



Article Association between Use of Spironolactone and Risk of Stroke in Hypertensive Patients: A Cohort Study

Xintian Cai * D and Nanfang Li * D

Hypertension Center, Xinjiang Hypertension Institute, National Health Committee Key Laboratory of Hypertension Clinical Research, Key Laboratory of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, Xinjiang Clinical Medical Research Center for Hypertension Diseases, People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, Urumqi 830001, China

* Correspondence: 20182114036@stu.shzu.edu.cn (X.C.); lnanfang2016@sina.com (N.L.)

Abstract: Objective: to investigate the relationship between the use of spironolactone and the risk of stroke in hypertensive patients. Methods: a total of 2464 spironolactone users and 12,928 non-users were identified (unmatched original cohort), and 1:1 matched pairs of 2461 spironolactone users and 2461 non-users based on propensity scores were created (propensity-score-matched cohort). Results: In the unmatched original cohort, the unadjusted analysis showed that the use of spironolactone was associated with a lower risk of total stroke (HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.61–0.84; *p* < 0.001), which was sustained in the adjusted analysis. According to stroke type, the association was with ischemic strokes (propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.59–0.85; *p* < 0.001) and hemorrhagic ones (propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.45–0.88; *p* = 0.008). Similar results were shown in the propensity-score-matched cohort. The results of the subgroup and sensitivity analyses were consistent with those of the primary analysis. The dose–response analysis demonstrated a dose-dependent association of spironolactone with a lower risk of stroke in hypertensive patients. Conclusions: The use of spironolactone was associated with a significantly lower risk of stroke events in hypertensive patients. Further research, including prospective randomized clinical trials, is needed to validate our findings.

Keywords: spironolactone; stroke; hypertension; cohort study; primary prevention

1. Introduction

Stroke has become a worldwide public health problem [1]. In China, stroke is one of the leading causes of death and long-term physical and cognitive impairment [2]. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, there were 3.94 million new cases of stroke in China. The incidence rate of stroke increased by 86.0% from 1990, and the mortality rate increased by 32.3% from 1990 [1,3]. There are multiple major risk factors for stroke [4]. Some are not modifiable, such as age, gender, and race, while others, including lifestyle factors, may be modifiable [4–6]. The major modifiable risk factor for stroke is hypertension [7]. Therefore, a better understanding of additional modifiable risk factors for stroke has important clinical implications, especially in patients with hypertension. However, traditional risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, smoking, and dyslipidemia) do not explain all the risks of stroke. Several investigations have confirmed that they should also be partially attributed to the inappropriate release of aldosterone and/or the abnormal activation of mineralocorticoid receptors (MRs) [8–10].

Numerous studies have reported that the incidence of stroke is significantly higher in patients with aldosteronism than in patients with essential hypertension [11]. Similarly, it has been noted that the risk of stroke in patients with elevated plasma aldosterone appears to be independent of blood pressure [12]. Hyperaldosteronism and/or activation of MRs leads to endothelial damage, resulting in premature coronary artery disease, left ventricular hypertrophy, and proteinuria, all of which increase the propensity for stroke [11,13,14].



Citation: Cai, X.; Li, N. Association between Use of Spironolactone and Risk of Stroke in Hypertensive Patients: A Cohort Study. *Pharmaceuticals* **2023**, *16*, 57. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ph16010057

Academic Editors: Gary J. Stephens and Ioanna Gouni-Berthold

Received: 4 November 2022 Revised: 27 December 2022 Accepted: 28 December 2022 Published: 30 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). In patients with normal or high sodium intake, hyperaldosteronism and/or activation of MRs leads to oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction in the cerebral circulation and has been identified as a predictor of stroke or transient ischemic attack [13,14]. In addition, animal models suggest that hyperaldosteronism and/or activation of MRs has deleterious effects on cerebrovascular comorbidity, independent of blood pressure and hypokalemia [15,16]. MR antagonists (MRAs), highly effective agents in the treatment of refractory hypertension, also reverse the adverse effects (sodium retention, potassium loss, endothelial dysfunction, vascular inflammation, myocardial hypertrophy, and fibrosis) of hyperaldosteronism and/or activation of MRs, leading to reduced oxidative stress and collagen deposition and improved perfusion of cardiac and brain tissue [17–19].

Spironolactone is a non-specific MRA and the only MRA currently approved for clinical use in mainland China. Spironolactone is effective for lowering blood pressure (BP) when used as a monotherapy or combination therapy [17]. In several clinical studies, spironolactone has been shown to prevent target organ damage and effectively reduce the risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in high-risk populations [20–22]. However, the use of spironolactone as an antihypertensive agent remains low. Current guidelines consider spironolactone as a second-line treatment for essential hypertension (except for hypertensive patients with secondary aldosteronism, where it is a first-line treatment), which substantially limits its use. Further large-scale studies demonstrating its effectiveness and end-organ protection are necessary before spironolactone can be elevated as a first-line treatment option for hypertension. To date, there are no large-scale studies demonstrating the role of spironolactone on stroke events in hypertensive patients. To address this, we conducted a population-based, propensity-matched, retrospective cohort study to assess whether spironolactone is associated with a reduced risk of stroke in patients with hypertension.

2. Results

2.1. Study Population

We identified 15,392 participants who met the inclusion criteria, of whom 2464 (16.0%) received spironolactone treatment. Before the propensity score matching, there were differences between the two groups on several variables (Table 1). By using propensity score matching, 2461 participants using spironolactone were matched with 2461 non-users. After matching, the absolute standardized differences were less than 0.1 for all variables, indicating minimal differences between the two groups (Table 1 and Figure S1).

	Unmatcl	hed Original Cohort		Propensity-Score-Matched Cohort		
Characteristic	Non-User Group	User Group	ASD	Non-User Group	User Group	ASD
No. of participants	12928	2464		2461	2461	
Age, year	51.55 ± 12.22	53.22 ± 11.64	0.14	53.20 ± 12.30	53.23 ± 11.63	0.00
Male, %	7005 (54.18%)	1202 (48.78%)	0.11	1206 (49.00%)	1200 (48.76%)	0.00
Current smoker, %	3824 (29.58%)	734 (29.79%)	0.00	746 (30.31%)	732 (29.74%)	0.01
Current drinker, %	3449 (26.68%)	665 (26.99%)	0.01	639 (25.97%)	663 (26.94%)	0.02
Duration of hypertension, %			0.04			0.04
<5 year	11918 (92.19%)	2246 (91.15%)		2273 (92.36%)	2243 (91.14%)	
\geq 5 year	1010 (7.81%)	218 (8.85%)		188 (7.64%)	218 (8.86%)	
Hemodynamics						
Heart rate, bpm	80.78 ± 10.37	80.85 ± 10.41	0.01	80.84 ± 10.56	80.85 ± 10.41	0.00
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	89.25 ± 14.58	89.04 ± 14.57	0.01	88.94 ± 14.36	89.04 ± 14.58	0.01
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	144.54 ± 21.29	144.06 ± 20.74	0.02	143.80 ± 20.95	144.07 ± 20.75	0.01
Body mass index, kg/ m^2	27.10 ± 3.86	27.05 ± 3.91	0.01	27.07 ± 3.82	27.05 ± 3.91	0.01
Laboratory data						

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants.

	Unmatched Original Cohort			Propensity-Score-Matched Cohort			
Characteristic	Non-User Group	User Group	ASD	Non-User Group	User Group	ASD	
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L	24.00 (15.00–35.36)	24.30 (15.27–35.89)	0.03	24.00 (15.00–34.34)	24.29 (15.28–35.90)	0.05	
Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L	21.16 (16.19–28.00)	21.00 (16.40–28.13)	0.01	21.00 (16.00–27.96)	21.00 (16.41–28.15)	0.01	
Gamma-glutamyl transferase, U/L	28.13 (17.71–42.00)	29.04 (18.47–43.12)	0.07	28.71 (17.79–42.00)	29.00 (18.47–43.14)	0.06	
Estimated glomerular filtration rate, mL/(min*1.73 m ²)	96.96 ± 18.49	96.24 ± 19.91	0.04	96.32 ± 19.37	95.49 ± 20.14	0.04	
Total cholesterol, mmol/L Triglyceride, mmol/L	$\begin{array}{c} 4.52 \pm 1.01 \\ 1.60 \ (1.10 2.38) \end{array}$	4.49 ± 1.00 1.63 (1.12–2.45)	$0.04 \\ 0.05$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.51 \pm 1.01 \\ 1.92 \pm 1.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.49 \pm 1.00 \\ 2.00 \pm 1.57 \end{array}$	0.03 0.06	
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L	1.08 ± 0.29	1.07 ± 0.28	0.02	1.08 ± 0.29	1.07 ± 0.28	0.04	
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L	2.79 ± 0.84	2.72 ± 0.82	0.08	2.72 ± 0.86	2.72 ± 0.82	0.01	
Hemoglobin A1c, % Fasting plasma glucose, mmol/L	$6.12 \pm 1.24 \\ 5.37 \pm 1.82$	$6.14 \pm 1.29 \\ 5.41 \pm 1.87$	0.01 0.02	$6.17 \pm 1.24 \\ 5.34 \pm 1.91$	$6.14 \pm 1.29 \\ 5.41 \pm 1.87$	0.03 0.04	
Plasma aldosterone concentration, ng/dL	16.51 ± 7.05	16.38 ± 7.04	0.02	16.48 ± 6.87	16.38 ± 7.04	0.01	
High-sensitivity C-reactive protein, mg/L Comorbidity	2.68 (0.99–6.55)	2.64 (1.01–6.97)	0.02	2.86 (1.56–6.36)	2.90 (1.20-7.34)	0.02	
Chronic kidney disease, %	412 (3.19%)	143 (5.80%)	0.13	122 (4.96%)	143 (5.81%)	0.04	
Heart failure, %	209 (1.62%)	118 (4.79%)	0.18	98 (3.98%)	118 (4.79%)	0.04	
Atrial fibrillation, %	285 (2.20%)	87 (3.53%)	0.08	112 (4.55%)	86 (3.49%)	0.05	
Peripheral artery disease, %	1318 (10.19%)	292 (11.85%)	0.05	332 (13.49%)	291 (11.82%)	0.05	
Aldosteronism, %	476 (3.68%)	264 (10.71%)	0.27	261 (10.61%)	261 (10.61%)	0.00	
Diabetes mellitus, %	3165 (24.48%)	671 (27.23%)	0.06	661 (26.86%)	670 (27.22%)	0.01	
Hyperlipidemia, %	7489 (57.93%)	1472 (59.74%)	0.04	1456 (59.16%)	1470 (59.73%)	0.01	
Coronary heart disease, %	1736 (13.43%)	365 (14.81%)	0.04	376 (15.28%)	364 (14.79%)	0.01	
Co-medications	· · · ·	· · · ·		· · · ·	· · · ·		
Statins, %	5612 (43.41%)	1158 (47.00%)	0.07	1134 (46.08%)	1156 (46.97%)	0.02	
Aspirin, %	8307 (64.26%)	1653 (67.09%)	0.06	1641 (66.68%)	1652 (67.13%)	0.01	
Calcium channel blockers, %	9997 (77.33%)	1972 (80.03%)	0.07	2018 (82.00%)	1970 (80.05%)	0.05	
ACEI/ARB, %	9616 (74.38%)	1768 (71.75%)	0.06	1717 (69.77%)	1766 (71.76%)	0.04	
Beta blockers, %	4880 (37.75%)	969 (39.33%)	0.03	957 (38.89%)	967 (39.29%)	0.01	
Other diuretics, %	1411 (10.91%)	325 (13.19%)	0.07	307 (12.47%)	325 (13.21%)	0.02	
Insulin, %	1116 (8.63%)	227 (9.21%)	0.02	220 (8.94%)	227 (9.22%)	0.01	
Oral antidiabetic agents, %	2117 (16.38%)	439 (17.82%)	0.04	388 (15.77%)	438 (17.80%)	0.05	

Table 1. Cont.

Data are presented as either a mean (\pm standard deviation), median (interquartile range), or proportion (%). ASD, absolute standardized difference; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker.

2.2. Spironolactone Use and Stroke Risk in the Unmatched Original Cohort

During a median follow-up period of 48 months (IQR, 25–81 months), 1279 participants had at least 1 primary outcome event. The Kaplan–Meier survival curves for the primary outcome events are presented in Figure 1, and the cumulative event rates are shown in Table 2. The primary outcome event rates were 13.80 (11.80–16.05) events per 1000 person-years in patients taking spironolactone and 18.96 (17.86–20.11) events per 1000 person-years in those not taking spironolactone. The risks of experiencing total stroke events (unadjusted HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.61–0.84; p < 0.001) (Figure 1a), ischemic stroke events (unadjusted HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.63–0.90; p = 0.002) (Figure 1b), and hemorrhagic stroke events (unadjusted HR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.46–0.90; p = 0.010) (Figure 1c) exhibited a significant decrease in patients taking spironolactone compared with those not taking spironolactone.





After adjustment, the risk of experiencing total stroke events remained significantly decreased in patients taking spironolactone (multivariable-adjusted HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.59–0.81; p < 0.001 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.58–0.80; p < 0.001) (Table 2). After adjusting for confounders, the HR for total stroke events was not altered. The risk of ischemic stroke events was significantly lower in patients taking spironolactone than in those not taking spironolactone (multivariable-adjusted HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.60–0.85; p < 0.001 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.59–0.85; p < 0.001). The risk of hemorrhagic stroke events was also lower in patients taking spironolactone (multivariable-adjusted HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.47–0.92; p = 0.014 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.45–0.88; p = 0.008).

	Unmatched Original Cohort			Propensity-Score-Matched Cohort		
	Non-User Group	User Group	E Value	Non-User Group	User Group	E Value
Total stroke						
No. of events (%)	1110 (8.6%)	169 (6.9%)		244 (9.9%)	168 (6.8%)	
IR per 1000 person-years (95% CI)	18.96 (17.86, 20.11)	13.80 (11.80, 16.05)		23.09 (20.28, 26.18)	13.73 (11.73, 15.97)	
Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.71 (0.61, 0.84)	2.17	1.00 (reference)	0.59 (0.48, 0.72)	2.78
Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.69 (0.59, 0.81)	2.26	1.00 (reference)	0.60 (0.49, 0.73)	2.72
Propensity-score- adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.68 (0.58, 0.80)	2.30	1.00 (reference)	0.59 (0.49, 0.72)	2.78
Types of stroke						
Ischemic stroke		100 (5 (0))		000 (0 10/)	100 (5 (0))	
No. of events (%)	877 (6.8%)	139 (5.6%)		200 (8.1%)	138 (5.6%)	
(95% CI)	14.98 (14.00, 16.00)	11.35 (9.54, 13.40)		18.92 (16.39, 21.73)	11.28 (9.48, 13.33)	
Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.75 (0.63, 0.90)	2.00	1.00 (reference)	0.60 (0.48, 0.74)	2.72
Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.71 (0.60, 0.85)	2.17	1.00 (reference)	0.61 (0.49, 0.76)	2.66
Propensity-score- adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.71 (0.59, 0.85)	2.17	1.00 (reference)	0.60 (0.49, 0.75)	2.72
Hemorrhagic stroke						
No. of events (%)	275 (2.1%)	39 (1.6%)		50 (2.0%)	39 (1.5%)	
IR per 1000 person-years (95% CI)	4.70 (4.16, 5.29)	3.19 (2.27, 4.35)		4.73 (3.51, 6.24)	3.19 (2.27, 4.36)	
Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.64 (0.46, 0.90)	2.50	1.00 (reference)	0.63 (0.42, 0.96)	2.55
Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.66 (0.47, 0.92)	2.40	1.00 (reference)	0.63 (0.42, 0.96)	2.55
Propensity-score- adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (reference)	0.63 (0.45, 0.88)	2.55	1.00 (reference)	0.64 (0.42, 0.97)	2.50

Table 2. Associations between the use of spironolactone and the risk of outcome events.

In the unadjusted model, the only treatment was included as a covariate. In the multivariable model, all additional covariates were included. Using the same covariates, the individual propensity score was calculated. IR, incidence rate; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

2.3. Spironolactone Use and Stroke Risk in Propensity-Score-Matched Cohort

In the propensity-score-matched cohort, 412 patients experienced at least 1 total stroke event during the follow-up period. Figure 2 demonstrates the corresponding curves in the propensity-score-matched cohort with results similar to those observed in the unmatched original cohort. Log-rank tests support the existence of significant differences among the different subgroups of spironolactone exposure. Among the propensity-score-matched patients, the risk of total stroke events was significantly lower in patients taking spironolactone than in those not taking spironolactone (multivariable-adjusted HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.49–0.73; *p* < 0.001 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.49–0.72; *p* < 0.001) (Table 2). Among the propensity-score-matched patients, the risks of ischemic stroke (multivariable-adjusted HR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.49–0.76; *p* < 0.001 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.49–0.75; *p* < 0.001) and hemorrhagic stroke events (multivariable-adjusted HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.42–0.96; *p* = 0.033 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.42–0.97; *p* = 0.037) were also significantly lower in patients taking spironolactone than in those not taking spironolactone (Table 2).



Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier curves for (**a**) total stroke, (**b**) ischemic stroke, and (**c**) hemorrhagic stroke in the spironolactone user and non-user groups (after propensity score matching).

2.4. Subgroups and Sensitivity Analyses

The reduction in HRs for total stroke events, ischemic stroke events, and hemorrhagic stroke events in spironolactone users was generally consistent in all subgroups (Figure 3). Furthermore, no significant interactions were observed between the use of spironolactone and the use of other drugs (all *p*-values > 0.05) (Figure S2). Similar patterns of association were observed after excluding individuals older than 80 years at baseline (Table S1), excluding total stroke events that occurred 1 year before follow-up (Table S2), and considering all-cause mortality as a competing risk (Table S3). The use of spironolactone was statistically significant in reducing total stroke events, ischemic stroke events, and hemorrhagic stroke events according to different propensity score matching ratios (Figure S3), possibly overcoming the type 2 error caused by 1:1 matching. Finally, the E values calculated to assess the robustness of the results against potentially unmeasured confounders are summarized in Table 2. The E values (point estimates) observed for the association between the use or non-use of spironolactone and total stroke events, ischemic stroke events, and hemorrhagic

Subgroups	Numbe	r	P for interaction	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI)
Sex Female	2516	ers better	Nonusers better 0.386	0.54(0.40.0.73)
Male	2406	1.00		0.64(0.49,0.83)
Age	0070		0.587	0.01/0.10.0.00
<60 yr	33/3			0.64(0.49,0.83)
Duration of hypertension	1010		0.939	0.01(0.10,0.11)
<5 yr	4516	1.0		0.59(0.48,0.73)
>=5 yr AF	406	1.1	0.514	0.58(0.30,1.11)
No	4724	100	0.014	0.58(0.48,0.71)
Yes	198	1000 B		0.80(0.32,1.95)
PAD	4299	1001	0.267	0.63(0.50.0.78)
Yes	623	1.000		0.47(0.29,0.75)
Aldosteronism			0.776	
No	4400			0.62(0.50,0.77)
DM	522		0.817	0.08(0.00,1.02)
No	3591	180		0.61(0.48,0.77)
Yes	1331	1.000	0.000	0.58(0.41,0.81)
No	1996	1.00	0.822	0.58(0.43.0.79)
Yes	2926	184		0.61(0.47,0.79)
CHD	44.00		0.489	0.01/0.40.0.70
NO Yes	740	1.000		0.51(0.32,0.82)
Current smoking		_	0.688	0.01(0.02,0.02)
No	3444	1.00		0.61(0.48,0.77)
res Current drinking	14/8	1.000	0.910	0.56(0.39,0.80)
No	3620	0.00	0.510	0.59(0.47,0.75)
Yes	1302	1.000		0.61(0.42,0.87)
BMI <24 km/m ²	1055		0.111	0.42(0.27.0.70)
>=24, <28 kg/m ²	1990	1.000		0.75(0.55,1.02)
>=28 kg/m ²	1877	1.00		0.54(0.40,0.74)
			()	
Subgroups	Numbe	r	P for interacti	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI)
Subgroups Sex Female	Numbe Users be	tter Nonu	P for interacti sers better 0.549	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI)
Subgroups Sex Female Male	Numbe Users be 2516 2406	tter Nonu	P for interacti sers better 0.549	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Age	Numbe Users be 2516 2406	tter Nonu	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Age <60 yr z=60 yr	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549	r tter Nonu	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.20)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Age <60 yr ~-00 yr Duration of hypertension	Numbe 2516 2406 3373 1549	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Age <60 yr >~00 yr Duration of hypertension <5 yr	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.73(0.40,1.05) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Ago c50 yr → 00 yr Duration of hypertension c5 yr >=5 yr AF	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516 406	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Age 540 yr 5-00 yr Duration of hypertension 55 yr 55 yr AF No	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.72(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.67(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95)
Subgroups Sex Formale Male 450 yr -∞0 yr Duration of hypertension 5 yr AF AF No Yes	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516 406 4724 198	r tter Nonus	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822	on Hazard Ratio(95% (1) 0.73(0 40, 1.35) 0.57(0 32, 1.02) 0.61(0 37, 1.00) 0.53(0 27, 1.29) 0.73(0 47, 1.13) 0.14(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0 40, 0.95) 0.79(0 10, 6, 22)
Subgroups Sex Female Male Age of of of of tr tr of tr of tr tr of tr tr of tr tr of tr tr of tr tr tr of tr tr tr of tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr tr	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822 0.707	on Hazard Ratio(95% C)) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.58(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.78(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.40,0.95)
Subgroups Sex Sex Female Male 450 yr >-00 yr >50 yr >5 yr >P >No Yes	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822 0.707	on Hazard Ratio(95% Cl) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.79(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.40,0.95) 0.84(0.17,4.16)
Subgroups Sex Male 450 yr 450 yr 450 yr 540 yr >≈5 yr AF AF AF PAD No Yes Adosteronism	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623	r tter Nonu:	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.982 0.822 0.707	on Hazard Ratio (95%, C1) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.53(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.14(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.84(0.17, 4.16) 0.44(0.29, 0.27)
Subgroups Sex Formale Age <50 yr >00 yr Duration of hypertension >=5 yr AF AF No Yes PAD No Yes AIdosteronism No Ses	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522	r tter Nonu	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.968 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220	on Hazard Ratio (95% CI) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.79(0.10.6.22) 0.61(0.40,0.95) 0.81(0.40,0.95) 0.84(0.17,4.16) 0.61(0.39,0.95) 1.87(3.28,65)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <50 yr <50 yr >−5 yr >=5 yr AF No Ses AF AA No No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes DM	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522	r tter Nonu ,	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441	on Hazard Ratio(95%, CI) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.53(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.14(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6, 22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.84(0, 17, 4.16) 0.61(0.30, 0.95) 1.67(0.32, 8.65)
Subgroups Sex Maie <app <50 yr →50 yr >5 yr >5 yr >5 yr AF No Yes PAD No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes DIM Mo No No</app 	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4299 623 4400 522 3591	r tter Nonu: ,	P for interacti 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441	on Hazard Ratio (95% CI) 0.73(0 40, 21.35) 0.57(0 32, 102) 0.61(0 37, 1.00) 0.59(0 27, 1.29) 0.73(0 47, 1.13) 0.14(0 02, 1.17) 0.62(0 40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0 39, 0.95) 0.61(0 39, 0.95) 1.67(0 32, 8.65) 0.57(0 35, 0.95)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <50 yr <50 yr >5 yr >5 yr >5 yr No No No No No No No No No No No No No	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331	r tter Nonu: , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.950 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI) .73(0.40,1.35) .57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.59(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.79(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.40,0.95) 0.81(0.40,0.95) 0.84(0.17,4.16) 0.61(0.39,0.95) 1.67(0.32,0.95) 0.67(0.36,0.95) 0.82(0.38,1.78)
Subgroups Sex Formale Male <60 yr <-00 yr >-00 yr Duration of hypertension <5 yr >≤5 yr AF No Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Hyperlipidemia No	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331	r Nonu	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.350 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864	on Hazard Ratio (95%, C1) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.63(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.74(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.78(0.10, 6, 22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.84(0.17, 4.16) 0.61(0.30, 0.95) 1.67(0.32, 8.65) 0.57(0.35, 0.95) 0.82(0.36, 1.76) 0.59(0.30, 1.16)
Subgroups Sex Formale Maie Age -50 yr -00 yr Duration of hypertension -50 yr Duration of hypertension -50 yr AF AF No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes DM No Yes DM M No Yes DM No Yes	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926	r tter Nonu: •	P for interactive 0.549 0.950 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864	on Hazard Ratio (95% CI) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.58(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.78(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.39,0.95) 1.67(0.32,8.65) 0.67(0.35,0.95) 0.82(0.38,1.78) 0.59(0.30,1.16) 0.63(0.37,1.08)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <50 yr <50 yr >−5 yr Duration of hypertension <5 yr Af Af AF No No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes DM No Yes DM No No Yes No No Yes No No No No No No No No No No No No No	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 4182	r tter •	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.956 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565	on Hazard Ratio(95%, CI) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.53(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.14(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.74(0.10, 6, 22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.84(0, 17, 4.16) 0.61(0.30, 0.95) 1.67(0.32, 8.65) 0.57(0.33, 0.95) 0.82(0, 33, 1.78) 0.59(0, 30, 1.16) 0.63(0, 37, 1.09) 0.67(0, 43, 1.06)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <g0 yr<br=""><g0 yr<br=""><g0 yr<br=""><g0 yr<br="">>S yr Duration of hypertension <g yr<br="">Par No Yes AF No No Yes Aldosteronism No No Yes Hyperlipidemia No Yes CHD No Yes</g></g0></g0></g0></g0>	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516 406 4724 198 623 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 2192 4182 740	r tter Nonu 	P for interacti D.549 0.358 0.982 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.53(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.44(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.79(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.40,0.95) 0.84(0.17,4.16) 0.61(0.38,0.95) 1.67(0.32,8.65) 0.57(0.36,0.95) 0.82(0.38,1.78) 0.55(0.30,1.16) 0.63(0.37,1.09) 0.67(0.45,1.06) 0.48(0.16,1.43)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <50 yr >0 yr Duration of hypertension 5 yr Duration of hypertension 5 yr AF AF AF AF No No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes DIM Ves CHD No Yes CHD No CHD No Current smoking	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 11549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 740 2446	r tter , Nonur , . , . , . , . , . , . , . , . , . , .	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.950 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.586	on Hazard Ratio (95% CI) .73(0 40, 1.35) .657(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.59(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0 47, 1.13) 0.14(0 02, 1.17) 0.62(0 40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0 40, 0.95) 1.67(0.32, 8.65) 0.67(0 38, 0.95) 0.67(0 38, 1.78) 0.63(0 37, 1.09) 0.67(0 43, 1.06) 0.48(0, 16, 1.43) 0.07(0 41, 1.06) 0.48(0, 16, 1.43) 0.07(0 41, 1.06) 0.48(0, 16, 1.43)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <50 yr <50 yr >−5 yr >=5 yr AF No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes DM No Yes DM No Yes CHD No Yes CHD No Yes	Numbee Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516 406 4724 198 4299 623 4406 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 4182 740 3444 1478	r tter Nonu 	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.358 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.586	on Hazard Ratio (95%, C1) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.59(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.4(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.78(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.78(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.78(0.30, 0.95) 1.67(0.32, 865) 0.57(0.36, 0.95) 0.82(0.36, 1.78) 0.63(0.37, 1.09) 0.65(0.37, 1.09) 0.67(0.43, 1.06) 0.48(0, 16, 1.43) 0.68(0.42, 1.11) 0.58(0.42, 1.11) 0.58(0.42, 1.11)
Subgroups Sex Formale Maie Age -60 yr -00 yr Duration of hypertension >65 yr AF AF AF No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes DM M No Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHIO No Yes CHIO No Yes CHIO No Yes CHIO No Yes Current smoking No Yes Current drinking	Numbes 2510 2540 2510 2406 3373 11549 4518 406 4724 198 4299 623 3591 1331 1996 2926 4182 740 3444 1478	r tter • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	P for interacti 0.549 0.958 0.958 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.565 0.586 0.978	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI) 0.73(0 40, 1.35) 0.57(0 32, 102) 0.61(0 37, 1.00) 0.59(0 27, 1.29) 0.73(0 47, 1.13) 0.14(0 02, 1.17) 0.62(0 40, 0.95) 0.79(0, 10, 6.22) 0.61(0 40, 0.95) 0.84(0, 17, 4.16) 0.61(0 39, 0.95) 1.67(0 32, 8.65) 0.57(0 35, 0.95) 0.82(0 34, 1.78) 0.59(0 30, 1.16) 0.63(0 37, 1.09) 0.67(0 43, 1.06) 0.48(0 42, 1.11) 0.52(0 23, 1.21)
Subgroups Sex Female Male ~60 yr ~50 yr >~5 yr >~5 yr Af Af Af Af No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes DM Mo Yes CHD No Yes CHD No Yes CHD No Yes CHD No No Yes CHD No No Yes CHD No No No No No	Numbee Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4518 4406 4724 198 4299 623 3591 1331 1996 2926 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 3591 1331 1996 2926 3444 1478	r Nonu i	P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.950 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.586 0.978	on Hazard Ratio(95%, CI) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.59(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.14(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6, 22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.84(0, 17, 4.16) 0.61(0.30, 955) 1.67(0.32, 865) 0.57(0.35, 0.95) 0.63(0.37, 1.09) 0.67(0.32, 0.95) 0.63(0.37, 1.09) 0.67(0.34, 1.06) 0.48(0, 16, 1.43) 0.68(0.42, 1.11) 0.52(0.23, 1.21) 0.63(0.32, 1.22) 0.63(0.32, 1.21) 0.63(0.32, 1.21) 0.63(0.32, 1.21) 0.6
Subgroups Sex Female Male <40 yr <0 yr	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 3373 1549 4516 406 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 4182 740 3444 1478 3620 1302		P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.360 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.586 0.978 0.290	on Hazard Ratio(95% CI) 0.73(0 40, 1.35) 0.57(0 32, 102) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.59(0 27, 1.29) 0.73(0 47, 1.13) 0.4(0,02, 1.17) 0.62(0 40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0 40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0 30, 0.95) 1.67(0 32, 865) 0.57(0 35, 0.95) 0.82(0 36, 1.78) 0.59(0 30, 1.16) 0.63(0, 37, 1.09) 0.46(0 45, 1.43) 0.688(0 42, 1.11) 0.52(0 23, 1.21) 0.53(0, 39, 1.03) 0.64(0, 27, 1.53)
Subgroups Sex Female Male <50 yr >0 yr Duration of hypertension 5 yr AF AF No No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes AIdosteronism No Yes DIM Ves CHD No Yes CHD No Yes CHD No Current smoking No Yes Current finkling No Yes BIM	Numbec Users be 2516 2406 406 406 406 402 4724 198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 2926 4182 740 3444 1478 3620 3444 1302		P for interacti sers better 0.549 0.950 0.950 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.586 0.978 0.290	on Hazard Ratio (95% CI) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.58(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.14(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.79(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.30,0.95) 0.84(0.17,4.16) 0.61(0.30,0.95) 1.67(0.32,8.65) 0.57(0.35,0.95) 0.82(0.38,1.78) 0.59(0.30,1.16) 0.53(0.37,1.09) 0.67(0.43,1.06) 0.44(0.16,14.3) 0.63(0.39,1.03) 0.64(0.27,1.53) 0.64(0.27,1.53) 0.64(0.27,1.53) 0.44(0.14,1.26)
Subgroups Sex Female Male ~60 yr ~50 yr >~5 yr >~5 yr AF No AF AD No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes DM No Yes DM No Yes Current smoking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Eurent drinking No Yes Eurent drinking No Yes Eurent drinking No	Numbe Users be 2516 2406 4024 402 402 4198 4299 623 4400 522 3591 1996 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 4182 2926 2926 2926 2926 2926 2926 2926 29		P for interacti bers better 0.549 0.958 0.082 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.586 0.586 0.978 0.290	on Hazard Ratio (95%, CI) 0.73(0.40, 1.35) 0.57(0.32, 1.02) 0.61(0.37, 1.00) 0.59(0.27, 1.29) 0.73(0.47, 1.13) 0.14(0.02, 1.17) 0.62(0.40, 0.95) 0.79(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.74(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.74(0.10, 6.22) 0.61(0.40, 0.95) 0.74(0.30, 0.95) 1.67(0.32, 865) 0.57(0.36, 0.95) 0.82(0.36, 1.78) 0.63(0.37, 1.09) 0.67(0.43, 1.06) 0.44(0, 16, 1.43) 0.68(0.42, 1.11) 0.52(0.23, 1.21) 0.63(0.32, 1.23) 0.64(0.27, 1.53) 0.42(0.14, 1.26) 0.97(0.44, 1.90) 0.97(0.44, 1.90) 0.9
Subgroups Sex Formale Maie Age -50 yr -00 yr Duration of hypertension >50 yr Age -50 yr AF No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes Aldosteronism No Yes DM Mo Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHID No Yes CHIC Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes Current drinking No Yes BMI Mo Yes Sufficient Suffici	Numbe Users be 2510 2406 3473 1549 4516 406 4229 623 3591 1331 1996 4400 522 3591 1331 1996 4182 740 3444 1478 3620 1302 1055 1990 1877		P for interacti 0.549 0.368 0.822 0.707 0.220 0.441 0.864 0.565 0.586 0.978 0.290	on Hazard Ratio (95% CI) 0.73(0.40,1.35) 0.57(0.32,1.02) 0.61(0.37,1.00) 0.53(0.27,1.29) 0.73(0.47,1.13) 0.4(0.02,1.17) 0.62(0.40,0.95) 0.79(0.10,6.22) 0.61(0.40,0.95) 0.84(0.17,4.16) 0.61(0.30,056) 1.67(0.32,8.65) 0.57(0.32,8.65) 0.57(0.32,8.65) 0.57(0.32,0.95) 0.82(0.31,178) 0.63(0.37,1.09) 0.67(0.43,1.06) 0.48(0.16,1.43) 0.68(0.42,1.11) 0.52(0.23,1.21) 0.63(0.39,1.03) 0.64(0.27,1.53) 0.44(0.27,1.53) 0.44(0.27,1.53) 0.42(0.14,1.26) 0.97(0.48,1.90) 0.52(0.28,0.97)

stroke events ranged from 1.21 to 2.12 (Table 2). The E values indicated that residual confounding due to potentially unmeasured confounding factors is probably moderate.

for interaction Hazard Ratio(95% CI
0.149
0.50(0.36,0.70)
0.69(0.52,0.92)
0.540
0.67(0.49.0.90)
0.58(0.42,0.80)
0.494
0.59(0.47.0.74)
0.77(0.37,1.57)
0.369
0.59(0.47.0.74)
0.94(0.35,2.54)
0.311
0.64(0.50.0.81)
0 48(0 29 0 79)
0.729
0.65(0.51.0.82)
0.57(0.28.1.16)
0.808
0.62(0.47.0.81)
0 58(0 40 0 85)
0.887
0 59(0 42 0 83)
0.61(0.46.0.82)
0 927
0 61(0 48 0 77)
0.59(0.36.0.97)
0 793
0.62(0.47.0.80)
0.58(0.39.0.85)
0 776
0.59(0.46.0.77)
0.63(0.43,0.94)
0.00(0.40,0.04)
0.137
0.41(0.24,0.70)
0.60(0.42.0.84)
0.00(0.42,0.04)
zard_Ratio

(b)

(c)

Figure 3. Subgroup analysis comparing spironolactone users with non-users in terms of the risks of total stroke (**a**), ischemic stroke (**b**), and hemorrhagic stroke (**c**) in propensity-score-matched cohort.

2.5. Dose and Cumulative Duration of Spironolactone Use and the Risk of Outcome Events

Figure 4 shows the risk of outcome events based on the cumulative duration of spironolactone use. The risks of total stroke, ischemic stroke, and hemorrhagic stroke decreased with the increasing cumulative duration of spironolactone use. Figure 5 demonstrates the risk of outcome events based on the average daily dose of spironolactone. The risks of total stroke, ischemic stroke, and hemorrhagic stroke decreased as the average daily dose of spironolactone was increased.

Cumulative duration	Numbe	r		P for trend	Hazard Ratio(95% CI)
Total stroke					·
Never user	2461			<0.01	1.00(1.00,1.00)
≥0.5, <1 years	483) 🖬			0.87(0.59,1.27)
≥1, <5 years	1103	E H			0.68(0.52,0.90)
≥5 years	875	F 🔤 - F			0.47(0.36,0.62)
Ischemic stroke					
Never user	2461			<0.01	1.00(1.00,1.00)
≥0.5, <1 years	483	ا ا ا ا	1		0.82(0.54,1.26)
≥1, <5 years	1103	⊢ - ∎•			0.67(0.50,0.91)
≥5 years	875	E 🖶 🕂			0.50(0.37,0.67)
Hemorrhagic stroke					
Never user	2461			0.03	1.00(1.00,1.00)
≥0.5, <1 years	483	+			1.12(0.50,2.50)
≥1, <5 years	1103				0.65(0.35,1.23)
≥5 years	875	• • •			0.55(0.32,0.92)
		0.5	Hazard Ratio	; ;	

Figure 4. Dose–response relationships between the cumulative duration of spironolactone use and the risk of outcome events in propensity-score-matched cohort.

Average daily dose	Number		P for trend	Hazard Ratio(95% CI)
Total stroke				<u>·</u>
0	2461	•	<0.01	1.00(1.00,1.00)
≤20mg/day	1107	+		0.76(0.59,0.97)
40mg/day	915	8- 1		0.57(0.42,0.76)
≥60mg/day	439	F-B		0.36(0.23,0.54)
Ischemic stroke				
0	2461	•	<0.01	1.00(1.00,1.00)
≤20mg/day	1107	►		0.74(0.57,0.97)
40mg/day	915	+ ■ 1		0.56(0.40,0.77)
≥60mg/day	439	F		0.42(0.27,0.66)
Hemorrhagic stroke				
0	2461	•	<0.01	1.00(1.00,1.00)
≤20mg/day	1107	• • • • <mark>• •</mark> • • • • •		0.87(0.52,1.47)
40mg/day	915	•		0.63(0.34,1.17)
≥60mg/day	439	F		0.34(0.15,0.80)
	0	0.5 1	1.5	
		Hazard_Ratio	1	

Figure 5. Dose–response relationships between the average daily dose of spironolactone and the risk of outcome events in propensity-score-matched cohort.

2.6. Safety Outcome

The incidence of hyperkalemia was slightly higher in the spironolactone use group than in the non-use group (multivariable-adjusted HR, 1.22; 95% CI, 0.79–1.88; p = 0.365 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 1.23; 95% CI, 0.80–1.90; p = 0.342). Nevertheless, there was no significant relationship between the duration of spironolactone consumption and the risk of hyperkalemia (all *p*-values > 0.05). Users with an average daily dose of 60 mg/day, however, had a significantly higher risk of hyperkalemia than non-users (multivariable-adjusted HR, 1.83; 95% CI, 1.04–3.22; p = 0.035 and propensity-score-adjusted HR, 1.87; 95% CI, 1.07–3.28; p = 0.029) (Table S4).

3. Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study, we found that spironolactone use was associated with a reduced risk of stroke in hypertensive patients. This association was robust across multiple regression models, even after controlling for potential bias by propensity score matching, in subgroups stratified by age, sex, BMI, AF, PAD, aldosteronism, DM, CKD, HF, hyperlipidemia, CHD, duration of hypertension, smoking, and drinking habits. In addition, the results of this study suggested that spironolactone use in hypertensive patients was associated with a decreased risk of stroke in a dose–response pattern. Spironolactone has long been an extremely widely used drug in clinical practice for the treatment of refractory hypertension, chronic heart failure, and cirrhosis. In this study, we report the beneficial effects of spironolactone on the prognosis of stroke in hypertensive patients. To our knowledge, our clinical study is the first to assess the relationship between spironolactone use and the risk of stroke in hypertensive patients by analyzing large cohort data. These results may also have implications for the treatment of hypertension.

Stroke has become a worldwide public health problem [23]. In China, stroke is the leading cause of death [2]. One of the major risk factors for stroke is hypertension [24]. Notably, since more than 75% of strokes are first occurrences, it is imperative to seek effective primary prevention strategies for stroke in China and worldwide [25]. Spironolactone is a well-established treatment modality for patients with myocardial infarction suffering from hypertension, heart failure, and left ventricular systolic dysfunction [20–22]. To date, few clinical studies have directly explored this issue. The lack of clinical data does not mean that spironolactone does not affect stroke. Clinically, there is a strong association between aldosterone and stroke; patients with primary aldosteronism and elevated plasma aldosterone levels are at a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with hypertensive patients without elevated aldosterone [26–28]. Preclinical evidence indicates that MR activation leads to a worse prognosis after stroke [29,30]. In a DOCA-induced hypertension model in rats, MR activation led to remodeling of the cerebrovascular system, resulting in increased vascular stiffness [31]. In addition, both Dorrance et al. and Rocha et al. showed that MR antagonists reduced stroke incidence/size and improved survival in an experimental model of stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats maintained on a 1% physiological saline and stroke-prone diet [32,33]. Other studies have shown that spironolactone increases vascular tone in the middle cerebral artery (MCA) and improves vascular architecture by increasing vessels' lumen and outer diameters in male but not female spontaneously hypertensive stroke-prone rats [34,35]. In contrast, another study showed that MR antagonism during the development of hypertension had the opposite effect on the MCA. It leads to a reduction in lumen diameter, which may be detrimental to vascular function and stroke outcomes, and an improvement in vasodilation [36]. In an experimental thrombosis model, aldosterone enhances thrombosis, whereas spironolactone reverses this effect [37,38]. In conclusion, these studies suggest that spironolactone may help reduce the risk of stroke in patients with hypertension.

The present study has several notable strengths. The large sample size increased the strength of the evidence concerning the biological effects of spironolactone. In addition, the results of interest were related to long-term clinical effects. In previous studies, available data of this nature were scarce. In actuality, among hypertensive patients, our study was the first to assess the potential association between spironolactone and the risk of stroke as a primary endpoint. Furthermore, the longer follow-up in this study than in usual clinical trials helped assess the long-term benefit. A longer follow-up was essential to determine whether this effect was temporary or persistent over time. Finally, the robustness of our findings was confirmed by different statistical methods, including propensity score matching, subgroup analysis, and sensitivity analysis. Thus, confounders and biases could be eliminated to the greatest extent possible, and the relevant findings were considered reliable.

Despite these strengths, some limitations apply. First, because this was an observational study, we were unable to determine causality in the findings. Second, despite accounting for many important confounders, we cannot exclude residual confounding by unmeasured or unknown confounders. Therefore, large prospective randomized controlled trials are needed to elucidate the role of spironolactone in patients with hypertension. Third, physician preference for spironolactone use may lead to selection bias in the analysis. Fourth, prescription data do not show whether patients took the medication as prescribed. Therefore, the adherence to these regimens is unknown. Fifth, we determined the clinical comorbidities of each patient using ICD-10 codes, which may include over- or underdiagnosed conditions. Finally, given the small sample sizes of specific subgroups, the non-significant association between spironolactone use and the risk of stroke in some subgroups may simply be due to low statistical efficacy.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Design and Participants

We conducted an observational cohort study of hypertensive patients. De-identified patient information, such as ICD-10 diagnosis codes, prescription drug information, and lab test results, were collected from electronic medical records. Between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2021, 18,609 hypertensive patients in total were polled. We restricted the group of chosen patients to adults by excluding individuals under the age of 18. We also excluded participants who were lost to follow-up or who had less than 6 months of follow-up. Furthermore, we excluded patients with any history of spironolactone use, stroke, and other disease states such as severe hepatic and/or renal insufficiency, systemic inflammatory disease, and malignancy at baseline. Finally, 15,392 patients were included (Figure S4). Our study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the hospital ethics committee (People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region). Due to the study's retrospective nature, no additional informed consent was required. Moreover, this study adheres to the STROBE guidelines.

4.2. Variables

Patient demographics and comorbidities were extracted from electronic medical records. These included age, sex, duration of hypertension, body mass index (BMI), BP, heart rate, and comorbidities (diabetes mellitus (DM), hyperlipidemia, atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary artery disease (CHD), peripheral arterial disease (PAD), and aldosteronism). Laboratory and prescription data were collected using an automated data extraction system. The laboratory data included alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), plasma aldosterone concentrations (PAC), and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the CKD-EPI equation [39]. The prescription data included antihypertensive drugs and other co-medications (statins, aspirin, and antihyperglycemic drugs). Details of the concomitant medications are shown in Table S5. Spironolactone users were defined as patients who took spironolactone for more than 180 days during the follow-up period. The start date was defined as the first prescription date, and the stop date was defined as the last prescription date plus the last prescription period. The definition criteria also apply to users of other drugs.

4.3. Follow-Up and Outcomes

The primary outcome was the first stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic), which could be nonfatal or fatal. Secondary outcomes included the first ischemic stroke and first hemorrhagic stroke. Hyperkalemia was deemed a safe outcome. We defined hyperkalemia using an ICD-10 code E87.5, or a plasma/serum potassium (K+) level of \geq 5.5 mmol/L from either inpatient and/or outpatient. Outcomes of events since participants enrolled in the study at baseline were determined through medical records, contact with local disease and death registries, or examination of the national health insurance system. Patients were followed from the date of enrollment to the end of the observation period, defined as the date of the last follow-up visit, the date of the first appearance of any study outcome, the date of death, or the end of the study period (31 December 2021).

4.4. Statistical Analysis

The quantitative variables are expressed as means (standard deviation) in the case of a normal distribution or medians (interquartile range) otherwise. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers (percentages). Patients were classified into two groups based on their use of spironolactone (users versus non-users). Given the differences in characteristics between the two groups of eligible participants (Table 1), propensity score matching (PSM) was used to identify a cohort of patients with similar characteristics. The precise details on the PSM are provided in the Supplementary Material. Absolute standardized differences (ASD) were estimated for all covariates before and after matching to assess pre-match imbalance and post-match balance. An ASD > 0.1 was interpreted as a meaningful difference for a given covariate. The number of stroke cases divided by the total follow-up time (person-years) was used to calculate the stroke incidence rate. Kaplan– Meier curves were plotted for the event-free probabilities of spironolactone users and non-users in the unmatched and matched cohorts. Log-rank tests were used to assess the differences between users and non-users. We checked the proportional hazards assumption and found no evidence that it was violated. In the primary analysis, hazard ratios and their 95% confidence intervals for different subgroups of spironolactone users and non-users were created with three Cox regression models: (1) unadjusted model; (2) multivariable Cox model (all covariates in Table 1 were considered independent variables); and (3) adjusted for propensity score. The analyses were performed in both the unmatched original cohort and the matched cohort. Further subgroup analyses were performed to assess the consistency of the observed treatment effects on outcomes at the level of different subgroup variables. The outcomes for the subgroup analysis were total stroke events, ischemic stroke events, and hemorrhagic stroke events. The subgroup variables were age (dichotomized by 60 years), sex, BMI (dichotomized by 24 and 28 kg/m²), AF, PAD, aldosteronism, DM, chronic kidney disease (CKD), heart failure (HF), hyperlipidemia, CHD, duration of hypertension (dichotomized by 5 years), smoking, and drinking habits. We also performed some sensitivity analyses in the matched cohort to assess the robustness of our study results. First, we excluded strokes that occurred within one year before the follow-up to assess potential reverse causality. Second, we excluded participants over the age of eighty. Then, in addition to the 1:1 propensity score matching, we performed three additional matching ratios (1:2, 1:3, and 1:4). In addition, a Fine-Gray competing risk regression was employed to treat non-stroke deaths as competing risk events. Finally, E values were applied to evaluate unmeasured confounding. Dose-response relationships were also investigated in this study. A multivariable Cox model was used to explore the association between the dose and cumulative duration of spironolactone use and the risk of outcome events. Analyses were conducted using R version 4.0.2. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

5. Conclusions

In summary, this retrospective cohort study provides the first evidence that longterm spironolactone use reduces the risk of stroke in patients with hypertension. Our results endorse the need for prospective randomized clinical trials to study the effects of spironolactone in patients with hypertension. **Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ph16010057/s1. Figure S1: absolute standardized difference of covariates before and after propensity score matching; Figure S2: association between the use of spironolactone and outcomes in other subgroups. (A) total stroke, (B) ischemic stroke, (C) hemorrhagic stroke; Figure S3: forest plot showing results of sensitivity analyses based on different analysis strategies; Figure S4: STROBE participant flow diagram; Table S1: sensitivity analyses by excluding subjects with ages of more than 80 years in propensity-score-matched cohort; Table S2: sensitivity analysis excluding outcome events within the first year of follow-up in propensity-score-matched cohort; Table S3: competing risk models for the association of spironolactone use with the risk of stroke in propensity-score-matched cohort; Table S4: incidence of hyperkalemia events in patients in the spironolactone-use and non-use groups after propensity score matching; and Table S5: list of medications included in the study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.C. and N.L.; Methodology, X.C.; Software, X.C.; Validation, X.C. and N.L.; Formal analysis, X.C.; Investigation, X.C.; Resources, X.C.; Data curation, X.C. and N.L.; Writing—original draft, X.C.; Writing—review & editing, N.L.; Visualization, X.C.; Supervision, X.C. and N.L.; Project administration, N.L.; Funding acquisition, N.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2020-RW330-002).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Our study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the hospital ethics committee (People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region). The project identification code is No. KY2022080902 (9 August 2022).

Informed Consent Statement: The Institutional Ethics Review Board of People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region approved this study and waived the requirement for informed consent because of the retrospective study design.

Data Availability Statement: All data supporting the findings are in the manuscript. More detailed information and raw data can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Neurol.* 2021, 20, 795–820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Wu, S.; Wu, B.; Liu, M.; Chen, Z.; Wang, W.; Anderson, C.S.; Sandercock, P.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Cui, L.; et al. Stroke in China: Advances and challenges in epidemiology, prevention, and management. *Lancet Neurol.* **2019**, *18*, 394–405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ma, Q.; Li, R.; Wang, L.; Yin, P.; Wang, Y.; Yan, C.; Ren, Y.; Qian, Z.; Vaughn, M.G.; McMillin, S.E.; et al. Temporal trend and attributable risk factors of stroke burden in China, 1990-2019: An analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Public Health* 2021, 6, e897–e906. [CrossRef]
- 4. Lioutas, V.A.; Ivan, C.S.; Himali, J.J.; Aparicio, H.J.; Leveille, T.; Romero, J.R.; Beiser, A.S.; Seshadri, S. Incidence of Transient Ischemic Attack and Association With Long-term Risk of Stroke. *JAMA* **2021**, *325*, 373–381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- O'Donnell, M.J.; Chin, S.L.; Rangarajan, S.; Xavier, D.; Liu, L.; Zhang, H.; Rao-Melacini, P.; Zhang, X.; Pais, P.; Agapay, S.; et al. Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (INTERSTROKE): A case-control study. *Lancet* 2016, 388, 761–775. [CrossRef]
- 6. Owolabi, M.O.; Thrift, A.G.; Mahal, A.; Ishida, M.; Martins, S.; Johnson, W.D.; Pandian, J.; Abd-Allah, F.; Yaria, J.; Phan, H.T.; et al. Primary stroke prevention worldwide: Translating evidence into action. *Lancet Public Health* **2022**, *7*, e74–e85. [CrossRef]
- Santos, D.; Dhamoon, M.S. Trends in Antihypertensive Medication Use Among Individuals With a History of Stroke and Hypertension, 2005 to 2016. *JAMA Neurol.* 2020, 77, 1382–1389. [CrossRef]
- McCarthy, J.; Yang, J.; Clissold, B.; Young, M.J.; Fuller, P.J.; Phan, T. Hypertension Management in Stroke Prevention: Time to Consider Primary Aldosteronism. *Stroke* 2021, 52, e626–e634. [CrossRef]
- Chang, Y.H.; Chung, S.D.; Wu, C.H.; Chueh, J.S.; Chen, L.; Lin, P.C.; Lin, Y.H.; Huang, K.H.; Wu, V.C.; Chu, T.S. Surgery decreases the long-term incident stroke risk in patients with primary aldosteronism. *Surgery* 2020, *167*, 367–377. [CrossRef]
- 10. Lin, X.; Ullah, M.; Wu, X.; Xu, F.; Shan, S.K.; Lei, L.M.; Yuan, L.Q.; Liu, J. Cerebro-Cardiovascular Risk, Target Organ Damage, and Treatment Outcomes in Primary Aldosteronism. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **2021**, *8*, 798364. [CrossRef]
- 11. Monticone, S.; D'Ascenzo, F.; Moretti, C.; Williams, T.A.; Veglio, F.; Gaita, F.; Mulatero, P. Cardiovascular events and target organ damage in primary aldosteronism compared with essential hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **2018**, *6*, 41–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Takeda, R.; Matsubara, T.; Miyamori, I.; Hatakeyama, H.; Morise, T. Vascular complications in patients with aldosterone producing adenoma in Japan: Comparative study with essential hypertension. The Research Committee of Disorders of Adrenal Hormones in Japan. J. Endocrinol. Investig. 1995, 18, 370–373. [CrossRef]
- Satoh, M.; Kikuya, M.; Ohkubo, T.; Mori, T.; Metoki, H.; Hara, A.; Utsugi, M.T.; Hashimoto, T.; Hirose, T.; Obara, T.; et al. Aldosterone-to-renin ratio as a predictor of stroke under conditions of high sodium intake: The Ohasama study. *Am. J. Hypertens.* 2012, 25, 777–783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chrissobolis, S.; Drummond, G.R.; Faraci, F.M.; Sobey, C.G. Chronic aldosterone administration causes Nox2-mediated increases in reactive oxygen species production and endothelial dysfunction in the cerebral circulation. *J. Hypertens.* 2014, 32, 1815–1821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Born-Frontsberg, E.; Reincke, M.; Rump, L.C.; Hahner, S.; Diederich, S.; Lorenz, R.; Allolio, B.; Seufert, J.; Schirpenbach, C.; Beuschlein, F.; et al. Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular comorbidities of hypokalemic and normokalemic primary aldosteronism: Results of the German Conn's Registry. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2009, *94*, 1125–1130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Petramala, L.; Pignatelli, P.; Carnevale, R.; Zinnamosca, L.; Marinelli, C.; Settevendemmie, A.; Concistrè, A.; Tonnarini, G.; De Toma, G.; Violi, F.; et al. Oxidative stress in patients affected by primary aldosteronism. *J. Hypertens.* 2014, 32, 2022–2029; discussion 2029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Barrera-Chimal, J.; Bonnard, B.; Jaisser, F. Roles of Mineralocorticoid Receptors in Cardiovascular and Cardiorenal Diseases. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **2022**, *84*, 585–610. [CrossRef]
- 18. Bauersachs, J.; Jaisser, F.; Toto, R. Mineralocorticoid receptor activation and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist treatment in cardiac and renal diseases. *Hypertension* **2015**, *65*, 257–263. [CrossRef]
- 19. Barrera-Chimal, J.; Lima-Posada, I.; Bakris, G.L.; Jaisser, F. Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in diabetic kidney disease—Mechanistic and therapeutic effects. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2022**, *18*, 56–70. [CrossRef]
- Beldhuis, I.E.; Myhre, P.L.; Bristow, M.; Claggett, B.; Damman, K.; Fang, J.C.; Fleg, J.L.; McKinlay, S.; Lewis, E.F.; O'Meara, E.; et al. Spironolactone in Patients With Heart Failure, Preserved Ejection Fraction, and Worsening Renal Function. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2021, 77, 1211–1221. [CrossRef]
- Solomon, S.D.; Claggett, B.; Lewis, E.F.; Desai, A.; Anand, I.; Sweitzer, N.K.; O'Meara, E.; Shah, S.J.; McKinlay, S.; Fleg, J.L.; et al. Influence of ejection fraction on outcomes and efficacy of spironolactone in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur. Heart J.* 2016, *37*, 455–462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Matsumoto, Y.; Mori, Y.; Kageyama, S.; Arihara, K.; Sugiyama, T.; Ohmura, H.; Yakushigawa, T.; Sugiyama, H.; Shimada, Y.; Nojima, Y.; et al. Spironolactone reduces cardiovascular and cerebrovascular morbidity and mortality in hemodialysis patients. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63, 528–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Feigin, V.L.; Norrving, B.; George, M.G.; Foltz, J.L.; Roth, G.A.; Mensah, G.A. Prevention of stroke: A strategic global imperative. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 2016, 12, 501–512. [CrossRef]
- Huo, Y.; Li, J.; Qin, X.; Huang, Y.; Wang, X.; Gottesman, R.F.; Tang, G.; Wang, B.; Chen, D.; He, M.; et al. Efficacy of folic acid therapy in primary prevention of stroke among adults with hypertension in China: The CSPPT randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015, 313, 1325–1335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Benjamin, E.J.; Muntner, P.; Alonso, A.; Bittencourt, M.S.; Callaway, C.W.; Carson, A.P.; Chamberlain, A.M.; Chang, A.R.; Cheng, S.; Das, S.R.; et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2019 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2019, 139, e56–e528. [CrossRef]
- 26. Rigsby, C.S.; Cannady, W.E.; Dorrance, A.M. Aldosterone: Good guy or bad guy in cerebrovascular disease. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **2005**, *16*, 401–406. [CrossRef]
- 27. Stier, C.T., Jr.; Rocha, R.; Chander, P.N. Effect of aldosterone and MR blockade on the brain and the kidney. *Heart Fail. Rev.* 2005, 10, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- Catena, C.; Colussi, G.; Nait, F.; Martinis, F.; Pezzutto, F.; Sechi, L.A. Aldosterone and the heart: Still an unresolved issue. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2014, 5, 168. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jaisser, F.; Farman, N. Emerging Roles of the Mineralocorticoid Receptor in Pathology: Toward New Paradigms in Clinical Pharmacology. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2016**, *68*, 49–75. [CrossRef]
- 30. Parviz, Y.; Iqbal, J.; Pitt, B.; Adlam, D.; Al-Mohammad, A.; Zannad, F. Emerging cardiovascular indications of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 2015, *26*, 201–211. [CrossRef]
- 31. Dorrance, A.M.; Rupp, N.C.; Nogueira, E.F. Mineralocorticoid receptor activation causes cerebral vessel remodeling and exacerbates the damage caused by cerebral ischemia. *Hypertension* **2006**, *47*, 590–595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Rocha, R.; Chander, P.N.; Khanna, K.; Zuckerman, A.; Stier, C.T., Jr. Mineralocorticoid blockade reduces vascular injury in stroke-prone hypertensive rats. *Hypertension* **1998**, *31*, 451–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dorrance, A.M.; Osborn, H.L.; Grekin, R.; Webb, R.C. Spironolactone reduces cerebral infarct size and EGF-receptor mRNA in stroke-prone rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 2001, 281, R944–R950. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Rigsby, C.S.; Burch, A.E.; Ogbi, S.; Pollock, D.M.; Dorrance, A.M. Intact female stroke-prone hypertensive rats lack responsiveness to mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **2007**, 293, R1754–R1763. [CrossRef]
- 35. Rigsby, C.S.; Pollock, D.M.; Dorrance, A.M. Spironolactone improves structure and increases tone in the cerebral vasculature of male spontaneously hypertensive stroke-prone rats. *Microvasc. Res.* 2007, 73, 198–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 36. McClain, J.L.; Dorrance, A.M. Temporary mineralocorticoid receptor antagonism during the development of hypertension improves cerebral artery dilation. *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)* **2014**, 239, 619–627. [CrossRef]
- McGraw, A.P.; Bagley, J.; Chen, W.S.; Galayda, C.; Nickerson, H.; Armani, A.; Caprio, M.; Carmeliet, P.; Jaffe, I.Z. Aldosterone increases early atherosclerosis and promotes plaque inflammation through a placental growth factor-dependent mechanism. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2013, 2, e000018. [CrossRef]
- Guo, C.; Ricchiuti, V.; Lian, B.Q.; Yao, T.M.; Coutinho, P.; Romero, J.R.; Li, J.; Williams, G.H.; Adler, G.K. Mineralocorticoid receptor blockade reverses obesity-related changes in expression of adiponectin, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma, and proinflammatory adipokines. *Circulation* 2008, 117, 2253–2261. [CrossRef]
- 39. Levey, A.S.; Stevens, L.A.; Schmid, C.H.; Zhang, Y.L.; Castro, A.F., III; Feldman, H.I.; Kusek, J.W.; Eggers, P.; Van Lente, F.; Greene, T.; et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2009**, *150*, 604–612. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.