.25	−20.18, −0.33		0
5–10 mg/d	(8, 13, 35, 47, 72)	− 0.63	−4.26, 2.99		0
10.1–20 mg/d	(32)	− 16.50	−24.09, −8.91		NA
Overall		− 4.09	−9.31, 1.12	0.12	61
Children receiving zinc through zinc acetate by zinc dose					
Zn bolus[2]	(28,33)	− 1.03	−4.08, 2.01		0
Overall		− 1.03	−4.08, 2.01	0.51	0
Children receiving zinc vs. placebo by zinc dose					
<5 mg/d	(17)	− 7.52	−21.69, 6.65		NA
5–10 mg/d	(13, 47, 72)	− 1.41	−6.76, 3.93		0
10.1–20 mg/d	(30, 32)	− 15.61	−18.17, −13.06		0
>20 mg/d	(67)	0.02	−0.41, 0.45		42
Zn bolus[2]	(28, 33)	− 0.21	−3.52, 3.09		0
Overall		− 4.11	−6.48, −1.74	<0.001	94

[1] NA, not applicable.
[2] Bolus doses given as follows: Brooks et al. (33) 21/d mg given weekly; Baqui et al. (28) 20 mg/d once weekly; Bates et al. (18) 70 mg/d twice weekly.

TABLE 7 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on iron deficiency (OR), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I[2]
By treatment duration	0–3 mo	(73)	0.58	0.29, 1.18		NA
	>3 mo	(12, 29, 31, 34, 47, 53, 59, 70, 71)	1.02	0.77, 1.24		29
	Overall		0.98	0.77, 1.24	0.87	32
By chemical formula	Zn gluconate	(73)	0.58	0.29, 1.18		NA
	Zn sulfate	(12, 29, 34, 47, 71)	1.14	0.82, 1.60		34
	Not stated	(31, 53, 70)	1.04	0.80, 1.34		0
	Other	(59)	0.97	0.37, 2.53		NA
	Overall		1.01	0.83, 1.23	0.89	12
Zinc vs. placebo		(12, 29, 53, 59, 70, 71)	1.04	0.79, 1.37	0.79	13

[1] NA, not applicable.

Zinc | Control | Mean Difference | Mean Difference

Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 Zn < 5 mg/d									
Hambidge 1979 [17] (Zn 3.75mg vs PI))	-13.7	29.9	30	-6.18	25.1	28	3.6%	-7.52 [-21.69, 6.65]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			30			28	3.6%	-7.52 [-21.69, 6.65]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.04 (P = 0.30)									
1.4.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d									
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn10mg vs PI)	-13	24.3	53	-14.4	23.2	49	7.2%	1.40 [-7.82, 10.62]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			53			49	7.2%	1.40 [-7.82, 10.62]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.30 (P = 0.77)									
1.4.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d									
Bhandari 2002 [30] (Zn 10-20mg vs PI)	140.4	36.5	1210	155.9	31.6	1221	22.8%	-15.50 [-18.21, -12.79]	
Bhutta 1999 [32] (Zn 18mg+MMN vs PI+MMN)	56.2	17.8	43	72.7	18.3	44	9.5%	-16.50 [-24.09, -8.91]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			1253			1265	32.3%	-15.61 [-18.17, -13.06]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.06, df = 1 (P = 0.81); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 11.97 (P < 0.00001)									
1.4.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d									
Strand 2002 [67] (Zn15-30mg OA vs PI)	-1.14	2.9	445	-0.95	2.7	225	28.5%	-0.19 [-0.63, 0.25]	
Strand 2002 [67] (Zn15-30mg vs PI)	-0.7	3.55	442	-0.95	2.7	225	28.5%	0.25 [-0.23, 0.73]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			887			450	57.0%	0.02 [-0.41, 0.45]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 1.73, df = 1 (P = 0.19); I² = 42%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.09 (P = 0.93)									
1.4.5 Zn Bolus									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
Total (95% CI)			2223			1792	100.0%	-5.25 [-8.11, -2.39]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 7.40; Chi² = 144.13, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); I² = 97%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.60 (P = 0.0003)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 140.82, df = 3 (P < 0.00001), I² = 97.9%									

-20 -10 0 10 20
Favours [control]   Favours [zinc]

FIGURE 15 Effect of zinc supplementation on serum/plasma copper (μg/dL) in children aged >12 mo by zinc dose. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval ; MMN, multiple micronutrients; OA, open arm; PI, placebo.

TABLE 8 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on iron deficiency anemia (OR), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	>3 mo	(29, 34, 39, 47, 71, 74)	1.01	0.73, 1.39	0.97	20
By chemical formula	Zn sulfate	(29, 34, 39, 47, 71)	0.99	0.68, 1.44		34
	Not stated	(74)	1.46	0.42, 5.06		0
	Overall		1.01	0.73, 1.39	0.97	20
Zinc vs. placebo		(29, 39, 71, 74)	0.98	0.67, 1.45	0.93	20
High-dose vs. low-dose		(74)	4.93	0.56, 43.27	0.15	NA

[1] NA, not applicable.

TABLE 9 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum/soluble transferrin receptor (mg/L), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	>3 mo	(12, 28, 36, 47, 74)	0.19	0.08, 0.29	<0.001	89
By chemical formula	Zn sulfate	(12, 47)	0.18	0.07, 0.29		97
	Zn acetate	(28)	0.44	−1.08, 1.96		63
	Not stated	(36, 74)	0.26	−0.13, 0.65		0
	Overall		0.19	−0.08, 0.29	<0.001	89
Zinc vs. placebo and (ii) high-dose vs. low-dose zinc		(12, 28, 36, 47, 74)	0.43	−0.16, 0.93	0.08	76
High-dose vs. low-dose		(74)	− 0.10	−1.19, 0.99	0.86	NA

[1] NA, not applicable.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Events	Total	Control Events	Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 0–90 days						
Carter 2018 [12] (Zn 5-10mg + MMN vs MMN)	11	144	7	147	3.7%	1.65 [0.62, 4.39]
Carter 2018 [12] (Zn 5-10mg vs PI)	23	151	13	151	6.4%	1.91 [0.93, 3.92]
Subtotal (95% CI)		295		298	10.1%	1.81 [1.02, 3.24]
Total events	34		20			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.05, df = 1 (P = 0.82); I² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.01 (P = 0.04)						
1.1.2 91–180 days						
Berger 2006 [29] (Zn 10mg+Fe vs Fe)	2	184	2	195	1.0%	1.06 [0.15, 7.61]
Berger 2006 [29] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	56	173	59	176	13.8%	0.95 [0.61, 1.48]
Wasantwisut 2006 [71] (Fe + Zn 10mg vs Fe)	2	67	0	65	0.4%	5.00 [0.24, 106.17]
Wasantwisut 2006 [71] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	9	58	4	66	2.4%	2.85 [0.83, 9.80]
Subtotal (95% CI)		482		502	17.5%	1.27 [0.69, 2.36]
Total events	69		65			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.09; Chi² = 3.65, df = 3 (P = 0.30); I² = 18%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.77 (P = 0.44)						
1.1.4 >6-12 months						
Bhandari 2007 [31] (Zn 5-10mg +IFA vs IFA)	130	436	112	412	23.1%	1.14 [0.84, 1.53]
Brown 2007 [34] (Fe+MMN+Zn 3mg vs Fe+MMN)	38	67	28	38	4.6%	0.47 [0.20, 1.12]
Brown 2007 [34] (Zn 3mg+Fe+MMN vs Fe+MMN)	47	70	28	38	4.5%	0.73 [0.30, 1.76]
Lind 2003 [47] (Fe + Zn 10mg vs Fe)	14	136	7	136	4.0%	2.11 [0.83, 5.42]
Lind 2003 [47] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	47	134	54	143	12.0%	0.89 [0.55, 1.45]
Olney 2006 [53] (Fe/FA + Zn 5-10mg vs Fe/FA)	32	42	33	43	3.5%	0.97 [0.36, 2.64]
Olney 2006 [53] (Zn 5-10mg vs PI)	26	35	35	43	3.1%	0.66 [0.22, 1.94]
Zlotkin 2003 [73] (Zn 10mg+Fe+VitC vs Fe+VitC)	17	110	22	92	6.6%	0.58 [0.29, 1.18]
Subtotal (95% CI)		1030		945	61.3%	0.90 [0.68, 1.19]
Total events	351		319			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 9.45, df = 7 (P = 0.22); I² = 26%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.76 (P = 0.45)						
1.1.5 >12 months						
Rosado 1997 [59] (Fe+Zn 20mg vs Fe)	0	47	0	46		Not estimable
Rosado 1997 [59] (Zn 20mg vs PI)	11	47	11	46	3.8%	0.97 [0.37, 2.53]
Veenemans 2011 [70] (MMN + Zn 10mg vs MMN)	0	148	1	151	0.4%	0.34 [0.01, 8.36]
Veenemans 2011 [70] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	17	149	20	150	6.9%	0.84 [0.42, 1.67]
Subtotal (95% CI)		391		393	11.1%	0.86 [0.49, 1.49]
Total events	28		32			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.39, df = 2 (P = 0.82); I² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.55 (P = 0.58)						
Total (95% CI)		2198		2138	100.0%	1.01 [0.83, 1.23]
Total events	482		436			
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.02; Chi² = 18.25, df = 16 (P = 0.31); I² = 12%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.14 (P = 0.89)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 5.47, df = 3 (P = 0.14), I² = 45.2%						

Favours [Zinc] Favours [control] (0.01 0.1 1 10 100)

FIGURE 16 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on iron deficiency (OR) by age group. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d except for Rosado et al. (59) for which doses were given 6 d/wk. FA, folic acid; IFA, iron plus folic acid; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; PI, placebo; Vit C, vitamin C.

TABLE 10 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on hematocrit (proportion), analyzed by duration of intervention, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	0–3 mo	(51)	−0.01	−0.04, 0.02		NA
	>3 mo	(8, 19, 31, 62, 65)	0.00	−0.01, 0.01		28
	Overall		−0.00	−0.01, 0.01	0.97	17
By chemical formula	Zn gluconate	(62)	0.02	0.00, 0.03		NA
	Zn sulfate	(8, 51, 65)	0.00	−0.01, 0.01		0
	Not stated	(31)	−0.00	−0.00, 0.00		NA
	Other	(19)	−0.01	−0.02, 0.01		NA
	Overall		−0.00	−0.01, 0.01	0.97	17
Zinc vs. placebo		(19, 65)	−0.00	−0.01, 0.01	0.51	0

[1]NA, not applicable.

|	Zinc		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 0-90 days							
Subtotal (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable	
Total events	0		0				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
1.1.2 91-180 days							
Berger 2006 [29] (Zn 10mg + Fe vs Fe)	0	184	12	195	1.3%	0.04 [0.00, 0.68]	
Berger 2006 [29] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	24	173	29	176	18.0%	0.82 [0.45, 1.47]	
Dijkhuizen 2001 [39] (Zn 10mg 5d/wk + Fe vs Fe)	6	74	3	90	4.7%	2.56 [0.62, 10.60]	
Dijkhuizen 2001 [39] (Zn 10mg 5d/wk vs Pl)	34	97	26	87	16.8%	1.27 [0.68, 2.35]	
Wasantwisut 2006 [71] (Fe + Zn 10mg vs Fe)	1	67	0	65	1.0%	2.95 [0.12, 73.86]	
Wasantwisut 2006 [71] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	9	58	4	66	6.0%	2.85 [0.83, 9.80]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		653		679	47.8%	1.23 [0.61, 2.47]	
Total events	74		74				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.34; Chi² = 10.94, df = 5 (P = 0.05); I² = 54%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.57 (P = 0.57)							
1.1.4 >6-12 months							
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	3	38	2	40	2.9%	1.63 [0.26, 10.33]	
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs Zn 5mg)	3	38	1	35	1.9%	2.91 [0.29, 29.41]	
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 5mg vs Pl)	1	35	2	40	1.7%	0.56 [0.05, 6.44]	
Brown 2007 [34] (Fe+MMN+Zn 3mg vs Fe+MMN)	32	67	21	38	12.0%	0.74 [0.33, 1.65]	
Brown 2007 [34] (Zn 3mg+Fe+MMN vs Fe+MMN)	35	70	21	38	12.2%	0.81 [0.37, 1.79]	
Lind 2003 [47] (Fe + Zn 10mg vs Fe)	5	136	3	136	4.5%	1.69 [0.40, 7.22]	
Lind 2003 [47] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	21	134	30	143	17.0%	0.70 [0.38, 1.30]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		518		470	52.2%	0.83 [0.57, 1.22]	
Total events	100		80				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.05, df = 6 (P = 0.80); I² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.93 (P = 0.35)							
1.1.5 >12 months							
Subtotal (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable	
Total events	0		0				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							
Total (95% CI)		1171		1149	100.0%	1.01 [0.73, 1.39]	
Total events	174		154				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.06; Chi² = 14.93, df = 12 (P = 0.25); I² = 20%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.03 (P = 0.97)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.90, df = 1 (P = 0.34), I² = 0%							

Favours [Zinc] Favours [control]

FIGURE 17 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on iron deficiency anemia (OR) by age group. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo.

TABLE 11 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on elevated C-reactive protein (OR), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	>3 mo	(36, 43, 70)	1.19	0.91, 1.55	0.21	0
By chemical formula	Not stated	(36, 43, 70)	1.19	0.91, 1.55	0.21	0
Zinc vs. placebo		(36, 43)	1.05	0.74, 1.48	0.79	0
High-dose vs. low -dose		(43)	0.73	0.37, 1.44	0.36	NA

[1] NA, not applicable.

TABLE 12 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum total cholesterol (mg/dL), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	>3 mo	(8, 17, 72)	− 0.79	−6.24, 4.66	0.78	0
By chemical formula						
Zn sulfate		(8, 72)	− 1.73	−7.70, 4.23		0
	Other	(17)	4.00	−9.45, 17.45		NA
	Overall		0.79	−6.24, 4.66	0.78	0
Zinc vs. placebo		(17, 72)	− 1.07	−6.82, 4.69	0.72	0

[1] NA, not applicable.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 0-90 days								
Carter 2018 [12] (Zn 5-10mg + MMN vs MMN)	1.8	0.08	144	1.7	0.08	144	38.0%	0.10 [0.08, 0.12]
Carter 2018 [12] (Zn 5-10mg vs Pl)	1.8	0.08	151	1.8	0.08	151	38.0%	0.00 [-0.02, 0.02]
Subtotal (95% CI)			295			295	76.1%	0.05 [-0.05, 0.15]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 57.58, df = 1 (P < 0.00001); I² = 98%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.00 (P = 0.32)								
1.1.2 91-180 days								
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.1.3 >6-12 months								
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	9	3.4	40	8.8	3.5	42	0.5%	0.20 [-1.29, 1.69]
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs Zn 5mg)	9	3.4	40	9.1	3.5	38	0.5%	-0.10 [-1.63, 1.43]
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 5mg vs Pl)	9.1	3.5	38	8.8	3.5	42	0.5%	0.30 [-1.24, 1.84]
Baqui 2005 [28] (Fe + Zn 20mg vs Fe)	-2.3	3.05	41	-1.97	2.97	42	0.7%	-0.33 [-1.63, 0.97]
Baqui 2005 [28] (Zn 20mg vs Pl)	-0.47	2.71	42	-1.69	3.22	38	0.7%	1.22 [-0.09, 2.53]
Chang 2010 [36] (Zn 5-10mg + Fe/FA vs Fe/FA)	5.1	2.3	91	4.7	1.8	46	2.2%	0.40 [-0.30, 1.10]
Chang 2010 [36] (Zn 5-10mg Fe/FA singly vs Fe/FA)	4.8	2	86	4.7	1.8	46	2.4%	0.10 [-0.57, 0.77]
Chang 2010 [36] (Zn 5-10mg vs Pl)	6.5	3.5	85	6	3.1	89	1.1%	0.50 [-0.48, 1.48]
Lind 2003 [47] (Fe + Zn 10mg vs Fe)	7.56	1.36	136	6.71	1.33	136	8.6%	0.85 [0.53, 1.17]
Lind 2003 [47] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	9.78	1.42	134	9.02	1.73	143	6.8%	0.76 [0.39, 1.13]
Subtotal (95% CI)			733			662	23.9%	0.63 [0.42, 0.85]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 9.39, df = 9 (P = 0.40); I² = 4%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.79 (P < 0.00001)								
1.1.4 >12 months								
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
Total (95% CI)			1028			957	100.0%	0.19 [0.08, 0.29]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 100.99, df = 11 (P < 0.00001); I² = 89%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.44 (P = 0.0006)								
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 23.46, df = 1 (P < 0.00001), I² = 95.7%								

Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI — scale: -2, -1, 0, 1, 2. Favours [zinc] — Favours [control]

FIGURE 18 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum/soluble transferrin receptor (mg/L) by age group. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d except for Baqui et al. (28) for which dose was 20 mg/d once weekly, and Chang et al. (36) for which dose was given in alternate days. FA, folic acid; IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; Pl, placebo.

TABLE 13 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on lactulose:mannitol molar ratio, analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	0–3 mo	(60)	− 0.08	−0.15, −0.01		NA
	>3 mo	(18)	− 0.10	−0.27, 0.07		NA
	Overall		− 0.08	−0.14, −0.02	<0.001	0
By chemical formula	Zn gluconate	(18)	− 0.10	−0.27, 0.07		NA
	Zn acetate	(60)	− 0.08	−0.15, −0.01		NA
	Overall		− 0.08	−0.14, −0.12	<0.001	0
Zinc vs. placebo		(18, 60)	− 0.08	−0.14, 0.02	0.009	0

[1]NA, not applicable.

TABLE 14 Summary of forest plot analyses of the effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum iron concentration (μg/dL), analyzed by duration, chemical formula, and comparator group[1]

|		References	Mean difference	95% CI	P	I²
By treatment duration	0–3 mo	(51)	− 0.12	−11.16, 10.92		NA
	>3 mo	(65)	12.50	10.37, 14.63		NA
	Overall		7.40	−4.74, 19.54	0.23	79
By chemical formula	Zn sulfate	(51, 65)	7.40	−4.74, 19.54	0.23	79
Zinc vs. placebo		(65)	12.50	10.37, 14.63	<0.001	NA

[1]NA, not applicable.

FIGURE 19 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on hematocrit (proportion) by age group. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d. IFA, iron folic acid; IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; PI, placebo.


FIGURE 20 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on raised C-reactive protein by age group. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d except for Chang et al. (36) for which dose was given in alternate days. FA, folic acid; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel test; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMN, multiple micronutrients; PI, placebo.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 Zn < 5 mg/d			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.4.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d								
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn10mg vs PI)	0.21	0.71	53	-0.04	0.55	49	48.7%	0.25 [0.00, 0.50]
Subtotal (95% CI)			53			49	48.7%	0.25 [0.00, 0.50]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.00 (P = 0.05)								
1.4.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.4.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.4.5 Zn Bolus								
Bates 1993 [18] (Zn 140mg/wk vs PI)	3.29	0.58	53	3.25	0.66	53	51.3%	0.04 [-0.20, 0.28]
Subtotal (95% CI)			53			53	51.3%	0.04 [-0.20, 0.28]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.33 (P = 0.74)								
Total (95% CI)			106			102	100.0%	0.14 [-0.06, 0.35]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 1.46, df = 1 (P = 0.23); I² = 31%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.36 (P = 0.18)
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 1.46, df = 1 (P = 0.23), I² = 31.4%

Favours [control] Favours [zinc]

FIGURE 21 Effect of zinc supplementation on erythrocyte superoxide dismutase (IU/mg Hb) in children aged >12 mo by zinc dose. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d. IV inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; PI, placebo.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.3.1 Zn < 5 mg/d			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.3.2 Zn 5-10 mg/d								
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs PI)	151	61	40	144	73	41	22.6%	7.00 [-22.27, 36.27]
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 10mg vs Zn 5mg)	151	61	40	151	68	37	22.8%	0.00 [-28.94, 28.94]
Abbeddou 2017 [74] (Zn 5mg vs PI)	151	68	37	144	73	41	21.4%	7.00 [-24.29, 38.29]
Olney 2006 [53] (Fe/FA + Zn 5-10mg vs Fe/FA)	-21.5	101.1	42	-28.3	81.7	43	17.3%	6.80 [-32.33, 45.93]
Olney 2006 [53] (Zn 5-10mg vs PI)	-49.8	113	35	13.7	67	43	15.9%	-63.50 [-105.96, -21.04]
Subtotal (95% CI)			194			205	100.0%	-5.81 [-28.23, 16.61]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 356.26; Chi² = 8.92, df = 4 (P = 0.06); I² = 55%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.51 (P = 0.61)

1.3.3 Zn 10.1-20 mg/d			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.3.4 Zn >20.0 mg/d			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
1.3.5 Zn Bolus			0			0		Not estimable
Subtotal (95% CI)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Not applicable								
Total (95% CI)			194			205	100.0%	-5.81 [-28.23, 16.61]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 356.26; Chi² = 8.92, df = 4 (P = 0.06); I² = 55%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.51 (P = 0.61)
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Favours [zinc] Favours [control]

FIGURE 22 Effect of zinc supplementation on zinc protoporphyrin (μmol/mol heme) in children >6 mo to 12 mo by zinc dose. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d. FA, folic acid; IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; PI, placebo.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 0-90 days									
Walravens 1976 [8] (Zn ~4mg+Fe vs Fe)	127.2	30.9	34	125.6	40.2	34	10.2%	1.60 [-15.44, 18.64]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			34			34	10.2%	1.60 [-15.44, 18.64]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.18 (P = 0.85)									
1.1.2 91-180 days									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.1.3 >6-12 months									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.1.4 >12 months									
Hambidge 1979 [17] (Zn 3.75mg vs Pl)	13	26	33	9	25.8	25	16.4%	4.00 [-9.45, 17.45]	
Wuehler 2008 [72] (Zn 10mg vs Pl)	3.4	16.9	53	5.6	15.9	49	73.3%	-2.20 [-8.57, 4.17]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			86			74	89.8%	-1.07 [-6.82, 4.69]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.67, df = 1 (P = 0.41); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.36 (P = 0.72)									
Total (95% CI)			120			108	100.0%	-0.79 [-6.24, 4.66]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.75, df = 2 (P = 0.69); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.29 (P = 0.78)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.08, df = 1 (P = 0.77), I² = 0%									

Favours [zinc] Favours [control]

FIGURE 23 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum total cholesterol (mg/dL) by duration of treatment. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d except for Hambidge et al. (17) for which doses were given 6 days per week. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Pl, placebo.

Study or Subgroup	Zinc Mean	SD	Total	Control Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 0-90 days									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.1.2 91-180 days									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.1.3 >6-12 months									
Subtotal (95% CI)			0			0		Not estimable	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
1.1.4 >12 months									
Bates 1993 [18] (Zn 140mg vs Pl)	0.44	0.37	44	0.54	0.41	39	13.4%	-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]	
Ryan 2014 [60] (Zn 20mg vs Pl)	0.35	0.17	72	0.43	0.24	77	86.6%	-0.08 [-0.15, -0.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			116			116	100.0%	-0.08 [-0.14, -0.02]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.05, df = 1 (P = 0.83); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.62 (P = 0.009)									
Total (95% CI)			116			116	100.0%	-0.08 [-0.14, -0.02]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.05, df = 1 (P = 0.83); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.62 (P = 0.009)									
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable									

Favours [zinc] Favours [control]

FIGURE 24 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on lactulose:mannitol ratio by age group. Note: Zinc exposure corresponds to mg/d except for Bates et al. (18) for which doses of 70 mg/d were given twice weekly. IV, inverse variance; Pl, placebo.

FIGURE 25 Effect of zinc supplementation in infants and children aged ≤3 y on serum iron concentration (μg/dL) by age group. IV, inverse variance; Random, random effect model, 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; PI, placebo.

respectively. Despite this decrease, the mean serum copper concentration reported in each of the studies remained within the reference range postintervention [children <1 y: 71.16–168.11 μg/dL (78); 0.5–2 y: 72–178 μg/dL (79); and 3–4 y: 80–160 μg/dL (79)], with the exception of 1 study where children were recovering from diarrhea and had low baseline serum copper concentrations (32). This example raises the question about the point at which a change in biochemical outcome measure becomes clinically important, such that the zinc dose that resulted in the change would be considered to pose a risk to health. This can be further explored using dose–response modeling to determine the zinc intake required to result in a clinically significant change in either serum copper concentration or serum ferritin concentration, including the contribution from background dietary zinc intake, in children of various age categories (80). Identifying the threshold value that corresponds to this clinically significant change is also a crucial part of this risk assessment process.

A competitive interaction between zinc and iron during intestinal absorption has been long debated (81), and it has been proposed that high zinc intakes could induce a secondary iron deficiency. In addition to serum ferritin, outcome measures relating to iron status that were included in our meta-analyses included hemoglobin, hematocrit, iron deficiency (measured by plasma ferritin concentration <12 μg/L), iron deficiency anemia (measured by hemoglobin <11 g/dL and plasma ferritin <12 μg/L), serum iron concentration, and sTfR. Meta-analysis of pooled data revealed that hemoglobin concentration was significantly reduced in children aged 91–180 d following zinc doses of 5–10 mg/d (Figure 6), but there was no significant

effect on mean values from data pooled by age in the younger or older age categories. Hematocrit and serum iron concentration data were sparse, but analysis of pooled data provided no evidence for a significant effect of zinc supplementation on these outcome measures. Similarly, analysis of the pooled data from studies reporting the risk of iron deficiency anemia and anemia did not reveal any significant effect of zinc supplementation on the OR in any of the age categories for which there were data (Figures 6–8; Table 7). However, combining data from studies with a short duration (<3 mo) did reveal a significant increase in the OR for anemia indicating a possible short-term effect (Table 4).

Concentrations of sTfR are high when iron deficiency is present, and in situations of increased erythropoietic activity (82). Most of the studies included in the meta-analysis were conducted in children aged 6–12 mo. Analysis of the pooled data indicated a highly significant, detrimental increase of sTfR concentrations. In some studies, children in the intervention but also in the comparison groups (36, 47, 74) had concentrations of sTfR above the reference values for healthy children proposed by some studies (82–84). However, there is a lack of standardization of the methods used to determine sTfR, which limits the comparability of the results and our understanding of the severity of the effect of zinc on sTfR concentrations (82).

The urinary lactulose:mannitol ratio is a biomarker for environmental enteropathy, and its reduction indicates a fall in the gut permeability, which is a desirable outcome from zinc supplementation. Meta-analysis of the data from the 2 studies that reported this outcome showed a statistically significant reduction of the lactulose:mannitol ratio following

zinc supplementation in children aged >12 mo. This concurs with previous systematic reviews that have investigated the effectiveness of zinc supplementation as a treatment for diarrhea in children (85).

Doses of zinc ranging from 10 to 20 mg/d in infants aged <12 mo, and from 20 to 30 mg/d for children aged ≥12 mo, increased the risk of vomiting (30, 46, 67, 69) and regurgitation (46, 67, 69) in some studies. From the studies reporting on taste aversion, only 1 study (33), reported it as leading to regurgitation and authors highlighted it as a potential reason for withdrawals among the children in the zinc arm. The studies reporting on nausea, constipation, abdominal pain, mouth irritation, or dysentery did not find an increased incidence of these adverse effects as a result of the zinc doses provided.

Overall, the certainty of the evidence, as assessed using GRADE, was very low to moderate. Factors influencing the downgrading of the evidence included the presence of underlying morbidities and the inclusion of older children in some studies, imprecision in effect estimates due to low numbers and/or heterogeneity, and risk of bias due to randomization processes. Given these limitations in the certainty of the evidence, it is possible that data from further trials could alter the effect estimates summarized here.

Strengths and limitations

Studies that have collected data on the potential adverse effects of zinc intake in children aged 0–3 y are scarce. As a consequence, it was not possible to conduct meta-analyses by age category, dose, dose duration, and chemical formula of zinc for all the outcomes explored. Additionally, most studies included data from children with relatively low exposures to zinc where adverse effects at such ranges might not be expected. Therefore, it was not possible to identify dose ranges in which zinc might be detrimental for most individuals in the target age group. Nonetheless, data from this review can be used by expert groups to conduct dose–response modeling to establish the tolerable upper intake levels of zinc in children aged 0–3 y.

Conclusion

Although zinc supplementation at doses of 3 to 20 mg/d had an adverse effect on concentrations of serum/plasma copper, ferritin, hemoglobin, and sTfR in children aged 0–3 y, the change observed might not have a detrimental effect on healthy populations. However, recommended maximum zinc doses might need to be adjusted for children at risk or recovering from iron or copper deficiency. Data from this review can be used to undertake dose–response modeling to estimate tolerable upper intake levels of zinc in children aged 0–3 y.

Acknowledgments

Data Availability

References

1. World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Vitamin and mineral requirements in human nutrition, 2nd ed [Internet]. Geneva, Siwtzerland: World Health Organization; 2004 [cited August 30, 2022]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42716/9241546123.pdf;jsessionid=A16E0CB2D96C7034FF573A649CC46D7B?sequence=1

2. FAO/WHO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the UN/World Health Organization) Expert Consultation. Application of risk analysis to food standards issues. Recommendations to the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Alinorm 95/9, Appendix 5). Geneva: WHO; 1995.

3. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Tolerable upper intake level on vitamins and minerals [Internet]. 2006 [cited August 30, 2022]. Available from: https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/efsa_rep/blobserver_assets/ndatolerableuil.pdf

4. King JC, Shames DM, Woodhouse LR. Zinc homeostasis in humans. J Nutr 2000;130(5S Suppl):1360S–6S.

5. Fosmire GJ. Zinc toxicity. Am J Clin Nutr 1990;51(2):225–27.

6. Institute of Medicine (US) Panel on Micronutrients. Dietary reference intakes for vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2001.

7. Brown KH, Rivera JA, Bhutta Z, Gibson RS, King JC, Lönnerdal B, et al. Assessment of the risk of zinc deficiency in populations and options for its control. Food Nutr Bull 2004;25(1 Suppl 2):S94–204.

8. Walravens PA, Hambidge KM. Growth of infants fed a zinc supplemented formula. Am J Clin Nutr 1976;29(10):1114–21.

9. World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAO/WHO nutrient requirements for children aged 0–36 months [Internet]. 2020 [cited August 30, 2022]. Available from: https://www.who.int/groups/fao-who-nutrient-requirements-for-children-aged-0-36-months

10. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71.

11. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev 2016;5(1):210.

12. Carter RC, Kupka R, Manji K, McDonald CM, Aboud S, Erhardt JG, et al. Zinc and multivitamin supplementation have contrasting effects

on infant iron status: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Eur J Clin Nutr 2018;72(1):130–5.

13. Radhakrishna KV, Hemalatha R, Geddam JJB, Kumar PA, Balakrishna N, Shatrugna V. Effectiveness of zinc supplementation to full term normal infants: a community based double blind, randomized, controlled, clinical trial. PLoS One 2013;8(5):e61486.

14. Rios-Leyvraz M, Yao Q. Breast milk intake and content in calcium, vitamin D and zinc. Meeting of FAO-WHO expert group on nutrient requirements. 2021.

15. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al., editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022) [Internet]. Cochrane; 2022 [cited August 30, 2022]. Available from: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook

16. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ[Internet]. 2019;366:l4898.

17. Hambidge KM, Chavez MN, Brown RM, Walravens PA. Zinc nutritional status of young middle-income children and effects of consuming zinc-fortified breakfast cereals. Am J Clin Nutr 1979;32(12):2532–9.

18. Bates CJ, Bates PH, Dardenne M, Prentice A, Lunn PG, Northrop-Clewes CA, et al. A trial of zinc supplementation in young rural Gambian children. Br J Nutr 1993;69(1):243–55.

19. Mendoza NJ, Del Y, Peña CB, Papalé-Centofanti JF, Torres-Villanueva M, Castro M. Anthropometric and biochemical nutritional status, parasitic infestation, social stratification. The effects of zinc supplementation in children of Venezuelan public kindergartens. Rev Esp Nutr Comunitaria 2016;22(2).

20. Botash AS, Nasca J, Dubowy R, Weinberger HL, Oliphant M. Zinc-induced copper deficiency in an infant. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1992;146(6):709.

21. Sugiura T, Goto K, Ito K, Ueta A, Fujimoto S, Togari H. Chronic zinc toxicity in an infant who received zinc therapy for atopic dermatitis. Acta Paediatr 2005;94(9):1333–5.

22. Joanna Briggs Institute. Critical appraisal tools [Internet]. 2020 [cited March 5, 2021]. Available from: https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools

23. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schünemann HJ, Tugwell P, Knottnerus A. GRADE guidelines: a new series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64(4):380–2.

24. Schünemann HJ, Cuello C, Akl EA, Mustafa RA, Meerpohl JJ, Thayer K, et al. GRADE guidelines: 18. How ROBINS-I and other tools to assess risk of bias in nonrandomized studies should be used to rate the certainty of a body of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2019;111:105–14.

25. Ryan R, Hill S. How to GRADE the quality of the evidence [Internet]. Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group; 2016 [cited September 07, 2022]. Available from: https://opal.latrobe.edu.au/articles/journal_contribution/How_to_GRADE/6818894

26. Alarcon K, Kolsteren PW, Prada AM, Chian AM, Velarde RE, Pecho IL, et al. Effects of separate delivery of zinc or zinc and vitamin A on hemoglobin response, growth, and diarrhea in young Peruvian children receiving iron therapy for anemia. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80(5):1276–82.

27. Awasthi S. Zinc supplementation in acute diarrhea is acceptable, does not interfere with oral rehydration, and reduces the use of other medications. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2006;42(3):300–5.

28. Baqui AH, Fischer Walker CL, Zaman K, El Arifeen S, Chowdhury HR, Wahed MA, et al. Weekly iron supplementation does not block increases in serum zinc due to weekly zinc supplementation in Bangladeshi infants. J Nutr 2005;135(9):2187–91.

29. Berger J, Ninh NX, Khan NC, Nhien NV, Lien DK, Trung NQ, et al. Efficacy of combined iron and zinc supplementation on micronutrient status and growth in Vietnamese infants. Eur J Clin Nutr 2006;60(4):443–54.

30. Bhandari N, Bahl R, Taneja S, Strand T, Molbak K, Ulvik RJ, et al. Substantial reduction in severe diarrheal morbidity by daily zinc supplementation in young North Indian children. Pediatrics 2002;109(6):e86.

31. Bhandari N, Taneja S, Mazumder S, Bahl R, Fontaine O, Bhan MK. Adding zinc to supplemental iron and folic acid does not affect mortality and severe morbidity in young children. J Nutr 2007;137(1):112–17.

32. Bhutta ZA, Nizami SQ, Isani Z. Diarrhea in Pakistan. Pediatrics 1999;103(4):1–9.

33. Brooks WA, Santosham M, Naheed A, Goswami D, Wahed MA, Diener-West M, et al. Effect of weekly zinc supplements on incidence of pneumonia and diarrhoea in children younger than 2 years in an urban, low-income population in Bangladesh: randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005;366(9490):999–1004.

34. Brown KH, De Romaña DL, Arsenault JE, Peerson JM, Penny ME. Comparison of the effects of zinc delivered in a fortified food or a liquid supplement on the growth, morbidity, and plasma zinc concentrations of young Peruvian children. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85(2):538–47.

35. Caulfield LE, Zavaleta N, Chen P, Colombo J, Kannass K. Mineral status of non-anemic Peruvian infants taking an iron and copper syrup with or without zinc from 6 to 18 months of age: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition 2013;29(11-12):1336–41.

36. Chang S, El Arifeen S, Bari S, Wahed MA, Rahman KM, Rahman MT, et al. Supplementing iron and zinc: double blind, randomized evaluation of separate or combined delivery. Eur J Clin Nutr 2010;64(2):153–60.

37. Chen L, Liu YF, Gong M, Jiang W, Fan Z, Qu P, et al. Effects of vitamin A, vitamin A plus zinc, and multiple micronutrients on anemia in preschool children in Chongqing, China. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2012;21(1):3–11.

38. Chhagan MK, Van Den Broeck J, Luabeya KKA, Mpontshane N, Tomkins A, Bennish ML. Effect on longitudinal growth and anemia of zinc or multiple micronutrients added to vitamin A: a randomized controlled trial in children aged 6–24 months. BMC Public Health 2010;10(1):1–11.

39. Dijkhuizen MA, Wieringa FT, West CE, Martuti S, Muhilal. Effects of iron and zinc supplementation in Indonesian infants on micronutrient status and growth. J Nutr. 2001;131(11):2860–5.

40. Fahmida U, Rumawas JSP, Utomo B, Patmonodewo S, Schultink W. Linear growth of stunted infants with low haemoglobin. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2007;16:301–9.

41. Fallah R, Sabbaghzadegan S, Karbasi SA, Binesh F. Efficacy of zinc sulfate supplement on febrile seizure recurrence prevention in children with normal serum zinc level: a randomised clinical trial. Nutrition 2015;31(11-12):1358–61.

42. Gupta DN, Mondal SK, Ghosh S, Rajendran K, Sur D, Manna B. Impact of zinc supplementation on diarrhoeal morbidity in rural children of West Bengal, India. Acta Paediatr 2003;92(5):531–6.

43. Hess SY, Abbeddou S, Jimenez EY, Somé JW, Vosti SA, Ouédraogo ZP, et al. Small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements, regardless of their zinc content, increase growth and reduce the prevalence of stunting and wasting in young Burkinabe children: a cluster-randomized trial. PLoS One 2015;10(3):e0122242.

44. Kurugöl Z, Akilli M, Bayram N, Koturoglu G. The prophylactic and therapeutic effectiveness of zinc sulphate on common cold in children. Acta Paediatr 2006;95(10):1175–81.

45. Kurugöl Z, Bayram N, Atik T. Effect of zinc sulfate on common cold in children: randomized, double blind study. Pediatr Int 2007;49(6):842–7.

46. Larson CP, Hoque A, Larson CP, Khan AM, Saha UR. Initiation of zinc treatment for acute childhood diarrhoea and risk for vomiting or regurgitation: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Health Popul Nutr 2005;23(4):311–9.

47. Lind T, Lönnerdal B, Stenlund H, Ismail D, Seswandhana R, Ekström E-C, et al. A community-based randomized controlled trial of iron and zinc supplementation in Indonesian infants: interactions between iron and zinc. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77(4):883–90.

48. López De Romaña D, Salazar M, Hambidge KM, Penny ME, Peerson JM, Krebs NF, et al. Longitudinal measurements of zinc absorption in Peruvian children consuming wheat products fortified with iron only or iron and 1 of 2 amounts of zinc. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81(3):637–47.

49. Malik A, Taneja DK, Devasenapathy N, Rajeshwari K. Zinc supplementation for prevention of acute respiratory infections in infants: a randomized controlled trial. Indian Pediatr 2014;51(10):780–4.

50. Martinez-Estevez NS, Alvarez-Guevara AN, Rodriguez-Martinez CE. Effects of zinc supplementation in the prevention of respiratory tract infections and diarrheal disease in Colombian children: a 12-month randomised controlled trial. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 2016;44(4):368–75.

51. Moradveisi B, Yazdanifard P, Naleini N, Sohrabi M. Comparison of iron alone and zinc plus iron supplementation effect on the clinical and laboratory features of children with iron deficiency anemia. Int J Hematol Stem Cell Res 2019;13(4):220–8.

52. Muñoz EC, Rosado JL, López P, Furr HC, Allen LH. Iron and zinc supplementation improves indicators of vitamin A status of Mexican preschoolers. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71(3):789–94.

53. Olney DK, Pollitt E, Kariger PK, Khalfan SS, Ali NS, Tielsch JM, et al. Combined iron and folic acid supplementation with or without zinc reduces time to walking unassisted among Zanzibari infants 5- to 11-mo old. J Nutr 2006;136(9):2427–34.

54. Ouédraogo HZ, Dramaix-Wilmet M, Zeba AN, Hennart P, Donnen P. Effect of iron or multiple micronutrient supplements on the prevalence of anaemia among anaemic young children of a malaria-endemic area: a randomized double-blind trial. Trop Med Int Health 2008;13(10):1257–66.

55. Owusu-Agyei S, Newton S, Mahama E, Febir LG, Ali M, Adjei K, et al. Impact of vitamin A with zinc supplementation on malaria morbidity in Ghana. Nutr J 2013;12(1):1–9.

56. Passariello A, Nocerino R, Terrin G, Cecere G, De Marco G, Micillo M, et al. Acceptability and efficacy of a gel hypotonic oral rehydration solution in children with acute gastroenteritis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol[2015;27(5):523–6.

57. Rahman MM, Vermund SH, Wahed MA, Fuchs GJ, Baqui AH, Alvarez JO. Simultaneous zinc and vitamin A supplementation in Bangladeshi children: randomised double blind controlled trial. BMJ 2001;323(7308):314–8.

58. Richard SA, Zavaleta N, Caulfield LE, Black RE, Witzig RS, Shankar AH. Zinc and iron supplementation and malaria, diarrhea, and respiratory infections in children in the Peruvian Amazon. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006;75(1):126–32.

59. Rosado JL, López P, Muñoz E, Martinez H, Allen LH. Zinc supplementation reduced morbidity, but neither zinc nor iron supplementation affected growth or body composition of Mexican preschoolers. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65(1):13–9.

60. Ryan KN, Stephenson KB, Trehan I, Shulman RJ, Thakwalakwa C, Murray E, et al. Zinc or albendazole attenuates the progression of environmental enteropathy: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12(9):1507–13.e1.

61. Sampaio DLB, de Mattos ÂP, Ribeiro TCM, Leite ME de Q, Cole CR, Costa-Ribeiro H. Zinc and other micronutrients supplementation through the use of sprinkles: impact on the occurrence of diarrhea and respiratory infections in institutionalized children. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2013;89(3):286–93.

62. Sazawal S, Malik P, Jalla S, Krebs N, Bhan M, Black R. Zinc supplementation for four months does not affect plasma copper concentration in infants. Acta Paediatr 2004;93(5):599–602.

63. Sazawal S, Black RE, Ramsan M, Chwaya HM, Stoltzfus RJ, Dutta A, et al. Effects of routine prophylactic supplementation with iron and folic acid on admission to hospital and mortality in. Lancet 2006;367(9505):133–43.

64. Shankar AH, Genton B, Baisor M, Jaino P, Tamja S, Adiguma T, et al. The influence of zinc supplementation on morbidity due to Plasmodium falciparum: a randomized trial in preschool children in Papua New Guinea. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000;62(6):663–9.

65. Silva APR, Vitolo MR, Zara LF, Castro CFS. Effects of zinc supplementation on 1- to 5-year old children. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2006;82(3):227–31.

66. Smith JC, Rao D, Makdani D, Hegar A, Douglass LW. Vitamin A and zinc supplementation of preschool children. J Am Coll Nutr 1999;18(3):213–22.

67. Strand TA, Chandyo RK, Bahl R, Sharma PR, Adhikari RK, Bhandari N, et al. Effectiveness and efficacy of zinc for the treatment of acute diarrhea in young children. Pediatrics 2002;109(5):898–903.

68. Surono IS, Martono PD, Kameo S, Suradji EW, Koyama H. Effect of probiotic L. plantarum IS-10506 and zinc supplementation on humoral immune response and zinc status of Indonesian pre-school children. J Trace Elem Med Biol 2014;28(4):465–9.

69. Valentiner-Branth P, Shrestha PS, Chandyo RK, Mathisen M, Basnet S, Bhandari N, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the effect of zinc as adjuvant therapy in children 2-35 mo of age with severe or nonsevere pneumonia in Bhaktapur, Nepal. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91(6):1667–74.

70. Veenemans J, Milligan P, Prentice AM, Schouten LRA, Inja N, van der Heijden AC, et al. Effect of supplementation with zinc and other micronutrients on malaria in Tanzanian children: a randomised trial. PLoS Med 2011;8(11):e1001125.

71. Wasantwisut E, Winichagoon P, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Yamborisut U, Boonpraderm A, Pongcharoen T, et al. Iron and zinc supplementation improved iron and zinc status, but not physical growth, of apparently healthy, breast-fed infants in rural communities of northeast Thailand. J Nutr 2006;136(9):2405–11.

72. Wuehler SE, Sempértegui F, Brown KH. Dose-response trial of prophylactic zinc supplements, with or without copper, in young Ecuadorian children at risk of zinc deficiency. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87(3):723–33.

73. Zlotkin S, Arthur P, Schauer C, Antwi KY, Yeung G, Piekarz A. Home-fortification with iron and zinc sprinkles or iron sprinkles alone successfully treats anemia in infants and young children. J Nutr 2003;133(4):1075–80.

74. Abbeddou S, Yakes Jimenez E, Somé JW, Ouédraogo JB, Brown. KH, Hess SY. Small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements containing different amounts of zinc along with diarrhea and malaria treatment increase iron and vitamin A status and reduce anemia prevalence, but do not affect zinc status in young Burkinabe children: a cluster-randomized trial. BMC Pediatr 2017;17(1):1–17.

75. Abdollahi M, Ajami M, Abdollahi Z, Kalantari N, Houshiarrad A, Fozouni F, et al. Zinc supplementation is an effective and feasible strategy to prevent growth retardation in 6 to 24 month children: a pragmatic double blind, randomized trial. Heliyon 2019;5(11): e02581.

76. Macknin ML, Piedmonte M, Calendine C, Janosky J, Wald E. Zinc gluconate lozenges for treating the common cold in children. JAMA 1998;279(24):1962.

77. Institute of Medicine. Dietary reference intakes for vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2001.

78. Ha F, Wu Y, Wang H, Wang T. The reference intervals of whole blood copper, zinc, calcium, magnesium, and iron in infants under 1 year old. Biol Trace Elem Res 2022;200(1):1–12.

79. Lin CN, Wilson A, Church BB, Ehman S, Roberts WL, McMillin GA. Pediatric reference intervals for serum copper and zinc. Clin Chim Acta 2012;413(5–6):612–5.

80. Institute of Medicine (US) Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes. Model for the development of tolerable upper intake levels. In: Dietary reference intakes for calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, vitamin D, and fluoride [Internet]. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 1997. p. 51–70.

81. Kondaiah P, Yaduvanshi PS, Sharp PA, Pullakhandam R. Iron and zinc homeostasis and interactions: does enteric zinc excretion cross-talk with intestinal iron absorption? Nutrients 2019;11(8): 1885.

82. Vázquez-López MA, López-Ruzafa E, Lendinez-Molinos F, Ortiz-Pérez M, Ruiz-Tudela L, Martín-González M. Reference values of serum

transferrin receptor (sTfR) and sTfR/log ferritin index in healthy children. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2016;33(2):109–20.

83. Larsson SM, Hillarp A, Karlsland Åkeson P, Hellström-Westas L, Domellöf M, Askelöf U, et al. Soluble transferrin receptor during infancy and reference intervals for the Roche Cobas platform. Int J Lab Hematol 2021;43(3):378–86.

84. Ooi CL, Lepage N, Nieuwenhuys E, Sharma AP, Filler G. Pediatric reference intervals for soluble transferrin receptor and transferrin receptor-ferritin index. World J Pediatr 2009;5(2):122–6.

85. Patro B, GolickI D, Szajewska H. Meta-analysis: zinc supplementation for acute gastroenteritis in children. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008;28(6):713–23.