



Article VANL-100 Attenuates Beta-Amyloid-Induced Toxicity in SH-SY5Y Cells

Andrila E. Collins 🗅, Tarek M. Saleh 🕩 and Bettina E. Kalisch *🕩

Department of Biomedical Sciences and Collaborative Specialization in Neuroscience Program, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON N1G 2W1, Canada

* Correspondence: bkalisch@uoguelph.ca

Abstract: Antioxidants are being explored as novel therapeutics for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease (AD) through strategies such as chemically linking antioxidants to synthesize novel co-drugs. The main objective of this study was to assess the cytoprotective effects of the novel antioxidant compound VANL-100 in a cellular model of beta-amyloid (A β)-induced toxicity. The cytotoxic effects of A β in the presence and absence of all antioxidant compounds were measured using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)2-5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay in SH-SY5Y cells in both pre-treatment and co-treatment experiments. In pre-treatment experiments, VANL-100, or one of its parent compounds, naringenin (NAR), alpha-lipoic acid (ALA), or naringenin + alpha-lipoic acid (NAR + ALA), was administrated 24 h prior to an additional 24-h incubation with 20 μ M non-fibril or fibril A β_{25-35} . Co-treatment experiments consisted of simultaneous treatment with A β and antioxidants. Pre-treatment and co-treatment with VANL-100 significantly attenuated A β -induced cell death. There were no significant differences between the protective effects of VANL-100, NAR, ALA, and NAR + ALA with either form of A β , or in the effect of VANL-100 between 24-h pre-treatment and co-treatment. These results demonstrate that the novel co-drug VANL-100 is capable of eliciting cytoprotective effects against A β -induced toxicity.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; beta-amyloid; antioxidants; naringenin; alpha lipoic acid



Citation: Collins, A.E.; Saleh, T.M.; Kalisch, B.E. VANL-100 Attenuates Beta-Amyloid-Induced Toxicity in SH-SY5Y Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 442. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms24010442

Academic Editor: Gian Carlo Tenore

Received: 28 October 2022 Revised: 16 December 2022 Accepted: 21 December 2022 Published: 27 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is currently the leading cause of dementia and is projected to affect over 130 million people globally by the year 2050 [1–5]. Despite advancements in research and biomedical technology over the last two decades, its prevalence and incidence rates continue to rise, and the currently available treatment options do not prevent, delay the progression of, or cure AD [6–9]. In an effort to uncover novel therapies for AD, researchers have begun exploring compounds that target mechanisms implicated in the development and progression of AD. Based on promising pre-clinical findings, human clinical trials began assessing the effectiveness of individual antioxidants or antioxidant combinations that included antioxidant compounds solely or antioxidants combined with drugs currently used to treat AD [10–32]. Antioxidant compounds can be administered together but unbound (combination) or chemically linked (conjugated). The application of antioxidant conjugate therapy (ACT) particularly for AD is based on the role of oxidative stress during the onset and progression of AD. This is outlined in the oxidative stress hypothesis of AD, which postulates the potential mechanisms by which oxidative stress contributes to AD pathology [33–37].

Oxidative stress can be defined as an imbalance between the production and accumulation of toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) and endogenous antioxidants within the body, resulting in an insufficient or dysfunctional antioxidant defense system [38–41]. During oxidative stress, ROS target and damage essential cellular structures and biomolecules such as DNA, proteins, and lipids via oxidation [38–41]. ROS have also been implicated with other pathological hallmarks of AD such as the abnormal aggregation of tau and beta-amyloid (A β), further inducing cellular toxicity [42–48].

Two notable antioxidants that have been explored for their neuroprotective effects in models of neurodegeneration are naringenin (NAR), a naturally occurring polyphenol found in plants and citrus fruit, and alpha-lipoic acid (ALA), an endogenously produced compound that is also found in food such as red meat, broccoli, and spinach [49–54]. The individual effects of NAR and ALA in models of AD are well explored in the literature [50,55–79] and presented as potential therapeutic modalities for targeting and treating AD, as well as functioning as potential chemical constituents for ACT.

A previous study conducted by Saleh et al. (2017) explored the neuroprotective effects of NAR, ALA, and the novel co-drug VANL-100 (Figure 1), which is the product of the covalent linkage of NAR and ALA. The findings demonstrated the heightened neuroprotective effects of VANL-100, both in vitro by increasing antioxidant capacity and in vivo by reducing infarct volume in a rodent model of an ischemic stroke [80]. Additionally, the novel co-drug VAN-100 was 100 times more potent than the parent compounds, eliciting neuroprotection at lower doses. However, the potential benefits of VANL-100 in other models of neurodegeneration such as AD have not been explored.



Figure 1. Chemical structures of alpha-lipoic acid (ALA), naringenin (NAR), and VANL-100.

In this study, we evaluated the cytoprotective effects of the novel co-drug VANL-100 against A β -induced cytotoxicity. Additionally, we compared the effects of VANL-100 to its parent compounds, NAR and ALA, alone and together (NAR + ALA) in a mixture but not covalently bound. This is the first report to explore the effects of NAR and ALA as conjugate therapy in a cellular model of AD. For the purposes of this study, a co-drug is defined as the conjugated drug product from the covalent linkage of two or more chemical entities.

2. Results

2.1. Non-Fibril and Fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ Induced Dose-Dependent Cell Death

Prior to testing the neuroprotective effects of the antioxidant compounds, the toxicities of non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} were individually tested in SH-SY5Y cells (Figure 2A,B). Considering that the toxicity profile of A β differs across various formulations [81,82], we explored the effect of A β in its non-fibril and fibrillated states to assess and compare the effects of different compositions on toxicity. Additionally, we utilized the 25–35 protein fragment of A β due to its characteristic high toxicity and proven ability to exert neurotoxic effects in AD models [83–85]. Since the A β_{25-35} peptide is a well-characterized biologically active fragment that retains the toxic properties of A β_{1-42} , we chose this fragment to assess the potential protective effects of our novel antioxidant and its parent compounds in vitro. Cell viability was evaluated using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)2-5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay after 24 h of A β_{25-35} exposure. There were no significant differences in the toxicity levels of non-fibril compared to fibril A β_{25-35} in the SH-SY5Y cells in this study. Cell viability was significantly decreased as A β concentrations increased compared to untreated controls (*** p = 0.0001; **** p < 0.0001). We selected 20 μ M for

both non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ as the effective dose to carry out subsequent experiments with the antioxidant compounds. This is in line with the literature on effective doses for $A\beta$ treatment to induce cell death in this cell line without completely eradicating all cells [63,86–92].



Figure 2. Effects of beta-amyloid $(A\beta)_{25-35}$ on cell viability. Cell viability, represented as % control, is shown on the y-axis, and $A\beta_{25-35}$ concentrations are displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) of six independent experiments. Cells were incubated with increasing concentrations (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 µM) of non-fibril (**A**) or fibril (**B**) $A\beta_{25-35}$. Non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ reduced cell viability in a dose-dependent manner. Statistical significance vs. controls was assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). *** p = 0.0001; **** p < 0.0001.

2.2. Antioxidant Compounds Did Not Induce Cell Loss or Reduce Cell Viability

Figure 3 depicts the effects of VANL-100, NAR, ALA, and NAR + ALA on SH-SY5Y cell viability. None of the antioxidant compounds or combinations resulted in cell loss at 0.2, 2.0, 20, 50, 100, or 200 μ M (p > 0.05). Cell viability was evaluated using the MTT assay 24 h after exposure to the antioxidant compounds. This assessment was necessary to confirm that the antioxidant compounds were not exerting toxic effects on the cells alone, prior to treatment with A β .



Figure 3. Effects of VANL-100, NAR, ALA, and NAR + ALA on cell viability. Cell viability, represented as % control, is shown on the y-axis, and antioxidant concentrations are displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments. Cells were incubated with different concentrations of each antioxidant and combination (0.2, 2.0, 20, 50, 100 and 200 μ M). (A) VANL-100; (B) NAR alone; (C) ALA alone; (D) NAR + ALA. None of the antioxidant compounds at any dose significantly induced cell loss or reduced cell viability. Statistical significance vs. controls was assessed using one-way ANOVA. *p* < 0.05.

2.3. Effect of Antioxidant Compounds on Aβ-Induced Cell Death

In 24-h pre-treatment and co-treatment experiments, the effect of VANL-100, NAR, ALA, and NAR + ALA were assessed against non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ in SH-SY5Y cells. During pre-treatment, cells were treated with 0.2, 2.0, 20, 50, 100, or 200 μ M of each

antioxidant 24 h prior to A β_{25-35} addition. After 24 h, cells were co-incubated with the same doses of the antioxidants in addition to 20 μ M non-fibril or fibril A β_{25-35} , which was followed by cell viability measurement via MTT assay 24 h later. In co-treatment, cells received 0.2, 2.0, 20, 50, 100, or 200 µM of each antioxidant simultaneously with 20 µM non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , and cell viability was measured 24 h later via MTT assay. Results from pre-treatment experiments (Figure 4A–H) revealed significant improvements in cell viability for all antioxidant compounds when combined with $A\beta_{25-35}$ compared to treatment with A β_{25-35} alone. VANL-100 significantly increased cell viability compared to non-fibril A β_{25-35} alone at doses of 20, 50, 100 (p < 0.0001), and 200 μ M (p = 0.0066) and fibril A β_{25-35} at doses of 2.0 (p = 0.0051), 20 (p = 0.0001), 50 (p = 0.0013), 100 (p = 0.0022) and $200 \ \mu M \ (p = 0.0041)$, as shown in Figure 4A,B, respectively. NAR significantly increased cell viability compared to non-fibril A β_{25-35} at 2.0 (p = 0.0008), 20 (p < 0.0001), 50 (p = 0017), 100 (p = 0.0004) and 200 μ M (p = 0.0104), and fibril A β_{25-35} at doss of 2.0 (p = 0.0139), 20 (p < 0.0001), 50 (p = 0018), 100 (p < 0.0001), 200 μ M (p = 0.0061), as shown in Figure 4C,D, respectively. ALA significantly attenuated the reduction in cell viability induced by nonfibril A β_{25-35} at doses of 20 (p = 0.0070), 50 (p = 0.0451), 100 (p = 0.0058), 200 μ M (p = 0.0063), and fibril A β_{25-35} at doses of 20 (p = 0.0025), 50 (p = 0.0230), 100 (p = 0.0045), 200 μ M (p = 0.0059) as shown in Figure 4E,F, respectively. The combination of NAR + ALA also significantly increased cell viability compared to non-fibril A β_{25-35} alone at doses of 2.0 $(p = 0.0018), 20 (p = 0.0002), 50 (p = 0020), 100 (p = 0.0001), 200 \mu M (p = 0.0423), and fibril$ $A\beta_{25-35}$ at doses of 20 (p = 0.0008), 50 (p = 0129), 100 (p = 0.0005), 200 μ M (p = 0.0044), as shown in Figure 4G,H, respectively.



Figure 4. Viability of SH-SY5Y cells pre-treated with VANL-100, NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA for 24 h followed by 24-h treatment with $A\beta_{25-35}$. Cell viability, represented as % control, is shown on the y-axis, and antioxidant concentrations are displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm SEM of six independent experiments. (**A**,**B**) VANL-100 + non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$, respectively. (**C**,**D**) NAR + non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$, respectively. (**E**,**F**) ALA + non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$, respectively. (**G**,**H**) NAR + ALA + non-fibril and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$, respectively. Statistical significance vs. $A\beta$ was assessed using one-way ANOVA. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; **** p < 0.0001.

Similarly, results from co-treatment experiments (Figure 5A–H) indicated significant improvement in viability when cells were treated with $A\beta_{25-35}$ in combination with each of

the antioxidant compounds compared to treatment with $A\beta_{25-35}$ alone. Co-treatment with VANL-100 significantly improved cell viability compared to non-fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 μ M (p = 0.0017) and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 μ M (p = 0.0019), as shown in Figure 5A,B, respectively. NAR co-treatment significantly increased cell viability compared to non-fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 (p = 0.0014), 50 (p = 0.038), 100 (p = 0.0001), and 200 μ M (p = 0.0161), and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 2.0 (p = 0.0115), 20 (p = 0.0001), 50 (p = 0.0005), 100 (p < 0.0001), and 200 μ M (p = 0.0023), as shown in Figure 5C,D, respectively. ALA co-treatment significantly increased cell viability compared to non-fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 (p = 0.0003), 50 (p = 0.0011), 100 (p = 0.0010), and 200 μ M (p = 0.0207), and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 (p < 0.0001), 50 (p = 0.0011), 100 (p = 0.0031), and 200 μ M (p = 0.0005), as shown in Figure 5E,F, respectively. Co-treatment with the combination of NAR + ALA significantly improved cell viability compared to non-fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 (p = 0.0026), 100 (p = 0.0002), 200 μ M (p = 0.0012), and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 (p = 0.0034), 50 (p = 0.0026), 100 (p = 0.0002), 200 μ M (p = 0.0012), and fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ at 20 (p = 0.0036), 50 (p = 0.0038), and 100 (p = 0.0066), as shown in Figure 5G,H, respectively. A summary of these results is shown in Table 1.



Figure 5. Viability of SH-SY5Y cells co-treated with VANL-100, NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA and A β_{25-35} for 24 h. Cell viability, represented as % control, is shown on the y-axis, and antioxidant concentrations are displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm SEM of six independent experiments. **(A,B)** VANL-100 + non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , respectively. **(C,D)** NAR + non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , respectively. **(C,D)** NAR + non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , respectively. **(C,H)** NAR + ALA + non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , respectively. Statistical significance vs. A β was assessed using one-way ANOVA. * *p* <0.05; ** *p* < 0.01; *** *p* < 0.001; **** *p* < 0.0001.

2.4. Cytoprotective Effects of VANL-100 Are Not Significantly Different to Parent Compounds

Of the three doses (20, 50, and 100 μ M) that elicited the greatest neuroprotective effects on cell survival, we selected the 20 μ M dose to compare the protective effects of VANL-100 to NAR, ALA, and NAR + ALA to determine if VANL-100 elicits greater protective effects than its parent compounds. Comparisons were made for both pre-treated and co-treated cells in combination with either 20 μ M non-fibril or fibril A β_{25-35} . For both non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} (Figure 6A,B, respectively), the cytoprotective effects of cells pre-treated with VANL-100 were not significantly different from those observed in cells pre-treated with 20 μ M NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA. Similarly, following co-treatment with non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} (Figure 6C,D, respectively), the cytoprotective effects of VANL-100 were not significantly different (p > 0.05) from its parent compounds alone or when combined but not covalently linked.

Table 1. Summary of results. NAR: Naringenin; ALA: Alpha-lipoic acid; NAR + ALA; Naringenin + Alpha-lipoic acid; NF: Non-fibril; F: Fibril.

Timepoint	Antioxidant Compound(s)	Treatment	Result (<i>p-</i> Value)	Figure
24-h pre-treatment	VANL-100	0.2 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ 0.2 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p > 0.05 p > 0.05	Figure 4A,B
		2.0 µM + 20 µM NF Aß	p > 0.05	
		2.0 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0051	
		20 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p < 0.0001	
		$20 \mu M + 20 \mu M F A\beta$	p = 0.0001	
		$50 \mu\text{M} + 20 \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p < 0.0001	
		$50 \mu M + 20 \mu M F A \beta$	p = 0.0013	
		100 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0022	
		200 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0066	
		$200 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M \ F \ A\beta$	p = 0.0041	
	NAR	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M \ NF \ A\beta$	p > 0.05	Figure 4C D
	INAI	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	Figure 4C,D
		$2.0 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF A}\beta$	p = 0.0008	
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p = 0.0139	
		$20 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF A}\beta$	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	
		$20 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	p < 0.0001	
		$50 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \ \text{NF} \ \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.017	
		$50 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	p = 0.0018	
		100 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0004	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	
		200 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0104	
		$200 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	p = 0.0061	
	ΔΙΔ	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M \ NF \ A\beta$	p > 0.05	Figure 4F F
	ALA	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	riguie 42,1
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M \ NF \ A\beta$	p > 0.05	
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	
		$20 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF} \text{ A}\beta$	p = 0.0070	
		$20 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	p = 0.0025	
		$50 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.0451	
		$50 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	p = 0.0230	
		$100 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF A}\beta$	p = 0.0058	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0045	
		$200 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF A}\beta$	p = 0.0063	
		200 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0059	
	NAR + ALA	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M \ NF \ A\beta$	<i>p</i> > 0.05	Figure 4G.H
		$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	i guit 10,11
		2.0 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0018	
		$2.0 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	<i>p</i> > 0.05	
		$20 \mu M + 20 \mu M NF A\beta$	p = 0.0002	
		$20 \mu M + 20 \mu M F A\beta$	p = 0.0008	
		$50 \mu\text{M} + 20 \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.0020	
		$50 \mu M + 20 \mu M F A \beta$	p = 0.0129	
		$100 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF A}\beta$	p = 0.0001	
		$100 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{F} \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.0005	
		$200 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.0423	
		200 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0044	

Timepoint	Antioxidant Compound(s)	Treatment	Result (<i>p-</i> Value)	Figure
Co-treatment	VANU 100	0.2 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	<i>p</i> > 0.05	Eigene A P
	VAINL-100	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	Figure 5A,B
		$2.0 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ NF} \text{ A}\beta$	p > 0.05	
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	
		$20 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.0017	
		20 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0019	
		50 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p > 0.05	
		50 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p > 0.05	
		100 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p > 0.05	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p > 0.05	
		200 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p > 0.05	
		$200 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} F A\beta$	<i>p</i> > 0.05	
	NAR	$0.2 \ \mu\text{M} + 20 \ \mu\text{M} \ \text{NF} \ A\beta$	<i>p</i> > 0.05	Figure 5C D
	INAI	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	Figure SC,D
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M \ NF \ A\beta$	p > 0.05	
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p = 0.0115	
		20 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0014	
		20 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0001	
		$50 \mu M + 20 \mu M NF A\beta$	p = 0.0038	
		50 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0005	
		$100 \mu\text{M} + 20 \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p < 0.0001	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0001	
		200 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0161	
		$200 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p = 0.0023	
		0.2 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	<i>p</i> > 0.05	Figure 5E F
	ALA	0.2 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p > 0.05	Figure 5E,F
		2.0 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p > 0.05	
		2.0 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p > 0.05	
		$20 \mu\text{M} + 20 \mu\text{M} \text{NF} \text{A}\beta$	p = 0.0003	
		20 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p < 0.0001	
		50 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0011	
		50 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0011	
		100 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0010	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0031	
		200 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0207	
		200 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0005	
		0.2 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	<i>p</i> > 0.05	Figure C U
	MAK + ALA	$0.2 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	rigure oG, H
		2.0 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p > 0.05	
		$2.0 \ \mu M + 20 \ \mu M F A \beta$	p > 0.05	
		20 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.034	
		20 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	$\dot{p} = 0.0036$	
		50 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0026	
		50 μM + 20 μM F Aβ	p = 0.0338	
		100 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0002	
			r 0.000 -	
		100 иM + 20 иM F A ß	p = 0.0066	
		100 μM + 20 μM F Aβ 200 μM + 20 μM NF Aβ	p = 0.0066 p = 0.0012	

 Table 1. Cont.





С

Naringenin, α -Lipoic Acid & VANL-100 Concentrations + Non-Fibril A β (20 μ M)

Naringenin, α -Lipoic Acid & VANL-100 Concentrations + Non-Fibril A β (20 μ M)



Figure 6. Effects of pre-treatment and co-treatment with VANL-100 compared to parent compounds. Cell viability, represented as % control, is shown on the y-axis, and antioxidant concentrations are displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm SEM of six independent experiments. (**A**,**B**) Pre-treatment with VANL-100 compared to parent compounds against non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , respectively. (**C**,**D**) Co-treatment with VANL-100 compared to parent compounds against non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} , respectively. Statistical significance vs. VANL-100 was assessed using one-way ANOVA. *p* < 0.05.

2.5. Effect of Treatment Timepoint on Cell Survival

Finally, we evaluated the overall protective effects of 24-h pre-treatment compared to co-treatment with 20 μ M VANL-100, NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA against 20 μ M non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} (Figure 7). Although all antioxidant compounds elicited cytoprotection and increased cell survival in non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} treated cells, there was no significant difference in cell survival between the overall effect of 24-h pre-treatment and co-treatment (p > 0.05) for VANL-100, NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA.



Treatment Timepoint

Treatment Timepoint

Figure 7. Effect of antioxidant treatment timepoint on overall cell survival. Cell viability, represented as % control, is shown on the y-axis, and antioxidant treatment time is displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments. (**A**) Comparison of pre-treatment and co-treatment of antioxidants in combination with non-fibril A β_{25-35} . (**B**) Comparison of pre-treatment and co-treatment of antioxidants in combination with fibril A β_{25-35} . Statistical significance of pre-treatment vs. co-treatment was assessed using two-way ANOVA. *p* < 0.05.

2.6. Effect of VANL-100 on ROS Levels in SH-SY5Y Cells

To determine the generation of intracellular ROS induced by $A\beta_{25-35}$, we utilized the 2',7'-dichllorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H₂DCFDA) fluorescence assay. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with 20 µM fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$, as well as increasing doses of VANL-100 either alone or co-treated with 20 µM fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$. Cells were treated with 100 µM hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) as a positive control, and the H₂DCFDA assay results showed that treatment with H₂O₂ significantly increased ROS generation (p = 0.0149; Figure 8A). Although relative ROS levels were consistently higher in cells treated with $A\beta_{25-35}$ alone (Figure 8A), this increase was not statistically different from control ROS levels. Treatment with increasing doses of VANL-100 alone (0.2, 2, 20, 50, 100, and 200 µM) did not significantly increase ROS production (p > 0.05; Figure 8A), supporting our viability results that VANL-100 does not induce cellular toxicity when administered alone. The level of ROS following co-treatment with VANL-100 and 20 µM fibril $A\beta_{25-35}$ was not statistically different from those detected in control cells. These results indicate that VANL-100 alone does not induce ROS production, but its ability to scavenge ROS may be impaired by the presence of $A\beta_{25-35}$.



Figure 8. Effect of VANL-100 treatment on ROS generation in SH-SY5Y cells. Relative ROS generation is shown on the y-axis, and individual treatments are displayed on the x-axis. The bars represent the mean \pm SEM of four independent experiments. (**A**) Effect of H₂O₂, Aβ₂₅₋₃₅, and VANL-100 alone on ROS production. (**B**) Effect of Aβ₂₅₋₃₅ alone and VANL-100 with Aβ₂₅₋₃₅ on ROS production. Statistical significance was assessed using one-way ANOVA. * *p* < 0.05.

3. Discussion

The objectives of this study were to assess the neuroprotective capability of the novel antioxidant co-drug VANL-100 in an in vitro model of AD and to determine whether its cytoprotective effects were greater than its parent compounds, NAR and ALA, either alone or combined but not covalently linked (NAR + ALA). Additionally, we aimed to explore the applicability of VANL-100 as a form of ACT for the prevention (pre-treatment) or treatment of neurotoxicity associated with AD pathology. We first assessed the neurotoxicity induced by various doses of both non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} . The results indicated a dose-dependent decrease in SH-SY5Y cell viability as $A\beta$ concentrations increased. We then selected the 20 μ M dose as the treatment of non-fibril and fibril A β_{25-35} to be applied in subsequent antioxidant experiments, as this dose induced cell death but did not eradicate all cells. This is in line with the current literature, which identifies this as an appropriate dose for assessing $A\beta_{25-35}$ -induced cell death, particularly in the SH-SY5Y cell line [63,86–92]. We then assessed whether the antioxidant compounds VANL-100, NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA exerted any toxic effects on the cells. Results indicated that these compounds did not reduce cell viability or induce cell death. Overall, our results indicate that the novel conjugate drug VANL-100 can provide cytoprotection against Aβ-induced cell loss. This protection was observed at various doses (20, 50, 100, and 200 μ M) and both when cells were pre-treated 24 h prior to A β exposure and when co-treated with A β . Additionally, both parent compounds, NAR and ALA, when alone and when combined but not covalently linked (NAR + ALA), also attenuated the reduction in cell viability induced by A β at similar concentrations (2.0, 20, 50, 100, and 200 μ M), during both pre-treatment and co-treatment. For all treatment conditions, there were no significant differences in the neuroprotective effects of VANL-100, NAR, ALA, or NAR + ALA and no significant difference in the cytoprotective effects elicited by the antioxidant compounds following either 24-h pre-treatment or co-treatment.

The involvement of oxidative stress in AD has been validated, and our findings provide early evidence of the potential use of antioxidants, alone and in combined or conjugated forms, to address A β toxicity. Since the currently available treatment options for AD such as donepezil, rivastigmine, and memantine [7,93,94] are ineffective in preventing, reversing, or slowing the progression of the disease and are primarily indicated for symptom management, it is necessary to explore alternative methods for preventing and treating AD. More recently, researchers have explored disease-modifying candidate compounds and drugs [8,95]. However, they have proven ineffective, likely due to an insufficient understanding of the intricate pathophysiology of AD and an inappropriate selection of treatment targets and dosages. Addressing the presence of oxidative stress in AD pathology via antioxidants and ACT serves as a promising approach to mitigating oxidative damage and targeting the interaction between ROS and A β that contributes to the onset and development of AD.

Researchers have reported the role of A β -induced oxidative stress through mechanisms that include the presence of extracellular senile plaques/fibrils comprised of aggregated A β peptides with the metal ions iron, copper, and zinc [96–98]. These redox-active metals can catalyze the production of ROS when bound to A β [96–98]. Subsequently, newly generated ROS can oxidize both A β peptides as well as surrounding biomolecules. The oxidation of biomolecules such as lipids within neuronal membranes obstructs membrane integrity [99]. Due to its oxidation by ROS and redox-active metals, A β clearance is impaired and significantly decreased in those with AD [42,100]. In turn, A β exerts toxic effects through the dysregulation of calcium homeostasis and membrane potential depletion, disrupting the cytoskeleton and synaptic function which stimulates neuronal apoptosis [101–106]. This has led researchers to explore antioxidant-based targets to address the involvement of oxidative stress in AD pathology.

The improvement in cellular metabolism observed in cells treated with $A\beta_{25-35}$ and the antioxidant compounds (VANL-100, NAR, or ALA) compared to cells treated with $A\beta_{25-35}$ alone can be attributed to various factors including the pathways proposed to be

involved in A β -induced cell death and the ability of the current antioxidant compounds to attenuate the activation of these pathways. It is reported in the literature that A β induces neuronal death via apoptotic mechanisms such as c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) activation, caspase-3 activation, and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation, including neuroinflammatory pathways such as nuclear factor kappa B (NF κ B) [107–113]. These pathways have also been implicated in oxidative stress-induced cell death, and the utilization of compounds that stimulate the production of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes has been shown to inhibit apoptosis, resulting in reduced cell death [114–116]. Our current results are in line with the literature and support the neuroprotective role of

antioxidants NAR and ALA against $A\beta$ -induced toxicity. Interestingly, both NAR and ALA have been reported to elicit their neuroprotective antioxidant effects through similar mechanisms and using similar intracellular antioxidant signaling pathways, including through the activation of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) [117–128]. Nrf2 is the primary transcription factor responsible for the activity of the antioxidant defense system; it regulates the expression of antioxidant and detoxifying genes and the subsequent production of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes [129–132]. Nrf2 may serve as a potential mechanism of protection for VANL-100 (Figure 9). We propose that VANL-100 activates Nrf2 by stimulating the cytoplasmic dissociation of Nrf2 from the inhibitory protein Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) via phosphorylation by kinases such as PKC. Activation of Nrf2 leads to the nuclear translocation of phosphorylated Nrf2, where Nrf2 binds to small Maf proteins on the antioxidant response element (ARE) in the promoter region of antioxidant genes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), hemeoxygenase 1 (HO-1), glutamate-cysteine ligase modifiers and catalytic subunits (GCLM and GCLC, respectively). This stimulates the transcription of antioxidant and detoxifying genes that code for enzymes that inhibit oxidative stress and the subsequent production of Aβ plaques.



Figure 9. Schematic of the proposed mechanism of action of VANL-100. VANL-100 may act as an Nrf2 activator to stimulate the production of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes that inhibit oxidative stress and its contribution to the production and accumulation of Aβ. Created using BioRender.com.

Our results demonstrated the cytoprotective effects of all antioxidant compounds studied, but in contrast to the findings of Saleh et al. (2017), we did not see enhanced protection from VANL-100 compared to NAR and ALA when alone or in combination but not covalently bound (NAR + ALA). There are several factors that may have contributed to these findings. It is important to recognize that Saleh et al. (2017) tested the effects of VANL-100 and its parent compounds in a rodent model of an ischemic stroke. Since the pathophysiologies of an ischemic stroke and AD are significantly different, and the complexity of in vivo neurotoxicity is not always captured in vitro, it is expected that discrepancies in our findings may exist when utilizing two different models of neurodegeneration. Additionally, Saleh et al. (2017) used oxygen and glucose deprivation in mixed neocortical primary cultures for their in vitro experiments, whereas we used SH-SY5Y cells treated with A β in our cellular model. It is plausible that, compared to its parent compounds, the effects of this conjugated drug, VANL-100, may have a distinctive impact based on the mechanisms of neurotoxicity and/or in neuronal cells compared to the neuroblastoma cell line. Taken together, these factors likely contributed to the observation that the neuroprotective effects of VANL-100 in the present study were not significantly different from those of the parent compounds.

Researchers have reported increased ROS production following A^β exposure in various cell lines including SH-SY5Y cells [133–140]. Additionally, antioxidant compounds such as NAR and ALA have been shown to attenuate cell stress due to elevated ROS production induced by A β exposure and other mediators of oxidative stress [64,68,76,118,141,142]. Since the neuroprotective effects of the parent compounds NAR and ALA against ROS generation have already been described in the literature, it was necessary to also assess whether the novel compound VANL-100 exerts similar effects on ROS generation in vitro. H_2O_2 was used as a positive control and significantly increased ROS generation. When administered alone, VANL-100 did not significantly increase ROS production, which supports results from cell viability experiments that indicate that VANL-100 does not exert toxic effects on SH-SY5Y cells. Although not statistically significant, $A\beta_{25-35}$ treatment alone consistently resulted in higher ROS levels which remained elevated when cells were co-treated with A β_{25-35} and VANL-100. It is possible that A β_{25-35} may be inducing an antioxidant response that masks some of the effects of $A\beta_{25-35}$ on ROS levels. Future studies should examine ROS target molecules to gain a better understanding of the mechanism of A β_{25-35} -induced cell death in SH-SY5Y cells. The results also suggest that VANL-100 is not protecting SH-SY5Y cells via the inhibition of ROS generation. While this is possible, additional experiments would be needed to better understand the mechanisms involved. It is possible that the toxic effects of $A\beta_{25-35}$ -induced ROS generation may interfere with the capacity of VANL-100 to scavenge ROS. Additionally, the variability in the data, along with the lack of significant increase in ROS following A β_{25-35} treatment, indicates that additional optimization of the assay or alternative methods for examining ROS should be carried out. Although significant improvements in viability were observed following 24 h of co-treatment with A β_{25-35} and VANL-100, this timepoint may not be optimal for assessing ROS levels. Reproducing these experiments across various time points may provide evidence for the impact of VANL-100 on ROS levels. Additional experiments, including the examination of ROS targets, are necessary to discern the neuroprotective effects VANL-100 exerts on reducing intracellular ROS production in this cell line.

The SH-SY5Y cell line is widely utilized in studies requiring a reliable neuron-like cell culture model, which supports our use of SH-SY5Y in this study. However, the utilization of this neuroblastoma cell line is also the main limitation of our study. It is arguable that the cell line may not be the most appropriate model of AD since it is a cancer cell line. The SH-SY5Y cell line can be further manipulated using agents such as retinoic acid to stimulate differentiation and morphological characteristics comparable to neuronal cells [143,144]. Additionally, an alternative method for modelling AD could include the utilization of primary neurons with genetic modifications that mimic AD. These include, but are not limited to, neurons derived from single, double, and triple transgenic AD mouse models,

which are frequently utilized in preclinical studies [145]. Utilizing animal models that meticulously recapitulate some of the clinical pathologies of AD are necessary next steps for discerning molecular mechanisms and advancing preclinical investigations of ACTs.

Future directions include exploring the mechanisms by which VANL-100 elicits neuroprotective effects in the SH-SY5Y cellular model of AD. As previously mentioned, the parent compounds of VANL-100, NAR, and ALA, possess antioxidant neuroprotective effects through their mechanism of action as activators of Nrf2, the primary transcription factor involved in the body's endogenous antioxidant response to oxidative stress [117–128]. It is plausible that VANL-100 may provide neuroprotection by acting through similar mechanisms as NAR and ALA to activate Nrf2 signaling pathways and stimulate the production of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes that target perpetrators of oxidative stress such as ROS. Additionally, it is necessary to assess the effects of VANL-100 in other models of AD, including, but not limited to, primary neurons, including those obtained from transgenic AD mice and in vivo explorations, since researchers have reported the neuroprotective effects of its parent compounds, NAR and ALA, in transgenic and non-transgenic AD rodent models [58,66,72,73,146–149]. Although both parent compounds of VANL-100 have been shown to provide neuroprotective effects in various models of AD, our work is the first to explore the role of VANL-100 as a form of ACT in an early cellular model of AD.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

VANL-100 was synthesized following the synthesis and proton NMR validation protocol previously described [80]. NAR was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Cat. No. N5893). ALA was obtained from EMD Millipore (Cat. No. 437692). VANL-100, NAR, and ALA were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma Life Science, Cat. No. D2650). A β_{25-35} was purchased from Cedarlane (product No. A15416-25) and prepared in sterile distilled water at a concentration of 1 mM. Aliquots were stored at -20 °C until treatment. For experiments examining the effects of soluble $A\beta_{25-35}$, aliquots were used immediately after thawing, to limit aggregation of the peptide. Aggregates were prepared as described previously, with aliquots incubated at 37 °C for four days prior to treatment [150]. MTT was purchased from EMD Millipore (Cat. No. 475989) and dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS). H₂DCFDA was purchased from Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Cat. No. C6827) and dissolved in DMSO.

4.2. Cell Culture

The human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell line was obtained from ATCC (CRL-2266) and maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Gibco by Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco by Life Technologies) and 5% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco by Life Technologies). The cells were grown and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified environment of 5% CO₂ and 95% air.

4.3. Antioxidant Treatments

SH-SY5Y cells were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with antioxidants once they reached 70–80% confluence. Pre-treatment experiments consisted of 24-h treatment with 0.2, 2.0, 20, 50, 100, and 200 μ M of VANL-100, NAR alone, ALA alone, or NAR + ALA, followed by co-incubation with 20 μ M non-fibril or fibril A β_{25-35} for an additional 24 h. Co-treatment experiments consisted of simultaneous co-treatment with 0.2, 2.0, 20, 20, 50, 100, and 200 μ M of each antioxidant compound with 20 μ M non-fibril or fibril A β_{25-35} . Twenty-four hours after A β_{25-35} administration, cell viability was assessed using the MTT assay. DMSO was used to dissolve the antioxidants and was therefore used as the vehicle in all experiments. The final concentration of DMSO in all wells was 0.1%.

4.4. Cell Viability and Toxicity Assay

After 24 h of incubation, the media was aspirated and 100 μ L of the MTT reagent (0.75 mg/mL in PBS) was added to each well and incubated for 3 h. Post incubation, the MTT reagent was removed and 100 μ L of DMSO was added to each well. Following incubation for 15 min, absorbance was read at 600 nm. The cell viability and toxicity of all antioxidant compounds and A β_{25-35} were individually established in addition to the protective effects of VANL-100 and its parent compounds against non-fibril or fibril A β_{25-35} -treated cells.

4.5. Reactive Oxygen Species Determination

ROS levels were detected by the H₂DCFDA fluorescence assay [151]. Cells were seeded in black clear-bottom 96-well plates in phenol-red free media and incubated overnight. On the day of the experiment, 20 μ M H₂DCFDA was prepared in phenol-red free media, 100 μ L was added to each well, and the plates were incubated for 30 min. The media was aspirated, and the cells were fed with fresh phenol-red free media. Cells were then treated with antioxidant compounds and A β_{25-35} . After 24 h of incubation, ROS generation was measured with fluorescence plate spectroscopy at an excitation of 485 nm and an emission of 520 nm. Cells treated with 100 μ M H₂O₂ served as a positive control. Because cell viability was reduced following 24-h treatment with A β_{25-35} , MTT assay measurements from the same wells were used to normalize the ROS values.

4.6. Statistical Analysis

All data are represented as a mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were performed and interpreted using GraphPad Prism 9. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare control groups and treatment groups, followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test. Two-way ANOVA was conducted to compare the effects of pre-treatment and co-treatment time points, followed by Sidak's post-hoc test. Statistical significance was defined by p < 0.05.

5. Conclusions

Our results demonstrate for the first time the neuroprotective effects of the novel co-drug VANL-100 in an Aβ-induced cellular model of AD. These findings support the use of antioxidant combinations or conjugate compounds as a potential novel therapeutic strategy for combating oxidative stress and the impact of abnormal protein aggregation during the onset and progression of AD. Additionally, our results support the role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of AD and the necessary presence of antioxidants to maintain optimal cellular conditions and overall cell health. Future studies exploring the mechanisms by which VANL-100 elicits neuroprotection are necessary to elucidate the underlying molecular pathways involved in its protection of and applicability to other models/conditions of neurodegeneration. This would further elucidate the efficacy and appropriateness of VANL-100 and other antioxidant-based co-drugs as potential drug candidates for the prevention and/or treatment of neurodegenerative diseases that are characterized by oxidative stress and/or abnormal protein aggregation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.E.C.; methodology, A.E.C.; validation, A.E.C. and B.E.K.; formal analysis, A.E.C.; investigation, A.E.C.; resources, T.M.S. and B.E.K.; data curation, A.E.C.; writing—original draft preparation, A.E.C.; writing—review and editing, T.M.S. and B.E.K.; visualization, A.E.C.; supervision, T.M.S. and B.E.K.; project administration, A.E.C., T.M.S., and B.E.K.; funding acquisition, A.E.C. and T.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Government of Ontario's Ontario Graduate Scholarship and the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency, grant number 12213. The APC was funded by T.M.S. and B.E.K.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Biomedical Sciences and the Ontario Veterinary College at the University of Guelph for supporting this work. A.E.C. is the recipient of an Ontario Veterinary College Graduate Scholarship.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Alzheimer Report 2022—Life after Diagnosis: Navigating Treatment, Care and Support. 416. Available online: https://www.alzint.org/u/World-Alzheimer-Report-2022.pdf (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Mayeux, R.; Stern, Y. Epidemiology of Alzheimer Disease. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 2012, 2, a006239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 Scheltens, P.; Strooper, B.D.; Kivipelto, M.; Holstege, H.; Chételat, G.; Teunissen, C.E.; Cummings, J.; van der Flier, W.M. Alzheimer's Disease. *Lancet* 2021, 397, 1577–1590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Reitz, C.; Mayeux, R. Alzheimer Disease: Epidemiology, Diagnostic Criteria, Risk Factors and Biomarkers. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2014**, *88*, 640–651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Rodríguez-Gómez, O.; Palacio-Lacambra, M.E.; Palasí, A.; Ruiz-Laza, A.; Boada-Rovira, M. Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease: A Global Challenge for next Generation Neuroscientists. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* **2014**, *42* (Suppl. 4), S515–S523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Srivastava, S.; Ahmad, R.; Khare, S.K. Alzheimer's Disease and Its Treatment by Different Approaches: A Review. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2021, 216, 113320. [CrossRef]
- Breijyeh, Z.; Karaman, R. Comprehensive Review on Alzheimer's Disease: Causes and Treatment. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 5789. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yiannopoulou, K.G.; Anastasiou, A.I.; Zachariou, V.; Pelidou, S.-H. Reasons for Failed Trials of Disease-Modifying Treatments for Alzheimer Disease and Their Contribution in Recent Research. *Biomedicines* **2019**, *7*, 97. [CrossRef]
- 9. Se Thoe, E.; Fauzi, A.; Tang, Y.Q.; Chamyuang, S.; Chia, A.Y.Y. A Review on Advances of Treatment Modalities for Alzheimer's Disease. *Life Sci.* 2021, 276, 119129. [CrossRef]
- 10. National Institute on Aging (NIA). Evaluation of the Safety, Tolerability and Impact on Biomarkers of Anti-Oxidant Treatment of Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease; National Institute on Aging: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2009.
- Goktas, Z. The Effect of Black Mulberry (Morus Nigra) Consumption on Cognitive Functions and Antioxidant Capacity in Individuals Diagnosed with Dementia. 2022. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05406648 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Park, S.-Y. Effects of Mitochondrial-Targeted Antioxidant on Carotid Artery Endothelial Function and Brain Blood Flow in Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) Patients. 2022. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03514875 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Shinto, L. Fish Oil and Alpha Lipoic Acid in Mild Alzheimer's Disease. 2017. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT00090402 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 14. US Department of Veterans Affairs. A Single Center, Multi-Site, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Resveratrol With Glucose and Malate (RGM) to Slow the Progression of Alzheimer's Disease; U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs: Washington, DC, USA, 2012.
- 15. Oregon Health and Science University. *Lutein and Oxidative Stress in Alzheimer's Disease—A Pilot Study;* Oregon Health & Science University: Portland, OR, USA, 2019.
- 16. National Institute on Aging (NIA). A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Vitamin E and Donepezil HCL (Aricept) to Delay Clinical Progression from Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) to Alzheimer's Disease (AD); National Institute on Aging: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2009.
- 17. Paul, F. Sunphenon EGCg (Epigallocatechin-Gallate) in the Early Stage of Alzheimer's Disease. 2021. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00951834 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Vina, J. Effect of Activation of the Receptor PPARg/RXR as a Possible Treatment for Alzheimer's Disease. Role of Genistein. 2021. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01982578 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 19. Taipei City Psychiatric Center, Taiwan. The Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acids Monotherapy in Alzheimer's Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Preliminary Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Study; Taipei City Psychiatric Center: Taipei, Taiwan, 2008.
- John Douglas French Foundation. A Phase II, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of the Safety and Tolerability of Two Doses of Curcumin C3 Complex Versus Placebo in Patients with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease; John Douglas French Foundation: Pasadena, CA, USA, 2009.
- 21. Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study (ADCS). Phase II Study to Evaluate the Impact on Biomarkers of Resveratrol Treatment in Patients with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease. 2016. Available online: https://scholars.uky.edu/en/projects/phase-ii-study-to-evaluate-the-impact-on-biomarkers-of-resveratro-3 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 22. Schmitt, F. Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease by Vitamin E and Selenium (PREADVISE). 2018. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00040378 (accessed on 12 October 2022).

- 23. Medical College of Wisconsin. Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Resveratrol Supplement Effects on Cognition, Function and Behavior in Patients with Mild-to-Moderate Alzheimer's Disease; Medical College of Wisconsin: Milwaukee, WI, USA, 2015.
- Shinto, L. Lipoic Acid and Omega-3 Fatty Acids in Alzheimer's Disease. 2017. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT01058941 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 25. The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. Pilot Study to Investigate the Safety and Feasibility of Senolytic Therapy to Modulate Progression of Alzheimer's Disease (SToMP-AD). 2022. Available online: https://www.clinicalconnection.com/clinical-trials-from-other-databases/full-listing-from-other-databases/508404/58195737/pilot-study-to-investigate-the-safety-and-feasibility-of-senolytic-therapy-to-modulate-progression-of-alzheimer-s-disease-stomp-ad (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- US Department of Veterans Affairs. CSP #546—A Randomized, Clinical Trial of Vitamin E and Memantine in Alzheimer's Disease (TEAM-AD). 2014. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00235716 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Life Extension Foundation Inc. Open Label, Crossover, Pilot Study to Assess the Efficacy & Safety of Perispinal Admin.of Etanercept(Enbrel[®]) in Comb.w/Nutritional Supplements vs. Nutritional Supplements Alone in Subj. w/Mild to Mod. Alzheimer's Disease Receiving Std. Care. 2016. Available online: https://faseb.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.109 6/fasebj.30.1_supplement.lb296 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- Wake Forest University Health Sciences. Phase II Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Feasibility of Senolytic Therapy in Alzheimer's Disease. 2022. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04685590 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 29. Kirkland, J.L. ALSENLITE: An Open-Label Pilot Study of Senolytics for Alzheimer's Disease. 2022. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04785300 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 30. Lipsitz, L. Senolytics to Alleviate Mobility Issues and Neurological Impairment in Aging. 2022. Available online: https://www.marcusinstituteforaging.org/current-studies/senolytics-alleviate-mobility-issues-and-neurological-impairment-aging-stamina-study (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 31. de la Torre, R. Prevention of Cognitive Decline in ApoE4 Carriers with Subjective Cognitive Decline After EGCG and a Multimodal Intervention. 2020. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03978052 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 32. Shinto, L. Pilot Study: Lipoic Acid and Omega-3 Fatty Acid for Alzheimer's Disease Prevention. 2017. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01780974 (accessed on 12 October 2022).
- 33. Markesbery, W.R. Oxidative Stress Hypothesis in Alzheimer's Disease. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 1997, 23, 134–147. [CrossRef]
- Rosini, M.; Simoni, E.; Milelli, A.; Minarini, A.; Melchiorre, C. Oxidative Stress in Alzheimer's Disease: Are We Connecting the Dots? J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 2821–2831. [CrossRef]
- Ionescu-Tucker, A.; Cotman, C.W. Emerging Roles of Oxidative Stress in Brain Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2021, 107, 86–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Wang, X.; Wang, W.; Li, L.; Perry, G.; Lee, H.; Zhu, X. Oxidative Stress and Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Alzheimer's Disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2014, 1842, 1240–1247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cioffi, F.; Adam, R.H.I.; Broersen, K. Molecular Mechanisms and Genetics of Oxidative Stress in Alzheimer's Disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2019, 72, 981–1017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Chen, Z.; Zhong, C. Oxidative Stress in Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurosci. Bull.* 2014, 30, 271–281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Singh, A.; Kukreti, R.; Saso, L.; Kukreti, S. Oxidative Stress: A Key Modulator in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 1583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cenini, G.; Lloret, A.; Cascella, R. Oxidative Stress in Neurodegenerative Diseases: From a Mitochondrial Point of View. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2019, 2019, 2105607. [CrossRef]
- Teleanu, D.M.; Niculescu, A.-G.; Lungu, I.I.; Radu, C.I.; Vladâcenco, O.; Roza, E.; Costăchescu, B.; Grumezescu, A.M.; Teleanu, R.I. An Overview of Oxidative Stress, Neuroinflammation, and Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 5938. [CrossRef]
- 42. Cheignon, C.; Tomas, M.; Bonnefont-Rousselot, D.; Faller, P.; Hureau, C.; Collin, F. Oxidative Stress and the Amyloid Beta Peptide in Alzheimer's Disease. *Redox Biol.* 2017, 14, 450–464. [CrossRef]
- Hensley, K.; Butterfieldld, D.A.; Hall, N.; Cole, P.; Subramaniam, R.; Mark, R.; Mattson, M.P.; Markesbery, W.R.; Harris, M.E.; Aksenov, M.; et al. Reactive Oxygen Species as Causal Agents in the Neurotoxicity of the Alzheimer's Disease-Associated Amyloid Beta Peptidea. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1996, 786, 120–134. [CrossRef]
- Giovanna, C.; Cecchi, C.; Pensalfini, A.; Bonini, S.A.; Ferrari-Toninelli, G.; Liguri, G.; Memo, M.; Uberti, D. Generation of Reactive Oxygen Species by Beta Amyloid Fibrils and Oligomers Involves Different Intra/Extracellular Pathways. *Amino Acids* 2010, 38, 1101–1106. [CrossRef]
- 45. Tamagno, E.; Guglielmotto, M.; Vasciaveo, V.; Tabaton, M. Oxidative Stress and Beta Amyloid in Alzheimer's Disease. Which Comes First: The Chicken or the Egg? *Antioxidants* **2021**, *10*, 1479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guglielmotto, M.; Giliberto, L.; Tamagno, E.; Tabaton, M. Oxidative Stress Mediates the Pathogenic Effect of Different Alzheimer's Disease Risk Factors. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2010, 2, 3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Butterfield, D.A.; Swomley, A.M.; Sultana, R. Amyloid β-Peptide (1–42)-Induced Oxidative Stress in Alzheimer Disease: Importance in Disease Pathogenesis and Progression. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **2013**, *19*, 823–835. [CrossRef]
- Cai, Z.; Zhao, B.; Ratka, A. Oxidative Stress and β-Amyloid Protein in Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuromol. Med.* 2011, 13, 223–250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Nouri, Z.; Fakhri, S.; El-Senduny, F.F.; Sanadgol, N.; Abd-ElGhani, G.E.; Farzaei, M.H.; Chen, J.-T. On the Neuroprotective Effects of Naringenin: Pharmacological Targets, Signaling Pathways, Molecular Mechanisms, and Clinical Perspective. *Biomolecules* 2019, 9, 690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Goyal, A.; Verma, A.; Dubey, N.; Raghav, J.; Agrawal, A. Naringenin: A Prospective Therapeutic Agent for Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Disease. *J. Food Biochem.* 2022; e14415, *Epub ahead of print*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Heo, H.J.; Kim, D.-O.; Shin, S.C.; Kim, M.J.; Kim, B.G.; Shin, D.-H. Effect of Antioxidant Flavanone, Naringenin, from *Citrus junos* on Neuroprotection. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 1520–1525. [CrossRef]
- 52. Molz, P.; Schröder, N. Potential Therapeutic Effects of Lipoic Acid on Memory Deficits Related to Aging and Neurodegeneration. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *8*, 849. [CrossRef]
- 53. Pei, X.; Hu, F.; Luo, F.; Huang, X.; Li, X.; Xing, S.; Long, D. The Neuroprotective Effects of Alpha-Lipoic Acid on an Experimental Model of Alzheimer's Disease in PC12 Cells. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **2022**, *42*, 285–294. [CrossRef]
- 54. Kaur, D.; Behl, T.; Sehgal, A.; Singh, S.; Sharma, N.; Chigurupati, S.; Alhowail, A.; Abdeen, A.; Ibrahim, S.F.; Vargas-De-La-Cruz, C.; et al. Decrypting the Potential Role of α-Lipoic Acid in Alzheimer's Disease. *Life Sci.* **2021**, *284*, 119899. [CrossRef]
- Wu, J.; Kou, X.; Ju, H.; Zhang, H.; Yang, A.; Shen, R. Design, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Naringenin Carbamate Derivatives as Potential Multifunctional Agents for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2021, 49, 128316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ghofrani, S.; Joghataei, M.-T.; Mohseni, S.; Baluchnejadmojarad, T.; Bagheri, M.; Khamse, S.; Roghani, M. Naringenin Improves Learning and Memory in an Alzheimer's Disease Rat Model: Insights into the Underlying Mechanisms. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2015, 764, 195–201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lawal, M.; Olotu, F.A.; Soliman, M.E.S. Across the Blood-Brain Barrier: Neurotherapeutic Screening and Characterization of Naringenin as a Novel CRMP-2 Inhibitor in the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease Using Bioinformatics and Computational Tools. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 2018, 98, 168–177. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, T.; Liu, L.; Wang, Q.; Gao, Y. Naringenin Alleviates Cognition Deficits in High-Fat Diet-Fed SAMP8 Mice. J. Food Biochem. 2020, 44, e13375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sang, Z.; Wang, K.; Shi, J.; Liu, W.; Cheng, X.; Zhu, G.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Qiao, Z.; Wu, A.; et al. The Development of Advanced Structural Framework as Multi-Target-Directed Ligands for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2020, 192, 112180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Ma, J.; Yang, W.-Q.; Zha, H.; Yu, H.-R. Effect of naringenin on learning and memory ability on model rats with Alzheimer disease. *Zhong Yao Cai* **2013**, *36*, 271–276.
- 61. Yang, Z.; Kuboyama, T.; Tohda, C. Naringenin Promotes Microglial M2 Polarization and Aβ Degradation Enzyme Expression. *Phytother. Res.* **2019**, *33*, 1114–1121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahsan, A.U.; Sharma, V.L.; Wani, A.; Chopra, M. Naringenin Upregulates AMPK-Mediated Autophagy to Rescue Neuronal Cells From β-Amyloid (1–42) Evoked Neurotoxicity. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2020, *57*, 3589–3602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Zhang, N.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, G.; Wang, Y.; Ren, Y.; Wu, X.; Geng, F. Protective Role of Naringenin Against Aβ25–35-Caused Damage via ER and PI3K/Akt-Mediated Pathways. *Cell Mol. Neurobiol.* **2018**, *38*, 549–557. [CrossRef]
- Md, S.; Gan, S.Y.; Haw, Y.H.; Ho, C.L.; Wong, S.; Choudhury, H. In Vitro Neuroprotective Effects of Naringenin Nanoemulsion against β-Amyloid Toxicity through the Regulation of Amyloidogenesis and Tau Phosphorylation. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2018, 118, 1211–1219. [CrossRef]
- Shinto, L.; Quinn, J.; Montine, T.; Dodge, H.H.; Woodward, W.; Baldauf-Wagner, S.; Waichunas, D.; Bumgarner, L.; Bourdette, D.; Silbert, L.; et al. A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Pilot Trial of Omega-3 Fatty Acids and Alpha Lipoic Acid in Alzheimer's Disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2014, 38, 111–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Zhang, Y.-H.; Wang, D.-W.; Xu, S.-F.; Zhang, S.; Fan, Y.-G.; Yang, Y.-Y.; Guo, S.-Q.; Wang, S.; Guo, T.; Wang, Z.-Y.; et al. α-Lipoic Acid Improves Abnormal Behavior by Mitigation of Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, Ferroptosis, and Tauopathy in P301S Tau Transgenic Mice. *Redox Biol.* 2018, 14, 535–548. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de Sousa, C.N.S.; da Silva Leite, C.M.G.; da Silva Medeiros, I.; Vasconcelos, L.C.; Cabral, L.M.; Patrocínio, C.F.V.; Patrocínio, M.L.V.; Mouaffak, F.; Kebir, O.; Macedo, D.; et al. Alpha-Lipoic Acid in the Treatment of Psychiatric and Neurological Disorders: A Systematic Review. *Metab. Brain Dis.* 2019, 34, 39–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Metsla, K.; Kirss, S.; Laks, K.; Sildnik, G.; Palgi, M.; Palumaa, T.; Tõugu, V.; Palumaa, P. α-Lipoic Acid Has the Potential to Normalize Copper Metabolism, Which Is Dysregulated in Alzheimer's Disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2022, 85, 715–728. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zarini-Gakiye, E.; Vaezi, G.; Parivar, K.; Sanadgol, N. Age and Dose-Dependent Effects of Alpha-Lipoic Acid on Human Microtubule- Associated Protein Tau-Induced Endoplasmic Reticulum Unfolded Protein Response: Implications for Alzheimer's Disease. CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets 2021, 20, 451–464. [CrossRef]
- 70. Fava, A.; Pirritano, D.; Plastino, M.; Cristiano, D.; Puccio, G.; Colica, C.; Ermio, C.; De Bartolo, M.; Mauro, G.; Bosco, D. The Effect of Lipoic Acid Therapy on Cognitive Functioning in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease. J. Neurodegener. Dis. 2013, 2013, 454253. [CrossRef]
- 71. Memudu, A.E.; Adewumi, A.E. Alpha Lipoic Acid Ameliorates Scopolamine Induced Memory Deficit and Neurodegeneration in the Cerebello-Hippocampal Cortex. *Metab. Brain Dis.* **2021**, *36*, 1729–1745. [CrossRef]

- 72. Sancheti, H.; Akopian, G.; Yin, F.; Brinton, R.D.; Walsh, J.P.; Cadenas, E. Age-Dependent Modulation of Synaptic Plasticity and Insulin Mimetic Effect of Lipoic Acid on a Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e69830. [CrossRef]
- Sancheti, H.; Kanamori, K.; Patil, I.; Díaz Brinton, R.; Ross, B.D.; Cadenas, E. Reversal of Metabolic Deficits by Lipoic Acid in a Triple Transgenic Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease: A 13C NMR Study. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 2014, 34, 288–296. [CrossRef]
- 74. Erdoğan, M.E.; Aydın, S.; Yanar, K.; Mengi, M.; Kansu, A.D.; Cebe, T.; Belce, A.; Çelikten, M.; Çakatay, U. The Effects of Lipoic Acid on Redox Status in Brain Regions and Systemic Circulation in Streptozotocin-Induced Sporadic Alzheimer's Disease Model. *Metab. Brain Dis.* 2017, 32, 1017–1031. [CrossRef]
- Park, E.; Gim, J.; Kim, D.K.; Kim, C.-S.; Chun, H.S. Protective Effects of Alpha-Lipoic Acid on Glutamate-Induced Cytotoxicity in C6 Glioma Cells. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2019, 42, 94–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dieter, F.; Esselun, C.; Eckert, G.P. Redox Active α-Lipoic Acid Differentially Improves Mitochondrial Dysfunction in a Cellular Model of Alzheimer and Its Control Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 9186. [CrossRef]
- 77. Kamarudin, M.N.A.; Mohd Raflee, N.A.; Hussein, S.S.S.; Lo, J.Y.; Supriady, H.; Abdul Kadir, H. (R)-(+)-α-Lipoic Acid Protected NG108-15 Cells against H₂O₂-Induced Cell Death through PI3K-Akt/GSK-3β Pathway and Suppression of NF-Kβ-Cytokines. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 2014, *8*, 1765–1780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Staykov, H.; Lazarova, M.; Hassanova, Y.; Stefanova, M.; Tancheva, L.; Nikolov, R. Neuromodulatory Mechanisms of a Memory Loss-Preventive Effect of Alpha-Lipoic Acid in an Experimental Rat Model of Dementia. J. Mol. Neurosci. 2022, 72, 1018–1025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Koriyama, Y.; Nakayama, Y.; Matsugo, S.; Kato, S. Protective Effect of Lipoic Acid against Oxidative Stress Is Mediated by Keap1/Nrf2-Dependent Heme Oxygenase-1 Induction in the RGC-5 Cellline. *Brain Res.* **2013**, *1499*, 145–157. [CrossRef]
- Saleh, T.M.; Saleh, M.C.; Connell, B.J.; Song, Y.-H. A Co-Drug Conjugate of Naringenin and Lipoic Acid Mediates Neuroprotection in a Rat Model of Oxidative Stress. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* 2017, 44, 1008–1016. [CrossRef]
- 81. Vander Zanden, C.M.; Wampler, L.; Bowers, I.; Watkins, E.B.; Majewski, J.; Chi, E.Y. Fibrillar and Nonfibrillar Amyloid Beta Structures Drive Two Modes of Membrane-Mediated Toxicity. *Langmuir* **2019**, *35*, 16024–16036. [CrossRef]
- Kawai, R.; Chiba, S.; Okuwaki, K.; Kanada, R.; Doi, H.; Ono, M.; Mochizuki, Y.; Okuno, Y. Stabilization Mechanism for a Nonfibrillar Amyloid β Oligomer Based on Formation of a Hydrophobic Core Determined by Dissipative Particle Dynamics. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2020, 11, 385–394. [CrossRef]
- 83. Kandel, N.; Matos, J.O.; Tatulian, S.A. Structure of Amyloid B25–35 in Lipid Environment and Cholesterol-Dependent Membrane Pore Formation. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 2689. [CrossRef]
- 84. Naldi, M.; Fiori, J.; Pistolozzi, M.; Drake, A.F.; Bertucci, C.; Wu, R.; Mlynarczyk, K.; Filipek, S.; De Simone, A.; Andrisano, V. Amyloid β-Peptide 25–35 Self-Assembly and Its Inhibition: A Model Undecapeptide System to Gain Atomistic and Secondary Structure Details of the Alzheimer's Disease Process and Treatment. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2012, 3, 952–962. [CrossRef]
- Clementi, M.E.; Marini, S.; Coletta, M.; Orsini, F.; Giardina, B.; Misiti, F. Aβ(31–35) and Aβ(25–35) Fragments of Amyloid Beta-Protein Induce Cellular Death through Apoptotic Signals: Role of the Redox State of Methionine-35. *FEBS Lett.* 2005, 579, 2913–2918. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ali, M.Y.; Jannat, S.; Edraki, N.; Das, S.; Chang, W.K.; Kim, H.C.; Park, S.K.; Chang, M.S. Flavanone Glycosides Inhibit β-Site Amyloid Precursor Protein Cleaving Enzyme 1 and Cholinesterase and Reduce Aβ Aggregation in the Amyloidogenic Pathway. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 2019, 309, 108707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sarkar, B.; Dhiman, M.; Mittal, S.; Mantha, A.K. Curcumin Revitalizes Amyloid Beta (25–35)-Induced and Organophosphate Pesticides Pestered Neurotoxicity in SH-SY5Y and IMR-32 Cells via Activation of APE1 and Nrf2. *Metab. Brain Dis.* 2017, 32, 2045–2061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Zhi, Z.; Tang, X.; Wang, Y.; Chen, R.; Ji, H. Sinensetin Attenuates Amyloid Beta25-35-Induced Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, and Apoptosis in SH-SY5Y Cells Through the TLR4/NF-KB Signaling Pathway. *Neurochem. Res.* **2021**, *46*, 3012–3024. [CrossRef]
- Liu, X.-Y.; Zhang, L.-J.; Chen, Z.; Liu, L.-B. The PTEN Inhibitor BpV(Pic) Promotes Neuroprotection against Amyloid β-Peptide (25–35)-Induced Oxidative Stress and Neurotoxicity. *Neurol. Res.* 2017, 39, 758–765. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, X.; Xie, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wei, L.; Yang, H.; Yang, H.; Liu, Y.; Bi, J. Methyllycaconitine Alleviates Amyloid-β Peptides-Induced Cytotoxicity in SH-SY5Y Cells. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e111536. [CrossRef]
- Gao, L.; Li, X.; Meng, S.; Ma, T.; Wan, L.; Xu, S. Chlorogenic Acid Alleviates Aβ25-35-Induced Autophagy and Cognitive Impairment via the MTOR/TFEB Signaling Pathway. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 2020, 14, 1705–1716. [CrossRef]
- Adewusi, E.A.; Fouche, G.; Steenkamp, V. Effect of Four Medicinal Plants on Amyloid-β Induced Neurotoxicity in SH-SY5Y Cells. Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med. 2013, 10, 6–11.
- 93. How Is Alzheimer's Disease Treated? Available online: https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/how-alzheimers-disease-treated (accessed on 18 October 2022).
- 94. Amenta, F.; Parnetti, L.; Gallai, V.; Wallin, A. Treatment of Cognitive Dysfunction Associated with Alzheimer's Disease with Cholinergic Precursors. Ineffective Treatments or Inappropriate Approaches? *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **2001**, *122*, 2025–2040. [CrossRef]
- 95. Salomone, S.; Caraci, F.; Leggio, G.M.; Fedotova, J.; Drago, F. New Pharmacological Strategies for Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease: Focus on Disease Modifying Drugs. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *73*, 504–517. [CrossRef]
- Pithadia, A.S.; Lim, M.H. Metal-Associated Amyloid-β Species in Alzheimer's Disease. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2012, 16, 67–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Greenough, M.A.; Camakaris, J.; Bush, A.I. Metal Dyshomeostasis and Oxidative Stress in Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurochem. Int.* 2013, 62, 540–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Das, N.; Raymick, J.; Sarkar, S. Role of Metals in Alzheimer's Disease. Metab. Brain Dis. 2021, 36, 1627–1639. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sadžak, A.; Mravljak, J.; Maltar-Strmečki, N.; Arsov, Z.; Baranović, G.; Erceg, I.; Kriechbaum, M.; Strasser, V.; Přibyl, J.; Šegota, S. The Structural Integrity of the Model Lipid Membrane during Induced Lipid Peroxidation: The Role of Flavonols in the Inhibition of Lipid Peroxidation. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Jeynes, B.; Provias, J. Evidence for Altered LRP/RAGE Expression in Alzheimer Lesion Pathogenesis. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 2008, 5, 432–437. [CrossRef]
- Reiss, A.B.; Arain, H.A.; Stecker, M.M.; Siegart, N.M.; Kasselman, L.J. Amyloid Toxicity in Alzheimer's Disease. *Rev. Neurosci.* 2018, 29, 613–627. [CrossRef]
- Marambaud, P.; Dreses-Werringloer, U.; Vingtdeux, V. Calcium Signaling in Neurodegeneration. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 2009, 4, 20. [CrossRef]
- 103. Fernandez-Perez, E.J.; Peters, C.; Aguayo, L.G. Membrane Damage Induced by Amyloid Beta and a Potential Link with Neuroinflammation. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2016, 22, 1295–1304. [CrossRef]
- 104. Kommaddi, R.P.; Das, D.; Karunakaran, S.; Nanguneri, S.; Bapat, D.; Ray, A.; Shaw, E.; Bennett, D.A.; Nair, D.; Ravindranath, V. Aβ Mediates F-Actin Disassembly in Dendritic Spines Leading to Cognitive Deficits in Alzheimer's Disease. J. Neurosci. 2018, 38, 1085–1099. [CrossRef]
- 105. Morishima, Y.; Gotoh, Y.; Zieg, J.; Barrett, T.; Takano, H.; Flavell, R.; Davis, R.J.; Shirasaki, Y.; Greenberg, M.E. β-Amyloid Induces Neuronal Apoptosis Via a Mechanism That Involves the c-Jun N-Terminal Kinase Pathway and the Induction of Fas Ligand. J. Neurosci. 2001, 21, 7551–7560. [CrossRef]
- 106. Takada, E.; Okubo, K.; Yano, Y.; Iida, K.; Someda, M.; Hirasawa, A.; Yonehara, S.; Matsuzaki, K. Molecular Mechanism of Apoptosis by Amyloid β-Protein Fibrils Formed on Neuronal Cells. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2020, 11, 796–805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yao, M.; Nguyen, T.-V.V.; Pike, C.J. β-Amyloid-Induced Neuronal Apoptosis Involves c-Jun N-Terminal Kinase-Dependent Downregulation of Bcl-w. J. Neurosci. 2005, 25, 1149–1158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Chen, G.; Xu, T.; Yan, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Melcher, K.; Xu, H.E. Amyloid Beta: Structure, Biology and Structure-Based Therapeutic Development. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* **2017**, *38*, 1205–1235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Tare, M.; Modi, R.M.; Nainaparampil, J.J.; Puli, O.R.; Bedi, S.; Fernandez-Funez, P.; Kango-Singh, M.; Singh, A. Activation of JNK Signaling Mediates Amyloid-&-Dependent Cell Death. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e24361. [CrossRef]
- 110. Marín, N.; Romero, B.; Bosch-Morell, F.; Llansola, M.; Felipo, V.; Romá, J.; Romero, F.J. β-Amyloid-Induced Activation of Caspase-3 in Primary Cultures of Rat Neurons. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 2000, 119, 63–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ghasemi, R.; Zarifkar, A.; Rastegar, K.; Maghsoudi, N.; Moosavi, M. Repeated Intra-Hippocampal Injection of Beta-Amyloid 25–35 Induces a Reproducible Impairment of Learning and Memory: Considering Caspase-3 and MAPKs Activity. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2014, 726, 33–40. [CrossRef]
- 112. Zhang, G.; Yao, L.; Du, Y.; Zhang, R.; Bu, N.; Liu, J.; Yuan, H.; Wu, H. [Expression of p38MAPK in the hippocampal CA1 region of rats with Abeta25-35-induced Alzheimer disease]. *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao* **2008**, *28*, 1176–1179.
- Jin, Y.; Wang, H. Naringenin Inhibit the Hydrogen Peroxide-Induced SH-SY5Y Cells Injury Through Nrf2/HO-1 Pathway. Neurotox Res. 2019, 36, 796–805. [CrossRef]
- Zeng, H.; Chen, Q.; Zhao, B. Genistein Ameliorates Beta-Amyloid Peptide (25–35)-Induced Hippocampal Neuronal Apoptosis. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2004, 36, 180–188. [CrossRef]
- 115. Lee, S.Y.; Lee, J.W.; Lee, H.; Yoo, H.S.; Yun, Y.P.; Oh, K.W.; Ha, T.Y.; Hong, J.T. Inhibitory Effect of Green Tea Extract on β-Amyloid-Induced PC12 Cell Death by Inhibition of the Activation of NF-KB and ERK/P38 MAP Kinase Pathway through Antioxidant Mechanisms. *Mol. Brain Res.* 2005, 140, 45–54. [CrossRef]
- 116. Martorana, F.; Foti, M.; Virtuoso, A.; Gaglio, D.; Aprea, F.; Latronico, T.; Rossano, R.; Riccio, P.; Papa, M.; Alberghina, L.; et al. Differential Modulation of NF-κB in Neurons and Astrocytes Underlies Neuroprotection and Antigliosis Activity of Natural Antioxidant Molecules. *Oxidative Med. Cell Longev.* 2019, 2019, e8056904. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Wang, K.; Chen, Z.; Huang, L.; Meng, B.; Zhou, X.; Wen, X.; Ren, D. Naringenin Reduces Oxidative Stress and Improves Mitochondrial Dysfunction via Activation of the Nrf2/ARE Signaling Pathway in Neurons. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 2017, 40, 1582–1590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Lou, H.; Jing, X.; Wei, X.; Shi, H.; Ren, D.; Zhang, X. Naringenin Protects against 6-OHDA-Induced Neurotoxicity via Activation of the Nrf2/ARE Signaling Pathway. *Neuropharmacology* **2014**, *79*, 380–388. [CrossRef]
- de Oliveira, M.R.; Brasil, F.B.; Andrade, C.M.B. Naringenin Attenuates H₂O₂-Induced Mitochondrial Dysfunction by an Nrf2-Dependent Mechanism in SH-SY5Y Cells. *Neurochem. Res.* 2017, 42, 3341–3350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de Oliveira, M.R.; Andrade, C.M.B.; Fürstenau, C.R. Naringenin Exerts Anti-Inflammatory Effects in Paraquat-Treated SH-SY5Y Cells Through a Mechanism Associated with the Nrf2/HO-1 Axis. *Neurochem. Res.* 2018, 43, 894–903. [CrossRef]
- 121. Wang, K.; Chen, Z.; Huang, J.; Huang, L.; Luo, N.; Liang, X.; Liang, M.; Xie, W. Naringenin Prevents Ischaemic Stroke Damage via Anti-Apoptotic and Anti-Oxidant Effects. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **2017**, *44*, 862–871. [CrossRef]
- 122. Zhang, Y.; Liu, B.; Chen, X.; Zhang, N.; Li, G.; Zhang, L.-H.; Tan, L.-Y. Naringenin Ameliorates Behavioral Dysfunction and Neurological Deficits in a D-Galactose-Induced Aging Mouse Model Through Activation of PI3K/Akt/Nrf2 Pathway. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2017, 20, 462–472. [CrossRef]

- 123. Petersen Shay, K.; Moreau, R.F.; Smith, E.J.; Hagen, T.M. Is α-Lipoic Acid a Scavenger of Reactive Oxygen Species in Vivo? Evidence for Its Initiation of Stress Signaling Pathways That Promote Endogenous Antioxidant Capacity. *IUBMB Life* 2008, 60, 362–367. [CrossRef]
- 124. Lee, J.; Jung, S.-Y.; Yang, K.-J.; Kim, Y.; Lee, D.; Lee, M.H.; Kim, D.-K. α-Lipoic Acid Prevents against Cisplatin Cytotoxicity via Activation of the NRF2/HO-1 Antioxidant Pathway. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0226769. [CrossRef]
- 125. Liu, L.; Yang, S.; Wang, H. α-Lipoic Acid Alleviates Ferroptosis in the MPP+-Induced PC12 Cells via Activating the PI3K/Akt/Nrf2 Pathway. *Cell Biol. Int.* **2021**, *45*, 422–431. [CrossRef]
- 126. Xia, D.; Zhai, X.; Wang, H.; Chen, Z.; Fu, C.; Zhu, M. Alpha Lipoic Acid Inhibits Oxidative Stress-induced Apoptosis by Modulating of Nrf2 Signalling Pathway after Traumatic Brain Injury. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2019, 23, 4088–4096. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Sun, H.; Guo, X.; Wang, Z.; Wang, P.; Zhang, Z.; Dong, J.; Zhuang, R.; Zhou, Y.; Ma, G.; Cai, W. Alphalipoic Acid Prevents Oxidative Stress and Peripheral Neuropathy in Nab-Paclitaxel-Treated Rats through the Nrf2 Signalling Pathway. Oxidative Med. Cell Longev. 2019, 2019, 3142732. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Zhang, J.; Zhou, X.; Wu, W.; Wang, J.; Xie, H.; Wu, Z. Regeneration of Glutathione by α-Lipoic Acid via Nrf2/ARE Signaling Pathway Alleviates Cadmium-Induced HepG2 Cell Toxicity. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2017, 51, 30–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zgorzynska, E.; Dziedzic, B.; Walczewska, A. An Overview of the Nrf2/ARE Pathway and Its Role in Neurodegenerative Diseases. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 9592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 130. Ma, Q. Role of Nrf2 in Oxidative Stress and Toxicity. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2013, 53, 401–426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, H.; Davies, K.J.A.; Forman, H.J. Oxidative Stress Response and Nrf2 Signaling in Aging. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2015, 88, 314–336. [CrossRef]
- Huang, Y.; Li, W.; Su, Z.; Kong, A.-N.T. The Complexity of the Nrf2 Pathway: Beyond the Antioxidant Response. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2015, 26, 1401–1413. [CrossRef]
- 133. Wang, H.; Ma, J.; Tan, Y.; Wang, Z.; Sheng, C.; Chen, S.; Ding, J. Amyloid-β 1–42 Induces Reactive Oxygen Species-Mediated Autophagic Cell Death in U87 and SH-SY5Y Cells. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2010**, *21*, 597–610. [CrossRef]
- Qu, M.; Zhou, Z.; Xu, S.; Chen, C.; Yu, Z.; Wang, D. Mortalin Overexpression Attenuates Beta-Amyloid-Induced Neurotoxicity in SH-SY5Y Cells. *Brain Res.* 2011, 1368, 336–345. [CrossRef]
- 135. Shi, C.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, B.; Li, Q.; Yew, D.T.; Yao, Z.; Xu, J. Protective Effects of Ginkgo Biloba Extract (EGb761) and Its Constituents Quercetin and Ginkgolide B against β-Amyloid Peptide-Induced Toxicity in SH-SY5Y Cells. *Chem.-Biol. Interact.* 2009, 181, 115–123. [CrossRef]
- 136. Zhang, L.; Yu, H.; Zhao, X.; Lin, X.; Tan, C.; Cao, G.; Wang, Z. Neuroprotective Effects of Salidroside against Beta-Amyloid-Induced Oxidative Stress in SH-SY5Y Human Neuroblastoma Cells. *Neurochem. Int.* **2010**, *57*, 547–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Sheehan, J.P.; Swerdlow, R.H.; Miller, S.W.; Davis, R.E.; Parks, J.K.; Parker, W.D.; Tuttle, J.B. Calcium Homeostasis and Reactive Oxygen Species Production in Cells Transformed by Mitochondria from Individuals with Sporadic Alzheimer's Disease. J. Neurosci. 1997, 17, 4612–4622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Chen, W.-T.; Kuo, Y.-Y.; Lin, G.-B.; Lu, C.-H.; Hsu, H.-P.; Sun, Y.-K.; Chao, C.-Y. Thermal Cycling Protects SH-SY5Y Cells against Hydrogen Peroxide and β-Amyloid-Induced Cell Injury through Stress Response Mechanisms Involving Akt Pathway. *PLoS* ONE 2020, 15, e0240022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 139. Wiatrak, B.; Jawień, P.; Matuszewska, A.; Szelag, A.; Kubis-Kubiak, A. Effect of Amyloid-β on the Redox System Activity in SH-SY5Y Cells Preincubated with Lipopolysaccharide or Co-Cultured with Microglia Cells. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2022, 149, 112880. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Xu, Y.; Yan, J.; Zhao, X.; Sun, X.; Zhang, Y.; Guo, J.; Zhu, C. Acteoside Protects Human Neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y Cells against β-Amyloid-Induced Cell Injury. *Brain Res.* 2009, 1283, 139–147. [CrossRef]
- Mani, S.; Sekar, S.; Chidambaram, S.B.; Sevanan, M. Naringenin Protects against 1-Methyl-4-Phenylpyridinium- Induced Neuroinflammation and Resulting Reactive Oxygen Species Production in SH-SY5Y Cell Line: An in Vitro Model of Parkinson's Disease. *Pharmacogn. Mag.* 2018, 14, 458. [CrossRef]
- Cores, Á.; Michalska, P.; Pérez, J.M.; Crisman, E.; Gómez, C.; Villacampa, M.; Menéndez, J.C.; León, R. Enantioselective Synthesis and Pharmacological Evaluation of Aza-CGP37157–Lipoic Acid Hybrids for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. *Antioxidants* 2022, 11, 112. [CrossRef]
- 143. Korecka, J.A.; van Kesteren, R.E.; Blaas, E.; Spitzer, S.O.; Kamstra, J.H.; Smit, A.B.; Swaab, D.F.; Verhaagen, J.; Bossers, K. Phenotypic Characterization of Retinoic Acid Differentiated SH-SY5Y Cells by Transcriptional Profiling. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e63862. [CrossRef]
- 144. Encinas, M.; Iglesias, M.; Liu, Y.; Wang, H.; Muhaisen, A.; Ceña, V.; Gallego, C.; Comella, J.X. Sequential Treatment of SH-SY5Y Cells with Retinoic Acid and Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Gives Rise to Fully Differentiated, Neurotrophic Factor-Dependent, Human Neuron-like Cells. J. Neurochem. 2000, 75, 991–1003. [CrossRef]
- 145. Sasaguri, H.; Nilsson, P.; Hashimoto, S.; Nagata, K.; Saito, T.; De Strooper, B.; Hardy, J.; Vassar, R.; Winblad, B.; Saido, T.C. APP Mouse Models for Alzheimer's Disease Preclinical Studies. *EMBO J.* 2017, *36*, 2473–2487. [CrossRef]
- 146. Haider, S.; Liaquat, L.; Ahmad, S.; Batool, Z.; Siddiqui, R.A.; Tabassum, S.; Shahzad, S.; Rafiq, S.; Naz, N. Naringenin Protects AlCl3/D-Galactose Induced Neurotoxicity in Rat Model of AD via Attenuation of Acetylcholinesterase Levels and Inhibition of Oxidative Stress. PLoS ONE 2020, 15, e0227631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Khan, M.B.; Khan, M.M.; Khan, A.; Ahmed, M.E.; Ishrat, T.; Tabassum, R.; Vaibhav, K.; Ahmad, A.; Islam, F. Naringenin Ameliorates Alzheimer's Disease (AD)-Type Neurodegeneration with Cognitive Impairment (AD-TNDCI) Caused by the Intracerebroventricular-Streptozotocin in Rat Model. *Neurochem. Int.* **2012**, *61*, 1081–1093. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 148. Farr, S.A.; Price, T.O.; Banks, W.A.; Ercal, N.; Morley, J.E. Effect of Alpha-Lipoic Acid on Memory, Oxidation, and Lifespan in SAMP8 Mice. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2012, 32, 447–455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Sharman, M.J.; Gyengesi, E.; Liang, H.; Chatterjee, P.; Karl, T.; Li, Q.-X.; Wenk, M.R.; Halliwell, B.; Martins, R.N.; Münch, G. Assessment of Diets Containing Curcumin, Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate, Docosahexaenoic Acid and α-Lipoic Acid on Amyloid Load and Inflammation in a Male Transgenic Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease: Are Combinations More Effective? *Neurobiol. Dis.* 2019, *124*, 505–519. [CrossRef]
- 150. Garcia, N.; Santafé, M.M.; Tomàs, M.; Lanuza, M.A.; Tomàs, J. Short-Term Effects of β-Amyloid25-35 Peptide Aggregates on Transmitter Release in Neuromuscular Synapses. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* **2008**, *67*, 250–259. [CrossRef]
- 151. Ng, N.S.; Ooi, L. A Simple Microplate Assay for Reactive Oxygen Species Generation and Rapid Cellular Protein Normalization. *Bio Protoc.* 2021, 11, e3877. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.