



Review

# Mechanisms of Microbial Plant Protection and Control of Plant Viruses

Lakshmaiah Manjunatha <sup>1,\*</sup>, Hosahatti Rajashekara <sup>2</sup>, Leela Saisree Uppala <sup>3</sup>, Dasannamalige Siddesh Ambika <sup>4</sup>, Balanagouda Patil <sup>5</sup>, Kodegandlu Subbanna Shankarappa <sup>4</sup>, Vishnu Sukumari Nath <sup>6</sup>, Tiptur Rooplanaik Kavitha <sup>7</sup> and Ajay Kumar Mishra <sup>8,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Crop Protection, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research (IIHR), Bengaluru 560089, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup> Division of Crop Protection, ICAR-Directorate of Cashew Research (DCR), Dakshina Kannada 574202, Karnataka, India

<sup>3</sup> Cranberry Station, East Wareham, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 02538, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences (Bagalkot), Bengaluru 560065, Karnataka, India

<sup>5</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga 577255, Karnataka, India

<sup>6</sup> Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132, USA

<sup>7</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru 560065, Karnataka, India

<sup>8</sup> Khalifa Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain P.O. Box 15551, United Arab Emirates

\* Correspondence: manjunatha.l@icar.gov.in (L.M.); ajaymishra24@uaeu.ac.ae (A.K.M.)



**Citation:** Manjunatha, L.; Rajashekara, H.; Uppala, L.S.; Ambika, D.S.; Patil, B.; Shankarappa, K.S.; Nath, V.S.; Kavitha, T.R.; Mishra, A.K. Mechanisms of Microbial Plant Protection and Control of Plant Viruses. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 3449. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11243449>

Academic Editor: Alberto Carbonell

Received: 30 August 2022

Accepted: 2 December 2022

Published: 9 December 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** Plant viral diseases are major constraints causing significant yield losses worldwide in agricultural and horticultural crops. The commonly used methods cannot eliminate viral load in infected plants. Many unconventional methods are presently being employed to prevent viral infection; however, every time, these methods are not found promising. As a result, it is critical to identify the most promising and sustainable management strategies for economically important plant viral diseases. The genetic makeup of 90 percent of viral diseases constitutes a single-stranded RNA; the most promising way for management of any RNA viruses is through use ribonucleases. The scope of involving beneficial microbial organisms in the integrated management of viral diseases is of the utmost importance and is highly imperative. This review highlights the importance of prokaryotic plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria/endophytic bacteria, actinomycetes, and fungal organisms, as well as their possible mechanisms for suppressing viral infection in plants via cross-protection, ISR, and the accumulation of defensive enzymes, phenolic compounds, lipopeptides, protease, and RNase activity against plant virus infection.

**Keywords:** antiviral; *Bacillus* spp. bacteria; bioagents; endophytes; resistance; plant virus

## 1. Introduction

Eco-friendly crop disease management is the one of most important pre-requisites for ecological and sustainable farming in the 21st century, as many plant diseases caused by fungi, viral, and bacterial organisms pose major yield-limiting factors and affect the quality of produce in economically important crops. Among the biotic stresses, plant viruses cause severe epidemics in all major agricultural crops of economic importance, posing a severe threat to global food security. Plant viruses are known to cause nearly half (47%) of the emerging and re-emerging plant disease epidemics worldwide [1], and plant viruses cause approximately 30% of crop diseases [2], and among them, more than 80% of plant viruses have genome of RNA. More than twenty-five plant virus families are able to attack a wide host range globally, resulting in massive economic losses [3], and approximately

50% species of plant viruses causing disease in plants are intracellular parasites. Plant viral diseases are frequently emerging primarily due to the changing pattern of climatic variables, trading of commodity, and the plant viruses evolving more rapidly [4]. During the 1980s, approximately USD 15–20 billion loss was caused by plant viral diseases [5] and more than USD 30 billion in 2014 [6]. This also contributes to intensifying the global economic impact of plant virus disease [7].

There are currently very few options available for managing plant viral diseases in the field, since the application of insecticides and other toxic material inhibit the virus transmission by vectors is a desirable and unavoidable management strategy. As a result of the indiscriminate and excessive use of insecticides, insect vectors have developed resistance mechanisms against them. Furthermore, the probability of virus recombination has increased the chances of evolving highly aggressive viral strains and strains, which are capable of overcoming genetically induced resistance in plants by means of resistance breakdown. Chemical control measures are unsuccessful, as viruses are intracellular pathogens. The prophylactic measures include the removal of affected crop debris and using a greater number of applications of pesticides to reduce the population of insect vectors.

The need of an hour to identify bioagents, with their beneficial activity, environmental safety, and a combination of diverse biocidal strains against major pathogens and pest with the activation of immune reactions in plants via by activation of specific signaling cascades, induced systemic resistance (ISR), and secondary metabolites in virus-infected plants, is of great interest. When compared to chemical pesticides and transgenic approaches, beneficial and heterogeneous groups, such as actinomycetes, endophytic microbes, plant growth-promoting bacteria, and fungal agents, can suppress viral activity and inhibit the egg-laying capacity of insect vectors [8–12], even though several researchers have stated the importance of beneficial microorganisms in protecting plants from pests and pathogens, including plant viral diseases [13–15].

## 2. Microorganisms Used in the Management of Plant Virus Diseases

### 2.1. Actinomycetes in Plant Virus Inhibition

Actinomycetes are possible candidates for the production of secondary metabolite compounds, known as antibiotics, for their role as biocontrol agents and plant probiotics potential, due to their plant colonization and in situ antibiotic production [16–18]. Several researchers have reported the antiviral activity of actinomycetes, such as *Streptomyces ahlygroscopicus* [17,19,20], *Streptomyces* sp. ZX01 [21], and *Streptomyces noursei* var. *xichangensis* [22], against TMV infection in tobacco. Actinomycetes inhibit the virus through a variety of mechanisms, including the activation of plant defense pathways and the production of signaling molecules. Marine organisms differ metabolically and physiologically from terrestrial habitants, and marine actinomycetes have been identified as a possible origin of numerous unique bioactive compounds [23]. Latake and Borkar [24] investigated the antiviral activity of metabolites from 28 marine actinomycete isolates against *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV).

In general, bioagents have diverse advantages in the reverence of little mammalian toxicity, biodegradability, superior ecological compatibility, and a distinctive mechanism of action. Metabolite of *Streptomyces olivaceus* was found to be impressive in controlling the CMV infections under in-vitro and open fields when applied individually as seed and spray treatment. A decreasing trend was observed in various necrotic lesions of *Tobacco mosaic virus* (TMV) and *Potato virus Y* (PVY) on *Nicotiana glutinosa* and *Chenopodium quinoa*, respectively, by application of the *Streptomyces* culture filtrates and virus sap mixture [25]. Similarly, Xing et al. [26] observed the inactivation of TMV in *Nicotiana glutinosa* and *N. tabacum* by mixing the different components, such as fermented actinomycetes broth, along with TMV sap, at different time intervals. The antiviral compound,  $\epsilon$ -poly-L-lysine ( $\epsilon$ -PL) of 3454–4352 Da, produced from *Streptomyces ahlygroscopicus* has anti-TMV property [27].

## 2.2. Bacteria and Its Consortium against Plant Viruses

Monitoring the global biocontrol market revealed that there are no antiviral biopreparations in the biopesticides group that act directly as antiviral agents of biological origin in nature [28]. The different bacterial strains, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Burkholderia* sp. and *Bacillus* sp., were evaluated against the *Cotton leaf curl virus* (CLCuV) under artificial conditions by applying individually and in different combinations. The highest inhibition of CLCuV was observed in plants applied with a mixture of bacterial strains and only 0.4%, and the viral load was estimated in challenged plants, as compared to 74% in control plants. The principal component biplot analysis (PCA) revealed that a highly significant correlation was found among the attributes, the viral load, and the incidence of disease [29].

In tomato seedlings, when subjected to the *Pseudomonas* sp. consortium of chitosan-based formulation, three demonstrated the increased effect of ISR and resulted in the accumulation of chitosan, which led to the enhancement of biocontrol efficacy against *Tomato leaf curl virus* (ToLCV) by application of *Pseudomonas* sp. The minimum viral titre was quantified through a semi-quantitative PCR assay with the application of chitosan and *Pseudomonas* sp. and scanning electron microscopy revealed a significantly higher number of bacterial cells in the roots, with no morphological or other qualitative differences [30]. *P. fluorescens* was discovered to have field efficacy for CMV and *Tomato mottle virus* (ToMoV) [31], biocontrol activity against *Tospovirus* [32] in *Solanum lycopersicum*, and lesion-inducing *Tobacco necrosis virus* (TNV) disease in *Nicotiana tabacum* resulted in a reduction in TNV-induced lesion number in *P. fluorescens* treated plants [33]. Zehnder et al. (1999) [34] identified plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) strains that protected tomato plants from systemic CMV infection. The main indirect use of PGPR is biocontrol of plant diseases. Generally, the major biocontrol activity of PGPR is by nutrients competition, niche elimination, metabolite production, ISR, etc. The bacterial bioagents were reported against the different plant viral inhibition reviewed by Maksimov et al. [11]. The efficacy of virus control also depends on the method, concentration, and time of application of the bacteria bioagents. The strains of several bacterial species viz., *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Azotobacter chroococcum* [35], and *B. subtilis* Ch13 [36] inhibited the *Potato virus X* (PVX), PVY, and *Potato leaf roll virus* (PLRV) in *Solanum tuberosum*; *B. cereus* (I-35) and *Stenotrophomonas* sp. (II-10) reduced the infection of TMV, *Chili veinal mottle virus* (ChiVMV) in *Capsicum annuum* [37]; *Bacillus subtilis* 26D and *B. subtilis* Ttl2 against PVX and PVY [38]; *Bacillus* spp. against *Tobacco streak virus* (TSV) in cotton [39]; *B. amyloliquefaciens* MBI600 against *Tomato spotted wilt virus* (TSWV) in *S. lycopersicum* and *Solanum tuberosum* [36]; *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB24, *B. pumilus* EN16, *B. subtilis* SW1, *Pseudomonas syringae* (heat-killed cells) [40], *P. putida* A3 [41], *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* GJ-22 against TMV in *N. tabacum* [42–44]; *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6N against TMV in *N. tabacum* cv Xanthi-nc [45]; *Bacillus pumilus* T4 and *B. subtilis* GBO3 against *Bean common mosaic virus* (BCMV) in *Vigna unguiculata* [46]; *B. pumilus* SE34, *B. amyloliquefaciens* 5B6, *B. pumilus* SE34, *Kluyvera cryocrescens* IN114, *B. amyloliquefaciens* IN937a, *B. subtilis* IN937b, *Pseudomonas lachrymans* against CMV in *Cucumis sativus* [47]; *S. lycopersicum* [48,49], *Capsicum annuum* [50]; *Paenibacillus lentimorbus* B-30488 against CMV in *N. tabacum* [51]; *Serratia marcescens* 90-166 against CMV in *A. thaliana* [52]; *Pseudozyma churashimaensis* against CMV, *Pepper mottle virus* (PepMoV), *Pepper mild mottle virus* (PMMoV), and *Broad bean wilt virus* (BBWV) in *Capsicum annuum* [53]; *P. fluorescens* CHA0 against *Urdbean leaf crinkle virus* (ULCV) in *Vigna mungo* [54]; *P. fluorescens* CHA0 [45], *P. fluorescens* P3 [33] against TNV in *N. tabacum*.

Bacterial microbial consortia are also reported to control many viruses. The reduction of CMV infection was observed by using bacterial consortia viz., *B. subtilis* GB03 + *B. pumilus* SE34/*B. amyloliquefaciens* IN937a/*B. subtilis* IN937b/*B. pumilus* INR7/*B. pumilus* T4 in *S. lycopersicum* [55]; *Serratia marcescens* 90-166 + *P. putida* 89B-61/*B. pumilus* T4 against CMV in *Cucumis sativus* [48,56]; *B. licheniformis* MML2501 + *Bacillus* spp. MML2551 + *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MML2212 + *Streptomyces fradiae* MML1042 against *Sunflower necrosis disease* (SND) caused by the *Tobacco streak virus* (TSV) in *Helianthus annuus* [57]; *B. amyloliquefaciens* IN937a + *B. pumilus* SE34 + *B. pumilus* T4 against *Papaya ringspot virus*

(PRSV-W) and *Tomato chlorotic spot virus* (TCSV) by in *Carica papaya*/*S. lycopersicum* [58]; *P. fluorescens* Pf1. + *Bacillus* spp. EPB22 against *Banana bunchy top virus* (BBTV) in *Musa* spp. [59].

The combinations of individual bacterial isolate/consortium and chemical immunoregulators, such as *P. fluorescens* CHAO + chitin reduced the infection of BBTV in *Musa* spp [60]; *Pseudomonas* sp. 206(4) + B-15+JK-16+chitosan inhibited the ToLCV infection in *S. lycopersicum* [30]; *B. polymixa* + *P. fluorescens* + chitin were used against *Squash mosaic virus* (SqMV) control in *Cucumis sativus* [61]; *B. pumulus* INR7 + benzothiadiazole against CMV in *Capsicum annuum* [62] are also very effective in plant virus control.

The concurrent infection of a single plant by a variety of pathogen is progressively more familiar as a host resistance modulator and pathogen evolution driver. In agroecosystems, plants are the target of a large number of pathogenic microorganisms, and co-infection could be regular, and as a result, it is important to consider. Co-infection was directed to raise bacterial specific symptoms, whereas there was a decrease in viral load, compared to the mono-infected plant. This could be due to gene silencing mechanisms intervening within plant interaction between virus and plant pathogenic bacteria. Therefore, pathogen–pathogen–host relations positively justify more consideration, from a hypothetical and practical point of view. A few of the co-infected bacteria in plant virus disease management with host plants and treatment methods in the reduction of virus infection are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Evidence of co-infected bacteria in plant virus management.

Bioagents	Plant Virus Control	Host Plants	Treatment Method	References
<i>Xanthomonas oryzae</i>	Rice yellow mottle virus (RYMV)	<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	Foliar spray	[63]
<i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i>	Zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV)	Cucurbits crops	Foliar spray	[64]
<i>Bacillus</i> spp combinations	CMV	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> L., <i>S. lycopersicum</i> L.	Foliar spray	[31,52,65]
<i>P. fluorescens</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	TNV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	Foliar spray	[33]
Multiple rhizobacteria	TMV	<i>Capsicum frutescens</i> L.	Soil drench	[66]

### 2.3. Fungi in Plant Virus Inhibition

Endophytes and fungal bioagents are able to recognize the changes in physiological means in stress-induced plants as defense machinery, thus regulating the plant gene expression [67,68]. Inoculation of cucurbits with *Colletotrichum legendarium* or TNV protects plants systemically against diseases caused by several pathogens. Muvea et al. [69,70] discovered that endophytic fungi (*Hypocrea lixii* F3ST1) inoculation on onion reduced the thrips vector population, resulting in higher death rate of vector population, and due to antixenotic repellence activity of the applied agents, reduced feeding behavior and oviposition could be observed. Furthermore, the reduced vector feeding activity of endophyte-colonized onions may decrease the virus spread of vectors. The endophytic interaction increases the incompetence of viruliferous thrips to transmit *Iris yellow spot virus* (IYSV) (Genus-*Tospovirus*), transmitted by *Thrips tabaci*, which has negative effects on IYSV replication in the infected plants. This may be due to the possibility of endophytes, such as fungi, eliciting the activation of gene expression in defense cascade pathways, in turn enhancing the accumulation of defensive compounds for development of resistance [71,72].

The influence of fungal secondary metabolites synthesized by endophytic association could be responsible in fungal-viral interplay mechanism. The alkaloids produced as a result of fungal endophytes application are found to have antiviral properties [73]. Endophytic colonization of onion seedlings may inhibit the feeding damage by viruliferous thrips. Furthermore, endophytic colonization also improves the futility of viruliferous thrips transmitting IYSV and has a negative impact on IYSV replication in the plant. As a result, endophytic fungi can be an essential component for tripartite (plant-endophyte-insect) interac-

tions studies. Similar results were also reported in aphids, especially in *Rhopalosiphum padi* transmitting *Barley yellow dwarf virus* (BYDV), which showed a reduced aphid population and percentage of BYDV infections in fungal endophyte (*Neotyphodium uncinatum*) infected in meadow ryegrass (*Lolium pratensis*), in comparison to endophyte-free plants [74], inferring the production of alkaloids by endophytes, such as lolines, may help in fighting meadow ryegrass from BYDV infections [75,76].

A probable reason for the low virus load observed between the plants is most probable and likely to inhibit or down-regulate the coat protein gene expression, resulting in impaired virus replication during the initial phases of the infections. Peanut bud necrosis virus, for example, was also managed by blocking the systemic movement of the virus in wild *Arachis* by application of bioagents [77]. Virus replication and systemic spread by cell-to-cell movement might be interfered with by plant defense signaling, leading to ISR [78,79]. There are different biocontrol mechanisms, among which, ISR is the most effective in reducing the viral disease in infected host plants. The rhizosphere of many plant species is colonized by PGPR, which provides additional beneficial effects to the host plants, *viz.*, enhanced plant growth vigor, and disease resistance caused by fungi, bacteria, nematodes, viruses, and viroid's [80]. The fungal bioagents reported against the different plant viral disease are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Antiviral inducing fungal microorganisms for the control of plant viruses.

Name of Fungal Bioagent	Virus Inhibition	Host Plants	References
<i>Hypocrea lixii</i>	IYSV	<i>Allium cepa</i> L.	[70]
<i>T. harzianum</i> , <i>T. Polysporum</i> and <i>T. atroviridae</i>	PepLCV	<i>Capsicum annum</i> L.	[81]
<i>Paecilomyces variotii</i>	PVX and TMV	<i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i> L. and <i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[82]
<i>Neotyphodium uncinatum</i>	BYDV	<i>Festuca pratensis</i> L.	[76]
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (Balsamo Criv.)	ZYMV	<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.	[83]
<i>Penicillium simplicium</i> (GP17-2) (Trichocomaceae: <i>Penicillium</i> )	CMV	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> L. and <i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[84]
<i>T. harzianum</i> and <i>M. anisopliae</i>	Sugarcane mosaic virus (SCMV)	<i>Zea mays</i> L.	[85]
<i>T. harzianum</i>	CMV	<i>S. lycopersicum</i> L.	[86]
<i>Coriolus versicolor</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[87]
<i>Lentinus edodes</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[88]
<i>Agrocybe eaegerita</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[89]
<i>Alternaria tenuissima</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[90]
<i>Pleurotus eryngii</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[91,92]
<i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[93]
<i>Pleurotus citrinopileatus</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[91,92]
<i>Trichoderma pseudokoningii</i> SMF2	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[94]
<i>Penicillium oxalicum</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[95]
<i>Coprinus comatus</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[96,97]
<i>Flammulina velutipes</i>	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[91,92]
<i>Flammulina velutiper</i> (Fr.) Sing	TMV	<i>N. tabacum</i> L.	[93]

#### 2.4. Virus-Based Control of Plant Viruses

The virus load in infected plants can trigger for the release of secondary volatiles and other compounds, which are highly congenial for attracting vectors. When the vector tends to feed on the plant, the virus can produce an anti-feeding substance, causing the vector

to flee to a new plant, as well as influence insect vectors to feed on healthy plants [98,99]. Viruses can also cause havoc among insects. Whiteflies that feed on TSWV infected plants grow slowly and have low fecundity [100]. Minor and mild strains of plant viruses can also act as elicitors in plants and it is reported in a *Pepino mosaic virus* (PepMV) of *S. lycopersicum*, which depends on the mild variants of PepMV for its induction [101]. The commercially available products in the market contain one or a combination of two mild virus strains.

### 3. Microbe-Induced Antiviral Mechanisms against Plant Virus Infection

#### 3.1. Cross-Protection

Microbial biological agents control and protect crops against diseases through diverse mechanisms. The application of biological agents is an alternative option for pathogen control using cross-protection. Cross protection is a type of induced resistance that develops in plants against plant viruses. It is most effective among the closely related strains of the same virus, even though reports of viruses that are phylogenetically distinct protecting against each other exist. This phenomenon was described by the Dutchman Thung and the English man, Salaman, about seventy years ago, the prior immunization of host plants with a mild strain to defend against the challenge inoculation by aggressive or severe strain of the same plant virus, which leads to fits with future-proofing of production systems, known as mild strain cross-protection (MSCP) [102]. *Citrus tristeza virus* (CTV), belonging to the genus *Closterovirus* transmitted by aphid vectors, has been successfully controlled by cross-protection in several parts of the world [103].

The mechanisms of cross-protection are still unknown; however, several plausible mechanisms are proposed, such as antibody formation, specific adsorption by new cell compounds, exhaustion of essential metabolites, limited replication sites available to the plant virus multiplications, competitiveness between main and severe viruses for host components, and point of replication sites within the cells, interfering with disassembly, secondary virus translation, or replication [104–106] and aggravating RNA silencing by the protector virus that guides to a sequence-specific deprivation of the test virus RNA [107,108]. One of the commonly debated cross-protection mechanisms is RNA silencing. During the mechanism, Dicer-like (DCL) enzymes recognize the double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) formed during viral replication and are sliced into small fragments of 21–26 nucleotide length [109,110]. The small nucleotide fragments are recognized as “small-interfering RNAs” (siRNAs), which can escalate the RNA silencing in the plants by establishing an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) composed of Argonaute proteins. Complete silencing results when siRNAs are spread all over the plant, triggering the silencing of the virus to be stimulated in plant parts that had no prior viral interaction. During general silencing, RNAs are analogous in sequence to the RNA that first activated the silencing in all plant parts. Furthermore, the Argonaute proteins have also been concerned with the translational suppression of viral RNAs, stimulated by a mixture of viral eliciting agents and host resistance factors [111,112], and this needs more comprehensive research.

Additionally, *ZYMV* in squash, melon, and watermelon [113–115], *Cocoa swollen shoot virus* in cocoa [115], *Tomato mosaic virus* (ToMV) in *S. lycopersicum* and pepper [116], and *Papaya ringspot virus* in papaya [117] are some of the examples of viruses for which such claims were revealed to be effective. In the past two decades, a post-transcriptional gene-silencing (PTGS) method has been utilized to activate antiviral responses in plants by combining genome-editing methods, such as CRISPR/Cas9 and genetic transformation methods [118]. However, the mechanisms in antisense generally work for the RNA replication inside the nucleus in double-stranded DNA reverse transcriptase (dsDNA-RT) viruses (caulimo- and badna-viruses) [119]. For plant infecting RNA viruses’ control, ribozymes that can slice viral RNA can be used [120,121].

#### 3.2. Antiviral/Antibiotic Compounds

Polysaccharides, polysaccharide peptides, and proteins are the main antiviral compounds existing in fungi. For the past few years, from fungal organisms such as

*Coriolus Versicolor*, *Coprinus comatus*, *Lentinus edodes* (Berk.) sing, *Pleurotus ostreatus*, and *Flammulina velutiper*, polysaccharides and their peptides with antiviral abilities have been studied [122]. A polysaccharide peptide extracted from *Coriolus versicolor* showed 85.4% and 64.8% anti-TMV action lower concentrations [123]. The lentinan component exhibited an anti-TMV activity with a restorative percentage of 58.7% at a 10  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  concentration [88]. The lentinan and polysaccharide peptide components might encourage the expression of peroxidase and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and, similarly, that of the pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins in host plants, thus strengthening the plant's inborn immunity to many diseases.

Kulye et al. [90] reported numerous pathogenic fungi with low pathogenicity against plant viruses in which *Alternaria tenuissima* can successfully help the immunization of plants. A protein with higher capacity may induce plant immune responses, plant resistance, and growth metabolism. Other fungi having antiviral-active compounds include *Agrocybe aegerita*, *Flammulina velutipes*, *Lentinus edodes*, *Pleurotus citrinopileatus*, and *P. eryngii*. Additionally, *Neosartorya fischeri* and *Penicillium oxalicum* fungal methanolic extracts have shown repressive action to TMV infections [95]. The antimicrobial substances, such as peptaibols and trichokonins, of the fungus *Trichoderma pseudokoningii* SMF2, are an ISR determining factor that exhibited resistance against TMV [95]. Alkaloids are also revealed to have antiviral functions [124]. Similarly, *Trichoderma harzianum* has condensed TMV symptoms expression on *S. lycopersicum* through ISR activity [125].

The understanding of the effectiveness of bacteria and other microorganisms in improving growth of plants and inhibiting virus infectivity benefit the plant virus control in the field, particularly for those apprehensive about the eco-friendly control of crop diseases. The use of antiviral compounds that activate systemic resistance in plants has been described for many viruses in plants. Microbe-derived antiviral agents afford environmentally friendly, efficient, and degradable backup strategies for traditional chemical agents to control plant viral diseases. Their mechanisms against plant viruses are viable for directly acting on viral nucleic acids or proteins, or ultimately, restrain viruses by regulating host reaction [126].

The actinomycete group comprising 80 genera produces a variety of secondary metabolites with excessive inference in crop protection. More than 50% of the identified antibiotics are sourced from actinomycetes [127]. *Ningnanmycin* (NNM), an antiviral substance extracted from actinomycetes-*Streptomyces noursei* var. *xichangensis*, a new cytosine nucleoside peptide antibiotic, is most successful in inhibiting TMV infections, which is widely used in crop production [87]. It was well-defined that Ningnanmycin may be accountable for increased resistance against TMV-infected plants through triggering multiple plant defense pathways, induction of peroxidase (POD), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) and superoxide dismutase and (SOD) activity, and activation of group of acidic PR proteins, and the expression of the NPR1 and Jaz3 results in the preventive effect of TMV CP [22]. The NNM is a cytosine nucleoside type antibiotic that could increase the concentration of the Rubisco large subunit (Rubisco LSU) and Rubisco small subunit (Rubisco SSU), which, in turn, reduces the viral CP content. Rubisco is essential for carbon fixation in the plant system. NNM has a high ability to alleviate photosynthesis injury by suppressing the TMV CP inside chloroplasts, as CP might distress photosynthesis in virus-affected plants by inhibiting PS II activity [22].

The cytosine peptidemycin, extracted from *Streptomyces ahygroscopicus*, showed competent virucidal action [20], and it has been promoted, registered, and marketed as an anti-plant-viral agent in China. Additionally, Zhang et al. [21] reported a new glycoprotein GP-1 isolated from *Streptomyces* sp. ZX01, with an 80% anti-TMV rate at 1  $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$  concentration. Peroxidase, is well-thought of as an authoritative defensive enzyme, is evidenced in numerous physiological responses against the biotic stresses of plants [128,129].

Galal [130] described that *Streptomyces* strains induced the systemic acquired resistance (SAR) to virus infections, whereas *P. aeruginosa* enhanced the resistance to TMV in tobacco plants [131], as well as an antiviral compound from *S. Noursei* var *xichangensis*,

known to induce ISR [22]. Li et al. [132] reported that *S. pactum* Act12 ISR against TYLCV and salicylic and jasmonic acid concentrations have amplified in *S. lycopersicum* plants. The various bioactive compounds derived from *Streptomyces* strains were found to be effective in minimizing the TMV local lesions on the leaves of *Datura metel* weed plant [133]. Additionally, a bioactive compound defined as the  $\epsilon$ -Poly-L-lysine, released from by *S. ahngroscopicus*, has revealed substantial defense and curative action against TMV [27]. The mosaic symptoms produced by the ZYMV has been shown to change percent reduction to 95% and 100%, with the foliar spray on *Cucumis sativus* with *S. Albovinaceus* and *S. sparsogenes*, separately [134]. The use of antibiotics in plant pathology, particularly in plant virology, has long been assumed to be of critical importance. *Cytovirin* is a broad-spectrum antibiotic that reduces virus concentration in the host and delays symptom emergence [135]; ACD (*Actinomycin D*) protects soybeans from *Bean pod mottle virus* (BPMV) [136]. TMV inhibition has been accomplished through the use of blasticidin S, dextromycin and mitomycin C [137], oxytetracycline and streptomycin [138], puromycin [139], laurisin or formycin [140], cycloheximide [141], chloramphenicol [142], etc. *Pea streak virus* (PSV) may be controlled by spraying cycloheximide [143], actidione and streptomycin [144], and blasticidin S [145]. Phatak and Batra [146] reported the control of *Sunn-hemp mosaic virus* (SHMV) in leguminous plants by using pentaene G 8, an antifungal antibiotic from *Streptomyces anandii*. Leaf hoppers were unable to pick up viruses when the host plants were applied with tetracyclines [147]. *Bromegrass mosaic virus* has been controlled by actinomycin D and blasticidin S [148]. Root dipping and foliar spray have been recommended for ohyamycin and blasticidin S against PVX, and tomato leaf curl may be effectively handled with DPB [149,150], and this antibiotic also helps in yield increase in *S. lycopersicum*. The bacterial bioagent *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (VB7) proficiently reduced the expression of GBNV disease symptom up to 84% through the transient expression of MAMP genes, triggered immune reaction, and decreased virus titer [151].

In some of the reports, the treatment of antibiotics to virus-infected plants leads to reduced symptom expression, besides the inhibition of plant viruses [152,153]. Still, the prominent actions of antibiotics on human pathogens have not been established in the framework of plant viruses. Amongst the few stated antibiotic activities of chloramphenicol, it principally interferes in protein synthesis [154]. Similarly, the antibiotic daunomycin also has effects in few protein synthesis steps and prevents nucleic acid metabolism [155], similar to actinomycin D. However, daunomycin had only a negligible consequence on virus-infected plants, either on viral RNA synthesis or on the host plant [156]. They thought that this antibiotic had a straight effect on viral particles.

Actinomycin D and mitomycin C antibiotics are also identified to affect the metabolism of nucleic acid and form complexes with DNA. Excluding in the very early stages of infection with specific virus-host amalgamations, they do not obstruct the plant pathogenic RNA virus synthesis [157,158]. CMV may be suppressed by miharamycin A, an antibiotic, which is known to prevent DNA-dependent RNA synthesis [159]. It is anticipated that the antibiotics are unified into the host metabolic pool based on their molecular arrangement, inhibiting the virus from appropriately intermingling with the host metabolic activity. As foliar sprays, antibiotics cause irregular virus propagation or mislead the synthesis of coat protein [160]. Antibiotic inhibition of virus-RNA synthesis was studied using virus-infected leaf discs floated in antibiotic solutions [161]. Off late molecular virologists and phytopathologists are equally fascinated by this field.

The algae group contains a diversity of plants ranges, from diatoms observed as microscopic and unicellular to seaweeds spreading over 30 m. The first discovered sources of natural compounds are microalgae against HIV [162]. The polysaccharide of seaweeds, exactly sulfated polysaccharides, from brown algae has effective virucidal properties [163,164]. Alginate, a profuse polysaccharide from brown algae, exhibited 95% inhibition of PVX at 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> concentration [164]. From the methanolic extracts of 30 species of marine algae, only six species showed more than 80% inhibition rates against PVX at 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> concentration. Nagorskaia et al. [165] found that red marine alga, *Tichocarpus crinitus*,



released kappa/ $\beta$ -carrageenan that can decrease TMV infection in Xanthi-NC tobacco leaves, and the lectin from the marine algae, *Ulva pertusa*, have anti-TMV activity [166].

Animals have an insufficient number of anti-plant-virus compounds than plants and microbes. Amongst them, oligochitosan is the most successful anti-plant-virus compound produced by enzymatic hydrolysis of chitosan polymer discovered in animals. Chitin and chitosan have been discovered to be nontoxic, biodegradable, and biocompatible substances that stimulate the broad-spectrum defensive response in plants [167,168]. The chitosan is deacetylation product of chitin and has been shown to have virus destruction irrespective of plant species, as well as the virus type [169]. An oligochitosan at 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  concentration inhibits 50.41% TMV infection. Since then, oligosaccharide has been marketed as a vital anti-plant virus compound in China. Similarly, melittin and its analogue and chondroitin sulfate, a whey protein, and its esterification products were identified as anti-plant virus compounds [170–173]. Several researchers have found that oligochitosan interferes in pathogen infection through stimulating the production of hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, protein kinase,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signaling pathway, and promoting PAL activity [174–177].

### 3.3. Lipopeptides

Lipopeptides are antimicrobial peptides synthesized by a wide range of microorganisms via non-ribosomal synthesis, including secondary metabolites, such as peptaibols, cyclopeptides, and pseudo peptides [178]. Many researchers have screened bacterial agents for their virucidal activity and isolated bioactive compounds responsible for the inhibition of plant viruses. Zhou et al. [179] reported that the bacterial strain ZH14 produces bioactive proteins with durable and stable TMV resistance. Shen et al. [180] defined the improved control efficacy of *P. fluorescens* CZ against TMV. Thapa et al. [181] described that *Serratia marcescens* culture filtrate has stable antiviral capacity against CMV. Cell-free supernatant consisting of cyclic peptide synthesized from *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6 exhibited strong antiviral activity against TMV [45].

Lipopeptides are 1000–2000 Da cyclic, lower antimicrobial compounds that are primarily synthesized by bacteria, such as *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* sp. [182]. Indeed, the lipopeptides are the utmost critical factors providing for their biocontrol efficacy in the PGPMs. Lipopeptides are synthesized through a multi-enzyme biosynthesis pathway with a precursor of specific gene clusters from non-ribosomal peptides synthetase (NRPs) [178]. Additionally, numerous lipopeptides viz., iturin, surfactin, sophoro lipids, trehalose lipid, rhamno lipids, and mannosylerythritol lipids displayed promising effective substitutes of biocontrol agents for many applications in agricultural production [183].

The *Bacillus* spp. produces surfactin, iturin, and fengycin of three major families containing hydrophilic amino acids (7–10 amino acids) connected with a hydrophobic fatty acid tail. These compounds perform as effective antagonists by inhibiting plant pathogens by diverse mechanisms by inducing and establishing the plant defense apparatus in defense-related systems recognized as ISR [184]. Of late, some of the cyclic lipopeptides have been recognized as elicitors of plant defense response, such as ISR. The volatile compounds, such as 2, 3-butanediol [52], released by *Bacillus* spp were evidenced as elicitors to activate ISR in plants. Lipopeptide surfactants exhibit an exceptional aperture and ion networks establishing possessions and, thus, may interrupt the normal integrity and absorptivity of the lipid layer of the plasma membrane. Lipopeptides, by virtue of their capability to interrupt the physical integrity of the living membrane, create their primary means of antimicrobial activity against diverse microbes, such as bacteria, fungi, viruses, mycoplasma, etc. [185].

*B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 releases cyclic lipopeptides and affords an efficient resistance in both the leaves and roots of sugar beet, consequential in biocontrol rhizomania disease through the reduction of the virus vector *P. betae* infection [186]. *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* (BNYVV) causes rhizomania disease transmitted by the obligate root-infecting parasite *Polymyxa betae* [187]. *Bacillus* Cyclic lipopeptides (CLPs) significantly decrease the infection by *P. betae* in sugar beet through ISR. McGrann et al. [187] and Barr et al. [188] revealed the

incomplete resistance to *P. betae* in sugar beet with decreased virus concentration. It seems that CLPs offers a new technique for the sustainable management of rhizomania disease.

The peptide compound indolil acetic acid and non-proteinogenic amino acid, such as the 5-aminolevulinic acid produced in *N. tabacum* plants treated with *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* GJ-22 strain, reduced TMV infection by stimulating the salicylate (NbPR1a and NbPR5) and jasmonate-mediated (NbPR3 and NbPDF1.2) signaling pathways genes [189]. However, the treatment with the *B. amyloliquefaciens* MBI600 strain induced host resistance to PVY and TSWV in *S. lycopersicum* by the expression of SA-induced signaling pathway genes [36].

### 3.4. Ribonucleases against Plant RNA Viruses

*Ribonucleases* are a group of hydrolytic enzymes that have the ability to dimerize and catalyze the breakdown of ribonucleic acid (RNA) into smaller units in several critical transcription phases and inhibit virus reproduction. *Ribonucleases* are the classical components of immune system [190]. Various enzymes, as ribonucleases, such as binases, baRNases, and baliphases, synthesized by the bacteria, have the ability to exude into the external environment. Small concentrations of ribonucleases help in plant growth, and high concentrations of ribonucleases have antiviral properties and degrades viral RNA. In buckwheat cultivars, Kara-Dag and Roksolana displayed diverse resistance level of *Buckwheat burn virus* (BBV) by the expression of enhanced ribonuclease activity [191]. The expression of *pac1* ribonuclease gene from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* has improved resistance to CMV, ToMV, PVY, and TSWV in chrysanthemum, tobacco, and impatiens plants [192–194], even though inducing resistance to viruses by the expression of a double-strand-specific RNase gene altered the resistance levels from a delay in disease symptoms appearance to the complete protection against viruses. The expression of bacterial double strand-specific RNase gene is reported to show resistance to some viruses in tobacco plants [195]. Endophytic strains having RNases producing ability inhibit the spread of viruses and affects expression of viral symptom. High endophytic rates and RNase activity bacterial strains, such as *Bacillus* sp. TS2 and *B. subtilis* 26D, are used for the development of biocontrol agents. Several viral infections (for example, widespread PVS + PVY and PVM + PVY joint infections) hasten plant impairment substantially, compared to a sole virus infection, which was discovered by Hameed et al. [196]. Sorokan et al. [197] found a higher concentration of RNase activity in the culture media of *B. thuringiensis* B-6066, *Bacillus* sp. TS2, *Bacillus* sp. STL-7, and *B. subtilis* 26D, as well as strains with the enormous capacity to colonize internal plant tissues collective with increased RNase activity and decreased viral disease incidence and severity of potato viruses M, S, and Y. They distinguished that *Bacillus* spp. lessened the *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* egg clusters and larvae number and revealed their antifeedant activity on treated plants.

The bacterial species viz., *B. pumilus*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, and *B. licheniformis* (binases, baRNases, and baliphases, respectively) have extracellular RNase secretive capacity to utilize organic phosphates in aiding bacterial adaptation mechanisms to changing ecological situations and slice RNA containing viral particles continuously in plant tissues [198,199]. Less concentration of RNases trigger plant growth and resistance to a diverse stress element, whereas high concentrations of RNases show antiviral activity by degrading RNA containing viral particles. Thus, the bacterial genera viz., *Pantoea*, *Cronobacter*, *Microbacterium*, and *Staphylococcus* isolates, which originated from the *Cucurbitaceae* family, produce nucleases, which cleave viral nucleic acids [200]. The viral particles in the juice from TMV-infected tobacco plants were shown to be cleaved by *Pseudomonas putida* A3 [41] and *B. pumilus* 7P/3-19 [44].

There was a strong and stable constructive association between the RNase activity in several potato cultivars and their resistance to PVX, PVY, PVM, and PVS [192]. The RNase gene PAC1 expression from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* in soybean crop caused a significantly higher concentration of *Soybean mosaic virus* (SMV) in SC3 strain-free soybean plants [201]. The *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants holding CRISPR/Cas13 a cassette that included class 2 type VI-A RNase, created by genetic engineering capable of identifying and slicing ssRNA, was extremely resistant to *Turnip mosaic virus* (TuMV) [202]. Approximately, one-third

of the transgenic tobacco clones expressing the baRNase gene from *B. amyloliquefaciens* showed complete resistance to ToLCV infection [203]. Thus, these studies confirmed that *Bacillus* and other bacteria can protect plants against viral infection by upsetting vectors of viral particles, such as insects and plant pathogens.

### 3.5. Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria-Induced Resistance in Plants

The PGPRs are endophytic or rhizospheric bacteria which enhance yield sustainability, and growth promotion and defend plants from invading pathogens [204]. As a part of synergistic effect, PGPR help other microbes to improve their plant growth promotion or suppress pathogens. The compounds produced by plant growth-promoting microorganism (PGPM) may intercommunicate with the immune system of hosts and induce systemic resistance in crop plants against phytopathogens [205,206]. In general, PAMPs such as flagellin and lipopeptides of the endophytic bacteria [207] or viruses CP [208,209], are recognized by the receptors comprising leucine-rich repeats (LRR) [210]. The genes responsible for pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins, such as PR-4 and PR-10 with antiviral property expressed in the plants under rhizobacteria stimulation and their metabolic compounds, as well as in response to fungal viral [211,212] and infections. Thus, PGPM can play a major role in major plant reactions to viral infection.

The presence of bioagents/endophytes in plants recognizes the plant virus proteins, particularly viral RNA, as well as Coat protein (CP) of a virus, and the small conserved molecular motifs present in microbes called Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are recognized by the receptors of plant cell, which results in the development of plant defense reactions [213] with rapid generation of Reactive oxygen species (ROS), changes in the phytohormone composition, and synthesis of different metabolites, including the regulation of defensive genes during local and systemic expression [214]. Li et al. [8] supported that *Enterobacter asburiae* activated the TYLCV resistance and lower disease rates, approaching 42%, even at 45 dpi, under greenhouse conditions. Regulation of disease resistance was detected against ToMoV in *S. lycopersicum* plants by the different strains of *B. amyloliquefaciens* [31].

Some of the rhizobacteria interacting with the plant roots result in a resistance to bacteria, fungi, and viruses, causing plant diseases, and this phenomenon is called ISR. In addition, ISR also involves jasmonate and ethylene signaling, which stimulates the defense responses in the host plant against diverse range plant pathogens [215]. PGPRs primarily protect the plants by CMV infection by inducing the natural resistance against the invading pathogen [31]. The PGPRs induce systemic resistance either through the ethylene and jasmonic acid ISR pathway or the salicylic acid-dependent SAR pathway [204,216]. Hypersensitive response (HR) is characterized by localized necrosis and disruption of the virus's systemic spread in plants. In HR reactions, necrotic lesions or ringspots are formed at the infection site on leaves, stems, and fruits, holding the phytopathogen within it, hence shielding uninfected tissues. HR occurs due to modifications in plant cell wall with increase in the concentration of superoxide and nitric oxide radicals, calcium ions, and increases in SA, JA, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels. HR reactions against PVYC and PVYO strains of PVY are regulated by potato *Nytr* and *Nctbr* genes, respectively, in *Solanum tuberosum* crop [217]. The avirulence factor of the PVY virus is the helper component proteinase (HC-Pro) cistron of PVY, and *Nx*-mediated hypersensitivity and *Rx*-mediated resistance were produced by various coat protein (CP) subunits of PVX [218]. This mechanism does not seem to be related with RNA interference, which is also a chief approach for defending plants against RNA-containing viruses [219]. The application of chitosan and PGPR displayed the hidden potential for inducing plant resistance [220,221]. These beneficial bacteria may inhibit the virus infection by induced local acquired systemic resistance (LASR) through the production of phenolics, secondary metabolites, such as phytoalexins, salicylic acid, PR proteins (chitinase and  $\beta$ -1, 3-glucanase), a cell walls lignification, and callose synthesis [222]. The two endophytic bacterial strains *B. subtilis* 26D and *B. subtilis* Ttl2, secreted ribonucleases and phytohormones, inhibited PVX and PVY in *S. lycopersicum* plants. Both the 26D and

Ttl2 strains triggered ISR by activating the transcriptional genes related to salicylate and jasmonate-dependent reaction and increased the content of cytokinins and decreased the level of indolacetic acid in PVX- or PVY-infected plants [39]. Polyphenolic substances are secondary metabolites, and they play a pivotal role in inducing plant promotion and defending against many biotic and abiotic stresses. Many PR-proteins play a significant role in antimicrobial activity against phytopathogens [223]. The production of PR protein in plants is stimulated by the infection of bacteria, fungi, viruses, or viroids [224–226]. In the phenylpropanoid pathway, PAL is the first enzyme involved in salicylic acid biosynthesis [189]. The infections of pathogens stimulate the SA, which is generally correlated with the increased PR-1 as a SA marker gene [227]. *B. amyloliquefaciens* 5B6 treatment with pepper plants reduced the CMV incidence by induction of transcription-encoding genes, such as PR-4, PR-5, and PR-10 proteins [50].

An authoritative PR protein or defense protein, such as the peroxidase gene, is involved in various useful responses against plant biotic stresses, along with studying pollutant degradation and management [33,129]. Galal [130] detailed that application of *Streptomyces* strains stimulated SAR for virus infections, while *P. Aeruginosa* was extremely potent at enhancing TMV resistance in tobacco [131]. Furthermore, an antiviral compound from *S. Nourseivarxi changes* has been shown to induce SR against TMV [22]. The bacterial-derived 2,3-butanediol has established a defense reaction against CMV and TMV by the accumulation of transcription of many defense marker genes viz., *Capsicum annuum* pathogenesis-related 4 (CaPR4), Ca chitinase 2 (CaChi2), CaSAR8.2, Ca phenylalanine-I ammonia-lyase (CaPAL), Ca 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase (CaACC), and Ca proteinase inhibitor 2 (CaPIN2), which was similar to the increase in genes expression in plants received benzothiadiazole [228].

The rhizospheric *P. fluorescens* Pf1 and endophytic *Bacillus* spp. EPB22 bacterial mixture treated banana plants stimulated the defensive enzymes, such as peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), and PAL, in addition to the phenolic substances, which reduced the BBTV incidence up to 80% [59]. Similar responses were also detected in the BBTV-infected banana plants [63], TSWV-infected *S. lycopersicum* plants [229], and *Urdbean leaf crinkle virus* (ULCV)-infected black gram [54].

The cell wall glycoprotein of fungal phytopathogen, *Cladosporium herbarum* is peptidogalactomanann (pGM), induces defense-related genes for the expression of SAR and ROS and its accumulation with BY2 tobacco cells responsible for weakening viral infection [230], with enhanced expression of PR-1a (unknown function), PR-2(-1-3 endoglucanase), PR-3 (chitinase), PR-5 (thaumatin-like protein), PAL (phenylpropanoid pathway gene), and genes associated with plant stress responses and innate resistance for instance LOX1 (lipoxygenase) and NtPrxN1 (peroxidase) [230]. Sindelarova and Sindecor [231] reported that two PR proteins (PR-2a and PR-3) from *N. tabacum* displayed strong and durable antiviral action to TMV. Additionally, defense enzymes, such as peroxidase and PAL transcripts, showed strong antiviral activity [150,206,232].

Harish [233] reported that the application of rhizobacterial mixtures containing endophytic *Bacillus* spp. and *P. fluorescens* (Pf1) inhibit the BBTV. Chitosan and PGPR had reduced disease severity and *Squash mosaic virus* (SqMV) titre, which infects *Cucumis sativus* plants by deferring the incubation period in the reproductive phase (4-7 weeks after planting) [61] by triggering biochemical defense response. Additionally, the PGPR strains GB03 (*B.subtilis*) and IN937a (*B. amyloliquefaciens*) application with the chitosan as the carrier was effective against the CMV in *S. lycopersicum* plants [31]. Maurhofer et al. [33] detected that a few chitinases iso-forms in *P. fluorescens*-treated tobacco plants controlling the TNV and salicylic acid production by rhizobacteria are also responsible for ISR against TNV. Pyung-II et al. [234] reported the induction of PR-1a and PAL in *P. fluorescens* strain EXTN-1 treated tobacco plants treated against the *Pepper mild mottle virus* (PMMoV).

The *P. fluorescens* strains are proficient in inducing significant levels of defensive enzymes in banana that its induced enzyme actions are linked with the biosynthesis of phenolics and other secondary metabolic compounds, which have been projected to be major

factors in ISR against the BBTV disease along with higher yield [61]. Chirkov et al. [235] defined the connection of callose,  $\beta$ -1,3 glucanase, and ribonuclease induction as a defense reaction against PVX upon chitosan ( $1 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ) inoculation. The ALMV produced local infections on bean leaves (were fully controlled with the treatment of the maximum chitosan concentration (0.1%) through spray or addition to the virus inoculum [236]. Similar results were also described with the PVX, TMV, TNV, ALMV, PSV, and CMV [237,238]. Raupach et al. [56] were the first to demonstrate the treatment of *C. sativus* or *S. lycopersicum* plants with PGPR lead to ISR against the systemic infection of CMV.

The roles of ROS in plant–virus interactions are not well-understood. It is anticipated that ROS can act as a defense substance [43,238] and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  as a systemic antiviral signaling unit during TMV infection. A higher amount of ROS production is also considered a biochemical marker during SAR induction.

The *Pepper leaf curl virus* (PepLCV) transmitted whiteflies are controlled by *T. harzianum*, *T. Polysporum*, *T. Atroviridae*, and their consortia. They occupy the pepper plants endophytically, with a considerable increase in the phenolic content (183% more), and induce innate host immunity by the activated phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. The competence of *Trichoderma* bioagents to produce salicylic acid seems to have a prominent role in composing the PepLCV suppression (up to 50%) and ROS accumulation at the infection point resulting in the restricted virus spread [81]. Siddique et al. [239] also reported considerable levels of higher phenolic content in the *Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus*-resistant genotypes than in susceptible ones after the inoculation. Abo-Zaid et al. [238] found that the foliar application of *Streptomyces cellulosa* (isolate Actino 48) at  $2 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1}$  reduced the incidence of TMV in *S. lycopersicum* through ISR. They applied Actino 48 before TMV inoculation (48 h) and reported significantly increased levels of total phenolic compounds, proteins, peroxidase, and chitinase enzymes in TMV-treated tomato plants + Actino 48, as compared to TMV-treated tomato plants alone. Hence, Actino 48 could be used for the biological management of TMV. Due to the systemic nature of virus infection, effective chemical compounds cannot be applied for the control of plant viral disease in agricultural or horticultural crops. Although, the endophytic plant-growth-promoting bacteria (ePGPB) strains viz., *Paraburkholderia fungorum* R8, *Paenibacillus pasadenensis* R16, *Pantoea agglomerans* 255-7, *Pseudomonas syringae* 260-02, and chitosan-treated plants exhibited precise biocontrol activity against *Cymbidium Ring Spot Virus* (CymRSV) and CMV through a considerable decline in severity of virus symptom with increased plant height compared to the control. Furthermore, defense-related genes, such as enhanced disease susceptibility-1 (*EDS1*) gene up regulation indicated the involvement in salicylic acid (SA) signaling pathway, non-expressor of pathogenesis-related genes-1 (*NPRI*) involved in mediation of cross talk between SA or jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) signaling pathways and induction of SAR in plant system by the activation of *PR2B*, a PR- protein results in activation of defense against virus infection [240]. The ePGPB protect crops many pathogens including virus by providing nutrients, plant hormones, and secreting allelochemicals and indirect biocontrol by exciting ISR in the host plant, which intern activates defense-related genes through the mediation of jasmonic acid, ethylene, and salicylic acid metabolic pathways. Biological control with PGPR microbes can be recommended to protect from viral pathogens, since PGPRs have direct and indirect roles in the sustainable management of crop plants through improvement of seed germination and emergence, plant growth promotion, biological nitrogen fixation, solubilization of phosphates, enhanced yield, yield components, and nutrient uptake, triggering ISR and other defensive compounds and enzymes, which are essential for disease resistance activity.

#### 4. Future Prospects

Humans began to consider alternatives as a result of the detrimental effects of synthetic pesticides [241]. Pesticides can be replaced by biopesticides [242]. Diverse biologically derived compounds have pesticidal activity against insect pests and diseases [243,244]. It is imperative to evaluate the amount, number of applications, and suitable delivery

approaches of these potential BCAs in field conditions for the effective management of plant viral diseases. Molecular shreds of evidence or the involvement of various defense or regulatory genes in combating many viral diseases are yet to be explored to the maximum potential. The defense-inducing mechanism of the bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, and algae against plant virus infection and its low virus load in infected plants needs to be deciphered. The antiviral-inducing microbial populations need to be studied, concerning the optimum population of microbes, the time required for reducing the virus inoculum, and the effect of vector population with the virus on antiviral properties for effective management of plant viruses (245). Until now, there is no such precise and advanced study on the impact of diverse ecological features, such as rainfall, relative humidity (RH), temperature, and light hours, on interactions with antagonistic microbes, which is highly challenging in the induction of an antiviral resistance mechanism. The molecular mechanism of antiviral resistance induced by microbial agents is lacking and desires comprehensive revisions related to proteomics and metabolomics to unravel the plant microbial elements responsible for antiviral defense resistance.

## 5. Conclusions and Way Forward

Presently, numerous reports are available on the role of bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, and other organisms in the involvement of defense mechanisms against plant pathogens, except for viruses. Basically, induced defense mechanisms against viral infection by microbes and their metabolites are impeding virus transmission and replication. It is suggested that the predictions of the exploitation of bacteria and plant RNase for the prevention of virus infection in plants are challenging and unexplored research areas. Thus, the identification of environmentally safe biological agents with antiviral properties for plant protection against virus diseases is a constructive method of plant defense. PGPRs have direct antiviral properties by generating RNases or SR-inducers, which live on surfaces or internal plant tissues, and such microbes indirectly decrease the viral load in the agro-ecological system through vector control by the “RNA biocides” specific for crop pests.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization and Writing original draft, L.M., H.R. and L.S.U.; Methodology and visualization, K.S.S. and D.S.A.; Editing and review correction, T.R.K. and B.P.; Resources, supervision and validation, V.S.N. and A.K.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors did not receive any outside funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank P.V. Ramireddy and Sriram S., Principal scientists of the Division of Crop Protection ICAR-IIHR, Bengaluru, for providing moral support to write this review.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Anderson, P.K.; Cunningham, A.A.; Patel, N.G.; Morales, F.J.; Epstein, P.R.; Daszak, P. Emerging infectious diseases of plants: Pathogen pollution, climate change and agrotechnology drivers. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2004**, *19*, 535–544. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Noman, A.; Aqeel, M.; Javed, M.T.; Zafar, S.; Ali, Q.; Islam, W.; Irshad, M.K.; Buriro, M.; Kanwal, H.; Khalid, N. Histological changes in *Hibiscus rosasinensis* endorse acclimation and phytoremediation of industrially polluted sites. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *27*, 1637–1648.
3. He, S.; Krainer, K.M. Pandemics of people and plants: Which is the greater threat to food security? *Mol. Plant* **2020**, *13*, 933–934. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Rubio, L.; Galipienso, L.; Ferriol, I. Detection of plant viruses and disease management: Relevance of genetic diversity and evolution. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1092. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. De Fazio, G.; Caner, J.; Vicente, M. Effect of virazole (ribavirin) on tomato spotted wilt virus in two systemic hosts, tomato and tobacco. *Arch. Virol.* **1980**, *63*, 305–309. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Jones, R.A.C. Global plant virus disease pandemics and epidemics. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 233. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Chung, B.N.; Yoon, J.Y.; Palukaitis, P. Engineered resistance in potato against potato leaf roll virus, potatovirus A and potato virus Y. *Virus Genes* **2013**, *47*, 86–92. [[CrossRef](#)]

8. Li, H.; Ding, X.; Wang, C.; Ke, H.; Wu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Liu, H.; Guo, J. Control of tomato yellow leaf curl virus disease by *Enterobacter asburiae* BQ9 as a result of priming plant resistance in tomatoes. *Turk. J. Biol.* **2016**, *40*, 150–159. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Mishra, J.; Tewari, S.; Singh, S.; Arora, N.K. Biopesticides: Where we stand? In *Plant-Microbes Symbiosis: Applied Facets*; Arora, N.K., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; pp. 37–75. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Maksimov, I.V.; Maksimova, T.I.; Sarvarova, E.R.; Blagova, D.K. Endophytic bacteria as effective agents of new-generation biopesticides (A Review). *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* **2018**, *54*, 128–140. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Maksimov, I.V.; Sorokan, A.V.; Burkhanova, G.F.; Veselova, S.V.; Alekseev, V.Y.; Shein, M.Y.; Avalbaev, A.M.; Dhaware, P.D.; Mehetre, G.T.; Singh, B.P.; et al. Mechanisms of plant tolerance to RNA viruses induced by plant-growth-promoting microorganisms. *Plants* **2019**, *8*, 575. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Clay, K. Fungal endophytes of grasses: A defensive mutualism between plants and fungi. *Ecology* **1988**, *69*, 10–16. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Siegel, M.R.; Latch, G.C.M. Expression of antifungal activity in agar culture by isolates of grass endophytes. *Mycologia* **1991**, *83*, 529–537. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Bouizgarne, B. Bacteria for plant growth promotion and disease management. In *Bacteria in Agrobiolgy: Disease Management*; Maheshwari, D.K., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012; pp. 15–46.
15. LeCocq, K.; Gurr, S.J.; Hirsch, P.R.; Mauchline, T.H. Exploitation of endophytes for sustainable agricultural intensification. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2017**, *18*, 469–473. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Kunoh, H. Endophytic actinomycetes: Attractive biocontrol agents. *J. Gen. Plant Pathol.* **2002**, *68*, 249–252. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Salwan, R.; Sharma, V. Role of actinobacteria in production of industrial enzymes. In *Book Volume on Actinobacteria: Diversity and Biotechnological Applications*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; ISBN 9780444639950.
18. Pandey, R.N.; Gandhi, K.B.; Manjunatha, L. In vitro evaluation of actinobacteria for biocontrol of dry root rot and Fusarium wilt diseases in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *J. Food Legumes* **2021**, *34*, 245–247.
19. Dong, D.; Wang, C.M.; Wu, Y.H. Antiphytoviral action mechanism of cytosine peptidemycin's complexation chemical pesticide 06. *J. Shenyang Agric. Univ.* **2006**, *37*, 593–596.
20. Wang, Y.H.; Wu, Y.H.; Zhu, C.Y.; Du, C.M. Studies on a new antiviral component of cytosinepeptidemycin. *J. Shenyang Agric. Univ.* **2006**, *37*, 44–47. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Zhang, G.Q.; Han, L.R.; Zhang, G.F.; Zhang, X.; Feng, J.T. Purification and characterization of a novel glycoprotein from *Streptomyces* sp. ZX01. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2015**, *78*, 195–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Han, Y.; Luo, Y.; Qin, S.; Xi, L.; Wan, B.; Du, L. Induction of systemic resistance against tobacco mosaic virus by ningnanmycin in tobacco. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* **2014**, *111*, 14–18. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Lam, K.S. Discovery of novel metabolites from marine actinomycetes. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2006**, *9*, 245–255. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Latake, S.B.; Borkar, S.G. Characterization of marine actinomycete having antiviral activity against cucumber mosaic virus. *Curr. Sci.* **2017**, *113*, 1442–1447. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Mohamed, S.H.; Galal, A.M. Identification and antiviral activities of some halo tolerant *Streptomyces* isolated from Qaroon lake. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **2005**, *7*, 747–753.
26. Xing, Z.; Li-Rong, H.; Da-Wei, Z.; Bing-Nian, J.; Jun-Tao, F. Anti-TMV activity of the fermentation product of actinomycetes strain ZX 01. *J. Northwest A. F. Univ.* **2012**, *1*, 30–36.
27. Chen, J.; Liu, H.; Xia, Z.; Zhao, X.; Wu, Y.; An, M. Purification and structural analysis of the effective anti-TMV compound  $\epsilon$ -Poly-L-lysine produced by *Streptomyces ahygroscopicus*. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 1156. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Saritha, M.; Prasad, N.V.; Tollamadugu, K.V. The status of research and application of biofertilizers and biopesticides: Global scenario. In *Recent Developments in Applied Microbiology and Biochemistry*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2019; pp. 195–207.
29. Ramzan, M.; Bushra, T.; Idrees, A.N.; Anwar, K.M.; Tariq, M.F.A.; Naila, S.; Abdul, Q.R.; Muhammad, U.B.; Nida, T.; Tayyab, H. Identification and application of biocontrol agents against Cotton leaf curl virus disease in *Gossypium hirsutum* under greenhouse conditions. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.* **2016**, *30*, 469–478. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Mishra, S.; Jagadeesh, K.S.; Krishnaraj, P.U.; Prem, S. Biocontrol of tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) in tomato with chitosan supplemented formulations of *Pseudomonas* sp. under field conditions. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* **2014**, *8*, 347–355.
31. Murphy, J.F.; Zehnder, G.W.; Schuster, D.J.; Sikora, E.J.; Polston, J.E.; Kloepper, J.W. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacterial mediated protection in tomato against Tomato mottle virus. *Plant Dis.* **2000**, *84*, 779–784. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Kandan, A.; Radjaccomare, R.; Ramiah, M.; Ramanathan, A.; Samiyappan, R. PGPR induced systemic resistance in cowpea against tomato spotted wilt virus by activating defense against tomato spotted wilt virus by activating defense related enzymes and compound. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria, Calicut, India, 5–10 October 2003; Sarma, Y.R., Ed.; IISR Publishers: Calicut, India, 2003; pp. 480–486.
33. Maurhofer, M.; Reimmann, C.; Schmidli-Sacherer, P.; Heeb, S.; Haas, D.; D efago, G. Salicylic acid biosynthetic genes expressed in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain p3 improve the induction of systemic resistance in tobacco against tobacco necrosis virus. *Phytopathology* **1998**, *88*, 678–684. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Zehnder, G.W.; Yao, C.; Murphy, J.F.; Sikora, E.R.; Kloepper, J.W.; Schuster, D.J.; Polston, J.E. Microbe-induced resistance against pathogens and herbivores: Evidence of effectiveness in agriculture. In *Induced Plant Defenses Against Pathogens and Herbivores: Biochemistry, Ecology, and Agriculture*; Agrawal, A.A., Tuzun, S., Bent, E., Eds.; American Phytopathological Society: St. Paul, MN, USA, 1999; pp. 335–355.
35. Anonymous. Available online: <http://minsem lab.ru/agrobakter/biogran> (accessed on 4 December 2019).

36. Beris, D.; Theologidis, I.; Skandalis, N.; Vassilakos, N. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain MBI600 induces salicylic acid-dependent resistance in tomato plants against Tomato spotted wilt virus and Potato Virus, Y. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 10320. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Damayanti, T.A.; Katerina, T. Protection of hot pepper against multiple infections of viruses by utilizing root colonizing bacteria. *J. ISSAAS* **2008**, *14*, 92–100.
38. Veselova, S.V.; Sorokan, A.V.; Burkhanova, G.F.; Rummyantsev, S.D.; Cherepanova, E.A.; Alekseev, V.Y.; Sarvarova, E.R.; Kasimova, A.R.; Maksimov, I.V. By Modulating the Hormonal Balance and Ribonuclease Activity of Tomato Plants *Bacillus subtilis* Induces Defense Response against Potato Virus X and Potato Virus, Y. *Biomolecules* **2022**, *12*, 288. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Vinodkumar, S.; Nakkeeran, S.; Renukadevi, P.; Mohankumar, S. Diversity and antiviral potential of rhizospheric and endophytic *Bacillus* species and phyto-antiviral principles against tobacco streak virus in cotton. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2018**, *267*, 42–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Loebenstein, G.; Lovrekovich, L. Interference with tobacco mosaic virus local lesion formation in tobacco by injection heat-killed cells of *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Virology* **1966**, *30*, 587–591. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Yang, J.; Guo, C.; Zhai, X.; Shen, L.; Qian, Y.; Wang, F. Inactivation of Tobacco mosaic virus in soil by *Pseudomonas putida* A3-m strain to prevent virus mosaic disease. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* **2012**, *6*, 6300–6307. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Wang, F.D.; Feng, G.H.; Chen, K.S. Burdock fructooligosaccharide induces resistance to tobacco mosaic virus in tobacco seedlings. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2009**, *74*, 34–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Lian, L.; Xie, L.; Zheng, L.; Lin, Q. Induction of systemic resistance in tobacco against Tobacco mosaic virus by *Bacillus* spp. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *21*, 281–292. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Sharipova, M.; Rockstroh, A.; Balaban, N.; Mardanova, A.; Toymentseva, A.; Tikhonova, A.; Vologin, S.; Stashevsky, Z. Antiviral Effect of ribonuclease from *Bacillus pumilus* against phytopathogenic RNA-Viruses. *Agric. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 1357–1366. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Ju, Y.P.; Si, Y.Y.; Young, C.K.; Jin-Cheol, K.; Quang, L.D.; Jeong, J.K.; In, S.K. Antiviral peptide from *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6 against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV). *J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol.* **2012**, *55*, 89–94.
46. Shankar, A.C.; Udaya, N.S.; Chandra, N.-R.S.; Kumar, H.B.; Reddy, M.S.; Niranjana, S.R.; Prakash, H.S. Rhizobacteria mediated resistance against the blackeye cowpea mosaic strain of bean common mosaic virus in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2009**, *65*, 1059–1064. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Bergstrom, G.C.; Johnson, M.C.; Kuc, J. Effects of local infection of cucumber by *Colletotrichum lagenarium*, *Pseudomonas lachrymans*, or tobacco necrosis virus on systemic resistance to cucumber mosaic virus. *Phytopathology* **1982**, *72*, 922–926. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Murphy, J.F.; Reddy, M.S.; Ryu, C.M.; Kloepper, J.W.; Li, R. Rhizobacteria-mediated growth promotion of tomato leads to protection against cucumber mosaic virus. *Phytopathology* **2003**, *93*, 1301–1307. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Zehnder, G.W.; Yao, C.; Murphy, J.F.; Sikora, E.J.; Kloepper, J.W. Induction of resistance in tomato against Cucumber mosaic *Cucumovirus* by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Biocontrol* **2000**, *45*, 127–137. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Lee, G.H.; Ryu, C.M. Spraying of Leaf-Colonizing *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* Protects Pepper from Cucumber mosaic virus. *Plant Dis.* **2016**, *100*, 2099–2105. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Kumar, S.; Chauhan, P.S.; Agrawal, L.; Raj, R.; Srivastava, A.; Gupta, S.; Mishra, S.K.; Yadav, S.; Singh, P.C.; Raj, S.K.; et al. *Paenibacillus lentimorbis* inoculation enhances tobacco growth and extenuates the virulence of Cucumber mosaic virus. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0149980. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Ryu, C.M.; Murphy, J.F.; Mysore, K.S.; Kloepper, J.W. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria systemically protect *Arabidopsis thaliana* against Cucumber mosaic virus by a salicylic acid and NPR1-independent and jasmonic acid-dependent signaling pathway. *Plant J.* **2004**, *39*, 381–392. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Lee, G.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, K.M.; Ryu, C.M. Foliar application of the leaf-colonizing yeast *Pseudozyma churashimaensis* elicits systemic defense of pepper against bacterial and viral pathogens. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *10*, 39432. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Karthikeyan, G.; Doraisamy, S.; Rabindran, R. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* mediated systemic resistance against urdbean leaf crinkle virus in blackgram (*Vigna mungo*). *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protect.* **2009**, *42*, 201–212. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Jetiyanon, K.; Fowler, W.; Kloepper, J.W. Broad-spectrum protection against several pathogens by PGPR mixtures under field conditions in Thailand. *Plant Dis.* **2003**, *87*, 1390–1394. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Raupach, G.S.; Liu, L.; Murphy, J.F.; Tuzun, S.; Kloepper, J.W. Induced systemic resistance of cucumber and tomato against cucumber mosaic cucumovirus using plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). *Plant Dis.* **1996**, *80*, 891–894. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Srinivasan, K.; Mathivanan, N. Biological control of sunflower necrosis virus disease with powder and liquid formulations of plant growth promoting microbial consortia under field conditions. *Biocontrol* **2009**, *51*, 395–402. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Abdalla, O.A.; Bibi, S.; Zhang, S. Application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to control Papaya ring spot virus and Tomato chlorotic spot virus. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.* **2017**, *50*, 584–597. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Harish, S.; Kavino, M.; Kumar, N.; Saravanakumar, D.; Soorianatha sundaram, K.; Samiyappan, R. Biohardening with plant growth promoting rhizosphere and endophytic bacteria induces systemic resistance against Banana bunchy top virus. *Appl. Soil. Ecol.* **2008**, *39*, 187–200. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Kavino, M.; Harish, S.; Kumar, N.; Saravanakumar, D.; Samiyappan, R. Induction of systemic resistance in banana (*Musa* spp.) against Banana bunchy top virus (BBTV) by combining chitin with root-colonizing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHA0. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2008**, *120*, 353–362. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Firmansyah, D.; Widodo Hidayat, S.H. Chitosan and Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria Application to Control Squash mosaic virus on Cucumber Plants. *Asian J. Plant Pathol.* **2017**, *11*, 148–155. [[CrossRef](#)]



62. Yi, H.-S.; Yang, J.W.; Ryu, C.M. ISR meets SA Routside: Additive action of the endophyte *Bacillus pumilus* INR7 and the chemical inducer, benzothiadiazole, on induced resistance against bacterial spot in field-grown pepper. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 122. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Tollenaere, C.; Lacombe, S.; Wonni, I.; Barro, M.; Ndougou, C.; Gnacko, F.; Séréme, D.; Jacobs, J.M.; Hebrard, E.; Cunnac, S.; et al. Virus-bacteria rice co-infection in Africa: Field estimation, reciprocal effects, molecular mechanisms, and evolutionary implications. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 645. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Shapiro, L.R.; Salvaudon, L.; Mauck, K.E.; Pulido, H.; De Moraes, C.M.; Stephenson, A.G.; Mescher, M.C. Disease interactions in a shared host plant: Effects of pre-existing viral infection on cucurbit plant defense responses and resistance to bacterial wilt disease. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e77393. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Su, P.; Tan, X.; Li, C.; Zhang, D.; Cheng, J.; Zhang, S.; Zhou, X.; Yan, Q.; Peng, J.; Zhang, Z. Photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas palustris* GJ-22 induces systemic resistance against viruses. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *10*, 612–624. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Damayanti, T.A.; Pardede, H.; Mubarik, N.R. Utilization of root-colonizing bacteria to protect hot-pepper against tobacco mosaic tobamovirus. *Hayati J. Biosci.* **2007**, *14*, 105–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. De Palma, M.; Salzano, M.; Villano, C.; Aversano, R.; Lorito, M.; Ruocco, M.; Docimo, T.; Piccinelli, A.L.; D'Agostino, N.; Tucci, M. Transcriptome reprogramming, epigenetic modifications and alternative splicing orchestrate the tomato root response to the beneficial fungus *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Hortic Res.* **2019**, *6*, 5–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Liu, H.; Carvalhais, L.C.; Crawford, M.; Singh, E.; Dennis, P.G.; Pieterse, C.M.J.; Schenk, P.M. Inner plant values: Diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Front Microbiol.* **2017**, *8*, 2552. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Muvea, A.M.; Meyhöfer, R.; Subramanian, S.; Poehling, H.-M.; Ekesi, S.; Maniana, N.K. Colonization of onions by endophytic fungi and their impacts on the biology of *Thrips tabaci*. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e108242. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Muvea, A.M.; Subramanian, S.; Maniana, N.K.; Poehling, H.-M.; Ekesi, S.; Meyhöfer, R. Endophytic colonization of onions induces resistance against viruliferous thrips and virus replication. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1785. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Jung, S.C.; Martinez-Medina, A.; Lopez-Raez, J.A.; Pozo, M.J. Mycorrhiza-Induced Resistance and Priming of Plant Defenses. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2012**, *38*, 651–664. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Pieterse, C.M.J.; Zamioudis, C.; Berendsen, R.L.; Weller, D.M.; Van Wees, S.C.M.; Bakker, P.A.H.M. Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **2014**, *52*, 347–375. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Selim, S.A.; El-Alfy, S.; Al-Ruwaili, M.; Abdo, A.; Al-Jaouni, S. Susceptibility of imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to flavonoid glycosides of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) tamar growing in Al Madinah, Saudi Arabia. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *11*, 416–422. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Lehtonen, P.T.; Helander, M.; Siddiqui, S.A.; Lehto, K.; Saikonen, K. Endophytic fungus decreases plant virus infections in meadow ryegrass (*Lolium pratense*). *Biol. Lett.* **2006**, *2*, 620–623. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Scharld, C.L.; Phillips, T.D. Protective grass endophytes: Where are they from and where are they going? *Plant Dis.* **1997**, *81*, 430–438. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Lehtonen, P.; Helander, M.; Wink, M.; Sporer, F.; Saikonen, K. Transfer of endophyte-origin defensive alkaloids from a grass to a hemiparasitic plant. *Ecol. Lett.* **2005**, *8*, 1256–1263. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Reddy, A.; Reddy, L.; Mallikarjuna, N.; Abdurahman, M.D.; Reddy, Y.V.; Bramel, P.J.; Reddy, D.V.R. Identification of resistance to peanut bud necrosis virus (PBNV) in wild *Arachis* germplasm. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2000**, *137*, 135–139. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Kandam, A.; Ramiah, M.; Vasanthi, V.J.; Radjaccomare, R.; Nandakumar, R.; Ramanathan, A.; Samiyappan, R. Use of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*-based formulations for management of tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) and enhanced yield in tomato. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *15*, 553–569. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Van Loon, L.C. Induced resistance in plants and the role of pathogenesis-related proteins. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **1997**, *103*, 753–765. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Kloepper, J.W.; Ryu, C.M.; Zhang, S. Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. *Phytopathology* **2004**, *94*, 1259–1266. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Rochal, K.K.L.; Pierre, E.; Diane, Y.Y.; Sahu, K.P.; Vanessa, N.D.; Herman, K.W.T.; Louise, N.W. Biological elicitor potential of endospheric *Trichoderma* and derived consortia against pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) leaf curl virus. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.* **2021**, *54*, 1926–1952. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Peng, C.; Zhang, A.; Wang, Q.; Song, Y.; Zhang, M.; Ding, X.; Li, Y.; Geng, Q.; Zhu, C. Ultrahigh-activity immune inducer from endophytic fungi induces tobacco resistance to virus by SA pathway and RNA silencing. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2020**, *20*, 169. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Jaber, L.R.; Salem, N.M. Endophytic colonization of squash by the fungal entomopathogen, *Beauveria bassiana* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) for managing Zucchini yellow mosaic virus in Cucurbits. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *24*, 1096–1109. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Salas-Marina, M.A.; Silva-Flores, M.A.; Uresti-Rivera, E.E.; Castro-Longoria, E.; Herrera-Estrella, A.; Casas-Flores, S. Colonization of Arabidopsis roots by *Trichoderma atroviride* promotes growth and enhances systemic disease resistance through Jasmonic acid/Ethylene and Salicylic acid pathways. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2011**, *131*, 15–26. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Kiarie, S.; Nyasani, J.O.; Gohole, L.S.; Maniana, N.K.; Subramanian, S. Impact of Fungal Endophyte Colonization of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) on Induced Resistance to Thrips- and Aphid-Transmitted Viruses. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 416. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Vitti, A.; Pellegrini, E.; Nali, C.; Lovelli, S.; Sofo, A.; Valerio, M.; Scopa, A.; Nuzzaci, M. *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22 Induces systemic resistance in tomato infected by Cucumber mosaic virus. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 15–20. [[CrossRef](#)]

87. Zhao, L.; Feng, C.H.; Hou, C.T.; Hu, L.Y.; Wang, Q.C.; Wu, Y.F. First discovery of acetone extract from cottonseed oil sludge as a novel antiviral agent against plant viruses. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, 117496. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Wang, J.; Wang, H.Y.; Xia, X.M.; Li, P.P.; Wang, K.Y. Inhibitory effect of sulfated lentinan and lentinan against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) in tobacco seedlings. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2013**, *61*, 264–269. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Ge, Y.H.; Liu, K.X.; Zhang, J.X.; Mu, S.Z.; Hao, X.J. The limonoids and their antitobacco mosaic virus (TMV) activities from *Munronia unifoliolata* Oliv. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 4289–4295. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Kulye, M.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Y.L.; Zeng, H.M.; Yang, X.F.; Qiu, D.W. Hrip1, a novel protein elicitor from necrotrophic fungus, *Alternaria tenuissima*, elicits cell death, expression of defence-related genes and systemic acquired resistance in tobacco. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2012**, *35*, 2104–2120. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Fu, M.J.; Lin, J.Q.; Wu, Z.J.; Lin, Q.Y.; Xie, L.H. Screening of proteins anti-tobacco mosaic virus in *Pleurotus eryngii*. *Acta Microbiol. Sin.* **2003**, *43*, 29–34.
92. Fu, M.J.; Wu, Z.J.; Lin, Q.Y.; Xie, L.H. Purification of a antiviral protein in *Pleurotus citrinopileatus* and its activities against tobacco mosaic virus and hepatitis B virus. *Virol. Sin.* **2002**, *17*, 350–353.
93. Zhang, C.; Cao, H.Y.; Chen, L. Preliminary study on the inhibition of polysaccharide of edible fungi to plant virus. *J. Anhui Agric. Univ.* **2005**, *32*, 15–18.
94. Luo, Y.; Zhang, D.D.; Dong, X.W.; Zhao, P.B.; Chen, L.L.; Song, X.Y.; Wang, X.J.; Chen, X.L.; Shi, M.; Zhang, Y.Z. Antimicrobial peptaibols induce defense responses and systemic resistance in tobacco against tobacco mosaic virus. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2010**, *313*, 120–126. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Shen, S.; Li, W.; Ouyang, M.; Wu, Z.; Lin, Q.; Xie, L. Identification of two marine fungi and evaluation of their antiviral and antitumor activities. *Acta Microbiol. Sin.* **2009**, *49*, 1240–1246.
96. Wu, L.P.; Wu, Z.J.; Lin, Q.Y.; Xie, L.H. Purification and activities of an alkaline protein from mushroom *Coprinus comatus*. *Acta Microbiol. Sin.* **2003**, *43*, 793–798.
97. Wu, Y.B.; Xie, L.Y.; Xie, L.H.; Lin, Q.Y.; Lin, S.F. A preliminary study on anti-TMV activity of polysaccharide from *Coprinus comatus*. *Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull.* **2007**, *23*, 338–341.
98. Ingwell, L.L.; Eigenbrode, S.D.; Bosque-Pérez, N.A. Plant viruses alter insect behavior to enhance their spread. *Sci. Rep.* **2012**, *2*, 578. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Mauck, K.; Bosque-Pérez, N.A.; Eigenbrode, S.D.; DeMoraes, C.M.; Mescher, M.C. Transmission mechanisms shape pathogen effects on host–vector interactions: Evidence from plant viruses. *Funct. Ecol.* **2012**, *26*, 1162–1175. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Pan, H.; Chen, G.; Li, F.; Wi, Q.; Want, S.; Xie, W.; Liu, B.; Xu, B.; Zhang, Y. Tomato spotted wilt virus infection reduces the fitness of a nonvector herbivore on pepper. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **2013**, *106*, 924–928. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Schenk, M.F.; Hamelink, R.; Van der Vlugt, R.A.A.; Vermunt, A.M.; Kaarsenmaker, R.C.; Stijger, I.C. The use of attenuated isolates of Pepino mosaic virus for cross-protection. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2010**, *127*, 249–261. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Pechinger, K.; Chooi, K.M.; MacDiarmid, R.M.; Harper, S.J.; Ziebell, H. A New Era for Mild Strain Cross-Protection. *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, 670. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Folimonova, S.Y. Developing an understanding of cross-protection by Citrus tristeza virus. *Front. Microbiol.* **2013**, *4*, 76. [[CrossRef](#)]
104. Lu, B.; Stubbs, G.; Culver, J.N. Coat protein interactions involved in tobacco mosaic tobamovirus cross-protection. *Virology* **1998**, *248*, 188–198. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Beachy, R.N. Coat protein-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus: Discovery mechanisms and exploitation. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **1999**, *354*, 659–664. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Lee, Y.M.; Tscherne, D.M.; Yun, S.I.; Frolov, I.; Rice, C.M. Dual mechanisms of pestiviral superinfection exclusion at entry and RNA replication. *J. Virol.* **2005**, *79*, 3231–3242. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Ratcliff, F.; MacFarlane, S.; Baulcombe, D.C. Gene silencing without DNA. RNA-mediated cross-protection between viruses. *Plant Cell.* **1999**, *11*, 1207–1216. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Hull, R. *Matthews' Plant Virology*; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 2002.
109. Pooggin, M.M. Small RNA-omics for plant virus identification, virome reconstruction, and antiviral defense characterization. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 2779. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Voinnet, O. RNA silencing as a plant immune system against viruses. *Trends Genet.* **2001**, *17*, 449–459. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
111. Bhattacharjee, S.; Zamora, A.; Azhar, M.T.; Sacco, M.A.; Lambert, L.H.; Moffett, P. Virus resistance induced by NB-LRR proteins involve Argonaute4-dependent translational control. *Plant J.* **2009**, *58*, 940–951. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
112. Sanfaçon, H. Plant translation factors and virus resistance. *Viruses* **2015**, *7*, 3392–3419. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Cho, J.J.; Ullman, D.E.; Wheatley, E.; Holly, J.; Gonsalves, D. Commercialization of ZYMV cross-protection for zucchini production in Hawaii. *Phytopathology* **1992**, *82*, 1073.
114. Yarden, G.; Hemo, R.; Livne, H.; Maoz, E.; Lev, E.; Lecoq, H.; Raccah, B. Cross-protection of cucurbitaceae from zucchini yellow mosaic potyvirus. *Acta Hort.* **2000**, *510*, 349–359. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Hughes, J.d.A.; Ollennu, L.A.A. Mild strain protection of cocoa in Ghana against cocoa swollen shoot virus—A review. *Plant Pathol.* **1994**, *43*, 442–457. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Tien, P.; Zhang, X.H. Control of two plant viruses by protection inoculation in China. *Seed Sci. Technol.* **1983**, *11*, 969–972.
117. Yeh, S.D.; Gonsalves, D.; Wang, H.L.; Namba, R.; Chiu, R.J. Control of papaya ringspot virus by cross protection. *Plant Dis.* **1988**, *72*, 375–380. [[CrossRef](#)]

118. Jones, M.K.; Watanabe, M.; Zhu, S.; Graves, C.L.; Keyes, L.R.; Grau, K.R.; Gonzalez-Hernandez, M.B.; Iovine, N.M.; Wobus, C.E.; Vinje, J.; et al. Enteric bacteria promote human and mouse norovirus infection of B cells. *Science* **2014**, *346*, 755–759. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Almand, E.A.; Moore, M.D.; Outlaw, J.; Jaykus, L.A. Human norovirus binding to select bacteria representative of the human gut microbiota. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0173124. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Almand, E.A.; Moore, M.D.; Jaykus, L.A. Virus-bacteria interactions: An emerging topic in human infection. *Viruses* **2017**, *9*, 58. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Pfeiffer, J.K.; Virgin, H.W. Transkingdom control of viral infection and immunity in the mammalian intestine. *Science* **2016**, *351*, aad5872. [[CrossRef](#)]
122. Zhao, L.; Feng, C.; Wu, K.; Chen, W.; Chen, Y.; Hao, X.; Wu, Y. Advances and prospects in biogenic substances against plant virus: A review. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **2016**, *135*, 15–26. [[CrossRef](#)]
123. Zhao, L.; Hao, X.A.; Wu, Y.F. Inhibitory effect of polysaccharide peptide (PSP) against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV). *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2015**, *75*, 474–478. [[CrossRef](#)]
124. Wang, S.; Zhong, F.D.; Zhang, Y.J.; Wu, Z.J.; Lin, Q.Y.; Xie, L.H. Molecular characterization of a new lectin from the marine algae *Ulva pertusa*. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* **2004**, *36*, 111–117. [[CrossRef](#)]
125. Kolase, S.V.; Sawant, D.M. Isolation and efficacy of antiviral principles from *Trichoderma* spp. against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) on tomato. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **2007**, *32*, 108–110.
126. Calil, I.P.; Fontes, E.P.B. Plant immunity against viruses: Antiviral immune receptors in focus. *Ann. Bot.* **2016**, *119*, 711–723. [[CrossRef](#)]
127. Magarvey, N.A.; Keller, J.M.; Bernan, V.; Dworkin, M.; Sherman, D.H. Isolation and characterization of novel marine-derived actinomycete taxa rich in bioactive metabolites. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2004**, *70*, 7520–7529. [[CrossRef](#)]
128. Abbasi, S.; Safaie, N.; Sadeghi, A.; Shamsbakhsh, M. Streptomyces strains induce resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* sp. *lycopersici* race 3 in tomato through different molecular mechanisms. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 1505. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Abd El-Rahim, W.M.; Moawad, H.; Azeiz, A.Z.A.; Sadowsky, M.J. Optimization of conditions for decolorization of azo-based textile dyes by multiple fungal species. *J. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *260*, 11–17. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
130. Galal, A.M. Induction of systemic acquired resistance in cucumber plant against cucumber mosaic cucumovirus by local *Streptomyces* strains. *Plant Pathol. J.* **2006**, *5*, 343–349. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. De Meyer, G.; Audenaert, K.; Hofte, M. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2-induced systemic resistance in tobacco depends on in planta salicylic acid accumulation but is not associated with PR1a expression. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **1999**, *105*, 513–517. [[CrossRef](#)]
132. Li, Y.; Muhammad, T.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, D.; Crabbe, M.J.C.; Liang, Y. Salicylic acid collaborates with gene silencing to tomato defense against tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV). *Pak. J. Bot.* **2018**, *50*, 2041–2054.
133. Ara, I.; Bukhari, N.A.; Aref, N.M.; Shinwari, M.M.A.; Bakir, M.A. Antiviral activities of streptomyces against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) in *Datura* plant: Evaluation of different organic compounds in their metabolites. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *11*, 2130–2138.
134. Askora, A.A. Antiphytoviral Studies from Certain Actinomycetal Isolates. Ph.D. Thesis, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt, 2005.
135. Thirumalachar, M.J. Antibiotics in the control of plant diseases. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **1968**, *10*, 313–332.
136. Bancroft, J.B.; Key, J.L. Effect of actinomycin and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid on multiplication of a plant virus in etiolated soybean hypocotyls. *Nature* **1964**, *202*, 729–730. [[CrossRef](#)]
137. Hirai, T. Studies on the chemotherapy for the plant virus diseases. *Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn.* **1962**, *27*, 115–121. [[CrossRef](#)]
138. Mace, K.D. Effects of Oxytetracycline and streptomycin on the metabolism of tobacco leaf tissue and tobacco mosaic virus multiplication. *Diss. Abstr.* **1965**, *25*, 5220.
139. Milchenko, K.P. Effects of culture liquids and extracts of some soil bacteria on tobacco mosaic virus. *Microbiol. J. USSR* **1969**, *31*, 356–360.
140. Huang, K.T.; Misato, T. Agricultural antibiotics. *Rev. Pl. Prot. Res.* **1970**, *3*, 12–23.
141. Raychaudhuri, S.P. Inhibition of plant viruses by growth regulators. *Pl. Dis. Probl.* **1970**, 489–500.
142. Kataoka, M.; Doke, N.; Hirai, T. Effects of antibiotics, inhibitors against protein synthesis on tobacco mosaic virus multiplication and the host metabolism. *Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan.* **1969**, *35*, 329–338. [[CrossRef](#)]
143. Maduwesi, J.N.C. Biological and biochemical studies with Wisconsin pea streak virus. *Diss. Abstr.* **1965**, *25*, 3200–3201.
144. Maduwesi, J.N.C.; Hegedorn, D.J. The effects of two antibiotics on the multiplication of pea streak virus. *J. W. Afr. Sci. Ass.* **1966**, *11*, 73–76.
145. Jagannathan, T.; Ramakrishnan, K. Inhibition of cucumber mosaic virus by blasticidin S. *J. Phytopathol.* **1974**, *79*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
146. Phatak, H.C.; Batra, H.K. In vitro effects of polemic antibiotics (Pentaene G8) produced by *Streptomyces anandi* on TMV and SMV viruses. *Indian. J. Microbiol.* **1966**, *6*, 49–50.
147. Freitag, J.H.; Smith, S.H. Effect of tetracycline on symptom expression and leaf-hopper transmission of aster yellows. *Phytopathology* **1969**, *59*, 18–20.
148. Kümmert, J.; Semal, J. Inhibition of the multiplication of bromegrass mosaic virus in barley by antibiotic blasticidin S. *Phytopathology* **1971**, *61*, 10–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
149. Govindu, H.C.; Thirumalachar, M.J. Controlling tomato leaf curl by antibiotics. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Congress of Plant Pathology, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 10–12 September 1973. Abstract 0291.
150. Manjunatha, L.; Patil, M.S.; Thimmegowda, P.R.; Vijaya Mahantesha, S.R.; Basamma. Effect of Antiviral Principle on Groundnut Bud Necrosis Virus. *J. Plant Dis. Sci.* **2010**, *5*, 12–15.

151. Vanthana, M.; Nakkeeran, S.; Malathi, V.G.; Renukadevi, P.; Vinodkumar, S. Induction of in planta resistance by flagellin (Flg) and elongation factor-TU (EF-Tu) of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (VB7) against groundnut bud necrosis virus in tomato. *Microb. Pathog.* **2019**, *137*, 103757. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
152. Kluge, S.; Paunow, S. Untersuchungen zur Wirkung von Chloramphenicol auf die Virusvermehrung, die Bildung von Lokalläsionen und den Gehalt an löslichen Proteinen virusinfizierter Pflanzen. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.* **1975**, *11*, 81–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
153. Misra, A.; Nienhaus, R. Inhibition of virus tumor formation in tobacco by antibiotics. *Phytopath. Z.* **1977**; *in press*. [[CrossRef](#)]
154. Malik, V.S. Chloramphenicol. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **1972**, *15*, 297–336. [[PubMed](#)]
155. Conti, G.G. Effects of antibiotic daunomycin on the multiplication and infectivity of tobacco mosaic virus. *Riv. Pat. Veg. Pavia* **1968**, *4*, 267–282.
156. Betto, E.; Conti, G.G.; Vegetti, G. Alcuni aspetti delle interazioni virus-piantaospitostudiati con radioisotopi. In Proceedings of the VIII Symposium International Agrochimica su L' Energia Nucleare in Agricoltura, Venice, Italy; 1971; pp. 123–133.
157. Dawson, W.U.; Schlegel, D.H. The sequence or inhibition of tobacco mosaic virus synthesis by actinomycin D, 2-thiouracil, cycloheximide in synchronous infection. *Phytopathology* **1976**, *66*, 177–181. [[CrossRef](#)]
158. Ohashi, Y.; Shimomura, T. Induction of localized necrotic lesions by actinomycin D on leaves systemically infected with tobacco mosaic virus. *Virology* **1972**, *48*, 601–603. [[CrossRef](#)]
159. Noguchi, T.T.; Shomura, Y.; Yasuda, T.; Nuda. Inhibitory effects of miharamycin A on the multiplication of plant viruses and the symptom development. *Ann. Phytopathol. Path. Soc. Jpn.* **1968**, *34*, 325–327. [[CrossRef](#)]
160. Misato, T. The development of agricultural antibiotics in Japan. *Jpn. Pestic. Inf.* **1969**, *1*, 15–18.
161. Goldberg, I.H.; Friedman, P.A. Antibiotics and nucleic acids. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* **1971**, *40*, 775–810. [[CrossRef](#)]
162. Schaeffer, D.J.; Krylov, V.S. Anti-HIV activity of extracts and compounds from algae and cyanobacteria. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2000**, *45*, 208–227. [[CrossRef](#)]
163. Morya, V.K.; Kim, J.; Kim, E.K. Algal fucoidan: Structural and size dependent bioactivities and their perspectives. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *93*, 71–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
164. Pardee, K.I.; Ellis, P.; Bouthillier, M.; Towers, G.H.N.; French, C.J. Plant virus inhibitors from marine algae. *Can. J. Bot.* **2004**, *82*, 304–309. [[CrossRef](#)]
165. Nagorskaia, V.P.; Reunov, A.V.; Lapshina, L.A.; Ermak, I.M.; Barabanova, A.O. Influence of kappa/beta-carrageenan from red alga *Tichocarpus crinitus* on development of local infection induced by tobacco mosaic virus in Xanthi-nc tobacco leaves. *Biol. Bull.* **2008**, *35*, 310–314. [[CrossRef](#)]
166. Liu, Z.Y.; Xie, L.Y.; Wu, Z.J.; Lin, Q.Y.; Xie, L.H. Purification and characterization of anti-TMV protein from a marine algae *Ulva pertusa*. *Acta Phytopathol. Sin.* **2005**, *35*, 256–261.
167. Shibuya, N.; Minami, E. Oligosaccharide signaling for defence responses in plant. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2001**, *59*, 223–233. [[CrossRef](#)]
168. Ren, Y.; West, C.A. Elicitation of diterpene biosynthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by chitin. *Plant Physiol.* **1992**, *99*, 1169–1178. [[CrossRef](#)]
169. Lu, H.; Zhao, X.M.; Wang, W.X.; Yin, H.; Xu, J.G.; Bai, X.F.; Du, Y.G. Inhibition effect on tobacco mosaic virus and regulation effect on calreticulin of oligochitosan in tobacco by induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2010**, *82*, 136–142. [[CrossRef](#)]
170. Claus-Desbonnet, H.; Nikly, E.; Nalbantova, V.; Karcheva-Bahchevanska, D.; Ivanova, S.; Pierre, G.; Benbassat, N.; Katsarov, P.; Michaud, P.; Lukova, P.; et al. Polysaccharides and their derivatives as potential antiviral molecules. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 426. [[CrossRef](#)]
171. Perez-Paya, E.; Houghten, R.A.; Blondell, S.E. The role of amphipathicity in the folding, self-association and biological activity of multiple subunit small proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 1048–1056. [[CrossRef](#)]
172. Marcos, J.F.; Beachy, R.N.; Houghton, R.A. Inhibition of a plant virus infection by analogs of melittin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1995**, *92*, 12466–12469. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
173. Wang, J.; Zhu, Y.K.; Wang, H.Y.; Zhang, H.; Wang, K.Y. Inhibitory effects of esterified whey protein fractions by inducing chemical defense against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) in tobacco seedlings. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* **2012**, *37*, 207–212. [[CrossRef](#)]
174. Jarred, Y.B.; Yuanan, L. Marine compounds and their antiviral activities. *Antivir. Res.* **2010**, *86*, 231–240.
175. Zhao, X.M.; She, X.P.; Du, Y.G.; Liang, X.M. Induction of antiviral resistance and stimulatory effect by oligochitosan in tobacco. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **2007**, *87*, 78–84. [[CrossRef](#)]
176. Iriti, M.; Franco, F. Abscisic acid is involved in chitosan-induced resistance to tobacco necrosis virus (TNV). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2008**, *46*, 1106–1111. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
177. Iriti, M.; Varoni, E.M. Chitosan-induced antiviral activity and innate immunity in plants. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, *22*, 2935–2944. [[CrossRef](#)]
178. Stein, T. *Bacillus subtilis* antibiotics: Structure, syntheses and specific functions. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2005**, *56*, 845–857. [[CrossRef](#)]
179. Zhou, W.W.; Zhang, X.L.; Zhang, B.; Wang, F.; Liang, Z.H.; Niu, T.G. Isolation and characterization of ZH14 with antiviral activity against tobacco mosaic virus. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **2008**, *54*, 441–449. [[CrossRef](#)]
180. Shen, L.L.; Wang, F.L.; Yang, J.G.; Qian, Y.M.; Dong, X.W.; Zhan, H.X. Control of tobacco mosaic virus by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CZ powder in greenhouses and the field. *Crop. Prot.* **2014**, *56*, 87–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
181. Thapa, S.P.; Lee, H.J.; Park, D.H.; Kim, S.K.; Cho, J.M.; Cho, S.; Hur, J.H.; Lim, C.K. Antiviral effects of the culture filtrate from *Serratia marcescens* Gsm01, against cucumber mosaic virus (CMV). *Plant Pathol. J.* **2009**, *25*, 369–375. [[CrossRef](#)]

182. Cai, X.C.; Li, H.; Xue, Y.R.; Liu, C.H. Study of endophytic *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* CC09 and its antifungal CLPs. *J. Appl. Biol. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *1*, 1–5.
183. Cortes-Sanchez, A.J.; Hernandez-Sanchez, H.; Jaramillo-Flores, M.E. Biological activity of glycolipids produced by microorganisms: New trends and possible therapeutic alternatives. *Microbiol. Res.* **2013**, *168*, 22–32. [[CrossRef](#)]
184. Shekhar, S.; Sundaramanickam, A.; Balasubramanian, T. Biosurfactant producing microbes and their potential applications: A review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *45*, 1522–1544. [[CrossRef](#)]
185. Inès, M.; Dhouha, G. Lipopeptide surfactants: Production, recovery and pore forming capacity. *Peptides* **2015**, *71*, 100–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
186. Desoignies, N.; Schramme, F.; Ongena, M.; Legrève, A. Systemic resistance induced by *Bacillus* lipopeptides in *Beta vulgaris* reduces infection by the rhizomania disease vector *Polymyxabetae*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2012**, *14*, 416–421. [[CrossRef](#)]
187. McGrann, G.R.; Grimmer, M.K.; Mutasa-Gottgens, E.S.; Stevens, M. Progress towards the understanding and control of sugar beet rhizomania disease. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2009**, *10*, 129–141. [[CrossRef](#)]
188. Barr, K.J.; Asher, M.J.; Lewis, B.G. Resistance to *Polymyxa betae* in wild *Beta* species. *Plant Pathol.* **1995**, *44*, 301–307. [[CrossRef](#)]
189. Su, H.; Song, S.; Yan, X.; Fang, L.; Zeng, B.; Zhu, Y. Endogenous salicylic acid shows different correlation with baicalin and baicalein in the medicinal plant *Scutellaria baicalensis* Georgi subjected to stress and exogenous salicylic acid. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0192114. [[CrossRef](#)]
190. Ilinskaya, O.N.; Mahmud, R.S. Ribonucleases as antiviral agents. *Mol Biol.* **2014**, *48*, 615–623. [[CrossRef](#)]
191. Sindarovska, Y.R.; Guzyk, O.I.; Yuzvenko, L.V.; Demchenko, O.A.; Didenko, L.F.; Grynevych, O.I.; Spivak, M.Y. Ribonuclease activity of buckwheat plant (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) cultivars with different sensitivities to buckwheat burn virus. *Ukr. Biochem. J.* **2014**, *86*, 33–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
192. Watanabe, Y.; Ogawa, T.; Takahashi, H.; Ishida, I.; Takeuchi, Y.; Yamamoto, M.; Okada, Y. Resistance against multiple plant viruses in plants mediated by a double stranded-RNA specific ribonuclease. *FEBS Lett.* **1995**, *372*, 165–168. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
193. Milosevic, S.; Simonovic, A.; Cingel, A.; Nikolic, D.; Ninkovic, S.; Subotic, A. Introduction of dsRNA-specific ribonuclease pacl1 into *Impatiens walleriana* provides resistance to Tomato spotted wilt virus. *Sci Hort.* **2013**, *164*, 499–506. [[CrossRef](#)]
194. Ogawa, T.; Toguri, T.; Kudoh, H.; Okamura, M.; Momma, T.; Yoshioka, M.; Kato, K.; Hagiwara, Y.; Sano, T. Double-stranded RNA-specific ribonuclease confers tolerance against Chrysanthemum Stunt Viroid and Tomato Spotted Wilt Virus in transgenic Chrysanthemum plants. *Breed Sci.* **2005**, *55*, 49–55. [[CrossRef](#)]
195. Langenberg, W.G.; Zhang, L.; Court, D.L.; Giunchedi, L.; Mitra, A. Transgenic tobacco plants expressing the bacterial rnc gene resist virus infection. *Mol Breed.* **1997**, *3*, 391–399. [[CrossRef](#)]
196. Hameed, A.; Iqbal, Z.; Asad, S.; Mansoor, S. Detection of multiple potato viruses in the field suggests synergistic interactions among potato viruses in Pakistan. *Plant Pathol. J.* **2014**, *30*, 407–415. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
197. Sorokan, A.; Cherepanova, E.; Burkhanova, G.; Veselova, S.; Rumyantsev, S.; Alekseev, V.; Mardanshin, I.; Sarvarova, E.; Khairullin, R.; Benkovskaya, G.; et al. Endophytic *Bacillus* spp. as a prospective biological tool for control of viral diseases and non-vector *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say. in *Solanum tuberosum* L. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 569457. [[CrossRef](#)]
198. Ulyanova, V.; Mahmud, R.S.; Dudkina, E.; Vershinina, V.; Domann, E.; Ilinskaya, O. Phylogenetic distribution of extracellular guanyl preferring ribonucleases renews taxonomic status of two *Bacillus* strains. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* **2016**, *62*, 181–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
199. Ilinskaya, O.; Ulyanova, V.; Lisevich, I.; Dudkina, E.; Zakharchenko, N.; Kusova, A.; Faizullin, D.; Zuev, Y. The native monomer of *Bacillus pumilus* ribonuclease does not exist extra-cellularly. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2018**, *2018*, 4837623. [[CrossRef](#)]
200. Khalaf, E.M.; Raizada, M.N. Bacterial seed endophytes of domesticated cucurbits antagonize fungal and oomycete pathogens including powdery mildew. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 42. [[CrossRef](#)]
201. Yang, X.; Niu, L.; Zhang, W.; Yang, J.; Xing, G.; He, H.; Guo, D.; Du, Q.; Qian, X.; Yao, Y. RNAi-mediated SMV P3 cistron silencing confers significantly enhanced resistance to multiple Potyvirus strains and isolates in transgenic soybean. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2018**, *37*, 103–114. [[CrossRef](#)]
202. Aman, R.; Ali, Z.; Butt, H.; Mahas, A.; Aljedaani, F.; Khan, M.Z.; Ding, S.; Mahfouz, M. RNA virus interference via CRISPR/Cas13a system in plants. *Genome Biol.* **2018**, *19*, 77–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
203. Pakniat-Jahromy, A.; Behjatnia, S.A.; Dry, I.B.; Izadpanah, K.; Rezaian, M.A. A new strategy for generating geminivirus resistant plants using a DNA betasatellite/split barnase construct. *J. Virol. Methods.* **2010**, *170*, 57–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
204. Sahran, B.S.; Nehra, V. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: A critical review. *Life Sci. Med. Res. LMSR* **2011**, *21*, 1–30.
205. Choudhary, D.K.; Johri, B.N. Interactions of *Bacillus* spp. and plants with special reference to induced systemic resistance (ISR). *Microbiol. Res.* **2009**, *68*, 1754–1759. [[CrossRef](#)]
206. Maksimov, I.V.; Veselova, S.V.; Nuzhnaya, T.V.; Sarvarova, E.R.; Khairullin, R.M. Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the regulation of plant resistance to stress factors. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* **2015**, *62*, 715–726. [[CrossRef](#)]
207. Wang, S.; Wu, H.; Qiao, J.; Ma, L.; Liu, J.; Xia, Y.; Gao, X. Molecular mechanism of plant growth promotion and induced systemic resistance to Tobacco mosaic virus by *Bacillus* spp. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *19*, 1250–1258. [[CrossRef](#)]
208. Zvereva, A.S.; Pooggin, M.M. Silencing and innate immunity in plant defense against viral and non-Viral pathogens. *Viruses* **2012**, *4*, 2578–2597. [[CrossRef](#)]
209. Boris, K.V.; Kochieva, E.Z. NBS-LRR resistance genes to potato virus X. *Biol. Bull. Rev.* **2013**, *133*, 124–132. [[CrossRef](#)]

210. Sorokina, E.V. Toll-like receptors and primary pathogen recognition in infectious and non-infectious cutaneous pathology. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Allergol. Infectol.* **2012**, *2*, 6–15.
211. Guevara-Morato, M.A.; De Lacoba, M.G.; García-Luque, I.; Serra, M.T. Characterization of a pathogenesis related protein 4 (PR-4) induced in *Capsicum chinense* L3 plants with dual RNA-ase and DNA-ase activities. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2010**, *61*, 3259–3271. [[CrossRef](#)]
212. Bai, S.; Dong, C.; Li, B.; Dai, H. A PR-4 gene identified from *Malus domestica* is involved in the defense responses against *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2013**, *62*, 23–32. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
213. Niehl, A.; Wyrsh, I.; Boller, T.; Heinlein, M. Double-stranded RNAs induce a pattern-triggered immune signaling pathway in plants. *New Phytol.* **2016**, *211*, 1008–1019. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
214. Zvereva, A.S.; Golyaev, V.; Turco, S.; Gubaeva, E.G.; Rajeswaran, R.; Schepetilnikov, M.V.; Srouf, O.; Ryabova, L.A.; Boller, T.; Pooggin, M.M. Viral protein suppresses oxidative burst and salicylic acid dependent autophagy and facilitates bacterial growth on virus-infected plants. *New Phytol.* **2016**, *211*, 1020–1034. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
215. Glick, B.R. *Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria: Mechanisms and Applications*; Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Scientifica: London, UK, 2012.
216. Nelson, L.M. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: Prospects for new inoculants. *Crop Manag.* **2004**, *3*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
217. Makarova, S.S.; Makarov, V.V.; Taliansky, M.E.; Kalinina, N.O. Resistance to viruses of potato: Current status and prospects. *Vavilov, J. Genet. Breed.* **2017**, *21*, 62–73. [[CrossRef](#)]
218. Glais, L.; Bellstedt, D.U.; Lacomme, C. Diversity, Characterization and Classification of PVY. In *Potato Virus Y: Biodiversity, Pathogenicity, Epidemiology and Management*; Lacomme, C., Glais, L., Bellstedt, D., Dupuis, B., Karasev, A., Jacquot, E., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; pp. 43–76.
219. Duan, C.G.; Wang, C.H.; Guo, H.S. Application of RNA silencing to plant disease resistance. *Science* **2012**, *31*, 3–5. [[CrossRef](#)]
220. Kulikov, S.N.; Chirkov, S.N.; Il'ina, A.V.; Lopatin, S.A.; Varlamov, V.P. Effect of the molecular weight of chitosan on its antiviral activity in plants. *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* **2006**, *42*, 200–203. [[CrossRef](#)]
221. Lugtenberg, B.; Kamilova, F. Plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **2009**, *63*, 541–556. [[CrossRef](#)]
222. El Hadrami, A.; Adam, L.R.; El-Hadrami, I.; Daayf, F. Chitosan in plant protection. *Mar. Drugs* **2010**, *8*, 968–987. [[CrossRef](#)]
223. Van Loon, L.C.; Pierpoint, W.S.; Boller, T.; Conejero, V. Recommendations for naming plant pathogenesis-related proteins. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **1994**, *12*, 245–264. [[CrossRef](#)]
224. Conejero, V.; Picazo, I.; Segado, P. *Citrus exocortis viroid* (CEV): Protein alterations in different hosts following viroid infection. *Virology* **1979**, *97*, 454–456. [[CrossRef](#)]
225. Gianinazzi, S.; Ahl, P.; Cornu, A.; Scalla, R.; Cassini, R. First report of host b-protein appearance in response to a fungal infection in tobacco. *Physiol. Plant Pathol.* **1980**, *16*, 337–342. [[CrossRef](#)]
226. Metraux, J.P.; Boller, T.H. Local and systemic induction of chitinase in cucumber plants in response to viral, bacterial and fungal infections. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* **1986**, *28*, 161–169. [[CrossRef](#)]
227. Dempsey, D.M.A.; Vlot, A.C.; Wildermuth, M.C.; Klessig, D.F. Salicylic acid biosynthesis and metabolism. *Arab. Book Am. Soc. Plant Biol.* **2011**, *9*, e0156. [[CrossRef](#)]
228. Kong, H.G.; Shin, T.S.; Kim, T.H.; Ryu, C.M. Stereoisomers of the bacterial volatile compound 2, 3-butanediol differently elicit systemic defense responses of pepper against multiple viruses in the field. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 90. [[CrossRef](#)]
229. Kandan, A.; Radja Commare, R.; Nandakumar, R.; Ramiah, M.; Raguchander, T.; Samiyappan, R. Induction of phenylpropanoid metabolism by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against *Tomato spotted wilt virus* in tomato. *Folia Microbiol.* **2002**, *47*, 121–129. [[CrossRef](#)]
230. Montebianco, C.D.B.; Mattos, B.B.; Silva, T.D.F.; Barreto-Bergter, E.; Vaslin, M.F.S. *Cladosporium herbarum* peptidogalactomannan triggers significant defense responses in whole tobacco plants. *bioRxiv* **2020**. [[CrossRef](#)]
231. Sindelarova, M.; Sindelar, L. Isolation of pathogenesis-related proteins from TMV-infected tobacco and their influence on infectivity of TMV. *Plant Prot. Sci.* **2005**, *41*, 52–57. [[CrossRef](#)]
232. Hyodo, K.; Suzuki, N.; Mise, K.; Okuno, T. Roles of superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide during replication of two unrelated plant RNA viruses in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Plant Signal Behav.* **2017**, *12*, 6. [[CrossRef](#)]
233. Harish, S. Molecular biology and diagnosis of *Banana bunchy top virus* and its management through induced systemic resistance. PhD Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India, 2005.
234. Pyung-II, A.; Kyungseok, P.; Choong-Hoe, K. Rhizobacteria-induced resistance perturbs viral disease progress and triggers defense-related gene expression. *Mol. Cells* **2002**, *13*, 302–308.
235. Chirkov, S.N.; Iiina, A.V.; Surgucheva, N.A.; Letunova, E.V.; Varitsev, Y.A.; Tatarinova, N.Y.; Varlamov, V.P. Effect of chitosan on systemic viral infection and some defense responses in potato plants. *Rus. J. Plant Physiol.* **2001**, *48*, 774–779. [[CrossRef](#)]
236. Pospieszny, H.; Chirkov, S.; Atabekov, J. Induction of antiviral resistance in plants by chitosan. *Plant Sci.* **1991**, *79*, 63–68. [[CrossRef](#)]
237. Chirkov, S.N. The antiviral activity of chitosan. *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* **2002**, *3*, 81–89.
238. Abo-Zaid, G.A.; Matar, S.M.; Abdelkhalek, A. Induction of Plant Resistance against Tobacco Mosaic Virus Using the Biocontrol Agent *Streptomyces cellulosa* Isolate Actino 48. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1620. [[CrossRef](#)]
239. Siddique, Z.; Akhtar, K.P.; Hameed, A.; Sarwar, N.; Imran-Ul-Haq; Khan, S.A. Biochemical alterations in leaves of resistant and susceptible cotton genotypes infected systemically by *Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus*. *J. Plant Interact.* **2014**, *9*, 702–711. [[CrossRef](#)]

240. Shahzad, G.I.R.; Passera, A.; Maldera, G.; Casati, P.; Marcello, I.; Bianco, P.A. Biocontrol Potential of Endophytic Plant-Growth-Promoting Bacteria against Phytopathogenic Viruses: Molecular Interaction with the Host Plant and Comparison with Chitosan. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 6990. [[CrossRef](#)]
241. Rajashekhar, M.; Rajashekar, B.; Sathyanarayana, E.; Keerthi, M.C.; Kumar, P.V.; Ramakrishna, K.; Vanisree, K.; Neelima, G.; Madhuri, G.; Shaila, O. Microbial Pesticides for Insect Pest Management: Success and Risk Analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Chang.* **2021**, *11*, 18–32. [[CrossRef](#)]
242. Rani, A.T.; Kammar, V.; Keerthi, M.C.; Rani, V.; Majumder, S.; Pandey, K.K.; Singh, J. Biopesticides: An Alternative to Synthetic Insecticides. In *Microbial Technology for Sustainable Environment*; Springer: Singapore, 2021; pp. 439–466.
243. Shivakumara, K.T.; Keerthi, M.C.; Polaiah, A.C. Efficacy of different biorational insecticides against *Aphis nerii* Boyer de Fonscolombe (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on *Gymnema sylvestre* (R. Br) under laboratory and field conditions. *J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants* **2022**, *28*, 100358.
244. Manjunatha, L. Studies on Bud Blight Disease of Tomato Caused by Groundnut Bud Necrosis Virus. Master's Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India, 2008; p. 129.