

Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 74, No. 2 pp. 581–590, 2023 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac386 Advance Access Publication 29 September 2022 This paper is available online free of all access charges (see https://academic.oup.com/jxb/pages/openaccess for further details)



#### **REVIEW PAPER**

## **Dynamics of Rubisco regulation by sugar phosphate derivatives and their phosphatases**

Douglas J. Orr<sup>1,\*,</sup>, Alice K. J. Robijns<sup>1,†,</sup>, Christopher R. Baker<sup>2,</sup>, Krishna K. Niyogi<sup>2,3,</sup> and Elizabete Carmo-Silva<sup>1,\*,</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK

<sup>2</sup> Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup> Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK

\* Correspondence: d.j.orr@lancaster.ac.uk or e.carmosilva@lancaster.ac.uk

Received 7 June 2022; Editorial decision 20 September 2022; Accepted 28 September 2022

Editor: Tracy Lawson, University of Essex, UK

### Abstract

Regulating the central CO<sub>2</sub>-fixing enzyme Rubisco is as complex as its ancient reaction mechanism and involves interaction with a series of cofactors and auxiliary proteins that activate catalytic sites and maintain activity. A key component among the regulatory mechanisms is the binding of sugar phosphate derivatives that inhibit activity. Removal of inhibitors via the action of Rubisco activase is required to restore catalytic competency. In addition, specific phosphatases dephosphorylate newly released inhibitors, rendering them incapable of binding to Rubisco catalytic sites. The best studied inhibitor is 2-carboxy-p-arabinitol 1-phosphate (CA1P), a naturally occurring nocturnal inhibitor that accumulates in most species during darkness and low light, progressively binding to Rubisco. As light increases, Rubisco activase removes CA1P from Rubisco, and the specific phosphatase CA1Pase dephosphorylates CA1P to CA, which cannot bind Rubisco. Misfire products of Rubisco's complex reaction chemistry can also act as inhibitors. One example is xylulose-1,5-bisphosphate (XuBP), which is dephosphorylated by XuBPase. Here we revisit key findings related to sugar phosphate derivatives and their role is important for better understanding the regulation of carbon assimilation.

Keywords: CA1P, CA1Pase, dynamic regulation, Rubisco, Rubisco activase, sugar phosphates, XuBP, XuBPase.

### Introduction

Rubisco activity is regulated by multiple factors in the chloroplast, including changes in the capacity to regenerate the substrate ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), the availability of  $\rm CO_2$  and  $\rm Mg^{2+}$  which affects the carbamylation status, the presence and activity of ancillary proteins, and inhibitory compounds that bind Rubisco catalytic sites preventing activity

(Bracher *et al.*, 2017). To be catalytically competent, catalytic sites need to form a stable carbamate by sequential binding of 'activator'  $CO_2$  and  $Mg^{2+}$ , prior to binding the sugar phosphate substrate RuBP. Initiation of either a carboxylation or an oxygenation reaction then commences via an attack on the substrate by  $CO_2$  or  $O_2$ , respectively (Bracher *et al.*, 2017).

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Once carbamylated, the catalytic site can become inhibited by the binding of several compounds similar in structure to RuBP. Similarly, if RuBP binds to the catalytic site before carbamylation, it can effectively act as an inhibitor (Carmo-Silva et al., 2015), because catalysis cannot take place and the catalytic site adopts a closed, unproductive conformation. Inhibition of Rubisco catalytic sites is modulated by environmental cues; for example, the binding of RuBP to uncarbamylated sites plays a significant inhibitory role at low light (Perchorowicz et al., 1981), and the production of inhibitory misfire products of Rubisco catalysis increases with temperature (Kim and Portis, 2004; Salvucci and Crafts-Brandner, 2004; Schrader et al., 2006). The extent to which each inhibitor limits Rubisco activity depends on the species and the chloroplast stromal environment, including the concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , and the various sugar phosphates.

Rubisco activase (Rca) uses energy from ATP hydrolysis to reconfigure Rubisco catalytic sites and facilitate the release of inhibitors (see reviews by Carmo-Silva et al., 2015; Bracher et al., 2017; Mueller-Cajar, 2017; Shivhare and Mueller-Cajar, 2018). Once released from catalytic sites, dephosphorylation of sugar phosphate derivatives by a phosphatase prevents these from binding another catalytic site, and catalytic site carbamylation ensures productive binding of RuBP. Rubisco activity can therefore be modulated by reversible carbamylation and/or by tight binding and release of sugar phosphate derivatives from catalytic sites. The degree to which each mechanism is employed depends on the species, with most plants employing a combination of both (Sage et al., 1993). Most inhibitors of Rubisco are sugar phosphate derivatives, ranging from compounds that are actively synthesized through to Rubisco reaction misfire products (summarized in Table 1).

The mechanism and physiological significance of Rubisco regulation by inhibitors remain poorly understood, limiting assessment of whether it may be a target for improved crop productivity and sustainability in the agricultural context (Parry et al., 2008; Andralojc et al., 2012). The study of Rubisco inhibitors has been hampered by their highly similar chemical structures, along with difficulties in accurately determining the low abundance of certain Rubisco misfire products (Keys et al., 1995; Andralojc et al., 2002; Pearce, 2006). Historically, research has focused on 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol 1-phosphate (CA1P) and how it dynamically regulates Rubisco activity in concert with changes in light conditions. This regulation is associated with longer periods of shade (>30 min), whereas short shade periods are more likely associated with rapid carbamylation/decarbamylation of Rubisco (Taylor et al., 2022). CA1P-induced dark inhibition of Rubisco is currently thought to be present in all C<sub>3</sub> plants to some degree, with mixed observations in other photosynthetic subtypes. Despite being first mentioned nearly three decades ago (Portis, 1995), only more recently has work begun to decipher the role of xylulose-1,5-bisphosphatase (XuBPase), responsible for rendering the misfire product xylulose-1,5-bisphosphate (XuBP) non-inhibitory (Bracher *et al.*, 2015). In this review, we revisit key findings relating to sugar phosphate derivatives that inhibit Rubisco activity and to their phosphatases, highlight outstanding questions, and hypothesize how further consideration of these inhibitors and their role could be important for better understanding the regulation of Rubisco and to maximize the efficiency of carbon assimilation.

# Synthesis and abundance of CA1P, a nocturnal inhibitor of Rubisco activity

The tight binding of CA1P to Rubisco during low light or darkness, and its removal during high light, generates a characteristic diurnal pattern of Rubisco activity, whereby the enzyme is inhibited at night or at low light, and active during the day or at high light (Fig. 1). CA1P-bound Rubisco catalytic sites are reactivated by two light-activated stromal enzymes: first, Rca removes the CA1P molecule, freeing the catalytic site for catalysis (Robinson and Portis, 1988; Heo and Holbrook, 1991). Then, 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol 1-phosphatase (CA1Pase) removes the phosphate group of CA1P, resulting in non-inhibitory 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol (CA) (Holbrook *et al.*, 1989; Moore *et al.*, 1991; Moore and Seemann, 1992).

CA1P is the only known Rubisco inhibitor that is actively synthesized (Gutteridge et al., 1986), via the phosphorylation of CA. CA synthesis is itself linked to the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, with strong evidence that it derives from the intermediate FBP (fructose-1,6-bisphosphate). The observed structural similarity between hamamelose-2,5-bisphosphate (HBP) and CA1P (Beck et al., 1989) agrees with the demonstration through <sup>14</sup>C labelling of the potential for FBP to be converted to HBP, and that dephosphorylation of HBP could then produce hamamelose (Gilck et al., 1974). Subsequent experimental evidence for the conversion of 14C-labelled hamamelose exclusively into CA in the light, and both CA and CA1P in the dark (Moore and Seemann, 1990; Moore et al., 1991; Andralojc et al., 2002), provides strong evidence for the proposed pathway, which is further validated by work with antisense FBPase potato plants that accumulated higher levels of hamamelose, CA, and CA1P (Andralojc et al., 2002).

CA1P is synthesized from chloroplastic pools of its precursor CA in low light or darkness (Moore *et al.*, 1992; Parry *et al.*, 2008). CA1P has been shown to accumulate at night only in the chloroplast (Moore *et al.*, 1995; Parry *et al.*, 1999), and to bind to Rubisco catalytic sites to inhibit Rubisco activity (Berry *et al.*, 1987; Parry *et al.*, 1997). In *Phaseolus vulgaris*, chloroplastic CA was found to be ~37% of the total CA in illuminated leaves, and after prolonged darkness chloroplast CA levels approached zero, indicating near complete conversion to CA1P (Moore *et al.*, 1992). Interestingly, Moore and colleagues also saw that in several species the pool of CA during light periods greatly exceeded that of CA1P in the dark, indicating either an additional role for extra-chloroplastic CA or very



Table 1.
 Summary of key sugar phosphate inhibitors of Rubisco activity, with comparison with the substrate RuBP.
 Particular
 Paritor
 Paritor
 Partic



Fig. 1. Dynamics of Rubisco inhibition during low and high light. (A) At night, CA1P accumulates in the chloroplast. CA1P inhibits Rubisco activity by binding tightly to Rubisco catalytic sites. (B) In the light, inhibitors such as CA1P or misfire products such as XuBP are removed by Rubisco activase. These sugar phosphates are then dephosphorylated by specific phosphatases that render them non-inhibitory.

slow turnover of the CA pool. In contrast, in leaves of sugar beet, the opposite was true: CA1P levels in the dark exceeded CA levels in the light. This suggests that beyond the intracellular complexity of CA and CA1P localization, there may be additional species-specific differences in CA metabolism (Moore *et al.*, 1992) and, conceivably, alternative or additional pathways that require or produce CA1P.

Accumulation of CA1P amongst plant species varies greatly, ranging from almost undetectable to >60% dark inhibition of Rubisco in some legumes (Fig. 2). Vu et al. (1984) demonstrated that leaves collected from maize and wheat in the dark and high light showed little difference in Rubisco activity. Consistent with this, later CA1P quantification in dark-adapted wheat leaves indicated only enough CA1P to inhibit 7% of Rubisco catalytic sites (Moore et al., 1991); in contrast, leaves of P. vulgaris contained sufficient CA1P to potentially inhibit the leaves' entire Rubisco pool (Charlet et al., 1997). Some C<sub>4</sub> and Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants have been shown to contain high levels of the CA1P precursor CA (Moore et al., 1992); indeed, the limited data available suggested strong dark inhibition of Rubisco in CAM plants (Vu et al., 1984), but the C4 plants maize, sorghum, and several C4 Panicum species lacked significant dark inhibition (Vu et al., 1984; Moore et al., 1991; Sage and Seemann, 1993). Whilst legumes have the highest levels of dark inhibition reported to date, as highlighted by the major crops used in Fig. 2, there is extraordinary diversity in dark inhibition levels even within the Fabaceae family. An extensive study of 75 species across the Fabaceae (Holbrook et al., 1992), along with detailed work on Phaseolus species (Sage, 1993), determined dark inhibition values ranging from 0 to  $\sim$ 70%. These studies also showed the potential for variation within genera, which was further emphasized by follow-on work that showed the potential for intraspecific variation in just six soybean cultivars (Holbrook et al., 1994), whereas accessions of P. vulgaris were found to be largely consistent, irrespective of geographical region or cultivation status (Sage, 1993).

### Misfire products of Rubisco's complex catalytic reaction chemistry

CA1P is the only known sugar phosphate inhibitor actively produced in the cell that regulates Rubisco activity (Andralojc et al., 2012). XuBP, on the other hand, is produced via misprotonation of the enediol intermediate producing the stereoisomer of the substrate RuBP (Kim and Portis, 2004; Pearce, 2006). Rubiscos from diverse lineages including plant, algal, and archaeal sources have been shown to produce XuBP (Zhu and Jensen, 1991; Pearce, 2006). XuBP is produced at a much higher rate than other misfire products (1-3%) in high O<sub>2</sub> and low  $CO_2$  conditions; Pearce, 2006), and the phosphatase which degrades XuBP has been a subject of study in the recent literature (Bracher et al., 2015, 2017). XuBP is a competitive substrate that functionally acts as an inhibitor due to an exceedingly slow catalytic turnover  $(k_{cat}^{XuBP})$ , with Rubisco-catalysed XuBP carboxylation believed to occur at rates fractions of a percent of that of RuBP carboxylation (Yokota, 1991).

In addition to high O<sub>2</sub>/low CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, XuBP is synthesized by Rubisco at a faster catalytic rate in low pH and higher temperatures (Zhu and Jensen, 1991). XuBP constitutes 74% of all Rubisco misfire products at pH 7.5, whereas only 30% of Rubisco misfire products are XuBP at pH 8.5. Thus, it has been suggested that there may be a greater risk of XuBP inhibition in low light, and the presence of quantifiable XuBP levels *in planta* has only been demonstrated following a brief shift into low light conditions (Zhu and Jensen, 1991).

Two other misfire products (Table 1) are derived from the oxygenase reaction of Rubisco, where  $H_2O_2$  elimination from the peroxyketone intermediate generates pentodiulose-1,5-bisphophate (PDBP) and carboxy-tetriol-1,5-bisphosphate (CTBP, a rearrangement of PDBP; Harpel *et al.*, 1995). Non-enzymatic oxidation of RuBP can also produce PDBP and CTBP, and though these inhibitors occur at low frequency (Kim and Portis, 2004; Pearce, 2006), their slow dissociation and tight binding inhibition



Fig. 2. Dark inhibition of Rubisco and CA1P levels vary considerably in different plant species. Dark inhibition values were estimated/calculated from data in Moore *et al.* (1991), with the exception of *Vigna unguiculata* and *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Holbrook *et al.*, 1992), and the range for *Glycine max* cultivar-level differences from Holbrook *et al.* (1994).

of catalysis make them an important consideration for inhibition of plant Rubisco in particular (Edmondson *et al.*, 1990; Kane *et al.*, 1998; Pearce, 2006). Pearce and Andrews (2003) found that a catalytically impaired Loop 6 mutant of tobacco Rubisco (Whitney *et al.*, 1999) was also altered in its production of misfire reaction products and its ability to carboxylate XuBP. An increased understanding of Rubisco misfire reactions and the production of inhibitors that need to be 'cleaned up' via Rca and sugar phosphatases may yield additional insights if considered in the framework of metabolite repair systems (Linster *et al.*, 2013).

#### The sugar phosphatase CA1Pase

The chloroplast contains many phosphatases linked to regulation, and this includes two known sugar phosphatases that degrade Rubisco inhibitors, such as CA1Pase which has been shown in previous studies to be active only in the chloroplast (Gutteridge and Julien, 1989; Moore *et al.*, 1995). Despite its name, CA1Pase has been observed to dephosphorylate other sugar phosphate derivatives, and indeed in some cases has higher affinity (lower  $K_m$  values) for these compared with for CA1P itself (Moore *et al.*, 1995; Andralojc *et al.*, 2002, 2012). Limited data also suggest a correlation between the CA1Pase  $K_m$  for CA1P and CA1P levels. CA1Pase from French bean, a species with high CA1P levels, has a much higher  $K_m$  (430 µM) than CA1Pase from wheat (10 µM), a species with little CA1P (Kingston-Smith *et al.*, 1992; Andralojc *et al.*, 2012). Current knowledge is still limited about CA1Pase specificity and what may be the physiological significance of metabolizing both a synthesized inhibitor (CA1P), and misfire products such as PDBP, particularly as PDBP is similar structurally to RuBP and XuBP, which are not substrates of CA1Pase (Andralojc *et al.*, 2012).

Structurally, CA1Pase is composed of two major domains; the N-terminal domain contains a conserved Arg-His-Gly (RHG) motif identical to the catalytic site of a phosphoglycerate mutase (PGM). This feature is frequently observed for enzymes whose catalytic reaction involves phosphate transfer, including Calvin-Benson–Basham cycle enzymes such as FBPase (Andralojc et al., 2012). Though sharing common sequence features with PGMs, careful examination of the ability of CA1Pases to act on a range of substrates has shown that it lacks true PGM activity, and that a phosphohistidine intermediate is likely to be involved in the reaction mechanism (Andralojc et al., 2012). The C-terminal region of CA1Pase contains a phosphofructokinase (PFK)-like domain, and from studies thus far appears less well conserved than the N-terminal PGM domain, implying more stringent conservation of function in the catalytic site-containing PGM domain (Andralojc et al., 2012).

# The HAD domain sugar phosphatase XuBPase

In the same manner as CA1P, XuBP binds to catalytic sites of Rubisco, inhibiting catalysis. XuBP must first be removed by Rca and then is dephosphorylated by a haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase (HAD) domain sugar phosphatase, XuB-Pase (Bracher et al., 2015). XuBPase was first identified as the product of the *cbbY* gene in the Rubisco operon of *Rhodobacter* sphaeroides, and orthologues of this gene are believed to be universal among photosynthetic organisms, and not present outside this group (Karpowicz et al., 2011; Bracher et al., 2015). The high catalytic efficiency of XuBPase may well be the key reason why measured XuBP concentrations in planta are quite low (Zhu and Jensen, 1991). While studies of its properties including regulation and specificity are currently limited, XuB-Pase has been demonstrated to be highly selective for XuBP over its stereoisomer RuBP (Bracher et al., 2015). XuBP is dephosphorylated to xylulose-5-phosphate which, as well as being non-inhibitory, can be recycled back into the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle for RuBP generation (Bracher et al., 2015).

Although they perform a similar function in dephosphorylating a five-carbon sugar phosphate derivative, XuBPase is a HAD domain sugar phosphatase and, thus, evolutionarily unrelated to CA1Pase (Bracher et al., 2015). XuBPase is one of several HAD domain proteins acting to dephosphorylate small molecules in the chloroplast stroma, including 2-phosphoglycolate phosphatase and phosphoserine phosphatase. A closely related HAD domain is also found in the stromal part of the Suppressor of Quenching 1 protein (SOQ1) which is involved in inhibiting a slowly reversible type of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) (Brooks et al., 2013) that occurs in the light-harvesting complexes associated with PSII (Malnoë et al., 2018). The HAD domain is not necessary for the NPQ function of SOQ1, although it could be involved in its regulation, and the in vivo substrate(s) of the SOQ1 HAD domain and its potential impact on Rubisco regulation are currently unknown (Brooks et al., 2013). XuBPase can also act on FBP, though both affinity and catalytic rates with FBP as substrate were dramatically lower than those for XuBP (Bracher et al., 2015).

#### **Regulation of phosphatases and Rubisco**

As with other proteins involved in regulating carbon assimilation, such as Rca, sugar phosphatases (particularly CA1Pase) have been shown experimentally to be regulated in multiple ways (Fig. 3). However there do remain unresolved questions around specificity and how conserved these mechanisms may be across species in light of the highly varied levels of their substrates in different plants (see above, Fig. 2). Since CA1Pase is the most well known and studied, its regulation has been explored from several angles to understand its dark–light pattern of activity. Interestingly, but perhaps unsurprisingly, this includes features reminiscent of Rca, which acts in concert with CA1Pase to reactivate Rubisco for maximal activity during the light period.

In vitro analyses of CA1Pase activity have shown that several chloroplast metabolites can stimulate activity and increase  $V_{\text{max}}$ , including RuBP, FBP, and 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA), with as much as a 9-fold increase in the case of FBP (Salvucci and Holbrook, 1989; Holbrook et al., 1991; Andralojc et al., 2012). Curiously, these activators themselves vary in whether they would be expected to increase (e.g. RuBP) or decrease (e.g. FBP) with an increase in light, suggesting that their effect might be concentration dependent. Effective CA1Pase activators consistently contain at least one phosphate group, with either a second phosphate or a carboxyl group in close proximity (Charlet et al., 1997). These metabolites are not substrates of CA1Pase; instead, these phosphorylated effectors are suggested to allosterically interact with the CA1Pase C-terminal PFK-like domain and modulate CA1P dephosphorylation activity (Holbrook et al., 1989; Salvucci and Holbrook, 1991). That these phosphorylated metabolites change during light transitions in the leaf suggests a significant in vivo role in regulating CA1Pase activity. Consistent with these observations is the decreased in vitro activity of CA1Pase both produced recombinantly and purified from leaves with the addition of inorganic phosphate (Pi); however there is evidence to suggest species differences in this sensitivity (Salvucci and Holbrook, 1989; Holbrook et al., 1991; Charlet et al., 1997; Andralojc et al., 2012). Though the in vivo consequences of this are difficult to estimate due to the known variability in leaf Pi content with factors such as leaf age and species (Aziz et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2018), increased CA1Pase activity during illumination is also consistent with light-driven reductions in stromal Pi.

CA1Pase from tobacco has been shown to be resilient to incubation at moderately high temperatures, with activity remaining unaffected after an hour at temperatures up to 30 °C (Holbrook et al., 1991). Above this temperature, post-incubation activity fell precipitously, though CA1Pase thermostability was still higher than that of Rca, another key regulator of Rubisco activity that is known to be thermosensitive. The temperature optimum of CA1Pase activity or expression has to date not received much attention. However, in vivo heat stress experiments with wheat, a species which does not possess large amounts of CA1P (Fig. 2), showed a significant increase in CA1Pase activity in leaves after a 5 d heat stress event when the plants had been returned to control conditions (Degen et al., 2021). Redox regulation of chloroplast phosphatases, mediated by thioredoxin, is well established and impacts CA1Pase (Heo and Holbrook, 1999). DTT has been reported as having either a stimulatory or no effect on CA1Pase activity in vitro (Holbrook et al., 1991; Heo and Holbrook, 1999; Andralojc et al., 2012) and, during in vitro experiments, redox status greatly enhanced protein activity, but this was dependent upon glutathione state, pre-incubation either with other reducing agents such as DTT or air oxidation, and in some cases the assay pH (Heo and Holbrook, 1999; Andralojc et al., 2012).



**Fig. 3.** Potential regulators of CA1Pase activity. Summary of potential regulators of CA1Pase activity that have been identified *in vitro*. Many of these observations are consistent with regulation of the light reactions of photosynthesis.

#### Coordination with electron transport

The inhibition of Rubisco by the nocturnal inhibitor CA1P, and subsequent dephosphorylation of CA1P by CA1Pase, has a number of links to the light-dependent side of photosynthesis. Synthesis of CA1P, by an as yet unknown enzyme, occurs in darkness and it progressively inhibits Rubisco in prolonged dark periods when its removal by Rca is limited by stromal ADP/ATP ratios. Increasing light then provides the energy requirements for removal of CA1P by Rca and coincides with promotion of CA1Pase activity to degrade CA1P and render it non-inhibitory. In contrast, treatment with methyl viologen, a PSI electron acceptor, decreased CA1P degradation in the light (Salvucci and Anderson, 1987). In addition, there are well established examples of other light-activated chloroplast phosphatases subject to redox regulation by thioredoxin, and thus linked to electron transport (Heo and Holbrook, 1999). The stimulation of CA1Pase activity by Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle intermediates also supports coordination between the light reactions, electron transport, and processes which promote the breakdown of the nocturnal inhibitor CA1P.

# A potential role in maintaining Rubisco abundance

Rubisco protein is very abundant in chloroplasts, particularly within  $C_3$  plants, with plants investing considerable resources to produce Rubisco and the ancillary proteins required for its synthesis and maintaining its activity (reviewed in Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2015; Bracher *et al.*, 2017). Synthesis and assembly of

Rubisco have been a rapidly advancing topic in recent years (Hayer-Hartl and Hartl, 2020). There has also been an increased emphasis on the need to better understand the link between enzyme catalytic rates and rates of enzyme protein turnover or replacement (Tivendale *et al.*, 2020; Hanson *et al.*, 2021). This topic is of central importance to Rubisco given the large amounts of protein in  $C_3$  plants and the central role it plays in carbon metabolism. Rubisco degradation and replacement is an area less understood and might be linked to a protective role for sugar phosphate inhibitors (reviewed in Feller *et al.*, 2008).

One theory posed for the role of CA1P as a nocturnal inhibitor is to prevent attack of Rubisco by proteases through the conformational changes that occur when the catalytic site changes to bind a sugar phosphate such as CA1P (Fig. 4). Based on in vitro experimentation, the closure of Loop 6 has been proposed to limit the accessibility of the large subunit for proteolysis, which would conserve Rubisco protein (Khan et al., 1999). The same authors suggested that, upon illumination or alleviation of stress, the inhibitor would be removed from the catalytic site and Rubisco would be readily available for catalysis. In that study, CA1P did not specifically inhibit the protease, and pre-incubation with CA1P greatly slowed proteolysis of the large subunit by trypsin or carboxypeptidase A, especially in the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $CO_2$ , to form a carbamate within the catalytic site prior to CA1P binding. Stromal protease extracts were also unable to degrade Rubisco that had been activated and incubated with CA1P (Khan et al., 1999). The authors theorized that during the day high levels of carbamylation combined with RuBP and the binding of daytime inhibitors such as misfire products could confer protection from proteolysis, a role which at night when RuBP is low would be taken over by CA1P. Supporting this idea is the ability of CA1P to limit degradation in other Rubiscos, and work with either CABP or RuBP that saw reduced cleavage by proteases through pre-incubation with sugar phosphates (Houtz and Mulligan, 1991; Chen and Spreitzer, 1992). Tobacco plants deficient in Rca, which allowed accumulation of inhibition by tight binding inhibitors, were also found to accumulate high levels of Rubisco that was less active (He et al., 1997).

As Khan *et al.* (1999) noted, in many species there is insufficient CA1P to bind all Rubisco catalytic sites. They did, however, see variability in how some proteases attacked Rubisco from different species. If susceptibility to proteolysis is species specific this may in part explain the large differences in CA1P content amongst plants. Curiously, this work saw wheat Rubisco protected by binding of CA1P, despite wheat being a species which shows comparatively little dark inhibition and CA1P content (Fig. 2). In addition, surprising results with CA1Pase in wheat were observed with plants overexpressing CA1Pase (Lobo *et al.*, 2019). Overexpression of CA1Pase was hypothesized to lower inhibitor content and lead to increased activation status of Rubisco. This was found to be true; however, unexpectedly, Rubisco abundance in these



Fig. 4. CA1P can limit proteolytic degradation of Rubisco *in vitro*. Illustration of pre-incubation with inhibitors leading to limited ability of proteases to cleave residues off Rubisco large subunits (Khan *et al.*, 1999).

plants decreased by as much as 60%, leading to reductions in growth and yield (Lobo *et al.*, 2019). The trade-off between Rubisco abundance and activation status has been observed in many species including wheat, where increases in activation status are negatively correlated with Rubisco abundance (Carmo-Silva *et al.*, 2017), and in transgenic rice overexpressing Rca (Fukayama *et al.*, 2012). Combined with observations discussed above, this result adds weight to theories around protection from degradation. However, many questions remain, particularly around the possibility that CA1Pase activity may be linked to Rubisco synthesis/degradation (Feller *et al.*, 2008), and whether the results observed *in vitro* are representative of the interaction between stromal proteases and inhibited Rubisco.

#### Conclusion

The inhibition of Rubisco by tightly binding sugar phosphates, either actively synthesized or derived from misfire of its complex reaction mechanism, can have large impacts on Rubisco activity by limiting carboxylation capacity. Key to this regulation of Rubisco activity is the action of Rca in removing these inhibitors from the Rubisco catalytic site, followed by their dephosphorylation by sugar phosphatases. Despite extensive study of dark inhibition of Rubisco by CA1P, many questions remain about the role of this seemingly ubiquitous, yet highly variable, process. The potential for a role in modulating Rubisco abundance as well as activity may make this a necessary consideration for manipulating Rubisco *in planta* for improved photosynthesis. Regulation of the phosphatases CA1Pase and XuBPase responsible for inhibitor degradation also warrants deeper investigation of these highly conserved components of Rubisco regulation. This conservation, the rapid development of CRISPR/Cas9 technologies in plants, and the large variation evident inter- and intraspecies provide encouragement for better understanding this regulation as well as its potential role in improving photosynthetic efficiency and crop productivity.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Alfred Keys and Professor Martin Parry for thoughtful discussions about Rubisco inhibition over many years. We have attempted to be inclusive and comprehensive but probably missed some contributions, for which we apologize; we hope this can be addressed through future citations as research on Rubisco regulation continues to unfold. We also thank the reviewers for constructive and insightful comments that improved the manuscript and prompted interesting ideas around Rubisco regulation.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

#### Funding

The authors' research is supported by a subaward from the University of Illinois as part of the research project Realizing Increased Photosynthetic Efficiency (RIPE) that is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Foundation for Food and Agriculture Research, and the U.K. Government's Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office under grant number OPP1172157. KKN is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

#### References

**Andralojc PJ, Keys AJ, Kossmann J, Parry MAJ.** 2002. Elucidating the biosynthesis of 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate through reduced expression of chloroplastic fructose 1,6-bisphosphate phosphatase and radiotracer studies with <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **99**, 4742–4747.

Andralojc PJ, Madgwick PJ, Tao Y, et al. 2012. 2-Carboxy-D-arabinitol 1-phosphate (CA1P) phosphatase: evidence for a wider role in plant Rubisco regulation. The Biochemical Journal **442**, 733–742.

Aziz T, Finnegan PM, Lambers H, Jost R. 2014. Organ-specific phosphorus-allocation patterns and transcript profiles linked to phosphorus efficiency in two contrasting wheat genotypes. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 943–960.

**Beck E, Scheibe R, Reiner J.** 1989. An assessment of the Rubisco inhibitor: 2-carboxyarabinitol-1-phosphate and p-hamamelonic acid 21-phosphate are identical compounds. Plant Physiology **90**, 13–16.

Berry JA, Lorimer GH, Pierce J, Seemann JR, Meek J, Freas S. 1987. Isolation, identification, and synthesis of 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate, a diurnal regulator of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase activity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 84, 734–738.

Bracher A, Sharma A, Starling-Windhof A, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2015. Degradation of potent Rubisco inhibitor by selective sugar phosphatase. Nature Plants 1, 1–7.

**Bracher A, Whitney SM, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M.** 2017. Biogenesis and metabolic maintenance of Rubisco. Annual Reviews of Plant Biology **68**, 29–60.

Brooks MD, Sylak-Glassman EJ, Fleming GR, Niyogi KK. 2013. A thioredoxin-like/β-propeller protein maintains the efficiency of light harvesting in *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **110**, 2733–2740.

**Carmo-Silva E, Andralojc PJ, Scales JC, Driever SM, Mead A, Lawson T, Raines CA, Parry MAJ.** 2017. Phenotyping of field-grown wheat in the UK highlights contribution of light response of photosynthesis and flag leaf longevity to grain yield. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 3473–3486.

**Carmo-Silva E, Scales JC, Madgwick PJ, Parry MA.** 2015. Optimizing Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. Plant, Cell & Environment **38**, 1817–1832.

**Charlet T, Moore BD, Seemann JR.** 1997. Carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate phosphatase from leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and other species. Plant & Cell Physiology **38**, 511–517.

**Chen Z, Spreitzer RJ.** 1992. How various factors influence the  $CO_2/O_2$  specificity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. Photosynthesis Research **31**, 157–164.

**Degen GE, Orr DJ, Carmo-Silva E.** 2021. Heat-induced changes in the abundance of wheat Rubisco activase isoforms. New Phytologist **229**, 1298–1311.

Edmondson DL, Badger MR, Andrews TJ. 1990. Slow inactivation of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase during catalysis is caused by accumulation of a slow, tight-binding inhibitor at the catalytic site. Plant Physiology **93**, 1390–1397.

Feller U, Anders I, Mae T. 2008. Rubiscolytics: fate of Rubisco after its enzymatic function in a cell is terminated. Journal of Experimental Botany 59, 1615–1624.

**Fukayama H, Ueguchi C, Nishikawa K, Katoh N, Ishikawa C, Masumoto C, Hatanaka T, Misoo S.** 2012. Overexpression of Rubisco activase decreases the photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate by reducing Rubisco content in rice leaves. Plant & Cell Physiology **53**, 976–986.

Gilck H, Beck E. 1974. Biosynthese der hamamelose: IV. Nachweis der biosynthesesequenz: Fructose-diphosphat→ Hamamelose-diphosphat→ Hamamelose-monophosphat→ Hamamelose. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenphysiologie 72, 395–409.

**Gutteridge S, Julien B.** 1989. A phosphatase from chloroplast stroma of *Nicotiana tabacum* hydrolyses 2'-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate, the natural inhibitor of Rubisco to 2'-carboxyarabinitol. FEBS Letters **254**, 225–230.

Gutteridge S, Parry MAJ, Burton S, Keys AJ, Mudd A, Feeney J, Servaites JC, Pierce J. 1986. A nocturnal inhibitor of carboxylation in leaves. Nature **324**, 274–276.

Hanson AD, McCarty DR, Henry CS, Xian X, Joshi J, Patterson JA, Garcia-Garcia JD, Fleischmann SD, Tivendale ND, Millar AH. 2021. The number of catalytic cycles in an enzyme's lifetime and why it matters to metabolic engineering. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **118**, e2023348118.

Harpel MR, Serpersu EH, Lamerdin JA, Huang ZH, Gage DA, Hartman FC. 1995. Oxygenation mechanism of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase. Structure and origin of 2-carboxytetritol 1,4-bisphosphate, a novel O<sub>2</sub>-dependent side product generated by a site-directed mutant. Biochemistry **34**, 11296–11306.

Hayer-Hartl M, Hartl FU. 2020. Chaperone machineries of Rubisco-the most abundant enzyme. Trends in Biochemical Sciences **45**, 748–763.

He Z, von Caemmerer S, Hudson GS, Price GD, Badger MR, Andrews TJ. 1997. Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase deficiency delays senescence of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase but progressively impairs its catalysis during tobacco leaf development. Plant Physiology **115**, 1569–1580.

**Heo J, Holbrook GP.** 1999. Regulation of 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol 1-phosphate phosphatase: activation by glutathione and interaction with thiol reagents. The Biochemical Journal **338**, 409–416.

Holbrook GP, Bowes G, Salvucci ME. 1989. Degradation of 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate by a specific chloroplast phosphatase. Plant Physiology **90**, 673–678.

Holbrook GP, Campbell WJ, Rowland-Bamford A, Bowes G. 1994. Intraspecific variation in the light/dark modulation of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase activity in soybean. Journal of Experimental Botany **45**, 1119–1126.

Holbrook GP, Galasinski SC, Salvucci ME. 1991. Regulation of 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphatase. Plant Physiology 97, 894–899.

Holbrook GP, Turner JA, Polans NO. 1992. Dark inhibition of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase in legumes: a biosystematic study. Photosynthesis Research **32**, 37–44.

**Houtz RL, Mulligan RM.** 1991. Protection of tryptic-sensitive sites in the large subunit of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase by catalysis. Plant Physiology **96**, 335–339.

Kane HJ, Wilkin JM, Portis AR, Andrews TJ. 1998. Potent inhibition of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase by an oxidized impurity in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate. Plant Physiology **117**, 1059–1069.

**Karpowicz SJ, Prochnik SE, Grossman AR, Merchant SB.** 2011. The GreenCut2 resource, a phylogenomically derived inventory of proteins specific to the plant lineage. Journal of Biological Chemistry **286**, 21427–21439.

**Keys AJ, Major L, Parry MA.** 1995. Is there another player in the game of Rubisco regulation? Journal of Experimental Botany **46**, 1245–1251.

Khan S, Andralojc PJ, Lea PJ, Parry MA. 1999. 2'-Carboxy-D-arabitinol 1-phosphate protects ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase 590 | Orr et al.

against proteolytic breakdown. European Journal of Biochemistry 266, 840-847.

Kim K, Portis AR. 2004. Oxygen-dependent  $\rm H_2O_2$  production by Rubisco. FEBS Letters **571**, 124–128.

Kingston-Smith AH, Major I, Parry MAJ, Keys AJ. 1992. Purification and properties of a phosphatase in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) leaves that hydrolyses 2'-carboxy-d-arabinitol 1-phosphate. The Biochemical Journal **287**, 821–825.

Linster C, Van Schaftingen E, Hanson A. 2013. Metabolite damage and its repair or pre-emption. Nature Chemical Biology 9, 72–80.

Lobo AK, Orr DJ, Gutierrez MO, Andralojc PJ, Sparks C, Parry MA, Carmo-Silva E. 2019. Overexpression of *ca1pase* decreases Rubisco abundance and grain yield in wheat. Plant Physiology **181**, 471–479.

Malnoë A, Schultink A, Shahrasbi S, Rumeau D, Havaux M, Niyogi KK. 2018. The plastid lipocalin LCNP is required for sustained photoprotective energy dissipation in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **30**, 196–208.

**Moore BD, Kobza J, Seemann JR.** 1991. Measurement of 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate in plant leaves by isotope dilution. Plant Physiology **96**, 208–213.

**Moore BD, Seemann JR.** 1990. <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> labelling studies of 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate synthesis. Plant & Cell Physiology **31**, 969–974.

Moore BD, Seeman JR. 1992. Metabolism of 2'-carboxyarabinitol in leaves. Plant Physiology 99, 1551–1555.

**Moore BD, Sharkey TD, Kobza J, Seemann JR.** 1992. Identification and levels of 2'-carboxyarabinitol in leaves. Plant Physiology **99**, 1546–1550.

**Moore BD, Sharkey TD, Seemann JR.** 1995. Intracellular localization of CA1P and CA1P phosphatase activity in leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Photosynthesis Research **45**, 219–224.

**Mueller-Cajar O.** 2017. The diverse AAA+ machines that repair inhibited Rubisco active sites. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences **4**, 31.

Parry MAJ, Andralojc PJ, Lowe HM, Keys AJ. 1999. The localisation of 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol 1-phosphate and inhibition of Rubisco in leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. FEBS Letters **444**, 106–110.

Parry MAJ, Andralojc PJ, Parmar S, Keys AJ, Habash D, Paul MJ, Alred R, Quick WP, Servaites JC. 1997. Regulation of Rubisco by inhibitors in the light. Plant, Cell & Environment **20**, 528–534.

**Parry MAJ, Keys AJ, Madgwick PJ, Carmo-Silva AE, Andralojc PJ.** 2008. Rubisco regulation: a role for inhibitors. Journal of Experimental Botany **59**, 1569–1580.

**Pearce FG.** 2006. Catalytic by-product formation and ligand binding by ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases from different phylogenies. The Biochemical Journal **399**, 525–534.

**Pearce FG, Andrews TJ.** 2003. The relationship between side reactions and slow inhibition of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase revealed by a loop 6 mutant of the tobacco enzyme. Journal of Biological Chemistry **278**, 32526–32536.

Perchorowicz JT, Raynes DA, Jensen RG. 1981. Light limitation of photosynthesis and activation of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase in wheat seedlings. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **78**, 2985–2989. Portis AR. 1995. The regulation of Rubisco by Rubisco activase. Journal of Experimental Botany 46, 1285–1291.

**Robinson SP, Portis AR.** 1988. Release of the nocturnal inhibitor, carboxyarabinitol-1 -phosphate, from ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase by Rubisco activase. FEBS Letters **233**, 413–416.

Sage RF. 1993. Light-dependent modulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activity in the genus *Phaseolus*. Photosynthesis Research **35**, 219–226.

**Sage RF, Reid CD, Moore BD, Seemann JR.** 1993. Long-term kinetics of the light-dependent regulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase activity in plants with and without 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate. Planta **191**, 222–230.

Sage RF, Seemann JR. 1993. Regulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activity in response to reduced light intensity in  $C_4$  plants. Plant Physiology **102**, 21–28.

**Salvucci ME, Anderson JC.** 1987. Factors affecting the activation state and the level of total activity of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase in tobacco protoplasts. Plant Physiology **85**, 66–71.

**Salvucci ME, Crafts-Brandner SJ.** 2004. Inhibition of photosynthesis by heat stress: the activation state of Rubisco as a limiting factor in photosynthesis. Physiologia Plantarum **120**, 179–186.

**Salvucci ME, Holbrook GP.** 1989. Purification and properties of 2-carboxy-p-arabinitol 1-phosphatase. Plant Physiology **90**, 679–685.

Schrader SM, Kane HJ, Sharkey TD, von Caemmerer S. 2006. High temperature enhances inhibitor production but reduces fallover in tobacco Rubisco. Functional Plant Biology **33**, 921–929.

**Shivhare D, Mueller-Cajar O.** 2018. Rubisco activase: the molecular chiropractor of the world's most abundant protein. In: Barber J, Ruban AV, eds. Photosynthesis and bioenergetics. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 159–187.

Smith AP, Fontenot EB, Zahraeifard S, DiTusa SF. 2018. Molecular components that drive phosphorus-remobilisation during leaf senescence. Annual Plant Reviews online **48**, 159–186.

Taylor SH, Gonzalez-Escobar E, Page R, Parry MA, Long SP, Carmo-Silva E. 2022. Faster than expected Rubisco deactivation in shade reduces cowpea photosynthetic potential in variable light conditions. Nature Plants 8, 1–7.

**Tivendale ND, Hanson AD, Henry CS, Heheman AD, Millar AH.** 2020. Enzymes as parts in need of replacement—and how to extend their working life. Trends in Biochemical Sciences **25**, 661–669.

Vu JCV, Allen LH Jr, Bowes G. 1984. Dark/light modulation of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase activity in plants from different photosynthetic categories. Plant Physiology **76**, 843–845.

Whitney SM, von Caemmerer S, Hudson GS, Andrews TJ. 1999. Directed mutation of the Rubisco large subunit of tobacco influences photorespiration and growth. Plant Physiology **121**, 579–588.

**Yokota A.** 1991. Carboxylation and detoxification of xylulose bisphosphate by spinach ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. Plant & Cell Physiology **32**, 755–762.

**Zhu G, Jensen RG.** 1991. Xylulose 1,5-bisphosphate synthesized by ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase during catalysis binds to decarbamylated enzyme. Plant Physiology **97**, 1348–1353.