#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Check for updates

# **Drug resistance profiles and related gene mutations in slow-growing non-tuberculous mycobacteria isolated in regional tuberculosis reference laboratories of Iran: a three year cross-sectional study**

Sous[a](#page-0-0)n Akrami a[,b](#page-0-1), Azar Dokht khosrav[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7852-6868) a[,b,c](#page-0-1) and Mo[ha](#page-0-0)mmad Hashemzadeha,b

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>a Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center, Health Research Institute, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran; <sup>b</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran; <sup>c</sup>Iranian group on Microbial Drug Resistance, Iran

#### **ABSTRACT**

There are limited studies on the antibiotic resistance patterns of slowly growing mycobacteria (SGM) species and their related gene mutations in Iran. This study aimed to elucidate the antibiotic susceptibility profiles and the mutations in some genes that are associated with the antibiotic resistance among SGM isolates from Iran. The SGM strains were isolated from sputum samples of suspected tuberculosis (TB) patients. SGM species were identified by standard phenotypic tests and were assigned to species level by amplification and sequencing of the *dnaK* gene. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of eight antibiotics was determined using broth microdilution method. The mutations in *rrl, rpoB, gyrA*, and *gyrB* genes were investigated in clarithromycin, rifampin, and moxifloxacin resistant isolates using sequencing method. A total of 77 SGM isolates including 46 (59.7%) *Mycobacterium kansasii*, 21 (27.3%) *Mycbacterium simiae*, and 10 (13%) *Mycobacterium avium complex* (MAC) were detected. The amikacin and linezolid with the susceptibility rates of 97.4% and 1.3% were the most and the least effective antibiotics, respectively. All MAC and *M. simiae* isolates, and 32 (69.6%) *M. kansasii* strains had multiple-drug resistance (MDR) profiles. The *rrl, rpoB, gyrA*, and *gyrB*  genes showed various mutations in resistant isolates. Although the current study showed an association among resistance to the clarithromycin, rifampin, and moxifloxacin with mutations in the relevant genes, further research using the whole-genome sequencing is needed to provide a clearer insight into the molecular origins of drug resistance in SGM isolates.

### **Introduction**

<span id="page-0-2"></span>Non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) comprising more than 200 species which are found throughout the world. In routine diagnostic methods, such as acidfast staining, NTM have a similar shape to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Thus, preliminary differentiating them from each other has always been a serious challenge for health-care systems and medical diagnostic laboratories [\[1](#page-9-0),[2\]](#page-9-1). Iran is one of the countries where mycobacterial infections are endemic, therefore, the detection of different NTM in medical laboratories is necessary [[3\]](#page-9-2). The results of one study in Iran showed that an unpredicted number of patients (15.1%) who were diagnosed and treated for TB were infected by NTM [\[4](#page-9-3)]. Many multi-drug resistants (MDR), extensively drug resistant (XDR), and extremely drug resistant TB (XXDR TB), may be a member of NTM [[5\]](#page-9-4). One important factor that involved in the misdiagnosis of these bacteria is the lack of new and advanced diagnostic technologies in developing countries.

<span id="page-0-5"></span><span id="page-0-4"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span>Microbiologically, NTM are categorized into rapidly growing mycobacteria (RGM) and slowly growing mycobacteria (SGM). In comparison to RGM, SGM are

**KEYWORDS** 

Antibiotic resistance; nontuberculous mycobacteria; mutations; slowly growing mycobacteria; Iran

<span id="page-0-6"></span>more frequent and show higher rates of drug resistance. Several species including *M. kansasii, M. simiae*, and *M. avium* complex (MAC) are belonged to SGM [\[6](#page-9-5)[–](#page-9-6) [8\]](#page-9-6). Despite the existence of detailed epidemiological information about TB in Iran, the prevalence and epidemiology of SGM in Iran remains largely unknown.

<span id="page-0-7"></span>Although there is no standard treatment criterion for NTM infections at the moment, several antibiotics have been recommended for the treatment of these infections, including amikacin (AMK), moxifloxacin (MXF), clarithromycin (CLR), clofazimine (CFZ), ethambutol (EMB), isoniazid (INH), linezolid (LZD), and rifampin (RIF) [\[8](#page-9-6)[,9](#page-9-7)]. The British Thoracic Society recently published a set of guidelines for the treatment of NTM pulmonary infections. The main consensus recommendation is to use a macrolide-based multidrug regimen to treat MAC-related pulmonary disease. Because surgical lung resection is frequently the only solution for patients who failed drug therapy due to antibiotic resistance, the prescription of multidrug therapy is critical to avoiding macrolide resistance and preventing unnecessary deaths in more severe cases. Rifamycins, like rifampin, complete the NTM treatment bases and

CONTACT Azar Dokht Khosravi a azarkhosravi69@gmail.com **Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center, Health Research Institute, Ahvaz** Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

<sup>© 2022</sup> Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

are an important part of the *M. kansasii* treatment bases. Fluoroquinolones have significant activity against *M. kansasii* and are critical in cases of rifamycinresistant *M. kansasii*. Clofazimine also has potential applications against NTM skin and soft tissue infections due to its high penetration in skin and soft tissue [[9\]](#page-9-7). However, due to their extensive range of antibiotic resistance, the treatment of infections caused by these bacteria is a major challenge for health-care centers. Patient age, infecting species, and comorbidities determine the NTM infection remission rate [[6\]](#page-9-5).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Different mechanisms are contributed to wide range of antibiotic resistance in NTM species. While in many bacteria, acquired drug resistance is broadly obtained through horizontal gene transfer of mobile genetic elements, NTM species resist to various antibiotics by spontaneous mutations in chromosomal genes [\[7](#page-9-8)[,10,](#page-9-9)[11\]](#page-9-10). So far, the association of certain antibiotic resistance with mutation in different genes, such as *rpoB* (rifampin), *katG* and *inhA* (isoniazid), *rrl* (clarithromycin), *embA* and *embB* (ethambutol), *gyrA* and *gyrB* (fluoroquinolone), and *Rv0678* (clofazimine) has been reported in mycobacteria [[10,](#page-9-9)[12](#page-9-11)].

<span id="page-1-1"></span>There are limited studies on the antibiotic resistance patterns of SGM species and their related gene mutations, especially in Iran. Hence, this study aimed to elucidate the antibiotic susceptibility profiles and the mutations in some genes that are associated with this resistance among SGM isolates from Iran.

### **Material and methods**

### *Ethics statement*

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran (No: IR.AJUMS.MEDICINE.REC.1399.005). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

### *Mycobacteria* **isolation**

In this cross-sectional study, the SGM isolates were collected from sputum samples of suspected TB patients (with symptoms of tuberculosis) referred to several Regional Tuberculosis Reference Laboratories of Iran, from May 2017 to August 2020. In this study, clinical, radiographic, and microbiological criteria were included for identifying NTM pulmonary infection on the basis of the American Thoracic Society (ATS)/ Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines [[13\]](#page-9-12). Based on the systematic review and metaanalysis by Nasiri et al. [[3](#page-9-2)], the prevalence of NTM species ranged from 5.6 to 19.2% after year 2000. Considering the minimum prevalence of 5.0%, 95% confidence level, and margin of error of 0.05%, the sample size was estimated approximately 70 isolates.

The demographic data were recorded and stored in archive of the TB centers. Early morning sputa were collected in a sterile container from each patient and stored at −20°C and later used for culture and molecular identification. After performing preliminary steps such as decontamination and homogenization, the specimens were cultured on Lowenstein–Jensen (LJ) medium (Sigma-Aldrich Co, USA). All mycobacterial positive cultures suspected to SGM were sent to the Ahvaz TB Reference Center for identification of species. For preparation of a fresh subculture, LJ medium was used and after incubation at 37°C, the colony was used for phenotypic and molecular tests.

### *Primary identification of SGM species*

<span id="page-1-2"></span>SGM were identified to species level according to the guidelines of the ATS/IDSA [[13\]](#page-9-12). The phenotypic tests of acid-fast staining, growth rate, pigment production, clonal morphology, and conventional biochemical tests including nitrate reduction, Tween 80 hydrolysis, catalase production, and arylsulfatase test were used. *M. avium* ATCC® 700,898 was used as quality reference strains.

## *Confirmation and differentiation of SGM by* **dnaK**  *gene sequencing*

The SGM isolates were assigned to species level by amplification and sequencing of the *dnaK* gene. The DNA was extracted from the isolates by boiling method as previously described [[14](#page-9-13)].

<span id="page-1-3"></span>Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mixture was prepared in a final volume of 25 μL comprising 10X PCR buffer, 1.5 mmol of  $MqCl<sub>2</sub>$ , 0.2 mmol of each dNTPs, 1 U/μL of *Taq* DNA Polymerase, 1 μL of each primer (F:5′-CTGACCAAGGACAAGATGGC-3′ and R: 5′- TCGATCAGCTTGGTCATCAC −3′), which amplify a DNA fragment of 451 bp [\[15\]](#page-9-14), 5 μL DNA template (50 ng), and 18 μl sterile deionized water.

<span id="page-1-4"></span>The PCR program was carried out in a thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) as follows: initial denaturation for 1 min at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 30 sec, and a final extension for 2 min at 72°C [[15\]](#page-9-14). PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel and visualized by visualized under UV light using a gel documentation system (Protein Simple, USA). The size of PCR products was determined using a 100-bp molecular marker.

PCR products were sent for sequencing (Cardiogenetic Research Center, Tehran, Iran). The resulting sequences were searched in the GenBank [\(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/\)](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) database and the species identification was confirmed based on the percentage of similarity in comparison with the sequences in

<span id="page-2-1"></span>the database. The dendrogram (the maximum likelihood tree) was created by neighbor-joining (NJ) method with 1000 bootstrap replications using Mega X software [\[16\]](#page-9-15).

#### *Determination of antibiotic resistance patterns*

Considering the importance of rifampin and MXF in the treatment of *M. kansasii* and physicians' recommendation to macrolide-based diet for effective treatment of NTM infections, RIF (*rpoB*) and MXF (*gyrA* and *gyrB*) antibiotic resistance genes for dominant SGM (*M. kansasii*) and CLR (*rrl*) gene for all resistant isolates were evaluated using PCR and sequencing.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>MIC of eight antibiotics was determined against SGM isolates using broth microdilution method according to the protocol provided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [[17](#page-9-16)]. As no defined breakpoint has mentioned for all NTMs by CLSI so far, and only there are breakpoints for few species of SGM in literature, the experiments of the susceptibility testing for other antibiotics that not mentioned by CLSI, were done and interpreted according to breakpoints for the *M. kansasii* and MAC isolates or based on the previously described studies [\[18](#page-9-17)[,19\]](#page-9-18). The antibiotic powders (Sigma-Aldrich Co, USA) were as follows: AMK, CLR, CFZ, EMB, INH, LZD, MXF, and RIF. After preparing the stock solution of each antibiotic in its solvent as per provider's instructions, and an appropriate amount was added to Middlebrook 7H9 broth medium (Liofilchem Srl, Italy) containing glycerol (2.0 ml/L) and oleic acid/dextrose/catalase (OADC; 100 ml/L). Serial double dilutions were prepared as follows: AMK, 0.5 to 16 μg/ml; MXF, 0.25 to 16 μg/ml; CLR, 0.5 to 32 μg/ml; LZD, 0.5 to 32 μg/ml; RIF, 0.125 to 16 μg/ml; EMB, 0.25 to 16 μg/ml; CFZ, 2 to 64 μg/ml; INH, 0.5 to 32 μg/ml.

The bacterial suspensions were prepared according to the CLSI guidelines [\[17\]](#page-9-16), for each isolate a suspension in the broth was prepared from an agar medium with adequate growth of the isolate and their turbidity were adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard turbidity suspension to achieve a final concentration of  $5 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml. Suspensions were then diluted to achieve a final concentration from  $1 \times 10^5$  to  $5 \times 10^5$ CFU/ml and were inoculated in each well of microtiter plates containing 100 μl of 7H9 broth supplemented

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** The primers sequences used in this study.

with OADC and serial dilutions of antimicrobial agents, and incubated at 37  $^{\circ}$ C for 14 days. After incubation time, the MIC was read for each antibiotic, and the lowest antibiotic concentration that inhibits bacterial growth was considered as the MIC value. For each dilution series, one well containing bacterial suspension without antibiotics was considered as positive control and one well containing antibiotic without bacterial suspension was considered as negative control. MICs were determined 7–14 days after culture when sufficient bacterial growth in positive control was well observed. All experiments were performed in duplicate. MIC breakpoints, representing resistant, intermediate, and sensitive strains against eight studied antibiotics were interpreted based on the CLSI [[17](#page-9-16)]. *M. avium* ATCC® 700,898 was used as quality reference strain.

#### <span id="page-2-2"></span>*Quality control (QC) of laboratory experiments*

All experiments were performed in Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory in a special and self-contained room physically separated from other parts under the supervision of trained staff. A calibrated autoclave was available for decontaminating laboratory waste. Directional air flow was maintained by extracting room air. All procedures were undertook under a Class II biosafety cabinet. Gloves and masks were used during the experiments. In each run of culture medium preparation or antibiotic susceptibility experiment, the QC strains were used. Subcultures of working QC strains were performed weekly or whenever susceptibility testing was conducted. Storage of these stock cultures was carried out at –80°C in tryptic soy broth with 15% glycerol. When a new batch of test medium was prepared, QC strains were used. Also, there was a free antimicrobial medium with QC strains in each microdilution broth tray to verify viability of the test organisms.

### *Study of resistance genes mutations*

<span id="page-2-4"></span>The resistance genes related to CLR (*rrl*), RIF (*rpoB*), and MXF (*gyrA* and *gyrB*) antibiotics were evaluated only for phenotypically resistant isolates using PCR method and sequencing. The used primers are shown in [Table 1](#page-2-0). For *rrl* and *rpoB* genes, the PCR



was performed by the newly designed primers using primer blast ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/)  [tools/primer-blast/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). For *gyrA* and *gyrB* genes, the previously described primers were used [[20](#page-9-19)]. PCR mixture was prepared in a final volume of 25 μl comprising 10X PCR buffer, 1.5 mmol of MgCl2, 0.2 mmol of each dNTPs, 1 U/μl of Taq DNA Polymerase, 1 μl of each primer, 2 μl genomic DNA (20 ng), and 8.5 μl sterile deionized water. The PCR program was carried out a thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) as follows: 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at optimal temperature of each primer for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 40 sec (*rpoB, gyrA*, and *gyrB*) and 1 min (*rrl*), and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min [\[15\]](#page-9-14). The PCR products were then visualized by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. A 100 bp molecular size marker was used to determine the size of produced fragments. Amplified products were sent for sequencing to investigate possible mutations in genes that may be associated with antibiotic resistance. The obtained sequences were aligned with the homologous sequences of the reference strain of *M. tuberculosis* (H37Rv) and *M. kansasii* ATCC12478 in MEGA X software.

### **Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to express the frequency and percentage of strains and resistance of each antibiotic using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

### **Results**

### *SGM isolates identification*

In total 77 SGM isolates were detected using phenotypic and standard biochemical methods. All of these isolates were positive for the 451 bp *dnaK* gene and confirmed as NTM. The demographic information for 40 patients who infected with SGM isolates are presented in [Table 2.](#page-3-0) The isolates were recovered from samples belonged to 31 (77.5%) male patients and 9 (22.5%) female patients with the mean age of 54.5 years (ranged 28–81 years). Most patients had no underlying medical conditions and in 42% of them fever was the only symptom. Due to the confidentiality of information on the TB suspected patients in Iran, demographic data for some isolates was not accessible. The *dnaK* gene sequencing differentiated the isolates as follows: 46 (59.7%) *M. kansasii*, 21 (27.3%) *M. simiae* (Runyon Group I), and 10 (13%) *M. avium* complex (Runyon Group III) ([Figure 1](#page-4-0)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2.** Demographic data of some patients infected with slowly growing mycobacteria.



\* HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

#### *Antibiotic resistance profiles*

The antibiotic resistance rates of SGM isolates detected in present study, are shown in [Table 3](#page-5-0). According to the broth microdilution results, the AMK and LZD with the susceptibility rates of 97.4% and 1.3% were the most and the least effective antibiotics, respectively. All 77 isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic, for instance, high resistance of 100% was observed in *M. simiae* strains against LZD, RIF, INH, and CFZ, and for MAC strains the full resistance against LZD, RIF, and INH was also noted [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0). High susceptibility to AMK was observed in all studied species with MIC range of 0.5–4 μg/ml [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1). In total, 81.8% of studied isolates were MDR. All MAC and *M. simiae* isolates, and 32 (69.56%) *M. kansasii* strains, comprised MDR profiles, indicating resistance to three or more antibiotic classes [[10](#page-9-9)].

#### *Mutation analysis related to antibiotic resistance*

The results of mutation analysis are presented in [Tables 5–](#page-5-2)[8.](#page-6-0) All phenotypically resistant isolates comprised mutations in their resistance determinants simultaneously, except for 17 RIF-resistant *M. kansasii*  isolates. Six mutation types were detected at positions 1249, 1356, 1407, 1479, 1533, and 1536 of the 350-bp

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** A phylogenetic tree based on *dnaK* gene sequences demonstrates the relationship between the 77 mycobacterium isolates and 1 out-group strain. The tree was created using the neighbor-joining method, and bootstrap analysis was performed from 100 replications.

fragment of rpoB gene except for 17 RIF-resistant *M. kansasii* isolates ([Table 5\)](#page-5-2). The mutations were detected in 350 bp fragment of *rpoB* gene in 3 RIFresistant *M. kansasii* isolates [\(Table 6\)](#page-5-3). Moreover, all MXF-resistant *M. kansasii*, had mutations in their *gyrA*  and *gyrB* genes. The 459 bp fragment of *gyrA* gene showed mutations at positions 238, 239, 247,249, 257,258, 260,261, 2449, 2450, and 2452 in MXFresistant *M. kansasii*. Also, 762 bp fragment of *gyrB*  gene had mutations at positions 602,603, 950, 1332, 1339, 1390,1392, 1406, and 1594 in MXF-resistant *M. kansasii* isolates [\(Table 7](#page-6-1)). The eight CLR-resistant *M. kansasii* isolates showed mutations at positions 2058, 2059, and 2266 of 1110 bp fragment of *rrl* gene.

The only CLR-resistant MAC isolate in this study, showed four simultaneous mutations at positions 2058, 2059, 2212, and 2419 of *rrl* gene. Moreover, the five CLR-resistant *M. simiae* isolates had mutations at positions 22, 25, 143, 250, 261, 293, 351, 477, and 884 of *rrl* gene [\(Table 8](#page-6-0)).

### **Discussion**

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>While *in-vitro* antibiotic susceptibility tests for most NTM species have not been validated, these tests can nevertheless be essential in the management of diseases associated with NTM [[21](#page-9-20)]. The long-term antimicrobial therapy and its related toxicities may pose major problems for clinicians to choose the ideal treatment regimen for SGM-infected patients. There is not adequate information about SGM antibiotic susceptibility profiles in Iran. Because in developing countries such as Iran, it is difficult to obtain commercial kits and modern devices to perform laboratory experiments due to sanctions. Also, the purchase and supply of antibiotic powders, which are mostly imported, are so problematic and timeconsuming process. These limitations have made TB laboratories still not prioritize the detection of NTM strains in Iran [\[22\]](#page-9-21).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3.** The resistance rates of 77 slowly growing mycobacteria isolates against eight antibiotics using the broth microdilution method.

		Antibiotics breakpoints					Susceptibility profile		
SGM species	Antibiotics	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	5(%)	(96)	R(%)
M. kansasii	<b>RIF</b>	$\leq$ 1		$\geq$ 2	1.0	16.0	26 (56.5)	0(0.0)	20 (43.5)
$(n = 46)$	<b>MXF</b>	$\leq$ 1	2	$\geq 4$	0.25	4.0	30(65.2)	10(21.7)	6(13.0)
	<b>CLR</b>	$\leq 8$	16	$\geq$ 32	16.0	$\geq$ 32	18 (39.1)	20 (43.5)	8(17.4)
	EMB	$\leq$ 2	4	$\geq 8$	4.0	16.0	24 (52.1)	8(17.4)	14 (30.4)
	AMK	$\leq 16$	32	$\geq 64$	1.0	4.0	46 (100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	<b>LZD</b>	$\leq 8$	16	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	1(2.2)	4(8.6)	41 (89.1)
	<b>INH</b>	$\leq 0.5$		$\geq 1$	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	1(2.2)	0(0.0)	45 (97.8)
	<b>CFZ</b>		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\geq$ 1	$\geq 64$	$\geq 64$	12 (26.0)	0(0.0)	34 (73.9)
M. simiae	<b>RIF</b>	$\leq$ 1		$\geq$ 2	$\geq 16$	$\geq 16$	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	21 (100)
$(n = 21)$	<b>MXF</b>	$\leq$ 1	2	$\geq 4$	2.0	16.0	6(28.5)	5(23.8)	10 (47.6)
	<b>CLR</b>	$\leq 8$	16	$\geq$ 32	16.0	$\geq$ 32	4(19.0)	12(57.1)	5(23.8)
	EMB	$\leq$ 2	4	$\geq 8$	16.0	16.0	10 (47.6)	0(0.0)	11 (52.4)
	AMK	$\leq 16$	32	$\geq 64$	1.0	4.0	19 (90.4)	0(0.0)	2(9.5)
	LZD	$\leq 8$	16	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	21 (100)
	<b>INH</b>	$\leq 0.5$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\geq$ 1	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	21 (100)
	<b>CFZ</b>		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\geq$ 1	$\geq 64$	$\geq 64$	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	21 (100)
<b>MAC</b>	<b>RIF</b>	$\leq$ 1		$\geq$ 2	4.0	16.0	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	10 (100)
$(n = 10)$	<b>MXF</b>	$\leq 1$	2	$\geq 4$	$\leq 0.25$	2.0	7(70.0)	2(20.0)	1(10.0)
	<b>CLR</b>	$\leq 8$	16	$\geq$ 32	8.0	16.0	7(70.0)	2(20.0)	1(10.0)
	EMB	$\leq 2$	4	$\geq 8$	2.0	8.0	6(60.0)	1(10.0)	3(30.0)
	AMK	$\leq 16$	32	$\geq 64$	$\leq 0.5$	4	10 (100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	<b>LZD</b>	$\leq 8$	16	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	10 (100)
	<b>INH</b>	$\leq 0.5$		$\geq$ 1	$\geq$ 32	$\geq$ 32	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	10 (100)
	<b>CFZ</b>			$\geq$ 1	16.0	$\geq 64$	3(30)	0(0.0)	7(70)
<b>Total resistant</b>		<b>RIF</b>	<b>MXF</b>	<b>CLR</b>	EMB	AMK	LZD	CFZ	<b>INH</b>
n(%)		51 (66.2)	17 (22.1)	14 (18.2)	26 (33.8)	2(2.6)	76 (98.7)	68 (88.3)	58 (75.3)

S: susceptible; I: intermediate; R: resistant; RIF: rifampin; MXF: moxifloxacin; CLR: clarithromycin; EMB: ethambutol; AMK: amikacin; LZD: linezolid; CFZ: clofazimine; INH: isoniazid.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 4.** The MIC (µg/ml) ranges of different antibiotics in various slowly growing mycobacterial species.

Antibiotics	<b>RIF</b>	<b>MXF</b>	<b>CLR</b>	EMB	AMK	LZD	<b>CFZ</b>	<b>INH</b>
M. kansasii	$0.125 \geq 3(6.5)$	$0.25 \ge 24(52.1)$	$0.5 \geq$ : 11(23.9)	$0.125 \ge 2(4.3)$	$0.5 \ge$ : 14 (21.7)	$0.5 \geq 1$ (2.1)	$2 \ge 12(26.0)$	$0.5 \geq$ : 1 (2.1)
MIC: n (%)	0.25:7(15.2)	0.5:2(4.3)	1:1(2.1)	0.25:2(4.3)	1: 23(50)	16≤:45	8:4(8.6)	1:2(4.3)
	1: 16(34.7)	1:4(8.6)	4:2(4.3)	0.5:2(4.3)	2:2(4.3)	(97.8)	$64 \leq 30(65.2)$	2: 11(23.9)
	2: 12(26.0)	2: 10(21.7)	8:4(8.6)	1: 9(19.5)	4:7(15.2)			4:3(6.5)
	4:1(2.1)	4:2(4.3)	16: 20 (43.4)	2:7(15.2)				8:4(8.6)
	8:1(2.1)	16: 4(8.6)	$32 \leq 8(17.3)$	4:10(21.7)				16:8(17.3)
	$16 \leq$ : 6 (13.0)			16: 14 (30.4)				32≥: 17
								(36.9)
M. simiae	16	$0.25 \geq$ : 4 (19.0)	$0.5 \ge 2(9.5)$	0.125:1(4.7)	1: 18(85.7)	16<	64:6(28.5)	32<
MIC: n (%)		1:2(9.5)	8:2(9.5)	1:2(9.5)	4: 1 $(4.7)$		64 < 15	
		2: 5(23.8)	16: 12(57.1)	2:7(33.3)	$32 \leq$ : 2 (9.5)		(71.4)	
		16: 10(47.6)	$32 \le : 5(23.8)$	16: 11 (52.3)				
MAC	2: 4(40)	$0.25 \geq 6(60)$	$0.5 \ge 2(20)$	$0.125 \ge 1(10)$	$0.5 \geq$ : 6 (60)	16<	$2 \geq$ : 3 (30)	2:1(10)
MIC: n (%)	4:1(10)	1:1(10)	4:2(20)	0.5:1(10)	1:1(10)		4:1(10)	4:1(10)
	8:1(10)	2:2(20)	8:3(30)	2:4(40)	2:1(10)		16: 4(40)	$32 \geq 8(80)$
	16≤: 4(40)	16≤:1(10)	16:2(20)	4:1(10)	4:2(20)		$64 \le 2(20)$	
			$32 \le$ : 1 (10)	8:2(20)				
				16:1(10)				

MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; RIF: rifampin; MXF: moxifloxacin; CLR: clarithromycin; EMB: ethambutol; AMK: amikacin; LZD: linezolid; CFZ: clofazimine; INH: isoniazid; MAC: *Mycobacterium avium* complex.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) in-vitro is the first step in predicting the success or failure of a new antibiotic medication. These assays assess the

<span id="page-5-2"></span>

SGM species Antibiotics Phenotypic resistance n (%) Genotypic resistance determinants Without mutation n (%) With mutation n (%) *M. kansasii*  **(n = 46)** RIF 20 (43.5) 17 (85.0) 3 (15.0%)<br>MXF 6 (13.0) 0 (0.0) 6 (100.0) MXF 6 (13.0) 0 (0.0) 6 (100.0)<br>CLR 8 (17.4) 0 (0.0) 8 (100.0) CLR 8 (17.4) 0 (0.0) 8 (100.0)<br>CLR 5 (23.8) 0 (0.0) 5 (100.0) *M. simiae* (n = 21) **MAC (n = 10)** CLR 1 (10.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0)

RIF: rifampin; MXF: moxifloxacin; CLR: clarithromycin; MAC: *Mycobacterium avium* complex; SGM: slowly growing mycobacteria.

responsiveness of isolated organisms to a specific antibiotic medication. They are generally inexpensive and rapid, as well as simple to duplicate and scale. The CLSI has developed the most commonly acknowledged technique for AST of NTMs, which has suggested the use of microdilution as the gold standard

<span id="page-5-3"></span>



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 7.** Gene mutations in Moxifloxacin-resistant isolates.

<b>Isolates</b>	Gene	Nucleotide (codon)	<b>Mutation</b>	Amino acid change	N of mutants
M. kansasii	gyrA	238,239 (80)	$ACG \rightarrow GGG$	Threonine $\rightarrow$ Glycine	
		247,249 (83)	$\overline{AAC} \rightarrow \overline{CAA}$	Asparagine $\rightarrow$ Glutamine	
		257,258 (86)	$CCG \rightarrow \overline{CGA}$	Proline $\rightarrow$ Arginine	
		260,261 (87)	$CAC \rightarrow CGG$	Histidine $\rightarrow$ Arginine	
	gyrB	2449,2450 (817)	$G\overline{CG} \rightarrow T\overline{GG}$	Alanine	4
				$\rightarrow$ Tryptophan	
		2452 (818)	$CTC \rightarrow TTC$	Leucine	
				$\rightarrow$ Phenylalanine	
		602,603 (201)	$AAC \rightarrow ACG$	Asparagine $\rightarrow$ Threonine	
		950 (317)	$GAA \rightarrow CGA$	Glutamic acid $\rightarrow$ Glycine	
		1332 (444)	$GAC \rightarrow GAG$	Aspartic acid→Glutamic acid	
		1339 (447)	$TCG \rightarrow ACG$	Serine $\rightarrow$ Theronine	
		1390,1392 (464)	$GGC \rightarrow TGT$	Glycine $\rightarrow$ Cysteine	
		1406 (469)	$AG\overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{A} \overline{AC}$	Serine $\rightarrow$ Asparagine	
		1594 (532)	$GAC \rightarrow AAC$	Aspartic acid $\rightarrow$ Asparagine	

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 8.** Gene mutations in clarithromycin-resistant isolates in *rrl* gene.

<b>Isolates</b>	<b>Nucleotide</b>	<b>Mutation</b>	Amino acid change	N of mutants
M. kansasii	2058	A→C		4
	2058	$A \rightarrow T$		3
	2059	$A \rightarrow G$		
	2266	$A \rightarrow C$		8
MAC	2058	$A \rightarrow G$		
	2059	$A \rightarrow G$		
	2212	$C \rightarrow A$		
	2419	$A \rightarrow C$		
M. simiae	22	$C \rightarrow T$		2
	25	$C \rightarrow T$		3
	143	$T \rightarrow C$		5
	250	$A \rightarrow T$		5
	261	$G \rightarrow T$		5
	293	$C \rightarrow G$		5
	351	$T \rightarrow C$		5
	477	$C \rightarrow T$		5
	884	$C \rightarrow T$		4

for determining antibacterial susceptibilities. Other techniques for assessing antimicrobial effectiveness against NTMs are not advised. For example, whereas the proportion method is regularly employed for M. tuberculosis, it frequently gives misleading findings for NTMs; while the epsilometer test is quick and easy, it suffers from lack of repeatability and exaggeration of drug sensitivity as measured by other procedures owing to ellipse tailing; moreover, the agar disk diffusion technique has an inherent problem in interpreting zones of inhibition, particularly when the quantity of drug in the disk is near the drug's breakpoint [[7\]](#page-9-8). In study of Krishnan et al. [\[23\]](#page-9-22) MICs of CLR and RIF for 10 MAC strains using the MGIT system and BACTEC 460TB system methods were lower than present findings.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>The role of in vitro susceptibility testing for NTM infections is debatable. This is primarily due to the unpredictability of the correlation between in vitro and clinical outcomes: correlation is especially poor for *M. simiae*, while it is reasonably satisfactory for *M. kansasii*, and for other species, such as MAC, the correlation holds valid only for particular medications like as macrolides, and not for others. This disparity is

<span id="page-6-3"></span>most likely the result of multiple causes, ranging from strain selection and testing settings to the absence of host effects in the assays [[24\]](#page-9-23). There are also practical aspects to take into account. An essential characteristic of NTM is that their cell walls are intrinsically hydrophobic; Rather of remaining in the aqueous solution, they typically cling to the surface of the individual wells in the 96-well plates. As a result, just measuring the turbidity of cell suspension might produce inaccurate findings that differ from those obtained from cells present in surface-attached biofilms [[25](#page-9-24)]. Although microdilution remains the most accepted in vitro technique, it does have important weaknesses: a lengthy testing period, the possibility of cross-contamination, a many reagents, the potential of false positives owing to extensive incubation durations, etc [[26](#page-9-25)].

<span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span>This study investigated the susceptibility patterns of 77 SGM isolates against eight most commonly used antibiotics. Among the studied antimicrobials, the AMK with susceptibility rate of 97.4% was the most effective antibiotic against SGM isolates. In a study by Park et al. [\[10](#page-9-9)] from Korea, although AMK was one of the most effective antibiotics against NTM, its resistance (23.3%) was much higher than the current study (2.6%). In this study, all *M. kansasii* and MAC isolates, and 90.4% of *M. simiae* species were susceptible to AMK. Almost close results were reported by Liu et al. [[27\]](#page-9-26) from China and Wetzstein et al. [\[28\]](#page-9-27) from Germany.

<span id="page-6-8"></span><span id="page-6-7"></span><span id="page-6-6"></span>Another finding of this study, was the resistance of more than 80% of the SGM isolates to each of the LZD and INH antibiotics. These results were in good agreement with the previous reports from China [[27](#page-9-26)], Iran [\[22\]](#page-9-21), and Ghana [\[29\]](#page-9-28) that reported a high resistance rate for LZD and INH in some SGM isolates. In our study, all *M. simiae* and MAC isolates, and 89.1% of *M. kansasii* strains were resistant to LZD. Also, all *M. simiae* and MAC isolates, and 97.8% of *M. kansasii*, isolates were resistant to INH. In parallel with the current findings, Heidarieh et al. [[30](#page-9-29)] from Iran, reported 100% and 90% resistance

rates for INH and LZD in *M. simiae* isolates, respectively. However, the *M. kansasii* isolates of their study, were completely susceptible to LZD and INH that was differ from our results. Although the INH antibiotic is part of the treatment regimen for *M. kansasii*, the CLSI does not recommend the reporting the result of sensitivity test for this drug in NTM species. Because its MIC values are not commensurate with the findings observed in the clinical response [[31](#page-10-0)].

<span id="page-7-0"></span>CFZ is presently solely registered for leprosy treatment. However, it is also used for the treatment of MDR-TB and in some cases for NTM species [[32](#page-10-1)]. The previous and new editions of CLSI sources do not specify a defined breakpoint for the CFZ. In the current research, most strains had MIC greater than 64 μg/ml, which was higher than expected. This finding was inconsistent with research conducted by Luo et al. [[32](#page-10-1)] who revealed that CFZ had strong effects on most SGM species *in vitro*. One of the reasons for the discrepancy in these results, is due to lack of widely accepted method or breakpoint for susceptibility testing for various NTM species, and several investigations used different breakpoints for NTM strains [\[10,](#page-9-9)[18](#page-9-17),[27](#page-9-26)].

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Another drug of choice recommended for the treatment of MAC infections by ATS/IDSA [\[13](#page-9-12)], is EMB. The results showed that 60% of MAC, 52.1% of *M. kansasii*  and 47.6% of *M. simiae* isolates were susceptible to EMB. Previous study by Zhou et al. [\[33](#page-10-2)] from China, reported more resistance rates for EMB against SGM isolates, showing the variability of resistance in different geographical regions.

In the current study, all *M. simiae* and MAC, and 43.5% of *M. kansasii* isolates were resistant to RIF, another recommended drug for combination therapy of NTM infections. In comparison with the current results, Heidarieh et al. [[30](#page-9-29)] from Iran, reported lower (77%) and almost equal (50%) resistance rates for *M. simiae* and *M. kansasii* isolates, respectively. However, Park et al. [\[10\]](#page-9-9) from Korea, reported a low resistance rate (12.7%) for RIF in NTM isolates that was inconsistent with the current study. This discrepancy may be due to the different sample sources of two studies.

The most remarkable result to emerge from the current study, was the high efficacy of MXF and CLR toward high proportion of SGM isolates. MXF and CLR with low resistance rates were the most effective antibiotics after AMK. These findings approved the argue of some specialists suggesting a macrolide-based regimen combined with quinolones (preferred MXF rather than ciprofloxacin) and AMK for effective therapy of MDR NTM infections particularly, *M. simiae* [[27](#page-9-26)]. The low resistance rate of MXF concurred well with the previous report from Korea [[10](#page-9-9)].

<span id="page-7-2"></span>In this study, 81.8% of studied isolates were MDR. All MAC and *M. simiae* isolates, and 69.6% of *M. kansasii*  strains showed these criteria. However, these findings need to be interpreted with caution, because some of the tested antibiotics did not have a defined breakpoint for SGM species by CLSI [\[17](#page-9-16)]. Previous reports from Korea [\[10](#page-9-9)], Iran [[22\]](#page-9-21), Ghana [\[29\]](#page-9-28), and China [\[33](#page-10-2)], indicated the circulation of MDR-NTM isolates in environment and health-care systems. The comparison of resistance profiles of SGM isolates showed that the *M. simiae* had a more potential to resist the antibiotics than MAC and *M. kansasii*, as previous researches from Iran reported similar results [[22,](#page-9-21)[30](#page-9-29)]. One of the reasons that can justify this phenomenon, is the genetic changes that have made bacteria predispose to antibiotic resistance in the last decades, which should be revealed through modern technologies, such as whole-genome sequencing. The different antibiotic patterns of NTM in various geographic regions emphasize the necessity for AST prior to treatment. The correct NTM species identification and a proper therapy regimen can help a lot psychologically and reduce the cost of treatment for patients. Also, the NTM species determination may help in selecting the suitable treatment algorithm. This algorithm may vary depending on the clinical manifestations of the infection. For example, in the MAC pulmonary infection, the treatment criteria are different and depends on the presence or absence of cavitary disease. In patients with MAC cavitary disease, a daily treatment regimen of 3 drugs (azithromycin, ethambutol, and rifampin) is prescribed. Patients may also need 2 months of intravenous amikacin if the disease has progressed. However, studies have demonstrated that a thrice-weekly regimen containing three drugs is equally effective and better tolerated than daily treatment for patients without MAC cavitary infection [[34\]](#page-10-3).

<span id="page-7-3"></span>The genetic origin of drug resistance in SGM has been very rarely investigated, and there is little evidence in this regard, particularly in Iran. In this research, we examined the association among the mutations in *rrl, gyrA/B*, and *rpoB* genes with CLR, MXF, and RIF resistance in *M. kansasii* isolates. Also, the association of *rrl* mutations with CLR resistance was investigated in MAC and *M. simiae* isolates.

The methylation of domain V of the 23S rRNA via erythromycin ribosomal methylase (erm) and the point mutations in the peptidyl transferase domain of the 23S rRNA (*rrl*) gene at certain locations are two main mechanisms of macrolides resistance in NTM species [[10\]](#page-9-9). In CLR-resistant strains  $(\geq 32 \mu g/ml)$ , 3 mutation types in *M. kansasii* and 4 mutation types in MAC isolates were found in different positions that may be related with resistance to this antibiotic ([Table 8](#page-6-0)). The current observed mutation types including 2058, 2059, 2212, 2266, and 2419 in the *rrl* gene

<span id="page-8-0"></span>of *M. kansasii* and MAC isolates have also been reported in the previous studies from Korea [[10\]](#page-9-9), Poland [[20\]](#page-9-19), and Germany [\[28](#page-9-27)]. Our experiment confirmed that acquired CLR resistance may be related with mutation types at positions 2058/ 2059 of the *rrl* gene [[35](#page-10-4)]. Also, the CLR-resistant *M. simiae* isolates showed various mutation types in *rrl* gene. To the best of our knowledge, there is no adequate information about the macrolide resistance mechanisms in *M. simiae* isolates in the world. The only previous study that investigated the association of mutation in some resistance genes and antibiotic resistance in *M. simiae*, was a case report by Lotfi et al. [[36\]](#page-10-5) from Iran. They reported a deletion of adenine at position 217 in the *rrl* gene of a MDR *M. simiae* isolate in a 65-year -old woman with respiratory infection.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>The role of *gyrA* and/or *gyrB* mutations is unclear in fluoroquinolone (FQ) resistant NTM species and rare studies have investigated this association. FQ resistance may be related to mutations in the same codons that occurred in FQ-resistant *M. tuberculosis* (83 in *gyrA*, 447 and 464 in *gyrB*) [[37\]](#page-10-6). In the present study, mutations in these three codons have also been observed in MXF-resistant *M. kansasii* ([Table 7\)](#page-6-1). In contrast to our findings, Bakula et al. [[20](#page-9-19)] from Poland, reported no mutations in the *gyrA* and *gyrB* genes of 17 ciprofloxacin-resistant *M. kansasii* isolates indicating the existence of other mechanisms involved in FQresistance.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Six mutation types were detected at positions 1249, 1356, 1407, 1479, 1533, and 1536 of the 350 bp fragment of *rpoB* gene in 3 RIF-resistant *M. kansasii* isolates. Also, the *rpoB* gene sequencing revealed no mutations in 85% of RIF-resistant *M. kansasii* isolates that suggesting the contribution of other different factors in this resistance. Some of these factors including lipid-rich cell wall [[37\]](#page-10-6), enzymes involved in cell wall integrity (MurA, MurB, Ldt, PonA1, and PonA2) [\[11](#page-9-10)], and efflux pumps systems [[20\]](#page-9-19). There were few studies in this field to be able to fully compare the results of this study with them. In a recent study by Huh et al. [[12](#page-9-11)], silent mutations outside the RIF resistance-determining region (RRDR) in the *rpoB* gene were found in RIF-resistant NTM strains, suggesting that these regions should also be examined for RIF resistance.

<span id="page-8-3"></span>Currently, unlike TB, there is no specific control program for NTM infections that is institutionalized in many countries including Iran. NTM diseases are frequently misdiagnosed as TB in many cases because mycobacterium species are often not identified due to the lack of inadequate laboratory facilities [38](#page-10-7). Based on our findings, we believe that NTM infections may be a major factor in lung

<span id="page-8-4"></span>disease in our region, therefore, it is imperative that NTM infection be taken into account in TB control programs. Furthermore, due to the lack of a standard for defining and reporting NTM infections, they have not received adequate public health attention [[39](#page-10-8)]. Hence, for assessing the efficacy of previous chemotherapy regimens and for detecting errors in past treatments, continual surveillance of the resistance patterns of NTM is recommended.

The main limitation of this study was the lack of complete demographic, clinical, and radiographic data for all patients. For this reason, we could not estimate the true prevalence of each isolate. Failure to perform sequencing for all resistant isolates due to financial constraints was another limitation of the present study.

#### **Conclusions**

This study revealed that AMK, MXF, and CLR are the most effective antibiotics against SGM isolates *in vitro*. The majority of SGM isolates showed MDR profiles and *M. simiae* comprised a more resistance capability compared to other SGM. Based on the results, there may be a need to perform the antibiotic susceptibility testing before starting treatment for NTM infections in Iran. Although the current study showed an association among resistance to the CLR, MXF, and RIF with mutations in the relevant genes, our knowledge of other antibiotic resistance mechanisms in SGM isolates is still insufficient. Further research, including the sequencing technology is needed to provide a clearer insight into the molecular origins of drug resistance in SGM isolates.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was part of M. Sc. thesis for Sousan Akrami, which was approved in Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. The authors would like to thank Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran, for providing financial support for this research.

### **Author contributions**

Azar Dokht Khosravi: Supervision; Writing – review & editing of manuscript, Data validation

Mohammad Hashemzadeh: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Resources

Sousan Akrami: Data curation; Investigation; Methodology; Validation; Writing – original draft.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

### **Funding**

This work was supported by the research affairs of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran under Grant number [OG-9906].

#### **Data Availability**

All relevant data are within the manuscript.

### **ORCID**

Sousan Akrami http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6643-140X Azar Dokht khosravi **in** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7852-6868

#### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] Lagune M, Petit C, Sotomayor FV, et al. Conserved and specialized functions of type VII secretion systems in non-tuberculous mycobacteria. Microbiology. [2021;](#page-0-2)167(7):001054.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[2] Gopalaswamy R, Shanmugam S, Mondal R, et al. Of tuberculosis and non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections–a comparative analysis of epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment. J Biomed Sci. [2020](#page-0-2);27(1):1–7.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[3] Nasiri MJ, Dabiri H, Darban-Sarokhalil D, et al. Prevalence of non-tuberculosis mycobacterial infections among tuberculosis suspects in Iran: systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS One. [2015;](#page-0-3)10(6):e0129073.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[4] Nasiri MJ, Dabiri H, Fooladi AAI, et al. High rates of nontuberculous mycobacteria isolation from patients with presumptive tuberculosis in Iran. New Microbes New Infect. [2018](#page-0-4);21:12–17.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[5] Hashemi Shahraki A, Heidarieh P, Zaker Bostanabad S, et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis may be nontuberculous mycobacteria. Eur J Intern Med. [2015;](#page-0-5)26:279–284.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[6] Ratnatunga CN, Lutzky VP, Kupz A, et al. The rise of non-tuberculosis mycobacterial lung disease. Front Immunol. [2020](#page-0-6);11:303.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[7] Saxena S, Spaink HP, Forn-Cuní G. Drug resistance in nontuberculous mycobacteria: mechanisms and models. Biology (Basel). [2021;](#page-1-0)10(2):96.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[8] Bento CM, Gomes MS, Silva T. Looking beyond typical treatments for atypical mycobacteria. Antibiotics (Basel). [2020;](#page-0-7)9(1):18.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[9] Haworth CS, Banks J, Capstick T, et al. British Thoracic Society guidelines for the management of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease (NTM-PD). Thorax. [2017;](#page-0-7)72(Suppl 2):ii1–64.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[10] Park H-E, Kim S, Shim S, et al. 16S and 23S rRNA gene mutation independent multidrug resistance of non-tuberculous mycobacteria isolated from South Korean Soil. Microorganisms. [2020;](#page-1-1)8(8):1114.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[11] Nasiri MJ, Haeili M, Ghazi M, et al. New insights in to the intrinsic and acquired drug resistance mechanisms in mycobacteria. Front Microbiol. [2017;](#page-1-0)8:681.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[12] Huh HJ, Kim S-Y, Jhun BW, et al. Recent advances in molecular diagnostics and understanding mechanisms of drug resistance in nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases. Infect Genet Evol. [2019](#page-1-1);72:169–182.
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[13] Daley CL, Jaccarino JM, Lange C, et al. Treatment of nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease: an official ATS/ERS/ESCMID/IDSA clinical practice guideline. Clin Infect Dis. [2020;](#page-1-2)71(4):e1–36.
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[14] Mohammadi S, Esfahani BN, Moghim S, et al. Optimal DNA isolation method for detection of nontuberculous mycobacteria by polymerase chain reaction. Adv Biomed Res. [2017](#page-1-3);6:133.
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[15] Dai J, Chen Y, Dean S, et al. Multiple-genome comparison reveals new loci for mycobacterium species identification. J Clin Microbiol. [2011;](#page-1-4)49 (1):144–153.
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[16] Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, et al. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol. [2011;](#page-2-1)28 (10):2731–2739.
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[17] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Susceptibility testing of mycobacteria, Nocardia spp., and other aerobic actinomycetes. In: CLSI standard document M24-A2. 2rd ed. Wayne PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; [2011](#page-2-2) 20–25. .
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[18] Pang H, Jiang Y, Wan K. Drug susceptibility of 33 reference strains of slowly growing mycobacteria to 19 antimicrobial agents. BioMed Res Int. [2017;](#page-2-3)2017:1584658.
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[19] Araj GF, Baba OZ, Itani LY, et al. Non-tuberculous mycobacteria profiles and their anti-mycobacterial resistance at a major medical center in Lebanon. J Infect Dev Ctries. [2019](#page-2-3);13(7):612–618.
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[20] Bakuła Z, Modrzejewska M, Pennings L, et al. Drug susceptibility profiling and genetic determinants of drug resistance in *mycobacterium kansasii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. [2018](#page-2-4);62(4):e01788–17.
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[21] Shen Y, Wang X, Jin J, et al. In vitro susceptibility of mycobacterium abscessus and *mycobacterium fortuitum* isolates to 30 antibiotics. BioMed Res Int. [2018;](#page-4-1)2018:4902941.
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[22] Karami-Zarandi M, Bahador A, Gizaw Feysia S, et al. Identification of Non-Tuberculosis Mycobacteria by Line Probe Assay and Determination of Drug Resistance Patterns of Isolates in Iranian Patients. Arch Razi Inst. [2019](#page-4-2);74(4):375–384.
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>[23] Krishnan MY, Manning EJ, Collins MT. Comparison of three methods for susceptibility testing of *mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis to 11 antimicrobial drugs. J Antimicrob Chemother. [2009](#page-6-2);64(2):310–316.
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>[24] Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, et al. An official ATS/IDSA statement: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. [2007](#page-6-3);175(4):367–416.
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>[25] Falkinham JO. Challenges of NTM drug development. Front Microbiol. [2018](#page-6-4);9:1613.
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>[26] Khan ZA, Siddiqui MF, Park S. Current and emerging methods of antibiotic susceptibility testing. Diagnostics (Basel). [2019;](#page-6-5)9(2):49.
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>[27] Liu CF, Song YM, He WC, et al. Nontuberculous mycobacteria in China: incidence and antimicrobial resistance spectrum from a nationwide survey. Infect Dis Poverty. [2021;](#page-6-6)10:59.
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>[28] Wetzstein N, Kohl TA, Andres S, et al. Comparative analysis of phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic susceptibility patterns in *mycobacterium avium* complex. Int J Infect Dis. [2020;](#page-6-7)93:320–328.
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>[29] Otchere ID, Asante-Poku A, Osei-Wusu S, et al. Isolation and characterization of nontuberculous mycobacteria from patients with pulmonary tuberculosis in Ghana. Int J Mycobacteriol. [2017;](#page-6-6)6(1):70–75.
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>[30] Heidarieh P, Mirsaeidi M, Hashemzadeh M, et al. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of nontuberculous mycobacteria in Iran. Microb Drug Resist. [2016;](#page-6-8)22 (2):172–178.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[31] Brown-Elliott BA, Woods GL. Antimycobacterial susceptibility testing of nontuberculous mycobacteria. J Clin Microbiol. [2019;](#page-7-0)57(10):e00834–19.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[32] Luo J, Yu X, Jiang G, et al. In vitro activity of CFZ against nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated in Beijing, China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. [2018](#page-7-1);62(7): e00072–18.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[33] Zhou W, Zhao H, Yuan H, et al. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of non-tuberculous mycobacteria isolated from sputum samples of patients with pulmonary infections in China. Jundishapur J Microbiol. [2021;](#page-7-2)14(1):e109676.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[34] Daley CL, Winthrop KL. *Mycobacterium avium* complex: addressing gaps in diagnosis and management. J Infect Dis. [2020](#page-7-3);222(Supplement\_4):S199–211.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[35] Huh HJ, Kim SY, Shim HJ, et al. GenoType NTM-DR performance evaluation for identification of *mycobacterium avium* complex and *mycobacterium*

*abscessus* and determination of clarithromycin and amikacin resistance. J Clin Microbiol. [2019](#page-8-0);57(8): e00516–19.

- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[36] Lotfi H, Aryan E, Sankian M, et al. A case of multidrug-resistant *mycobacterium simiae* in an elderly woman. Respirol Case Rep. [2021;](#page-8-1)9(3):e00715.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[37] De Moura VC, Da Silva MG, Gomes KM, et al. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of quinolone resistance in *mycobacterium abscessus subsp. bolletii* recovered from postsurgical infections. J Med Microbiol. [2012](#page-8-2);61(1):115–125.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[38] Rindi L. Efflux pump inhibitors against nontuberculous mycobacteria. Int J Mol Sci. [2020](#page-8-3);21(12):4191 .
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[39] Hoza AS, Mfinanga SG, Rodloff AC, et al. Increased isolation of nontuberculous mycobacteria among TB suspects in Northeastern, Tanzania: public health and diagnostic implications for control programmes. BMC Res Notes. [2016](#page-8-4);9:109.