



## **Innovations in the Realm of Shoulder Arthroplasty**

Alexandre Lädermann <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Laurent Audigé <sup>4,5,6</sup> and Markus Scheibel <sup>4,7</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Division of Orthopaedics and Trauma Surgery, La Tour Hospital, Av. J.-D. Maillard 3, 1217 Meyrin, Switzerland
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland
- <sup>3</sup> Division of Orthopaedics and Trauma Surgery, Department of Surgery, Geneva University Hospitals, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, Schulthess Clinic, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>5</sup> Research and Development Department, Schulthess Clinic, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, University Hospital of Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland
- <sup>7</sup> Center for Musculoskeletal Surgery, Campus Virchow, Charité-Universitaetsmedizin Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany
- \* Correspondence: alexandre.laedermann@gmail.com; Tel.: +41-22-719-75-55; Fax: +41-22-719-60-77

## Introduction

Most of the surgeries regarding the shoulder were established over a century ago. In the 1890s, the understanding of the unstable shoulder was elucidated by Broca and Hartman [1], who introduced the concept of capsulolabral damage following dislocations as a possible cause of recurrent instability [2]. Notably, most of the findings currently considered hallmarks of shoulder instability, including Bankart lesions, bony Bankart lesions, and Kim lesions, as well as anterior and posterior labral periosteal sleeve avulsions and glenoid avulsions of glenohumeral ligaments, were described within research papers decades before their depiction by the eponymous figures to whom these lesions are now commonly assigned [2]. In 1906, Perthes [3] and, a few years later, Bankart [4], emphasized the reattachment of the labrum to stabilize the joint. Current bone grafting techniques are based on the initial descriptions by Noeske in 1921 using the coracoid process [5], Eden [6] in 1918, and Hybinette [7] in 1932, using an autologous iliac crest. Since then, no true paradigm shift has occurred.

Regarding the rotator cuff, a similar observation can be made. Duplay presented the classic description of scapulohumeral periarthritis in 1872, highlighting the potential role of the acromion. Repair of the torn rotator cuff likely dates back to 1898 [8]. Since then, many evolutions regarding these treatments, such as acromioplasty, arthroscopy, or anchors development, have been subsequently observed, but without apparent revolution; 150 years after its first description, the proper place of a procedure such as acromioplasty has yet to be determined [9], and most enhancing technologies (superior capsular reconstruction (SCR) [10], growth factors (PRP) [11], Balloon [12], etc.) for rotator cuff reinforcement or substitution have yet to prove their superiority over simple reattachment of the tendon to the bone.

Interestingly, the former statements are not true within the domain of arthroplasty. Since Themistocles Gluck designed the first shoulder prostheses in 1890, of which Jules Emile Péan implanted the first in 1893 [13], several revolutions have taken place within these last few decades, namely, by Charles Neer and Paul Grammont. Most importantly, the realm of shoulder arthroplasty has undergone significant transformation [14] in recent years, covered in the present Special Issue on shoulder arthroplasty in the *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. It concerns not only surgical indications that have dramatically evolved [15–17], but also planification and navigation with the implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) and augmented reality (AR) [18]. Moreover, the rapid development of surgical techniques [19,20] and new prosthetic designs [21–23], including custom augments with three



Citation: Lädermann, A.; Audigé, L.; Scheibel, M. Innovations in the Realm of Shoulder Arthroplasty. J. Clin. Med. 2023, 12, 237. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jcm12010237

Received: 30 November 2022 Accepted: 11 December 2022 Published: 28 December 2022



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). dimensional (3D) printing, glenoid [24] and humeral [25] reconstruction for various conditions [26], are overviewed. Palpable results of this recent technologic acceleration include improved outcomes [27] and decreased complication rates. Despite the significant progress highlighted in this Special Issue, there is currently a myriad prosthetic designs announcing imminent changes. Indeed, we are only at the dawn of a new era in the history of shoulder arthroplasty, reminding us that a substantial amount of work remains to be carried out in order to see progress.

**Author Contributions:** A.L.: writing—review and editing, L.A.: writing—review and editing, M.S.: writing—review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by FORE (Foundation for Research and Teaching in Orthopedics, Sports Medicine, Trauma and Imaging in the Musculoskeletal System). Grant number FORE 2022-42.

Acknowledgments: We thank Jeanni Zbinden for her help in editing this editorial.

**Conflicts of Interest:** A.L. is a paid consultant for Arthrex, Stryker and Medacta. He received royalties from Stryker. He is the founder of FORE, Med4Cast and BeeMed. L.A. declares no conflicts of interest. M.S. is a paid consultant for Arthrex Inc., CONMED Linvatec, DJ Orthopaedics, Exactech, Inc. and Stryker. He received royalties from Stryker, CONMED Linvatec.

## References

- 1. Broca, A.; Hartmann, H. Contribution à l'étude des luxations de l'épaule (luxations anciennes et luxations récidivantes). *Bull. Soc. Anat.* **1890**, *4*, 416–423.
- Lädermann, A. Anteroinferior Glenohumeral Instability. Available online: https://wiki.beemed.com/view/Anteroinferior\_ Glenohumeral\_Instability#History (accessed on 26 September 2021).
- 3. Perthes, G. Ueber Operationen beihabitueller Schulterluxation. *Dtsch. Z. Chir.* **1906**, *85*, 199–227. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bankart, A.S. Recurrent or Habitual Dislocation of the Shoulder-Joint. Br. Med. J. 1923, 2, 1132–1133. [CrossRef]
- Lädermann, A.; Bohm, E.; Tay, E.; Scheibel, M. Bone-mediated anteroinferior glenohumeral instability: Current concepts. *Der* Orthopade 2018, 47, 129–138. [CrossRef]
- 6. Eden, R. Zur Operation der habituellen Schulterluxation unter Mitteilung eines neuen Verfahrens bei Abriss am inneren Pfannenrande. *Dsch. Z. Chir.* **1918**, *144*, 269. [CrossRef]
- Hybinette, S. De la transplantation d'un fragment osseux pour remédier aux luxations récidivantes de l'épaule. *Acta Chir. Scand.* 1932, 26, 411–445.
- 8. Müller, W. Verletzungen und Krankheiten der oberen Extremität; Thieme: Leipzig, Germany, 1922.
- 9. Lädermann, A.; Denard, P.J. Proper Indications for Shoulder Subacromial Decompression Result in Excellent Outcomes. *Arthroscopy* **2021**, *37*, 1705–1707. [CrossRef]
- Lädermann, A.; Denard, P.J.; Barth, J.; Bonnevialle, N.; Lejeune, E.; Group, S.; Bothorel, H.; Francophone Arthroscopy, S.; Nourrissat, G. Superior capsular reconstruction for irreparable rotator cuff tears: Autografts versus allografts. *Orthop. Traumatol. Surg. Res. OTSR* 2021, 107, 103059. [CrossRef]
- Schwitzguebel, A.J.; Kolo, F.C.; Tirefort, J.; Kourhani, A.; Nowak, A.; Gremeaux, V.; Saffarini, M.; Lädermann, A. Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Plasma for the Treatment of Interstitial Supraspinatus Tears: A Double-Blinded, Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2019, 47, 1885–1892. [CrossRef]
- 12. Metcalfe, A.; Parsons, H.; Parsons, N.; Brown, J.; Fox, J.; Gemperle Mannion, E.; Haque, A.; Hutchinson, C.; Kearney, R.; Khan, I.; et al. Subacromial balloon spacer for irreparable rotator cuff tears of the shoulder (START:REACTS): A group-sequential, double-blind, multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **2022**, *399*, 1954–1963. [CrossRef]
- 13. Bankes, M.J.; Emery, R.J. Pioneers of shoulder replacement: Themistocles Gluck and Jules Emile Pean. *J. Shoulder Elb. Surg.* **1995**, 4, 259–262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Leafblad, N.; Asghar, E.; Tashjian, R.Z. Innovations in Shoulder Arthroplasty. J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 2799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Herve, A.; Chelli, M.; Boileau, P.; Walch, G.; Favard, L.; Levigne, C.; Sirveaux, F.; Clavert, P.; Bonnevialle, N.; Collin, P. Clinical and Radiological Results of Hemiarthroplasty and Total Shoulder Arthroplasty for Primary Avascular Necrosis of the Humeral Head in Patients Less Than 60 Years Old. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 3081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Imiolczyk, J.P.; Brunner, U.; Imiolczyk, T.; Freislederer, F.; Endell, D.; Scheibel, M. Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty for Proximal Humerus Head-Split Fractures-A Retrospective Cohort Study. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 2835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Simon, M.J.K.; Coghlan, J.A.; Bell, S.N. Shoulder Replacement in the Elderly with Anatomic versus Reverse Total Prosthesis? A Prospective 2-Year Follow-Up Study. J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 540. [CrossRef]
- Rojas, J.T.; Lädermann, A.; Ho, S.W.L.; Rashid, M.S.; Zumstein, M.A. Glenoid Component Placement Assisted by Augmented Reality Through a Head-Mounted Display During Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty. *Arthrosc. Tech.* 2022, 11, e863–e874. [CrossRef]

- Akgun, D.; Wietholter, M.; Maziak, N.; Paksoy, A.; Karczewski, D.; Scheibel, M.; Moroder, P. Two-Stage Exchange Arthroplasty for Periprosthetic Shoulder Infection Is Associated with High Rate of Failure to Reimplant and Mortality. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5186. [CrossRef]
- Moroder, P.; Lacheta, L.; Minkus, M.; Karpinski, K.; Uhing, F.; De Souza, S.; van der Merwe, M.; Akgun, D. Implant Sizing and Positioning in Anatomical Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Using a Rotator Cuff-Sparing Postero-Inferior Approach. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, 11, 3324. [CrossRef]
- 21. Castagna, A.; Borroni, M.; Dubini, L.; Gumina, S.; Delle Rose, G.; Ranieri, R. Inverted-Bearing Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty: Consequences on Scapular Notching and Clinical Results at Mid-Term Follow-Up. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 5796. [CrossRef]
- Nabergoj, M.; Onishi, S.; Lädermann, A.; Kalache, H.; Trebse, R.; Bothorel, H.; Collin, P. Can Lateralization of Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty Improve Active External Rotation in Patients with Preoperative Fatty Infiltration of the Infraspinatus and Teres Minor? J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 4130. [CrossRef]
- 23. Reuther, F.; Irlenbusch, U.; Kaab, M.J.; Kohut, G. Conversion of Hemiarthroplasty to Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty with Humeral Stem Retention. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, *11*, 834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nabergoj, M.; Neyton, L.; Bothorel, H.; Ho, S.W.L.; Wang, S.; Chong, X.L.; Lädermann, A. Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty with Bony and Metallic versus Standard Bony Reconstruction for Severe Glenoid Bone Loss. A Retrospective Comparative Cohort Study. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Sanchez-Sotelo, J. Current Concepts in Humeral Component Design for Anatomic and Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Coifman, I.; Brunner, U.H.; Scheibel, M. Dislocation Arthropathy of the Shoulder. J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Lädermann, A.; Eurin, R.; Alibert, A.; Bensouda, M.; Bothorel, H. Measuring Patient Value after Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5700. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.