RESEARCH

Open Access

A prospective case–cohort analysis of plasma metabolites and breast cancer risk

Victoria L. Stevens^{1,2}, Brian D. Carter¹, Eric J. Jacobs¹, Marjorie L. McCullough¹, Lauren R. Teras¹ and Ying Wang^{1*}

Abstract

Background Breast cancer incidence rates have not declined despite an improvement in risk prediction and the identifcation of modifable risk factors, suggesting the need to identify novel risk factors and etiological pathways involved in this cancer. Metabolomics has emerged as a promising tool to fnd circulating metabolites associated with breast cancer risk.

Methods Untargeted metabolomic analysis was done on prediagnostic plasma samples from a case–cohort study of 1695 incident breast cancer cases and a 1983 women subcohort drawn from Cancer Prevention Study 3. The associations of 868 named metabolites (per one standard deviation increase) with breast cancer were determined using Prentice-weighted Cox proportional hazards regression modeling.

Results A total of 11 metabolites were associated with breast cancer at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 with the majority having inverse association [ranging from $RR = 0.85$ (95% CI 0.80–0.92) to $RR = 0.88$ (95% CI 0.82–0.94)] and one having a positive association [RR=1.14 (95% CI 1.06–1.23)]. An additional 50 metabolites were associated at FDR<0.20 with inverse associations ranging from RR=0.88 (95% CI 0.81–0.94) to RR=0.91 (95% CI 0.85–0.98) and positive associations ranging from RR = 1.13 (95% CI 1.05–1.22) to RR = 1.11 (95% CI 1.02–1.20). Several of these associations validated the fndings of previous metabolomic studies. These included fndings that several progestogen and androgen steroids were associated with increased risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women and four phospholipids, and the amino acids glutamine and asparagine were associated with decreased risk of this cancer in pre- and postmenopausal women. Several novel associations were also identifed, including a positive association for syringol sulfate, a biomarker for smoked meat, and 3-methylcatechol sulfate and 3-hydroxypyridine glucuronide, which are metabolites of xenobiotics used for the production of pesticides and other products.

Conclusions Our study validated previous metabolite fndings and identifed novel metabolites associated with breast cancer risk, demonstrating the utility of large metabolomic studies to provide new leads for understanding breast cancer etiology. Our novel fndings suggest that consumption of smoked meats and exposure to catechol and pyridine should be investigated as potential risk factors for breast cancer.

Keywords Breast cancer, Metabolomics, Prospective study, Metabolites

*Correspondence:

Ying Wang

Ying.wang@cancer.org

¹ Department of Population Sciences, American Cancer Society, 3380 Chastain Meadows Pkwy NW Suite 200, Kennesaw, GA 30144, USA ² Present Address: Social and Scientific Systems, DLH Holdings

Corporation, Atlanta, GA, USA

Background

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death among women in the USA [[1](#page-11-0)]. Despite knowledge of several modifable risk factors for this cancer [\[2](#page-11-1)], incidence rates for breast cancer have continued to rise over the past several years [[3](#page-11-2)]. A better understanding of breast cancer etiology and the factors that afect this process could

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. lead to the development of new prevention strategies and the identifcation of novel therapeutic targets for chemoprevention.

Metabolomics provides a comprehensive assessment of the small molecules in a blood sample that integrates the efects of endogenous metabolism, exogenous exposures, and genetic variation. Recently, this technology has been used in prospective cohort studies to identify metabolites associated with breast cancer risk. To date, there have been ten studies from seven prospective cohorts that have applied metabolomics to prediagnostic blood samples from breast cancer cases and controls [\[4](#page-11-3)[–13](#page-11-4)]. These included both targeted metabolomics $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ $[4, 9, 12, 13]$ in which a defned set of metabolites were analyzed, and untargeted metabolomics [[5–](#page-11-7)[8](#page-11-8), [10](#page-11-9), [11](#page-11-10)], where all metabolites that can be measured were analyzed and used either nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) $[7, 11]$ $[7, 11]$ $[7, 11]$ $[7, 11]$ or mass spectroscopy (MS) $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ $[4-6, 8-10, 12, 13]$ for the metabolite measurements. The number of breast cancer cases in these studies ranged from 100 $[13]$ $[13]$ to 1997 $[12]$, and the criteria used to defne statistical signifcance for associations varied. While all the studies identifed at least one metabolite associated with breast cancer, the only metabolites whose associations were directly replicated were the sulfated derivatives of the androgenic steroids, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), and 3β, 17β-androstenediol $[5, 6, 10]$ $[5, 6, 10]$ $[5, 6, 10]$ $[5, 6, 10]$ $[5, 6, 10]$ $[5, 6, 10]$. This lack of replication could indicate that robust associations for metabolites with breast cancer do not exist, or it could be due to the small size of most of the studies and the limited overlap in the metabolites analyzed in each study [[14](#page-11-13)]. Larger studies using untargeted platforms that maximize the coverage of metabolites are needed to resolve this issue.

In this study, we conducted a large prospective case– cohort analysis among 1695 breast cancer cases and a randomly selected subcohort of 1983 participants drawn from women enrolled in the Cancer Prevention Study-3 (CPS-3). Relative levels of 868 known metabolites were measured using an untargeted, MS-based metabolomics platform to maximize the chance of our fndings overlapping with those of other studies and to discover novel metabolites associated with breast cancer risk.

Methods

Study population

The women in this study were from the CPS-3, a prospective study of cancer incidence and mortality among approximately 300,000 adults. CPS-3 participants were cancer-free, between the ages of 30 and 65, and from 35 states, Puerto Rico, and Washington DC at the time of enrollment between 2006 and 2013. Details about enrollment and cohort characteristics are available elsewhere [\[15](#page-11-14)]. All participants provided informed consent, a non-fasting blood sample, and completed a self-administered questionnaire requesting demographic, lifestyle, and medical information at enrollment. Blood was collected in an EDTA-containing vacutainer and was processed into plasma, red blood cells, and bufy coat within 24 h of collection. Blood fractions were frozen and stored in a biorepository in liquid nitrogen vapor phase tanks. All aspects of the CPS-3 study are approved by the Emory University Institutional Review Board.

Of the 303,682 participants enrolled in CPS-3, we excluded those missing a blood sample $(N=9534)$, who were not female (*N*=70,596), had prevalent cancer other than nonmelanoma skin cancer (*N*=2248), lived in a state not covered in our cancer registry linkage at the time of this analysis ($N=17,880$), were missing birth date $(N=64)$, and whose enrollment was revoked or otherwise compromised $(N=166)$. From the 205,595 women who remained, 1695 were identifed as having been diagnosed with invasive breast cancer between enrollment and December 31, 2015, through linkage to 36 state cancer registries. We also selected a random subcohort of 1983 women from the women eligible for the analysis, of whom 14 developed invasive breast cancer after enrollment. Comparison of the basic characteristics of subcohort with those of all the women in CPS-3 [\[15](#page-11-14)] indicates that it is representative of the women in the entire cohort.

Metabolomic analyses

Metabolomic analyses of plasma samples were done by Metabolon, Inc. (Morrisville, NC) as previously described [\[16](#page-11-15)]. Metabolites were identifed by comparison of ion features to a library of over 3300 chemical standards. Compounds with the same features for which the exact placement of side groups could not be assigned were given the same chemical name followed by a number in parentheses to distinguish them from one another. Metabolite peaks were quantifed using the area under the curve. Metabolite levels below the limit of detection were assigned the minimum observed value measured. Day-to-day variation was corrected by dividing each metabolite by its median for each run-day. The reliability of the analyses was assessed using replicate quality control samples analyzed with the study samples. For the measured metabolites, the median technical intraclass correlations coefficient (ICC) was 0.79 with an interquartile range of 0.69 to 0.89.

The metabolomic analyses provided data on 1053 named metabolites. Of these, metabolites were excluded if they had an ICC < 0.50 ($N=70$), if no results were obtained for them from any of the quality control samples $(N=52)$, or if they were missing in > 90% of the samples $(N=63)$. Thus, 868 metabolites were included in the analyses.

Statistical analyses

Metabolite levels were log-transformed and auto-scaled (mean = 0, $SD = 1$) to approximate a normal distribution and be on the same scale [\[17](#page-11-16)]. With the case–cohort study design, multivariable-adjusted relative risks (RR) and 95% confdence intervals (CI) for the association of each metabolite (per one standard deviation diagnosis increase) with breast cancer was estimated using Prentice-weighted Cox proportional hazards regression models using time-in-study as the time axis. In these models, cases outside the subcohort contributed person-time only on their diagnosis date $[18]$ $[18]$. The women in the subcohort contributed to person time from the date of blood draw or collection of the baseline questionnaire, whichever came last, to date of breast cancer, death date, or December 31, 2015, whichever came frst. Multivariable models were stratifed on single year of age and adjusted for race, education, family history of breast cancer, age at menarche, oral contraceptive use, postmenopausal hormone use, and parity and age at frst birth, all modeled as presented in Table [1](#page-3-0). BMI was modeled as a continuous variable and, when missing, was imputed as the median of the entire study population. To account for multiple comparisons, a false discovery rate $(FDR) < 0.05$ was used to define statistical significance [[19](#page-11-18)]. However, metabolites associated with breast cancer at FDR<0.20 were also included in all analyses and tables to facilitate comparisons with results of previous studies that focused on metabolites in this range [\[5](#page-11-7), [6](#page-11-12), [8](#page-11-8), [10](#page-11-9)] and because the expanded group of metabolites may provide more insight into the associations of the various metabolites.

Stratifed analyses were run to determine if metabolite associations varied by several parameters. For estrogen receptor (ER) status, independent models were run for ER+and ER− breast cancer. *p* values for heterogeneity were calculated based on a meta-analysis of the results of the two models done using Cochran's Q test [\[20](#page-11-19)]. For menopausal status and time since blood draw, an interaction term between the metabolite and the stratifcation variable was included in the model. A *p* value was calculated using the Likelihood Ratio test between the full model and a reduced model without the interaction term.

The clustered block analyses defined groups of metabolites mutually associated with breast cancer risk at $FDR < 0.20$ that could be represented by a single lead metabolite were done as described previously [\[21](#page-11-20)]. Briefy, hierarchical heat maps based on Pearson correlation coefficients, shown in Additional file 1 : Fig. 1, were used to identify groups of metabolites with correlation coefficients \geq 0.40. The metabolite most strongly associated with breast cancer in each group was defned as the lead metabolite for the group; whether it could represent the associations of all metabolites in the group was determined by rerunning the analyses controlling for that metabolite. If none of the associations were statistically significant (uncorrected $p < 0.05$), the group of metabolites was defned as a clustered block. Otherwise, the group of metabolites was split as suggested by the heatmap and the procedure was repeated until no signifcant associations remained.

Results

The characteristics of the women in the study are given in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The breast cancer cases were somewhat older than the subcohort, with an average age of 52.1 versus 48.3 years. The cases were slightly heavier, with an average BMI of 28.2 versus 27.7 kg/m^2 , and were more likely to be white or have a family history of breast cancer. The cases were also more likely to be parous, be ever users of postmenopausal hormones, and be less educated than the women in the subcohort.

Of the 868 metabolites in the analyses, 11 were associated with breast cancer with $FDR < 0.05$. These, along with 50 additional metabolites associated with $FDR < 0.20$, are listed in Table [2](#page-6-0). Ten of the 11 metabolites with FDR < 0.05 were lipids and were inversely associated with breast cancer risk. The other significant metabolite was the xenobiotic 3-methyl catechol sulfate [[2\]](#page-11-1), which was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer. The associations for all 868 metabolites included in the analysis are shown in Additional fle [1:](#page-10-0) Table 1.

As shown in Additional fle [1:](#page-10-0) Table 2, 58 of the 61 metabolites associated with breast cancer at FDR<0.20 clustered into 10 blocks of mutually associated metabolites. The largest block included 21 phospholipids, lysophospholipids, sphingomyelins, plasmalogens, and amino acids. The other clustered blocks ranged in size from 2 to 12 metabolites with members of each cluster mostly either structurally or functionally similar. Three metabolites were not clustered with any other metabolites.

Adjusting for BMI had no meaningful efect on the point estimates for the associations of the top metabolites with breast cancer (shown in Additional file [1](#page-10-0): Table 3), although statistical signifcance was attenuated.

Results stratifed by menopausal or ER status for the 61 metabolites are presented in Additional fle [1:](#page-10-0) Tables S4 and S5, respectively, and grouped into clustered blocks in Fig. [1](#page-4-0). The associations were significantly different ($p < 0.05$) by menopausal status for 8 of the 9 steroids and the lipids octadecadienoate (C18:2-DC) and sphinganine (Fig. $1A$). The associations were stronger in postmenopausal women for all the metabolites. The

Table 1 Selected characteristics of the women in the study

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Stratified analyses of breast cancer associations. Associations of the metabolites associated with breast cancer at FDR<0.20 grouped in correlated blocks (**A**) in pre- and postmenopausal women, and (**B**) in women with ER+or ER− breast cancer. Associations marked with † differed significantly (p < 0.05) between the two groups. An * next to a metabolite name indicates a level two (putative annotation) compound identifcation, whereas level one (defnitive) identifcation requires comparing two or more properties of the metabolite, such as retention time, m/z, or fragmentation mass spectrum, to those for an authentic chemical standard, level two (putative) identifcation requires comparison of only one of these properties

associations of two metabolites, androstenediol (3β, 17β) disulfate [[2\]](#page-11-1) and catechol glucuronide, were signifcantly higher among ER+than ER− breast cancer cases (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)B).

To investigate whether the associations of the metabolites with breast cancer varied by the time between blood collection and diagnosis, estimates were calculated for three-time strata (complete results are in Additional fle [1](#page-10-0): Table S6). As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-9-0) the association of several metabolites varied by time between blood collection and breast cancer diagnosis. However, the diference was only signifcant for sphinganine-1-phosphate, for which the association was strongest in cases diagnosed within 1.5 years of blood collection and was attenuated in the later follow-up intervals, and octadecadienoate (C18:2-DC), for which the opposite trend was seen.

Finally, the use of exogenous hormones alters the association of some known risk factors with breast cancer [\[22](#page-11-21)]. Sensitivity analyses excluding current users of exogenous hormones resulted in only very small changes in the metabolite breast cancer associations (data not shown).

Discussion

This prospective metabolomic analysis is among the largest done to date both in terms of the study population and the number of metabolites queried. Eleven metabolites

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

Table 2 Metabolites associated with breast cancer at FDR < 0.20

PE (O-16:0/18:2)* **Lipid** $Lipid$ 0.90 (0.84, 0.97) 6.30 × 10⁻³ 1.24 × 10⁻¹ Glycerophosphoethanolamine Lipid $Lipid$ 1.084, 0.97 7.09×10^{-3} 1.34 × 10⁻¹ 16α-Hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate Lipid 1.11 (1.03, 1.20) 7.15 × 10⁻³ 1.34 × 10⁻¹ $16a-Hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate$ Lipid $1.11 (1.03, 1.20)$ $1.11 (1.03, 1.20)$ 7.15×10^{-3} 1.34×10^{-1} 1.34×10^{-1} 1.34×10^{-1} 1.34×10^{-1} SM (d18:1/24:0) **Lipid** $Lipid$ 0.91 (0.84, 0.97) 7.25×10^{-3} 1.34 × 10⁻¹ Undecanedioate (C11-DC) Lipid 0.91 (0.84, 0.97) 8.01 × 10⁻³ 1.41 × 10⁻¹
Asparagine 1.41 × 10⁻¹ Amino acid 0.91 (0.84, 0.97) 8.05 × 10⁻³ 1.41 × 10⁻¹ Asparagine **Amino acid** Amino acid 0.91 (0.84, 0.97) 8.05 × 10⁻³ 1.41 × 10⁻¹

FDR false discovery rate; *RR* relative risk; *CI* confdence interval

^a Models were adjusted for age, race, education, family history of breast cancer, age at menarche, oral contraceptive use, postmenopausal hormone use, and parity and age at frst birth. Associations are per one standard deviation increase in metabolite level

were associated with breast cancer risk at FDR < 0.05 and an additional 50 metabolites were associated at a relaxed threshold of $FDR < 0.20$. These results replicated some previous studies and identifed some novel associations.

The metabolites associated with breast cancer risk and that either replicate previous results or are novel fnd-ings are summarized in Table [3](#page-8-0). The previously replicated metabolites which were associated with an increased risk of breast cancer were three androgenic steroids derived from DHEA [\[6](#page-11-12), [10](#page-11-9)]. Two of these three steroids, androstenediol (3β,17β) disulfate [[1\]](#page-11-0) and 16α-hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate, were associated with an increased risk of breast cancer in CPS-3. Four additional steroids, DHEA-S, androsteroid monosulfate [\[1](#page-11-0)], androstenediol (3β,17β) disulfate [[2](#page-11-1)], and androstenediol (3β,17β) monosulfate [[1\]](#page-11-0), were also associated with an increased risk of breast cancer in CPS-3. These results, as well as the finding that the associations were only with postmenopausal breast cancer, are consistent with fndings from other studies of circulating steroids [\[23](#page-11-22)[–25\]](#page-11-23). Most studies of steroid metabolites in breast cancer have focused on androgens such as DHEA as the key metabolites infuencing estrogen metabolism [\[26](#page-11-24)]. However, the correlated group of steroid metabolites we identifed included two metabolites of pregnenolone (21-hydroxypregnenolone and pregnenolone sulfate), which is a precursor to the androgenic steroids. This suggests that the alteration in the rate of formation of pregnenolone from cholesterol, which is a highly regulated reaction and the rate-limiting step in steroid hormone biosynthesis [[27\]](#page-11-25), may play a role in breast cancer etiology.

One other metabolite that has potentially been replicated by previous studies [[9,](#page-11-5) [10\]](#page-11-9) is the plasmalogen phosphatidylcholine (PC) (O-16:0/18:2). Our fndings for this metabolite directly replicate the fnding from the CPS-II study [[10\]](#page-11-9). In the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC) study [\[9](#page-11-5)], which used the targeted Biocrates metabolomics platform, PC (O-16:0/18:2) was not specifcally measured. However, all PC plasmalogens with 34 carbons and two double bonds, which include PC (O-16:0/18:2), were associated with breast cancer risk. Overall, the glycerophospholipids and sphingolipids we found to be associated with breast cancer clustered into two correlated blocks and included three lipids [PC (18:0/18:2), lyso-phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) (O-18:0) and lysoPC (18:2)] that replicated fndings from previous studies [[9,](#page-11-5) [10](#page-11-9)] for the frst time. Why elevated levels of the lipids would be associated with reduced breast cancer risk is not clear. However, they are all common components of cellular membranes, and their altered levels could refect the perturbation of pathways for membrane synthesis.

We found that glutamine was associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer, but previous studies have found conficting results. Glutamine was associated with increased risk in the Supplémentation en Vitamines et Minéraux Antioxydants (SU.VI.MAX) cohort [[8](#page-11-8)] where it was reported as glutamine/isoglutamine, and in the Etude Epidémiologique auprès de femmes de la MGEN (Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale) (E3N) cohort $[11]$ $[11]$, where the association was limited to premenopausal women. Glutamine was associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer in studies with both preand postmenopausal women in EPIC [[9\]](#page-11-5) and our study. Additional studies are needed to confrm the association of glutamine with breast cancer risk. However, the

PLCO Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer screening trial; *SU.VI.MAX* Supplémentation en Vitamines et Minéraux Antioxydants cohort: *EPIC* European Prospective Investigation into Cancer study; *CPS-II* Cancer Prevention Study II; *E3N* Etude Epidémiologique auprès de femmes de la MGEN (Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale) cohort; *CPS-3* Cancer Prevention Study 3; *ref* reference

^a The reference for each cohort from which the results shown were taken are shown below the cohort's name. The direction of the association reported is shown by the arrow with ↑ indicating a direct association and ↓ indicating an inverse association. The statistical significance of the association is indicated by whether the arrow is or is not in bold print with unbolded arrows corresponding to FDR<0.20 and bold arrows corresponding to FDR<0.05. A — indicates that the metabolite was measured in the study but not associated with breast cancer risk. A blank space indicates that the metabolite was not measured in that study

^b The metabolomics platform used in the EPIC studies provided information on the chain lengths and saturation of the fatty acids in the sn1 and sn2 positions of phospholipids cumulatively rather than individually. Therefore, the lipids found associated in the EPIC studies may include other species in addition to those measured in our study

^c Metabolites were associated with breast cancer risk in two or more previous studies

^d Metabolites associated with breast cancer risk at FDR < 0.20 in this study that replicated previous findings

 $^{\rm e}$ Metabolites associated with breast cancer risk at FDR<0.20 in this study not measured in any previous study

fnding of an inverse association for asparagine, which is synthesized from glutamine, here and in the EPIC study [[9\]](#page-11-5) supports an inverse association for glutamine as higher levels of one of these amino acids should result in higher levels of the other. Neither of the studies that found a direct association for glutamine included asparagine among the metabolites analyzed.

We found associations between breast cancer risk and several metabolites that had not been included in previous studies. These metabolites are listed as novel associations in Table [3](#page-8-0). Two metabolites, both decarboxylated fatty acids (octadecadienoate and 2-hydroxysebacate), were associated with decreased risk while the other three were associated with increased risk of breast cancer. One of these three, syringol sulfate, is a metabolite of syringol, which is a biomarker of smoked meat consumption [[28\]](#page-11-26). A recent meta-analysis found that higher consumption of either red or processed meat was associated with a greater risk of breast cancer [\[29](#page-11-27)] but did not study smoked meat consumption specifcally. Our fndings for syringol sulfate argue that this issue should be investigated further.

The other two novel associations we observed were for the xenobiotics catechol glucuronide and 3-hydrixypyridine glucuronide, which were highly correlated (*r*=0.76) and are metabolites of catechol and pyridine, respectively. While both compounds occur naturally at low levels, they are produced synthetically in large amounts.

Fig. 2 Influence of time from blood draw to diagnosis on breast cancer associations. Association for the metabolites associated with breast cancer at FDR<0.20 grouped in correlated blocks stratified by time between blood collection and breast cancer diagnosis. Associations marked with † difered signifcantly (*p*<0.05) between the three strata

About half of the catechol and pyridine and catechol produced is used to make pesticides, while smaller amounts are used for pharmaceuticals and favoring agents [\[30](#page-11-28), [31\]](#page-11-29). Pyridine is also used in organic chemistry and in dyes [[31\]](#page-11-29), and both compounds have been found in cigarette smoke. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) evaluated the carcinogenicity of catechol, in 1999 $[32]$ $[32]$, and pyridine, in 2019 $[31]$ $[31]$, using primarily animal data and classifed both as 2B, possibly carcinogenic to humans. Our fndings suggest that further investigation into the carcinogenicity of these compounds is warranted.

In addition to several steroid metabolites, the associations of two additional metabolites [sphinganine and

octadecadienoate (C18:2-DC)*] difered signifcantly (*p*<0.05) in pre- and postmenopausal women. Two metabolites (androstenediol (3β,17β) disulfate [[2\]](#page-11-1) and catechol glucuronide) difered signifcantly between women with ER+and ER− breast cancer. It is unclear why these associations difer by menopausal or ER status. These findings may be due to chance and require replication in future analyses.

A signifcant portion of the cases in this study were diagnosed with breast cancer within a few years after the blood collection, while others occurred later in followup, allowing us to explore if associations varied by time between blood collection and diagnosis. Only two metabolites had associations that varied significantly $(p < 0.05)$ by time between blood draw and diagnosis, thus limiting any conclusions as to whether any of metabolite levels might be afected by reverse causation.

Although all the risk estimates remained similar, adjustment for BMI attenuated the associations of all the metabolites with breast cancer. This could indicate that BMI is a mediator of the associations. If so, then adjustment for BMI may be inappropriate. This possibility should be investigated further in future analyses.

A strength of this study is the large study population and the large number of identifed metabolites measured. The factors likely contributed to our finding of 11 metabolites associated with breast cancer risk at $FDR < 0.05$, which is more than previous studies which identifed one or two metabolites at most at this signifcance level [\[9](#page-11-5), [10\]](#page-11-9). Limitations of our study include the fact the results were based on a single blood sample for each study participant. However, evidence suggests that levels of most circulating metabolites are relatively stable for up to 2 years [[33,](#page-11-31) [34](#page-11-32)], suggesting that a single sample may be sufficient. Other limitations include smaller numbers in the subgroups used in the stratifed analyses. Finally, although Black and Hispanic women were included in our study, there were too few to determine if associations differed by race and/or ethnicity. Thus, our findings may not be generalizable to all groups.

Conclusions

This metabolomic study of breast cancer further replicated positive associations for several steroid metabolites that had been previously replicated and provided new replications for inverse associations for some lipids and amino acids. We also found novel associations for some metabolites which suggest new avenues for investigation into potentially modifable risk factors for breast cancer. The associations of metabolites of syringol, catechol, and pyridine with increased breast cancer risk suggest future etiologic research should focus on smoked meat consumption and exposure to

some chemicals found in our environment. Finally, the growing evidence that larger metabolomic studies are needed to identify robust associations suggests that additional studies and pooled analyses of existing results are needed.

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-023-01602-x) [org/10.1186/s13058-023-01602-x.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-023-01602-x)

Additional file 1: Figure 1. Hierarchical heat map based on Pearson correlation coefficients of metabolites associated with breast cancer at FDR < 0.20 in analyses adjusted for age, race, education, family history of breast cancer, age at menarche, OC use, and parity.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Disclaimer The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the American Cancer Society or the American Cancer Society—Cancer Action Network.

Author contributions

VLS, BDC, and YW contributed to conceptualization; VLS and BDC contributed to formal analysis, investigation, and methodology; VLS, BDC, EJJ, and YW supervised the study: VLS contributed to writing—original draft; VLS, BDC, EJJ, MLM, LRT, and YW contributed to writing—review and editing; VLS contributed to funding acquisition. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the intramural research program of the American Cancer Society.

Availability of data and materials

Data and supporting materials are not publicly available but may be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request and approval of the Cancer Prevention Study 3 investigators.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study and all aspects of the Cancer Prevention Study 3 study were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Emory University. All participants gave written informed consent prior to enrollment in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the US Common Rule.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 5 August 2022 Accepted: 3 January 2023

References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J Clin. 2022;72(1):7–33.
- 2. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and breast cancer. dietcancerreport.org; 2018.
- 3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(1):7–33.
- 4. Kuhn T, Floegel A, Sookthai D, Johnson T, Rolle-Kampczyk U, Otto W, et al. Higher plasma levels of lysophosphatidylcholine 18:0 are related to a lower risk of common cancers in a prospective metabolomics study. BMC Med. 2016;14:13.
- 5. Playdon MC, Ziegler RG, Sampson JN, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Thompson HJ, Irwin ML, et al. Nutritional metabolomics and breast cancer risk in a prospective study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2017;106(2):637–49.
- 6. Moore SC, Playdon MC, Sampson JN, Hoover RN, Trabert B, Matthews CE, et al. A metabolomics analysis of body mass index and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2018;110(6):588–97.
- 7. Lecuyer L, Victor Bala A, Deschasaux M, Bouchemal N, Nawfal Triba M, Vasson MP, et al. NMR metabolomic signatures reveal predictive plasma metabolites associated with long-term risk of developing breast cancer. Int J Epidemiol. 2018;47(2):484–94.
- 8. Lecuyer L, Dalle C, Lyan B, Demidem A, Rossary A, Vasson MP, et al. Plasma metabolomic signatures associated with long-term breast cancer risk in the SU.VI.MAX prospective cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2019;28(8):1300–7.
- 9. His M, Viallon V, Dossus L, Gicquiau A, Achaintre D, Scalbert A, et al. Prospective analysis of circulating metabolites and breast cancer in EPIC. BMC Med. 2019;17(1):178.
- 10. Moore SC, Mazzilli KM, Sampson JN, Matthews CE, Carter BD, Playdon MC, et al. A metabolomics analysis of postmenopausal breast cancer risk in the Cancer Prevention Study II. Metabolites. 2021;11:95.
- 11. Jobard E, Dossus L, Baglietto L, Fornili M, Lecuyer L, Mancini FR, et al. Investigation of circulating metabolites associated with breast cancer risk by untargeted metabolomics: a case-control study nested within the French E3N cohort. Br J Cancer. 2021;124(10):1734–43.
- 12. Zeleznik OA, Balasubramanian R, Ren Y, Tobias DK, Rosner BA, Peng C, et al. Branched-chain amino acids and risk of breast cancer. JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2021;5(5):pkab059.
- 13. Zhao H, Shen J, Ye Y, Wu X, Esteva FJ, Tripathy D, et al. Validation of plasma metabolites associated with breast cancer risk among Mexican Americans. Cancer Epidemiol. 2020;69: 101826.
- 14. Moore SC. Metabolomics and breast cancer: scaling up for robust results. BMC Med. 2020;18(1):18.
- 15. Patel AV, Jacobs EJ, Dudas DM, Briggs PJ, Lichtman CJ, Bain EB, et al. The American Cancer Society's Cancer Prevention Study 3 (CPS-3): Recruitment, study design, and baseline characteristics. Cancer. 2017;123(11):2014–24.
- 16. Evans AM, DeHaven CD, Barrett T, Mitchell M, Milgram E. Integrated, nontargeted ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry platform for the identifcation and relative quantifcation of the small-molecule complement of biological systems. Anal Chem. 2009;81(16):6656–67.
- 17. van den Berg RA, Hoefsloot HC, Westerhuis JA, Smilde AK, van der Werf MJ. Centering, scaling, and transformations: improving the biological information content of metabolomics data. BMC Genom. 2006;7:142.
- 18. Prentice RL. A case-cohort design for epidemiologic cohort studies and disease prevention trials. Biometrika. 1986;73(1):1–11.
- 19. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Stat Methodol. 1995;57(1):289–300.
- 20. Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from diferent experiments. Biometrics. 1954;10(1):101–29.
- 21. Stevens VL, Carter BD, McCullough ML, Campbell PT, Wang Y. Metabolomic Profles Associated with BMI, Waist Circumference, and Diabetes and Infammation Biomarkers in Women. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020;28(1):187–96.
- 22. Mullooly M, Khodr ZG, Dallal CM, Nyante SJ, Sherman ME, Falk R, et al. Epidemiologic risk factors for in situ and invasive breast cancers among postmenopausal Women in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2017;186(12):1329–40.
- 23. Key T, Appleby P, Barnes I, Reeves G, Endogenous H, Breast Cancer Collaborative G. Endogenous sex hormones and breast cancer in postmenopausal women: reanalysis of nine prospective studies. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94(8):606–16.
- 24. Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, et al. Plasma sex steroid hormone levels and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1998;90(17):1292–9.
- 25. Drummond AE, Swain CTV, Brown KA, Dixon-Suen SC, Boing L, van Roekel EH, et al. Linking physical activity to breast cancer via sex steroid hormones, Part 2: the effect of sex steroid hormones on breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2022;31(1):28–37.
- 26. Yasui T, Matsui S, Tani A, Kunimi K, Yamamoto S, Irahara M. Androgen in postmenopausal women. J Med Invest. 2012;59(1–2):12–27.
- 27. Kallen CB, Arakane F, Christenson LK, Watari H, Devoto L, Strauss JF 3rd. Unveiling the mechanism of action and regulation of the steroidogenic acute regulatory protein. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 1998;145(1–2):39–45.
- 28. Wedekind R, Keski-Rahkonen P, Robinot N, Viallon V, Ferrari P, Engel E, et al. Syringol metabolites as new biomarkers for smoked meat intake. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;110(6):1424–33.
- 29. Farvid MS, Sidahmed E, Spence ND, Mante Angua K, Rosner BA, Barnett JB. Consumption of red meat and processed meat and cancer incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Eur J Epidemiol. 2021;36(9):937–51.
- 30. Agency for Toxic substances and Disease Registry. Toxicologial profle for pyridine;1992.
- 31. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenis risks to humans. Some chemicals that cause tumours of the urinary tract in rodents. Lyon, France 2019.
- 32. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Re-evaluation of some organic chemicals, hydrazines and hydrogen peroxide. Lyon, France 1999.
- 33. Townsend MK, Clish CB, Kraft P, Wu C, Souza AL, Deik AA, et al. Reproducibility of metabolomic profles among men and women in 2 large cohort studies. Clin Chem. 2013;59(11):1657–67.
- 34. Carayol M, Licaj I, Achaintre D, Sacerdote C, Vineis P, Key TJ, et al. Reliability of serum metabolites over a two-year period: a targeted metabolomic approach in fasting and non-fasting samples from EPIC. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(8): e0135437.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.