# Evidence of Recombination in *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and Random Distribution of Putative Virulence Markers

ELLEN V. G. FRANDSEN,<sup>1\*</sup> KNUD POULSEN,<sup>2</sup> MICHAEL A. CURTIS,<sup>3</sup> AND MOGENS KILIAN<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Oral Biology, Royal Dental College,*<sup>1</sup> *and Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology,*<sup>2</sup> *Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, and MRC Molecular Pathogenesis Group, Department of Oral Microbiology, St. Bartholomew's and the Royal London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London E1 2AA, United Kingdom*<sup>3</sup>

Received 20 November 2000/Returned for modification 7 March 2001/Accepted 12 April 2001

**The association of** *Porphyromonas gingivalis* **to periodontal disease is not clearly understood. Similar proportions of** *P. gingivalis* **may be cultivated from both inactive and actively degrading periodontal pockets. Differences in virulence among strains of** *P. gingivalis* **exist, but the molecular reason for this remains unknown. We examined the population structure of** *P. gingivalis* **to obtain a framework in which to study pathogenicity in relation to evolution. Phylogenetic trees derived from the sequencing of fragments of four housekeeping genes,** *ahp, thy, rmlB***, and** *infB***, in 57 strains were completely different with no correlation between clustering of strains in the four dendrograms. Combining the various alleles of the four gene fragments sequenced** resulted in 41 different sequence types. The index of association, I<sub>A</sub>, based on a single representative of each sequence type was  $0.143 \pm 0.202$ , indicating a population at linkage equilibrium. Inclusion of all isolates for the calculation of  $I_A$  resulted in a value of  $0.206 \pm 0.171$ . This suggests an epidemic population structure **supported by the finding of genetically identical strains in different parts of the world. We observed a random distribution of two virulence-associated mobile genetic elements, the** *ragB* **locus and the insertion sequence IS***1598***, among 132 strains tested. In conclusion,** *P. gingivalis* **has a nonclonal population structure characterized by frequent recombination. Our study suggests that particular genotypes, possibly with increased pathogenic potential, may spread successfully in the human population.**

The close association of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* with human periodontal disease has been demonstrated in numerous studies (37). The almost complete absence by cultivation of this organism at healthy gingival sites has led some researchers to consider it a specific pathogen. Recently developed, moresensitive techniques such as PCR, however, have demonstrated the presence of *P. gingivalis* at subcultivable levels at healthy gingival sites in adults, as well as in children (18, 22). In addition, *P. gingivalis* may be present at almost similar proportions in periodontal pockets undergoing destruction and pockets with unaltered pocket depth (2.5 and 1% of the cultivable flora, respectively) (7). Theoretically, this could be indicative of a heterogeneous species with subpopulations of low and high pathogenicity. Indeed, numerous studies have revealed variations among strains with regard to pathogenicity in experimental infections (8, 9, 12, 17, 21) and in the expression of potential virulence factors (16, 36, 38, 39, 40). In addition, specific genotypes of the *fimA* gene encoding fimbrillin and specific heteroduplex types of the ribosomal intergenic spacer region have been linked to periodontal disease in humans (3, 10). In order to evaluate whether differences in pathogenicity and virulence factors in *P. gingivalis* reflect the existence of particularly pathogenic evolutionary lineages, it is necessary to obtain information on the basic population structure of the species.

Bacterial species with a clonal population structure are char-

acterized by a strong linkage disequilibrium caused by nonrandom association of alleles at different loci. In such populations the mutation rate is high compared to the rate of interstrain recombination, i.e., horizontal gene transfer does not occur frequently enough to break up clonal associations (24). Some studies of traditional, exogenous pathogens have revealed significant differences in the disease association of particular clones (5, 26, 27, 28, 31). Thus, for *Haemophilus influenzae, Bordetella*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* disease, even on a worldwide basis, is caused by a limited number of clones (26, 27, 28). The remaining part of the population may act as opportunistic pathogens or is seldom associated with disease. Provided there is a strict clonal population structure, any phenotypic trait associated with the virulent clones may identify them and thereby facilitate diagnosis. For a bacterial species exhibiting a nonclonal population structure evolutionary lineages are broken up due to frequent interstrain recombination relative to mutations. This may result in a random or near-random distribution of virulence-associated alleles of particular genes in the population. Consequently, the search for markers of particularly virulent strains must concentrate on individual genes or phenotypic traits responsible for virulence (15).

In two previous studies of *P. gingivalis* using multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE) and DNA fingerprinting, it was concluded that the population structure is clonal based on the finding of the same genotype of *P. gingivalis* in geographically widespread locations (21, 25). However, the significance of recovery of strains with an identical genotype is highly dependent on the differentiating power of the typing method used. In the case of MLEE, further analysis is necessary to verify whether the identical electophoretic type in two isolates rep-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Oral Biology, Bartholin Building, University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. Phone: 45-89-42-17-39. Fax: 45-86-19-61-28. E-mail: ef @microbiology.au.dk.

resents a combination of frequent alleles rather than the same clone (23). Besides, in an epidemic species with a panmictic population structure such as that of *Neisseria meningitidis*, the repeated isolation of a particular genotype may be caused by its epidemic dissemination and does not reflect the long-term evolution of the species (1, 5). An indication of clonality is if the index of association  $(I_A)$ , which is a measure of linkage disequilibrium among alleles of different loci, is significantly different from zero, indicating that particular alleles co-occur (24). Another strong evidence for a clonal population structure is if similar or identical phylogenetic trees are derived from sequencing of different genes located at different parts of the chromosome (19, 23). This has been demonstrated in populations of *Salmonella* spp. (30).

The aim of the present study was to investigate to what extent recombination affects the population structure of *P. gingivalis* by comparing phylogenetic trees derived from sequencing fragments of four housekeeping genes. This was combined with an analysis of the prevalence and distribution of two genes with reported association to pathogenicity: the *ragB* locus contained within a newly discovered pathogenicity island of *P. gingivalis* (6, 11) and the insertion sequence IS*1598* (35).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains.** This study included a total of 132 different strains of *P. gingivalis*. Five strains (ATCC 33277T, ATCC 49417, 10-2-1, W50, and HG 184) were represented twice, one (W83) was represented three times, and one (381) was represented four times by cultures received from different laboratories. All strains were from human sources, the majority being from periodontally diseased subjects and four strains were from gingivally healthy sites. The strains had been isolated in 22 states or countries located on four continents. Each strain was originally identified as *P. gingivalis* by the investigator from whom it was obtained. Upon receipt, each strain was given a PGF number. They were revived on BGA agar plates (as described in reference 13, modified by the use of freezethawed blood and the omission of antibiotic according to J. Carlsson, Umeå, Sweden [personal communication]). All strains were subjected to Southern blot analysis and tested for presence of the *ragB* locus and the insertion sequence IS*1598*. Sequence analyses were performed on 57 strains and on 4 duplicate strains, the designations, isolation site, and geographic origin of which are given in Results.

**Southern blot analysis.** Whole-cell DNA was prepared from a 14-ml liquid culture of plaque medium (14) as described previously (32), except that lysozyme treatment was omitted. The quality and concentration of DNA was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis. Approximately  $2 \mu$ g of total cellular DNA was subjected to restriction endonuclease digestion with *Msp*I (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. During the last 30 min of digestion 0.05 mg of DNase-free RNase (Boehringer Mannheim) was added. The DNA fragments were subjected to overnight electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels at 1.5 V/cm in TAE buffer and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (34). The patterns obtained were used in the DNA fingerprinting analysis. The DNA in the gel was blotted onto Nytran nylon membranes (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany) as described previously (34). Hybridization was carried out as described by Sambrook et al. (34), except that the filters were soaked in  $1\%$  (vol/vol) Triton X-100 prior to prehybridization and 0.02% sodium pyrophosphate was included in all solutions. The same filters were used for hybridization with each of the two probes, and the filters were stripped between hybridization experiments by immersing in 1 liter of boiling 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and then left to cool for 15 min. The probes used for hybridizations (see below) were labeled with  $[32P]$ dCTP using a random-primed DNA labeling kit (Boehringer Mannheim). After hybridization the filters were washed in  $1 \times$  SET (0.15 M NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA; 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0)–0.1% SDS–0.1% sodium pyrophosphate at 60°C. Probes for hybridization were prepared by PCR. The primer set for part of the *ragB* locus was 5'-GACTCTTGGCTCGTTTACTG-3' combined with 5'-ACGCAAACGA CTACCCTCA-3', resulting in an amplicon of 436 bp when whole-cell DNA from strain W50 (PGF 111) was used as a template for the PCR (11). For the IS*1598* locus the primer set was 5'-TATCCGCCTGTTGCCTCGTCC-3' combined with

5'-GGCGGAG-GCGTAAACGGCTCA-3', resulting in an amplicon of 1,100 bp when whole-cell DNA from W83 (PGF 7) was used as a template in the PCR (35). The identity of the amplicons was verified by partial DNA sequencing. The two PCR products were purified from agarose gels upon electrophoresis using the Qiaex II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.).

**Nucleotide sequencing of gene fragments.** Internal fragments of the following housekeeping genes were used for sequencing: *ahp* encoding alkyl hydroperoxide reductase, *thy* encoding thymidylate synthetase, *rmlB* encoding dTDP–glucose-4,6-dehydratase, and *infB* encoding initiation factor 2. The four genes were selected from the unfinished genome sequence of *P. gingivalis* W83 (http://www .forsyth.org/pggp/) on the basis of a high degree of homology to the corresponding genes in *Escherichia coli* and, thus, they were assumed to be evolutionarily conserved among species. Primers for PCR amplification of fragments of the genes were designed from regions showing a high degree of homology with the corresponding genes from other bacteria as tested by searching the GenBank database. The gene fragments were amplified from whole-cell DNA by PCR using the following pairs of primers:  $ahp$  (5'-CCTTACCTTTGTATGCCCGAC GGA-3' and 5'-TCACGCCACTTGGCCGGACATAC-3'), resulting in an amplicon of 253 bp of the 564 bp gene; thy (5'-GAATGGGCTGATGA-GAACG GC-3' and 5'-CGGATGCGGATCATACCCTTC-3'), resulting in a 359-bp amplicon of the 795-bp gene;  $rmB$  (5'-GGCGG-TGCCGGTTTTATCGGA-3' and 5'-AGCCTTGCTGGCCGAATAGGG-3'), resulting in amplicon of 331 bp of the 1,066-bp gene; and *infB* (5'-CGATCGTTACGGTCATGGGAC-3' and 5'-GGCTTTCAGCTCTAAGATATC-3'), resulting in an amplicon of 372 bp of the 2,940-bp gene. For amplification we used approximately 1 ng of whole-cell DNA as template and Ready-To-Go PCR beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden). The temperature profile for the PCR was an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 60°C for 2 min, 72°C for 2 min, and then a final extension at 72°C for 8 min in a Perkin-Elmer type 480 DNA thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems, Norwalk, Conn.). The PCR products were purified using Wizard Minicolumns (Promega, Madison, Wis.). For DNA sequencing we used the same primers as for the PCR, and sequencing of both strands of the amplified fragments was achieved by using a Thermo Sequenase dye terminator cycle sequencing kit (Amersham Life Science, Cleveland, Ohio); the resulting products were analyzed with an Applied Biosystems PRISM 377 automated sequencer (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems).

**Phylogenetic analyses.** The unweighted-pair-group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) (a distance matrix method) provided in the PileUp program in the GCG package (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, Wis.) was used for multiple alignment of sequences and construction of dendrograms.

The genetic diversity (*h*) at an enzyme locus among strains, i.e., the probability that two randomly chosen strains have different alleles of the locus, was calculated from allele frequencies as follows:  $h = 1 - \sum x_i^2 [n/(n-1)]$ , where  $x_i$  is the frequency of the *i*th allele of the locus and *n* is the number of strains.

The index of association  $(I_A)$  is a measure of linkage disequilibrium defined by the equation  $I_A = V_O/V_E - 1$ , where  $V_O$  is the observed variance of the mean number of loci at which two strains differ and  $V<sub>E</sub>$  is the expected variance of the mean number of loci at which two *P. gingivalis* strains differ assuming a random association of alleles (24). The statistical null hypothesis is that the alleles are in linkage equilibrium and an  $I_A$  value that is significantly different from zero is indicative of a clonal population structure.  $I_A$  was calculated using the ETLINK program of T. S. Whittam (Institute of Molecular Evolutionary Genetics, University of Pennsylvania).

#### **RESULTS**

Whole-cell DNA digested with *Msp*I of all 132 strains of *P. gingivalis* and the 10 duplicates from different laboratories was subjected to Southern blot analysis using a DNA probe of 436 bp recognizing the *ragB* locus (6, 11) (Fig. 1A) and a DNA probe of 1,100 bp recognizing the insertion sequence IS*1598* (35) (Fig. 1B). The *ragB* locus was found in 17 of the 132 strains, and two patterns of hybridization were recorded each for 14 and 3 strains, respectively (Fig. 1A). The IS*1598* probe revealed a very diverse hybridization pattern recognizing from one to multiple DNA fragments in 91 of the 132 strains, whereas 41 strains were devoid of this insertion sequence. No attempts were made to score the different patterns. All duplicate strains showed hybridization patterns identical to those of the parent strains with both probes.



FIG. 1. *Msp*I-digested chromosomal DNA from *P. gingivalis* strains hybridized to a probe recognizing the *ragB* locus (A) and a probe recognizing the insertion sequence IS*1598* (B).

Internal fragments of four housekeeping genes, *ahp, thy, rmlB*, and *infB*, were amplified by PCR and sequenced. A search in the unfinished genome sequence of *P. gingivalis* W83 revealed that the four genes were separated by a minimum distance of 382 kb on the chromosome. The 57 strains included in the sequence analyses were chosen among the 132 strains according to the following criteria: (i) representation of strains from diverse geographical areas (22 countries or states on four continents), (ii) inclusion of all four strains from healthy gingival sites, and (iii) inclusion of all *ragB*-positive strains. Four duplicate strains received from different laboratories were also subjected to sequence analyses to test whether they did indeed represent the parent strains.

After alignment, none of the sequences contained deletions or insertions. The four duplicate strains had identical sequences in all four genes sequenced compared to the parent strains. Figure 2 shows the sites of variations in each gene fragment sequenced and the number of strains of each allelic type. The eight sites of variation in the *ahp* gene fragment sequenced were all silent site mutations, and they gave rise to 10 alleles, four of which were predominant, with each being found in six or more strains. The genetic diversity, *h*, of this

locus was 0.77. A relatively high diversity was also found in the *rmlB* gene fragment, where the nine sites of variation resulted in 12 alleles, of which three were predominant  $(h = 0.73)$ . The variation in four of the nine sites resulted in amino acid substitutions. The *thy* gene fragment had six sites of variation, of which two were nonsynonymous, leading to a change in the encoded amino acid. The six sites of variation gave rise to only six alleles, of which two predominated  $(h = 0.50)$ . The lowest genetic diversity was found in the *infB* gene fragment, which had a genetic diversity of 0.36 due to one predominant allele despite the presence of eight polymorphic nucleotide positions and nine alleles. Only one of these polymorphic sites was nonsynonymous.

A phylogenetic tree with unscaled branches based on multiple alignment of the *ahp* sequences using the UPGMA method in the PileUp program is presented in Fig. 3. The alleles of the other gene fragments sequenced are given by numbers that refer to the alleles presented in Fig. 2. For comparison, the structures of the phylogenetic trees based on the other genes sequenced are shown in Fig. 4. No correlation between the clustering of strains in the different dendrograms was observed. In general, strains with identical gene sequences in one gene had quite different alleles in another gene and vice versa. For example, strains with the type 2 allele of the *ahp* sequence represented three alleles of the *thy* gene, namely, alleles 4, 3, and 1, and these three alleles of the *thy* gene were found in strains representing two, six, and seven different al-



FIG. 2. Sites of variation among sequenced fragments of four housekeeping genes in 57 *P. gingivalis* strains. The numbering is with the A in the ATG start codon as position 1.

			Alleles of genes		<b>Hybridization to</b> probes identifying			
<b>Strain designation</b>		ahp thy	rmiB	infB	raaB locus 15 1598		Isolation site or diagnosis	Origin
$\mathbf{1}$ AHN 18856 (PGF 34)	-1	4	12	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$		$\ddot{}$	infected bone (jaw)	Finland
DCR 2006 (PGF 38)	$\mathbf{1}$	6	$\overline{11}$	5		$\ddot{}$	submarginal healthy	London, UK
OMGS 1434 (PGF 14)	2	3	10	3		$+$	periodontitis / gingivitis	Kenya
OMGS 1738 (PGF 17)	$\overline{ }$	$\mathbf{1}$	9	3		$\ddot{}$	periodontal absces	Sweden
CCUG 15671 = 381 (PGF 3)	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	4	6	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$			periodontitis	Boston, Massachusetts
HG 372 = ATCC 33277 <sup>T</sup> (PGF 9)	$\overline{2}$	4	6	3			subgingival pocket	Buffalo, New York
OMZ 281 = W1 (PGF 46)	7	4	11			$\ddot{\phantom{1}}$	periodontitis	Ann Arbor, Michigan
HG 184 (PGF 4)	$\mathbf{2}$	3	$\mathbf{I}$	8		$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	The Netherlands
OM2 409 (PGF 51)	$\overline{2}$	3	5	3			rapidly progressive periodontitis	Switzerland
OMZ 482 (PGF 55)	$\mathbf{2}$	4	$_{11}$	$\mathbf{1}$		$\ddot{}$	adult periodontitis	Switzerland
$OMZ 488 = B262 (PGF 56)$ $\overline{2}$	$\overline{a}$	$\boldsymbol{A}$	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$		$\ddot{\phantom{1}}$	postjuvenile periodantitis	Sweden
KY 4A (PGF 69)	$\overline{2}$	4	6	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$			moderate periodontitis	Denmark
Sudan - 93 (84) 2 (PGF 96)	$\overline{2}$	4	6	$\mathbf{1}$	J.	÷	periodontitis	Sudan
ATCC 49417 (PGF 131)	3 B	з	11	$\overline{4}$	I	$\ddot{\phantom{1}}$	periodontitis	unknown
LB13D-3 (PGF 150) 3	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	3	$\mathbf{11}$	4	Ĭ	$\ddotmark$	unknown	unknown
ESO 132 (PGF 44)	3	3	11	3		$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	Okayama, Japan
HG 1691 (PGF 79) 4	3	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	9	3		$\ddot{\phantom{1}}$	periodontitis	The Netherlands
Wer 10/72 (PGF 127)	4	5	6	3	$\mathbf{H}$	÷	unknown	unknown
$\frac{5}{2}$ AHN 9176 (PGF 26)	5	3	11	$\mathbf{1}$			subgingival pocket	Finland
180 Pg1 (PGF 80) 6	6	4	6	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$			unknown	Indonesia
137 Pg1 (PGF 82)	Ċ 6	4	6	3			unknown	Indonesia
174 Pg1 (PGF 84)	6	4	6	3			unknown	Indonesia
OMZ 470 (PGF 52)		3	5	3			rapidly progressive periodontitis	Switzerland
Wade 1/62 (PGF 148)	$\overline{7}$	3	6	3.	$\mathbf{I}$	$+$	unknown	unknown
OMGS 2101 (PGF 21)	D $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	3	6	3	$\overline{a}$	÷	periodontitis / gingivitis	Kenya
P03 / 318 (PGF 58) 7	$\overline{ }$	3	6	3		$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	Thailand
204 Pg1 (PGF 87)		4	$\overline{9}$	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$		٠	unknown	Indonesia
249 Pg1 (PGF 89)	$\overline{7}$	4	11	$\mathbf{1}$	I	÷	unknown	Indonesia
AHN 22108 (PGF 37)	8	3	6	3			periimplantitis, extraction socket	Finland
OMGS 2103 (PGF 22)	8	3	5	3	$\mathbf{I}$	÷	periodontitis / gingivitis	Kenya
OMGS 945 (PGF 10)	8	в	4	3	J,	$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	Sweden
32.2.4 (PGF 161)	8	3	$\overline{11}$	$\overline{3}$	T	$\ddot{}$	unknown	unknown Finland
AHN 9296 (PGF 28)	8	3	$\overline{11}$	3	$\mathbf{r}$	$+$	subgingival pocket	Quebec city, Canada
19A4 (PGF 41)	8	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	$\mathbf{11}$	3	ı		periodontitis	Rennes, France
13 JC (PGF 42) W83 (PGF 7)	E 8	3	11	3	Ĭ	÷	submarginal healthy	West Germany
LH 14 (PGF 6)	8 8	3 3	11 11	3 3	I	$\ddot{}$ $\ddotmark$	clinical specimen unknown	unknown
OMZ 308 = W50 (PGF 48)	8	3	11	3	Ĭ.	$\ddotmark$	clinical specimen	West Germany
OMZ 479 (PGF 53)	8	$\mathbf{1}$	6	3			adult periodontitis	Switzerland
OMZ 481 (PGF 54)	8	3	3	$\overline{3}$			extracted root surface with caries	Switzerland
B 42 (PGF 45)	F 8	3	з	3			odontogenic absces, drainage incision	Hofu, Japan
HG 1025 = A7A1-28 (PGF 75)	8	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{8}$	$\overline{9}$			periodontitis	Sacaton, Arizona
HG 1690 (PGF 78)	8	$\overline{4}$	5	3			periodontitis	The Netherlands
170 Pg1 (PGF 83)	8	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	11	$\overline{2}$			unknown	Indonesia
176 Pg1 (PGF 85)	8	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\overline{2}$	3			unknown	Indonesia
Cameroun-95(1)10 (PGF 95)	$\mathbf{g}$	$\overline{4}$	6	3		$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	Cameroun
HG 1319 (PGF 76)	8	$\ddot{ }$	11	3		$\ddot{}$	healthy, gingival sulcus	The Netherlands
8 HG 1338 (PGF 77)	G 8	4	11	3	ä,		healthy, gingival sulcus	The Netherlands
Norway - 93 Pos 7B (PGF 107)	8	$\overline{4}$	11	3		$\ddot{}$	periodontitis, after treatment	Norway
Nord 15/41 (PGF 140)	9	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	5	3	J.	$\ddot{}$	unknown	unknown
BH6/26 (PGF 39)	9	3	11	3		$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	Winnipeg, Canada
JKG 7 (PGF 43)	9	4	6	3			periodontitis	Ann Arbor, Michigan
JL3F (PGF 67)	9	3	6	3		$\ddotmark$	moderate periodontitis	Denmark
111 Pg1 (PGF 81)	9	4	s	$\mathbf{I}$	I		unknown	Indonesia
9 Rumania - 93(15)10 (PGF 97)	9	2	6	3	T	$+$	periodontitis	Rumania
Rumania - 93(18)10 (PGF 98)	Ħ $\mathbf{9}$	$\overline{a}$	6	3	$\mathbf{I}$	$\ddot{}$	periodontitis	Rumania
10 A JW4 (PGF 40)	$\overline{\mathbf{10}}$	3	6.	6			neriodontitis	Bulfalo. New York

FIG. 3. Dendrogram constructed from sequencing a fragment of the *ahp* gene from 57 *P. gingivalis* strains. The columns on the right show the strain designation, including our own reference number in parentheses, the allele types of the four genes sequenced, the presence or absence and pattern of hybridization to the probe identifying the *ragB* locus, the presence (+) or absence (-) of hybridization to the probe identifying IS*1598*, the isolation site and clinical diagnosis as presented by the contributor of the strain, and the geographic origin of the strain. Sequence types represented by multiple strains are contained in with boxes designated by capital letters. CCUG = Culture Collection University of Göteborg, Göteborg, Sweden; ATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, Md; Strain OMZ  $488 = B262$  (PGF56) showed positive catalase reaction; UK, United Kingdom.

leles of the *ahp* gene, respectively. More examples are evident from Fig. 3.

The topologically different trees derived from sequencing genes in different areas of the bacterial genome (Fig. 3 and 4) and the lack of correlation between the clustering of strains in the trees indicated either no or a weak genetic linkage of the loci sequenced. In order to statistically analyze the extent of linkage, the  $I_A$  was calculated based on the combined results of the four gene fragments sequenced. A total of 41 different combinations, termed sequence types, of the alleles in the four genes were revealed among the 57 strains. The value of  $I<sub>A</sub>$ based on a single representative of each of the 41 sequence types was  $0.143 \pm 0.202$ , which is not significantly different from zero, thus indicating that the population is at linkage equilibrium due to frequent recombination. When including all isolates, the  $I_A$  was 0.206  $\pm$  0.171.

The presence of the *ragB* locus and the insertion sequence IS*1598* relative to the four dendrograms in Fig. 3 and 4 revealed that neither of these mobile genetic elements was associated with any particular allele of the four loci *ahp, thy, rmlB*, and *infB*. The *ragB* locus was found in strains of 10 different sequence types, and the insertion sequence IS*1598* was found in strains of 25 different sequence types. The four isolates from healthy gingival sites (DCR 2006, 13 JC, HG 1319, and HG 1338) represented three different sequence types, and they were also heterogenic with regard to presence of *ragB* and insertion sequence IS*1598*.

The eight sequence types represented by multiple isolates are marked with boxes and capital letters in the *ahp*-based dendrogram (Fig. 3). Sequence type C included three strains from the same study of an Indonesian population, sequence type H included two strains from the same study of a Romanian population, while sequence type B included two strains from the same collection and with no source information. All strains in each of these sequence types were identical with regard to the presence or absence of *ragB* and insertion se-



FIG. 4. Dendrograms based on partial sequences of *thy, rmlB*, and *infB* genes in 57 *P. gingivalis* strains.

quence IS1598. To further test for identity, DNA fingerprinting of whole-cell DNA digested with *Msp*I was performed, which revealed identical patterns within each of the three sequence types described above. It cannot be ruled out that the strains representing each of these three sequence types originated from the same person since data on this were not available. However, this possibility can be excluded for the other five sequence types represented by multiple strains (A, D, E, F, and G) since for each of these types the strains originated from different countries and for three of the sequence types even different continents. Some heterogeneity was found in sequence types D, E, and G when the information on the presence of *ragB* and IS*1598* was included in the analysis (Fig. 3). DNA fingerprinting of whole-cell DNA digested with *Msp*I confirmed this heterogeneity and revealed heterogeneity also in sequence type F. Still, some strains in three of the five sequence types were identical in all parameters tested. These were as follows: the two strains of sequence type A; strains OMGS 2101 and P03/318 of sequence type D; and strains 32.2.4, 13 JC, W83, LH 14, and OMZ 308 (W50) of sequence type E.

Sequence type E, represented by seven strains, may represent a clone because limited diversity was observed for other parameters tested. Six of the seven strains had both the insertion sequence IS*1598* and the same pattern of the *ragB* locus, while one strain (19A4) was devoid of these genetic elements. Whole-cell DNA of five of the six strains revealed an identical pattern when subjected to digestion with *Msp*I and, likewise, hybridization with the probe detecting insertion sequence IS*1598* gave rise to an identical pattern for these five strains. The remaining strain (AHN 9296) had a pattern of shared but fewer bands for both the *Msp*I digest and the IS*1598* hybridization, indicating that it was genetically very closely related but not identical to the five strains.

### **DISCUSSION**

Our study demonstrates that recombination dominates over mutations in *P. gingivalis* because sequencing of fragments of four housekeeping genes located in different parts of the genome revealed different phylogenetic trees for each gene and

lack of correlation between clustering of strains in the individual dendrograms (Fig. 3 and 4). This is considered to be strong evidence for a nonclonal population structure (19, 23). This conclusion is supported by a value of  $I_A$  (0.143  $\pm$  0.202) that is not significantly different from zero when including one representative of each of the 41 sequence types observed. The equation defining  $I_A$  indicates an expected value of zero for a population at linkage equilibrium (24). Inclusion of all isolates gave a value of  $I_A$  just significantly different from zero (0.206  $\pm$ 0.171). The combination of an  $I_A > 0$  when including all isolates and an  $I<sub>A</sub>$  that is not significantly different from zero when including only one representative of each sequence type suggests an epidemic population structure as reported for meningococci and pneumococci (1, 5, 20, 24). An epidemic population structure is characterized by being effectively sexual in the long term, but this may be obscured by temporary bursts (epidemics) of particular genotypes. Sampling of isolates from a certain limited time period may result in temporarily predominant genotypes being represented many times in the analysis, which may mask the actual panmictic structure of the population. Consequently, the linkage equilibrium may only be disclosed in the calculation of  $I<sub>A</sub>$  if a single representative of each genotype is included (24). In the present study DNA fingerprinting of *Msp*I-digested whole-cell DNA from strains of the same sequence type confirmed the genetic identity of several strains in spite of their widely different geographic origin, thus supporting an epidemic population structure. Our conclusion contrasts with that of two previous reports on a clonal population structure of *P. gingivalis* based on isolation of the same genotype from geographically widespread locations (21, 25). As discussed in the introduction and as illustrated by our results, this is not necessarily an indication of clonality (23). The random distribution of serotype, invasive potential in experimental infections, and fimbrial type observed in the two studies supports our conclusion. Experimental evidence of specific mechanisms for genetic exchange has not been provided for *P. gingivalis*. However, the nonclonal population structure found in here provides evidence that horizontal gene transfer between strains followed by homologous recombination in housekeeping genes does occur in vivo. The mechanism is as yet unknown.

Information on the clinical status of the periodontal sites sampled did not lead to disclosure of potentially highly virulent sequence types of *P. gingivalis*; neither did the random distribution of the *ragB* locus and the insertion sequence IS*1598*. The lack of association between the presence of *ragB* locus and the insertion sequence IS*1598* and any of the sequence-based phylogenetic trees supports the concept of a nonclonal population structure, but it is not evidence in itself because both are mobile genetic elements (11, 35).

The high diversity of *P. gingivalis* found in the studies of Loos et al.  $(21)$  and Ménard and Mouton  $(25)$  was confirmed in our study in which the combined analysis of only four gene fragments gave rise to 41 genotypes among 57 strains. Inclusion of more characters (the presence of *ragB* and insertion sequence IS*1598* and pattern of *Msp*I digests of whole-cell DNA) increased the diversity further. These results indicate that the considerably lower diversity reported by Ali et al. (2) based on ribotyping with two restriction enzymes is an underestimation of the true genetic polymorphism in *P. gingivalis*. It is also conceivable that these estimates are influenced by repeated inclusion of identical isolates from each individual.

In our study, six of the strains in sequence type  $E$  (Fig. 3) may constitute a clone associated with pathogenicity. Inclusion of more characters, i.e., patterns of whole-cell DNA digested with *Msp*I and hybridization patterns with probes recognizing *ragB* and the insertion sequence IS*1598*, confirmed their close genetic relatedness. The six strains included W83 and W50, with known strong pathogenicity, and all had the *ragB* locus and the insertion sequence IS*1598*, both of which have been reported to be associated with pathogenicity. The association of human periodontal disease with strains having characteristics in common with W83 was recently reported by Griffen et al. (10), who used heteroduplex analysis of the ribosomal intergenic spacer region for typing the strains, and by Amano et al. (3, 4), who reported a strong association of *fimA* genotype IV, represented in W50 and W83, with periodontal disease. Rumpf et al. (33) even suggested an association between pathogenicity and the phylogeny of *P. gingivalis* based on sequencing of the ribosomal intergenic spacer region because strains W83 and ATCC 49417, whose heteroduplex types were strongly associated with periodontitis, also showed close proximity in the dendrogram. However, in our study these strains represented two different sequence types with identity in only two of the four genes sequenced. This illustrates the problems of inferring phylogenic conclusions based on a single gene, particularly in species with a nonclonal population structure.

In addition to the population genetic analysis based on selected housekeeping genes, we also investigated the distribution of mobile genetic elements. The *ragB* locus is a potential virulence determinant because it forms part of a pathogenicity island most likely acquired by horizontal gene transfer and is present in a proportion of *P. gingivalis* strains that includes highly virulent strains (11). The detection of this locus in a single isolate from a healthy gingival sulcus (Fig. 2) does not rule out this possibility. In this study the *ragB* locus was found in 13% of the 132 *P. gingivalis* strains studied in accordance with the findings of Hanley et al. (11). They reported that the *ragB* locus was detected by PCR in 36% of subgingival plaque samples from deep periodontal pockets. This does not necessarily suggest a prevalence of 36% among *P. gingivalis* isolates because it cannot be excluded that the *ragB* locus is present in other members of the oral flora since the locus is present on a mobile genetic element. However, the concomitant presence of the *ragB* locus and *P. gingivalis* had a statistically significantly stronger association to deep periodontal pockets than the presence of *P. gingivalis* alone (11).

The insertion sequence IS*1598* was present in 69% of the 132 strains tested. One discrepancy between our results and those of Sawada et al. (35) was observed. They found that strain 381 had the IS*1598*, whereas it was absent in all four duplicates of strain 381 from different sources included in our study. The reported association of IS*1598* with pathogenicity is based on its presence in three strains, of which two had a known high pathogenicity, and its absence in four strains (35). Further studies focusing on the various locations of this gene locus are necessary to understand the pathogenic significance of the IS*1598* locus.

We conclude that *P. gingivalis* has an epidemic population structure characterized by frequent recombination. Such genetic events explain the random distribution of the potential virulence markers, the *ragB* locus and the IS*1598* locus, in the *P. gingivalis* population observed in this study and the previously reported random distribution of serotype, invasive potential in experimental infections, and fimbrial type (21, 25). Our study suggests that particular genotypes, possibly with increased pathogenic potential, may spread successfully in the human population. Virulence in *P. gingivalis* is thus not confined to a distinct evolutionary lineage that may be easily defined. The search for virulence-associated clones must, therefore, focus on individual genes or groups of genes.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We gratefully acknowledge the following scientists for providing many of the strains for the study: G. Dahlén, R. D. Gmür, E. Könönen, N. Skaug, R. Teanpaisan, and A. J. van Winkelhoff. We thank J. Aduse-Opoku for assistance with the sequence annotation from the *P. gingivalis* genome. The technical assistance of Lene Friis and Lise Hald is also much appreciated.

Financial support was obtained from The Foundations of the Danish Dental Association for Scientific and Practical Investigations in the Art in Dentistry and for Promotion of Scientific and Practical Dentistry, the Plasmid Foundation, the Velux Foundation, the Research Foundation of the University of Aarhus (grants no. F-1998-SUN-1-103 and E-1999-SUN-1-166), and the Danish Medical Research Council (grant no. 9702265).

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Achtman, M.** 1994. Clonal spread of serogroup A meningococci: a paradigm for the analysis of microevolution in bacteria. Mol. Microbiol. **11:**15–22.
- 2. **Ali, R. W., L. Martin, A. D. Haffajee, and S. S. Socransky.** 1997. Detection of identical ribotypes of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in patients residing in the United States, Sudan, Romania and Norway. Oral Microbiol. Immunol. **12:**106–111.
- 3. **Amano, A., M. Kuboniwa, I. Nakagawa, S. Akiyama, I. Morisaki, and S. Hamada.** 2000. Prevalence of specific genotypes of *Porphyromonas gingivalis fimA* and periodontal health status. J. Dent. Res. **79:**1664–1668.
- 4. **Amano, A., I. Nakagawa, K. Kataoka, I. Morisaki, and S. Hamada.** 1999. Distribution of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* strains with *fimA* genotypes in periodontitis patients. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**1426–1430.
- 5. **Caugant, D. A., L. F. Mocca, C. E. Frasch, L. O. Frøholm, W. D. Zollinger, and R. K. Selander.** 1987. Genetic structure of *Neisseria meningitidis* populations in relation to serogroups, serotype, and outer membrane protein pattern. J. Bacteriol. **169:**2781–2792.
- 6. **Curtis, M. A., S. A. Hanley, and J. Aduse-Opoku.** 1999. The *rag* locus of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*: a novel pathogenicity island. J. Periodont. Res. **34:**1–6.
- 7. **Dzink, J. L., S. S. Socransky, and A. D. Haffajee.** 1988. The predominant cultivable microbiota of active and inactive lesions of destructive periodontal diseases. J. Clin. Periodontol. **15:**316–323.
- 8. **Genco, C. A., C. W. Cutler, D. R. Kapscynski, K. Maloney, and R. R. Arnold.** 1991. A novel mouse model to study the virulence of and host response to *Porp hyromonas (Bacteroides) gingivalis*. Infect. Immun. **59:**1255–1263.
- 9. **Grenier, D., and D. Mayrand.** 1987. Selected characteristics of pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains of *Bacteroides gingivalis*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **25:** 738–740.
- 10. **Griffen, A. L., S. R. Lyons, M. R. Becker, M. L. Moeschberger, and E. J. Leys.** 1999. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* strain variability and periodontitis. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**4028–4033.
- 11. **Hanley, S. A., J. Aduse-Opoku, and M. A. Curtis.** 1999. A 55-kilodalton immunodominant antigen of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* W50 has arisen via horizontal gene transfer. Infect. Immun. **67:**1157–1171.
- 12. **Holt, S. C., J. Ebersole, J. Felton, M. Brunsvold, and K. S. Kornman.** 1988. Implantation of *Bacteroides gingivalis* in nonhuman primates initiates progression of periodontitis. Science **239:**55–57.
- 13. **Hunt, D. E., J. V. Jones, and V. R. Dowell, Jr.** 1986. Selective medium for the isolation of *Bacteroides gingivalis*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **23:**441–445.
- 14. Jensen, S. B., H. Löe, C. R. Schiött, and E. Theilade. 1968. Experimental gingivitis in man. IV. Vancomycin induced changes in bacterial plaque composition as related to development of gingival inflammation. J. Periodont. Res. **3:**284–293.
- 15. **Kilian, M.** 1998. Clonal basis of bacterial virulence, p. 131–142. *In* B. Guggenheim and S. Shapiro (ed.), Oral biology at the turn of the century. S. Karger AG, Basel, Switzerland.
- 16. **Laine, M. L., B. J. Appelmelk, and A. J. van Winkelhoff.** 1996. Novel poly-

saccharide capsular serotypes in *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. J. Periodont. Res. **31:**278–284.

- 17. **Laine, M. L., and A. J. van Winkelhoff.** 1998. Virulence of six capsular serotypes of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in a mouse model. Oral Microbiol. Immunol. **13:**322–325.
- 18. **Lamell, C. W., A. L. Griffin, D. L. McClellan, and E. J. Leys.** 2000. Acquisition and colonization stability of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in children. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**1196–1199.
- 19. **Lenski, R. E..** 1993. Assessing the genetic structure of microbial populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **90:**4334–4336.
- 20. **Lomholt, H.** 1995. Evidence of recombination and an antigenically diverse immunoglobulin A1 protease among strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Infect. Immun. **63:**4238–4243.
- 21. **Loos, B. G., D. W. Dyer, T. S. Whittam, and R. K. Selander.** 1993. Genetic structure of populations of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* associated with periodontitis and other oral infections. Infect. Immun. **61:**204–212.
- 22. **Lyons, S. R., A. L. Griffin, and E. J. Leys.** 2000. Quantitative real-time PCR for *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and total bacteria. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**2362– 2365.
- 23. **Maynard-Smith, J.** 1995. Do bacteria have population genetics, p. 1–12. *In* S. Baumberg, J. P. W. Young, E. M. H. Wellington, and J. R. Saunders (ed.), Population genetics of bacteria. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- 24. **Maynard-Smith, J., N. H. Smith, M. O'Rourke, and B. G. Spratt.** 1993. How clonal are bacteria? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **90:**4384–4388.
- 25. Ménard, C., and C. Mouton. 1995. Clonal diversity of the taxon *Porphyromonas gingivalis* assessed by random amplified polymorphic DNA fingerprinting. Infect. Immun. **63:**2522–2531.
- 26. **Musser, J. M., E. L. Hewlett, M. S. Peppler, and R. K. Selander.** 1986. Genetic diversity and relationships in populations of *Bordetella* spp. J. Bacteriol. **166:**230-237.
- 27. **Musser, J. M., J. S. Kroll, D. M. Granoff, E. R. Moxon, B. R. Brodeur, J. Campos, H. Dabernat, W. Frederiksen, J. Hamel, G. Hammond, E. A. Hølby, K. E. Jonsdottir, M. Kabeer, I. Kallings, W. N. Khan, M. Kilian, K. Knowles, H. J. Koornhof, K. I. Li, J. Montgomery, P. E. Pattison, J.-C. Piffaretti, A. K. Takala, M. L. Thong, R. A. Wall, J. I. Ward, and R. K. Selander.** 1990A. Global genetic structure and molecular epidemiology of encapsulated *Haemophilus influenzae*. Rev. Infect. Dis. **12:**75–111.
- 28. **Musser, J. M., P. M. Schlievert, A. W. Chow, P. Ewan, B. N. Kreiswirth, V. T. Rosdahl, A. S. Naidu, W. Witte, and R. K. Selander.** 1990B. A single clone

*Editor:* R. N. Moore

of *Staphylococcus aureus* causes the majority of cases of toxic shock syndrome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87:**225–229.

- 29. **Neiders., M. E., P. B. Chen, H. Suido, H. S. Reynolds, J. J. Zambon, M. Shlossman, and R. J. Genco.** 1989. Heterogeneity of virulence among strains of *Bacteroides gingivalis*. J. Periodont. Res. **24:**192–198.
- 30. **Nelson, K., T. S. Whittam, and R. K. Selander.** 1991. Nucleotide polymorphism and evolution in the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (*gapA*) in natural populations of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **88:**6667–6671.
- 31. **Olyhoek, T., B. A. Crowe, and M. Achtman.** 1987. Clonal population structure of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup A isolated from epidemics and pandemics between 1915 and 1983. Rev. Infect. Dis. **8:**665–691.
- 32. **Poulsen, K., P. Hjorth, and M. Kilian.** 1988. Limited diversity of the immunoglo bulin A1 protease gene (*iga*) among *Haemophilus influenzae* serotype b strains. Infect. Immun. **56:**987–992.
- 33. **Rumpf, R. W., A. L. Griffen, and E. J. Leys.** 2000. Phylogeny of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* by ribosomal intergenic spacer region analysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**1807–1810.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 35. **Sawada, K., S. Kokeguchi, H. Hongyo, S. Sawada, M. Miyamoto, H. Maeda, F. Nishimura, S. Takashiba, and Y. Murayama.** 1999. Identification by subtractive hybridization of a novel insertion sequence specific for virulent strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Infect. Immun. **67:**5621–5625.
- 36. **Shapira, L., C. Champagne, T. E. Van Dyke, and S. Amar.** 1998. Straindependent activation of monocytes and inflammatory macrophages by lipopolysaccharide of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Infect. Immun. **66:**2736–2742.
- 37. **Slots, J., and M. Ting.** 1999. *Actnobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in human periodontal disease: occurence and treatment. Periodontology 2000 **20:**82–121.
- 38. Sundqvist, G., D. Figdor, L. Hänström, S. Sörlin, and G. Sandström. 1991. Phagocytosis and virulence of different strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Scand. J. Dent. Res. **99:**117–129.
- 39. **van Steenbergen, T. J. M., F. G. A. Delemarre, F. Namavar, and J. de Graaff.** 1987. Differences in virulence within the species *Bacteroides gingivalis*. Antonie Leeuwenhoek **53:**233–244.
- 40. **van Steenbergen, T. J. M., F. Namavar, and J. de Graaff.** 1985. Chemiluminescence of human leukocytes by black-pigmented *Bacteroides* strains from dental plaque and other sites. J. Periodont. Res. **20:**58–71.