RESEARCH

Open Access



Walking pace, handgrip strength, age, APOE genotypes, and new-onset dementia: the UK Biobank prospective cohort study

Panpan He^{1,2,3,4}, Chun Zhou^{1,2,3,4}, Ziliang Ye^{1,2,3,4}, Mengyi Liu^{1,2,3,4}, Yuanyuan Zhang^{1,2,3,4}, Qimeng Wu^{1,2,3,4}, Yanjun Zhang^{1,2,3,4}, Sisi Yang^{1,2,3,4}, Gan Xiaoqin^{1,2,3,4} and Xianhui Qin^{1,2,3,4*}

Abstract

Background The independent and additive associations of walking pace and grip strength on dementia risk and the potential modifying effects of age, APOE phenotypes, and other dementia risk factors on the walking pace and dementia relationships demand further clarification. We aimed to investigate the independent and additive relationships of walking pace and handgrip strength on the risk of new-onset dementia and examine the potentially modifying effects of age, APOE phenotypes, and family history of dementia in the relationships.

Methods A total of 495,700 participants from the UK Biobank, who were free of dementia at baseline, were included in this study. Walking pace was self-defined as slow, average, or brisk. Handgrip strength was assessed by dynamometer and was divided into sex-specific quartiles. The APOE genotypes were determined by a combination variant of rs429358 and rs7412. Other dementia risk factors, including education, physical activity, hypertension, depression, diabetes, and family history of dementia, were also collected. The primary outcome was new-onset all-cause dementia.

Results Over a median follow-up duration of 12.0 years, 3986 (0.8%) participants developed new-onset all-cause dementia. Compared with those with slow walking pace, participants with average (HR, 0.61; 95%CI: 0.55–0.68) or brisk (HR, 0.59; 95%CI: 0.52–0.67) walking pace had a significantly lower risk of new-onset all-cause dementia. Moreover, compared with those with both slow walking pace and lower handgrip strength (the first quartile), the lowest risk of new-onset all-cause dementia was observed in participants with both average or brisk walking pace and higher handgrip strength (the 2–4 quartiles) (HR, 0.45; 95%CI: 0.40–0.52). Notably, the negative relationship between walking pace and the risk of new-onset all-cause dementia was significantly reduced as APOE ϵ 4 dosage increased (APOE ϵ 4 dosages = 0 or 1: brisk *vs.* slow: HR, 0.55; 95%CI: 0.48–0.63; *vs.* APOE ϵ 4 dosages = 2: brisk *vs.* slow: HR, 1.14; 95%CI: 0.77–1.68; *P* for interaction = 0.001) or age increased (<58 [median]: brisk *vs.* slow: HR, 0.27; 95%CI: 0.18–0.41; *vs.* ≥ 58 years: brisk *vs.* slow: HR, 0.55; 95%CI: 0.48–0.63; *P* for interaction = 0.007).

Conclusions Walking pace was inversely associated with new-onset dementia in the general population, especially in younger participants and those with lower APOE ϵ 4 dosage. Participants with both faster walking pace and higher handgrip strength had the lowest risk of dementia, suggesting that maintaining both high handgrip strength and fast walking pace may be a more comprehensive strategy for preventing dementia risk.

Keywords Walking pace, Handgrip strength, Dementia, APOE ɛ4 dosage, Age

*Correspondence: Xianhui Qin pharmaqin@126.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/A0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

Dementia is a major public health concern, posing a substantial burden on patients, their carers, and national healthcare systems [1, 2]. Around 50 million people worldwide suffer from dementia, and this number is projected to increase to 152 million by 2050 [1]. There is currently no complete cure for dementia, so identifying potential risk factors may reveal opportunities for primary prevention. One area of interest is whether the physical function is related to dementia onset, as both of them involve the central nervous system and share some common age-related mechanisms [3, 4].

Walking pace has been recognized as a reliable, valid, and sensitive measure to screen older adults for subclinical pathogenetic processes that may impair overall health and function [5]. Several studies have shown that a brisk walking pace was associated with a lower risk of dementia [3, 5-14], while some studies have reported no significant association [15, 16]. Another measure of physical function is grip strength as it measures muscular strength and biological validity, and poorer grip strength has been reported to be associated with mortality, and other negative health outcomes [17]. Recently, some studies [14, 17–19] reported that lower grip strength was associated with a higher risk of all-cause dementia. However, from a physiological point of view, walking pace and grip strength may reflect different underlying physiological processes. Grip strength is more likely a measure of contraction strength whereas walking pace integrates strength with other processes, such as balance and coordination. As such, the combination of both may result in a stronger association with health outcomes than individually [20]. Previous studies have evaluated the combination of walking pace and grip strength on cardiovascular disease (CVD) [21, 22] and type 2 diabetes [20] and suggested that the combination of walking pace and grip strength was more strongly associated with health outcomes than in isolation. In addition, previous studies on the associations of walking pace and handgrip strength on cognitive decline [23, 24] have reported that when grip strength and walking pace were included in a regression model simultaneously, only walking pace was associated with cognitive decline. Meanwhile, none of the studies [23, 24] have examined the combined association of walking pace and grip strength on cognitive decline. More importantly, to the best of our knowledge, the combined association of walking pace and grip strength with the risk of dementia has not previously been investigated.

Furthermore, age; modifiable risk factors like obesity, physical activity, hypertension, depression, and diabetes; family history of dementia; and genetic factors like the carriage of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε 4 allele have been associated with an elevated risk of overall dementia

or Alzheimer's disease (AD) [25, 26]. These factors, especially age and APOE, have also been associated with walking speed and handgrip [27, 28]. Therefore, they may represent important confounders or modifying factors of the relationships between walking pace or grip strength and new-onset dementia. It is of great importance to clinical and public health relevance to examine whether the association with dementia varies across those potential effect modifiers.

In this study, we took advantage of the large sample size of UK Biobank to (1) evaluate the individual and joint associations of walking pace and handgrip strength with the risk of new-onset dementia, and the major subtypes of dementia (AD and vascular dementia), and (2) to further examine the possible modifying effects of age, APOE ɛ4 dosage, family history of dementia, and dementia modifiable risk factors including obesity, physical activity, hypertension, depression, and diabetes on the walking pace and dementia associations.

Methods

Population and study design

The UK Biobank is a population-based cohort of more than 500,000 participants who attended 1 of 22 assessment centers across the UK between 2006 and 2010. At enrollment, participants completed a touch-screen questionnaire, had physical measurements taken, and provided biological samples, as described in detail elsewhere [29, 30].

The current analyses were restricted to participants who had self-reported measures of walking pace and grip strength measures and without self-reported or prevalent dementia at baseline. A total of 495,700 participants were included in the final analysis (eFigure 1).

This study is based on data from the UK Biobank study that received approval from the National Information Governance Board for Health and Social Care and the National Health Service North West Multicentre Research Ethics Committee. All participants gave written informed consent before enrollment in the study, which was conducted in accord with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Exposure and covariates

The walking pace was self-reported via a touchscreenbased questionnaire by answering the question "How would you describe your usual walking pace? (i) Slow pace, (ii) Steady/average pace, and (iii) Brisk pace". Handgrip strength was measured by using a Jamar J00105 hydraulic hand dynamometer while sitting. Isometric grip force was assessed from a single 3-s maximal grip effort of the right- and left-side arms with participants seated upright with their elbow by their side and flexed at 90° so that their forearm was facing forward and resting on an armrest. Both left and right hands strengths were measured. The mean of the right- and left-side values, expressed as kg, was used in the analysis. The measured handgrip strength was further divided into sex-specific quartiles as follows: Q1 (F: <19.5 kg; M: <34.0 kg), Q2 (F: 19.5 to <23.0 kg; M: 34.0 to <39.5 kg), Q3 (F: 23.0 to <27.5 kg; M: 39.5 to <45.0 kg), Q4 (F: \geq 27.5 kg; M: \geq 45.0 kg).

Blood collection sampling procedures for the study have previously been described and validated [30]. Biochemical assays were performed at a dedicated central laboratory. Multiple immunoassays and clinical chemistry analyzers were used to measure the biochemistry markers, details of which are provided in online companion documents (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/ukb/ docs/serum_biochemistry.pdf). Of which, C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were measured by immuno-turbidimetric method on a Beckman Coulter AU5800. Albumin levels were measured by colorimetric method on a Beckman Coulter AU5800. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) by height squared (m^2) . Area-based socioeconomic status was derived from the postal code of residence by using the Townsend deprivation score. Smoking (never, former, current) and drinking (never or special occasions, 1-3 times/month, 1-2 times/week, 3-4 times/week, daily or almost daily) status, education levels (college or university degree, A levels/AS levels or equivalent, O levels/General Certificate of Secondary Education [GCSEs] or equivalent, Certificate of Secondary Education [CSEs] or equivalent, National Vocational Qualification [NVQ] or Higher National Diploma [NHD] or Higher National Certificates [HNC] or equivalent, other professional qualifications), and depression (yes or no) were self-reported at baseline. Family history of dementia (yes or no) was collected by answering the question "Has/did your mother/ father/ any of your brothers or sisters ever suffer from dementia?". High education levels include college or university degrees and NVQ or NHD or HNC or equivalent. Optimal physical activity was defined as more than 4 days of vigorous/moderate physical activity in a typical week [31]. A healthy diet score [32, 33] was calculated based on the adequate intake of the following diet factors: increased consumption of fruits (\geq 3 servings/day), vegetables (\geq 3 servings/day), whole grains (\geq 3 servings/day), (shell)fish $(\geq 2 \text{ servings/week})$, dairy products $(\geq 2 \text{ servings/day})$, and vegetable oils (≥ 2 servings/day), and reduced or no consumption of refined grains (≤ 2 servings/day), processed meats (≤ 1 serving/week), unprocessed meats (≤ 2 servings/week), and sugar-sweetened beverages (do not drink). Each point was given for each favorable diet factor, and the healthy diet score ranged from 0 to 10. Seated blood pressure was measured twice manually (manual sphygmometer) or automatically (Omron HEM-7015IT digital blood pressure monitor), and the mean value of the two measurements was used to minimize measurement error. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure $(SBP) \ge 140$ mmHg, diastolic blood pressure $(DBP) \ge 90$ mmHg, self-reported antihypertensive treatment or self-reported hypertension history, or International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 (401) or ICD-10 (I10). Prevalent diabetes (yes or no) at baseline was identified through multiple procedures considering the type of diabetes and sources of the diagnosis [34]. CVD (yes or no) at baseline was identified as a composite of preexisting coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and atrial fibrillation.

Genetic risks of dementia

The APOE genotypes were determined by a combination variant of rs429358 and rs7412. Based on the number of APOE ε 4 alleles, participants were divided into high-risk group (APOE ε 4 dosage = 2, ε 4/ ε 4), normal-risk group (APOE ε 4 dosage = 1, ε 3/ ε 4), and low-risk group (APOE ε 4 dosage = 0, ε 2/ ε 2, ε 2/ ε 3, ε 3/ ε 3) in this analysis [26, 35].

Dementia genetic risk scores (without the AOPE genotype) were calculated by 25 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which passed quality control, based on the previous study [36]. A weighted method was used to calculate the PRS [37]; higher scores indicated a higher genetic predisposition to AD and cognitive disorder. Further detailed information on genotyping, imputation, and quality control in the UK Biobank study has been described previously [38].

Study outcomes

The primary outcome was new-onset all-cause dementia. The secondary outcomes included AD and vascular dementia. Diagnoses were recorded using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD9 and ICD10) coding system (eTable 1). The accuracy of dementia ascertainment has been validated previously [39].

Each participant's person-years were calculated from the date of attending the assessment center to the date reported for diagnosis of new-onset dementia events, death, loss to follow-up, or end of the follow-up, whichever occurred first.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean (SD) for continuous variables or proportions for categorical variables by walking pace and sex-specific quartiles of handgrip strength. Differences in characteristics were compared using ANOVA tests or chi-square tests accordingly.

Basic demographic variables and variables known as traditional or suspected risk factors for dementia were selected as covariates. The relations of walking pace, handgrip strength with all-cause dementia, and the major subtypes of dementia (AD and vascular dementia) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models (hazards ratio [HR] and 95% confidence interval [CI]) without (the crude model) and with adjustments for a series of covariates, including age, sex, ethnicities, Townsend deprivation score, BMI, smoking and alcohol drinking status, education levels, physical activity, healthy diet scores, CRP, albumin, CVD, hypertension, depression, diabetes, and family history of dementia in model 1. Moreover, APOE £4 dosage and dementia genetic risk scores were further adjusted in model 2 and model 3, respectively. In model 4, walking pace and handgrip strength were further mutually adjusted.

We combined walking pace and handgrip strength into a category variable: group 1, slow walking pace and grip strength in the first quartile; group 2, slow walking pace and grip strength in the 2–4 quartiles; group 3, average or brisk walking pace and grip strength in the first quartile; group 4, average or brisk walking pace and grip strength in the 2–4 quartiles. Similar Cox proportional hazards models were used to examine the association of combined walking speed and handgrip strength with risk of all-cause dementia with group 1 as reference.

To evaluate the interactions between walking pace and age, APOE ϵ 4 dosage, handgrip strength, or other confounding factors (BMI, education, physical activity, hypertension, depression, diabetes, and family history of dementia), multiplicative interactions were assessed by adding an interaction term to the Cox proportional hazards model.

A two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant in all analyses. R software (version 4.1.3, http://www.R-project.org) was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Baseline characteristics of participants

A total of 495,700 participants were included in the current study. The average age of the study population was 56.5 (SD, 8.1) years. 225,861 (45.6%) of the participants were male. 40,464 (8.2%), 261,751 (52.8%), and 193,485 (39.0%) participants reported slow, average, and brisk walking pace, respectively.

The baseline characteristics of study participants are presented by walking pace in Table 1. Participants who reported slow walking pace were older, more likely to be female, non-white, and smokers; had lower physical activity and education levels; less likely to drink and to have healthy diet scores, more likely to have CVD, hypertension, depression, and diabetes, and had higher deprivation index, BMI, CRP, APOE ϵ 4 dosage, and lower albumin levels (all *P* values < 0.001).

Moreover, participants in lower quartiles of grip strength were younger; less likely to be white and current smokers; more likely to be alcohol drinkers; more likely to have hypertension, depression, diabetes, CVD, and dementia family history; had higher deprivation index, BMI, Cystatin C, and CRP levels and lower education, physical activity, and albumin levels (all *P* values < 0.001) (eTable 2).

Associations of walking pace, handgrip strength, and combined walking pace and handgrip strength with new-onset dementia

During a median follow-up of 12.0 years (interquartile range, 11.2–12.7 years), a total of 3986 (0.8%) new-onset cases of dementia were documented, including 2638 cases of AD and 1404 cases of vascular dementia.

In the crude model, compared to slow walking pace, the HRs (95%CI) were 0.41 (0.37-0.44) and 0.28 (0.26-0.31) for average and brisk walking pace (*P* for trend < 0.001), respectively. After multivariate adjustments (model 1), the inverse association was attenuated but remained significant. Compared to those with slow walking pace, participants with average (HR, 0.61; 95%CI: 0.55–0.68) or brisk (HR, 0.59; 95%CI: 0.52-0.67) walking pace had a significantly lower risk of new-onset all-cause dementia (*P* for trend < 0.001). Similarly, compared to those with slow walking pace, participants with average or brisk walking pace had a significantly lower risk of new-onset AD (average vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.64; 95%CI: 0.55–0.73; brisk vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.64; 95%CI: 0.55-0.75; P for trend < 0.001) and vascular dementia (average vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.54; 95%CI: 0.45-0.64; brisk vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.47; 95%CI: 0.38–0.58; *P* for trend < 0.001) in model 1 (Table 2). There was no obvious change in the strength of the relationship between walking pace and new-onset dementia after further adjustments for APOE ɛ4 dosage in model 2 (average vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.60; 95%CI: 0.54-0.67; brisk vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.59; 95%CI: 0.52-0.67; *P* for trend < 0.001) and dementia genetic risk scores in model 3 (average vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.61; 95%CI: 0.54-0.68; brisk vs. slow walking pace: HR, 0.59; 95%CI: 0.52–0.67; *P* for trend < 0.001) (Table 2).

Moreover, when handgrip strength was assessed as sexspecific quartiles, in the crude model, compared to the first quartile, the HRs (95%CI) were 0.59 (0.55–0.64), 0.36 (0.33–0.40), and 0.20 (0.18–0.22) for the second, third, and fourth quartile (P for trend < 0.001), respectively.

Table 1 Population characteristics by walking pace categories

Characteristics	Walking pace			
	Slow	Average	Brisk	
N	40,464	261,751	193,485	
Age, year	58.8 (7.5)	57.1 (8.0)	55.2 (8.1)	< 0.001
Female, n (%)	22,378 (55.3)	143,128 (54.7)	104,333 (53.9)	< 0.001
White, <i>n</i> (%)	36,154 (89.9)	245,483 (94.1)	186,472 (96.7)	< 0.001
Deprivation index	0.0 (3.5)	- 1.3 (3.1)	- 1.6 (2.9)	< 0.001
Higher education, <i>n</i> (%)	9814 (25.0)	88,644 (34.5)	88,644 (34.5)	< 0.001
BMI, kg/m ²	31.2 (6.5)	28.0 (4.7)	25.8 (3.8)	< 0.001
Optimal physical activity, n (%)	10,523 (30.6)	100,287 (41.2)	83,025 (44.4)	< 0.001
Healthy diet score	2.9 (1.4)	3.0 (1.4)	3.2 (1.4)	< 0.001
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001
Never	17,807 (44.4)	140,676 (54.0)	112,149 (58.1)	
Former	15,272 (38.0)	91,877 (35.2)	64,081 (33.2)	
Current	7069 (17.6)	28,158 (10.8)	16,772 (8.7)	
Alcohol drinking, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001
Never or special occasions	15,393 (38.1)	53,066 (20.3)	27,706 (14.3)	
1–3 times/month	4690 (11.6)	30,588 (11.7)	20,006 (10.3)	
1–2 times/week	8900 (22.1)	69,318 (26.5)	49,920 (25.8)	
3–4 times/week	5660 (14.0)	57,622 (22.0)	51,402 (26.6)	
Daily or almost daily	5713 (14.2)	50,916 (19.5)	44,351 (22.9)	
Prevalent health conditions, n (%)				
CVD	9187 (22.8)	20,887 (8.0)	8793 (4.5)	< 0.001
Hypertension	29,114 (73.0)	153,871 (59.3)	92,856 (48.3)	< 0.001
Depression	4604 (11.4)	14,269 (5.5)	8771 (4.5)	< 0.001
Diabetes	6154 (15.2)	14,549 (5.6)	4963 (2.6)	< 0.001
Dementia family history	4670 (11.5)	30,531 (11.7)	22,668 (11.7)	0.594
CRP, mg/L	4.7 (6.5)	2.7 (4.3)	1.9 (3.5)	< 0.001
Albumin, g/L	44.5 (2.8)	45.1 (2.6)	45.5 (2.6)	< 0.001
APOE ɛ4 dosage				< 0.001
0	28,129 (74.0)	182,341 (73.6)	134,373 (73.1)	
1	9045 (23.8)	59,474 (24.0)	44,861 (24.4)	
2	817 (2.2)	6045 (2.4)	4591 (2.5)	

Abbreviations: BMI body mass index, CVD cardiovascular disease, CRP C-reactive protein. Variables are presented as mean (SD) or n (%)

After multivariate adjustments (model 1), the inverse association was attenuated but remained significant. Compared to those in the first quartile, significantly lower risks of new-onset dementia were found in participants in the second (HR, 0.77; 95%CI: 0.71–0.85), third (HR, 0.67; 95%CI: 0.60–0.74), and fourth quartiles (HR, 0.58; 95%CI: 0.51–0.66), respectively, after multivariate adjustments (model 1) (eTable 3). As such, participants in the first quartile of handgrip strength were defined as having lower handgrip strength. Similar results were found when the walking pace and handgrip strength were further mutually adjusted in model 4 (Table 2, eTable S3).

Although there was no statistically significant interaction between walking pace and handgrip strength on new-onset dementia (*P* for interaction = 0.739) (Fig. 1), compared to those with both lower handgrip strength (the first quartile) and slow walking pace, the lowest risk of new-onset dementia was observed in participants with both higher handgrip strength (the 2–4 quartiles) and average or brisk walking pace (adjusted HR, 0.45; 95%CI: 0.40–0.52) (model 2) (Table 3).

Stratified analyses

Stratified analyses were performed to assess the relationship between walking pace and new-onset dementia in various subgroups.

Walking pace was differently related to risks of newonset dementia among participants with different

 Table 2
 The association of walking pace with new-onset dementia and its major subtypes

	Walking pace			P for trend	
	Slow N = 40,464	Average N=261,751	Brisk <i>N</i> = 193,485		
All-cause der	nentia				
Events (%)	733 (1.8)	2093 (0.8)	1090 (0.6)		
Crude model	Ref	0.41 (0.37, 0.44)	0.28 (0.26, 0.31)	< 0.001	
Model 1	Ref	0.61 (0.55, 0.68)	0.59 (0.52, 0.67)	< 0.001	
Model 2	Ref	0.60 (0.54, 0.67)	0.59 (0.52, 0.67)	< 0.001	
Model 3	Ref	0.61 (0.54, 0.68)	0.59 (0.52, 0.67)	< 0.001	
Model 4	Ref	0.65 (0.58, 0.72)	0.64 (0.57, 0.73)	< 0.001	
Alzheimer's d	lisease				
Events (%)	412 (1.0)	1424 (0.5)	802 (0.4)		
Crude model	Ref	0.49 (0.44, 0.55)	0.37 (0.33, 0.42)	< 0.001	
Model 1	Ref	0.64 (0.55, 0.73)	0.64 (0.55, 0.75)	< 0.001	
Model 2	Ref	0.63 (0.54, 0.73)	0.64 (0.55, 0.76)	0.001	
Model 3	Ref	0.63 (0.55, 0.73)	0.64 (0.54, 0.75)	< 0.001	
Model 4	Ref	0.67 (0.58, 0.78)	0.70 (0.60, 0.82)	0.005	
Vascular dem	ientia				
Events (%)	369 (0.9)	732 (0.3)	303 (0.2)		
Crude model	Ref	0.28 (0.25, 0.32)	0.16 (0.13, 0.18)	< 0.001	
Model 1	Ref	0.54 (0.45, 0.64)	0.47 (0.38, 0.58)	< 0.001	
Model 2	Ref	0.53 (0.44, 0.62)	0.47 (0.38, 0.58)	< 0.001	
Model 3	Ref	0.53 (0.45, 0.63)	0.47 (0.38, 0.58)	< 0.001	
Model 4	Ref	0.56 (0.48, 0.67)	0.51 (0.41, 0.62)	< 0.001	

Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, ethnicities, BMI, socioeconomic deprivation, smoking and alcohol drinking status, education levels, physical activity, healthy diet scores, C-reactive protein (CRP), albumin, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, depression, diabetes, and dementia family history

Model 2 adjusted for covariates in model 1 plus APOE ɛ4 dosage

Model 3 adjusted for covariates in model 1 plus dementia genetic risk scores Model 4 adjusted for covariates in model 1 plus grip strength

APOE $\varepsilon 4$ dosages (*P* for interaction = 0.001). In the low or normal risk groups (APOE $\varepsilon 4$ dosage = 0 or 1), walking pace was significantly associated with a lower risk of new-onset dementia (brisk vs. slow: HR, 0.55; 95%CI: 0.48–0.63), while in the high-risk group (APOE $\varepsilon 4$ dosage = 2), walking pace was not significantly associated with the risk of new-onset dementia (brisk vs. slow: HR, 1.14; 95%CI: 0.77–1.68) after multivariate adjustments (model 1) (Fig. 1). Moreover, a stronger inverse association between walking pace and new-onset dementia was found in younger participants (<58 [median]: brisk *vs.* slow: adjusted HR, 0.27; 95%CI: 0.18–0.41; *vs.* \geq 58 years: brisk *vs.* slow: adjusted HR, 0.55; 95%CI: 0.48–0.63; *P* for interaction = 0.007) (Fig. 1).

None of the other variables, including BMI, education, physical activity, hypertension, depression, and diabetes, significantly modified the association between walking pace and all-cause dementia (all *P* for interactions > 0.05) (Fig. 1). The walking pace and all-cause dementia association was consistent in those subgroups.

Discussion

In this large population-based prospective cohort study, we found that self-perceived walking pace was inversely associated with the risk of new-onset dementia. The lowest risk of new-onset dementia was observed in participants with both faster walking pace and higher hand-grip strength. More importantly, the inverse association between walking pace and new-onset dementia was significantly attenuated as APOE ε 4 dosage or age increased.

Some previous studies with relatively small sample sizes have shown that brisk walking pace was related to lower risk dementia [3, 5, 7-12], whereas other studies conducted in the elderly population [15, 16] found that gait speed was not associated with dementia. Recently, a large cohort study also demonstrated that slow walking pace was associated with higher risks of all dementia types [14]. However, to date, the combined association of walking pace and grip strength with the risk of dementia, as well as the modifying effects of age, APOE phenotypes, and other important dementia risk factors, including obesity, physical activity, hypertension, depression, and diabetes, on the relationship between walking pace and new-onset dementia, have not been comprehensively investigated. Our current study, with a magnitude larger sample size and more extensive adjustments for confounding factors, including lifestyle, clinical measures, and genetic characteristics, addresses the knowledge gap in this field in a timely manner.

Our study showed that in the crude models, there was a significant inverse association between walking pace and the risk of dementia. Moreover, in the joint analysis of walking pace and handgrip strength, those with both slow walking pace and low handgrip strength had the highest dementia risk. Of note, these associations were obviously attenuated after adjustments for multiple potential confounders such as physical activity, healthy diet scores, hypertension, depression, diabetes, and family history of dementia, but remained significant, suggesting that the associations were partly independent of these important

Subgroups APOE 4 dosage	Events (%)	Adjusted HR (95%0	CI)	Events (%	6) Adjusted HR (959	%CI)	P for interaction 0.001
Slow	637(17)	Ref		Slow 49(6.0)	Ref	-	
Average	1695(0 7)	0.57(0.51, 0.64)		Average 247(4 1)	0.98(0.67.1.43)		→
Brisk	871(0.5)	0 55(0 48 0 63)		Brisk 168(3.7)	1 14(0 77 1 68)		•
Age, years				2.1011 100(01.)			0.007
<58 [median]				>58			
Slow	72(0.5)	Ref	•	Slow 661(2.6)	Ref		
Average	127(0.1)	0.36(0.25,0.53)		Average 1966(1.4)	0.62(0.55,0.69)		
Brisk	81(0.1)	0.27(0.18,0.41)		Brisk 1009(1.2)	0.55(0.48,0.63)		
Sex-specific hand	d grip strengt	th, kg					
Q1		-		Q2-4			0.739
Slow	465(2.5)	Ref	•	Slow 268(1.2)	Ref	•	
Average	839(1.3)	0.62(0.53,0.72)		Average 1254(0.6)	0.67(0.57,0.79)		
Brisk	343(1.1)	0.62(0.52,0.75)		Brisk 747(0.5)	0.65(0.55,0.78)		
BMI, kg/m^2							0.128
<30				>30			
Slow	334(1.7)	Ref		Slow 390(1.9)	Ref		
Average	1485(0.8)	0.64(0.55,0.74)		Average 605(0.8)	0.66(0.56, 0.77)	_	
Brisk	973(0.6)	0.66(0.57,0.78)		Brisk 117(0.5)	0.53(0.41,0.68)	_	0 475
Higher education				Vaa			0.175
Slow	587(2.0)	Rof		Slow 105(1.1)	Pof	_	
	1521(0.9)			Average 490(0.6)			
Brisk	679(0.7)	0.55(0.48.0.64)	-	Brisk 375(0.4)	0.72(0.56,0.93)		
Ontimal physical	activity	0.00(0.10,0.01)		Blick cro(c.i)	0.72(0.00,0.00)		0 134
No	aounty			Yes			0.104
Slow	387(1.6)	Ref	-	Slow 201(1.9)	Ref	-	
Average	954(0.7)	0.59(0.52,0.68)		Average 900(0.9)	0.66(0.55,0.78)		
Brisk	436(0.4)	0.53(0.45,0.63)		Brisk 575(0.7)	0.67(0.56,0.81)		
Hypertension	. ,						0.995
No				Yes			
Slow	94(0.9)	Ref	•	Slow 630(2.2)	Ref	•	
Average	430(0.4)	0.61(0.47,0.80)		Average 1635(1.1)	0.61(0.54,0.69)		
Brisk	317(0.3)	0.60(0.45,0.79)	_	Brisk 759(0.8)	0.59(0.51,0.68)		
Depression							0.148
NO	044(4.0)	5.4		Yes	D. (
Slow	644(1.8)			Slow 89(1.9)			
Average	1930(0.8)	0.59(0.53,0.66)		Average 163(1.1)	0.82(0.59,1.14)		_
Disk	1020(0.6)	0.57(0.50,0.65)		BIISK 70(0.8)	0.76(0.52, 1.12)	-	0 292
No				Vos			0.202
Slow	232(3.8)	Ref		Slow 501(1.5)	Ref		
Average	277(1.9)	0 53(0 43 0 66)		Average 1816(0.7)	0.64(0.56.0.72)		
Brisk	84(1.7)	0 59(0 44 0 80)	_	Brisk 1006(0.5)	0.60(0.53, 0.72)		
Dementia family	history	0.00(0.11,0.00)		2000	0.00(0.00,0.1.0)		0.097
No	metery			Yes			0.001
Slow	602(1.7)	Ref	-	Slow 131(2.8)	Ref	-	
Average	1624(0.7)	0.59(0.52,0.67)		Average 469(1.5)	0.71(0.56,0.89)		
Brisk	808(0.5)	0.55(0.48,0.64)		Brisk 282(1.2)	0.74(0.58,0.96)	_	
				. ,			1
			0.1 0.5 0.8 1.2			0.2 0.5 0.8	1.2

Fig. 1 Stratified analyses by potential effect modifiers for the association between walking pace and new-onset dementia. Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicities, BMI, socioeconomic deprivation, smoking and alcohol drinking status, education level, physical activity, healthy diet score, C-reactive protein (CRP), albumin, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, depression, diabetes, and dementia family history

Table 3	The joint association of walking pace (slow vs	s. average or brisk) and hand	grip strength (the first qua	artile vs. the 2–4 quartiles)
with ne	<i>w</i> -onset dementia			

Group ^a	N	Events (%)	Crude model		Adjusted model	
			HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value
1	18,722	465 (2.5)	Ref		Ref	
2	21,742	268 (1.2)	0.46 (0.39, 0.53)	< 0.001	0.68 (0.57, 0.82)	< 0.001
3	94,171	1182 (1.3)	0.47 (0.42, 0.52)	< 0.001	0.62 (0.54, 0.72)	< 0.001
4	361,065	2001 (0.6)	0.19 (0.17, 0.21)	< 0.001	0.45 (0.40, 0.52)	< 0.001

Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicities, BMI, socioeconomic deprivation, smoking and alcohol drinking status, education levels, physical activity, healthy diet scores, C-reactive protein (CRP), albumin, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, depression, diabetes, and family history of dementia

^a Group 1, slow walking pace and grip strength in the first quartile;

Group 2, slow walking pace and grip strength in the 2-4 quartiles;

Group 3, average or brisk walking pace and grip strength in the first quartile;

Group 4, average or brisk walking pace and grip strength in the 2-4 quartiles

traditional risk factors. These results have biological plausibility. The widespread network of brain areas that control walking involves regions responsible for attentional, executive, and visuospatial functions, as well as regions required for performing and controlling motor tasks, such as the cerebellum, basal ganglia, and motor cortex [40]. Thus, there is an overlap between areas that control walking and areas that control cognitive function, which may explain the relationship between dementiarelated pathology and gait dysfunction.

Our findings further expand the results of previously published studies on the walking pace and dementia associations [14] by demonstrating that the APOE ε 4 dosage significantly modified the association between walking pace and new-onset dementia. APOE- ε 4 allele, especially participants with two alleles, has been associated with several biochemical processes implicated in the etiology of AD, including amyloid deposits, neurofibrillary tangles formation, neuronal cell death, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, synaptic alterations, and cholinergic signaling dysfunction [41]. More importantly, the detrimental effects of APOE ε 4 on the neuronal system are irreversible [42]. Therefore, the already increased dementia risk in APOE ε 4 carriers may attenuate the inverse relations between faster walking pace and the risk of dementia.

In addition, our results show that the inverse association between walking pace and new-onset dementia was significantly stronger in younger participants. Age is undoubtedly an important risk factor for dementia. We hypothesized that age may explain part of the association between walking pace and dementia, and therefore the relation of walking pace with new-onset dementia was significantly attenuated among older participants who were already at higher risk for age-related dementia. Further mechanistic studies are required to unravel the pathways involved in those associations.

Several potential limitations of the current study need to be addressed. First, the walking pace was self-reported, so there might be bias in its measurement. However, the self-reported walking pace item used in UK Biobank has been shown to be associated with cardiorespiratory fitness [43], and self-reported walking pace has more generally been observed to be strongly associated with objectively assessed walking pace [44]. The use of self-reported walking pace is a potential strength as it is a simple-to-measure risk factor that can easily be incorporated into future research and clinical care pathways at low cost. Second, though a broad range of potential confounding was included in the adjustments, possible effects from other unknown or unmeasured covariates could not be excluded. Third, participants in the UK Biobank are primarily of White British origin. Consequently, findings might not be generalizable to other ethnicities or populations. Fourth, UK Biobank participants are not representative of the general population and hence cannot be used to provide representative disease prevalence and incidence rates. Thus, further confirmation of the reported findings in future studies is necessary.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings indicate that a faster selfperceived walking pace was inversely associated with the risk of new-onset dementia. The lowest risk of new-onset dementia was observed in participants with both average or brisk walking pace and higher handgrip strength. More importantly, the inverse association between walking pace and new-onset dementia was significantly attenuated as APOE £4 dosage or age increased. Our findings highlight the importance of assessing combined measures of physical function, genetic profile, and age to improve the stratification of individuals at risk of dementia.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-022-01158-6.

Additional file 1: eFigure 1. Flow chart of study participants. eTable 1. Codes used in the UK Biobank to identify dementia cases. eTable 2. Population characteristics by sex-specific handgrip strength quartiles. eTable 3. The association between handgrip strength and new-onset dementia*.

Acknowledgements

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 73201. We especially thank all the participants of UK Biobank and all the people involved in building the UK Biobank study.

Authors' contributions

PH and XQ designed the research; PH, ZY, ML, Yuanyuan Z, QW, Yanjun Z, SY, GX, and XQ conducted the research; PH, ZY, and CZ performed the data management and statistical analyses; and PH and XQ wrote the draft. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program [2022YFC2009600, 2022YFC2009605] and the National Natural Science Foundation of China [81973133, 81730019]. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Availability of data and materials

Researchers can apply to use the UK Biobank resource and access the data used. No additional data are available. The analytic code will be made available from the corresponding authors on request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study is based on data from the UK Biobank study that received approval from the National Information Governance Board for Health and Social Care and the National Health Service North West Multicentre Research Ethics Committee. All participants gave written informed consent before enrollment in the study, which was conducted in accord with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Division of Nephrology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, China. ² National Clinical Research Center for Kidney Disease, Guangzhou 510515, China. ³ State Key Laboratory of Organ Failure Research, Guangzhou 510515, China. ⁴ Guangdong Provincial Institute of Nephrology, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Renal Failure Research, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Kidney Disease, Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Kidney Disease, Guangzhou 510515, China.

Received: 1 September 2022 Accepted: 29 December 2022 Published online: 09 January 2023

References

- Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. The Lancet. 2020;396:413–46.
- Dc GBD. Global, regional, and national burden of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18:88–106.
- Hackett RA, Davies-Kershaw H, Cadar D, Orrell M, Steptoe A. Walking speed, cognitive function, and dementia risk in the English longitudinal study of ageing. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018;66:1670–5.
- Christensen H, Mackinnon AJ, Korten A, Jorm AF. The "common cause hypothesis" of cognitive aging: evidence for not only a common factor but also specific associations of age with vision and grip strength in a cross-sectional analysis. Psychol Aging. 2001;16:588–99.
- Öhlin J, Gustafson Y, Littbrand H, Olofsson B, Toots A. Low or declining gait speed is associated with risk of developing dementia over 5 years among people aged 85 years and over. J Aging Phys Act. 2021;29:678–85.
- Gray SL, Anderson ML, Hubbard RA, et al. Frailty and incident dementia. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2013;68:1083–90.
- Beeri MS, Leurgans SE, Bennett DA, Barnes LL, Buchman AS. Diverse motor performances are related to incident cognitive impairment in community-dwelling older adults. Front Aging Neurosci. 2021;13:717139.
- Camargo ÉC, Weinstein G, Beiser AS, et al. Association of physical function with clinical and subclinical brain disease: the Framingham Offspring Study. J Alzheimers Dis. 2016;53:1597–608.
- Welmer AK, Rizzuto D, Qiu C, Caracciolo B, Laukka EJ. Walking speed, processing speed, and dementia: a population-based longitudinal study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014;69:1503–10.
- 10. Kuate-Tegueu C, Avila-Funes J, Simo N, et al. Association of gait speed, psychomotor speed, and dementia. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017;60:585–92.
- 11. Dumurgier J, Artaud F, Touraine C, et al. Gait speed and decline in gait speed as predictors of incident dementia. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2017;72:655–61.
- 12. Tian Q, Studenski SA, An Y, et al. Association of combined slow gait and low activity fragmentation with later onset of cognitive impairment. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2135168.
- Collyer TA, Murray AM, Woods RL, et al. Association of dual decline in cognition and gait speed with risk of dementia in older adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5(5):e2214647.
- Kuo K, Zhang YR, Chen SD, et al. Associations of grip strength, walking pace, and the risk of incident dementia: a prospective cohort study of 340212 participants. Alzheimers Dement. 2022.https://doi.org/10.1002/ alz.12793
- Sibbett RA, Russ TC, Allerhand M, Deary IJ, Starr JM. Physical fitness and dementia risk in the very old: a study of the Lothian Birth Cohort 1921. BMC Psychiatry. 2018;18:285.

- Rosso AL, Metti AL, Faulkner K, et al. Complex walking tasks and risk for cognitive decline in high functioning older adults. J Alzheimers Dis. 2019;71:S65–73.
- 17. Cooper R, Kuh D, Hardy R. Objectively measured physical capability levels and mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2010;341:c4467.
- Esteban Cornejo I, Ho FK, Petermann Rocha F, et al. Handgrip strength and all-cause dementia incidence and mortality: findings from the UK Biobank prospective cohort study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2022;13(3):1514–25.
- Duchowny KA, Ackley SF, Brenowitz WD, et al. Associations between handgrip strength and dementia risk, cognition, and neuroimaging outcomes in the UK Biobank cohort study. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5(6):e2218314.
- Boonpor J, Parra-Soto S, Petermann-Rocha F, Ho FK, Celis-Morales C, Gray SR. Combined association of walking pace and grip strength with incident type 2 diabetes. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2022;32(9):1356–65.
- Nofuji Y, Shinkai S, Taniguchi Y, et al. Associations of walking speed, grip strength, and standing balance with total and cause-specific mortality in a general population of Japanese elders. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016;17(2):184.e1-184.e1847.
- Welsh CE, Celis-Morales CA, Ho FK, et al. Grip strength and walking pace and cardiovascular disease risk prediction in 406,834 UK Biobank participants. Mayo Clin Proc. 2020;95(5):879–88.
- Chou MY, Nishita Y, Nakagawa T, et al. Role of gait speed and grip strength in predicting 10-year cognitive decline among communitydwelling older people. BMC Geriatr. 2019;19(1):186.
- Luo J, Su L, Ndeke JM, Wang F, Hendryx M. Gait speed, handgrip strength, and cognitive impairment among older women - a multistate analysis. Exp Gerontol. 2022;169:111947.
- Xie YJ, Liu EY, Anson ER, Agrawal Y. Age-related imbalance is associated with slower walking speed: an analysis from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Geriatr Phys Ther. 2017;40(4):183–9.
- Lyall DM, Ward J, Ritchie SJ, et al. Alzheimer disease genetic risk factor APOE e4 and cognitive abilities in 111,739 UK Biobank participants. Age Ageing. 2016;45:511–7.
- 27. Pratt J, Boreham C, Ennis S, Ryan AW, De Vito G. Genetic associations with aging muscle: a systematic review. Cells. 2020;9:12.
- Rosso AL, Verghese J, Metti AL, et al. Slowing gait and risk for cognitive impairment. Neurology. 2017;89:336–42.
- Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. PLoS Med. 2015;12:e1001779.
- 30. Collins R. What makes UK Biobank special? Lancet. 2012;379:1173-4.
- Malik R, Georgakis MK, Neitzel J, et al. Midlife vascular risk factors and risk of incident dementia: longitudinal cohort and Mendelian randomization analyses in the UK Biobank. Alzheimers Dement. 2021;17:1422–31.
- Mozaffarian D. Dietary and policy priorities for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity: a comprehensive review. Circulation. 2016;133:187–225.
- Said MA, Verweij N, van der Harst P. Associations of combined genetic and lifestyle risks with incident cardiovascular disease and diabetes in the UK Biobank Study. JAMA Cardiol. 2018;3(8):693–702.
- Eastwood SV, Mathur R, Atkinson M, et al. Algorithms for the capture and adjudication of prevalent and incident diabetes in UK Biobank. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e162388.
- 35. Ma H, Zhou T, Li X, Heianza Y, Qi L. Use of fish oil supplements is differently related to incidence of all-cause and vascular dementia among people with the distinct APOE ϵ 4 dosage. Clin Nutr. 2022;41:731–6.
- Kunkle BW, Grenier-Boley B, Sims R, et al. Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. Nat Genet. 2019;51(3):414.
- Khera AV, Emdin CA, Drake I, et al. Genetic risk, adherence to a healthy lifestyle, and coronary disease. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:2349–58.
- Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. Genome-wide genetic data on 500,000 UK Biobank participants. bioRxiv 2017:166298. https://doi.org/10. 1101/166298.
- Wilkinson T, Schnier C, Bush K, et al. Identifying dementia outcomes in UK Biobank: a validation study of primary care, hospital admissions and mortality data. Eur J Epidemiol. 2019;34:557–65.

- Holtzer R, Epstein N, Mahoney JR, Izzetoglu M, Blumen HM. Neuroimaging of mobility in aging. A targeted review. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014;69:1375–88.
- Poirier J. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer's disease. A role in amyloid catabolism. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2000;924:81–90.
- Kim J, Basak JM, Holtzman DM. The role of apolipoprotein E in Alzheimer's disease. Neuron. 2009;63:287–303.
- 43. Yates T, Zaccardi F, Dhalwani NN, et al. Association of walking pace and handgrip strength with all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality: a UK Biobank observational study. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:3232e40.
- Syddall HE, Westbury LD, Cooper C, Sayer AA. Self-reported walking speed: a useful marker of physical performance among communitydwelling older people? J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2015;16:323e8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

