



Article Clinical Characteristics and Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality of Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 Infection

Leszek Gromadziński ^{1,*}, Maciej Żechowicz ¹, Beata Moczulska ¹, Michał Kasprzak ², Klaudyna Grzelakowska ², Paulina Nowek ¹, Dominika Stępniak ¹, Natalia Jaje-Rykowska ¹, Aleksandra Kłosińska ³, Mikołaj Pożarowszczyk ³, Aleksandra Wochna ³, Adam Kern ¹, Jerzy Romaszko ⁴, Agata Sobacka ², Przemysław Podhajski ², Aldona Kubica ², Jacek Kryś ², Maciej Piasecki ², Piotr Lackowski ², Małgorzata Jasiewicz ², Eliano Pio Navarese ² and Jacek Kubica ²

- ¹ Department of Cardiology and Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Collegium Medicum, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, 10-082 Olsztyn, Poland
- ² Collegium Medicum, Nicolaus Copernicus University, 85-094 Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ³ School of Medicine, Collegium Medicum, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, 10-082 Olsztyn, Poland
- ⁴ Department of Family Medicine and Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, Collegium Medicum, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, 10-082 Olsztyn, Poland
- * Correspondence: leszek.gromadzinski@uwm.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-895238953

Abstract: Background: The identification of parameters that would serve as predictors of prognosis in COVID-19 patients is very important. In this study, we assessed independent factors of in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 patients during the second wave of the pandemic. Material and methods: The study group consisted of patients admitted to two hospitals and diagnosed with COVID-19 between October 2020 and May 2021. Clinical and demographic features, the presence of comorbidities, laboratory parameters, and radiological findings at admission were recorded. The relationship of these parameters with in-hospital mortality was evaluated. Results: A total of 1040 COVID-19 patients (553 men and 487 women) qualified for the study. The in-hospital mortality rate was 26% across all patients. In multiple logistic regression analysis, age \geq 70 years with OR = 7.8 (95% CI 3.17–19.32), p < 0.001, saturation at admission without oxygen $\leq 87\%$ with OR = 3.6 (95% CI 1.49–8.64), p = 0.004, the presence of typical COVID-19-related lung abnormalities visualized in chest computed tomography \geq 40% with OR = 2.5 (95% CI 1.05–6.23), p = 0.037, and a concomitant diagnosis of coronary artery disease with OR = 3.5 (95% CI 1.38-9.10), p = 0.009 were evaluated as independent risk factors for in-hospital mortality. Conclusion: The relationship between clinical and laboratory markers, as well as the advancement of lung involvement by typical COVID-19-related abnormalities in computed tomography of the chest, and mortality is very important for the prognosis of these patients and the determination of treatment strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords: mortality; SARS-CoV-2 infection; COVID-19; prognosis; risk factors

1. Introduction

COVID-19 is a lung disease caused by infection with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. The disease is novel, as it emerged in December 2019, and has caused over 6 million deaths worldwide thus far. The most affected regions in the world are Europe with over 194 million cases, Asia with over 149 million, and North America with over 99 million. In addition, Europe is the region with the highest death toll due to COVID-19 with over 1.8 million deaths. According to the available literature, elderly people with chronic cardiovascular diseases and diabetes are at a high risk of severe COVID-19 [1,2]. Despite differences between countries, the overall in-hospital mortality due to COVID-19 is high, amounting to 30% [3]. Thus far, the clinical picture of the disease indicating the worst prognosis has not been clearly determined. The reasons underlying the different clinical courses of the



Citation: Gromadziński, L.; Żechowicz, M.; Moczulska, B.; Kasprzak, M.; Grzelakowska, K.; Nowek, P.; Stępniak, D.; Jaje-Rykowska, N.; Kłosińska, A.; Pożarowszczyk, M.; et al. Clinical Characteristics and Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality of Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 Infection. *J. Clin. Med.* **2023**, *12*, 143. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm12010143

Academic Editors: Francisco Guillen-Grima and Hiroshi Nishiura

Received: 18 October 2022 Revised: 28 November 2022 Accepted: 20 December 2022 Published: 24 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). disease among patients are still unknown; therefore, it is difficult to predict which patients will develop a severe condition and which will present with only a mild form of the disease.

Hence, the identification of mortality predictors is crucial not only for adjusting the clinical approach but also for the monitoring and intensification of the therapy. Among the clinical parameters, the proposed predictors of poor prognosis include age, comorbidities, and male sex [4]. Moreover, a number of laboratory parameters have been associated with mortality in various studies. The highest risk of death has been observed for routine blood tests (lymphocytes, leukocytes, neutrophils, platelets, and hemoglobin), coagulation indices (D-dimer and prothrombin time), markers of liver and kidney function, inflammatory factors, and cardiac troponins [5–10]. However, medical data concerning the factors influencing the course, severity, and consequences of COVID-19 have still not been fully examined.

Validation of these early reports could equip clinicians with a valuable tool for clinical risk stratification. Therefore, in our study, we assessed independent factors of in-hospital mortality in a group of 1040 COVID-19 patients from two medical centers, admitted during the second wave of the pandemic.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

The data were obtained from a prospective registry of patients with COVID-19. We included 1040 patients admitted to the COVID-19 unit of the University Hospital in Olsztyn (Hospital A) and the COVID-19 unit of the University Hospital in Bydgoszcz (Hospital B), between October 2020 and May 2021. All patients were diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection via a positive RT-PCR assay of nasopharyngeal swabs. The present study was approved as a prospective observational protocol by the local ethics committee (protocol no. 24/2021).

In this study, we compared various baseline demographic and clinical characteristics along with laboratory data and clinical outcomes in both survivor and non-survivor COVID-19-confirmed patients admitted to two medical centers. The study flowchart is illustrated in Figure 1.

2.2. Data Collection

The demographic, clinical, and laboratory data were retrieved from individual clinical records and recorded in a dedicated electronic database. For all patients included in the study, we assessed the following comorbidities: arterial hypertension (AH), coronary artery disease (CAD), chronic heart failure (CHF), diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), malignancies, obesity, and clinical data: heart rate at admission (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), duration of hospitalization, and smoking status. In the analysis, apart from sex and age, we included the following laboratory parameters at hospital admission: C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), coagulation (D-dimer, APTT, PT, INR), complete blood count with differential (WBC-white blood cells, RBC—red blood cells, MCV—mean corpuscular volume, Hb—hemoglobin, Ht—hematocrit, PLT—platelets, lymphocytes, RDW—red cell distribution width, PDW platelets distribution width, MPV-mean platelet volume), glucose, creatinine, eGFR, urea, potassium, sodium, ferritin, cardiovascular biomarkers-namely N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and troponin T (Tn-T), saturation at admission without oxygen (SpO₂), oxygenation index (partial pressure of oxygen to inspiratory fraction of oxygen ratio (PaO_2/FiO_2)), pO_2 —partial pressure of oxygen, pCO_2 —partial pressure of carbon dioxide, and blood pH level.



Figure 1. Study flowchart.

Moreover, all patients underwent computed tomography (CT) of the chest with a lung involvement assessment defined as a percentage of the lungs affected by typical COVID-19-related abnormalities.

Specific in-hospital treatments consisted of antiviral therapy with remdesivir, enoxaparin, steroids, and tocilizumab. If needed, patients were treated with antibiotics and oxygen support in the form of low-flow (nasal cannula) and high-flow (Venturi and reservoir masks, nasal high flow) oxygen, as well as noninvasive (NIV) and invasive ventilation by a ventilator.

2.3. Statistical Analyses

For comparison, a Student's *t* test or Mann–Whitney test was used depending on the distribution of variables. Demographic and clinical data were expressed as the mean and standard deviation when normally distributed, otherwise by median and first-third quartiles. Categorical variables, whenever dichotomous or nominal, were reported as frequencies and percentages and analyzed with the application of the Chi-square test.

Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to evaluate the estimate of the hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the correlation between all variables and in-hospital mortality. For multivariate analysis, only those parameters that demonstrated statistical significance (p < 0.1) in univariate analysis were included.

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was drawn for the laboratory values that were statistically correlated with mortality, and the value with the highest sum of sensitivity and specificity was accepted as the cut-off value.

3. Results

3.1. Population Characteristics

A total of 1040 COVID-19 patients (553 men and 487 women) qualified for the study. The median age was 70 (61–80) years. The majority of the patients, namely 770 (74.0%), were discharged alive, while the remaining 270 (26.0%) died. Among all patients included in the study, only 63 individuals were vaccinated (6.0%), and only 18 were vaccinated with the full dose (1.7%). The mortality rate in all vaccinated patients was two times lower than in unvaccinated patients and amounted to 8 deaths out of 63 patients (12.7%).

3.2. Biochemical Biomarkers and Clinical Features as Independent Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality

The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients in both groups are presented in Table 1.

Parar (n (%) or M	Parameter (n (%) or Mean \pm SD)		Survivors (n = 770)	Non-Survivors (n = 270)
Age (Age (years)		65.7 ± 15.5	77.5 ± 11.1
$Sov(n^{-\theta/})$	Female	487 (46.8)	362 (47)	125 (46.3)
Sex (11, 70)	Male	553 (53.2)	408 (53)	145 (53.7)
Days of hosp	italization (n)	13.9 ± 9.4	14.5 ± 8.5	12.3 ± 11.2
Hypertens	sion (n, %)	643 (61.8)	454 (59)	189 (70)
CAD	CAD (n, %)		124 (16.1)	58 (21.5)
HF (n, %)		168 (16.1)	91 (11.8)	77 (28.5)
Diabetes (n, %)		329 (31.6)	222 (28.8)	107 (39.6)
Asthma, COPD (n, %)		116 (11.1)	84 (10.9)	32 (11.8)
Cancer (n, %)		48 (4.6)	30 (4.0)	18 (6.7)
Smoking (n, %)		50	44	6
Heart rate (n/min)		85.5 ± 16.5	86 ± 15.8	84.1 ± 18.2
SBP (m	SBP (mmHg)		131.5 ± 19.6	126.1 ± 23.8
DBP (mmHg)		76.8 ± 12.8	78.1 ± 12.2	73 ± 13.6

Table 1. General characteristics of both groups.

CAD—coronary artery disease; HF—heart failure; COPD—chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SBP—systolic blood pressure; DBP—diastolic blood pressure.

Non-survivors were significantly older, more likely to have AH, CAD, CHF, and DM, and had a lower SBP and DBP, slower HR, and a shorter hospital stay than patients who survived. The analyzed laboratory findings are presented in Table 2.

Non-survivor patients were characterized by higher levels of AST, CRP, D-dimer, PT, potassium, glucose, creatinine, urea, WBC, neutrophils, RDW-CV, NT-proBNP, INR, procalcitonin, troponin-T, and ferritin, and by lower levels of pH, eGFR, RWC, PLT, lymphocytes, SpO₂ at admission, and oxygenation index (PaO_2/FiO_2) as compared with survivor patients. By contrast, there were no significant differences between survivors and non-survivors as concerns sex, BMI, smoking status, COPD, malignancies, ALT, APTT, pCO₂, pO₂, hemoglobin, hematocrit, PDW, MPV, and sodium.

Parameter {Mean \pm SD or Median (IQR)}, (n)	Total (n = 1040)	Survivors (n = 770)	Non-Survivors (n = 270)	<i>p</i> -Value
CRP (mg/L) (1036)	61.9 (24.2–126.7)	54.4 (19.4–119.3)	84.2 (47–164.5)	< 0.0001
D-dimer (µg/L) (1001)	1030 (580–2120)	907 (510–1820)	1480.5 (860–2906)	< 0.0001
ALT (U/L) (1003)	31 (19–51)	30 (20–50)	31 (18–53)	0.9706
AST (U/L) (1003)	39 (27–63)	36 (26.5–56.5)	51 (31–90)	< 0.0001
APTT(s) (997)	30.9 ± 11.9	31 ± 12.4	30.8 ± 10	0.2138
pH (650)	7.43 ± 0.08	7.44 ± 0.07	7.40 ± 0.09	< 0.0001
pCO ₂ (mmHg) (631)	34.95 ± 7.56	34.53 ± 6.01	35.75 ± 9.85	0.6865
pO ₂ (mmHg) (634)	68.73 ± 30.44	67.64 ± 25.32	70.79 ± 38.35	0.1451
Glucose (mg/dL) (978)	121 (102–153)	115 (99–139)	141 (114–189)	< 0.0001
Creatinine (mg/dL) (1032)	0.92 (0.72–1.23)	0.86 (0.69–1.09)	1.17 (0.83–1.7)	< 0.0001
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) (1032)	72.81 ± 28.53	78.22 ± 26.86	57.15 ± 27.46	< 0.0001
Urea (mg/dL) (942)	26.45 (16.6–44)	22.2 (15.2–35.4)	45.05 (29.3–75)	< 0.0001
RBC (million/mm ³) (1036)	4.29 ± 0.72	4.32 ± 0.69	4.19 ± 0.77	0.0036
WBC (thousand/µL) (1036)	6.72 (4.76–9.1)	6.3 (4.61–8.51)	7.97 (5.48–11.7)	< 0.0001
Hemoglobin (g/dL) (1036)	12.93 ± 2.17	12.99 ± 2.07	12.74 ± 2.44	0.1973
Hematocrit (%) (1036)	38.02 ± 6.21	38.11 ± 5.96	37,76 ± 6,89	0.4113
MCV (fL) (1036)	88.92 ± 6.75	88.51 ± 6.59	90.10 ± 7.05	< 0.0001
PLTx10 ⁹ per L (1036)	229.2 ± 105	235.3 ± 108.2	211.6 ± 93	0.0007
Neutrophils (%) (1021)	73.42 ± 15.1	71.1 ± 14.84	80.18 ± 13.80	< 0.0001
Lymphocytes $\times 10^9$ per L (822)	12.95 (1.8–23.7)	15.2 (4.8–25.2)	5.3 (1–15.5)	< 0.0001
RDW-CV% (1034)	14.17 ± 3.84	13.98 ± 4.24	14.75 ± 2.2	< 0.0001
PDW% (1027)	13.1 ± 3.53	13.01 ± 3.74	13.37 ± 2.80	0.0625
MPV% (1027)	10.91 ± 3.07	10.91 ± 3.50	10.9 ± 1.16	0.1973
NT-proBNP (pg/mL) (855)	632 (186.9–2372)	438.9 (144.5–1596)	2253.5 (641.1–7062)	< 0.0001
INR (1013)	1.15 (1.06–1.28)	1.15 (1.06–1.26)	1.2 (1.07–1.34)	0.0029
PT(s) (1010)	12.8 (11.5–14.2)	12.7 (11.5–14)	12.9 (11.5–14.7)	0.0273
Potassium (mg/dL) (1033)	4.06 ± 0.66	4 ± 0.61	4.22 ± 0.76	< 0.0001
Procalcitonin (μ g/L) (891)	0.11 (0.06–0.29)	0.09 (0.05–0.2)	0.29 (0.12–0.79)	< 0.0001
Sodium (mg/dL) (1033)	137.97 ± 5.64	137.69 ± 5.12	138.76 ± 6.88	0.1502
TSH (mlU/L) (548)	0.96 (0.52–1.62)	0.98 (0.58–1.63)	0.83 (0.36–1.59)	0.0735
Troponin T (ng/L) (315)	20 (11–43)	14 (9–27)	38.5 (23–79)	< 0.0001
Ferritin (µg/L) (535)	411 (204–819)	379.5 (192.5–755.5)	551 (275–1500)	0.0042
Computed tomography (%) (839)	25 (10-45)	20 (10-40)	40 (15–70)	<0.0001
Serum ferritin (µg/L) (535)	411 (204–819)	379.5 (192.5–755.5)	551 (275–1500)	0.0042
SpO ₂ (%) (826)	90.31 ± 8.44	91.63 ± 6.55	85.04 ± 12.29	< 0.0001
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ (mmHg) (1026)	276.2 (166.7–380.9)	304.8 (216.7–428.6)	186.7 (76.8–276.2)	<0.0001

Table 2. Biochemical characteristics of both groups.

RBC—red blood cells; WBC—white blood cells; CRP—C-reactive protein; PCT—procalcitonin; AST—aspartate transaminase; ALT—alanine transaminase; APTT — activated partial thromboplastin time; PT—prothrombin time; NT-proBNP—N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; RDW—red blood cell distribution width; PDW—platelet distribution width; MPV—mean platelet volume; SpO₂—oxygen saturation at admission, (PaO₂/FiO₂)—oxygenation index.

3.3. Medications Associated with In-Hospital Mortality

A significant difference between survivors and non-survivors was observed regarding treatment, as presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Treatment in both groups.

Parameter {n (%)}	Total (n = 1040)	Survivors (n = 770)	Non-Survivors (n = 270)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Remdesivir (n, %)	178 (17.1)	154 (20)	24 (8.9)	< 0.0001	
Tocilizumab (n, %)	92 (8.8)	65 (8.4)	27 (10)	0.4378	
Antibiotic treatment (n, %)	952 (91.5)	684 (88.8)	268 (99.2)	< 0.0001	
Anticoagulants (n, %)	1006 (96.7)	743 (96.5)	263 (97.4)	0.4675	
Steroids (n, %)	845 (81.3)	599 (77.8)	246 (91.1)	< 0.0001	
Convalescent plasma (n, %)	315 (30.3)	263 (34.2)	52 (19.3)	< 0.0001	
Oxygen therapy (n, %)	929 (89.3)	661 (85.8)	268 (99.2)	< 0.0001	
NIV (n, %)	131 (12.6)	64 (8.3)	67 (24.8)	< 0.0001	
Ventilator therapy (n, %)	160 (15.4)	33 (4.3)	127 (47)	< 0.0001	
NIV—noninvasive ventilation.					

Patients who died received oxygen (p < 0.0001), antibiotics (p < 0.0001), and steroids (p < 0.0001) more often, while receiving convalescent plasma (p < 0.0001) and remdesivir (p < 0.0001) less often. Moreover, in the chest CT, the lung involvement expressed as a percentage of the lungs affected by typical COVID-19-related abnormalities was significantly higher (p < 0.0001) in non-survivor patients as compared to survivors.

In order to assess independent risk factors for in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 patients, univariate and multivariate analyses of Cox proportional hazards regression were performed. The potential significance of clinical and laboratory parameters was analyzed.

3.4. Risk Factors for Death in COVID-19 Patients

The potential risk factors for death in COVID-19 patients are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Univariate predictors of in-hospital mortality of patients with COVID-19.

Parameter	OR	-95% CI	+95% CI	<i>p-</i> Value
Age (for every year)	1.066	1.053	1.08	< 0.0001
Age \geq 70	4.408	3.232	6.011	< 0.0001
Days of hosp. (n)	0.968	0.95	0.987	0.0008
AST (U/L)	1.006	1.003	1.009	< 0.0001
CRP (mg/L)	1.005	1.003	1.007	< 0.0001
D-dimer (µg/L)	1.025	1.008	1.042	0.0035
рН	0.0026	0.0002	0.029	< 0.0001
pCO ₂ (mmHg)	1.021	0.999	1.043	0.0599
Glucose (mg/dL)	1.007	1.005	1.009	<0.0001
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.315	1.157	1.493	<0.0001
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	0.974	0.969	0.979	< 0.0001

Table 4. Cont.

Parameter	OR	-95% CI	+95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value
Urea (mg/dL)	1.027	1.022	1.033	<0.0001
RBC (million/mm ³)	0.769	0.634	0.933	0.0078
WBC (thousand/µL)	1.086	1.056	1.117	< 0.0001
MCV (fL)	1.039	1.016	1.063	0.0009
$PLT \times 10^9 \text{ per L}$	0.998	0.997	0.999	0.0065
Neutrophils (%)	1.054	1.04	1.067	< 0.0001
Lymphocytes $\times 10^9$ per L	0.975	0.962	0.989	0.0003
RDW-CV%	1.078	1.016	1.145	0.0134
NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	1.097	1.067	1.128	< 0.0001
Potassium (mg/dL)	1.64	1.328	2.025	< 0.0001
Procalcitonin (µg/L)	1.017	0.997	1.038	0.0943
Sodium (mg/dL)	1.035	1.009	1.061	0.0078
Computed tomography (%)	1.027	1.02	1.034	< 0.0001
Computed tomography $\geq 40\%$	3.295	2.336	4.649	<0.0001
Serum ferritin (µg/L)	1.00036	1.00015	1.00058	0.001
SBP (mmHg)	0.988	0.982	0.995	0.0006
DBP (mmHg)	0.969	0.958	0.98	<0.0001
SpO ₂ (%)	0.923	0.904	0.942	<0.0001
$\mathrm{SpO}_2 \leq 87\%$	4.625	3.152	6.786	<0.0001
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ (mmHg)	0.994	0.993	0.995	<0.0001
Hypertension	1.624	1.206	2.186	0.0014
CAD	1.538	1.091	2.17	0.0141
HF	3.198	2.281	4.483	< 0.0001
Type 2 diabetes	1.735	1.31	2.298	0.0001
Oxygen therapy	18.45	5.834	58.341	< 0.0001
Antibiotic treatment	7.185	3.853	13.397	< 0.0001
Steroids	2.556	1.629	4.01	< 0.0001
Convalescent plasma	0.44	0.319	0.606	<0.0001
Remdesivir	0.341	0.223	0.522	< 0.0001
NIV	4.089	2.812	5.945	< 0.0001
Ventilator therapy	21.749	14.29	33.101	< 0.0001

RBC—red blood cells; WBC—white blood cells; CRP—C-reactive protein; PCT—procalcitonin; AST—aspartate transaminase; ALT—alanine transaminase; APTT—activated partial thromboplastin time; PT—prothrombin time; NT-proBNP—N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; RDW—red blood cell distribution width; CAD—coronary artery disease; HF—heart failure; SBP—systolic blood pressure; DBP—diastolic blood pressure; SpO₂—oxygen saturation at admission; PaO₂/FiO₂—oxygenation index; NIV—noninvasive ventilation.

However, in a multivariate analysis, the only independent risk factors for death were age \geq 70 years with OR = 7.8 (95% CI 3.17–19.32), p < 0.001, presence of CAD with OR = 3.5 (95% CI 1.38–9.10), p = 0.009, SpO₂ \leq 87% with OR = 3.6 (95% CI 1.49–8.64), p = 0.004, and typical COVID-19-related lung abnormalities in the chest CT \geq 40% with OR = 2.5 (95% CI 1.05–6.23), p = 0.037 (Table 5).

Parameter	OR	-95% CL	+95% CL	<i>p</i> -Value
Age \geq 70	7.824	3.168	19.321	< 0.001
CAD	3.544	1.38	9.105	0.009
$SpO_2 \le 87\%$	3.589	1.49	8.646	0.004
Computed tomography $\ge 40\%$	2.567	1.056	6.236	0.037

Table 5. Multivariate predictors of in-hospital mortality of patients with COVID-19.

CAD—coronary artery disease, SpO2—oxygen saturation at admission.

A ROC was created for demographic and laboratory parameters. It was determined that age \geq 70 years, SpO₂ \leq 87%, and typical COVID-19-related lung abnormalities in the chest CT \geq 40% predicted mortality. The results for different cut-off values of these parameters are provided in Table 6.

Table 6. Receiver operating characteristics analysis of demographic and laboratory parameters of in-hospital mortality of patients with COVID-19.

AUC	95% CI	p
0.729	0.696-0.762	p < 0.0001
0.69	0.642-0.738	p < 0.0001
0.672	0.626-0.718	p < 0.0001
	AUC 0.729 0.69 0.672	AUC 95% CI 0.729 0.696–0.762 0.69 0.642–0.738 0.672 0.626–0.718

SpO₂—oxygen saturation at admission.

4. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has spread fear not only because of its enormous death toll worldwide, but also due to its unpredictable course and unknown risk factors for severity, need for hospitalization, and mortality. There has been a growing number of publications concerning different parameters, which could potentially influence further diagnostic and treatment guidelines. However, the low sample sizes and heterogeneity of previous studies have strongly impacted the consolidation of the primary results [11,12]. Based on a large group of patients, we highlight clinical, biochemical, and radiographic features and markers related to severe outcomes or death due to COVID-19 [13,14].

Many of the early publications originate from Asia and North America and were intended to create a useful tool for risk stratification based on some multicenter assessments during the first pandemic wave [15]. The advantage of our observation is the positioning of the study in the second wave of the pandemic in Poland (between October 2020 and May 2021), which enabled us to follow more consistent guidelines. Hence, our study population appears to be more homogenous and representative regarding high and very high severity and death risk due to COVID-19 [16,17]. Our prospective registry of the study population probed deeper by including relevant symptoms, vital signs, and easy-to-obtain respiratory parameters such as SpO_2 (oxygen saturation at admission) and PaO_2/FiO_2 (oxygenation index) [18]. Such multifactor analysis and stratification appear to be consistent with the global systematic review and meta-analysis by Booth et al. published in 2021, which included over 150,000 patients. The methodology and choice of publications for this meta-analysis demonstrated a very high level of inconsistency between the previous studies (less than 1% of primarily considered patients were analyzed) with a geographical dominance of China [19]. Only one publication from Poland was included in this metaanalysis; however, it referred to the first wave of the pandemic [20]. The latest data from Polish centers were unavailable at that time.

All patients included in our study were diagnosed via a positive RT-PCR assay of nasopharyngeal swabs and underwent CT of the chest at admission to evaluate the extent of the inflammation typical of COVID-19 pneumonia. This approach was considered most

valuable as regards sensitivity and specificity [21]. Unfortunately, such a combination was not widely available in many countries, where one could find the chest X-ray (CXR) with its visual CXR score to be useful [22]. In our study group, the CT scan result with the cut-off level of 40% lung involvement appears to be a strong univariate predictor of in-hospital mortality (OR 3.95, 95% CI 2.34–4.65, p < 0.0001), as well as an independent risk factor in multivariate analysis (OR 2.5, 95% CI 1.05–6.23, p = 0.037). In a number of smaller-size studies, the chest CT score in COVID-19 patients correlated highly with biochemical findings and disease severity while being useful for short-term prognosis [23,24]. Furthermore, according to Colombi et al., qualitative and quantitative chest CT parameters obtained visually or by software are predictors of mortality and proven to be better predictors of death compared with clinical models [25].

Our results seem to undermine the advantage of radiography over a clinical model. First, our study population was about five-fold larger than the one cited above. Second, other independent risk factors for death due to COVID-19 pneumonia in multivariate analysis were demographic and clinical: age over 70 years (OR 7.8, 95% CI 3.17–19.32, p < 0.001), presence of CAD (OR 3.5, 95% CI 1.38–9.10, p = 0.009), or SpO₂ \leq 87% (OR 3.6, 95% CI 1.49–8.64, p = 0.004). Additionally, when analyzing the results of the univariate predictors, both biochemical and clinical factors were significant (Table 4). These findings align with the previous smaller-size studies and systematic reviews, highlighting that age and multiple comorbidities (hypertension, CAD, heart failure, diabetes) increase the risk of adverse outcomes. There were also various laboratory test abnormalities considered significant (increased levels of CRP, AST, creatinine, glucose, NT-proBNP, TnT) [26,27].

Special attention should be given to "respiratory" univariate predictors of in-hospital mortality: SpO₂ at admission (p < 0.0001) and PaO₂/FiO₂ (p < 0.0001), which are easy to obtain and were significantly lower in the group of non-survivors. As expected, the need for more intensive and prolonged oxygen therapy was significantly higher in the group with poor outcomes (p < 0.0001). Grasselli et al. presented similar findings: low PaO₂/FiO₂ was a strong independent risk factor (OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.74–0.87) associated with a higher mortality rate in a group of almost 4000 patients hospitalized in intensive care units due to COVID-19 pneumonia. Interestingly, a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in the aforementioned group of patients (OR 1.68, 95% CI 1.28–2.19), as well as in the previously mentioned meta-analysis by Booth et al., contributed to a poor outcome, whereas in our population there were no statistically significant differences between survivors and non-survivors regarding COPD (p = 0.67) [28].

Most data are conflicting regarding the impact of tobacco smoking in patients with pneumonia due to SARS-CoV-2 infection. There are numerous meta-analyses highlighting that especially present smokers are more vulnerable to severe COVID-19 and worse in-hospital outcomes [29,30]. Conversely, there are retrospective large cohort studies indicating that neither current nor former smoking were associated with an increased risk of hospitalization, in-hospital mortality, ICU admission, or intubation [31]. Moreover, based on an analysis of thirteen studies from China and considering the well-established immunomodulatory effects of nicotine, Farsalinos et al. suggest that pharmaceutical nicotine should even be considered as a potential treatment option for COVID-19 [32]. In our study population, we observed no increased mortality risk in either former or current smokers, although we lacked some clear information about the real smoking status of each patient included in the study.

Treatment with remdesivir and treatment with convalescent plasma were found to be univariate predictors of lower in-hospital mortality (both p < 0.0001). In our opinion, this association resulted from the delay of antiviral treatment initiation—some patients were symptomatically treated at home for a longer period of time before hospital admission, while the remdesivir treatment was only available in the first 5–6 days from the onset of disease symptoms according to our local guidelines. At the same time, we found no advantage in treatment with tocilizumab (p = 0.4378), although there were no time restrictions regarding the appearance and aggravation of the symptoms. This study has several limitations. First, we were not able to determine the genotypes of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. We only know that during the second wave of the pandemic, two variants of the virus dominated in Poland, the original variant, i.e., Wuhan, and the British variant, i.e., the alpha variant. Second, not all laboratory parameters were collected; in particular, for less than half of the patients, laboratory data for some biochemical parameters such as troponin-T and serum ferritin levels were not recorded, which may have led to an underestimation of their potential predictive value. Third, the sample size was limited, since it only included hospitalized patients. The design of the study did not allow us to accurately retrieve data to stage the underlying diseases, potentially lowering the net effect of each comorbidity. Furthermore, as the criteria for hospitalization due to COVID-19 are different across different institutions, an inclusion bias cannot be excluded in this regard. Finally, as this is an observational study, residual confounding factors may exist.

5. Conclusions

The relationship between clinical and laboratory markers, as well as the advancement of lung involvement by typical COVID-19-related abnormalities in CT of the chest, and mortality is very important for the prognosis of these patients and the determination of treatment strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic.

In our study, the COVID-19 outbreak determined a high in-hospital mortality rate, the main clinical predictors of which were:

- 1. age over 70 years old;
- 2. decreased saturation at admission without oxygen below 87%;
- 3. the advancement of lung involvement by typical COVID-19-related abnormalities in CT of the chest above 40%;
- 4. and a concomitant diagnosis of CAD.

Author Contributions: L.G.: study concept and design, data collection, interpretation of results, drafting of the manuscript, critical revision of the manuscript; M.Ż.: data analysis, drafting of the manuscript, interpretation of results; B.M.: data analysis, drafting of the manuscript, interpretation of results; P.N.: data analysis, drafting of the manuscript; D.S.: data collection; N.J.-R.: data collection; A.K. (Aleksandra Kłosińska): data collection; M.P. (Mikołaj Pożarowszczyk): data collection; A.W.: data collection; A.K. (Adam Kern): critical revision of the manuscript; J.R.: critical revision of the manuscript; K.G.: data collection; A.S.: data collection; P.P.: study concept and design, data collection, interpretation of results; M.K.: statistical analysis, interpretation of results; A.K. (Aldona Kubica): study concept and design; J.K. (Jacek Kryś): data collection; M.P. (Maciej Piasecki): data collection; P.L.: data collection; M.J.: study concept and design, interpretation of results; critical revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Local Ethics Committee (protocol no. 24/2021 of the day 14 October 2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was waived due to the nature of the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gorbalenya, A.E.; Baker, S.C.; Baric, R.C.; de Groot, R.J.; Drosten, C.; Gulyaeva, A.A.; Haagmans, B.L.; Lauber, C.; Leontovich, A.M.; Neuman, B.W.; et al. The species severe acute respiratory syndrome- related coronavirus: Classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2020**, *5*, 536–544.
- Regina, J.; Papadymitriou-Olivgeris, M.; Burger, R.; le Pogam, M.A.; Niemi, T.; Filippidis, P.; Tschopp, J.; Desgranges, F.; Viala, B.; Kampouri, E.; et al. Epidemiology, risk factors and clinical course of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients in a Swiss University Hospital: An observational retrospective study. *PLoS ONE* 2020, *15*, e0240781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Docherty, A.B.; Harrison, E.M.; Green, C.A.; Hardwick, H.E.; Pius, R.; Norman, L.; Holden, K.A.; Read, J.M.; Dondelinger, F.; Carson, G.; et al. Features of 20 133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO clinical characterisation protocol: Prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ* 2020, *369*, m1985. [CrossRef]
- Galloway, J.B.; Norton, S.; Barker, R.D.; Brookes, A.; Carey, I.; Clarke, B.D.; Jina, R.; Reid, C.; Russell, M.D.; Sneep, R.; et al. A clinical risk score to identify patients with COVID-19 at high risk of critical care admission or death: An observational cohort study. J. Infect. 2020, 81, 282–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ruscica, M.; Macchi, C.; Iodice, S.; Tersalvi, G.; Rota, I.; Ghidini, S.; Terranova, L.; Valenti, L.; Amati, F.; Aliberti, S.; et al. Prognostic parameters of in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients—An Italian experience. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* 2021, 51, e13629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Ye, L.; Chen, B.; Wang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zeng, J.; Deng, G.; Zeng, F. Prognostic value of liver biochemical parameters for COVID-19 mortality. *Ann Hepatol.* **2021**, *21*, 100279. [CrossRef]
- Mesas, A.E.; Cavero-Redondo, I.; Álvarez-Bueno, C.; Cabrera, M.A.S.; de Andrade, S.M.; Sequí-Dominguez, I.; Martínez-Vizcaíno, V. Predictors of in-hospital COVID-19 mortality: A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis exploring differences by age, sex and health conditions. *PLoS ONE* 2020, 15, e0241742. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Barman, R.D.; Gupta, V.; Tomar, S.; Gupta, G.; Biswas, A.; Ranjan, P.; Baitha, U.; Pandey, S.; Prakash, B.; Wig, N. Epidemiology and Risk Factors of COVID-19-Related Mortality. *Cureus* **2021**, *13*, e20072. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zinellu, A.; Arru, F.; de Vito, A.; Sassu, A.; Valdes, G.; Scano, V.; Zinellu, E.; Perra, R.; Madeddu, G.; Carru, C.; et al. The De Ritis ratio as prognostic biomarker of in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* **2020**, *51*, e13427. [CrossRef]
- Bellan, M.; Azzolina, D.; Hayden, E.; Gaidano, G.; Pirisi, M.; Acquaviva, A.; Aimaretti, G.; Valletti, P.A.; Angilletta, R.; Arioli, R.; et al. Simple Parameters from Complete Blood Count Predict In-Hospital Mortality in COVID-19. *Dis. Markers* 2021, 2021, 8863053. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, F.; Yu, T.; Du, R.; Fan, G.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Xiang, J.; Wang, Y.; Song, B.; Gu, X.; et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 1054–1062. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Chen, J.; Qi, T.; Liu, L.; Ling, Y.; Qian, Z.; Li, T.; Li, F.; Xu, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, S.; et al. Clinical progression of patients with COVID-19 in Shanghai, China. J. Infect. 2020, 80, e1–e6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, J.; Huang, D.Q.; Zou, B.; Yang, H.; Hui, W.Z.; Rui, F.; Yee, N.T.S.; Liu, C.; Nerurkar, S.N.; Kai, J.C.Y.; et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical characteristics, risk factors, and outcomes. *J. Med Virol.* 2020, 93, 1449–1458. [CrossRef]
- Argenziano, M.G.; Bruce, S.L.; Slater, C.L.; Tiao, J.R.; Baldwin, M.R.; Barr, R.G.; Chang, B.P.; Chau, K.H.; Choi, J.J.; Gavin, N.; et al. Characterization and clinical course of 1000 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York: Retrospective case series. *BMJ* 2020, 369, m1996. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Bertsimas, D.; Lukin, G.; Mingardi, L.; Nohadani, O.; Orfanoudaki, A.; Stellato, B.; Wiberg, H.; Gonzalez-Garcia, S.; Parra-Calderón, C.L.; Robinson, K.; et al. COVID-19 mortality risk assessment: An international multi-center study. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0243262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cummings, M.J.; Baldwin, M.R.; Abrams, D.; Jacobson, S.D.; Meyer, B.J.; Balough, E.M.; Aaron, J.G.; Claassen, J.; Rabbani, L.E.; Hastie, J.; et al. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: A prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 1763–1770. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Cigno, E.; Magagnoli, C.; Pierce, M.; Iglesias, P. Lubricating ability of two phosphonium-based ionic liquids as additives of a bio-oil for use in wind turbines gearboxes. *Wear* 2017, *376*, 756–765. [CrossRef]
- 18. Jakka, S.; Chandra, S.; Chaudhry, D.; Manjuunath, B.G.; Tyagi, D.; Thulasi, A. Oxygen saturation index as a surrogate to oxygenation index in predicting outcomes in ARDS. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2020**, *56*, 3437. [CrossRef]
- 19. Booth, A.; Reed, A.B.; Ponzo, S.; Yassaee, A.; Aral, M.; Plans, D.; Labrique, A.; Mohan, D. Population risk factors for severe disease and mortality in COVID-19: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0247461. [CrossRef]
- Nowak, B.; Szymański, P.; Pańkowski, I.; Szarowska, A.; Życińska, K.; Rogowski, W.; Gil, R.; Furmanek, M.; Tatur, J.; Zaczyński, A.; et al. Clinical characteristics and short-term outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019: Retrospective, single-center experience of designated hospital in Poland. *Pol. Arch. Intern. Med.* 2020, 130, 407–411. [CrossRef]
- Kovács, A.; Palásti, P.; Veréb, D.; Bozsik, B.; Palkó, A.; Kincses, Z.T. The sensitivity and specificity of chest CT in the diagnosis of COVID-19. *Eur. Radiol.* 2021, 31, 2819–2824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Orsi, M.A.; Oliva, G.; Toluian, T.; Pittino, C.V.; Panzeri, M.; Cellina, M. Feasibility, reproducibility, and clinical validity of a quantitative chest X-Ray assessment for COVID-19. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2020**, *103*, 822–827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Francone, M.; Iafrate, F.; Masci, G.M.; Coco, S.; Cilia, F.; Manganaro, L.; Panebianco, V.; Andreoli, C.; Colaiacomo, M.C.; Zingaropoli, M.A.; et al. Chest CT score in COVID-19 patients: Correlation with disease severity and short-term prognosis. *Eur. Radiol.* 2020, *30*, 6808–6817. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Charpentier, E.; Soulat, G.; Fayol, A.; Hernigou, A.; Livrozet, M.; Grand, T.; Reverdito, G.; al Haddad, J.; Tran, K.D.D.; Charpentier, A.; et al. Visual lung damage CT score at hospital admission of COVID-19 patients and 30-day mortality. *Eur. Radiol.* 2021, 31, 8354–8363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Colombi, D.; Villani, G.D.; Maffi, G.; Risoli, C.; Bodini, F.C.; Petrini, M.; Morelli, N.; Anselmi, P.; Milanese, G.; Silva, M.; et al. Qualitative and quantitative chest CT parameters as predictors of specific mortality in COVID-19 patients. *Emerg. Radiol.* 2020, 27, 701–710. [CrossRef]
- Gold, M.S.; Sehayek, D.; Gabrielli, S.; Zhang, X.; McCusker, C.; Ben-Shoshan, M. COVID-19 and comorbidities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Postgrad. Med.* 2020, 132, 749–755. [CrossRef]
- 27. Wolff, D.; Nee, S.; Hickey, N.S.; Marschollek, M. Risk factors for COVID-19 severity and fatality: A structured literature review. *Infection* **2020**, *49*, 15–28. [CrossRef]
- Grasselli, G.; Greco, M.; Zanella, A.; Albano, G.; Antonelli, M.; Bellani, G.; Bonanomi, E.; Cabrini, L.; Carlesso, E.; Castelli, G.; et al. Risk factors associated with mortality among patients with COVID-19 in intensive care units in Lombardy, Italy. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 2020, 180, 1345–1355. [CrossRef]
- Reddy, R.K.; Charles, W.N.; Sklavounos, A.; Dutt, A.; Seed, P.T.; Khajuria, A. The effect of smoking on COVID-19 severity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Med. Virol. 2021, 93, 1045–1056. [CrossRef]
- Alqahtani, J.S.; Oyelade, T.; Aldhahir, A.M.; Alghamdi, S.M.; Almehmadi, M.; Alqahtani, A.S.; Quaderi, S.; Mandal, S.; Hurst, J.R. Prevalence, Severity and Mortality associated with COPD and Smoking in patients with COVID-19: A Rapid Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2020, 15, e0233147. [CrossRef]
- Ho, K.S.; Narasimhan, B.; Sheehan, J.; Wu, L.; Fung, J.Y. Controversy over smoking in COVID-19—A real world experience in New York city. J. Med. Virol. 2021, 93, 4537–4543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Farsalinos, K.; Barbouni, A.; Niaura, R. Systematic review of the prevalence of current smoking among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in China: Could nicotine be a therapeutic option? *Intern. Emerg. Med.* **2020**, *15*, 845–852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.