



Anthocyanins in Plant Food: Current Status, Genetic Modification, and Future Perspectives

Peiyu Zhang and Hongliang Zhu *D

College of Food Science and Nutritional Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100083, China

* Correspondence: hlzhu@cau.edu.cn

Abstract: Anthocyanins are naturally occurring polyphenolic pigments that give food varied colors. Because of their high antioxidant activities, the consumption of anthocyanins has been associated with the benefit of preventing various chronic diseases. However, due to natural evolution or human selection, anthocyanins are found only in certain species. Additionally, the insufficient levels of anthocyanins in the most common foods also limit the optimal benefits. To solve this problem, considerable work has been done on germplasm improvement of common species using novel gene editing or transgenic techniques. This review summarized the recent advances in the molecular mechanism of anthocyanin biosynthesis and focused on the progress in using the CRISPR/Cas gene editing or multigene overexpression methods to improve plant food anthocyanins content. In response to the concerns of genome modified food, the future trends in developing anthocyanin-enriched plant food by using novel transgene or marker-free genome modified technologies are discussed. We hope to provide new insights and ideas for better using natural products like anthocyanins to promote human health.

Keywords: anthocyanins; genome editing; transgene stacking; transgene free



Citation: Zhang, P.; Zhu, H. Anthocyanins in Plant Food: Current Status, Genetic Modification, and Future Perspectives. *Molecules* 2023, 28, 866. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules28020866

Academic Editors: Zhaojun Wei and Jinhu Tian

Received: 29 November 2022 Revised: 12 January 2023 Accepted: 13 January 2023 Published: 15 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Anthocyanins are natural water-soluble pigments and are widely distributed in plant food. High anthocyanin accumulation is not only good for extending shelf-life, but also improves the nutrient values of food [1]. Anthocyanins can give radiant color to plants to attract insects, which is beneficial for seed spreading. Additionally, as important secondary metabolites, anthocyanins can also protect plants from biotic and abiotic stress. Thanks to their natural health-promoting activity such as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory, anthocyanins are reported to prevent various chronic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative diseases and diabetes [2,3].

In many plant species, anthocyanins act as the major pigments, giving them an attractive color. The anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway is relatively conserved in plants and is regulated by various transcription factors (TFs). A transcription complex formed by MYB, bHLH and WD40 acts as a switch to regulate anthocyanin synthesis. Among them, numerous MYB family members are reported to transcriptional activate anthocyanin biosynthetic genes or repress anthocyanin accumulation in plants food such as apple [4], tomato [5], pear [6], pepper [7], eggplant [8] and sweet potato [9]. In some cases, MYB factors play a vital role to determine the color of food. For example, *VvMYBA1* and *VvMYBA2* are involved in grape skin pigmentation [10]. The origin of the white-skinned grape is associated with the mutation of these two *MYB* loci. *VvMYBA1* is transcriptionally inactivated by the insertion of *Gret1* (a gypsy-type retrotransposon) in its promotor region [11]. Additionally, *VvMYBA2* loses its function due to the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) K980 in the coding sequence [12].

During the past decades, from the perspective of the economic interests of the growers, scientists mainly focused on using genetic engineering to improve the most common resistance traits such as herbicide tolerance [13]. However, with the continuous improvement of quality of life, people are more likely to choose foods that have an attractive appearance such as bright colors and higher nutritional value [14]. Because foods rich in anthocyanins are more popular among consumers, using molecular biotechnology to regulate anthocyanins in plants to improve food quality has become an important topic in related areas.

The traditional breeding process is labor-intensive and has a long production cycle. With the advent of novel transgene and gene editing technologies, people can quickly achieve targeted breeding and create species with targeted traits. An increasing number of genes including regulators and structure genes that involve in the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway are being annotated; they can be the candidates in anthocyanin molecular regulation [15,16].

Plant genome modification is mainly achieved by Agrobacterium-mediate or biolistic transformation, and the transgene components are integrated into the host genome. To ensure the generations are transgenic, the inducing and regeneration process is usually accompanied by an antibiotic selection [17]. Concerns about the consumption of transgenic plant food with transgene constructs have been raised [18]. To address these concerns, some international organizations or governments of various countries have adopted legislation to implement biosecurity management. Although transgenic crops undergo a pre-market regulatory safety assessment in many countries, the regulatory requirements for transgenic products may be different [19]. Additionally, the current regulations, especially for the management of gene editing crops, may be imperfect in some nations [20]. Considering that modern biotechnology breeding is usually limited by the various regulatory systems, transgene/marker-free biotechnologies are promising tools to produce genome modified plant food with desired modification. In response to this trend in development, various transgene- or marker-eliminating methods have been established [21]. Here, we summarized the current status of anthocyanins in plant food, the recent advances in the molecular regulation of anthocyanin, and discussed the future trends in developing anthocyanin-enriched plant food by using novel transgene- or marker-free genome modified technologies.

2. Anthocyanins in Plant Food

2.1. Chemical Structure of Anthocyanins

Anthocyanins share the basic diphenyl propane skeleton of flavonoids (C6C3C6), formed by two aromatic rings connected to a benzene ring (Figure 1A). The natural anthocyanins are subjected to hydroxylation, methylation, glycosylation and acylation modifications, which not only resulted in color variation but are also beneficial for the stability of these compounds [22]. As the number of hydroxyl groups increased, the anthocyanin blue color deepened, while methylation contributed to a red shift and stabilization of anthocyanins [23,24]. The glycosylation of anthocyanin increases its stability for storage in the vacuole. Glucose, galactose, rutinose, rhamnose, arabinose and xylose are the most common sugars that exist in anthocyanins. The glucosides tend to attach to anthocyanidins as mono- or polysaccharides at the C5- or C7-position of the A-ring or the C3-position of the C-ring (Figure 1A) [22]. These sugars can be acylated further with various aliphatic or aromatic acids, contributing to the diversity of natural anthocyanin compounds. Although over 700 natural anthocyanins and 27 anthocyanidins have been identified, only a few kinds of anthocyanidins including cyanidin, delphinidin, pelargonidin, peonidin, malvidin and petunidin are common in foods [25]. The color of anthocyanins is associated with the pH value: they are red at low pH value (pH 1–3), but become yellow or colorless at pH 4–5 and turn to blue or purple at pH 6–7 [26].



Figure 1. Anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway and the regulation factors. (**A**) Schematic pathway of anthocyanin biosynthesis in plants and the chemical structure of anthocyanins. Blue and light-red boxes represent *EBGs* and *LBGs*. (**B**) Other factors regulate anthocyanin accumulation in plants through affecting the MBW complex. The key *LBGs DFR, ANS* and *UFGT* are mainly regulated by a conserved MYB-bHLH-WDR (MBW) complex. The MBW complex interacts with other transcriptional factors and is regulated by phytohormones including ethylene, ABA (abscisic acid) and JA (jasmonic acid). The environment factors temperature and light signal affect the activity of the MBW complex. PAL, phenylalanine ammonia lyase; C4H, cinnamate 4-hydroxylase; 4CL, cinnamic acid-4-hydroxylase; CHS, Chalcone synthase; CHI, chalcone isomerase; F3H, flavanone-3-hydroxylase; F3'5'H, flavanone 3'5'-hydroxylase; DFR, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase; ANS, anthocyanidin synthetase; UFGT, flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase.

2.2. Anthocyanins Exist in Common Plant Food

The variety and content of anthocyanins varied among different plant foods (Table 1) but are predominantly found in berry fruits. Blueberry is welcomed by consumers for its high total anthocyanin content, reaching 1202 mg cyanidin-*O*-glucoside equivalents kg⁻¹ fresh fruit [27]. Additionally, blackberry (*Rubus*) and blackcurrant (*Ribes nigrum*) fruits are also characterized by high concentrations of anthocyanins reaching 94.76 and 113.79 mg 100 g⁻¹ fresh weight. Cyanidin-3-*O*-glucoside accounts for 93% of all anthocyanins detected in blackberry, while delphinidin-*O*-glucoside and delphinidin-*O*-rutinoside represent dominant anthocyanins in blackcurrant [28]. Anthocyanins mainly exist in grape fruit skin. In some red-skinned grape cultivars (*Vitis vinifera*) the average total content of anthocyanin is 120 mg 100 g⁻¹ fresh weight, and four compounds including delphinidin-3-*O*-glucoside, malvidin-3-*O*-glucoside, petunidin-3-*O*-glucoside and malvidin-3-*O*-glucoside-5-*O*-glucoside account for almost 60% of the total anthocyanin content [29]. Black rice (*Oryza sativa*) is an important staple food that produces anthocyanins, and at least 18 kinds of anthocyanins are detected, during which the cyanidin-3-*O*-glucoside and peonidin-3-*O*-glucoside are the dominant compounds. In the 'Niaw Dam Pleuk Khow' variety, the average anthocyanin content can reach 442 mg 100 g⁻¹. By comparative quantification of fourteen purple corn (*Zea mays*) samples, the average anthocyanin content ranges from 430 to 11,700 mg 100 g⁻¹ powders, and six anthocyanins including cyanidin-3-glucoside, pelargonidin-3-glucoside, peonidin-3glucoside, cyanidin-3-*O*-(6"-malonylglucoside), pelargonidin-3-*O*-(6"-malonylglucoside) and peonidin-3-*O*-(6"-malonylglucoside) are detected as the major compounds [30].

Part for Determination	Representative Compounds	Reference	
Receptacle	Pelargonidin-3-O-glucosides	[31]	
Fruit	Cyanidin-3-O-glucosides	[28]	
Fruit	Delphindin-3-O-galactoside, cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, petunidin-3-O-glucoside, malvidin-3-O-galactoside	[31]	
Fruit	Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside, delphinidin-3-O-rutinoside	[28]	
	Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside, malvidin-3-O-glucoside,		
Peel	petunidin-3-O-glucoside,	[29]	
	malvidin-3-O-glucoside-5-O-glucoside		
Pulp	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside,	[32]	
	cyanidin-3-O-(6"-malonylglucoside)		
Fruit	Petunidin-3,5-O-diglucoside	[33]	
Seed	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, peonidin-3-O-glucoside	[34]	
	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, pelargonidin-3-O-glucoside,		
	peonidin-3-O-glucoside,		
Fruit	cyanidin-3-O-(6"-malonylglucoside),	[30]	
	pelargonidin-3- <i>O</i> -(6"-malonylglucoside),		
	peonidin-3-O-(6"-malonylglucoside)		
	Part for Determination Receptacle Fruit Fruit Fruit Peel Pulp Fruit Seed Fruit Seed	Part for DeterminationRepresentative CompoundsReceptaclePelargonidin-3-O-glucosidesFruitCyanidin-3-O-glucosidesPruitDelphindin-3-O-galactoside, cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, petunidin-3-O-glucoside, malvidin-3-O-galactosideFruitDelphinidin-3-O-glucoside, malvidin-3-O-galactoside, petunidin-3-O-glucoside, malvidin-3-O-glucoside, Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside, malvidin-3-O-glucoside, 	

Table 1. Representative anthocyanins in common plant food.

3. Biosynthesis and Regulatory of Anthocyanins in Plant Food

3.1. Structure Genes Involved in Biosynthesis Pathway

Anthocyanins are one of the end products of the flavonoid synthesis pathway [35]. The biosynthesis of anthocyanins in higher plants is a relatively conserved process and has been extensively reported (Figure 1A). The first stage is a common step of the flavonoids biosynthetic pathway, during which the initial substrate phenylalanine is catalyzed by phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H) and Cinnamic acid-4-hydroxylase (4CL), then converted to coumaroyl CoA [25]. The second stage is the metabolism of flavonoids to produce anthocyanin precursors dihydroflavonols. Chalcone synthase (CHS), chalcone isomerase (CHI), flavanone-3-hydroxylase (F3H), flavanone 3'hydroxylase (F3'H) and flavanone 3'5'-hydroxylase (F3'5'H) involved in this early synthetic stage of anthocyanins [36]. Subsequently, catalyzed by dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), various leucoanthocyanidins will be formed. Additionally, then anthocyanidin synthetase (ANS) converts colorless leucoanthocyanidins to anthocyanidins. In plant cells, anthocyanidins tend to bind to glycosides and exist in anthocyanin glycosides form catalyzed by flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase (UFGT). The genes involved in the early common flavonoid biosynthetic pathway are called *early biosynthetic genes* (*EBGs*) and the others including F3'5'H, DFR and ANS are mainly responsible for the formation and accumulation of anthocyanins are called *late biosynthetic genes* (LBGs) [25]. Once synthesized in the cytosol, anthocyanins will be transported into vacuoles by glutathione S-transferase (GST) [37].

3.2. Other Regulators of Anthocyanin Biosynthesis

In plants, apart from the structure genes, related transcription factors, various environmental factors and internal phytohormones also affect anthocyanin biosynthesis (Figure 1B).

3.2.1. Transcriptional Factors Involved in Anthocyanin Biosynthesis

Although there are kinds of regulatory genes that influence anthocyanins synthesis and metabolism, the key *LBGs* involved in the biosynthesis of anthocyanins are mainly regulated by conserved transcriptional factors complex [38]. The regulatory complex comprises a R2R3-MYB transcription factor, a subgroup lllf basic Helix-Loop-Helix (bHLH) transcription factor and a WD-repeat protein (WDR) to form a ternary MBW complex [16]. The bHLH and WD are usually constitutive expression in plants, while various MYB members are reported to play different roles in anthocyanins biosynthesis pathway (Table 2) [15,39].

By investigating different varieties and hybrids with different colors of potato tubers and leaves, three R2R3 MYBs (StAN1, StMYBA1 and StMYB113) and two bHLHs (StbHLH1, StJAF13) and StWD40 are considered as the regulatory genes controlling anthocyanin biosynthesis [40]. Among them, *StAN1* is the predominant candidate gene in regulating the biosynthesis of anthocyanin [41,42]. In sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*), a novel complex IbERF71-IbMYB340-IbbHLH2 is characterized and is found to promote anthocyanins accumulation by binding to the promotor of *IbANS1* [43]. More recently, *IbMYB1* is a necessary regulator which constitutes three members, *IbMYB1-1*, *IbMYB1-2a* and *IbMYB1-2b*. *IbMYB1-2* has also been recognized as a master regulatory gene activating the expression of LBGs [44]. IbMYB44 is suggested to be a repressor in anthocyanin biosynthesis. Ib-MYB44 can interact with IbbHLH2, IbNAC56a or IbNAC56b, competitively inhibiting the IbMYB340-IbbHLH2-IbNAC56 regulatory complex formation [9]. Wild species producing anthocyanin-cultivated common species of tomato fruit is usually anthocyanin-free, while some wild lines, such as 'Indigo Rose' (InR), exhibit a purple skin color because of the accumulation of anthocyanins. This natural diversity of tomato species provides an ideal material to investigate the regulatory network of anthocyanin biosynthesis in plants. LnR fruit has both an Aft (Anthocyanin fruit) and an atv (atroviolacium) locus. There are four putative candidate R2R3-MYB genes at the Aft locus: SIAN2 (SIMYB75), SIANT1 (Slanthocyanin 1), SIANT1like (SIMYB28) and SIAN2like (SIMYB114). The atv locus encodes a repressor SIMYBATV, which belongs to the R3-MYB factors and has lost its function in lnR fruit [5]. SIANT1 and SIAN2 in tomatoes have been proven to be positive regulators in the production of anthocyanin [45,46]. By conducting a comparative functional analysis of the four Aft locus candidate genes, SIAN2like shows the strongest ability in transcriptional activating the expression of anthocyanin biosynthesis genes and related regulatory genes. So, SIAN2like plays a vital role in promoting anthocyanin accumulation in tomatoes [5,47]. However, in domesticated species, alternative splicing results in shorter transcripts of SIAN2like, thus producing a premature protein. The truncated protein loses the R3 domain, which contains the bHLH-binding site, and therefore fails to associate with bHLH partners. Finally, the MBW regulator complex cannot form because of the absence of R2R3-MYB factor SIAN2like, and then, the anthocyanin biosynthesis related genes, especially the *LBGs* such as *DFR*, cannot be transcriptionally activated [5,47]. The negative regulator SIMYBATV competes with Aft to associate with SIJAF13 (bHLH1) and SIAN1 (bHLH2), inhibiting the formation of the MBW complex and thus negatively regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato fruit [5,48].

Except for the core transcription factors involved in forming the MBW complex, a huge number of other transcriptional factors such as WRKY are also involved in the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis or metabolic pathway, especially under external stimulation or stress such as light, drought, or phytohormone (Table 2).

3.2.2. Phytohormones

Ethylene as one of the most important phytohormone during plant development also affects anthocyanins contents. However, a large amount studies have revealed that the effects of ethylene on anthocyanin biosynthesis vary among plant species. Ethylene has been demonstrated in apple to have positive effects on anthocyanin synthesis. MdMYB1 is responsible for the biosynthesis of anthocyanins in apple fruit, and MdEIL1 binds to the promotor of MdMYB1 to promoting the accumulation of anthocyanins. MdMYB1 binds to an ethylene metabolism pathway related gene MdERF3 conversely, resulting an increased ethylene production [49]. Ethylene inhibits anthocyanin biosynthesis mainly by repressing anthocyanin-related genes including a key R2R3-MYB factor *SlAN2-like* in tomato [50]. In pear, a transcriptional activator PpERF105 could activate the expression of an R2R3-MYB repressor PpMYB140, which inhibits the expression of structure genes involving in the anthocyanin biosynthesis [51].

Apart from ethylene, other phytohormones also affect anthocyanin biosynthesis in plants. ABSCISIC ACID-INSENSITIVE5 (ABI5) is a crucial regulator of ABA signaling, and MdABI5 interacts with MdbHLH3 to positively regulate MdDFR and MdUF3GT, promoting anthocyanin biosynthesis in apples [52]. Exogenous salicylic acid treatment can considerably promote the biosynthesis of anthocyanins in grapes [53].

3.2.3. Temperature

The temperature is an environmental factor affecting anthocyanin biosynthesis and metabolism, and generally, low temperature is beneficial for the accumulation of anthocyanins in plants. The changes of temperature alter the expression of transcriptional factors involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis. In apples, a hot climate and artificial heating resulting a dramatic decrease of anthocyanins in fruit peel. At the same time, the expression of related transcriptional activation genes, especially an R2R3 MYB (*MdMYB10*), is rapidly reduced [54]. In potatoes, high temperature results in a reduction of anthocyanins in tuber skin and flesh. Two negative R2R3 MYB TFs, *StMYB44-1* and *StMYB44-2*, are up-regulated under high temperature, resulting in decreased expressions of the R2R3 MYB TFs *StAN1* and *StbHLH1* [55].

3.2.4. Light Signal

Light is an essential factor during plant growth and development, and the plant anthocyanins biosynthesis process is usually induced by light [56–58]. A bZIP TF HY5 plays a crucial role in anthocyanin biosynthesis in response to light. HY5 can bind to the promotor of various genes involved in light signal transduction and anthocyanin biosynthesis [59–61]. For example, SIHY5 binds the ACE-box in the promotor region of *SlAN2like^{lnR}* to enhance anthocyanin production [5]. However, HY5 and MYB TFs can be ubiquitinated in dark-grown seedlings by the CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1/SUPPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME A-105 (COP1/SPA) E3 ubiquitin ligase, and causing the degradation of HY5 and MYB factors [62,63]. However, when the plants are exposed to light, the activated photoreceptors rearrange the COP1/SPA complex to make it nonfunctional, thus improving the stability of HY5 or other positive regulators in anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway such as MYB factors [64].

Species	Gene	Туре	Function	Mechanisms	Reference
Vitis vinifera	VvMYB86	R2R3-MYB	_*	VvMYB86 represses anthocyanin biosynthesis branch in grapes by downregulating the transcript levels of VviANS and VviUFGT.	[65]
	VvMYB2r	R2R3-MYB	+	VvMYBA2r along with VvMYCA1 and VvWDR1 form the MBW complex, which could activate the promoter of <i>VvUFGT</i> gene, promoting anthocyanin accumulation of grape skin	[66]
Citrus sinensis	CsRuby1	R2R3-MYB	+	<i>CsRuby1</i> encodes a MYB transcription factor that serves as the key positive regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis.	[67]
Ipomoea batatas	IbMYB44	R2R3-MYB	-	IbMYB44 interacts with IbbHLH2, IbNAC56a or IbNAC56b, competitively inhibiting the IbMYB340-IbbHLH2-IbNAC56 regulatory complex formation.	[9]
Solanum tuberosum	SłWRKY13	WRKY TFs	+	StWRKY13 enhances the role of StAN2 in promoting anthocyanin biosynthesis in tobacco. StWRKY13 interacts with the promotor of StCHS, StF3H, StDFR, and StANS to enhance their activities.	[68]
Solanum lycopersicum	SIJAF13	bHLH	+	SIJAF13 takes part in the first MBW complex and activates <i>SIAN1</i> . Additionally, SIJAF13 interacts with SIMYC2, inhibiting SIMYC2 activation of <i>SIJAZ2</i> transcription.	[69]
	SIAN1	bHLH	+	SIANT takes part in the second MBW complex and transcriptional activates the expression of anthocyanin biosynthesis related genes.	[70]
	SIAN2 (SIMYB75)	R2R3-MYB	+	SIAN2 acts as a positive regulator under high light or low temperature. SIAN2 can directly bind to the <i>MYBPLANT</i> and <i>MYBPZM</i> cis-regulatory elements and to transcriptional activate the <i>LOXC</i> , <i>AADC2</i> and <i>TPS</i> genes	[71]
	SIANT1	R2R3-MYB	+	SIANT1 up-regulates structural genes in the anthocyanin pathway.	[70]
	SIAN2like	R2R3-MYB	+	anthocyanin biosynthetic genes and related regulatory genes.	[5]
	SIMYBATV	R3 MYB	-	SIMYBALV competes with Aft for binding to bHLHs, and negatively regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis.	[5]
Pyrus pyrifolia	PybHLH64	bHLH	+	PpbHLH64 interacts with PpMYB10 to form	[72]
5 15 Jan	PyMYB10, PyMYB10.1	R2R3-MYB	+	PpMYB10 and PpMYB10.1 interacts with PpbHLH to form the MBW complex.	[6]
Pyrus	РрМҮВ140	R2R3-MYB	-	competes with PpMYB114 to form the MBW	[51]
	MdWRKY41	WRKY TFs	-	MdWRKY41 downregulates the expression of <i>MdMYB12</i> , and interacts with MdMYB16 to form a complex, which suppresses the expression of <i>MdANR</i> and <i>MdUFGT</i> .	[73]
	MdbZIP44	bZIP TFs	+	MdbZIP44 binds to MdMYB1 in response to ABA and upregulates downstream target genes to promoting anthocyanin accumulation.	[74]
	MdNAC52	NAC	+	MdNAC52 binds to the promoters of <i>MdMYB9</i> and <i>MdMYB11</i> to promote anthocyanin accumulation.	[75]
	MdERF38	ERFs	+	<i>MdERF38</i> can in response to drought stress and interacts with MdMYB1 to positively regulate anthocyanin biosynthesis.	[76]

Table 2. Transcription factors involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis.

Species	Gene	Туре	Function	Mechanisms	Reference
	MdMYB3	R2R3 MYB	+	<i>MdMYB3</i> involves in transcriptional activation of several flavonoid pathway-related genes to enhance the skin color of fruits.	[4]
	MdMYC2	bHLH	+	MdMYC2 interacts with a Jasmonate signaling pathway repressor MdJAZ2, upregulating the expression of downstream genes such as <i>MdDFR</i> , <i>MdUF3GT</i> , <i>MdF3H</i> and <i>MdCHS</i>	[77]
	MdMYB15L	MYB TFs	-	MdMYB15L interacts with MdbHLH33 and weakens MdbHLH33-induced anthocyanin accumulation.	[78]
Actinidia chinensis	AcMYBF110	R2R3-MYB	+	AcMYBF110 participates in the formation of the AcMYBF110-AcbHLH1-AcWDR1 complex to induce anthocyanin accumulation	[79]
Fragaria $ imes$ ananassa	FaRAV1	RAV TFs	+	<i>FaRAV1</i> up-regulates <i>FaMYB10</i> and the genes involved in phenylpropanoid and flavonoid biosynthesis pathway.	[80]
	FaBBX22	B-box TFs	+	<i>FaBBX22</i> positively regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis by enhancing related genes (<i>FaPAL</i> , <i>FaANS</i> , <i>FaF3'H</i> , <i>FaUFGT1</i>) and transporting gene <i>FaRAP</i> in a light denombre farthered	[81]
Brassica rapa	BrMYBL2.1	R3 MYB	-	<i>BrMYBL2.1-G</i> (from a Chinese cabbage cultivar with purple leaves) represses transcriptional activation of <i>BrCHS</i> and <i>BrDFR</i> via blocking the activity of the MBW	[82]
Daucus carota	DcMYB6	R2R3 MYB	+	DcMYB6 contains the conserved bHLH-interaction motif and two typical motifs of anthocyanin regulators.	[83]
Brassica oleracea	ВоМҮВ2	R2R3 MYB	+	BoMYB2 interacts with various BobHLHs to form the MBW complex, and positively regulates the <i>LBGs</i> in anthocyanin	[84]
Solanum melongena	SmMYB35	R2R3 MYB	+	SmMYB35 interacts with SmTT8 and SmTTG1 to form a MBW complex, and positively regulates <i>SmCHS</i> , <i>SmF3H</i> , <i>SmDFR</i> , and <i>SmANS</i> .	[8]
Oryza sativa	OsTTG1	WD40	+	OsTTG1 encodes a WD40 protein, and interacts with Kala4, OsC1, OsDFR and Rc.	[85]
	OsKala4	bHLH	+	<i>Kala4</i> involved in the origin of black rice corresponds to <i>Os04g0557500</i> , which encodes a bHLH transcriptional factor. A structural change in the <i>OsKala4</i> promoter induced ectopic expression of this bHLH protein, thus	[86]
Zea mays	Zmp1, Zmp2	R2R3 MYB		resulting in the birth of black rice. <i>Zmp1</i> (<i>ZmMYB3</i>) and <i>Zmp2</i> (<i>ZmMYB55</i>) encode R2R3-MYB transcription factors that accumulates flavonoid such as 3-deoxyflavonoids, flavones, and phlobaphenes.	[87,88]
	Zmc1, Zmpl1	R2R3 MYB		Zmc1 (ZmMYB1) and Zmpl1 (ZmMYB2) function in the MBW complex and upregulate LBGs expression.	[87,88]

*: '+' and '-' represents positive and negative regulation on anthocyanin accumulation, respectively.

4. Genetic Engineering to Produce Anthocyanin-Enriched Plant Foods

Although anthocyanins are widely distributed in plant food, extraction of products from these food matrices directly does not guarantee high yield and efficient production. Thus, fast and eco-friendly innovative methodologies and technologies can be used to regulate phytonutrients such as anthocyanins. Generally, there are two basic strategies to enrich selected nutrients in plants using biotechnologies, that is, promoting its biosynthesis or inhibiting the metabolism branch road. Anthocyanin biosynthesis and metabolism pathway are well understood, and the genes involved in the related branch road have been identified, which provides the basis for scientists to regulate anthocyanin in plant food. Altering the biosynthesis and metabolism related gene expression is the most direct way, where the transgene stacking and gene editing technologies can be used.

4.1. Genetic Engineering Techniques

Introducing positive foreign genes or silencing endogenous negative factors is the major method of genetic engineering for crop improvement. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation is the most widely used tool to introduce foreign genes into plant cells, in which the Ti plasmid DNA carrying the target gene can insert into the host genome stably [89].

Those genes that come from other species are introduced into plant cells, giving the host new traits such as anti-herbicide. The first transgenic crops are tobacco and petunia, which were produced in 1983 [90,91]. In the past decades, more and more transgenic plants emerged, such as maize, cotton, potato, soybean, and others [92]. The data suggested that by using transgenic technology, crop yields have increased by 22%, leading to a considerable increase of growers [93]. Recently, a strategy for introducing more than one gene into plant cells, which is called transgene stacking, has been developed [94]. Usually, only a single gene is transformed into selected plant cells to achieve genome modification in a traditional transgene system. However, multigene integration is more effective and becomes a promising tool in breed improvement, such as anthocyanin regulation [95,96].

Unlike transferred foreign genes, classical genome editing technology is mainly used to produce loss-of-function crops. The clustered, regularly-interspaced, short-palindromic repeats (CRISPR) system is ubiquitous in bacteria for resistance against biotic stresses and was discovered in 1987 [97]. Over the last 10 years, the CRISPR system has been rapidly developed and widely applied for plant genome editing. Cas endonuclease and sgRNA (small guide RNA) are the core members of the system. Cas9 nuclease recognizing NGG PAM (protospacer adjacent motif) is the most common in plant genome editing, while other types of Cas such as Cas12a (Cpf1), Cas12b (C2c1) and Cas12e (CaxX) have flexible PAM recognition sites and are promising tools in producing longer deletions [98]. In addition to producing loss-of-function gene editing events, the novel CRISPR/Cas systems based on catalytically dead Cas (dCas) has been established and applied in gain-of-function research. Because of the mutations in the nuclease domain, the dCas protein cannot cut DNA to induce double-strand breaks (DSB) while the RNA-guided DNA binding competent ability is retained. Being fused to effectors and assembled with multiple sgRNAs, the multigene can be activated and enhanced [99–101].

Although there have been a few transgenic commercial products before [102–104], the emergence of the CRISPR market has been developed recently. A successful example is the γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)-rich tomatoes created in 2017, which has entered the market in Japan [105]. Scientists using CRISPR/Cas9 technology to delete a C-terminal autoinhibitory domain of SIGAD2 and SIGAD3, thus improving glutamate decarboxylase activity and increasing GABA content in tomato fruit [106]. Recently, the USDA approved a transgenic purple tomato, which was produced by overexpression of two anthocyanin synthesis related genes from the snapdragon. The agency announced that "this plant may be safely grown and used in breeding in the United States" and is not subject to regulation (https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/newsroom/stakeholder-info/sa_by_date/sa-2022/purple-tomato (accessed on 3 January 2022)).

4.2. Anthocyanins Improved Transgenic Crops

4.2.1. Tomato

Tomato is an important economic crop with an abundance of nutrients. However, artificial domestication leads to reduced species diversity. Compared with wild species, cultivated tomatoes lose some potential good traits, for example, the common cultivars do

not synthesize anthocyanins. In consideration of the high nutritional value of anthocyanins, various attempts have been down to produce anthocyanin-enriched tomatoes. At an early stage, overexpression of selected EBGs such as CHI failed to enrich anthocyanins in tomatoes, although the total flavonoid content was improved [107-109]. Until an MYB-factor SIANT1 was overexpressed under a strong cassava vein mosaic promoter, the transgenic tomato fruit peel showed purple spots. At the same time, the overexpression of *SlANT1* up-regulated the EBGs, LBGs, and the genes involved in the anthocyanin glycosylation and transport into the vacuole [110]. The first successful artificial anthocyanin-enriched tomato fruit was produced in 2008. Here, two regulatory genes AmDelila and AmRosea1 from the snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus), were overexpressed in tomato fruit under the fruit-specific promotor *E8*. *AmDel* encodes a bHLH factor and *AmRos1* encodes an MYB factor. The highest concentration of anthocyanins was detected in a selected line N, reaching 283 mg 100 g^{-1} FW [111]. As compared to the cultivar LnR, which introgressed from Solanum chilense and Solanum cheesemanii, transgenic tomatoes showed a completely purple color both in the peel and pulp, while LnR only accumulated anthocyanins in the part of fruit skin that was exposed to sunlight [112]. Recently, overexpression of a single gene SIAN2 (SIMYB75) by using a 35S promoter also produced a transgenic tomato that had anthocyanins reaching 186 mg 100 g^{-1} FW. And some other genes such as *SlLOXC*, SIAADC2, and SITPS involved in downstream metabolic pathways were enhanced with the overexpression of SIMYB75 [71].

4.2.2. Rice

Rice is one of the staple crops in the world. Using genetic engineering techniques to improve the nutrients in rice is of great importance. For example, by introducing the β -carotene biosynthetic pathway into rice endosperm, scientists obtain the so-called "Golden Rice", which has 0.16 mg 100 g⁻¹ carotenoid in the endosperm. This germplasm improvement is beneficial to deal with vitamin A deficiency in rice-feeding countries [113]. To achieve the biosynthesis of anthocyanin in rice endosperm, a high-efficiency multitransgene stacking vector system, TransGene Stacking II (TGSII) was established. The system was based on the Cre recombinase/loxP-mediated recombination to assemble multiple genes. Here, eight anthocyanin biosynthesis related genes including two regulatory genes *ZmLc* (bHLH TF) and *ZmPl* (R2R3-MYB TF) from maize, and six structural genes *SsCHS*, *SsCHI*, *SsF3H*, *SsF3'H*, *SsDFR* and *SsANS* from *Coleus* were assembled. These genes were introduced into rice under a series of rice endosperm-specific promotors from rice. In the "Purple Endosperm Rice", the highest content of anthocyanins could reach ~100 mg 100 g⁻¹ of dried grain, and the antioxidant activities are improved as compared to the colorless lines [95].

4.2.3. Maize

In addition to its health benefits, maize rich in anthocyanins can also be used to replace blueberry berries as raw materials for health products, as well as in food, beverage and cosmetics industries, which can greatly reduce economic costs. Therefore, it has a broad market prospect to use biotechnology to create maize rich in anthocyanins. In 2018, the first embryo and endosperm anthocyanin-rich purple maize was produced by overexpression of four genes including *ZmBz1*, *ZmBz2*, *ZmC1* and *ZmR2* simultaneously under the control of modified tissue specific promoters. The total anthocyanin content of the transgenic maize reached 291 mg 100 g⁻¹ [114].

4.2.4. Other Species

StISAC is proven to be the direct ortholog of *SlMYBATV-like*. *StISAC* negatively regulates anthocyanins biosynthesis by recruiting bHLH co-partners, inhibiting the formation of MBW complex. So, in the potato variety 'Blue Star', CRISPR-Cas9 system is used to knock out *StISAC*. In the mutant cell lines, the content of anthocyanins was doubled, and the pigmentation was stabilized over time [115].

In grapevine, a bZIP gene *VvbZIP36* belonging to group K is proven to be a negative regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis. Knocking out one allele of *VvbZIP36* in grapevine results in the accumulation of flavonoids and anthocyanins compounds such as chalcone, naringenin, dihydroflavonols and cyanidin-3-O-glucoside [35].

Recently, a third-generation CRISPRa system, CRISPR-Act3.0, is applied in pear to regulate anthocyanin biosynthesis. Here, AtUBQ10 and AtU3 promotor are chosen to express dCas9 and sgRNAs. An activation domain VP64 is fused with the dCas9, and two or four sgRNAs targeting to the promotors of *PybZIPa*, *PyMYB10*, *PyMYB114*, *PybHLH3*, *PyDFR*, *PyANS* or *PyUFGT* are assembled simultaneously. By using this strategy, several genes are upregulated at least 10-fold. Finally, the CRISPR-Act3.0 edited lines has a strong accumulation of anthocyanins and appeared red in color [116].

5. Future Perspective: Transgene/Marker-Free Anthocyanin Improved Crops

Thousands of studies have been done to investigate the molecular mechanism of the anthocyanin synthesis pathway, and numerous structure genes and regulatory factors have been identified. Based on these remarkable findings, genetic engineering technologies such as multi-gene ectopic expression or gene editing methods can be used to improve the existing germplasm resources. Several varieties rich in anthocyanins have been successfully produced. Notably, the "big purple tomato" designed in 2008 has been approved to be safely grown and used in breeding and may be released to American stores in 2023.

However, there are always debates about the genome-modified food, and the legislative issue in the field is still an urgent one to be solved. Insertion of foreign genes resulted in gene flow between different species, and the potential toxicity and allergenicity from transgenic marker genes or resistance genes to humans is the biggest concern for consumers [92]. To solve this problem, transgene-free strategies are developed. There have been several approaches to producing transgene or marker-free genome modified plants:

(1) Eliminating foreign sequences through genetic segregation: the genome modified components is usually introduced into plant cells by Agrobacterium-mediated or biolistic method. The sequences on the Ti plasmid are integrated into plant genomes. In most cases, T0 plants are heterozygous and with transgenes. According to Mendelian genetics, about 25% T1 generation segregating from T0 plants will be transgene-free and the modified locus will be homozygous if there is only one copy of the transgenes. However, use of the common Agrobacterium-mediated or biolistic method tends to result in more than one copy insertion, so the actual probability to get the desired transgene-free modified plants is much lower. Genetic segregation is the most common way to get transgene-free modified plants in current status (Figure 2A) [117,118].

(2) Fluorescence tag-assisted screening: it is unrealizable to isolate transgene-free modified plants in T0 generation directly, so it is time-intensive using a traditional procedure to screen desired progeny. Introducing a fluorescence marker such as mCherry in plant cells makes it possible to identify the transgene-free seeds of T1 plants. Thus, only the transgene-free seeds are selected to grow for further genome-modified analysis. Although this method is not limited by specific species and has broad spectrum applicability, results suggested that only a few mutations generated in T1 generation can be transmitted to progeny (Figure 2B) [117,119,120].

(3) Using transient expressing editor without antibiotic selection: genome modified constructs can be transiently expressed in plant cells by Agrobacterium and will not be integrated into the host genome. The transient expression vector does not include antibiotic selection units, so three populations exist in T0 plants: plants having foreign gene insertion, untransformed plants, and transiently transformed plants. So, it is time-consuming to identify transgene-free progeny with desired traits (Figure 2C) [117,121].



292

P

Almost 25% of T2 plants

are transgene-free

Seeds for later transgene

free generations

Figure 2. Strategies for producing transgene or marker-free crops that are rich in anthocyanins. (A) Eliminating foreign sequences through genetic segregation. T0 plants are usually heterozygous and with transgenes. (A) A small number of T1 generation segregating from T0 plants will be transgene-free and the modified locus will be homozygous. (B) Fluorescence tag-assisted screening. By fusion, a fluorescence marker such as *mCherry* or GFP, seeds without foreign constructs, can be easily identified. (C) Using transient expressing editor without antibiotic selection. Without biotic selection, Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of foreign genes but there are no transgenes integrated into the plant genome. (D) Preassembled CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins (RNPs). Cas9 protein and sgRNA are preassembled in vitro, then the RNP complex is used to transfect callus or protoplast. Thus, all the T0 generation is transgene-free. (E) Using suicide Transgene Killer CRISPR (TKC) system. There are two suicide units assembled on the plasmid: the expression of a rice male gametophyte specific lethal gene CMS2 is driven by CaMV 35 S, and another lethal gene BARNASE is driven by a rice early embryo-specific promotor REG2. In T0 plants, transgene-containing pollen and embryos are killed. (F) Multi-transgene stacking systems with a marker-free donor vector. In the donor vector plasmid, Cre recombinase is driven by a pollen-specific promotor Pv4. The Cre and resistance gene (*R gene*) cassette is assembled into the transgene plasmid by Gateway BP reaction. Then Cre expression in pollen mediates the self-elimination of Cre and R gene cassette based on the Cre/loxP recombination. Marker-free progeny can be screened by genetic segregation in the T2 generation.

Selfing

Segregation

Heterozygosis

T1 plants

Selfing

Maker-free in male

gametophyte of T0 plants

(4) Preassembled CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins (RNPs): Cas9 protein is expressed and sgRNA is transcribed in vitro. Moderate Cas9 protein and sgRNA are preassembled in vitro, and the ribonucleoprotein complex is used to transfect protoplast or callus, which regenerate into seedlings later. Because the RNP complex does not include a resistance screening component, the cells and calluses with or without RNP component can regenerate into seedlings. Although all T0 plants are transgene-free, a few plants are edited or modified (Figure 2D) [117,122,123].

(5) Using suicide Transgene Killer CRISPR (TKC) system: two suicide transgenes cassettes are assembled onto the genome modified vector in the TKC system. A toxic protein encoding gene BARNASE is expressed and driven by an early embryo-specific promotor REG2. Another rice male gametophyte specific lethal gene CMS2 is driven by

a constitutively strong promoter CaMV 35 s. Once the callus passes through the period of vegetative growth, the transgene-containing pollen will be eliminated by CMS2 in the stage of reproductive growth, and the embryos containing the transgene constructs will be killed by BARNASE. Thus, transgene constructs are eliminated automatically in the genome modified progeny by using the TKC system. However, differences in the function of specific lethal genes in different species such as dicotyledons and monads need to be considered in this approach (Figure 2E) [124].

(6) Using a multi-transgene stacking vector system accompanied by a marker-free donor vector: a marker excision donor vector is constructed in this system. Two cassettes are assembled onto this plasmid between the Gateway *attB1/B2* sites: one is the Cre recombinase driven by a pollen-specific promotor *Pv4*, and another is the resistance gene cassette. Additionally, there is a *loxP* site on the inner side of the *attB1* or *attB2* site. On the transgene vector, a lethal gene *ScaB* in *E. coli* in the presence of sucrose is located between the Gateway *attP1/P2* sites. Before transfection, the transgene plasmid and marker excision plasmid are mixed and the *Cre* and resistance gene cassettes are assembled onto the transgene plasmid by Gateway BP reaction. Because Cre recombinase only exists in pollen, callus can be inducted and regenerate accompanied by an antibiotic screening. At the reproductive growth stage of T0 plants, the resistance gene and *Cre* cassette in male gametophytes will be eliminated by Cre-mediated recombination. Thus, T1 plants are heterozygosis. After selfing and segregation, marker-free T2 progeny can be selected (Figure 2F) [95].

Although various transgene/marker-free methods have been established, application in plant food anthocyanins modification is rare. Although transgene constructions can be eliminated by using these methods, the efficiency of most methods is extremely low to screen the transgene-free plants with desired modification. Additionally, species-specific genes used in several methods are another limiting factor that affects the popularization of these methods. It's an inevitable trend to produce transgene/marker-free anthocyanin-enriched plant food in the future, but further modifications of these methods are needed to improve screening efficiency or broaden their applicability across species.

6. Concluding Remarks

The color of plant food is an economic trait and is determined by the contents and varieties of pigments. Anthocyanins, as an important natural pigment, can give food attractive colors. In consideration of the health-promoting value of anthocyanins, foods rich in anthocyanins are more likely to capture consumers' interest. It is of great importance to produce foods have an abundance of anthocyanins. Various transgene stacking and CRISPR/Cas systems can be selected to achieve plant genome modification and produce anthocyanin-enriched crops. However, transgenic or gene-edited foods are subject to strict legal regulations before marketing, and the relevant laws in most countries and regions may be different or imperfect, thus limiting the development and application of those traditional genome-modified techniques. To address this issue, transgene or marker-free technologies are developed and applied in crop genome improvement in recent years. However, more modifications may be needed when we use these tools across species. It is hoped that more genome-modified crops with improved anthocyanins but without foreign genes can be produced and accepted by the market and consumers.

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft preparation, P.Z.; supervision, H.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This review was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2022YFD2100101), the Joint NSFC-ISF Research Program (Grant No. 32061143022) and the 2115 Talent Development Program of China Agricultural University (Grant No. 1061-00109017) to HZ.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Shipman, E.N.; Yu, J.W.; Zhou, J.Q.; Albornoz, K.; Beckles, D.M. Can gene editing reduce postharvest waste and loss of fruit, vegetables, and ornamentals? *Hortic. Res.* 2021, *8*, 1. [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, H.; Fernandes, A.; Bras, N.F.; Mateus, N.; de Freitas, V.; Fernandes, I. Anthocyanins as Antidiabetic Agents-In Vitro and In Silico Approaches of Preventive and Therapeutic Effects. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 3813. [CrossRef]
- Krga, I.; Milenkovic, D. Anthocyanins: From Sources and Bioavailability to Cardiovascular-Health Benefits and Molecular Mechanisms of Action. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2019, 67, 1771–1783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Vimolmangkang, S.; Han, Y.P.; Wei, G.C.; Korban, S.S. An apple MYB transcription factor, MdMYB3, is involved in regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis and flower development. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2013**, *13*, 176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sun, C.; Deng, L.; Du, M.; Zhao, J.; Chen, Q.; Huang, T.; Jiang, H.; Li, C.B.; Li, C. A transcriptional network promotes anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato flesh. *Mol. Plant* 2020, *13*, 42–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Feng, S.Q.; Wang, Y.L.; Yang, S.; Xu, Y.T.; Chen, X.S. Anthocyanin biosynthesis in pears is regulated by a R2R3-MYB transcription factor PyMYB10. *Planta* 2010, 232, 245–255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Borovsky, Y.; Oren-Shamir, M.; Ovadia, R.; De Jong, W.; Paran, I. The A locus that controls anthocyanin accumulation in pepper encodes a MYB transcription factor homologous to Anthocyanin2 of Petunia. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2004, 109, 23–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Li, L.Z.; Li, S.H.; Ge, H.Y.; Shi, S.L.; Li, D.L.; Liu, Y.; Chen, H.Y. A light-responsive transcription factor SmMYB35 enhances anthocyanin biosynthesis in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Planta* **2022**, 255, 12. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wei, Z.Z.; Hu, K.D.; Zhao, D.L.; Tang, J.; Huang, Z.Q.; Jin, P.; Li, Y.H.; Han, Z.; Hu, L.Y.; Yao, G.F.; et al. MYB44 competitively inhibits the formation of the MYB340-bHLH2-NAC56 complex to regulate anthocyanin biosynthesis in purple-fleshed sweet potato. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2020**, *20*, 258. [CrossRef]
- Ferreira, V.; Matus, J.T.; Pinto-Carnide, O.; Carrasco, D.; Arroyo-Garcia, R.; Castro, I. Genetic analysis of a white-to-red berry skin color reversion and its transcriptomic and metabolic consequences in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* cv. 'Moscatel Galego'). *BMC Genom.* 2019, 20, 952. [CrossRef]
- Kobayashi, S.; Goto-Yamamoto, N.; Hirochika, H. Retrotransposon-induced mutations in grape skin color. *Science* 2004, 304, 982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Walker, A.R.; Lee, E.; Bogs, J.; McDavid, D.A.J.; Thomas, M.R.; Robinson, S.P. White grapes arose through the mutation of two similar and adjacent regulatory genes. *Plant J.* **2007**, *49*, 772–785. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Bonny, S. Genetically modified herbicide-tolerant crops, weeds, and herbicides: Overview and impact. *Environ. Manag.* 2016, 57, 31–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Mattioli, R.; Francioso, A.; Mosca, L.; Silva, P. Anthocyanins: A comprehensive review of their chemical properties and health effects on cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 3809. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liu, J.Y.; Osbourn, A.; Ma, P.D. MYB transcription factors as regulators of phenylpropanoid metabolism in plants. *Mol. Plant* **2015**, *8*, 689–708. [CrossRef]
- 16. Xu, W.J.; Dubos, C.; Lepiniec, L. Transcriptional control of flavonoid biosynthesis by MYB-bHLH-WDR complexes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2015, 20, 176–185. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yin, K.Q.; Gao, C.X.; Qiu, J.L. Progress and prospects in plant genome editing. Nat. Plants 2017, 3, 17107. [CrossRef]
- 18. Delaney, B.; Goodman, R.E.; Ladics, G.S. Food and feed safety of genetically engineered food crops. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2018**, *162*, 361–371. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nap, J.P.; Metz, P.L.J.; Escaler, M.; Conner, A.J. The release of genetically modified crops into the environment—Part I. Overview of current status and regulations. *Plant J.* **2003**, *33*, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liang, J.; Yang, X.; Jiao, Y.; Wang, D.; Zhao, Q.; Sun, Y.; Li, Y.; Wu, K. The evolution of China's regulation of agricultural biotechnology. *Abiotech* **2022**, *3*, 237–249. [CrossRef]
- 21. Gu, X.; Liu, L.; Zhang, H. Transgene-free Genome Editing in Plants. Front. Genome Ed. 2021, 3, 805317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Alappat, B.; Alappat, J. Anthocyanin pigments: Beyond aesthetics. Molecules 2020, 25, 5500. [CrossRef]
- Tanaka, Y.; Sasaki, N.; Ohmiya, A. Biosynthesis of plant pigments: Anthocyanins, betalains and carotenoids. *Plant J.* 2008, 54, 733–749. [CrossRef]
- Fang, J.; Jogaiah, S.; Guan, L.; Sun, X.; Abdelrahman, M. Coloring biology in grape skin: A prospective strategy for molecular farming. *Physiol. Plant* 2018, 164, 429–441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Colanero, S.; Perata, P.; Gonzali, S. What's behind purple tomatoes? Insight into the mechanisms of anthocyanin synthesis in tomato fruits. *Plant Physiol.* **2020**, *182*, 1841–1853. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lila, M.A.; Burton-Freeman, B.; Grace, M.; Kalt, W. Unraveling anthocyanin bioavailability for human health. *Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *7*, 375–393. [CrossRef]

- Chaves, V.C.; Boff, L.; Vizzotto, M.; Calvete, E.; Reginatto, F.H.; Simoes, C.M. Berries grown in Brazil: Anthocyanin profiles and biological properties. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2018, *98*, 4331–4338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ponder, A.; Hallmann, E.; Kwolek, M.; Srednicka-Tober, D.; Kazimierczak, R. Genetic differentiation in anthocyanin content among berry fruits. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 2021, 43, 36–51. [CrossRef]
- Kapusta, I.; Cebulak, T.; Oszmianski, J. The anthocyanins profile of red grape cultivars growing in south-east Poland (Subcarpathia region). J. Food Meas. Charact. 2017, 11, 1863–1873. [CrossRef]
- Lao, F.; Giusti, M.M. Quantification of purple corn (*Zea mays* L.) anthocyanins using spectrophotometric and hplc approaches: Method comparison and correlation. *Food Anal. Methods* 2016, *9*, 1367–1380. [CrossRef]
- Mustafa, A.M.; Angeloni, S.; Abouelenein, D.; Acquaticci, L.; Xiao, J.B.; Sagratini, G.; Maggi, F.; Vittori, S.; Caprioli, G. A new HPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous determination of 36 polyphenols in blueberry, strawberry and their commercial products and determination of antioxidant activity. *Food Chem.* 2022, 367, 130743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Legua, P.; Modica, G.; Porras, I.; Conesa, A.; Continella, A. Bioactive compounds, antioxidant activity and fruit quality evaluation of eleven blood orange cultivars. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2022, 102, 2960–2971. [CrossRef]
- Chen, S.S.; Hu, N.; Wang, H.L.; Wu, Y.N.; Li, G.L. Bioactivity-guided isolation of the major anthocyanin from *Lycium ruthenicum* Murr. fruit and its antioxidant activity and neuroprotective effects in vitro and in vivo. *Food Funct.* 2022, 13, 3247–3257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Shao, Y.F.; Hu, Z.Q.; Yu, Y.H.; Mou, R.X.; Zhu, Z.W.; Beta, T. Phenolic acids, anthocyanins, proanthocyanidins, antioxidant activity, minerals and their correlations in non-pigmented, red, and black rice. *Food Chem.* **2018**, *239*, 733–741. [CrossRef]
- Tu, M.X.; Fang, J.H.; Zhao, R.K.; Liu, X.Y.; Yin, W.C.; Wang, Y.; Wang, X.H.; Wang, X.P.; Fang, Y.L. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of VvbZIP36 promotes anthocyanin accumulation in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*). *Hortic. Res.* 2022, 9, uhac022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dong, T.T.; Han, R.P.; Yu, J.W.; Zhu, M.K.; Zhang, Y.; Gong, Y.; Li, Z.Y. Anthocyanins accumulation and molecular analysis of correlated genes by metabolome and transcriptome in green and purple asparaguses (*Asparagus officinalis*, L.). *Food Chem.* 2019, 271, 18–28. [CrossRef]
- Gonzali, S.; Mazzucato, A.; Perata, P. Purple as a tomato: Towards high anthocyanin tomatoes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2009, 14, 237–241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Koes, R.; Verweij, W.; Quattrocchio, F. Flavonoids: A colorful model for the regulation and evolution of biochemical pathways. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2005**, *10*, 236–242. [CrossRef]
- Albert, N.W.; Davies, K.M.; Lewis, D.H.; Zhang, H.; Montefiori, M.; Brendolise, C.; Boase, M.R.; Ngo, H.; Jameson, P.E.; Schwinn, K.E. A conserved network of transcriptional activators and repressors regulates anthocyanin pigmentation in eudicots. *Plant Cell* 2014, 26, 962–980. [CrossRef]
- 40. Strygina, K.V.; Kochetov, A.V.; Khlestkina, E.K. Genetic control of anthocyanin pigmentation of potato tissues. *BMC Genet.* **2019**, 20, 27. [CrossRef]
- D'Amelia, V.; Aversano, R.; Batelli, G.; Caruso, I.; Moreno, M.C.; Castro-Sanz, A.B.; Chiaiese, P.; Fasano, C.; Palomba, F.; Carputo, D. High AN1 variability and interaction with basic helix-loop-helix co-factors related to anthocyanin biosynthesis in potato leaves. *Plant J.* 2014, *80*, 527–540. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.H.; Lin-Wang, K.; Espley, R.V.; Wang, L.; Yang, H.; Yu, B.; Dare, A.; Gasic, E.V.; Wang, J.; Zhang, J.; et al. Functional diversification of the potato R2R3 MYB anthocyanin activators AN1, MYBA1, and MYB113 and their interaction with basic helix-loop-helix cofactors. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2016, 67, 2159–2176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ning, Z.; Hu, K.; Zhou, Z.; Zhao, D.; Tang, J.; Wang, H.; Li, L.; Ding, C.; Chen, X.; Yao, G.; et al. IbERF71, with IbMYB340 and IbbHLH2, coregulates anthocyanin accumulation by binding to the IbANS1 promoter in purple-fleshed sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* 2021, 40, 157–169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Mattoo, A.K.; Dwivedi, S.L.; Dutt, S.; Singh, B.; Garg, M.; Ortiz, R. Anthocyanin-rich vegetables for human consumption-focus on potato, sweetpotato and tomato. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 2634. [CrossRef]
- 45. Kiferle, C.; Fantini, E.; Bassolino, L.; Povero, G.; Spelt, C.; Buti, S.; Giuliano, G.; Quattrocchio, F.; Koes, R.; Perata, P.; et al. Tomato R2R3-MYB proteins SIANT1 and SIAN2: Same protein activity, different roles. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0136365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Zhi, J.J.; Liu, X.X.; Li, D.J.; Huang, Y.G.M.; Yan, S.S.; Cao, B.H.; Qiu, Z.K. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated SIAN2 mutants reveal various regulatory models of anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato plant. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2020**, *39*, 799–809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Colanero, S.; Tagliani, A.; Perata, P.; Gonzali, S. Alternative splicing in the anthocyanin fruit gene encoding an r2r3 myb transcription factor affects anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato fruits. *Plant Commun.* **2020**, *1*, 100006. [CrossRef]
- Yan, S.; Chen, N.; Huang, Z.; Li, D.; Zhi, J.; Yu, B.; Liu, X.; Cao, B.; Qiu, Z. Anthocyanin Fruit encodes an R2R3-MYB transcription factor, SIAN2-like, activating the transcription of SIMYBATV to fine-tune anthocyanin content in tomato fruit. *New Phytol.* 2020, 225, 2048–2063. [CrossRef]
- An, J.P.; Wang, X.F.; Li, Y.Y.; Song, L.Q.; Zhao, L.L.; You, C.X.; Hao, Y.J. EIN3-LIKE1, MYB1, and ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR3 act in a regulatory loop that synergistically modulates ethylene biosynthesis and anthocyanin accumulation. *Plant Physiol.* 2018, 178, 808–823. [CrossRef]
- 50. Xu, Y.L.; Liu, X.X.; Huang, Y.G.M.; Xia, Z.L.; Lian, Z.L.; Qian, L.J.; Yan, S.S.; Cao, B.H.; Qiu, Z.K. Ethylene inhibits anthocyanin biosynthesis by repressing the R2R3-MYB regulator SIAN2-like in tomato. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 7648. [CrossRef]

- Ni, J.B.; Premathilake, A.T.; Gao, Y.H.; Yu, W.J.; Tao, R.Y.; Teng, Y.W.; Bai, S.L. Ethylene-activated PpERF105 induces the expression of the repressor-type R2R3-MYB gene PpMYB140 to inhibit anthocyanin biosynthesis in red pear fruit. *Plant J.* 2021, 105, 167–181. [CrossRef]
- An, J.P.; Zhang, X.W.; Liu, Y.J.; Wang, X.F.; You, C.X.; Hao, Y.J. ABI5 regulates ABA-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis by modulating the MYB1-bHLH3 complex in apple. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2021, 72, 1460–1472. [CrossRef]
- Oraei, M.; Panahirad, S.; Zaare-Nahandi, F.; Gohari, G. Pre-veraison treatment of salicylic acid to enhance anthocyanin content of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) berries. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2019, 99, 5946–5952. [CrossRef]
- Lin-Wang, K.; Micheletti, D.; Palmer, J.; Volz, R.; Lozano, L.; Espley, R.; Hellens, R.P.; Chagné, D.; Rowan, D.D.; Troggio, M.; et al. High temperature reduces apple fruit colour via modulation of the anthocyanin regulatory complex. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2011, 34, 1176–1190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Liu, Y.H.; Lin-Wang, K.; Espley, R.; Wang, L.; Li, Y.; Liu, Z.; Zhou, P.; Zeng, L.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, J.; et al. StMYB44 negatively regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis at high temperatures in tuber flesh of potato. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2019, *70*, 3809–3824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ma, Z.H.; Li, W.F.; Mao, J.; Li, W.; Zuo, C.W.; Zhao, X.; Dawuda, M.M.; Shi, X.Y.; Chen, B.H. Synthesis of light-inducible and light-independent anthocyanins regulated by specific genes in grape 'Marselan' (V-vinifera L.). PeerJ 2019, 7, e6521. [CrossRef]
- Kataoka, I.; Beppu, K.; Yanagi, T.; Okamoto, K. Light components contributing to accumulation of anthocyanins in 'Gros Colman' grape berries. *Vitic.-Living Limit.* 2004, 640, 333–339.
- 58. Guo, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhai, Z.; Huang, T.; Zhao, D.; Peng, X.; Feng, C.; Xiao, Y.; Li, T. Transcriptomic analysis of light-dependent anthocyanin accumulation in bicolored cherry fruits. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2018**, *130*, 663–677. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abbas, N.; Maurya, J.P.; Senapati, D.; Gangappa, S.N.; Chattopadhyay, S. Arabidopsis CAM7 and HY5 Physically Interact and Directly Bind to the HY5 Promoter to Regulate Its Expression and Thereby Promote Photomorphogenesis. *Plant Cell* 2014, 26, 1036–1052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Li, Y.; Xu, P.; Chen, G.; Wu, J.; Liu, Z.; Lian, H. FvbHLH9 Functions as a Positive Regulator of Anthocyanin Biosynthesis by Forming a HY5-bHLH9 Transcription Complex in Strawberry Fruits. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2020**, *61*, 826–837. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Nguyen, N.H.; Jeong, C.Y.; Kang, G.H.; Yoo, S.D.; Hong, S.W.; Lee, H. MYBD employed by HY5 increases anthocyanin accumulation via repression of MYBL2 in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **2015**, *84*, 1192–1205. [CrossRef]
- Maier, A.; Hoecker, U. COP1/SPA ubiquitin ligase complexes repress anthocyanin accumulation under low light and high light conditions. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2015, 10, e970440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Lu, X.D.; Zhou, C.M.; Xu, P.B.; Luo, Q.; Lian, H.L.; Yang, H.Q. Red-Light-Dependent Interaction of phyB with SPA1 Promotes COP1-SPA1 Dissociation and Photomorphogenic Development in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* **2015**, *8*, 467–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Ma, Y.Y.; Ma, X.; Gao, X.; Wu, W.L.; Zhou, B. Light induced regulation pathway of anthocyanin biosynthesis in plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, 22, 11116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Cheng, J.; Yu, K.J.; Shi, Y.; Wang, J.; Duan, C.Q. Transcription factor VviMYB86 oppositely regulates proanthocyanidin and anthocyanin biosynthesis in grape berries. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 613677. [CrossRef]
- 66. Jiu, S.T.; Guan, L.; Leng, X.; Zhang, K.; Haider, M.S.; Yu, X.; Zhu, X.; Zheng, T.; Ge, M.; Wang, C.; et al. The role of VvMYBA2r and VvMYBA2w alleles of the MYBA2 locus in the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis for molecular breeding of grape (*Vitis* spp.) skin coloration. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2021, 19, 1216–1239. [CrossRef]
- 67. Butelli, E.; Licciardello, C.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, J.J.; Mackay, S.; Bailey, P.; Reforgiato-Recupero, G.; Martin, C. Retrotransposons control fruit-specific, cold-dependent accumulation of anthocyanins in blood oranges. *Plant Cell* **2012**, *24*, 1242–1255. [CrossRef]
- 68. Zhang, H.L.; Zhang, Z.H.; Zhao, Y.A.; Guo, D.L.; Zhao, X.J.; Gao, W.; Zhang, J.P.; Song, B.T. StWRKY13 promotes anthocyanin biosynthesis in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tubers. *Funct. Plant Biol.* **2022**, *49*, 102–114. [CrossRef]
- 69. Chen, Y.Z.; Kim, P.; Kong, L.; Wang, X.; Tan, W.; Liu, X.; Chen, Y.; Yang, J.; Chen, B.; Song, Y.; et al. A dual-function transcription factor, SIJAF13, promotes anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2022**, *73*, 5559–5580. [CrossRef]
- 70. Kang, S.I.; Rahim, M.A.; Afrin, K.S.; Jung, H.J.; Kim, H.T.; Park, J.I.; Nou, I.S. Expression of anthocyanin biosynthesis-related genes reflects the peel color in purple tomato. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *59*, 435–445. [CrossRef]
- Jian, W.; Cao, H.; Yuan, S.; Liu, Y.; Lu, J.; Lu, W.; Li, N.; Wang, J.; Zou, J.; Tang, N.; et al. SIMYB75, an MYB-type transcription factor, promotes anthocyanin accumulation and enhances volatile aroma production in tomato fruits. *Hortic. Res.* 2019, *6*, 22. [CrossRef]
- 72. Tao, R.Y.; Yu, W.; Gao, Y.; Ni, J.; Yin, L.; Zhang, X.; Li, H.; Wang, D.; Bai, S.; Teng, Y. Light-induced basic/helix-loop-helix64 enhances anthocyanin biosynthesis and undergoes CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1-mediated degradation in pear. *Plant Physiol.* 2020, 184, 1684–1701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mao, Z.L.; Jiang, H.; Wang, S.; Wang, Y.; Yu, L.; Zou, Q.; Liu, W.; Jiang, S.; Wang, N.; Zhang, Z.; et al. The MdHY5-MdWRKY41-MdMYB transcription factor cascade regulates the anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in red-fleshed apple. *Plant Sci.* 2021, 306, 110848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. An, J.P.; Yao, J.F.; Xu, R.R.; You, C.X.; Wang, X.F.; Hao, Y.J. Apple bZIP transcription factor MdbZIP44 regulates abscisic acid-promoted anthocyanin accumulation. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2018**, *41*, 2678–2692. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Q.G.; Jiang, S.; Zhang, T.; Xu, H.; Fang, H.; Zhang, J.; Su, M.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, N.; et al. Apple NAC transcription factor MdNAC52 regulates biosynthesis of anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin through MdMYB9 and MdMYB11. *Plant Sci.* 2019, 289, 110286. [CrossRef]

- 76. An, J.P.; Zhang, X.W.; Bi, S.Q.; You, C.X.; Wang, X.F.; Hao, Y.J. The ERF transcription factor MdERF38 promotes drought stress-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in apple. *Plant J.* **2020**, *101*, 573–589. [CrossRef]
- 77. An, J.P.; Li, H.H.; Song, L.Q.; Su, L.; Liu, X.; You, C.X.; Wang, X.F.; Hao, Y.J. The molecular cloning and functional characterization of MdMYC2, a bHLH transcription factor in apple. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2019**, *135*, 612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, H.F.; Yang, G.X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.C.; Zhang, T.L.; Wang, N.; Jiang, S.H.; Zhang, Z.Y.; Chen, X.S. Overexpression of a repressor MdMYB15L negatively regulates anthocyanin and cold tolerance in red-fleshed callus. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 500, 405–410. [CrossRef]
- 79. Liu, Y.; Ma, K.; Qi, Y.; Lv, G.; Ren, X.; Liu, Z.; Ma, F. Transcriptional Regulation of Anthocyanin Synthesis by MYB-bHLH-WDR Complexes in Kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*). J. Agric. Food Chem. **2021**, 69, 3677–3691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, Z.; Shi, Y.; Ma, Y.; Yang, X.; Yin, X.; Zhang, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Liu, W.; Li, Y.; Li, S.; et al. The strawberry transcription factor FaRAV1 positively regulates anthocyanin accumulation by activation of FaMYB10 and anthocyanin pathway genes. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2020, *18*, 2267–2279. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Ye, Y.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, L.; Yue, M.; Tang, L.; Jin, M.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, Y.; Tang, H. B-Box Transcription factor FaBBX22 promotes light-induced anthocyanin accumulation in strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 7757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, J.; Kim, D.H.; Lee, J.Y.; Lim, S.H. The R3-Type MYB transcription factor BrMYBL2.1 negatively regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.) by repressing MYB-bHLH-WD40 complex activity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 3382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Xu, Z.S.; Feng, K.; Que, F.; Wang, F.; Xiong, A.S. A MYB transcription factor, DcMYB6, is involved in regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis in purple carrot taproots. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 45324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Chiu, L.W.; Li, L. Characterization of the regulatory network of BoMYB2 in controlling anthocyanin biosynthesis in purple cauliflower. *Planta* **2012**, *236*, 1153–1164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Yang, X.H.; Wang, J.; Xia, X.; Zhang, Z.; He, J.; Nong, B.; Luo, T.; Feng, R.; Wu, Y.; Pan, Y.; et al. OsTTG1, a WD40 repeat gene, regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in rice. *Plant J.* **2021**, 107, 198–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oikawa, T.; Maeda, H.; Oguchi, T.; Yamaguchi, T.; Tanabe, N.; Ebana, K.; Yano, M.; Ebitani, T.; Izawa, T. The birth of a black rice gene and its local spread by introgression. *Plant Cell* 2015, 27, 2401–2414. [CrossRef]
- 87. Petroni, K.; Tonelli, C. Recent advances on the regulation of anthocyanin synthesis in reproductive organs. *Plant Sci.* **2011**, *181*, 219–229. [CrossRef]
- Peniche-Pavia, H.A.; Guzman, T.J.; Magana-Cerino, J.M.; Gurrola-Diaz, C.M.; Tiessen, A. Maize Flavonoid Biosynthesis, Regulation, and Human Health Relevance: A Review. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 5166. [CrossRef]
- 89. Chilton, M.D.; Drummond, M.H.; Merlo, D.J.; Sciaky, D.; Montoya, A.L. Stable incorporation of plasmid DNA into higher plant cells: The molecular basis of crown gall tumorigenesis. *Cell* **1977**, *11*, 263–271. [CrossRef]
- Fraley, R.T.; Rogers, S.G.; Horsch, R.B.; Sanders, P.R.; Flick, J.S.; Adams, S.P.; Bittner, M.L.; A Brand, L.; Fink, C.L.; Fry, J.S.; et al. Expression of bacterial genes in plant-cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1983, *80*, 4803–4807. [CrossRef]
- Herrera-Estrella, L.; Ann Depicker, A.; Montagu, M.V.; Schen, J. Expression of chimaeric genes transfered into plant cells using a Ti-plasmid-derived vector. *Nature* 1983, 303, 209–213. [CrossRef]
- 92. Kumar, K.; Gambhir, G.; Dass, A.; Tripathi, A.K.; Singh, A.; Jha, A.K.; Yadava, P.; Choudhary, M.; Rakshit, S. Genetically modified crops: Current status and future prospects. *Planta* **2020**, *251*, 91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Klumper, W.; Qaim, M. A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e111629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Shehryar, K.; Khan, R.S.; Iqbal, A.; Hussain, S.A.; Imdad, S.; Bibi, A.; Hamayun, L.; Nakamura, I. Transgene stacking as effective tool for enhanced disease resistance in plants. *Mol. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *62*, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Zhu, Q.L.; Yu, S.; Zeng, D.; Liu, H.; Wang, H.; Yang, Z.; Xie, X.; Shen, R.; Tan, J.; Li, H.; et al. Development of "Purple Endosperm Rice" by engineering anthocyanin biosynthesis in the endosperm with a high-efficiency transgene stacking system. *Mol. Plant* 2017, 10, 918–929. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Y.C.; Han, J.; Tan, J.; Yang, Y.; Li, S.; Gou, Y.; Luo, Y.; Li, T.; Xiao, W.; Xue, Y.; et al. Efficient assembly of long DNA fragments and multiple genes with improved nickase-based cloning and Cre/loxP recombination. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2022, 20, 1983–1995. [CrossRef]
- Ishino, Y.; Shinagawa, H.; Makino, K.; Amemura, M.; Nakata, A. Nucleotide-sequence of the iap gene, responsible for alkalinephosphatase isozyme conversion in *Escherichia-coli*, and identification of the gene-product. *J. Bacteriol.* 1987, 169, 5429–5433. [CrossRef]
- Moon, S.B.; Kim, D.; Ko, J.H.; Kim, Y.S. Recent advances in the CRISPR genome editing tool set. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 2019, 51, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 99. Pan, C.T.; Wu, X.; Markel, K.; Malzahn, A.A.; Kundagrami, N.; Sretenovic, S.; Zhang, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Shih, P.M.; Qi, Y. CRISPR-Act3.0 for highly efficient multiplexed gene activation in plants. *Nat. Plants* **2021**, *7*, 942–953. [CrossRef]
- 100. Li, Z.X.; Zhang, D.D.; Xiong, X.Y.; Yan, B.Y.; Xie, W.; Sheen, J.; Li, J.F. A potent Cas9-derived gene activator for plant and mammalian cells. *Nat. Plants* **2017**, *3*, 930–936. [CrossRef]
- Lowder, L.G.; Zhou, J.P.; Zhang, Y.X.; Malzahn, A.; Zhong, Z.H.; Hsieh, T.F.; Voytas, D.F.; Zhang, Y.; Qi, Y.P. Robust transcriptional activation in plants using multiplexed CRISPR-Act2.0 and mTALE-Act systems. *Mol. Plant* 2018, 11, 245–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 102. Waltz, E. Food firms test fry Pioneer's trans fat-free soybean oil. Nat. Biotechnol. 2010, 28, 769–770. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Waltz, E. USDA approves next-generation GM potato. Nat. Biotechnol. 2015, 33, 12–13. [CrossRef]
- 104. Waltz, E. Nonbrowning GM apple cleared for market. Nat. Biotechnol. 2015, 33, 326–327. [CrossRef]
- 105. Waltz, E. GABA-enriched tomato is first CRISPR-edited food to enter market. Nat. Biotechnol. 2022, 40, 9–11. [CrossRef]
- Nonaka, S.; Arai, C.; Takayama, M.; Matsukura, C.; Ezura, H. Efficient increase of-aminobutyric acid (GABA) content in tomato fruits by targeted mutagenesis. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 7057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Schijlen, E.; de Vos, C.H.R.; Jonker, H.; van den Broeck, H.; Molthoff, J.; van Tunen, A.; Martens, S.; Bovy, A. Pathway engineering for healthy phytochemicals leading to the production of novel flavonoids in tomato fruit. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2006, *4*, 433–444. [CrossRef]
- 108. Muir, S.R.; Collins, G.J.; Robinson, S.; Hughes, S.; Bovy, A.; De Vos, C.H.R.; van Tunen, A.J.; Verhoeyen, M.E. Overexpression of petunia chalcone isomerase in tomato results in fruit containing increased levels of flavonols. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2001, 19, 470–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Bovy, A.; de Vos, R.; Kemper, M.; Schijlen, E.; Almenar Pertejo, M.; Muir, S.; Collins, G.; Robinson, S.; Verhoeyen, M.; Hughes, S.; et al. High-flavonol tomatoes resulting from the heterologous expression of the maize transcription factor genes LC and C1. *Plant Cell* 2002, 14, 2509–2526. [CrossRef]
- Mathews, H.; Clendennen, S.K.; Caldwell, C.G.; Liu, X.L.; Connors, K.; Matheis, N.; Schuster, D.K.; Menasco, D.J.; Wagoner, W.; Lightner, J.; et al. Activation tagging in tomato identifies a transcriptional regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis, modification, and transport. *Plant Cell* 2003, 15, 1689–1703. [CrossRef]
- Butelli, E.; Titta, L.; Giorgio, M.; Mock, H.-P.; Matros, A.; Peterek, S.; Schijlen, E.G.W.M.; Hall, R.D.; Bovy, A.G.; Luo, J.; et al. Enrichment of tomato fruit with health-promoting anthocyanins by expression of select transcription factors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2008, 26, 1301–1308. [CrossRef]
- 112. Qiu, Z.; Wang, H.; Li, D.; Yu, B.; Hui, Q.; Yan, S.; Huang, Z.; Cui, X.; Cao, B. Identification of Candidate HY5-Dependent and -Independent Regulators of Anthocyanin Biosynthesis in Tomato. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2019**, *60*, 643–656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Ye, X.D.; Al-Babili, S.; Kloti, A.; Zhang, J.; Lucca, P.; Beyer, P.; Potrykus, I. Engineering the provitamin A (beta-carotene) biosynthetic pathway into (carotenoid-free) rice endosperm. *Science* 2000, *287*, 303–305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Liu, X.Q.; Li, S.Z.; Yang, W.Z.; Mu, B.N.; Jiao, Y.; Zhou, X.J.; Zhang, C.Y.; Fan, Y.L.; Chen, R.M. Synthesis of Seed-Specific Bidirectional Promoters for Metabolic Engineering of Anthocyanin-Rich Maize. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2018, 59, 1942–1955. [CrossRef]
- 115. D'Amelia, V.; Villano, C.; Batelli, G.; Çobanoğlu, Ö.; Carucci, F.; Melito, S.; Chessa, M.; Chiaiese, P.; Aversano, R.; Carputo, D. Genetic and epigenetic dynamics affecting anthocyanin biosynthesis in potato cell culture. *Plant Sci.* 2020, 298, 110597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Ming, M.L.; Long, H.; Ye, Z.; Pan, C.; Chen, J.; Tian, R.; Sun, C.; Xue, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, J.; et al. Highly efficient CRISPR systems for loss-of-function and gain-of-function research in pear calli. *Hortic. Res.* **2022**, *9*, uhac148. [CrossRef]
- 117. He, Y.; Zhao, Y. Technological breakthroughs in generating transgene-free and genetically stable CRISPR-edited plants. *Abiotech* **2020**, *1*, 88–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Lowe, B.A.; Prakash, N.S.; Way, M.; Mann, M.T.; Spencer, T.M.; Boddupalli, R.S. Enhanced single copy integration events in corn via particle bombardment using low quantities of DNA. *Transgenic Res.* **2009**, *18*, 831–840. [CrossRef]
- He, Y.B.; Wang, R.C.; Dai, X.H.; Zhao, Y.D. On Improving CRISPR for Editing Plant Genes: Ribozyme-Mediated Guide RNA Production and Fluorescence-Based Technology for Isolating Transgene-Free Mutants Generated by CRISPR. *Gene Ed. Plants* 2017, 149, 151–166.
- 120. Gao, X.H.; Chen, J.L.; Dai, X.H.; Zhang, D.; Zhao, Y.D. An Effective Strategy for Reliably Isolating Heritable and Cas9-Free Arabidopsis Mutants Generated by CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing. *Plant Physiol.* **2016**, 171, 1794–1800. [CrossRef]
- 121. Chen, L.Z.; Li, W.; Katin-Grazzini, L.; Ding, J.; Gu, X.; Li, Y.; Gu, T.; Wang, R.; Lin, X.; Deng, Z.; et al. A method for the production and expedient screening of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated non-transgenic mutant plants. *Hortic. Res.* 2018, *5*, 13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Woo, J.W.; Kim, J.; Kwon, S.I.; Corvalán, C.; Cho, S.W.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.-G.; Kim, S.-T.; Choe, S.; Kim, J.-S. DNA-free genome editing in plants with preassembled CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2015, 33, 1162–1164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Park, J.; Choe, S. DNA-free genome editing with preassembled CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins in plants. *Transgenic Res.* 2019, 28, 61–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. He, Y.B.; Zhu, M.; Wang, L.H.; Wu, J.H.; Wang, Q.Y.; Wang, R.C.; Zhao, Y.D. Programmed self-elimination of the CRISPR/Cas9 construct greatly accelerates the isolation of edited and transgene-free rice plants. *Mol. Plant* 2018, 11, 1210–1213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.