

Article



Cardiovascular Toxicities of Ibrutinib: A Pharmacovigilance Study Based on the United States Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System Database

Yi Zheng[†], Xiaojing Guo[†], Chenxin Chen[†], Lijie Chi, Zhijian Guo, Jizhou Liang, Lianhui Wei, Xiao Chen, Xiaofei Ye^{*} and Jia He^{*}

Department of Health Statistics, Naval Medical University, 800 Xiangyin Road, Shanghai 200433, China * Correspondence: yexiaofei@smmu.edu.cn (X.Y.); hejia63@yeah.net (J.H.);

Tel.: +86-138-1626-2536 (X.Y.); +86-133-8627-7040 (J.H.)

+ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Background: Although ibrutinib has been widely used to treat haematological malignancies, many studies have reported associated cardiovascular events. These studies were primarily animal experiments and clinical trials. For more rational clinical drug use, a study based on post-marketing data is necessary. Aim: Based on post-marketing data, we investigated the clinical features, time to onset, and outcomes of potential cardiovascular toxicities of ibrutinib. Methods: This disproportionality study utilised data from the 2014-2021 United States Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database. We used two disproportionality methods information component (IC) and reporting odds ratio (ROR)) to detect the potential cardiovascular toxicities of ibrutinib. Positive signals were defined as $IC_{025} > 0$ and $ROR_{025} > 1$. Results: A total of 10 cardiovascular events showed positive signals: supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, haemorrhagic central nervous system vascular conditions, ventricular tachyarrhythmias, cardiac failure, ischaemic central nervous system vascular conditions, cardiomyopathy, conduction defects, myocardial infarction, myocardial infarction disorders of sinus node function, and torsade de pointes/QT prolongation. Cardiomyopathy and supraventricular tachyarrhythmias were the two most common signals. Disorders of sinus node function were observed for the first time, which may be a new adverse effect of ibrutinib. Conclusions: This pharmacovigilance study systematically explored the adverse cardiovascular events of ibrutinib and provided new safety signals based on past safety information. Attention should be paid to some high-risk signals.

Keywords: ibrutinib; FAERS; cardiovascular events; disproportionality analysis; pharmacovigilance study

1. Introduction

Ibrutinib, the first small molecule of Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor (BTKi), was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in November 2013 for treating recurrent mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) [1,2]. As a BTKi, ibrutinib irreversibly inhibits BTK activity by highly specific and covalent binding to cysteine-481 (Cys-481) at the BTK active site, thereby blocking the activation of the B cell receptor signalling pathway. Consequently, it alters the tumour microenvironment, inhibits the malignant proliferation of tumour B cells, and induces apoptosis [3,4]. Ibrutinib is effective in various B-cell malignancies; its indications now include MCL, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL), small lymphocytic lymphoma with 17p deletion (SLL), marginal zone lymphoma, chronic graft versus host disease, and Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) [1,2,5–7]. The recommended dose for MCL treatment was 560 mg once daily, and 420 mg once daily was recommended for CLL and WM [8]. After being marketed, ibrutinib has recently become popular for its curative effect in treating haematological malignancies.



Citation: Zheng, Y.; Guo, X.; Chen, C.; Chi, L.; Guo, Z.; Liang, J.; Wei, L.; Chen, X.; Ye, X.; He, J. Cardiovascular Toxicities of Ibrutinib: A Pharmacovigilance Study Based on the United States Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System Database. *Pharmaceuticals* **2023**, *16*, 98. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ph16010098

Academic Editor: Márcia Carvalho

Received: 13 October 2022 Revised: 29 December 2022 Accepted: 5 January 2023 Published: 9 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Despite its huge clinical benefits, ibrutinib inevitably causes drug toxicity in patients. Some recent studies have reported cardiovascular toxicities of ibrutinib, such as atrial fibrillation [9–12], supraventricular arrhythmias, and ventricular arrhythmias [13]. In severe cases, cardiovascular toxicity is life-threatening [14]. With the widespread clinical application of ibrutinib, it is necessary to explore the cardiovascular toxicities of ibrutinib based on post-marketing data and the time to onset (TTO) for the safe treatment of patients. This pharmacovigilance study based on the Adverse Event Reporting System Database of the US Food and Drug Administration (FAERS) was conducted to detect the potential cardiovascular toxicities of ibrutinib.

2. Results

2.1. Baseline Characteristics

After data cleaning, we extracted 78,887,460 records from the FAERS database from 1 January 2014 to 1 July 2021, of which 43,459 were submitted for ibrutinib, with 5974 records related to cardiovascular complications. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of patients treated with ibrutinib. The USA was the main reporter country (N = 31,962, 74.1%). Males (N = 25,179, 62.6%) and older adults aged >60 years (N = 20,410, 86%) were the main patients taking ibrutinib; they were also the main patients with cardiovascular complications. CLL was the top indication (N = 21,218, 48.9%), followed by MCL (N = 3728, 8.6%) and WM (N = 2636, 6.1%). The most common dosage for patients was 420 mg (N = 21,364, 62.3%).

2.2. Disproportionality Analysis

Overall, 10 cardiovascular-related standardised MedDRA Query (SMQ) showed signals: SVT, Haemorrhagic-CNS, VT, CF, Ischaemic-CN, CM, CD, MI, DSN, and Tdp/QTp. CM (N = 2641), SVT (N = 2557), and CF (N = 1887) were the three most common cardiovascular events (Table 2). We also explored the baseline characteristics of patients with the above cardiovascular events (Supplementary Table S1).

Table 1. Characteristics of records associated with Ibrutinib in FAERS from 2014–2021.

Characteristics	Ibrutinib
Sex	
Data available	40,230
Male	25,179 (62.6)
Female	15,051 (37.4)
Age	
Data available	23,721
<45	487 (2.1)
<60	2824 (11.9)
≥ 60	20,410 (86.0)
Report country	
Data available	43,149
USA	31,962 (74.1)
France	1540 (3.6)
Canada	1388 (3.2)
UK	1012 (2.3)
Germany	910 (2.1)
Others	6337 (14.7)
Outcome	
Data available	34,235
Hospitalisation—Initial or Prolonged	14,167 (41.4)
Death	7178 (21.0)
Disability	272 (0.8)
Life-Threatening	253 (0.7)

Characteristics	Ibrutinib
Other Serious (Important Medical Event)	12,365 (36.1)
Indication	
Data available	43,350
CLL	21,218 (48.9)
MCL	3728 (8.6)
WM	2636 (6.1)
NHL	864 (2.0)
B-CLL	790 (1.8)
Others	14,114 (32.6)
Doses	
Data available	34,281
140	3690 (10.8)
280	4019 (11.7)
420	21,364 (62.3)
560	4384 (12.8)
Others	824 (2.4)
TTO	
Data available	2592
Median days	99
Q1–Q3 *	28–335

Table 1. Cont.

*: Q1–Q3: Quarter 1–3.

Table 2. Cardiovascular events in standardised MedDRA Query (SMQ) with disproportionality analysis in United States Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database.

SMQ	Frequency	IC (95%CI)	ROR (95%CI)	SMQ Code
Supraventricular tachyarrhythmias	2557	3.09 (3.02–3.13)	8.49 (8.16-8.83)	20000057
Haemorrhagic central nervous system vascular conditions	1080	2.13 (2.03–2.2)	4.37 (4.11–4.64)	20000064
Ventricular tachyarrhythmias	226	1.18 (0.96–1.34)	2.27 (1.99-2.58)	20000058
Cardiac failure	1887	0.92 (0.84-0.97)	1.89 (1.8–1.98)	20000004
Ischaemic central nervous system vascular conditions	899	0.74 (0.63-0.82)	1.67 (1.57–1.79)	20000063
Disorders of sinus node function	51	0.53 (0.07-0.87)	1.45 (1.1–1.91)	20000055
Cardiomyopathy	2641	0.49 (0.43-0.54)	1.41 (1.35–1.46)	20000150
Conduction defects	130	0.49 (0.2–0.7)	1.4 (1.18–1.66)	20000056
Myocardial infarction	629	0.26 (0.12-0.35)	1.19 (1.1–1.29)	20000047
Torsade de pointes/QT prolongation	1104	0.17 (0.07-0.24)	1.12 (1.06–1.19)	20000001
Embolic and thrombotic events, arterial	136	0 (-0.28-0.21)	1 (0.85–1.19)	20000082
Embolic and thrombotic events, venous	433	0 (-0.16-0.12)	1 (0.91–1.1)	20000083
Hypertension	1299	-0.05 (-0.14-0.02)	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	20000147
Conditions associated with central nervous system	200	-0.17 (-0.41-0)	0.89 (0.77–1.02)	20000166
Pulmonary hypertension	1436	-0.38 (-0.470.32)	0.77 (0.73–0.81)	20000130
Embolic and thrombotic events, vessel type unspecified and mixed arterial and venous	344	-0.67 (-0.850.54)	0.63 (0.56–0.7)	20000083

We further explored the changes in IC values and their 95% CIs from 2014 to 2021 for 10 cardiovascular events (Figure 1). We noticed that haemorrhagic-CNS and ST showed strong signals over the above years and did not change much; this should require additional attention.

We also explored the cardiovascular adverse events with the preferred term (PT). The signals can be accessed in Figure 2; 34 PTs were shown as signals. According to European Medicines Agency (EMA)'s Designated Medical Event list, 31 PTs were important medical events among the PTs above, except supraventricular arrhythmia, atrioventricular block (second degree), and aortic valve disease. Atrial fibrillation (AF) (N = 2243,



 $IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 3.33/10.12$) was the most common PT and the strongest signal. Cardiac disorder (N = 458, $IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 1.24/2.40$) was another common PT, followed by AF.

Figure 1. Information component (IC) and its 95% CI over time for 10 signals.

We explored the potential cardiac toxicity potential toxicity to other systems of ibrutinib (Supplementary Table S2).

2.3. TTO

Figure 3 presents the differential spectra of TTO of the 10 cardiovascular toxicities mentioned above. Overall, the median TTO of cardiovascular events was 99 days, and Q1–Q3 was 28–335 days. CD showed the shortest median time of 64 days (Q1–Q3: 26–322 days), followed by CF at 69 days (Q1–Q3: 19–224 days). DSN showed the longest median time of 282 days (Q1–Q3: 69–432 days).

2.4. Outcome

We further explored the outcomes of these 10 cardiovascular toxicities. Among the 10 cardiovascular toxicities, the proportion of death in CD (10.4%) was the lowest, and

Tdp/QTP (35.3%) was the highest. Overall, the proportion of death in patient outcomes due to cardiovascular events was 21%.

РТ	Number	IC (IC ₀₂₅ –IC ₉₇₅)
Atrial fibrillation	2243	3.4 (3.33–3.45)
Cardiac disorder	458	1.39 (1.24–1.51)
Cardiac failure congestive	452	1.59 (1.43–1.7)
Myocardial infarction	410	0.56 (0.4-0.68)
Cardiac failure	379	1.05 (0.88–1.18)
Pericardial effusion	298	2.54 (2.35–2.68)
Palpitations	275	0.26 (0.06–0.4)
Arrhythmia	266	1.48 (1.28–1.63)
Atrial flutter	157	2.94 (2.67–3.13)
Cardiomyopathy	79	1.21 (0.84–1.48)
Ventricular tachycardia	79	1.4 (1.03–1.67)
Supraventricular tachycardia	59	1.49 (1.06–1.8)
Ventricular fibrillation	56	1.37 (0.93–1.69)
Cardiac flutter	53	2.13 (1.67–2.45)
Coronary artery occlusion	52	1.02 (0.56–1.35)
Ventricular extrasystoles	40	1.05 (0.52–1.42)
Pericardial haemorrhage	39	2.68 (2.14-3.06)
Cardiac tamponade	37	1.92 (1.37–2.31)
Supraventricular extrasystoles	31	2.06 (1.46-2.48)
Extrasystoles	30	1.13 (0.52–1.57)
Atrioventricular block	28	0.87 (0.24–1.31)
Cardiac valve disease	25	1.19 (0.52–1.66)
Congestive cardiomyopathy	25	0.97 (0.3–1.44)
Ventricular arrhythmia	25	1.45 (0.79–1.93)
Arrhythmia supraventricular	24	3.32 (2.63-3.8)
Ventricular dysfunction	19	2 (1.23–2.54)
Sinus node dysfunction	17	1.53 (0.71–2.09)
Atrioventricular block second degree	16	1.41 (0.57–1.99)
Tachyarrhythmia	16	0.9 (0.06–1.49)
Mitral valve disease	10	1.14 (0.06–1.86)
Aortic valve disease	9	1.52 (0.39–2.29)
Cardiac fibrillation	9	1.63 (0.49–2.39)
Ventricular tachyarrhythmia	8	2.68 (1.47–3.48)
Pleuropericarditis	5	2.37 (0.8–3.35)



Figure 2. The signals in preferred terms (PTs) associated with cardiovascular toxicities.



Figure 3. Time to onset for 10 cardiovascular toxicities.

3. Discussion

Ibrutinib is one of the most important therapies for patients with haematopoietic malignancies, particularly CLL [15]. In September 2022, the Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee published a 'direct healthcare professional communications' on the increased risk of fatal and serious cardiac arrhythmias and cardiac failure with the use of ibrutinib [16]. Therefore, it is important to detect potential cardiovascular toxicities to protect the patients better. To our knowledge, this study presents the most exhaustive and extensive characterisation of ibrutinib-associated cardiovascular toxicities based on the FAERS database. We detected 10 potential cardiovascular toxicities and some interesting findings as follows:

In this study, SVT was a common cardiovascular toxicity associated with ibrutinib; AF was the primary type. In a mice experiment, Xiao et al. suggested that ibrutinib inhibits C-terminal Src kinase (CSK) to cause AF [17]. CSK decreases Src family tyrosine kinase (SFK) activity via C-terminal phosphorylation. SFKs are involved in many cellular functions, including differentiation, cell proliferation, migration, survival, adhesion, inflammation, and programmed cell death [18]. The balance between SFK activation and CSK phosphorylation can be maintained. Conversely, it can be harmful to health. Compared with the ventricle, CSK was more enriched in the atria. Pharmacokinetic studies have shown that ibrutinib causes CSK to exceed its IC_{50} continuously [19]. The study suggested that it may be because ibrutinib increases SFK activity via CSK, leading to increased inflammation and fibrosis, predisposing the heart to AF [17]. Another study suggested that ibrutinib causes AF via the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt pathway [20].

AF can trigger other cardiovascular events, such as ischaemic CNS. AF results in altered haemodynamics within the heart, producing emboli that block arteries of various sizes with blood flow [21]. We noticed that the median TTO of Ischaemic-CNS (269 days) was longer than that of SVT (81 days), further confirming the possibility of this mechanism. The mechanism of AF is unclear and requires further research.

Tdp/QTp is a special type of VT with a higher death proportion (N = 372, 35.3%). Male sex, ischaemic heart disease, prolonged QT interval, previous AF, diabetes, and valvular disease were associated with an increased risk of VT [22]. According to a previous study, ibrutinib may influence adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase to mediate the dysregulation of calcium-handling proteins to cause VT, as it activates Akt under metabolic stress [23]. Another study on rats showed that acute treatment with ibrutinib may enhance spatially discordant action potential duration alternans, a recognised risk factor for arrhythmias [24]. Ventricular fibrillation (VF) (N = 56, IC₀₂₅ = 0.93) was also a signal of PT. It is one of the most serious life-threatening heart diseases; VF can lead to sudden death and high mortality [25,26]. Although the mechanism is unclear, the high death rate should be considered, particularly in older male patients, and early treatment should be provided.

Our study identified DSN as a newer cardiovascular toxicity of ibrutinib. Several cases have been reported [27]. Sinus node dysfunction (N = 17, $IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 0.71/1.79$) as a PT was also a signal, demonstrating the adverse effect of ibrutinib on the sinus node. We also found that males (80.0%) were the majority, significantly higher than 60.6% (the value of all cardiovascular events). The median TTO for DSN was the longest among the 10 cardiovascular events.

CM was another common cardiovascular toxicity in our study. Several relevant cases have been reported [28,29]. The mechanism may be as follows: ibrutinib inhibits protein kinase C (PKC) via inhibition of BTK, and this may lead to increased L-type calcium activity, which is implicated in increased myocardial contractility [29,30]. CM can also be caused by AF, tachycardia, and hypertension [15]. CM is generally accompanied by structural changes in the heart, which require attention.

HF is a life-threatening disease that requires early management. We found that the TTO of HF was 69 days, which was shorter than for all cardiovascular events (99 days). HF can be caused by other cardiovascular events such as HF, VT, and CM. FDA label showed that HF occurred particularly in patients with acute infections, cardiac risk factors, a previous history of cardiac arrhythmias, and hypertension. A previous study suggested that using amiodarone (one of the most common antiarrhythmic drugs) could maintain the serum level of ibrutinib, thus increasing its toxicity [31]. HF relapse rapidly leads to physical health deterioration; therefore, early treatment and management are necessary.

Haemorrhage is another common side effect of ibrutinib [32]. In our study, we found that haemorrhage (N = 1093, IC₀₂₅ = 2.36) is a signal of PT with high frequency. We further analysed the platelet count; it decreased (N = 1127, IC₀₂₅ = 1.90) as PT was also a positive signal with high frequency. As a BTKi, in addition to inhibiting BTK, ibrutinib can inhibit several other intracellular molecules important for platelet signalling, including tyrosine kinase expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma (Tec) [33]. BTK and Tec can lead to a decrease in platelet count via C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2) and the platelet collagen receptor glycoprotein VI (GPVI) pathways [32]. Another study showed that ibrutinib inhibits platelet adhesion to fibrinogen by inhibiting the α IIb β 3 outside-in signalling pathway [34]. The decreased platelet count can cause bleeding, which may be a mechanism leading to haemorrhage-CNS. Haemorrhage-CNS is a special type that can cause sudden death and other serious complications; this needs attention.

Hypertension (HTN) (N = 1299, IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = -0.14/0.92) was a signal as SMQ. However, it showed a positive PT signal (N = 661, IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.12/1.10). An SMQ contains several PTs, which describe the approximate disease condition. The above situations proved that HTN was not a robust signal. Several studies suggested that HTN is an adverse effect of ibrutinib [35,36]. The ibrutinib FDA label also indicated that in clinical trials of 1476 patients who received ibrutinib, HTN could occur in 19% of patients, and 8% of patients could experience grade 3 or higher HTN. The exact relationship between ibrutinib and HTN and the relevant mechanisms require further prospective studies.

Compared with a 2019 study based on the Vigibase database exploring the cardiotoxicities of the ibrutinib [13], we additionally found MI, CM, Tdp/QTp, and DSN as four potential cardiotoxicities. This may be due to the difference between the two databases and the larger amount of data in this study through the second quarter of 2021. According to another 2022 study, the second generation BTKi was safer than ibrutinib, which was the first generation BTKi, especially in cardiotoxicity [37].

4. Limitations

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the FAERS database is based on spontaneous data. Thus, intrinsic bias, such as under-reporting, over-reporting, and incomplete information, is inevitable and unquantifiable [38]. Second, FAERS cannot evaluate the exact number of patients treated with ibrutinib; therefore, we could not determine the incidence of cardiovascular events [39]. Further, the reporting behaviour could be affected by changing the awareness of toxicities over time. Moreover, some very close adverse events were identified by different PTs, which were considered distinct adverse events, possibly impacting the accuracy of the results. Moreover, although disproportionality methods are efficient, we should also recognise the shortcomings in dealing with confounding factors, such as co-prescription and masking effects [40], and we did not consider a stratified analysis. Disproportionality methods indicate potential safety issues, which should be validated and followed up in prospective studies.

5. Methods

5.1. Study Design and Database

This observational pharmacovigilance study utilised the FAERS database and covered the period from 1 January 2014 to 30 June 2021. The FAERS database is an open database maintained by the FDA; it collects adverse event (AE) reports from different sources, including patients, healthcare professionals, and drug manufacturers [38]. It is one of the most common databases for mining adverse drug reaction signals in pharmacovigilance.

5.2. Data Cleaning

Before data analysis, reports with the same sex, age, reporting country, adverse events, drug name, starting time, and ending time were defined as repeated data and underwent deduplication processing. No imputation method for missing data was used in this study because FAERS is a spontaneous database with a large proportion of missing data for the variables. Both generic and brand names were used to identify the target drug, ibrutinib. AEs were coded with the PTs in FAERS, according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA). Several PTs can be grouped into a SMQ and a System Organ Class (SOC) to describe a disease condition or a systemic disease [41]. In this study, we used 16 SMQs related to cardiovascular events (Table 2) as AEs and the PTs related to the SOC of Cardiac disorders (Code: 10007541) to detect the cardiovascular toxicities associated with ibrutinib. TTO was defined as the time from the start date of ibrutinib treatment to the onset date of cardiovascular events. We used the median days and its quarter 1–3 (Q1–Q3) to demonstrate the TTO of the cardiac toxicities.

5.3. Statistical Analysis

Disproportionality analysis, also called case/non-case analysis, was used in this study, which is also the most common signal detection method in pharmacovigilance [42]. The reporting odds ratio (ROR) and the information component (IC) are two frequently applied disproportionality analysis methods [43,44]. Both methods were used to detect signals in this study. Using statistical shrinkage transformation can reduce false-negative signals and obtain robust results [45]. In this study, the shrunken IC and ROR were calculated as follows:

$$\begin{split} IC &= log_2 \, \frac{N_{observed} + 0.5}{N_{expected} + 0.5} \\ ROR &= \frac{N_{observed} + 0.5}{N_{expected} + 0.5} \\ N_{expected} &= \frac{N_{drug} \times N_{event}}{N_{total}} \end{split}$$

where $N_{observed}$ is the observed number of records of the target drug AEs, $N_{expected}$ is the expected number of records of the target drug-AE combination, N_{drug} is the total number of records of the target drug, N_{event} is the total number of records of target AEs, and N_{total} is the total number of records in the entire database.

A signal was shown when the lower limit of the 95% confidence interval of IC (IC₀₂₅) exceeded 0 or the lower limit of the 95% confidence interval of ROR (ROR₀₂₅) exceeded 1, with $N_{expected} > 3$. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

6. Conclusions

Comprehensive pharmacovigilance analysis contributes to a further understanding of the cardiovascular safety of ibrutinib. CF, CM, CD, DSN, MI, SVT, Tdp/QTp, VT, ischaemic CNS, and haemorrhagic-CNS were 10 potential cardiovascular events related to ibrutinib in our study. DSN was first identified as a potential cardiovascular toxicity of ibrutinib in our study; this requires further investigation. If identified, the Marketing Authorization Holder (MAH)/Regulatory also needs to be warranted. For medication safety, the cardiovascular events mentioned above require constant attention in patients taking ibrutinib.

Supplementary Materials: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ph16010098/s1, Table S1: Characteristics of patients with cardiovascular events in FAERS from 2014–2021; Table S2: Details of all signals in PTs.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.Z.; methodology, X.G.; software, C.C. and L.W.; validation, L.C. and Z.G.; formal analysis, C.C.; data curation, J.L. and X.C.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.Z.; writing—review and editing, X.Y. and J.H.; funding acquisition, X.G., X.Y. and J.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 82073671), Excellent Young Scholars of public health in Shanghai (No. GWV-10.2-YQ33), Big Data and Artificial Intelligence Application, and Military Key Discipline Construction Project (Health Service–Naval Health Service Organization and Command) (No. 03) and Project of the Professional Committee of Drug Clinical Evaluation and Research of the Chinese Pharmaceutical Society: PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitor Immune-related Adverse Reaction Monitoring and Economic Burden Model Study (CPA-CDCER-2021-001).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The FAERS database utilised in this study is available at: https://fis.fda.gov/extensions/FPD-QDE-FAERS/FPD-QDE-FAERS.html, accessed on 8 January 2023).

Conflicts of Interest: We confirm that the authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organisation or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

Abbreviation

Adverse event	Abbreviation
Supraventricular tachyarrhythmias	SVT
Haemorrhagic central nervous system vascular conditions	Haemorrhagic-CNS
Ventricular tachyarrhythmias	VT
Cardiac failure	CF
Ischaemic central nervous system vascular conditions	Ischaemic-CNS
Disorders of sinus node function	DSN
Cardiomyopathy	CM
Conduction defects	CD
Myocardial infarction	MI
Torsade de pointes/QT prolongation	Tdp/QTp

References

- 1. Burger, J.A.; Tedeschi, A.; Barr, P.M.; Robak, T.; Owen, C.; Ghia, P.; Bairey, O.; Hillmen, P.; Bartlett, N.L.; Li, J.; et al. Ibrutinib as Initial Therapy for Patients with Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *New Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *373*, 2425–2437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Byrd, J.C.; Furman, R.R.; Coutre, S.E.; Flinn, I.W.; Burger, J.A.; Blum, K.A.; Grant, B.; Sharman, J.P.; Coleman, M.; Wierda, W.G.; et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *New Engl. J. Med.* 2013, 369, 32–42. [CrossRef]
- 3. Davis, R.E.; Ngo, V.N.; Lenz, G.; Tolar, P.; Young, R.M.; Romesser, P.B.; Kohlhammer, H.; Lamy, L.; Zhao, H.; Yang, Y.; et al. Chronic active B-cell-receptor signalling in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Nature* **2010**, *463*, 88–92. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ganatra, S.; Sharma, A.; Shah, S.; Chaudhry, G.M.; Martin, D.T.; Neilan, T.G.; Mahmood, S.S.; Barac, A.; Groarke, J.D.; Hayek, S.S.; et al. Ibrutinib-Associated Atrial Fibrillation. *JACC. Clin. Electrophysiol.* **2018**, *4*, 1491–1500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Miklos, D.; Cutler, C.S.; Arora, M.; Waller, E.K.; Jagasia, M.; Pusic, I.; Flowers, M.E.; Logan, A.C.; Nakamura, R.; Blazar, B.R.; et al. Ibrutinib for chronic graft-versus-host disease after failure of prior therapy. *Blood* **2017**, *130*, 2243–2250. [CrossRef]
- 6. Treon, S.P.; Tripsas, C.K.; Meid, K.; Warren, D.; Varma, G.; Green, R.; Argyropoulos, K.V.; Yang, G.; Cao, Y.; Xu, L.; et al. Ibrutinib in previously treated Waldenström's macroglobulinemia. *New Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *372*, 1430–1440. [CrossRef]
- Brown, J.R.; Hillmen, P.; O'Brien, S.; Barrientos, J.C.; Reddy, N.M.; Coutre, S.E.; Tam, C.S.; Mulligan, S.P.; Jaeger, U.; Barr, P.M.; et al. Extended follow-up and impact of high-risk prognostic factors from the phase 3 RESONATE study in patients with previously treated CLL/SLL. *Leukemia* 2018, *32*, 83–91. [CrossRef]
- 8. EMC Drug Label. Available online: https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/ (accessed on 8 January 2020).
- Brown, J.R.; Moslehi, J.; O'Brien, S.; Ghia, P.; Hillmen, P.; Cymbalista, F.; Shanafelt, T.D.; Fraser, G.; Rule, S.; Kipps, T.J.; et al. Characterization of atrial fibrillation adverse events reported in ibrutinib randomized controlled registration trials. *Haematologica* 2017, 102, 1796–1805. [CrossRef]
- Gustine, J.N.; Meid, K.; Dubeau, T.E.; Treon, S.P.; Castillo, J.J. Atrial fibrillation associated with ibrutinib in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Am. J. Hematol. 2016, 91, E312–E313. [CrossRef]
- Thompson, P.A.; Lévy, V.; Tam, C.S.; Al Nawakil, C.; Goudot, F.X.; Quinquenel, A.; Ysebaert, L.; Michallet, A.S.; Dilhuydy, M.S.; Van Den Neste, E.; et al. Atrial fibrillation in CLL patients treated with ibrutinib. An international retrospective study. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2016, 175, 462–466. [CrossRef]
- 12. Leong, D.P.; Caron, F.; Hillis, C.; Duan, A.; Healey, J.S.; Fraser, G.; Siegal, D. The risk of atrial fibrillation with ibrutinib use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood* **2016**, *128*, 138–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Salem, J.E.; Manouchehri, A.; Bretagne, M.; Lebrun-Vignes, B.; Groarke, J.D.; Johnson, D.B.; Yang, T.; Reddy, N.M.; Funck-Brentano, C.; Brown, J.R.; et al. Cardiovascular Toxicities Associated with Ibrutinib. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2019, 74, 1667–1678. [CrossRef]
- Woyach, J.A.; Ruppert, A.S.; Heerema, N.A.; Zhao, W.; Booth, A.M.; Ding, W.; Bartlett, N.L.; Brander, D.M.; Barr, P.M.; Rogers, K.A.; et al. Ibrutinib Regimens versus Chemoimmunotherapy in Older Patients with Untreated CLL. *New Engl. J. Med.* 2018, 379, 2517–2528. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kyi, H.H.; Zayed, Y.; Al Hadidi, S. Ibrutinib-induced cardiomyopathy. J. Community Hosp. Intern. Med. Perspect. 2019, 9, 50–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Communications, D.h.p. Available online: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/ pharmacovigilance/direct-healthcare-professional-communications (accessed on 22 December 2022).
- Xiao, L.; Salem, J.E.; Clauss, S.; Hanley, A.; Bapat, A.; Hulsmans, M.; Iwamoto, Y.; Wojtkiewicz, G.; Cetinbas, M.; Schloss, M.J.; et al. Ibrutinib-Mediated Atrial Fibrillation Attributable to Inhibition of C-Terminal Src Kinase. *Circulation* 2020, 142, 2443–2455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Okada, M. Regulation of the SRC family kinases by Csk. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 2012, 8, 1385–1397. [CrossRef]
- Scheers, E.; Leclercq, L.; de Jong, J.; Bode, N.; Bockx, M.; Laenen, A.; Cuyckens, F.; Skee, D.; Murphy, J.; Sukbuntherng, J.; et al. Absorption, metabolism, and excretion of oral ¹⁴C radiolabeled ibrutinib: An open-label, phase I, single-dose study in healthy men. *Drug Metab. Dispos. Biol. Fate Chem.* 2015, 43, 289–297. [CrossRef]

- Li, X.; Wang, A.; Yu, K.; Qi, Z.; Chen, C.; Wang, W.; Hu, C.; Wu, H.; Wu, J.; Zhao, Z.; et al. Discovery of (R)-1-(3-(4-Amino-3-(4-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)-2-(dimethylamino)ethanone (CHMFL-FLT3-122) as a Potent and Orally Available FLT3 Kinase Inhibitor for FLT3-ITD Positive Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *J. Med. Chem.* 2015, *58*, 9625–9638. [CrossRef]
- 21. Migdady, I.; Russman, A.; Buletko, A.B. Atrial Fibrillation and Ischemic Stroke: A Clinical Review. *Semin. Neurol.* 2021, 41, 348–364. [CrossRef]
- 22. Lasica, M.; Tam, C.S. Management of Ibrutinib Toxicities: A Practical Guide. *Curr. Hematol. Malig. Rep.* 2020, 15, 177–186. [CrossRef]
- 23. McMullen, J.R.; Boey, E.J.; Ooi, J.Y.; Seymour, J.F.; Keating, M.J.; Tam, C.S. Ibrutinib increases the risk of atrial fibrillation, potentially through inhibition of cardiac PI3K-Akt signaling. *Blood* **2014**, *124*, 3829–3830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Du, B.; Chakraborty, P.; Azam, M.A.; Massé, S.; Lai, P.F.H.; Niri, A.; Si, D.; Thavendiranathan, P.; Abdel-Qadir, H.; Billia, F.; et al. Acute Effects of Ibrutinib on Ventricular Arrhythmia in Spontaneously Hypertensive Rats. *JACC. CardioOncology* 2020, 2, 614–629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Basso, C.; Iliceto, S.; Thiene, G.; Perazzolo Marra, M. Mitral Valve Prolapse, Ventricular Arrhythmias, and Sudden Death. *Circulation* **2019**, *140*, 952–964. [CrossRef]
- Holmstrom, L.; Chugh, S.S. How to minimize in-hospital mortality from acute myocardial infarction: Focus on primary prevention of ventricular fibrillation. *Eur. Heart J.* 2022, 43, 4897–4898. [CrossRef]
- 27. Xu, L.; Dong, Q.; Zhang, N.; Lu, K.; Tang, X. Severe Sinus Bradycardia Associated with Ibrutinib: One Rare Case from China. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *361*, 803–805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Wallace, N.; Wong, E.; Cooper, D.; Chao, H. A case of new-onset cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia in a patient receiving ibrutinib for relapsed mantle cell lymphoma. *Clin. Case Rep.* **2016**, *4*, 1120–1121. [CrossRef]
- 29. Giza, D.E.; Moudgil, R.; Lopez-Mattei, J.; Kim, P.; Iliescu, C. Association between ibrutinib and mid-cavitary Takotsubo cardiomyopathy: A case report and a review of chemotherapy-induced Takostubo's cardiomyopathy. *Eur. Heart J. Case Rep.* 2017, 1, ytx006. [CrossRef]
- 30. Bodi, I.; Mikala, G.; Koch, S.E.; Akhter, S.A.; Schwartz, A. The L-type calcium channel in the heart: The beat goes on. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2005**, *115*, 3306–3317. [CrossRef]
- Wasserstrum, Y.; Raanani, P.; Kornowski, R.; Iakobishvili, Z. Concomitant Treatment with Ibrutinib and Amiodarone Causing Reversible Heart Failure Syndrome. Isr. Med. Assoc. J. 2016, 18, 433–434.
- Shatzel, J.J.; Olson, S.R.; Tao, D.L.; McCarty, O.J.T.; Danilov, A.V.; DeLoughery, T.G. Ibrutinib-associated bleeding: Pathogenesis, management and risk reduction strategies. J. Thromb. Haemost. 2017, 15, 835–847. [CrossRef]
- Honigberg, L.A.; Smith, A.M.; Sirisawad, M.; Verner, E.; Loury, D.; Chang, B.; Li, S.; Pan, Z.; Thamm, D.H.; Miller, R.A.; et al. The Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor PCI-32765 blocks B-cell activation and is efficacious in models of autoimmune disease and B-cell malignancy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 13075–13080. [CrossRef]
- 34. Soriani, A.; Moran, B.; de Virgilio, M.; Kawakami, T.; Altman, A.; Lowell, C.; Eto, K.; Shattil, S.J. A role for PKCtheta in outside-in alpha(IIb)beta3 signaling. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* **2006**, *4*, 648–655. [CrossRef]
- 35. Dickerson, T.; Wiczer, T.; Waller, A.; Philippon, J.; Porter, K.; Haddad, D.; Guha, A.; Rogers, K.A.; Bhat, S.; Byrd, J.C.; et al. Hypertension and incident cardiovascular events following ibrutinib initiation. *Blood* **2019**, *134*, 1919–1928. [CrossRef]
- 36. Lee, D.H.; Hawk, F.; Seok, K.; Gliksman, M.; Emole, J.; Rhea, I.B.; Viganego, F.; Welter-Frost, A.; Armanious, M.; Shah, B.; et al. Association between ibrutinib treatment and hypertension. *Heart* **2022**, *108*, 445–450. [CrossRef]
- 37. Wan, Q.; Li, Q.; Lai, X.; Xu, T.; Hu, J.; Peng, H. Data mining and safety analysis of BTK inhibitors: A pharmacovigilance investigation based on the FAERS database. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2022**, *13*, 995522. [CrossRef]
- Ji, H.H.; Tang, X.W.; Dong, Z.; Song, L.; Jia, Y.T. Adverse Event Profiles of Anti-CTLA-4 and Anti-PD-1 Monoclonal Antibodies Alone or in Combination: Analysis of Spontaneous Reports Submitted to FAERS. *Clin. Drug Investig.* 2019, 39, 319–330. [CrossRef]
- Zhai, Y.; Ye, X.; Hu, F.; Xu, J.; Guo, X.; Cao, Y.; Lin, Z.; Zhou, X.; Guo, Z.; He, J. Cardiovascular Toxicity of Carfilzomib: The Real-World Evidence Based on the Adverse Event Reporting System Database of the FDA, the United States. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 2021, *8*, 735466. [CrossRef]
- Courtois, É.; Pariente, A.; Salvo, F.; Volatier, É.; Tubert-Bitter, P.; Ahmed, I. Propensity Score-Based Approaches in High Dimension for Pharmacovigilance Signal Detection: An Empirical Comparison on the French Spontaneous Reporting Database. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2018, 9, 1010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Huang, J.; Meng, L.; Yang, B.; Sun, S.; Luo, Z.; Chen, H. Safety Profile of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors: A Disproportionality Analysis of FDA Adverse Event Reporting System. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 4803. [CrossRef]
- Almenoff, J.S.; Pattishall, E.N.; Gibbs, T.G.; DuMouchel, W.; Evans, S.J.; Yuen, N. Novel statistical tools for monitoring the safety of marketed drugs. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2007, 82, 157–166. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, Y.; Zhou, W.; Guo, X.; Chi, L.; Chen, C.; Guo, Z.; Liang, J.; Wei, L.; Chen, X.; Ye, X.; et al. Drug-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome: A disproportionality analysis from the pharmacovigilance database of the World Health Organization. *Expert Opin.* Drug Saf. 2022, 21, 1127–1133. [CrossRef]

- 44. Ang, P.S.; Chen, Z.; Chan, C.L.; Tai, B.C. Data mining spontaneous adverse drug event reports for safety signals in Singapore—A comparison of three different disproportionality measures. *Expert Opin. Drug Saf.* **2016**, *15*, 583–590. [CrossRef]
- 45. Norén, G.N.; Hopstadius, J.; Bate, A. Shrinkage observed-to-expected ratios for robust and transparent large-scale pattern discovery. *Stat. Methods Med. Res.* 2013, 22, 57–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.