#### RESEARCH PAPER

Check for updates

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

# **An intrinsically disordered protein region encoded by the human disease gene**  *CLEC16A* **regulates mitophagy**

Morgan A. Gingerich<sup>[a,b](#page-0-0)</sup>, Xueying Liu<sup>[a](#page-0-0)[,c](#page-0-1)</sup>, Biaoxin Chai<sup>a</sup>, Gemma L. Pearson<sup>a</sup>, Michael P. Vincent<sup>[d](#page-0-2),e</sup>, T[ra](#page-0-0)cy Stromer<sup>a</sup>, Jie Zhu<sup>[a](#page-0-0)</sup>, Vaibhav Sidarala<sup>a</sup>, Aaron Renberg<sup>a</sup>, Debashish Sahu<sup>[f](#page-0-4)</sup>, Daniel J. Klionsky @9, Santia[g](#page-0-4)o Schnell<sup>d[,e](#page-0-3)</sup>, and Scott A. Soleimanpou[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6777-4498)  $\mathbf{P}^{a,d,h}$  $\mathbf{P}^{a,d,h}$  $\mathbf{P}^{a,d,h}$  $\mathbf{P}^{a,d,h}$  $\mathbf{P}^{a,d,h}$  $\mathbf{P}^{a,d,h}$ 

<span id="page-0-4"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology & Diabetes, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>b</sup>Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; c Department of Cardiology, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; <sup>d</sup>Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>e</sup>Department of Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; 'BioNMR Core Facility, Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>9</sup>Life Sciences Institute and Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>h</sup>Medicine Service, Endocrinology and Metabolism Section, VA Ann Arbor Health Care System, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

#### <span id="page-0-5"></span>**ABSTRACT**

CLEC16A regulates mitochondrial health through mitophagy and is associated with over 20 human diseases. However, the key structural and functional regions of CLEC16A, and their relevance for human disease, remain unknown. Here, we report that a disease-associated CLEC16A variant lacks a C-terminal intrinsically disordered protein region (IDPR) that is critical for mitochondrial quality control. IDPRs comprise nearly half of the human proteome, yet their mechanistic roles in human disease are poorly understood. Using carbon detect NMR, we find that the CLEC16A C terminus lacks secondary structure, validating the presence of an IDPR. Loss of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR *in vivo*  impairs mitophagy, mitochondrial function, and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, ultimately causing glucose intolerance. Deletion of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR increases CLEC16A ubiquitination and degradation, thus impairing assembly of the mitophagy regulatory machinery. Importantly, CLEC16A stability is dependent on proline bias within the C-terminal IDPR, but not amino acid sequence order or charge. Together, we elucidate how an IDPR in CLEC16A regulates mitophagy and implicate pathogenic human gene variants that disrupt IDPRs as novel contributors to diabetes and other CLEC16A-associated diseases.

**Abbreviations :** CAS: carbon-detect amino-acid specific; IDPR: intrinsically disordered protein region; MEFs: mouse embryonic fibroblasts; NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance.

# **Introduction**

<span id="page-0-9"></span><span id="page-0-8"></span><span id="page-0-6"></span>*CLEC16A* (C-type lectin domain containing 16A) is a gene associated with nearly 20 human diseases, including type 1 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and multiple sclerosis [\[1](#page-16-0)[–5\]](#page-16-1). *CLEC16A*  regulates mitochondrial quality control by clearing damaged or aged mitochondria through a type of selective autophagy, termed mitophagy [[6](#page-16-2),[7](#page-16-3)]. CLEC16A forms and stabilizes a tripartite mitophagy complex with the E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF41/Nrdp1 and the deubiquitinase USP8, which together regulate mitophagic flux by controlling the activity of the mitophagy effector PRKN/Parkin [\[8](#page-16-4)[,9\]](#page-16-5). While CLEC16A is designated as a C-type lectin, it does not contain a C-type lectin domain and was later found to be an E3 ubiquitin ligase, suggesting its name is a misnomer [[8\]](#page-16-4). Genetic or pharmacologic disruption of CLEC16A impairs mitochondrial autophagy and mitochondrial function in multiple cell types, which reduces insulin secretion in pancreatic β-cells, ultimately causing hyperglycemia and diabetes [[6,](#page-16-2)[8](#page-16-4)[–11\]](#page-17-0). Whereas CLEC16A has a welldefined role in regulating mitophagy, and has been characterized as an E3 ubiquitin ligase, validated structural and functional domains within CLEC16A have never been found. Thus, the critical structural and functional regions of CLEC16A, and their relevance to disease pathogenesis, are unknown.

<span id="page-0-10"></span>In humans, *CLEC16A* encodes two consensus isoforms generated by alternative splicing, a 24-exon full-length transcript, and a shorter 21-exon transcript variant that encodes a protein with a truncated C terminus. A single nucleotide polymorphism that is associated with increased expression of the shorter, C-terminal truncated CLEC16A isoform in the thymus is known to increase risk for both diabetes and multiple sclerosis [[12,](#page-17-1)[13](#page-17-2)]. Additionally, several *CLEC16A* diseaseassociated single nucleotide polymorphisms promote increased expression of the C-terminal deficient isoform in a variety of cell types, acting as splicing quantitative trait loci [\[14](#page-17-3)[,15\]](#page-17-4). These observations led us to hypothesize that the CLEC16A C terminus directly contributes to CLEC16A function and protection from disease.

<span id="page-0-11"></span>The C terminus of CLEC16A does not share homology to known protein domains; rather, it is suggested to be an

#### <span id="page-0-7"></span>CONTACT Scott A. Soleimanpour S ssol@med.umich.edu **D** Department of Internal Medicine and Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology & Diabetes, University of Michigan, Wall Street, Brehm Tower Room, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2022.2080383>

# **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 7 March 2022 Revised 11 May 2022 Accepted 17 May 2022

#### **KEYWORDS**

Diabetes; insulin; mitophagy; NMR; splicing

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>intrinsically disordered protein region (IDPR). IDPRs lack secondary structure and exist as an ensemble of flexible interconverting conformations [\[16](#page-17-5)[,17\]](#page-17-6). Although the roles of IDPRs have only recently begun to be explored, these domains support critical biological functions, including signal transduction, protein complex assembly, and protein stability [\[16](#page-17-5)[–20\]](#page-17-7). Disruption or dysregulation of IDPRs is associated with several human diseases, further suggesting their functional importance [\[21](#page-17-8)[–25\]](#page-17-9). However, the molecular mechanisms connecting loss of IDPRs to disease pathogenesis are poorly understood. Moreover, the genetic, functional, and physiological connections between disruption to IDPRs and diabetes pathogenesis are completely unknown.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>Here, we investigate how the putative CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR contributes to CLEC16A structure, function, and glucose homeostasis. We validated that the C-terminal region lost in the human *CLEC16A* disease variant is an IDPR using rigorous *in silico*, biochemical, and NMR-based approaches. The CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR was crucial for glucose homeostasis by promoting glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, mitochondrial function, and mitophagy in β-cells. Loss of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR reduced CLEC16A stability due to increased ubiquitination and degradation, which impaired assembly of the CLEC16A-RNF41/Nrdp1- USP8 mitophagy regulatory complex. Finally, we determined that the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR depends on its proline enrichment, but not primary amino acid sequence order or charge, to stabilize CLEC16A. Together, we define the molecular mechanisms by which a disrupted IDPR in a human *CLEC16A* disease variant destabilizes CLEC16A, impairs βcell mitophagy, and contributes to diabetes.

# **RESULTS**

# *The CLEC16A C terminus is an intrinsically disordered protein region*

<span id="page-1-3"></span>To understand the importance of the C-terminal region of CLEC16A that is lost in the disease variant, we first investigated the presence of conserved functional domains. Bioinformatic software was used to predict domains against the Pfam database of known functional protein domains [[26](#page-17-10)]. This analysis did not identify any conserved functional domains in the CLEC16A C terminus ([Figure 1A\)](#page-2-0) [[26](#page-17-10)]. CLEC16A does contain an N-terminal "FPL" domain [\(Figure 1A](#page-2-0)). However, the 150 amino acid "FPL" domain has no known function and is only found in CLEC16A orthologs. Of note, despite its known enzymatic activity as a ubiquitin ligase, CLEC16A also lacks a consensus E3 ligase domain [[8](#page-16-4)]. Interestingly, however, the CLEC16A C terminus was predicted to be an intrinsically disordered protein region (IDPR; [Figure 1A\)](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-1-4"></span>To further evaluate the presence of a putative IDPR within the CLEC16A C terminus, we calculated the mean disorder score from three independent disorder-prediction algorithms IUPRED2, Disprot VSL2B, and DISOPRED 3.1 [\[27](#page-17-11)[–29](#page-17-12)]. This analysis identified two conserved predicted IDPRs within human and mouse CLEC16A, including the C-terminal region that is lost in the CLEC16A disease variant [\(Figures 1B](#page-2-0) and S1).

As a complementary approach, we compared the amino acid composition of the mouse CLEC16A C terminus to that of validated IDPRs. Proline and serine are well-known disorderpromoting amino acids and are significantly enriched in the disordered protein database Disprot relative to the expected distribution of amino acids found in nature, approximated by the SwissProt database (Figure S2) [\[30](#page-17-13)[–32\]](#page-17-14). The CLEC16A C terminus is significantly enriched in the disorderpromoting amino acids proline and serine relative to the SwissProt Database [\(Figures 1C,D](#page-2-0)). Thus, several independent approaches predict the CLEC16A C terminus to be an IDPR.

<span id="page-1-6"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span>To validate the presence of an IDPR within the CLEC16A C terminus, we used both biochemical and biophysical techniques. IDPRs commonly exist in an extended conformation and are often enriched in charged residues, resulting in poor binding to sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and slower migration on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel [[33,](#page-17-15)[34](#page-17-16)]. While Flag epitope-tagged full-length CLEC16A and a C-terminal deficient CLEC16A mutant (CLEC16A ∆C) migrated at their expected molecular mass, a CLEC16A construct encoding only the C terminus migrated more slowly, at nearly double its expected molecular mass [\(Figure 1E](#page-2-0)). We next investigated CLEC16A C-terminal structure using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). In an IDPR, backbone hydrogen atoms do not participate in hydrogen bonds that generate secondary structure, and instead are found in a flexible extended conformation. Thus, all backbone hydrogen atoms in an IDPR are in a similar chemical environment and are tightly clustered in the hydrogen dimension of an <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N heteronuclear single quantum coherence/HSQC-NMR spectrum [[35](#page-17-17)]. The heteronuclear single quantum coherence-NMR spectrum of the CLEC16A C terminus was tightly clustered in the hydrogen dimension between 8–8.5 ppm, which strongly suggests that the CLEC16A C terminus is an IDPR ([Figure 1F](#page-2-0)).

<span id="page-1-7"></span>To complement our macroscopic view of the CLEC16A C terminus as an IDPR, we investigated structural features at the resolution of single amino acids using carbon-detect NMR. While we were unable to assign NMR resonance peaks to specific amino acids in the CLEC16A C terminus due to its repetitive sequence and complex spectrum, we instead were able to use carbon-detect amino-acid specific (CAS) experiments to assign amino acid types to NMR resonance peaks [\[35](#page-17-17)]. Using CAS, we assigned amino acid types to 52 resonance peaks within the putative CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR, with identified residue types distributed throughout the C terminus ([Figures 1D,G](#page-2-0)). The secondary structure character of assigned resonance peaks was measured as the difference between the offset of the chemical shift of <sup>13</sup>C-α and <sup>13</sup>C-β nuclei relative to that expected for each amino acid type in an IDPR ([Figure 1G\)](#page-2-0). Using this approach, stretches of values greater than or less than 2 ppm suggest α-helical or β-sheet character, respectively [\[35](#page-17-17)[,36](#page-17-18)]. Within the CLEC16A C-terminal fragment, only 4 of the 52 identified residues had character consistent with secondary structure, indicating that this region is highly disordered ([Figure 1G\)](#page-2-0). Together, our *in silico*, biochemical, and biophysical studies strongly support the hypothesis that the C-terminal region lost in the CLEC16A disease variant is an IDPR.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 1.** A human CLEC16A disease variant lacks a C-terminal IDPR. (A) Domain prediction of the human CLEC16A disease variant and human full-length CLEC16A generated by Pfam. The human CLEC16A disease variant is aligned beneath, and the shaded region represents sequence differing from human full-length CLEC16A. "FPL" is a domain of unknown function enriched in the amino acids phenylalanine (F), proline (P), and leucine (L). (B) Mean disorder score from IUPred 2, Disprot VSL2B, and DISOPRED 3.1 of full-length CLEC16A and the human CLEC16A disease variant. Putative disordered regions were identified with a probability threshold of >0.6. (C) Residue composition bias of the mouse CLEC16A C terminus generated with Composition Profiler, comparing residue enrichment of the CLEC16A C terminus vs Swiss-PROT 51 database. Significantly enriched serine (blue) and proline (pink) residues are highlighted. \* p < 0.05. (D) Mouse CLEC16A C terminus residues (AA 892–1050) are listed in FASTA format. Serine residues are highlighted in blue, and proline residues are in pink. (E) Representative western blot (WB) in HEK293T cells transfected with Flag-CLEC16A constructs (full-length CLEC16A, CLEC16A lacking the C terminus [CLEC16A ∆C, AA 1–892], or CLEC16A C terminus-only [AA 892– 1050]). VCL (vinculin) serves as a loading control. Expected molecular mass (MM) noted along top. Visualized molecular mass denoted by ladder. n = 3/group. (**F**) 1 H-<sup>15</sup>N HSQC spectra of recombinant CLEC16A C terminus (AA 892–1050). Spectra clusters near <sup>1</sup>H 8ppm, consistent with being an IDPR. (G) Assessment of secondary structure propensity of residue types identified by NMR. Secondary structure is shown as the difference between  $13C<sub>a</sub>$  and  $13C<sub>b</sub>$  secondary chemical shifts. Stretches of values > 2 ppm indicate α-helix, values < 2 ppm indicate β-sheet.

# *The CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR is required for glucose homeostasis and β-cell function*

After confirming that the C terminus of CLEC16A disrupted in the human disease variant is an IDPR, we questioned whether this region impacts the role of CLEC16A in glucose homeostasis and β-cell function. To study the function of the CLEC16A C terminus *in vivo*, we used a genetic mouse model lacking the CLEC16A C terminus. The *Clec16a* curly tail mutant (*Clec16a<sup>curt</sup>*) mouse carries a spontaneous four base-pair deletion within exon 22, leading to a frameshift mutation that is followed by alternatively translated residues and a premature stop codon [\(Figures 2A-C\)](#page-3-0) [\[37](#page-17-19)[–39](#page-17-20)]. The *Clec16a*curt mutant encodes a truncated protein lacking the C-terminal IDPR, which is highly similar to the CLEC16A disease variant [\(Figures 2A](#page-3-0) and S1). The truncated C-terminal deficient CLEC16A<sup>curt</sup> protein was detectable in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and pancreatic islets, albeit at lower levels than full

length wild-type (WT) CLEC16A [\(Figures 2D,E\)](#page-3-0). Additionally, antisera specifically recognizing the C terminus of CLEC16A were unable to detect the CLEC16A<sup>curt</sup> mutant protein [\(Figure 2F](#page-3-0)). *Clec16a*curt/curt mice were born at a normal Mendelian ratio and had reduced body weight, motor impairments, and early lethality around 6 months of age, similar to previous reports (data not shown [[37](#page-17-19)[–39\]](#page-17-20)). *Clec16a*<sup>curt/+</sup> heterozygous mice were indistinguishable from wild type littermates (data not shown).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>To investigate the impact of the CLEC16A C terminus on glucose homeostasis, we first performed an intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test. *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* mice were glucose intolerant compared to littermate controls [\(Figures 2G,H](#page-3-0)). Impaired glucose tolerance can result from reduced sensitivity of peripheral tissues to insulin or reduced insulin secretion in response to glucose. *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* mice had no reduction in insulin sensitivity during an insulin tolerance test [\(Figure 2I](#page-3-0)).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 2.** The CLEC16A C terminus maintains glucose homeostasis and β-cell function. (A) Schematic of the CLEC16Acurt protein and human CLEC16A disease variant. Shaded region represents sequence differing from full-length mouse CLEC16A. (B) Schematic of *Clec16a* locus, highlighting the 4-bp deletion within exon 22 of *Clec16a*<sup>curt</sup> mice. The *Mnll* recognition sequence is underl *Cleation Clect MnlI* recognition sequence is underlined. (C) Representative image of *MnlI* restriction digest following PCR amplification of genomic DNA containing *Clec16a* exon 22 as visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis. The *Clec16a*curt mutation eliminates the *MnlI* digestion site. n = 4/group. (D) Representative image of CLEC16A protein levels determined by WB in *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt MEFs utilizing CLEC16A antisera raised against an internal CLEC16A peptide. n = 3/group. (E) Representative image of CLEC16A protein levels in *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt isolated islets utilizing CLEC16A antisera raised against an internal CLEC16A peptide. n = 3/group. (F) Representative image of CLEC16A protein levels determined by WB in *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt MEFs utilizing CLEC16A antisera raised against a C-terminal CLEC16A peptide. ACTB serves as loading control. n = 3/group. (G) Blood glucose concentrations measured during an<br>intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) of 16-week-old C*lec16a<sup>*</sup> curve from IPGTT in Figure 2G. (I) Blood glucose concentrations during insulin tolerance test of 9-week-old *Clec16a*<sup>+/+</sup> and *Clec16a*<sup>curt/curt</sup> littermates. n = 3–4/group. (J) Serum insulin measured during *in vivo* glucose-stimulated insulin release in 11-week-old *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt littermates. n = 4–5/group. (K) Fold glucose-stimulated insulin secretion following static incubations in 1.67 mM and 16.7 mM glucose in isolated *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt islets from 11-week-old littermates.  $n = 6$ /group. \*p < 0.05 \*\*p < 0.01.

However, *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* mice secreted significantly less insulin in response to glucose stimulation both *in vivo* and in isolated islets [\(Figures 2J,K](#page-3-0)), which indicated that glucose intolerance was due to reduced β-cell insulin secretion. When compared to previous studies on β-cell or pancreasspecific *Clec16a* knockout mice, *Clec16a*curt/curt mice had a similar, yet more modest phenotype of impaired glucose homeostasis and insulin secretion [\[6,](#page-16-2)[8\]](#page-16-4), possibly due to the *Clec16a*curt mutation yielding a hypomorphic protein with

reduced but not absent function. These results suggest that the CLEC16A<sup>curt</sup> truncated protein was less functional than full-length WT CLEC16A, indicating that the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR is critical for optimal β-cell function.

We next evaluated whether reduced insulin secretion in *Clec16ac*urt/curt mice was due to reduced β-cell mass or insulin content. *Clec16a*curt/curt mice had no significant changes in βcell mass or pancreatic insulin content proportional to body weight or pancreas weight, respectively, despite reduced body weight in *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* mutants (Figure S3). Taken together, these studies indicate that the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR regulates glucose homeostasis through control of β-cell insulin secretion.

# *The CLEC16A C terminus regulates β-cell mitophagy*

<span id="page-4-1"></span>CLEC16A-mediated mitophagy maintains mitochondrial health and function in  $\beta$  cells, which is essential to fuel glucose-stimulated insulin secretion [[6,](#page-16-2)[40\]](#page-17-21). Thus, we next assessed how loss of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR impacted β-cell mitochondria, as impaired mitophagy can lead to an accumulation of dysfunctional and dysmorphic mitochondria that would otherwise have been selectively eliminated [\[6](#page-16-2)]. *Clec16a*curt/curt β-cells displayed dysmorphic mitochondria, characterized by disorganized cristae, when compared to littermate controls as visualized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM; [Figure 3A-B\)](#page-4-0). Consistent with these morphological defects, mitochondrial function was reduced

in isolated *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* islets, measured by glucosestimulated oxygen consumption rates ([Figure 3C](#page-4-0)). Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup> islets also had reduced FCCP-stimulated maximal oxygen consumption rates, while displaying no differences in glycolysis as measured by extracellular acidification rates, when compared to littermate controls (data not shown).

Reduced mitochondrial function may stem from the presence of dysfunctional mitochondria or from reduced mitochondrial mass. To assess mitochondrial mass, we measured levels of key mitochondrial membrane proteins and electron transport chain subunits as well as mitochondrial DNA levels. Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup> mice had no significant reductions in mitochondrial proteins or mitochondrial DNA content when compared to littermate controls (Figure S4A-C). We also measured expression of mitochondrial biogenesis markers *Ppargc1a/Pgc1*α and *Tfam* by qRT-PCR and found no significant differences in expression between groups (Figure S4D). Interestingly, expression of *Nrf1*, a mitochondrial biogenesis marker commonly induced following mitochondrial stress,



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 3.** The CLEC16A C terminus maintains β-cell mitochondrial function, morphology, and turnover. (A) Representative transmission electron microscopy (TEM)<br>images of β-cells in isolated islets from 11-week-old *Clec16* Quantification of β-cell mitochondrial structure from TEM images of *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt β-cells related to Figure 3A. Dashed line in violin plot represents median and solid lines represent quartiles. n = 206–236 images scored/group. (C) Relative oxygen consumption rate (OCR) in isolated *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt islets measured after exposure to low glucose (1.67 mM) and high glucose (16.7 mM). n = 12/group. (D) Representative MFN2 WB in isolated islets from *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16a*curt/curt mice following 250 nM valinomycin exposure for up to 6 h, quantified by densitometry (normalized to PPIB/cyclophilin B loading control, below). n = 4–5 mice/group. (E) Flow cytometric analysis of β-cells from dissociated islets of 12-week old *Clec16a*+/+;mtKeima and *Clec16a*curt/curt;mtKeima mice, indicating the relative distribution of β-cells with mitochondria in dominantly acidic or neutral compartments following exposure to 250 nM valinomycin or DMSO control for 3 h. n = 4/group.  $*p < 0.05$   $**p < 0.01$   $***p < 0.001$ .

<span id="page-5-1"></span>was increased in *Clec16a*<sup>curt/curt</sup> islets [[41,](#page-17-22)[42](#page-17-23)]. Collectively, these results demonstrate that *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* β-cells have reduced mitochondrial function that is not due to reduced mitochondrial mass.

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span>To determine whether loss of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR impairs mitophagy, we used several complementary approaches in primary islets and in MEFs. We examined key steps of mitophagy following mitochondrial damage, including turnover of outer mitochondrial membrane proteins, such as MFN2 (mitofusin 2), and clearance of damaged mitochondria by targeting to the lysosome [\[43\]](#page-17-24). We observed impaired MFN2 turnover in isolated *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* islets following exposure to the mitochondrial ionophore valinomycin, which is suggestive of impaired mitophagy flux [\(Figure 3D](#page-4-0) and S4E). Next, we intercrossed the mt-Keima mitophagy reporter model with *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* mice. Mt-Keima mice express a fluorescently labeled pH-sensitive mitochondrial biosensor that shifts excitation spectra based on pH [\[44\]](#page-17-25). Mt-Keima allows for detection of mitophagy as mitochondria are targeted to acidic autophagosomes/lysosomes for degradation. Flow cytometry of dissociated islets revealed that mt-Keima; *Clec16a*curt/curt β-cells had an increase in cells with mitochondria in acidic compartments [\(Figure 3E](#page-4-0)). This suggests that *Clec16ac*urt/curt β-cells accumulate mitochondria in acidic autophagosomes or lysosomes that may be incompletely cleared, consistent with previous observations following CLEC16A deficiency in β-cells [\[6\]](#page-16-2). Additionally, membraneengulfed mitochondria were observed in *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* β-cells by TEM, which were not found in littermate controls (Figure S4F).

To determine if increased mitochondria within acidic autophagosome/lysosome compartments in *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* β-cells was due to impaired or enhanced mitophagy flux, we stimulated mitophagy using the mitochondrial ionophore valinomycin. Importantly, *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* β-cells did not continue to have increased acidic mitochondria following valinomycin treatment, as there was no difference in acidic mitochondria when compared to valinomycin treated *Clec16a+/+* β-cells [\(Figure 3H](#page-4-0)). These results suggest the baseline accumulation of acidic mitochondria in *Clec16a*curt/curt β-cells was due to impaired mitophagy flux.

Similar to *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* β-cells, *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* MEFs also demonstrated impaired mitophagy. Mitochondria accumulated within acidic compartments in *Clec16a*<sup>curt/curt</sup> MEFs, as measured using a cell permeable mitophagy reporter dye [\(Figure 4A-C](#page-6-0)). Notably, *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* MEFs did not display differences in bulk autophagy markers including LC3 and SQSTM1/p62, suggesting this defect is specific to mitophagy [\(Figure 4D](#page-6-0)). To examine mitochondrial targeting to the lysosome, we examined co-localization of mitochondria with lysosomes (marked by OXPHOS and LAMP1 antisera, respectively) by immunostaining. As expected, mitochondrial targeting to lysosomes increased following valinomycin exposure in *Clec16a<sup>+/+</sup>* MEFs, indicating activated mitophagy [\(Figure 4E-F\)](#page-6-0). However, *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* MEFs did not show increased mitochondrial targeting to lysosomes following valinomycin treatment [\(Figure 4E-F](#page-6-0)). *Clec16a*curt/curt MEFs also had significantly less mitochondria-lysosome colocalization following valinomycin exposure when compared to *Clec16a+/+* control MEFs, again consistent with impaired mitophagy [\(Figure 4E-F](#page-6-0)). Importantly, these results align with previously appreciated roles for CLEC16A in control of mitophagy [[6](#page-16-2)]. Taken altogether, these studies indicate the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR maintains mitochondrial function and health through control of mitophagy.

# **The CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR is required for CLEC16A stability and assembly of the mitophagy regulatory machinery**

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Given the critical role of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR in regulating β-cell mitophagy, we questioned how this region impacts molecular functions of CLEC16A. IDPRs often promote protein turnover and destabilize proteins due to their enhanced accessibility for degradative post-translational mod-ifications [\[18](#page-17-26)[,45,](#page-17-27)[46\]](#page-17-28). Unexpectedly, both *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* MEFs and islets, which lack the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR, had reduced levels of CLEC16A protein [\(Figures 2D,F\)](#page-3-0). This led us to hypothesize that the C-terminal IDPR promotes CLEC16A stability, in contrast to the classic role of IDPRs in protein destabilization.

We investigated the role of the CLEC16A C terminus on protein stability using the previously described Flag-tagged CLEC16A ∆C construct which lacks the C-terminal IDPR [\(Figures 1E and](#page-2-0) [5A](#page-7-0)). CLEC16A ∆C had reduced protein levels relative to WT CLEC16A following transfection in HEK293T cells, despite no differences in mRNA expression [\(Figures 5B,](#page-7-0) [C\)](#page-7-0). To determine the contribution of the CLEC16A C terminus to the stability of the protein, we assessed CLEC16A protein turnover after inhibiting protein synthesis with cycloheximide. CLEC16A ∆C had accelerated turnover relative to wild-type CLEC16A (WT) following cycloheximide treatment, indicating that the C-terminal IDPR stabilizes CLEC16A ([Figure 5D](#page-7-0)). Levels of WT CLEC16A and CLEC16A ∆C protein both increased when inhibiting the proteasome or lysosome with MG132 or bafilomycin  $A_1$ , respectively, suggesting multiple pathways for CLEC16A clearance (Figure S5A).

<span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-4"></span>We next investigated the mechanism by which the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR stabilizes CLEC16A. The stability of E3 ubiquitin ligases is commonly regulated by selfubiquitination and subsequent degradation [\[47\]](#page-17-29). Interestingly, terminal IDPRs in ubiquitin ligase complexes have been demonstrated to inhibit ubiquitin-chain assembly [[48\]](#page-17-30). We hypothesized that the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR may moderate CLEC16A self-ubiquitination, thus protecting CLEC16A from degradation. Indeed, loss of the CLEC16A C terminus increased CLEC16A ubiquitination relative to WT CLEC16A when constructs were expressed at similar protein levels in HEK293T cells [\(Figure 5E\)](#page-7-0). CLEC16A ∆C similarly had increased ubiquitination relative to WT CLEC16A following MG132 treatment [\(Figure 5E](#page-7-0)). To determine whether increased ubiquitination of CLEC16A ∆C was due to increased selfubiquitination, we performed *in vitro* ubiquitination assays using recombinant CLEC16A. Indeed, loss of the CLEC16A C terminus increased CLEC16A self-ubiquitination relative to WT CLEC16A [\(Figure 5F\)](#page-7-0). Together, these studies demonstrate



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 4. The CLEC16A C terminus regulates mitophagy in MEFs. (A) Representative flow cytometry univariate histogram depicting Mtphagy dye intensity from<br>primary MEFs derived from C*lec16a<sup>+/+</sup>* and C*lec16a<sup>curt/cu*rt mic</sup> flow cytometry histograms as indicated in Figure S5A. n = 4/group. (C) Representative flow cytometry scatter plot from *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16acurt*/curt MEFs following staining with Mtphagy and TMRE dyes. (D) Western blot of autophagy markers SQSTM1/p62 and LC3 in *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16acurt*/curt MEFs. n = 3/group. (E) Quantification of LAMP1 and OXPHOS colocalization in *Clec16a*+/+ and *Clec16acurt*/curt MEFs as shown in 4 F. n = 3 independent experiments/group. (6,604–16,528 LAMP1-positive and 8,923–20,774 OXPHOS-positive structures from 11–12 images were quantified per experiment per condition). (F) Representative deconvolved<br>immunofluorescence images of *Clec16a<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Clec16a<sup>curt/cut</sup>* mitochondria (OXPHOS; red) and lysosomes (LAMP1; green) as well as DNA (DAPI; blue). White arrowheads in magnified inset images highlight colocalized OXPHOSand LAMP1-positive structures. \*p <  $0.05$  \*\*p <  $0.01$ .



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 5. The CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR is required for mitophagy complex formation by maintaining CLEC16A stability. (A) Schematic of C-terminal Flag epitopetagged constructs encoding wild-type (WT) full-length CLEC16A or CLEC16A lacking the C-terminal IDPR (∆C). (B) Representative Flag WB of WT and ∆C CLEC16A following transfection in 293 T cells. Arrow indicates CLEC16A ∆C band. PPIB serves as loading control. n = 4/group. (C) Relative *Clec16a* mRNA levels (normalized to Ppia expression) following transfection of Flag-EV, WT CLEC16A, or ∆C CLEC16A plasmids into 293 T cells. n = 3/group. (D) Representative WB of Flag-CLEC16A levels (with densitometry of % change from basal levels) from 293 T cells transfected with WT or ∆C CLEC16A following treatment with cycloheximide (CHX; 300 µg/mL) for 0–6 h. Similar levels of Flag-CLEC16A protein between groups were achieved by transfection with 1 µg of WT CLEC16A (with 4 µg Flag-EV) or 5 µg CLEC16A ∆C. n = 3–4/group. (E) Representative WB of cell-based ubiquitination assay of overexpressed Flag-tagged CLEC16A WT or ∆C performed in HEK293T cells cotransfected with MYC-Ubiquitin. Cells were treated with DMSO or 10 μM MG132 for 12 h. Similar levels of Flag-CLEC16A protein between groups were achieved by transfection of 1x WT (1.5 µg Flag-WT CLEC16A + 6 µg Flag-EV) or 5x ∆C (7.5 µg Flag-∆C). n = 3/group. (F) Representative WB of *in vitro* ubiquitination assay of recombinant CLEC16A-6xHis-Flag WT or ∆C following incubation with ATP, HA-Ubiquitin, E1, in the presence or absence of UBE2D3 at 37°C for 1 h. n = 4/group. (G) Representative WBs of cell-based assessment of binding, stabilization, and ubiquitination of overexpressed HA-tagged RNF41 by Flag-tagged CLEC16A WT or ∆C (or empty vector control) performed in HEK293T cells co-transfected with MYC-Ubiquitin. Conditions included Flag-EV (empty vector; 7.5 µg), 1x Flag-CLEC16A WT (1.5 µg Flag-CLEC16A WT + 6 µg Flag-EV), and 1x or 5x Flag-CLEC16A ∆C (1.5 µg Flag-CLEC16A ∆C + 6 µg Flag-EV or 7.5 µg Flag-CLEC16A ∆C, respectively). n = 3/

that the CLEC16A C terminus protects CLEC16A from selfubiquitination and degradation.

Based on the above findings, we questioned how loss of the CLEC16A C terminus and consequent CLEC16A destabilization would impact formation of the tripartite CLEC16A-RNF41-USP8 mitophagy complex [[8](#page-16-4)]. CLEC16A promotes formation of this complex by binding, ubiquitinating, and stabilizing the E3 ligase RNF41 [[8](#page-16-4)]. CLEC16A ∆C demonstrated reduced binding and stabilization of RNF41 relative to WT CLEC16A ([Figure 5G\)](#page-7-0). The reduced binding and stabilization of RNF41 was due to reduced levels of CLEC16A ∆C, as increasing CLEC16A ∆C protein levels back to that of WT CLEC16A restored these defects [\(Figure 5G\)](#page-7-0). Unexpectedly, CLEC16A C-terminal loss did not impair RNF41 ubiquitination despite reduced CLEC16A levels [\(Figure 5G\)](#page-7-0). Loss of the CLEC16A C terminus also impaired formation of the mitophagy complex, as observed by reduced binding to USP8 and RNF41, which was again rescued by increasing CLEC16A ∆C protein levels back to that of WT CLEC16A levels [\(Figure 5H](#page-7-0)). Loss of the CLEC16A C terminus also caused slight, but not significant, reductions in USP8 protein levels [\(Figures 5H](#page-7-0) and S6). These studies indicate that the CLEC16A C terminus promotes mitophagy complex formation by stabilizing CLEC16A.

We next explored how the specific C-terminal deficiency in the human CLEC16A disease variant affected CLEC16A stability, ubiquitination, and mitophagy complex assembly. The CLEC16A disease variant had lower protein levels and was less stable than the full-length human CLEC16A isoform [\(Figures 6A-B](#page-9-0)). The CLEC16A disease variant was more heavily ubiquitinated when protein levels were similar to that of the full-length isoform ([Figure 6C](#page-9-0)), which was further increased following bafilomycin  $A_1$  treatment [\(Figure 6C;](#page-9-0) Figure S5B). Of note, while the mouse CLEC16A ∆C construct accumulated following pharmacological inhibition of both the proteasome and lysosome, the human disease variant accumulated only upon lysosome inhibition (Figures S5A-B). The CLEC16A disease variant impaired formation of the mitophagy complex, demonstrated by reduced interaction between USP8-RNF41 [\(Figure 6D](#page-9-0)) as well as reduced stabilization of, and interaction with, RNF41 [\(Figure 6E\)](#page-9-0). These results are similar to those of the CLEC16A ∆C mutant [\(Figure 5](#page-7-0)), which lead us to speculate that reduced stability of the CLEC16A disease variant is due to deficiency of the C-terminal IDPR.

Beyond loss of the C terminus, the human CLEC16A disease variant also lacks two small internal regions [\(Figure 6E](#page-9-0), lower panel). To clarify whether the disruption of internal or C-terminal regions drive the defects observed in the CLEC16A disease variant, we generated constructs bearing either the internal deletions ( $\Delta$  internal) or the alternatively translated and truncated C terminus specific to the disease variant (alternative C terminus; [Figure 6E](#page-9-0), lower panel). While the CLEC16A ∆ internal construct appeared to be

functionally indistinguishable from full-length CLEC16A, the human CLEC16A alternative C terminus construct had reduced protein levels and reduced ability to stabilize and bind RNF41 ([Figure 6E](#page-9-0)). Overall, these results confirm that disrupting the C-terminal IDPR instigates the reduced stability and impaired mitophagy complex assembly found in the CLEC16A disease variant.

# *The human CLEC16A disease variant is functionally defective in β-cells*

Next, we wanted to determine if the mechanistic impairments observed in the human CLEC16A human disease variant would lead to β-cell dysfunction. To address the impact of the human CLEC16A disease variant and its disrupted C-terminal IDPR on β-cell function, we generated a Min6 βcell line partially deficient for CLEC16A by CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing [\(Figure 6F](#page-9-0)). We then expressed either the fulllength CLEC16A human isoform or the CLEC16A disease variant in CLEC16A-deficient Min6 cells ([Figure 6G](#page-9-0)). As expected, CLEC16A deficiency reduced glucose-stimulated insulin secretion/GSIS in Min6 cells [\(Figure 6H](#page-9-0)). Importantly, expression of the full length CLEC16A isoform, but not of the CLEC16A disease variant, improved glucosestimulated insulin secretion in CLEC16A-deficient Min6 cells [\(Figure 6H](#page-9-0)). Thus, the human CLEC16A disease variant is functionally defective within β-cells, supporting a critical role for the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR in β-cell function.

# *Function of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR depends on its proline bias*

<span id="page-8-0"></span>After defining mechanistic and functional roles of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR, we questioned what features of the C-terminal IDPR mediate its effect on CLEC16A stability. While IDPRs lack secondary structure, IDPR function can be either dependent or independent of amino acid sequence order and composition [\[49](#page-17-31)[,50\]](#page-17-32). IDPR functions that are sequence dependent include dependence on short linear motifs, post-translational modifications, charge, the distribution of charged amino acids, and amino acid biases, including specific behaviors of proline residues [[50](#page-17-32)[–55\]](#page-17-33).

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>To first investigate whether CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR function depends on its amino acid sequence order, we generated two CLEC16A constructs with randomly shuffled C-terminal IDPR amino acids using the random shuffling tool, Shuffle Protein [\[56\]](#page-17-34). We confirmed that these constructs did not eliminate predicted disorder within the C terminus [\(Figure 7A](#page-11-0)), nor did they introduce new protein domains, as determined using PROSITE and SMART domain analyses [\[57](#page-18-0)[,58\]](#page-18-1). Levels of both CLEC16A C-terminal shuffled mutants were unchanged compared to WT CLEC16A [\(Figure 7B](#page-11-0)), indicating that CLEC16A stability is not dependent on the amino acid sequence order of its C-terminal IDPR.

group. (H) Representative WB following anti-Flag IP in HEK293T cells transfected with HA-RNF41, GFP-USP8 and Flag-EV, Flag-CLEC16A WT, or Flag-CLEC16A ∆C vectors. Conditions included Flag-EV (empty vector; 7.5 μg), 1x Flag-CLEC16A WT (1.5 μg Flag-CLEC16A WT + 6 μg Flag-EV), and 1x or 5x Flag-CLEC16A ΔC (1.5 μg Flag-CLEC16A ∆C + 6 µg Flag-EV or 7.5 µg Flag-CLEC16A ∆C, respectively). n = 3/group. \*p < 0.05.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Figure 6.** The human CLEC16A disease isoform is unstable and impairs mitophagy complex formation and β-cell function. (A) Representative Flag WB of full length human CLEC16A and the CLEC16A disease variant following transfection in 293 T cells. n = 3/group. (B) Representative WB of Flag-CLEC16A levels from 293 T cells transfected with a plasmid encoding full-length human CLEC16A or the CLEC16A disease variant CLEC16A following treatment with cycloheximide (CHX; 300 µg/mL) for 16 h. n = 3/group. (C) Representative WB of cell-based ubiquitination assay of overexpressed Flag-tagged CLEC16A or the CLEC16A disease variant performed in HEK293T cells co-transfected with MYC-Ubiquitin. Cells were treated with DMSO or 150 nM BafA for 12 h. Similar levels of Flag-CLEC16A protein between groups were achieved by transfection of the following plasmids encoding: 1x full-length CLEC16A (1.5 µg Flag-CLEC16A + 6 µg Flag-EV) or 5x CLEC16A disease variant (7.5 µg Flag-CLEC16A disease variant). n = 3/group. (D) Representative WB following endogenous RNF41 IP in HEK293T cells transfected with GFP-USP8 and Flag-EV, Flag-CLEC16A, or Flag-CLEC16A disease variant vectors. n = 3/group. (E) Representative WBs of cell-based assessment of binding and stabilization of overexpressed HA-tagged RNF41 by Flag-tagged CLEC16A full length, CLEC16A disease variant, CLEC16A ∆ internal, or CLEC16A alternative C terminus (or empty vector control) performed in HEK293T cells co-transfected with MYC-Ubiquitin. n = 3/group. (F) Representative CLEC16A WB of CLEC16A protein levels in scramble control (sgScr) or

<span id="page-10-5"></span>Next, we questioned whether the stabilizing function of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR depends on features of its amino acid composition. We generated several independent CLEC16A C-terminal mutants to assess whether disruption of certain IDPR features causes instability similarly to C-terminal truncation. We disrupted C-terminal proline bias with proline-to-serine mutagenesis, which conserves disorder but disrupts proline-specific interactions and behaviors [\(Figure 7A](#page-11-0)). We altered negative charge within the CLEC16A C terminus by aspartic acid to asparagine and glutamic acid to glutamine mutagenesis ([Figure 7C\)](#page-11-0). We disrupted the intermixed CLEC16A C-terminal charge distribution by manually segregating charged residues to alter the parameter kappa, which describes the intermixing of charges within a sequence ([Figure 7C](#page-11-0)) [[53\]](#page-17-35). We also generated constructs with C-terminal regions resistant to post-translational modifications, including ubiquitination or phosphorylation, by lysine-to-arginine (K-to-R) and serine-to-alanine (S-to-A) mutagenesis, respectively. While CLEC16A stability did not depend on C-terminal charge, charge distribution, or posttranslational modifications, it was dependent on the strong proline bias ([Figures 7B,D](#page-11-0)). Proline residues are enriched in IDPRs, with unique properties due to their cyclical and rigid structure that disrupts secondary structure [[32\]](#page-17-14). Thus, the ability of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR to stabilize CLEC16A depends on an enrichment of proline residues.

### **Discussion**

Here, we demonstrate that the CLEC16A C terminus is an IDPR that is required for CLEC16A function, mitophagy, and glucose homeostasis. The CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR, which is disrupted in a human *CLEC16A* disease variant, is vital for mitophagy and insulin secretion by protecting CLEC16A from self-ubiquitination and degradation. The stabilizing role of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR relies on its proline bias, and not amino acid sequence order, charge, charge distribution, or post-translational modifications. Together, we use structural, mechanistic, and physiologic approaches to understand how an IDPR disrupted by a human disease variant regulates mitophagy and contributes to diabetes pathogenesis.

<span id="page-10-6"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>IDPRs have recently been implicated in many stages of autophagy/selective autophagy [[19,](#page-17-36)[25](#page-17-9),[59](#page-18-2),[60](#page-18-3)], yet the role of IDPRs in mitophagy is less clear [\[59\]](#page-18-2). IDPRs have been shown to mediate key regulators of autophagy, including Atg1 and BECN1 [\[19,](#page-17-36)[25,](#page-17-9)[60](#page-18-3),[61](#page-18-4)]. Further, an internal IDPR controls ATG3 activity, which supports the conjugation of LC3 to the phagophore during its maturation as a selective autophagy adaptor [\[33\]](#page-17-15). IDPRs are also predicted to overlap with LC3 interacting regions/LIRs, which are domains found in cargo receptors in selective autophagy pathways [[62\]](#page-18-5). Our study builds upon these previous observations and provides novel

functional and mechanistic connections between IDPRs and the regulation of mitophagy through CLEC16A.

<span id="page-10-8"></span>Beyond its role in assembly of a tripartite mitophagy regulatory complex, CLEC16A has been implicated in other processes, including endosomal biogenesis and maturation [\[6](#page-16-2),[63](#page-18-6)-66]. Recent studies have elucidated that endosomal RAB proteins are recruited to mitochondria during PRKNmediated mitophagy [[67](#page-18-8)]. Additionally, the *C. elegans*  CLEC16A homolog GOP-1 interacts with RAB2 to mediate phagolysosome formation, which could intersect CLEC16A functions in both endosomal maturation and mitophagy [\[66](#page-18-7)[,67\]](#page-18-8). Future studies will be needed to determine if CLEC16A IDPRs also mediate connections between the endosomal RAB machinery and mitophagy pathway.

<span id="page-10-10"></span><span id="page-10-9"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span>Our results provide new knowledge regarding the association between IDPRs and disease pathogenesis, which is poorly understood. While IDPRs comprise an estimated 44% of the human proteome, their biological roles have only recently begun to be explored [\[17,](#page-17-6)[68](#page-18-9)]. IDPRs have proposed involvement with many human diseases, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegeneration [[17](#page-17-6)[,21,](#page-17-8)[24](#page-17-37),[69\]](#page-18-10). In some contexts, diseasecausing mutations disrupt IDPRs, which suggest IDPRs have crucial biological functions that protect against disease [\[17,](#page-17-6)[21](#page-17-8),[24](#page-17-37)[,69\]](#page-18-10). Predicted IDPRs were enriched in a focused *in silico* analysis of 34 type 2 diabetes-related proteins, yet the genetic, mechanistic, and physiological connections between IDPRs and the >400 variants associated with type 2 diabetes are unknown [[22](#page-17-38)]. An IDPR was also biophysically validated in the β-cell transcription factor PDX1, but the functional contribution and genetic links of this IDPR to diabetes pathogenesis is unclear [\[35](#page-17-17)]. To our knowledge, our study represents the first comprehensive structure-function characterization of an IDPR disrupted by a diabetes-associated human genetic variant.

<span id="page-10-12"></span><span id="page-10-11"></span><span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span>We find that proline residues are required for CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR function, providing insight into how amino acid composition dictates IDPR function. Prolines are significantly enriched in IDPRs and tend to disrupt secondary structure due to their cyclical structure and lack of backbone amide hydrogen atoms, which prevents the formation of hydrogen bonds required for α-helices or β-sheets [[32](#page-17-14)]. Prolines are implicated in controlling IDPR conformation, yet their role remains controversial and is likely context specific [\[31,](#page-17-39)[32](#page-17-14)[,70,](#page-18-11)[71](#page-18-12)]. In some contexts, prolines are observed to promote expansion of IDPRs, with a positive correlation between proline number and IDPR hydrodynamic radii [\[70\]](#page-18-11). Conversely, prolines are implicated in promoting compaction, as others found that mutating prolines within a short IDPR induced expansion [\[71\]](#page-18-12). One mechanism by which proline residues can compact IDPRs is by interactions with proximal aromatic residues [[31](#page-17-39)], yet the CLEC16A C terminus mostly lacks aromatic residues [\(Figures 1C,D](#page-2-0)). Future studies investigating how proline residues regulate the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR conformation and behavior may clarify the role of proline bias in CLEC16A stability.

<span id="page-10-7"></span>CLEC16A-deficient (sg*Clec16a*) Min6 cells generated by CRISPR-mediated gene editing (with densitometry). (G) Representative CLEC16A WB of Min6 control and CLEC16A-deficient cells from (F) following transfection with Flag-CLEC16A, Flag-CLEC16A disease variant, or empty vector control into CLEC16A-deficient Min6 cells. (H) Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) assay performed in transfected Min6 cells from (G) 72 h after transfection with CLEC16A (or empty vector control) constructs, following static incubation in 2 mM or 20 mM glucose.  $n = 5/$ group. \*p < 0.05.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Figure 7.** Proline bias within the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR is necessary for CLEC16A stability. (A) Disorder propensity score for CLEC16A mutants as determined by IUPred2. (B) Representative Flag WB (with quantification by densitometry of all studies below) of Flag-CLEC16A WT, Flag-CLEC16A ∆C, as well as C-terminal IDPR mutants following transfection in 293 T cells. n = 4/group. C-terminal IDPR mutants include P-to-S (AA892-1050 proline to serine mutant), Alt. charge (alternate charge mutant), and Charge seg. (charge segregation mutant). (C) Net charge per-residue for listed CLEC16A mutants, calculated as an average net-charge per residue over a 5-residue "blob". Kappa (*K*) scores indicates the degree to which charged residues are intermixed and were generated by CIDER (52). \*p < 0.05. (D) Representative Flag WB (with quantification by densitometry of all studies below) of Flag-CLEC16A WT, Flag-CLEC16A ∆C, as well as C-terminal pan-lysine to arginine (K-to-R) or pan-serine to alanine (S-to-A) mutants following transfection in HEK293T cells.  $n = 3/$ group.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Our studies reveal a stabilizing role for the CLEC16A IDPR, which opposes the well-known destabilizing role for IDPRs in proteins [[46](#page-17-28),[72](#page-18-13),[73](#page-18-14)]. Due to their flexible conformations, IDPRs are frequent sites for degradative posttranslational modifications, such as ubiquitination, yet we unexpectedly find that the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR attenuates self-ubiquitination [\[46](#page-17-28)[,72,](#page-18-13)[73\]](#page-18-14). Recent work on IDPRs and E3 ligases has shown that the N-terminal IDPR <span id="page-11-2"></span>of RNF4 facilitates substrate ubiquitination in a manner dependent on IDPR compaction, which was controlled by charge segregation [[74\]](#page-18-15). However, the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR is not required for ubiquitination of RNF41 [\(Figure 5G\)](#page-7-0). Additionally, disruption of charged residues within the CLEC16A IDPR does not affect CLEC16A stability [\(Figures 7A-C\)](#page-11-0), together suggesting a distinct mechanism of action. Thus, our study of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR

defines a surprising novel role for IDPRs within E3 ligases. Functional exploration of other regions within CLEC16A, including a putative internal IDPR, will be of great interest in future work.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>While our studies clarify that the C-terminal IDPR stabilizes CLEC16A by preventing its ubiquitination and degradation in a proline-dependent manner, future studies could deepen knowledge of this mechanism. For example, some IDPRs, such as that in the mitochondrial fission protein DNM1L/Drp1 (dynamin 1 like), function through steric interference to auto-inhibit enzymatic activity [[75](#page-18-16)]. Alternatively, IDPRs can also act as molecular shields that block access to proteins through electrostatic or steric mechanisms [[76](#page-18-17)]. Future biophysical studies may be able to complement our current understanding of how the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR functions to protect CLEC16A from degradative autoubiquitination.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>The CLEC16A disease-associated variant demonstrates reduced formation of the CLEC16A-RNF41-USP8 mitophagy complex, highlighting the critical role of the tripartite mitophagy complex. Despite the importance of this mitophagy complex, many questions regarding its assembly remain. Notably, the interaction sites between CLEC16A and RNF41 are not yet known. Our studies suggest the CLEC16A C terminal IDPR is not a specific binding site for RNF41 as C-terminal IDPR mutants were still able to bind to RNF41, yet we do not know what other regions of CLEC16A facilitate RNF41 binding. Moreover, it is unknown what domains of RNF41 interact with CLEC16A, or how mutations within RNF41 domains might impact its ability to bind CLEC16A, form the mitophagy-regulatory complex, or control mitophagy. Future studies are necessary to clarify the specific sites of CLEC16A-RNF41 interaction within the mitophagy complex.

We describe molecular mechanisms by which a diseaseassociated CLEC16A variant impacts cellular function, which may provide opportunities for therapeutic interventions. Loss of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR destabilizes CLEC16A and impairs assembly of the tripartite mitophagy complex, which is overcome by increasing levels of the IDPR-deficient mutant ([Figure 4H\)](#page-6-0). Thus, pharmacological approaches to target the C-terminal IDPR to stabilize CLEC16A could prove protective against disease. Indeed, there has been growing interest and development in therapeutics targeting IDPRs [[77\]](#page-18-18). Interestingly, IDPRs can also retain functionality when expressed independently as truncated fragments [[78](#page-18-19)[–80\]](#page-18-20). Exploring whether peptide-based therapeutics mimicking the structure of the CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR could improve CLEC16A stability and function would be of great value, not only to patients with diabetes, but also with other CLEC16A-related diseases.

#### <span id="page-12-3"></span><span id="page-12-2"></span>**Materials and methods**

#### *Protein Expression and Purification*

The pMCSG7-Clec16a 892–1050-TEV-6xHis bacterial expression plasmid was transformed into Z-competent Rosetta2 cells (a gift from the Center for Structural Biology, University of Michigan), cultured in 10 mL Luria broth (LB; 10 g/L tryptone [Fisher Scientific, BP1421-500], 10 g/L NaCl [Fisher Scientific, BP358-212], 5 g/L yeast extract [Fisher Scientific, BP9727-500]) overnight, before transferring to 1 L of LB and growing until optical density of 600 nm at 37°C. Bacteria were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in minimal medium, pH 7 (12 g/L K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> [Fisher Scientific, 7758–11-4], 9 g/L KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> [Fisher Scientific, 7778-77-0], 1  $g/L$ <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl [Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc., NLM-467], 2.5 g/L NaCl [Fisher Scientific, BP358-212], 25 mg/L thiamine HCl [Fisher Scientific, 67-03-8], 4  $g/L$  <sup>13</sup>C-glucose [Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc., CLM-1396], 0.5 g/L MgSO<sub>4</sub> [Fisher Scientific, 7487–88-9], 0.1 g/L NaOH [Fisher Scientific, 1310–73-2]) supplemented with 1 mL of 100 mM  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$ [Fisher Scientific, 10,035–04-8], and 1 mL of Metal Solution (0.3 g/65 mL FeSO4 · 7H2O [Fisher Scientific, 7782–63-0], 0.2 g/65 mL  $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  [Fisher Scientific, 7446-20-0], 0.4 g/65 mL CoCl<sub>4</sub> · 6 H<sub>2</sub>O [Fisher Scientific, 7791-13-1], 0.3 g/65 mL  $[NH_4]_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$  [Fisher Scientific, 12,054– 85-2], 0.3 g/65 mL CuSO4 [Fisher Scientific, 7758–99-8], 0.2 g/65 mL MnCl4 · 4H2O [Millipore Sigma, M3634], 0.1 g/ 65 mL  $H_3BO_3$  [Fisher Scientific, 10,043-35-3]), and ampicillin (Millipore Sigma, 7177–48-2). Culture was induced overnight at 20°C with Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; Agilent, 300,127). Bacteria were pelleted, subjected to freeze/ thaw at −80°C, and sonicated in lysis buffer (1x PBS [Fisher, BP399-20], 1% CHAPS [Sigma Aldrich, C9426], 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> [Fisher Scientific, 7786-30-3], 2 µl benzonase [25 U/ µl; Millipore, 71,206], and protease inhibitor [Thermo Scientific, PIA32965]). Supernatant was purified using a nickel gravity column (Qiagen, 30,250; Ni-NTA Agarose) followed by a high-salt wash (1xPBS [Fisher, BP399-20], 1 M NaCl [Fisher Scientific, BP358-212]), and eluted in 1xPBS and 300 mM imidazole (Bio-Rad, 1,610,441). Eluate was incubated with TEV protease (NEB, P8112S) overnight at 4°C in 1xPBS with 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma Aldrich, M3148), and 6xHis tag was removed with a nickel column. Eluate was purified using Q anion exchange chromatography in the AKTAxpress (GE Healthcare), and fractions visualized on SDS-PAGE gel with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Milipore Sigma, 6104–58-1). Fractions containing the CLEC16A amino acids (AA) 892–1050 peptide were further purified using fast protein liquid size exclusion chromatography on the AKTA Purifier 10. Fractions were visualized on an SDS-PAGE gel and were dialyzed into the final NMR buffer (50 mM sodium cacodylate [Millipore Sigma, 6131–99-3], 150 mM NaCl [Fisher Scientific, BP358-212], pH 6.5 in  $ddH<sub>2</sub>O$ ), followed by concentration.

For *in vitro* ubiquitination assays, recombinant CLEC16A and CLEC16A ∆C were generated following expression in 293 T cells and purified with nickel-charged resin (Ni-NTA agarose) per the manufacturer's protocols.

#### *NMR*

Isotopically labeled  ${}^{13}C/{}^{15}N$  CLEC16A C-terminal peptide (AA 892–1050) was purified using the methods described above and transferred into a buffer composed of 50 mM sodium cacodylate pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl (Fisher Scientific,

BP358-212). Protein was supplemented with  $10\%$  D<sub>2</sub> O (Sigma-Aldrich, 151,882) and loaded in a Shigemi NMR Tube (Wilmad-LabGlass, SP Scienceware, BMS-005B). All NMR experiments were performed on an 18.8 T Bruker Ascend magnet equipped with Bruker NEO spectrometer operating at <sup>1</sup> H frequencies of 800.25 MHz, and equipped with inverse TCI cryoprobe. All NMR spectra were collected at 298 K.

Due to the limited lifetime of the sample, standard C' detected NMR methods (29) were not suitable for chemical shift assignments for the CLEC16A C-terminal peptide (AA 892–1050). Initially a standard  $(H^{\alpha}\text{-start})$   $^{15}\text{N-}^{13}\text{C}$  CON was collected using  $1024(C')$  x  $128(N)$ , spectral width of  $11 \times 36$ ppm, and 16 scans. Some useful residue type information was obtained from the standard H(CC)CON from the Bruker pulse program library with matrix size of  $1024(C)$  x  $128(N)$ x 48(C), spectral width of  $8 \times 36$  x 80 ppm, and 16 scans with 25% non-uniform sampling, totaling an acquisition time of 19 h. With the caveat of limited chemical shift dispersion in amide <sup>1</sup>H dimension, we collected BEST-HNCO, BEST-HN (CA)CO, with a matrix size of  $698(H_N)$  x  $64(N)$  x  $128(C')$ , spectral width of  $14 \times 35$  x 14 ppm, 8 and 16 scans, and BEST-HNCACB and BEST-HN(CO)CACB, with a matrix size of 698(H<sub>N</sub>) x 64(N) x 128( $C^{\alpha/\beta}$ ), spectral width of  $14 \times 35$  x 80 ppm, with 32 and 16 scans, with 50% nonuniform sampling, totaling an acquisition of 30 h for all 4 experiments. The backbone assignments were made by daisychain walking through C' chemical shift assignments and aided by data from  $C^{\alpha/\beta}$  chemical shifts as well. The aliphatic  $C^{\alpha/\beta}$  chemical shifts also provided information on amino acid type owing to different chemical environment and types of side chains. Aliphatic protons chemical shifts from the H(CC)CON was also used to identify residue type, where data from BEST-HNCO was linked using C' and N resonances.

Overall, spectra complexity and sequence repetitiveness made complete residue connection and assignment using this approach impossible. Combining fragmented data from  $C$ - and  $H_N$ - detect experiments described above, we assigned peaks to 16 of 159 total residues.

In lieu of assigning individual residues, carbon-detect amino acid selective (CAS) NMR experiments were performed, which leveraged the different chemical configuration of aliphatic side chains to assign aliphatic chemical shift resonances [[35](#page-17-17)]. Confirmation of assignments were made using the mapping of observed C'/N signals to those obtained from (H<sup>a</sup>-start) <sup>15</sup>N-<sup>13</sup>C CON spectra. Carbon-detect aminoacid specific pulse sequences for serine, alanine, glycine, and threonine, were performed as described previously [[35](#page-17-17)]. Aliphatic  $C^{\alpha}$  and  $C^{\beta}$  values were labeled for each peak on the CAS NMR spectra. All data were processed in Topspin 4.0.9 software and converted to Sparky format for data analysis.

#### *NMR-based secondary structure analyses*

Secondary structure analyses of residue peaks with known amino acid type (identified using CAS-NMR) were performed by using secondary chemical shifts to evaluate the probability, <span id="page-13-1"></span>for each residue, that the residue is in an α-helix or β-sheet [\[52](#page-17-40)]. Data were generated by calculating the difference in the measured <sup>13</sup>C- $\alpha$  and <sup>13</sup>C- $\beta$  chemical shift, from the expected chemical shift standards of that residue type in a random coil conformation, represented as dCα and dCβ. The difference between dCα and dCβ values (dCα- dCβ) was plotted, with values greater than 2 ppm or less than −2 ppm representing a residue likely in an α-helix or β-sheet, respectively.

# *Animals*

<span id="page-13-0"></span>All animal studies were reviewed and approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Models used included *Clec16a*<sup>curt/curt</sup> [\[38,](#page-17-41)[39\]](#page-17-20) and mt-Keima mice (a gift from Dr. Toren Finkel, University of Pittsburgh [[44](#page-17-25)]). *Clec16a<sup>curt</sup>* mice [\[38,](#page-17-41)[39\]](#page-17-20) were obtained from Jackson Labs and were maintained on a mixed 50% SWR/J and 50% CD1/ICR background. mt-Keima mice were maintained on a mixed SWR/J, CD1/ICR, and FVB/J background following intercrosses with *Clec16a<sup>curt/curt</sup>* mice to generate experimental groups for mitophagy analysis. Mice were housed with a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle, and free access to food and water unless fasted for testing.

Mice were genotyped for the *Clec16a*<sup>Curt</sup> allele with the following primers: Common forward primer: 5'-TGTCCCCATTA CGCGTGCTAA-3', and *Clec16a*<sup>+</sup> -specific reverse primer: 5'- TGTTGTCGGCTGGATTGGGA-3', or *Clec16a<sup>Curt</sup>-specific* reverse primer: 5'- TGTTGTCGGCTGGATTGGTC-3', which yield an 81 bp product. PCR was performed for 38 cycles (95°C for 45s, 58°C for 45s, 72°C for 1 min). Confirmation of the 4-bp deletion within exon 22 in *Clec16a*<sup>Curt</sup> mice was performed following MnlI restriction digest of a PCR-amplified product of genomic DNA (forward primer: 5'-CCCCAAGGGTCTTACTGTCA-3' and reverse primer: 5'-CATAGAAACGGAAAGGCAGGT GCTG-3'). *Clec16a*<sup>+</sup>-specific bands migrated at 137 and 47 bp, while a *Clec16a<sup>Curt</sup>* mutant band migrated at 180 bp.

# *Cell culture, cell line generation, treatments, and transfections*

<span id="page-13-4"></span><span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>Primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were isolated from *Clec16a<sup>Curt/Curt</sup>* and *Clec16a<sup>+/+</sup>* mice as described previously [\[81\]](#page-18-21), and cultured as previously detailed [[6,](#page-16-2)[82](#page-18-22)]. 293 T cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, 11,965) supplemented with 10% Fetal-Plex (Gemini Bio Products, 50–753-2987), 50 units/mL penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15,140–122), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11–360-070), and 142 μM β-mercaptoethanol (1 µl/100 mL medium). Min6 cells (a gift from Dr. Doris Stoffers, University of Pennsylvania) were cultured as previously described [\[83\]](#page-18-23). Pooled *Clec16a*-deficient Min6 cells were generated using the LentiCRISPRV2 one-vector system as described [[84](#page-18-24)]. *Clec16a* sgRNA sequences included (5'- CGGACATGTTTGGACGCTCA-3', targeting exon 1) and (5'-ACAAAATCGGAACCTGCTCG-3' targeting exon2) and were cloned into LentiCRISPRV2 as described [[84](#page-18-24)]. LentiCRISPRV2 was transfected into 293 T cells along with the lentiviral packaging plasmids RRE, VSV-G, and REV (courtesy of Ling Qi, University of Michigan) using

Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, 11,668–019). Viralcontaining media were collected on days 3 and 4 posttransfection, filtered in a 0.45-µm filter, and stored at −80°C until use. Min6 cells were transduced by culturing with viral media (DMEM [Gibco, 11,965] supplemented with 10% volume fetal bovine serum [Gemini Bio Products, 900–108], 50 units/mL penicillin streptomycin [Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15,140–122], and 10 mM HEPES [Gibco, 15,630– 080]), pH 7–7.4 mixed 1:1 with normal Min6 media (as previously described [[83](#page-18-23)]), supplemented with polybrene (5 µg/ml; Sigma, H9268). Fresh viral stocks were added daily for two days, followed by two rounds of selection using puromycin (2 µg/mL; Cayman Chemicals, 13,884) for 5 days. Min6 cells transduced with *Clec16a* sgRNA LentiCRISPR V2 (or non-targeting control sgRNA, courtesy of Ling Qi, University of Michigan) were screened via western blot. A pooled *Clec16a*-deficient Min6 cell population, and not an expansion of single cell *Clec16a*-deficient clones, was used to avoid bias from the variable insulin secretory profiles inherent to the generation of clonal β-cell lines.

The following compounds and concentrations were used as treatments for cells or isolated islets: dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Thermo Fisher Scientific, BP231-100), valinomycin (Millipore Sigma, 94,675), MG132 (EMD Milipore, 474,790), bafilomycin  $A_1$  (BafA; Cayman Chemical, 11,038), FCCP (Millipore Sigma, C2920), cycloheximide (EMD, 239–765).

293 T cells were transfected according to the manufacturer's protocol using Lipofectamine 2000. Min6 cells were transfected using an Amaxa Nucleofector (Lonza) protocol G-016 according to the manufacturer's protocol.

# *Islet isolation and incubations*

Islets were isolated from 12- to 15-week-old mice and subjected to static incubation to assess glucose-stimulated insulin secretion as previously described [\[83\]](#page-18-23).

#### *Antibodies*

<span id="page-14-1"></span>CLEC16A-specific rabbit antisera (Cocalico) were generated as previously described, using either an internal peptide (AA 347–472) or a C-terminal peptide (AA 892–1050) [\[11\]](#page-17-0). All other antibodies are listed in [Table 1](#page-14-0).

# *Plasmids*

Constructs for mammalian overexpression studies included, pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a WT [\[6\]](#page-16-2), pcDNA3.1 3x-HA-Nrdp1 /RNF41 [[6](#page-16-2)], MYC-ubiquitin [\[7](#page-16-3)[,83\]](#page-18-23), GFP-USP8 (Sino Biological; HG15979-ACG), and human CLEC16A C-terminal Flag epitope-tagged full-length or alternatively spliced disease isoform vectors (Genscript; OHu18264D and OHu02258D). Constructs containing CLEC16A ∆C (1–892 only) or CLEC16A AA 892–1050 (C-terminal fragment only) were generated by PCR amplification of specific fragments from pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a WT construct, subcloning of PCR amplified fragments into pCR-Blunt II-TOPO (Zero blunt TOPO cloning kit; Invitrogen, 450,245) for sequence validation by sequencing, and ligation of fragments

<span id="page-14-0"></span>



into pFLAG-CMV-5a. Plasmids used to generate recombinant protein for in vitro ubiquitination assays included pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a WT-6xHis [[6](#page-16-2)] and pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a ∆C-6xHis, generated by ligation of Clec16a ∆C (1– 892 only) into pFLAG-CMV-5a-6xHis.

For the purpose of generating labeled recombinant CLEC16A C-terminal peptide fragment AA 892–1050 for NMR, the CLEC16A AA 892–1050 fragment was PCR amplified from pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a WT with primers bearing ligation independent cloning sites (Fwd- 5'-TACTTC CAATCCAATGCTTCTCCATCCCTGTCATCACC-3', Rev-5'-TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTAGTGCTCTGTGGGTTCCG -3'. The product was then annealed with a linearized pMCSG7 bacterial plasmid (a gift from the Center for Structural Biology, University of Michigan) containing an N-terminal TEV-cleavable 6xHis tag and transformed into high efficiency DH5-α competent *E. coli* prior to confirmation by sequencing.

Plasmids bearing CLEC16A C-terminal IDPR mutation for mammalian overexpression studies were generated by gene synthesis into pBluescript $SK(+)BSK(+)$  (Biomatik, custom order) that were subsequently subcloned into pFLAG-CMV-5a, including pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a\_C- terminal\_S-to-A (bearing serine to alanine mutagenesis of 31 serine residues in AA 892–1050), pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a\_C-terminal\_Shuffle1, Shuffle 2, P-to-S, Alter Charge, and Charge Segregation (specific CLEC16A C-terminal mutant amino acid sequences are listed in Table S1). Randomly shuffled C-terminal mutants were generated to disrupt primary structure and any secondary structural features using the online Shuffle Protein tool, with PROSITE and SMART analyses used to ensure no domains were introduced during shuffling [[56](#page-17-34)[–58\]](#page-18-1). The strong negative charge in the CLEC16A C terminus was disrupted by eliminating the negative charges with aspartic acid to asparagine and glutamic acid to glutamine mutagenesis, reducing the net charge per residue from −0.094 to 0.025, isoelectric point from 4.24 to 10.31, and retaining similarly structured amino acids. To disrupt the intermixed CLEC16A C terminus charge distribution, we manually segregated positively charged lysine and arginine residues toward the N terminus

of this region, and negatively charged aspartic acid and glutamic acid toward the C terminus of this region, while leaving the remainder of the sequence intact. The impact was quantified with the parameter kappa, which describes charge intermixing [\[53\]](#page-17-35). Kappa shifted from 0.213 in the WT C terminus to 0.294 in the charge-segregated construct. The pFLAG-CMV-5a-Clec16a\_C-terminal\_K-to-R plasmid was generated by site directed mutagenesis converting lysines 959 and 962 to arginines via QuikChange II Site Directed Mutagenesis kit, per the manufacturer's instructions (Agilent, 200,524). All plasmids were verified by sequencing.

To generate the CLEC16A mutant bearing only the alternative C terminus of the CLEC16A disease isoform, the C-terminal fragment of the CLEC16A disease isoform (Genscript, OHu02258D) was liberated by *Bam*HI restriction digest, gel purified, and ligated in place of the C terminus of the full-length CLEC16A isoform construct (Genscript, OHu18264D). To generate the CLEC16A mutant bearing only the internal deletion of the CLEC16A disease isoform, the C-terminal fragment of the CLEC16A full-length isoform (OHu18264D), was liberated by *Bam*HI restriction digest, gel purified, and ligated in place of the C terminus of the full length CLEC16A isoform construct (OHu02258D) leaving the internal deletion within the C-terminal disease isoform intact. Correct orientation of inserts was first assessed using HindIII/ XhoI digest and then verified by sequencing.

# *Western blotting, ubiquitination assays, immunoprecipitation*

Western blots and ubiquitination assays were performed as previously described [[6,](#page-16-2)[8\]](#page-16-4). For immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed in a protein lysis buffer consisting of 150 mM NaCl (Fisher Scientific, BP358-212), 1% IGEPAL CA-630 (Sigma Aldrich, 9002–93-1), and 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Millipore, 539,143 and 524,625), followed by shearing by passage through a 21-gauge needle while on ice. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation, then pre-cleared with protein G agarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20,399). Protein lysates were incubated with either anti MYC/c-MYC agarose beads (Sigma, A7470), anti MYC/c-MYC magnetic beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 500,772), or Flag M2 Affinity Gel (Sigma Aldrich, A2220) overnight at 4°C. Beads were washed 3 times in lysis buffer, and conjugates were eluted in 2x Laemmli buffer (Sigma Aldrich, S3401) supplemented with 5 mM DTT (Fisher Scientific, BP172-25) at 70°C for 10 min prior to SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad, 456–1094). Immunoprecipitation for endogenous RNF41/Nrdp1 was performed as described [\[8](#page-16-4)].

# *Flow cytometry*

Flow cytometry of mt-Keima mouse islets was performed as previously described [\[85](#page-18-25)]. In brief, isolated islets were cultured for one day, treated with valinomycin or DMSO, and dissociated into single cells using trypsin-EDTA (0.25%; Gibco, 25,200–056). Cells were stained with DAPI (Sigma Aldrich, D9542) and FluoZin-3 AM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, F24195)

to detect zinc-enriched β-cells), and resuspended in phenol redfree media (Gibco, 11,835–030) supplemented with 1% BSA (Equitech, BAH66). Flow cytometric data were analyzed by FlowJo (BD Biosciences). At least, 5,000 FluoZin-3-positive cells were analyzed from each islet preparation.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Mitophagy rates in MEFs was assessed using Mtphagy Dye (Dojindo, mt02) as previously described [[85\]](#page-18-25). MEFs were incubated with media supplemented with 100 nM Mtphagy dye for 7.5 h prior to flow cytometry analysis. Cells were stained with DAPI, then analyzed on the LSR Fortessa flow cytometer. Mtphagy signal was measured with excitation at 488 nm and emission at 710 nm. TMRE (Anaspec, AS-88061) was excited with a 561-nm laser and emission was measured with a 574-nm filter to measure mitochondrial membrane potential. At least 19,000 live cells per sample were analyzed.

#### *β-cell mass, glucose, and insulin measurements*

<span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span>β-cell mass was calculated as previously described [[83](#page-18-23)]. Intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test/IPGTT, insulin tolerance test/ITT, and serum insulin measurements were obtained as described previously [\[86](#page-18-26)[,87\]](#page-18-27). Pancreatic hormone content was analyzed via ELISA (Mercodia, 10–1281-01) following acid ethanol extraction as previously described [\[87](#page-18-27)]. Static glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in Min6 cells was performed as previously described, and insulin was measured by ELISA (Mouse Ultrasensitive Insulin ELISA; ALPCO, 80- INSUMSU-E10) [\[88\]](#page-18-28).

#### <span id="page-15-3"></span>*Respirometry*

Oxygen consumption rates were measured in isolated islets using the XF96 Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 20 dispersed islets were seeded per well of a Seahorse flux plate that was pre-treated with Cell-Tak (Corning, CB40240), and islets were allowed to adhere overnight. Cells were then incubated for 1 h at 37°C in atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in pH 7.4 unbuffered RPMI1640 (Gibco, 11,875–119) with 1.67 mM glucose (Thermo Fisher Scientific, D16-1). Islets were first stimulated with high glucose (16.7 mM) and then FCCP (5  $\mu$ M; Millipore Sigma, C2920) for oxygen consumption and extracellular acidification analyses. Oxygen consumption rates were normalized to total protein content, which was measured by MicroBCA (Pierce, 23,235).

# *RNA and DNA isolation, gene expression, and mtDNA analyses*

<span id="page-15-4"></span>RNA isolation and reverse transcription was performed as previously described [\[6](#page-16-2)[,89\]](#page-18-29). DNA was isolated using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen, QIA69504) per the manufacturer's protocol. Gene expression by quantitative RT-PCR and relative mtDNA:nuclear DNA ratios were measured as previously described [\[6](#page-16-2)[,89\]](#page-18-29).

#### *Transmission electron microscopy*

Isolated islets were washed 2x in PBS and fixed overnight in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma, G5882) in water at 4°C and pelleted in 2% agarose (VWR International, MPN605). Agarose plugs were washed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2; CB; Electron Microscopy Sciences, 11,653), stained for 1 h on ice with 1.5% K4Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> (Sigma, 455,989) + 2% OsO<sub>4</sub> (Sigma, 201,030) in 0.1 M CB, washed in 0.1 M  $Na^{2+}$  acetate (Millipore Sigma, 127–09-3), pH 5.2, and en bloc stained in 2% uranyl acetate (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 22,400) in 0.1 M  $\text{Na}^{2+}$  acetate, pH 5.2 for 1 h. The plug was embedded in a BEEM Capsule (Ted Pella Inc, 130-B) and polymerized for 24 h at 70°C. Sections were cut with a diamond knife, and post-stained with 4% uranyl acetate and Reynolds' lead citrate (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 22,410–01). Images were captured on a JEOL JEM-1400 transmission electron microscope. Images were blinded and the percentage of mitochondria with distorted cristae per high-power field was scored as previously described [\[6\]](#page-16-2).

#### *Immunostaining and colocalization analysis*

Mitochondria localization studies were performed on immunostained MEFs grown in chamber slides, prepared as previously described [\[11\]](#page-17-0). Z-stack images were taken with a an IX81 microscope (Olympus) with an ORCA Flash4 CMOS digital camera (Hamamatsu), and deconvolved using the nearest-neighbor algorithm (CellSens; Olympus). Objectbased colocalization analysis was performed using JaCOP [\[90\]](#page-18-30).

#### <span id="page-16-6"></span>**Acknowledgments**

M.A.G. was supported by the NIH (F31-DK-122761, T32-GM-007315, T32-GM-008322). S.A.S was supported by the JDRF (CDA-2016-189, SRA-2018-539, COE-2019-861), the NIH (R01 DK108921, U01 DK127747), the Department of Veterans Affairs (I01 BX004444), the Brehm family, the Anthony family, and a Brehm T1D Pilot and Feasibility Grant from the Michigan Diabetes Research Center (P30- DK020572). G.L.P. was supported by the American Diabetes Association (19-PDF-063). S.S. was supported by the JDRF (CDA-2016-189) and NIH (R01 DK108921). The JDRF Career Development Award to S.A.S. is partly supported by the Danish Diabetes Academy and the Novo Nordisk Foundation. D.J.K. was supported by the NIH (GM131919). X.L. was supported by a medical student training partnership by the Central South University Xiangya School of Medicine and the University of Michigan. We acknowledge P. Blakely and J. Harrison in the Michigan Medicine Microscopy Core for advice and assistance with sample preparation and EM imaging. The generation of recombinant protein for biophysical studies reported in this publication was supported by the University of Michigan Center for Structural Biology (CSB). The CSB is grateful for support from the U-M Life Sciences Institute, the U-M Rogel Cancer Center, the U-M Medical School Endowment for Basic Sciences, and grants from the NIH. We thank the University of Michigan BioNMR Core for assistance performing, analyzing, and interpreting NMR studies. The University of Michigan BioNMR Core is supported by the U-M College of Literature, Sciences and Arts, Life Sciences Institute, College of Pharmacy and the Medical School along with the U-M Biosciences Initiative. We thank Drs. H. Popelka, E. Walker, P. Arvan, D. Fingar, K. Gaulton, R. Prasad, and members of the Soleimanpour laboratory for helpful advice.

#### **Disclosure statement**

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by the American Diabetes Association [19-PDF -063]; Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation United States of America [COE-2019-861]; Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation United States of America [CDA-2016-189]; Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation United States of America [SRA-2018-539]; National Institute of General Medical Sciences [GM131919]; National Institute of General Medical Sciences [T32-GM-007315]; National Institute of General Medical Sciences [T32-GM-008322]; National institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases [U01 DK127747]; National institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases [P30-DK020572]; National institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases [F31-DK-122761]; National institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases [R01 DK108921]; u.s. department of veterans affairs [I01 BX004444].

#### **Statistical analysis**

Data are represented as mean values, with error bars denoting  $\pm$  SEM. For all studies, statistical significance was determined using unpaired two-tailed student's t-tests for single comparisons or two-way ANOVA (Prism GraphPad) for multiple comparisons testing. A post-hoc Sidak's test was performed following ANOVA for samples reaching statistical significance. A 5% significance level was used for all statistical tests.

# **ORCID**

Daniel J. Klionsky Dhttp://orcid.org/0000-0002-7828-8118 Scott A. Soleimanpour Dhttp://orcid.org/0000-0001-6777-4498

# **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[1] Hakonarson H, Grant SF, Bradfield JP, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies KIAA0350 as a type 1 diabetes gene. Nature. [2007](#page-0-6);448(7153):591–594.
- [2] Gingerich MA, Sidarala V, Soleimanpour SA. Clarifying the function of genes at the chromosome 16p13 locus in type 1 diabetes: CLEC16A and DEXI. Genes Immun. 2020;21:79–82.
- [3] Fujimaki T, Kato K, Yokoi K, et al. Association of genetic variants in SEMA3F, CLEC16A, LAMA3, and PCSK2 with myocardial infarction in Japanese individuals. Atherosclerosis. 2010;210:468–473.
- [4] Hafler DA, Compston A, Sawcer S, et al. Risk alleles for multiple sclerosis identified by a genomewide study. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:851–862.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[5] Yoshida T, Kato K, Yokoi K, et al. Association of genetic variants with myocardial infarction in individuals with or without hypertension or diabetes mellitus. Int J Mol Med. 2009;24:701–709.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[6] Soleimanpour SA, Gupta A, Bakay M, et al. The diabetes susceptibility gene Clec16a regulates mitophagy. Cell. [2014](#page-0-7);157:1577–1590.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>[7] Pearson GL, Gingerich MA, Walker EM, et al. A selective look at autophagy in pancreatic β-Cells. Diabetes. [2021;](#page-0-8)70:1229–1241.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[8] Pearson G, Chai B, Vozheiko T, et al. Clec16a, Nrdp1, and USP8 form a ubiquitin-dependent tripartite complex that regulates beta-cell mitophagy. Diabetes. [2018;](#page-0-7)67:265–277.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>[9] Pearson G, Soleimanpour SA. A ubiquitin-dependent mitophagy complex maintains mitochondrial function and insulin secretion in beta cells. Autophagy. [2018](#page-0-9);14:1160–1161.
- [10] Soleimanpour SA, Ferrari AM, Raum JC, et al. Diabetes susceptibility genes pdx1 and clec16a function in a pathway regulating mitophagy in β-Cells. Diabetes. 2015;64(10):3475–3484. 1 0.2 337/ db15-0376
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[11] Sidarala V, Pearson GL, Parekh VS, et al. Mitophagy protects β cells from inflammatory damage in diabetes. JCI Insight. [2020](#page-14-1);5. DOI:[10.1172/jci.insight.141138.](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.141138)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>[12] Bradfield JP, Qu HQ, Wang K, et al. A genome-wide meta-analysis of six type 1 diabetes cohorts identifies multiple associated loci. PLoS Genet. [2011;](#page-0-10)7:e1002293.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[13] Mero IL, Ban M, Lorentzen AR, et al. Exploring the CLEC16A gene reveals a MS-associated variant with correlation to the relative expression of CLEC16A isoforms in thymus. Genes Immun. [2011](#page-0-10);12:191–198.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[14] Tian J, Wang Z, Mei S, et al. CancerSplicingQTL: a database for genome-wide identification of splicing QTLs in human cancer. Nucleic Acids Res. [2019](#page-0-11);47:D909–d16.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>[15] Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. [2019](#page-0-11);47:D1005–d12.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>[16] Liu Z, Huang Y. Advantages of proteins being disordered. Protein Sci. [2014;](#page-1-0)23:539–550.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>[17] Babu MM. The contribution of intrinsically disordered regions to protein function, cellular complexity, and human disease. Biochem Soc Trans. [2016;](#page-1-1)44:1185–1200.
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>[18] Fishbain S, Inobe T, Israeli E, et al. Sequence composition of disordered regions fine-tunes protein half-life. Nat Struct Mol Biol. [2015;](#page-5-0)22:214–221.
- <span id="page-17-36"></span>[19] Chew LH, Lu S, Liu X, et al. Molecular interactions of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Atg1 complex provide insights into assembly and regulatory mechanisms. Autophagy. [2015](#page-10-0);11:891–905.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>[20] Wang B, Merillat SA, Vincent M, et al. Loss of the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBE2W results in susceptibility to early postnatal lethality and defects in skin, immune, and male reproductive systems. J Biol Chem. 2016;291:3030–3042.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>[21] Cheng Y, LeGall T, Oldfield CJ, et al. Abundance of intrinsic disorder in protein associated with cardiovascular disease. Biochemistry. [2006](#page-1-2);45:10448–10460.
- <span id="page-17-38"></span>[22] Du Z, Uversky VN. A comprehensive survey of the roles of highly disordered proteins in type 2 diabetes. Int J Mol Sci. [2017](#page-10-1);18:10.
- [23] Kulkarni P, Uversky VN. Intrinsically disordered proteins in chronic diseases. Biomolecules. 2019;9:147.
- <span id="page-17-37"></span>[24] Hegyi H, Buday L, Tompa P. Intrinsic structural disorder confers cellular viability on oncogenic fusion proteins. PLoS Comput Biol. [2009](#page-10-2);5:e1000552.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>[25] Mei Y, Glover K, Su M, et al. Conformational flexibility of BECN1: essential to its key role in autophagy and beyond. Protein Sci. [2016](#page-10-0);25:1767–1785.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>[26] Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, et al. Pfam: the protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. [2021](#page-1-3);49:D412–d9.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>[27] Erdős G, Dosztányi Z. analyzing protein disorder with IUPred2A. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. [2020;](#page-1-4)70:e99.
- [28] Hatos A, Hajdu-Soltész B, Monzon AM, et al. DisProt: intrinsic protein disorder annotation in 2020. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48: D269–d76.
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>[29] Jones DT, Cozzetto D. DISOPRED3: precise disordered region predictions with annotated protein-binding activity. Vol. 31. England): Bioinformatics (Oxford; 2015. p. 857–863.
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>[30] Uversky VN. The intrinsic disorder alphabet. III. dual personality of serine. Intrinsically Disord Proteins. [2015;](#page-1-5)3:e1027032.
- <span id="page-17-39"></span>[31] Mateos B, Conrad-Billroth C, Schiavina M, et al. The ambivalent role of proline residues in an intrinsically disordered protein: from disorder promoters to compaction facilitators. J Mol Biol. [2020](#page-10-3);432:3093–3111.
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>[32] Theillet FX, Kalmar L, Tompa P, et al. The alphabet of intrinsic disorder: i. act like a pro: on the abundance and roles of proline residues in intrinsically disordered proteins. Intrinsically Disord Proteins. [2013;](#page-10-4)1:e24360.
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>[33] Popelka H, Uversky VN, Klionsky DJ. Identification of Atg3 as an intrinsically disordered polypeptide yields insights into the molecular dynamics of autophagy-related proteins in yeast. Autophagy. [2014](#page-1-6);10:1093–1104.
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>[34] Tompa P. Intrinsically unstructured proteins. Trends Biochem Sci. [2002;](#page-1-6)27:527–533.
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>[35] Sahu D, Bastidas M, Showalter SA. Generating NMR chemical shift assignments of intrinsically disordered proteins using carbon-detected NMR methods. Anal Biochem. [2014](#page-1-7);449:17–25.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>[36] Marsh JA, Singh VK, Jia Z, et al. Sensitivity of secondary structure propensities to sequence differences between alpha- and gamma-synuclein: implications for fibrillation. Protein Sci. [2006](#page-1-7);15:2795–2804.
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>[37] Redmann V, Lamb CA, Hwang S, et al. Clec16a is critical for autolysosome function and purkinje cell survival. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-2-1);6:23326.
- <span id="page-17-41"></span>[38] Harris BS, Fairfield HE, Reinholdt LG, et al. The first Clec16a mutant mouse exhibits defects in digits and tail MGI direct data submission. MGI. [2013](#page-13-0);J:190968.
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>[39] Harris B, Ward-Bailey P, Bergstrom D, et al. Curvy tail: a new skeletal mutation that maps to chromosome 16 MGI direct data submission. MGI. [2011](#page-13-0);J:172931.
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>[40] Kaufman BA, Li C, Soleimanpour SA. Mitochondrial regulation of beta-cell function: maintaining the momentum for insulin release. Mol Aspects Med. [2015;](#page-4-1)42:91–104.
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>[41] Suliman HB, Carraway MS, Tatro LG, et al. A new activating role for CO in cardiac mitochondrial biogenesis. J Cell Sci. [2007](#page-5-1);120:299–308.
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>[42] Piantadosi CA, Carraway MS, Babiker A, et al. Heme oxygenase-1 regulates cardiac mitochondrial biogenesis via Nrf2-mediated transcriptional control of nuclear respiratory factor-1. Circ Res. [2008](#page-5-1);103:1232–1240.
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>[43] Jin SM, Youle RJ. PINK1- and parkin-mediated mitophagy at a glance. J Cell Sci. [2012](#page-5-2);125:795–799.
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>[44] Sun N, Malide D, Liu J, et al. A fluorescence-based imaging method to measure in vitro and in vivo mitophagy using mt-Keima. Nat Protoc. [2017;](#page-5-3)12:1576–1587.
- <span id="page-17-27"></span>[45] Darling AL, Uversky VN. Intrinsic disorder and posttranslational modifications: the darker side of the biological dark matter. Front Genet. [2018;](#page-5-0)9:158.
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>[46] van der Lee R, Lang B, Kruse K, et al. Intrinsically disordered segments affect protein half-life in the cell and during evolution. Cell Rep. [2014;](#page-5-0)8:1832–1844.
- <span id="page-17-29"></span>[47] de Bie P, Ciechanover A. Ubiquitination of E3 ligases: self-regulation of the ubiquitin system via proteolytic and non-proteolytic mechanisms. Cell Death Differ. [2011](#page-5-4);18:1393–1402.
- <span id="page-17-30"></span>[48] Schumacher FR, Wilson G, Day CL. The N-terminal extension of UBE2E ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes limits chain assembly. J Mol Biol. [2013](#page-5-5);425:4099–4111.
- <span id="page-17-31"></span>[49] Keul ND, Oruganty K, Schaper Bergman ET, et al. The entropic force generated by intrinsically disordered segments tunes protein function. Nature. [2018;](#page-8-0)563:584–588.
- <span id="page-17-32"></span>[50] Das RK, Ruff KM, Pappu RV. Relating sequence encoded information to form and function of intrinsically disordered proteins. Curr Opin Struct Biol. [2015;](#page-8-1)32:102–112.
- [51] Davey NE, Van Roey K, Weatheritt RJ, et al. Attributes of short linear motifs. Mol Biosyst. 2012;8:268–281.
- <span id="page-17-40"></span>[52] Bah A, Forman-Kay JD. Modulation of intrinsically disordered protein function by post-translational modifications. J Biol Chem. [2016](#page-13-1);291:6696–6705.
- <span id="page-17-35"></span>[53] Das RK, Pappu RV. Conformations of intrinsically disordered proteins are influenced by linear sequence distributions of oppositely charged residues. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. [2013;](#page-10-5)110:13392–13397.
- [54] Firman T, Ghosh K. Sequence charge decoration dictates coil-globule transition in intrinsically disordered proteins. J Chem Phys. 2018;148:123305.
- <span id="page-17-33"></span>[55] Martin EW, Holehouse AS, Grace CR, et al. Sequence determinants of the conformational properties of an intrinsically disordered protein prior to and upon multisite phosphorylation. J Am Chem Soc. 2016;138:15323–15335.
- <span id="page-17-34"></span>[56] Stothard P. The sequence manipulation suite: javaScript programs for analyzing and formatting protein and DNA sequences. Biotechniques. [2000;](#page-8-2)28:1102, 4.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[57] Sigrist CJ, Cerutti L, Hulo N, et al. PROSITE: a documented database using patterns and profiles as motif descriptors. Brief Bioinform. [2002;](#page-8-3)3:265–274.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[58] Letunic I, Khedkar S, Bork P. SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2020. Nucleic Acids Res. [2021;](#page-8-3)49: D458–d60.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[59] Popelka H. Dancing while self-eating: protein intrinsic disorder in autophagy. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. [2020;](#page-10-6)174:263–305.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[60] Mei Y, Su M, Soni G, et al. Intrinsically disordered regions in autophagy proteins. Proteins. [2014](#page-10-0);82:565–578.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[61] Yamamoto H, Fujioka Y, Suzuki SW, et al. The intrinsically disordered protein atg13 mediates supramolecular assembly of autophagy initiation complexes. Dev Cell. [2016;](#page-10-0)38:86–99.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[62] Popelka H, Klionsky DJ. Analysis of the native conformation of the LIR/AIM motif in the Atg8/LC3/GABARAP-binding proteins. Autophagy. [2015;](#page-10-7)11:2153–2159.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>[63] van Luijn Mm, Kreft KL, Jongsma ML, et al. Multiple sclerosis-associated CLEC16A controls HLA class II expression via late endosome biogenesis. Brain. [2015](#page-10-8);138:1531–1547.
- [64] Kim S, Naylor SA, DiAntonio A. Drosophila golgi membrane protein ema promotes autophagosomal growth and function. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012;109:E1072–81.
- [65] Kim S, Wairkar YP, Daniels RW, et al. The novel endosomal membrane protein ema interacts with the class C Vps-HOPS complex to promote endosomal maturation. J Cell Biol. 2010;188:717–734.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[66] Yin J, Huang Y, Guo P, et al. GOP-1 promotes apoptotic cell degradation by activating the small GTPase Rab2 in C. elegans. J Cell Biol. [2017;](#page-10-9)216:1775–1794.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>[67] Yamano K, Wang C, Sarraf SA, et al. Endosomal Rab cycles regulate parkin-mediated mitophagy. eLife. [2018](#page-10-9);7. DOI:[10.7554/](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31326) [eLife.31326.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31326)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[68] Oates ME, Romero P, Ishida T, et al. D(2)P(2): database of disordered protein predictions. Nucleic Acids Res. [2013;](#page-10-10)41: D508–16.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>[69] Iakoucheva LM, Brown CJ, Lawson JD, et al. Intrinsic disorder in cell-signaling and cancer-associated proteins. J Mol Biol. [2002](#page-10-2);323:573–584.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[70] Marsh JA, Forman-Kay JD. Sequence determinants of compaction in intrinsically disordered proteins. Biophys J. [2010;](#page-10-11)98:2383–2390.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>[71] Glaves R, Baer M, Schreiner E, et al. Conformational dynamics of minimal elastin-like polypeptides: the role of proline revealed by molecular dynamics and nuclear magnetic resonance. Chemphyschem. [2008;](#page-10-12)9:2759–2765.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>[72] Dunker AK, Brown CJ, Lawson JD, et al. Intrinsic disorder and protein function. Biochemistry. [2002](#page-11-1);41:6573–6582.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>[73] Pang CN, Hayen A, Wilkins MR. Surface accessibility of protein post-translational modifications. J Proteome Res. [2007](#page-11-1);6:1833–1845.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>[74] Murphy P, Xu Y, Rouse SL, et al. Functional 3D architecture in an intrinsically disordered E3 ligase domain facilitates ubiquitin transfer. Nat Commun. [2020;](#page-11-2)11:3807.
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>[75] Lu B, Kennedy B, Clinton RW, et al. Steric interference from intrinsically disordered regions controls dynamin-related protein 1 self-assembly during mitochondrial fission. Sci Rep. [2018](#page-12-0);8:10879.
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>[76] Chakrabortee S, Tripathi R, Watson M, et al. Intrinsically disordered proteins as molecular shields. Mol Biosyst. [2012](#page-12-1);8:210–219.
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>[77] Wichapong K, Silvestre-Roig C, Braster Q, et al. Structure-based peptide design targeting intrinsically disordered proteins: novel histone H4 and H2A peptidic inhibitors. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. [2021;](#page-12-2)19:934–948.
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>[78] Sabari BR, Dall'Agnese A, Boija A, et al. Coactivator condensation at super-enhancers links phase separation and gene control. Science (New York, NY). [2018](#page-12-3);361. DOI:[10.1126/science.aar3958](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3958).
- [79] Kim MY, Na I, Kim JS, et al. Rational discovery of antimetastatic agents targeting the intrinsically disordered region of MBD2. Sci Adv. 2019;5:eaav9810.
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>[80] Ambadipudi S, Zweckstetter M. Targeting intrinsically disordered proteins in rational drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov. 2016;11:65–77.
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>[81] Jozefczuk J, Drews K, Adjaye J. Preparation of mouse embryonic fibroblast cells suitable for culturing human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. J vis exp. [2012](#page-13-2). DOI:[10.3791/3854](https://doi.org/10.3791/3854)
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>[82] Seluanov A, Vaidya A, Gorbunova V. Establishing primary adult fibroblast cultures from rodents. J Vis Exp. [2010.](#page-13-2) DOI:[10.3791/](https://doi.org/10.3791/2033) [2033](https://doi.org/10.3791/2033)
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>[83] Claiborn KC, Sachdeva MM, Cannon CE, et al. Pcif1 modulates Pdx1 protein stability and pancreatic β cell function and survival in mice. J Clin Invest. [2010;](#page-13-3)120:3713–3721.
- <span id="page-18-24"></span>[84] Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. Nat Methods. [2014](#page-13-4);11:783–784.
- <span id="page-18-25"></span>[85] Sidarala V, Zhu J, Levi-D'Ancona E, et al. Mitofusin 1 and 2 regulation of mitochondrial DNA content is a critical determinant of glucose homeostasis. Nature Communications. [2022:](#page-15-0)13 (1):2340.
- <span id="page-18-26"></span>[86] Sachdeva MM, Claiborn KC, Khoo C, et al. Pdx1 (MODY4) regulates pancreatic beta cell susceptibility to ER stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. [2009](#page-15-1);106:19090–19095.
- <span id="page-18-27"></span>[87] Soleimanpour SA, Crutchlow MF, Ferrari AM, et al. Calcineurin signaling regulates human islet {beta}-cell survival. J Biol Chem. [2010](#page-15-2);285:40050–40059.
- <span id="page-18-28"></span>[88] Khoo C, Yang J, Rajpal G, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductin-1-like β (ERO1lβ) regulates susceptibility to endoplasmic reticulum stress and is induced by insulin flux in β-cells. Endocrinology. [2011;](#page-15-3)152:2599–2608.
- <span id="page-18-29"></span>[89] Kolesar JE, Wang CY, Taguchi YV, et al. Two-dimensional intact mitochondrial DNA agarose electrophoresis reveals the structural complexity of the mammalian mitochondrial genome. Nucleic Acids Res. [2013](#page-15-4);41:e58.
- <span id="page-18-30"></span>[90] Bolte S, Cordelières FP. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. J Microsc. [2006](#page-16-6);224:213–232.