





Review

An Immunological Review of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Vaccine Serology: Innate and Adaptive Responses to mRNA, Adenovirus, Inactivated and Protein Subunit Vaccines

Suhaila A. Al-Sheboul ^{1,*} , Brent Brown ² , Yasemin Shboul ¹, Ingo Fricke ³ , Chinua Imarogbe ⁴ 
and Karem H. Alzoubi ^{5,6}

- ¹ Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, Medical Laboratory Sciences, University of Science and Technology, Jordan
² Biochem123Education, London, UK
³ Independent Researcher, Germany
⁴ UKHSA, Rosalind Franklin Laboratory, UK
⁵ College of Pharmacy, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
⁶ Faculty of Pharmacy, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan
* Correspondence: sashboul@just.edu.jo; Tel.: +962-7-9741-1589

Abstract: The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus, which is defined by its positive-sense single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) structure. It is in the order Nidovirales, suborder Coronaviridae, genus Betacoronavirus, and sub-genus Sarbecovirus (lineage B), together with two bat-derived strains with a 96% genomic homology with other bat coronaviruses (BatCoVand RaTG13). Thus far, two Alphacoronavirus strains, HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63, along with five Betacoronaviruses, HCoV-HKU1, HCoV-OC43, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2, have been recognized as human coronaviruses (HCoVs). SARS-CoV-2 has resulted in more than six million deaths worldwide since late 2019. The appearance of this novel virus is defined by its high and variable transmission rate (RT) and coexisting asymptomatic and symptomatic propagation within and across animal populations, which has a longer-lasting impact. Most current therapeutic methods aim to reduce the severity of COVID-19 hospitalization and virus symptoms, preventing the infection from progressing from acute to chronic in vulnerable populations. Now, pharmacological interventions including vaccines and others exist, with research ongoing. The only ethical approach to developing herd immunity is to develop and provide vaccines and therapeutics that can potentially improve on the innate and adaptive system responses at the same time. Therefore, several vaccines have been developed to provide acquired immunity to SARS-CoV-2 induced COVID-19-disease. The initial evaluations of the COVID-19 vaccines began in around 2020, followed by clinical trials carried out during the pandemic with ongoing population adverse effect monitoring by respective regulatory agencies. Therefore, durability and immunity provided by current vaccines requires further characterization with more extensive available data, as is presented in this paper. When utilized globally, these vaccines may create an unidentified pattern of antibody responses or memory B and T cell responses that need to be further researched, some of which can now be compared within laboratory and population studies here. Several COVID-19 vaccine immunogens have been presented in clinical trials to assess their safety and efficacy, inducing cellular antibody production through cellular B and T cell interactions that protect against infection. This response is defined by virus-specific antibodies (anti-N or anti-S antibodies), with B and T cell characterization undergoing extensive research. In this article, we review four types of contemporary COVID-19 vaccines, comparing their antibody profiles and cellular aspects involved in coronavirus immunology across several population studies.

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccines; Pfizer; BioNTech; Oxford–AstraZeneca; Sinopharm; Novavax; antibody response; T cell; B cell; neutralizing antibodies; adaptive immune response; immunology



Citation: Al-Sheboul, S.A.; Brown, B.; Shboul, Y.; Fricke, I.; Imarogbe, C.; Alzoubi, K.H. An Immunological Review of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Vaccine Serology: Innate and Adaptive Responses to mRNA, Adenovirus, Inactivated and Protein Subunit Vaccines. *Vaccines* **2023**, *11*, 51. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11010051>

Academic Editor: Abhisek Bhattacharya

Received: 30 November 2022
Revised: 16 December 2022
Accepted: 20 December 2022
Published: 26 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The current COVID-19 pandemic that began in 2019 is caused by a pathogenic novel SARS-CoV-2 virus that causes infection predominantly through respiratory transmission, with varying clinical presentation affected by co-morbidities and other unknown factors (e.g., bacterial mutation or coinfections). Immunological responses are variable and affected by cellular and molecular as well as variable genetic characteristics to be discussed in detail in our next paper, provisionally entitled “Innate and Adaptive Immune Biomolecular Mechanisms by Case Study within SARS-CoV-2 Pathogenesis”. The SARS-CoV-2 virion is composed of four predominant protein structures: spike (S protein), nucleocapsid (N protein), envelope (E protein), and membrane (M protein) [1–3]. The genome size of SARS-CoV-2 is approximately 30 kilobases and is defined by open reading frames (ORFs) that encode 16 non-structural proteins (NSP) necessary for amino acid synthesis via viral attachment, penetration, uncoating, replication, assembly, and virion release [4]. SARS-CoV-2 infects numerous cells via respiratory pathways, initially using ACE2 as the predominant receptor for receptor-mediated entry [5]. However, other receptors are implicated in independently mediated cell signaling and entry, including a type II transmembrane protease (TMPRSS2), asialoglycoprotein receptor-1 (ASGR1), kringle-containing transmembrane protein 1 (KREMEN1), dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4), neuropilin (NRP1), and CD147 amongst others. These receptors are awaiting further research clarification, while others are currently being investigated [6–9]. Humans infected with COVID-19 display both asymptomatic and symptomatic acute to chronic pathologies, much like other coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-1 and MERS) and other seasonal respiratory viral pathogens that also include influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) that cause a range of symptoms during viral pathogenesis [10–12]. The rate of infection and mortality is defined by R0 (growth rate) and infection fatality rate (IFR). Current R0 estimates vary from 1.47 to 1.86, with IFR estimates ranging between 0.49 and 2.53. However, these values are affected by spike protein mutational variation, with an array of vaccines being re-developed alongside other modern therapeutics [13,14]. In March 2020, the World Health Organization officially announced COVID-19 to be a pandemic [1–3,15–17]. Therefore, vaccines represent the primary-infection-management prophylactic approach, providing protection by developing an acquired immunity against SARS-CoV-2 and reducing the disease burden on healthcare systems [18,19]. SARS-CoV-2 causes COVID-19 infection mainly via the S-protein, with which the virus enters cells via the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor (ACE2) [20]. Neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) are generated against specific epitopes of the S, N, M and E protein antigens during SARS-CoV-2 infection [21–23]. Vaccine preparation and research has focused on the S protein as the primary target for blocking receptor-mediated entry, although N protein therapeutics are being developed [24]. S proteins are structured into an S1 subunit and S2 subunit that exist in the viral envelope as homotrimers. The S1 subunit determines receptor recognition via a receptor-binding domain (RBD), whereas the S2 subunit is responsible for membrane fusion and entry by binding to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) that covers human respiratory epithelial cells, resulting in the enabling of the virus RNA attachment and intracellular replication that occurs during SARS-CoV-2 infection [25,26]. The S1 domain comprises a signal peptide (SP), RBD, and S2 fusion protein. The S2 domain encompasses various other proteins including N-terminal domain (NTD), RBD, and C-terminal domains (CTD1 and CTD2). The S2 domain has additional fusion peptides (FP) alongside two heptad repeat domains (HR1 and HR2), transmembrane domain, and a C-terminal domain with a furin cleavage site [27,28]. S protein fusion can also utilize TMPRSS2 and endosomal cysteine proteases thought to mediate SARS-CoV-2 entry depending on spike and ACE2 conformation [29,30]. Many COVID-19 vaccines have now been produced that elicit B cell evoked IgG anti-S protein antibodies that demonstrate chronic disease prevention [31].

As of 30 October 2022, there are 276 potential therapeutics and 332 therapeutics under development for COVID-19 prevention, according to current data from the Milken Institute (milkeninstitute.org). Among these, 10 vaccines began adult human clinical trials

in different phases [26]. The research and development of cellular mechanisms and proteins involved in vaccine development have become priorities to improve the understanding of COVID-19 pathogenesis, which will lead to an expansion in the understanding of other pathologies. After clinical trials, it was found that nAbs and non-nAbs do evoke humoral and cellular immunity, which perform the essential roles required in protection from serious disease in the majority after at least one vaccine dose, depending on previously diagnosed clinical conditions [1,32,33].

Vaccine development utilizes various protein-modification platforms, which include inactivated vaccines combined with adjuvant material (e.g., polio), live attenuated vaccines (e.g., MMR), protein subunits (influenza), and virus-like particles (e.g., HPV) [34]. More recently, advances in other platforms have utilized newer technologies, including those of viral vectors (e.g., VZV and Ebola), DNA (nucleic acid) vaccines, and RNA vaccines [18,34,35]. According to the SARS-CoV-2 research and other comparator studies of HCoV, there is a high level of B cell IgG produced against the S and N proteins, indicating that prior chronic COVID-19 infection or vaccination may provide excellent protection against SARS-CoV-2 re-infection [36–38].

2. Methodology

A review of peer reviewed articles and reports that were published in electronic databases, such as PubMed, bioRxiv, and Google Scholar, was conducted between September 2020 and October 2022; articles were chosen using the following keywords: “COVID-19 Vaccine”, “immune responses after COVID-19”, “SARS-CoV-2”, “Coronavirus”, “Pfizer vaccine”, “Vaccine Immunity”, “Vaccine efficacy”, “Antibody responses”, “Memory B cells”, “Sinopharm vaccine”, “AstraZeneca vaccine”, “Vaccine immunogenicity”, “Pfizer vaccine immune response”, “Oxford-AstraZeneca”, “BioNTech/Pfizer”, “Sinopharm/BBIBP-CorRV”, “effectiveness”, “ChAdOx1”, “Vaxzevria”, “Covishield”, “BNT162b1”, and “BNT162b2”.

Articles were chosen and analyzed in accordance with the relevant topics.

2.1. Factors Involved in SARS-CoV-2 Serological Analysis

An immune response is defined in research immunological terms by quantifying the antibody type, cellular markers, and cytokines relevant to the antigen protein presented by the pathogen for immune system recognition, particularly in the case of the novel SARS-CoV-2 infection. Leukocytes or white blood cells, specifically B lymphocytes, produce the predominant antibody immunoglobulin G (IgG), which will be discussed here in the context of innate and adaptive immune responses [39]. Our next article will present further specific details regarding specific cellular markers documented within other immune cells, including monocytes, dendritic cells, and others. B cells have a multifaceted function in pathologies, including viral infection, cytokine release, antigen processing, presentation, and antibody secretion. Serum IgG is mainly elicited during natural infection or vaccine-induced immune responses and can be measured in real-world settings via enzyme-linked immunosorbent analysis (ELISA) and respective antibody sub-types (IgG, IgA, IgD, IgM, IgE). The currently available research profiles mainly B cells that produce IgG in response to SARS-CoV-2, which is the most readily available and stable protein used in such assays; this protein is then validated as specific against viral antigens such as S protein. However, reagents used to quantify anti-SARS-CoV-2 specificity undergo further validation for specificity and sensitivity. Therefore, other studies ($n = 87$) quantify comparable assay sensitivities of IgA, IgM, and IgG in ratios of 98.6%: 96.8%:96.8%, respectively, with specificities of 98.1%, 92.3%, and 99.8% [40]—guiding the current standardization of such assays across manufacturers [41]. However, in other respiratory pathogens, for example influenza, the antibody response in the upper respiratory tract is dominated by IgA, which has a serum and secretory component. IgA is also produced in the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT), primarily in the lamina propria, and then actively transported to mucosal surfaces through interactions with the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor [42]. For SARS-CoV-2, it is suggested by La Salle et al. that other antibody subtypes evoked in

chronic COVID-19 patients play a key role, with expressions of high levels of IgM, IgG1, IgA1, IgG2, and IgG3 antibodies throughout infection that require further research. It is currently thought that IgG1 and IgG3 correlate with SARS-CoV-2 severity [43]. IgG2 could be more important in bacterial responses to capsular polysaccharide antigens [44]. Limited data currently exist to accurately reveal why SARS-CoV-2 exhibits such a novel antibody profile in chronic disease regarding IgG1/IgA1 responses [45]. However, earlier this year, Kober et al. performed an analysis indicating that IgG3 and IgM could be responsible for 80% of the overall neutralization of SARS-CoV-2, with suggestions that the glycosylation status of IgG3 affects the SARS-CoV-2 binding specificity to the S protein [46]. Here, we will compare the overall population antibody responses observed in population studies.

2.2. Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccine Antibody Responses

Pfizer and Moderna are examples of mRNA-based vaccines. These act by injecting the RNA sequence coding for the modified antigen S protein to elicit an immune response [18]. The mRNA vaccines use lipid nanoparticle (LNP) technology developed initially by Canadian Acuitas Therapeutics Inc, and then Pfizer and BioNTech utilized this technology in development of the mRNA vaccines BNT162b1 and BNT162b2 which were later chosen as vaccines [47]. The mRNA vaccines encode the modified spike protein stabilized in a prefusion conformation, allowing the immune system to respond to the virus at the prefusion stage prior to SARS-CoV-2 cell entry. The mRNA-based vaccines were initially shown to be effective and underwent safety evaluation, progressing through clinical trials and being approved initially under emergency use agreement (EUA) licensing for use in several nations [25]. A phase 1/2 study initially evaluated RBD-binding IgG concentrations and SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing titers in sera ($n = 45$) [48]. IgG antibodies were found to increase with dose, and bindings to the RBD of the S1 protein were shown to be induced [49]. In phase 3 clinical trials, mRNA vaccines showed a 95% efficacy [50]. After approval in December 2020, Pfizer and BioNTech began distributing BNT162b2 throughout the world [51]. Subsequently, studies of real-world vaccine recipients began recruiting larger cohorts, characterizing in detail the immune responses elicited by BNT162b2 and memory B/T cells to the infection in relevant antibody sub-types (IgG, IgM, IgA), thus clearly outlining the effectiveness and protection of BNT162b2. SARS-CoV-2 transmitted between humans occurs mainly via respiratory routes. Therefore, it is important to investigate whether IgA is also developed after either infection or vaccination, due to its role in mucosal protection [52]. A study ($n = 108$) found that IgA against S protein (S1) and the receptor-binding domain (RBD) was produced in serum 1 month after one and two doses of BNT162b2, but this was not measurable as secretory IgA with serum IgG and IgA decreasing after 6 months [53]. Interestingly, the study found that anti-S IgM increased with time in 10% of participants. Consequently, other studies ($n = 27$) verified the comparison of serum IgA and IgG in naïve/infected vaccine recipients. Antibody and cytokine responses against S1, RBD, and whole-spike protein responses showed that SARS-CoV-2-specific B memory cells did induce an adaptive response-measured T cell-evoked cytokine production, defined by IL-2, IL-4, IL6, IL-10 and TNF- α responses, as well as chemokine (CCL2, CXCL10) production [54]. Other detailed studies ($n = 12$) followed BNT162b2 with a second dose, indicating that T cell expression, as measured by clusters of differentiation markers (CD4+/CD8+) in vaccine participants, also produced IFN- γ , which is indicative of humoral and cellular responses against SARS-CoV-2 epitopes [55]. Another study ($n = 20$) that specifically researched early immune responses after one dose of BNT162b2 tracked T cell and antibody responses. This study found that, at day 10, 50% (10/20), 85% (17/20), and 80% (16/20) of responses had a marked 4 \times increase, as measured by fluorescence in IgM, IgA and IgG. Interestingly, in this study, it was confirmed that 20% (4/20) of participants had measurable IgG anti-S that completely blocked the ACE2 receptor and, as measured by a virus neutralization assay, that only 15% (3/20) of participants had nAbs, suggesting that these nAbs may not be required for early protection. Therefore, other corresponding studies ($n = 163$) clarified that infection-naïve and naturally infected people displayed significantly higher levels of

IgG responses 12 days after one dose of BNT162b2 [56]. A comprehensive study ($n = 871$) on participants who received two doses of BNT162b2 quantified total IgG anti-S protein responses (which were assessed in serum samples at different time points for 3 months) to clarify that IgG anti-S protein levels continuously increased without variations in the levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S responses between sexes. However, IgG peaked following the second dose of the BNT162b2 vaccine, but a significant decrease occurred at 3 months in elderly participants [57]. This decline at 3 months concurs with other similar studies [58]. Therefore, research was conducted to evaluate the IgG anti-S protein response 6 months after BNT162b2 and found that IgG, produced in response to BNT162b2, began to decline after the second month of vaccination [59]. IgG anti-S protein was quantified to show a peak IgG response occurring at 2 months and decreasing over the next 4 months, with an average 6.3% peak titer remaining at 6 months. These significant differences occurred in participants of all ages [60,61]. A concurrent study ($n = 92$) analyzed the IgG anti-S protein 7 months after the second dose of BNT162b2, finding that IgG titers were reduced by 92% in cohorts that had prior confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and those who did not. IgG titers remained detectable throughout the study periods [62]. Since BNT162b2 contains RNA sequence coding only for the spike protein of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, this raises the possibility that other proteins could be elicited by the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination. Y. Yoshimura et al. thoroughly compared IgG anti-N and anti-S in people vaccinated with two doses of BNT162b2, finding that the IgG anti-S protein developed, while the anti-N protein IgG against N (nucleocapsid) protein did not [63]. This makes sense given that the mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine codes specifically for the spike protein of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. In addition to anti-S and anti-N IgG, another study quantified individual SARS-CoV-2 protein antibody responses against anti-RBD, anti-S1 and anti-S2 proteins, finding that BNT162b2 induces the production of antibodies against all S antigens within two weeks, while the second dose causes peak levels of antibodies in all participants, except for anti-N antibodies which remained negative before and after vaccination [64]. Many studies report antibody correlation with an initial increase and decrease in IgG after a certain amount of time following one or two doses of BNT162b2 [65]. Therefore, a third dose of BNT162b2 is currently being implemented, which also demonstrated a 95.3% efficiency in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infections in phase 3 clinical trials [66]. Evidence shows that there is a decline in the probability of contracting SARS-CoV-2 after vaccination [67]. In a comprehensive study ($n = 550,232$), this evidence indicated a risk ratio of 0.1428 at 65 days, or an 86% risk reduction after the second or third dose. Therefore, recent research clarified that, before the first BNT162b2 dose was given, participants with prior infection already had IgG anti-S protein antibodies, and that after the first dose, this antibody response was higher than that of naïve participants who had not been infected. This finding was expected, with vaccine-induced immunity acting as a booster of naturally occurring immunity. Therefore, these results suggest the possibility that delaying the second vaccine dose for those who had a previous COVID-19 infection may be beneficial [54,56,68–71]. In a recent study ($n = 62$), other authors considered whether the IgG anti-S protein may be attenuated in response to a second BNT162b2 vaccine dose [72]. One dose of BNT162b2 is reported to be protective against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in previously infected people [73]. BNT162b2 appears to induce a strong systemic humoral response quantified in serum samples, but low salivary IgG and even lower secretory IgA antibodies. Serum IgA levels appear to peak after a single injection of the vaccine and do not increase after a second vaccine dose [52]. Furthermore, 8 months after BNT162b2 vaccination, people with and without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection demonstrated similar levels of memory SARS-CoV-2-specific B cells [54,74]. Therefore, given the above research, it appears that serum anti-S protein IgG antibodies are immunologically active from 12 days, peaking after 2–3 months and declining at 6 months in sera with low levels of IgM (a marker of early infection) and similarly low levels of secretory IgA in either infection/vaccine responses. Mutations in S protein epitopes, including E484K and K417T/N, have resulted in increased transmissibility; therefore, cellular B cell responses were analyzed by measuring further

B cell markers (CD21, CD27, CD71) to determine the activation of these CD27+ CD38+, CD71+ phenotypes [74]. D. Mileto et al. evaluated the anti-S IgG response one month after the second dose of a vaccine countering Alpha, Gamma, and Eta variants. They found, in neutralization assays, that anti-S IgG responses were protective against SARS-CoV-2, with epitopes present in the Beta and Delta strains that demonstrated partial immune evasion [75]. Another project utilizing transcriptomic analysis determined that one dose of BNT162b2 elicited a recall response of IgA plasmablasts targeting the S2 protein subunit, with IgG plasmablasts expanding to target the S1 RBD from the naive B cell pool [76]. This response was strongly boosted by the second dose and delivered nAbs against SARS-CoV-2, concurring that the original Wuhan-Hu-1 variant evoked a stronger immune response of IgG anti-S SARS-CoV-2. However, this immunological evasion of the SARS-CoV-2 variants could be prevented by two doses of BNT162b2, promoting the formation of memory B cells and RBD-specific antibodies to eliminate the SARS-CoV-2 variants [76]. Recent evidence showed that Alpha and Delta variants were less able to evade nAbs, compared to the Beta and Omicron variants [77]. Therefore, B cell development, antibody production, and subsequent circulation can produce immunological responses by generating anti-S protein RBD antigen-specific B cells. Indeed, a key component of vaccine effectiveness is the human memory B cell compartment [78]. As discussed earlier, after BNT162b2, memory B cells are formed and produce antibodies equivalent to those that drive the initial response [55]. Memory B cells generate IgA, IgM, and IgG isotypes, which are shown to counteract the effects of natural serum decline [53,74]. Another longer follow-up study demonstrated that memory B cells remained, although the apparent antibody reduction six months after BNT162b2 did provide long-lasting immunity [78–80]. In this study, Ciabattini et al., ($n = 145$) compared class-switching and memory cell markers, as measured within B cell plasmablasts, to determine spike protein specificity and class-switching immune recall responses to spike antigens. They measured sub-sets of B cells (CD19+) to assess the expression of CD19+, CD24+, CD27+, and CD38+-specific SARS-CoV-2 B cells. This key finding demonstrated that these B cells did produce a mostly IgG1 and IgG3 response and absence of IgG2 and IgG4, and that this recalled-memory immune response occurred with significant increases in spike protein-specific B-cell producing IgG and stable IgA producing B cells, at up to 6 months—with IgG memory specific B cells measured at 66% but also IgM specific B cells at 100% in vitro [78].

2.3. AstraZeneca COVID-19 Vaccine Antibody Responses

The Oxford–AstraZeneca (AZ) vaccine was developed from the DNA vaccine of a chimpanzee replication-deficient adeno-viral vector, delivering an encoded S protein antigen to target SARS-CoV-2 infection [18,35]. This immunogen was known as ChAdOx1, and later as AZD1222, and was developed by the University of Oxford in collaboration with AstraZeneca. AZD1222 consists of a replication-deficient adenovirus vector that expresses full-length coronavirus spike protein and stimulates the development of B cells to produce antibodies and T cells [81]. In a cohort sample ($n = 380$), the IgG anti-S protein response against COVID-19 infection was sufficient, with peak IgG anti-S occurring at 107, 101.5, and 70.2 days, respectively, in age groups of 18–55, 56–69, and over 70 years. However, the AZD1222/BNT162b2 combination was also measured by IgG generated against S1, RBD, full-length spike proteins, and T cell responses, as measured by IFN- γ production [82,83]. In phase 1/2 clinical trials, it was demonstrated that IgG-specific SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, induced after AZD1222 vaccination, peaked at 14–28 days with no significant difference between immunogens [82–85]. Phase 2/3 trials of AZD1222 showed an overall 70.4% efficacy, and it was initially used under emergency use authorization (EUA) as before [84–86]. Subsequently, the AZD1222 vaccine was measured in real-world data, and its first doses were reported to produce equivalent levels of antibody and T cell responses. Therefore, COVID-19 hospitalization rates significantly decreased after one dose of the AZD1222 vaccine [87]. Clinical trial studies were then conducted in a real-world setting. Müller et al. reported that AZD1222 vaccination mostly evoked antibody isotypes of IgM and IgG [88]. Furthering this, an evaluation occurred of

serum IgG and IgA responses against each of the SARS-CoV-2 subunit domains (S1, S2, RBD, and N proteins) of three SARS-CoV-2 variants (Alpha, Beta and Gamma) and found that IgG to each of these four SARS-CoV-2 proteins increased from 4 to 12 weeks, with antibodies against S2 having significantly lower IgA responses to each variant—which is line with other research studies before [89]. It was also found that the first AZD1222 vaccine dose enhanced serum IgA between 4 to 12 weeks [89]. Other studies found that, after infection, a single dose of AZD1222 was enough to protect SARS-CoV-2 patients from reinfection and functioned as a booster dose [90–92]. Following the first dose, elevated titers gradually decreased between 56 and 76 days later in those previously infected with COVID-19 [93]. According to research by Hoque et al., IgG anti-RBD/S1 protein responses, elicited after the first and second doses of the vaccine, were 99.9% and 100%, respectively, in naïve vaccine recipients, with no sex-specific differences, based on 74 days from initial dose [94]. Therefore, this extended interval between the two doses appeared to be better in vaccine recipients compared to the standard interval [93,95–97]. Investigation shows that, following the first dose, the AZD1222 vaccine produced a strong humoral reaction, which remained an indicator following the second vaccine dose. In this study, the sensitivity of different assays was compared after the first dose of AZD1222, showing a range of 75.4% to 89.3% seropositivity [98,99]. These responses were not correlated with age or gender but showed a dramatic reduction in nAbs from 70.1% (4 weeks) to 49.2% (8 weeks) [100]. Following the second AZD1222 dose, IgG anti-S protein levels peaked after 21 days and gradually decreased after that. IgG antibodies were consistent in serum for up to six months [101–105]. Likewise, nAbs induced by AZD1222 immunization began to decline [106,107]. Other evidential studies report that, after the first AZD1222 dose, a single dose of the AZD1222 vaccine did not result in a significant antibody decline at up to 11 weeks [108]. The absence of N protein in AZD1222 also resulted in no detectable anti-N IgG antibodies in serum [109]. IgG anti-RBD antibodies from people vaccinated with AZD1222 were broadly cross-reactive against multiple VOCs and had neutralization potency against the Beta and Delta variants [110]. Another report showed that this response was still observed one month after the first AZD1222 vaccine dose but did not prevent mild or moderate COVID-19 infection by the Beta variant [111]. In contrast, AZD1222 vaccination prevented SARS-CoV-2 infection by the Alpha and Delta variants [112,113]. Despite the Omicron variant's ability to avoid nAbs, AZD1222 ($n = 3513$) was shown to lower the chance of acquiring pneumonia, in individuals who received two vaccine doses, for up to 6 months [114]. Furthermore, the transmission of the Alpha and Delta variants was reduced after receiving the AZD1222 vaccine [115].

2.4. Sinopharm COVID-19 Vaccine Antibody Responses

The third COVID-19 vaccine to be compared in this paper is Sinopharm (BBIBP-CorV), an inactivated virus combined with an alum adjuvant made from virus particles and grown in culture and thus improving immunogenicity [18]. BBIBP-CorV was created by the Beijing Institute of Biological Products and has a 79% efficacy [116]. Since BBIBP-CorV uses a completely inactivated virus, it is predicted to trigger an immune response against all SARS-CoV-2 proteins: nucleocapsid (N), membranes (M), envelope (E) proteins, and spike proteins (S) [117]. Along similar lines, BBIBP-CorV underwent licensing agreements with the WHO updated guidelines for usage updated in June 2022. A significant amount of nAbs were produced by BBIBP-CorV in preclinical studies, with 100% seroconversion occurring at 21 days [118]. In phase 1/2, it was shown that healthy individuals tolerate and respond well to BBIBP-CorV 4 days after the initial vaccine dose, and substantial virus-specific antibody responses were detected [119,120]. On day 42, it was found that a lower vaccine dose had a higher level of nAb response [120]. After approval, a real-world study showed a lower seroconversion rate than in phase 1/2; despite this decline in seroconversion, BBIBP-CorV was protective against chronic SARS-CoV-2 infection [121,122]. A similar finding was also reported in the under-18 age group [119]. Research shows that the administration of BBIBP-CorV stimulates IgG response, lowers mortality, and prevents infection [123]. BBIBP-CorV was shown to induce nAbs and IgG against RBD after two vaccine doses; compared

to males, females had greater responses [124]. A notable difference between the nAbs titers in different age ranges was their tendency to be found at lower levels in older age ranges. IgG and IgM spike-specific antibody concentrations were comparable to nAbs levels, which peaked at 14 days following the second dose, after being at a low level following the first dose [124,125]. When comparing vaccine-induced immunity between participants with prior SARS-CoV-2 ($n = 126$) and naïve infection, it was found that IgG anti-RBD and nAbs were detected in 87.06% and 78.82% of participants after two doses, with T cells waning after 1 dose specific to S, M and N proteins [124]. In this study ($n = 126$), Li et al., measured T cell cytokine production by IFN- γ , IL-2, and TNF- α by the restimulation of T cells with peptides from S, M, and N proteins antigens. They concluded that the T cell response occurred in T helper cells (CD4+) and cytotoxic T cells (CD8+) at a ratio of 95.83%:54.16% within a cohort of double-vaccinated participants to be sustained [124]. Additionally, a key finding of this study was that T cell response was low in comparable inactivated vaccines after one dose but was demonstrably sustained after two doses [124,126–129]. Despite the overall and consistent waning of antibody responses, memory B cell responses were still maintained and provided protection [130,131]. Compared to the original SARS-CoV-2 strain, the BBIBP-CorV vaccine was less efficient in neutralizing other variants, which was consistent with prior studies [132,133]. Research reported that an additional third BBIBP-CorV vaccine dose significantly increased antibody responses compared to a two-dose vaccine schedule and compensated for antibody decline [134]. Furthermore, booster doses were shown to induce nAbs against other SARS-CoV-2 variants, with these variations observed between Alpha and Beta variants, with the Beta variant displaying more resistance to IgG-evoked responses than two vaccine doses [133,135]. After BBIBP-CorV vaccination, antibody levels were found to be affected by both gender and age, with females and young people having higher antibody responses [128,136,137].

2.5. Novavax NVX-CoV2373 COVID-19 Vaccine Antibody Responses

The initial approval process of Novavax Nuvaxovid (NVX-CoV2373), later known as Covovax, also began in 2020 along similar lines; this protein subunit vaccine platform employed by Novavax represents a traditional vaccine technology trusted for many decades, with the first production of a recombinant subunit vaccine occurring against Hepatitis B in the mid-1980s [138]. Similarly, approval occurred under emergency use listing in December 2021. NVX-CoV2373 consists of recombinant baculovirus production and stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spike protein from the ancestral strain. This protein is embedded in a buffered polysorbate 80 micelle and is adjuvanted with Matrix-M, which is saponin based [139]. Clinical evaluation of NVX-CoV2373 found it to be safe and immunogenic in adults [140]. It showed a high vaccine efficacy against severe COVID-19 (>90%) in a study comprising 29949 participants exposed to multiple variants of concerns (VOC) circulating in the United States and Mexico at that time [141]. This clinical trial resulted in the determination that vaccine efficacy was 90.4% (95% CI, 82.9 to 94.6; $p < 0.001$). Concurrently, in an earlier phase 1–2 trial, it was found that NVX-CoV2373 vaccine elicited a CD4+ T-cell response, with an immune response directed towards a T_H1 phenotype. Antibody titers were measured at different time points and for different dosage regimens as before. It was demonstrated that a two-dose 5- μ g regimen was optimal for antibody production, and analysis at day 35 revealed that antibody titers for anti-spike IgG antibodies and neutralization responses exceeded those seen in convalescent serum from COVID-19 patients (63,160 vs. 8344 and 3906 vs. 983 ELISA units, respectively) [140]. A recent study also evaluated the induction of antibodies capable of neutralizing Omicron sub-lineages (including BA.2, BA.4, BA.5, BA.2.12.1) following two or three doses of NVX-CoV2373. The authors report that after two doses, Omicron sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.4/BA.5 were resistant to neutralization in 72% (21/29) and 59% (17/29) of the samples. A third dose of NVX-CoV2373 vaccine, however, showed high titers against Omicron BA.1 (GMT: 1197) and BA.4/BA.5 (GMT: 582), with titers comparable to those triggered by three doses of an mRNA vaccine. Due to the dominance of BA.4/BA.5 in multiple locations, these results are of great importance and

highlight the potential value of the NVX-CoV2373 vaccine as a booster in resource-limited environments [142]. A head-to-head comparison between BioNTech/Pfizer and Novavax revealed that two doses of NVX-CoV2373 strongly induced anti-spike IgG, although IgG-levels were lower than after vaccination with a dual dose of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 ($p = 0.006$). Irrespective of which vaccine was used, and regardless of different IgG-levels, neutralizing activity towards VOCs was found to be highest for Delta, and next was BA.2 followed by BA.1. In the NVX-CoV2373 subunit vaccine, an induction of any spike-specific CD8+ T-cells was only detectable in 3/22 (14%) samples. Contrastingly, induction of spike specific CD4+ T-cells was detected and present in 18/22 (82%) individuals, although to a lower overall median level ($p < 0.001$). Also, the expression levels of CTLA-4 were lower ($p < 0.0001$), with less multifunctional cells co-expressing IFN- γ , TNF- α and IL-2 ($p = 0.0007$) reported. In addition to neutralizing antibodies, NVX-CoV2373-induced CD4+ but also CD8+ T-cells similarly recognized all tested VOCs from Alpha to Omicron. Furthermore, for individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, one dose of NVX-CoV2373 showed immunogenicity to a similar level compared to two doses in non-infected individuals [143].

2.6. Pfizer vs. AstraZeneca vs. Sinopharm Vaccines Antibody Responses

In comparison to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced immune responses, antibody responses induced by BNT162b2 appear higher, whereas those induced by BBIBP-CorV appeared to be lower, after one dose [144,145]. Therefore, other population studies and serology studies have detailed the length and breadth of IgG anti-S protein response with accompanying statistical analyses ($n = 7256$). These estimations and models suggest that 24% of participants may not develop anti-S protein antibodies, and they predict that anti-S protein IgG can protect against reinfection and has a half-life between 184 days and 1.5–2 years [146]. Whilst other population studies ($n = 212,102$) compare standard laboratory-based assays (ELISA) with proprietary lateral flow immunoassays (LFIA)—the comparison of the accuracy of these self-tests ($n = 5000$) showing sensitivity and specificity ratios of 82.1%/97.8%, respectively—the measuring of S1 protein antigens will undoubtedly be useful in the future and requires further research [146]. Therefore, having considered the overall profiles (above) of B cell-produced IgG antibodies in SARS-CoV 2 infections and vaccine immune responses, it will be necessary to clarify the roles of many other cell sub-types in our following article awaiting peer review [147]; these will also include B cell subsets, and T cells (according to current classifications) responsible for variations in infection or vaccination immune responses.

3. Limitations

All assays utilized in the above studies—RT-PCR, ELISA, LFIA, CLIA, etc.—are subject to validation for accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity. The current quantification of the correlation of protection through antibody responses is a guideline. The available quantification of antibody serology varies and is subject to standardization or international standards reported as ng/mL, IU/mL (nAbs), or BAU/mL for binding assays [148–151]. SARS-CoV-2 variants have different epitope profiles through mutational evolution. Current real-world epitope analysis of SARS-CoV-2 variants in infection or after vaccination will undoubtedly lead to understanding how epitopes in different pathogens, as well as SARS-CoV-2, affect overall innate and adaptive immune response (as measured by B and T cell subsets and cytokine production). However, further research is needed to understand why different pathogens evoke such differential immune responses (healthy adult participants and two-dose vaccine cohorts were included in this analysis) [152–154]. It is of note that other studies investigating vaccine hesitancy ($n = 460$) have shown that rates of hesitancy in vaccine uptake were estimated at 42.2% for COVID-19 vaccines in comparison to 10.9% of other childhood vaccines; this would be interesting to compare in other research studies in the future [155]. Also, there are now many other vaccine platforms in development, including dendritic cell vaccines, CAR-T (chimeric antigen receptors-T) cell vaccines, and others not discussed here, that may have far reaching therapeutic uses in other pathologi-

cal therapeutic treatments in the coming years [156]. Further information is available on supplementary materials as above below on all cited articles or statistical analysis cited.

4. Conclusions

The correlations of innate and adaptive immunity regarding current humoral and cellular immune response studies have been detailed to examine the immunological profile of either natural infection or vaccine-induced serological responses against SARS-CoV-2 immunogens across populations. A specific SARS-CoV-2 and vaccine-induced antibody response occurs that is specific to pathogen epitopes that elicit immunogenicity. However, further research is required to elucidate the T cell response in infection and/or vaccine responses to SARS-CoV-2, which displays higher infection rates than other comparable HCoV pathogens.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: www.covidvaccineresearch.org/publications or <https://www.ndm.ox.ac.uk/COVID-19/COVID-19-infection-survey/results/longer-articles>, COVID-19 Vaccines Advice (who.int) The Pfizer BioNTech (BNT162b2) COVID-19 vaccine: What you need to know (who.int); The Oxford/AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1-S [recombinant] vaccine); The Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine: What you need to know (who.int), The Novavax vaccine against COVID-19: What you need to know (who.int).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.A.A.-S.; methodology, S.A.A.-S., Y.S., I.F. and B.B.; formal analysis, S.A.A.-S., I.F. and B.B.; data curation, S.A.A.-S., B.B., I.F. and Y.S.; writing—original draft preparation, S.A.A.-S., Y.S., B.B., I.F., C.I.; writing—review and editing, B.B., S.A.A.-S., I.F. and K.H.A.; funding acquisition, no funding was requested. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Suhaila Al-Sheboul.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This research did not require ethical approval.

Informed Consent Statement: As far as the authors are aware, cited papers gained informed consent as applicable from all participants.

Data Availability Statement: All related data is presented in this paper. Additional inquiries should be addressed to the corresponding authors attached above or below supplemental data sheets. Brent Brown (B.B.); ORCID (0000-0001-5238-6943), Ingo Fricke ORCID (0000-0001-7638-3181). Chinua Imarogbe ORCID (0000-0002-8200-0885); Suhaila A. Al-Sheboul ORCID (0000-00019001-3232).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer: Statements contained within do not represent the views or opinions of authors and are accurate to the best of our knowledge based on scientific analysis and subject to local jurisdiction or advice from authorities or clinicians or references contained within.

References

1. Cohen, S.A.; Kellogg, C.; Equils, O. Neutralizing and cross-reacting antibodies: Implications for immunotherapy and SARS-CoV-2 vaccine development. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* **2021**, *17*, 84–87. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Hu, B.; Guo, H.; Zhou, P.; Shi, Z.-L. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2021**, *19*, 141–154. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Muralidar, S.; Ambi, S.V.; Sekaran, S.; Krishnan, U.M. The emergence of COVID-19 as a global pandemic: Understanding the epidemiology, immune response and potential therapeutic targets of SARS-CoV-2. *Biochimie* **2020**, *179*, 85–100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Zandi, M.; Shafaati, M.; Kalantar-Neyestanaki, D.; Pourghadamyari, H.; Fani, M.; Soltani, S.; Kaleji, H.; Abbasi, S. The role of SARS-CoV-2 accessory proteins in immune evasion. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2022**, *156*, 113889. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Li, R.; Qin, C. Expression Pattern and Function of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2. *Biosaf. Health* **2021**, *3*, 312–318. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Wettstein, L.; Kirchhoff, F.; Münch, J. The Transmembrane Protease TMPRSS2 as a Therapeutic Target for COVID-19 Treatment. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 1351. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Hoffmann, M.; Pöhlmann, S. Novel SARS-CoV-2 Receptors: ASGR1 and KREMEN1. *Cell Res.* **2022**, *32*, 24–37. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Mayi, B.S.; Leibowitz, J.A.; Woods, A.T.; Ammon, K.A.; Liu, A.E.; Raja, A. The role of Neuropilin-1 in COVID-19. *PLoS Pathog.* **2021**, *17*, e1009153. [[CrossRef](#)]

9. Behl, T.; Kaur, I.; Aleya, L.; Sehgal, A.; Singh, S.; Sharma, N.; Bhatia, S.; Al-Harrasi, A.; Bungau, S. CD147-spike protein interaction in COVID-19: Get the ball rolling with a novel receptor and therapeutic target. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *808*, 152072. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Abdelrahman, Z.; Li, M.; Wang, X. Comparative Review of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and Influenza a Respiratory Viruses. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 552909. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Javani, M.; Barary, M.; Ghebrehewet, S.; Koppolu, V.; Vasigala, V.R.; Ebrahimpour, S. A brief review of influenza virus infection. *J. Med. Virol.* **2021**, *93*, 4638–4646. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Battles, M.B.; McLellan, J.S. Respiratory syncytial virus entry and how to block it. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2019**, *17*, 233–245. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Prada, J.P.; Maag, L.E.; Siegmund, L.; Bencurova, E.; Chunguang, L.; Koutsilieri, E.; Dandekar, T.; Scheller, C. Estimation of R0 for the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Germany from excess mortality. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*, 17221. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Brazeau, N.F.; Verity, R.; Jenks, S.; Fu, H.; Whittaker, C.; Winskill, P.; Dorigatti, I.; Walker, P.G.T.; Riley, S.; Schnekenberg, R.P.; et al. Estimating the COVID-19 infection fatality ratio accounting for seroreversion using statistical modelling. *Commun. Med.* **2022**, *2*, 54. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Zhou, P.; Yang, X.L.; Wang, X.G.; Hu, B.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, W.; Si, H.R.; Zhu, Y.; Li, B.; Huang, C.L.; et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **2020**, *579*, 270–273. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Mahalmani, V.M.; Mahendru, D.; Semwal, A.; Kaur, S.; Kaur, H.; Sarma, P.; Prakash, A.; Medhi, B. COVID-19 pandemic: A review based on current evidence. *Indian J. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *52*, 117–129, PMID:PM7282680. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Bakhiet, M.; Taurin, S. SARS-CoV-2: Targeted managements and vaccine development. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2021**, *58*, 16–29. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Pormohammad, A.; Zarei, M.; Ghorbani, S.; Mohammadi, M.; Razizadeh, M.H.; Turner, D.L.; Turner, R.J. Efficacy and Safety of COVID-19 Vaccines: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 467. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Roth, G.A.; Abate, D.; Abate, K.H.; Abay, S.M.; Abbafati, C.; Abbasi, N.; Abbastabar, H.; Abd-Allah, F.; Abdela, J.; Abdelalim, A.; et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* **2018**, *392*, 1736–1788. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Hikmet, F.; Méar, L.; Edvinsson, Å.; Micke, P.; Uhlén, M.; Lindskog, C. The protein expression profile of ACE2 in human tissues. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2020**, *16*, e9610. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Candido, K.L.; Eich, C.R.; de Fariña, L.O.; Kadowaki, M.K.; da Conceição Silva, J.L.; Maller, A.; de Cássia Garcia Simão, R. Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 variants: A brief review and practical implications. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* **2022**, *53*, 1133–1157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Szymczak, A.; Jędruchiewicz, N.; Torelli, A.; Kaczmarzyk-Radka, A.; Coluccio, R.; Klak, M.; Konieczny, A.; Ferenc, S.; Witkiewicz, W.; Montomoli, E.; et al. Antibodies specific to SARS-CoV-2 proteins N, S and E in COVID-19 patients in the normal population and in historical samples. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2021**, *102*, 001692. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Jörrißen, P.; Schütz, P.; Weiland, M.; Vollenberg, R.; Schremppf, I.M.; Ochs, K.; Frömmel, C.; Tepas, P.-R.; Schmidt, H.; Zibert, A. Antibody Response to SARS-CoV-2 Membrane Protein in Patients of the Acute and Convalescent Phase of COVID-19. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 679841. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Matchett, W.E.; Joag, V.; Stolley, J.M.; Shepherd, F.K.; Quarnstrom, C.F.; Mickelson, C.K.; Wijeyesinghe, S.; Soerens, A.G.; Becker, S.; Thiede, J.M.; et al. Cutting Edge: Nucleocapsid Vaccine Elicits Spike-Independent SARS-CoV-2 Protective Immunity. *J. Immunol.* **2021**, *207*, 376–379. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Fathizadeh, H.; Afshar, S.; Masoudi, M.R.; Gholizadeh, M.P.; Asgharzadeh, M.; Ganbarov, K.; Köse, Ş.; Yousefi, M.; Kafil, H.S. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) vaccines structure, mechanisms and effectiveness: A review. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2021**, *188*, 740–750. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Ita, K. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Current Status and Prospects for Drug and Vaccine Development. *Arch. Med. Res.* **2021**, *52*, 15–24. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Xia, X. Domains and Functions of Spike Protein in SARS-Cov-2 in the Context of Vaccine Design. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 109. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Chan, Y.A.; Zhan, S.H. The Emergence of the Spike Furin Cleavage Site in SARS-CoV-2. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2022**, *39*, msab327. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Zhang, J.; Xiao, T.; Cai, Y.; Chen, B. Structure of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **2021**, *50*, 173–182. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Cai, Y.; Zhang, J.; Xiao, T.; Peng, H.; Sterling, S.M.; Walsh, R.M.; Rawson, S.; Rits-Volloch, S.; Chen, B. Distinct conformational states of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Science* **2020**, *369*, 1586–1592. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Alqassieh, R.; Suleiman, A.; Abu-Halaweh, S.; Santarisi, A.; Shatnawi, O.; Shdaifat, L.; Tarifi, A.; Al-Tamimi, M.; Al-Shudifat, A.-E.; Alsmadi, H.; et al. Pfizer-BioNTech and Sinopharm: A Comparative Study on Post-Vaccination Antibody Titers. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 1223. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Chakraborty, S.; Gonzalez, J.C.; Sievers, B.L.; Mallajosyula, V.; Chakraborty, S.; Dubey, M.; Ashraf, U.; Cheng, B.Y.-L.; Kathale, N.; Tran, K.Q.T.; et al. Early non-neutralizing, afucosylated antibody responses are associated with COVID-19 severity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2022**, *14*, eabm7853. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Gupta, S.L.; Jaiswal, R.K. Neutralizing antibody: A savior in the COVID-19 disease. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2022**, *49*, 2465–2474. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Pollard, A.J.; Bijker, E.M. A guide to vaccinology: From basic principles to new developments. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2021**, *21*, 83–100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

35. Wibawa, T. COVID-19 vaccine research and development: Ethical issues. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **2021**, *26*, 14–19. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Weisblum, Y.; Schmidt, F.; Zhang, F.; DaSilva, J.; Poston, D.; Lorenzi, J.C.; Muecksch, F.; Rutkowska, M.; Hoffmann, H.-H.; Michailidis, E.; et al. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. *eLife* **2020**, *9*, e61312. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Robbiani, D.F.; Gaebler, C.; Muecksch, F.; Lorenzi, J.C.C.; Wang, Z.; Cho, A.; Agudelo, M.; Barnes, C.O.; Gazumyan, A.; Finkin, S.; et al. Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. *Nature* **2020**, *584*, 437–442. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Rohaim, M.A.; El Naggar, R.F.; Clayton, E.; Munir, M. Structural and functional insights into non-structural proteins of coronaviruses. *Microb. Pathog.* **2021**, *150*, 104641. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Lam, J.H.; Baumgarth, N. Multifaceted B Cell Response to Influenza Virus. *J. Immunol.* **2019**, *202*, 351–359. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Ma, H.; Zeng, W.; He, H.; Zhao, D.; Jiang, D.; Zhou, P.; Cheng, L.; Li, Y.; Ma, X.; Jin, T. Serum IgA, IgM, and IgG responses in COVID-19. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **2020**, *17*, 773–775. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Infantino, M.; Pieri, M.; Nuccetelli, M.; Grossi, V.; Lari, B.; Tomassetti, F.; Calugi, G.; Pancani, S.; Benucci, M.; Casprini, P.; et al. The WHO International Standard for COVID-19 serological tests: Towards harmonization of anti-spike assays. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2021**, *100*, 108095. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Woof, J.M.; Kerr, M.A. The function of immunoglobulin A in immunity. *J. Pathol.* **2006**, *208*, 270–282. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Luo, H.; Jia, T.; Chen, J.; Zeng, S.; Qiu, Z.; Wu, S.; Li, X.; Lei, Y.; Wang, X.; Wu, W.; et al. The Characterization of Disease Severity Associated IgG Subclasses Response in COVID-19 Patients. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 632814. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Vidarsson, G.; Dekkers, G.; Rispens, T. IgG Subclasses and Allotypes: From Structure to Effector Functions. *Front. Immunol.* **2014**, *5*, 520. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Sterlin, D.; Mathian, A.; Miyara, M.; Mohr, A.; Anna, F.; Claër, L.; Quentric, P.; Fadlallah, J.; Devilliers, H.; Ghillani, P.; et al. IgA dominates the early neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2021**, *13*, eabd2223. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Iles, J.K.; Zmuidinaite, R.; Sadee, C.; Gardiner, A.; Lacey, J.; Harding, S.; Wallis, G.; Patel, R.; Roblett, D.; Heeney, J.; et al. Determination of IgG1 and IgG3 SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein and Nucleocapsid Binding—Who Is Binding Who and Why? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 6050. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Khehra, N.; Padda, I.; Jaferi, U.; Atwal, H.; Narain, S.; Parmar, M.S. Tozinameran (BNT162b2) Vaccine: The Journey from Preclinical Research to Clinical Trials and Authorization. *AAPS PharmSciTech* **2021**, *22*, 172. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Mulligan, M.J.; Lyke, K.E.; Kitchin, N.; Absalon, J.; Gurtman, A.; Lockhart, S.; Neuzil, K.; Raabe, V.; Bailey, R.; Swanson, K.A.; et al. Phase I/II study of COVID-19 RNA vaccine BNT162b1 in adults. *Nature* **2020**, *586*, 589–593. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Sahin, U.; Muik, A.; Derhovanessian, E.; Vogler, I.; Kranz, L.M.; Vormehr, M.; Baum, A.; Pascal, K.; Quandt, J.; Maurus, D.; et al. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and TH1 T-cell responses. *Nature* **2020**, *586*, 594–599. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Kalimuddin, S.; Tham, C.Y.L.; Qui, M.; de Alwis, R.; Sim, J.X.Y.; Lim, J.M.E.; Tan, H.-C.; Syenina, A.; Zhang, S.L.; Le Bert, N.; et al. Early T cell and binding antibody responses are associated with COVID-19 RNA vaccine efficacy onset. *Med* **2021**, *2*, 682–688.e4. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Lamb, Y.N. BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine: First Approval. *Drugs* **2021**, *81*, 495–501. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Azzi, L.; Dalla Gasperina, D.; Veronesi, G.; Shallak, M.; Ietto, G.; Iovino, D.; Baj, A.; Gianfagna, F.; Maurino, V.; Focosi, D.; et al. Mucosal immune response in BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine recipients. *eBioMedicine* **2022**, *75*, 103788. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Mortari, E.P.; Russo, C.; Vinci, M.R.; Terreri, S.; Salinas, A.F.; Piccioni, L.; Alteri, C.; Colagrossi, L.; Coltella, L.; Ranno, S.; et al. Highly Specific Memory B Cells Generation after the 2nd Dose of BNT162b2 Vaccine Compensate for the Decline of Serum Antibodies and Absence of Mucosal IgA. *Cells* **2021**, *10*, 2541. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Lozano-Rodríguez, R.; Valentín-Quiroga, J.; Avendaño-Ortiz, J.; Martín-Quirós, A.; Pascual-Iglesias, A.; Terrón, V.; Montalbán-Hernández, K.; Casalvilla-Dueñas, J.C.; Alcamí Pertejo, J.; García-Pérez, J.; et al. Cellular and Humoral Functional Responses after BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccination Differ between Naïve and COVID-19-Recovered Individuals. *SSRN Electron. J.* **2021**, *38*, 110235. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Cho, A.; Muecksch, F.; Schaefer-Babajew, D.; Wang, Z.; Finkin, S.; Gaebler, C.; Ramos, V.; Cipolla, M.; Mendoza, P.; Agudelo, M.; et al. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain Antibody Evolution after mRNA Vaccination. *Nature* **2021**, *600*, 517–522. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Padoan, A.; Dall’Olmo, L.; della Rocca, F.; Barbaro, F.; Cosma, C.; Basso, D.; Cattelan, A.; Cianci, V.; Plebani, M. Antibody response to first and second dose of BNT162b2 in a cohort of characterized healthcare workers. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2021**, *519*, 60–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Salvagno, G.L.; Henry, B.M.; Pighi, L.; De Nitto, S.; Gianfilippi, G.L.; Lippi, G. Three-month analysis of total humoral response to Pfizer BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccination in healthcare workers. *J. Infect.* **2021**, *83*, e4–e5. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Favresse, J.; Bayart, J.-L.; Mullier, F.; Elsen, M.; Eucher, C.; Van Eeckhoudt, S.; Roy, T.; Wieers, G.; Laurent, C.; Dogné, J.-M.; et al. Antibody titers decline 3-month post-vaccination with BNT162b2. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2021**, *10*, 1495–1498. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Ponticelli, D.; Antonazzo, I.C.; Caci, G.; Vitale, A.; Della Ragione, G.; Romano, M.L.; Borrelli, M.; Schiavone, B.; Polosa, R.; Ferrara, P. Dynamics of antibody response to BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine after 6 months. *J. Travel Med.* **2021**, *28*, taab173. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Khoury, J.; Najjar-Debbiny, R.; Hanna, A.; Jabbour, A.; Abu Ahmad, Y.; Saffuri, A.; Abu-Sinni, M.; Shkeiri, R.; Elemy, A.; Hakim, F. COVID-19 vaccine—Long term immune decline and breakthrough infections. *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 6984–6989. [[CrossRef](#)]

61. Grupel, D.; Gazit, S.; Schreiber, L.; Nadler, V.; Wolf, T.; Lazar, R.; Supino-Rosin, L.; Perez, G.; Peretz, A.; Ben Tov, A.; et al. Kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 anti-S IgG after BNT162b2 vaccination. *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 5337–5340. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Olariu, T.R.; Ursoniu, S.; Marincu, I.; Lupu, M.A. Dynamics of Antibody Response to BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine: A 7-Month Follow-Up Study. *Medicina* **2021**, *57*, 1330. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Yoshimura, Y.; Sasaki, H.; Miyata, N.; Miyazaki, K.; Tachikawa, N. Antibody response after COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b2 on health care workers in Japan. *J. Infect. Chemother.* **2021**, *27*, 1713–1715. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Wheeler, S.E.; Shurin, G.V.; Yost, M.; Anderson, A.; Pinto, L.; Wells, A.; Shurin, M.R. Differential Antibody Response to mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines in Healthy Subjects. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **2021**, *9*, e0034121. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Coppeta, L.; Ferrari, C.; Somma, G.; Mazza, A.; D’Ancona, U.; Marcuccilli, F.; Grelli, S.; Aurilio, M.T.; Pietroiusti, A.; Magrini, A.; et al. Reduced Titers of Circulating Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies and Risk of COVID-19 Infection in Healthcare Workers during the Nine Months after Immunization with the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine. *Vaccines* **2022**, *10*, 141. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
66. Thomas, S.J.; Moreira, E.D., Jr.; Kitchin, N.; Absalon, J.; Gurtman, A.; Lockhart, S.; Perez, J.L.; Pérez Marc, G.; Polack, F.P.; Zerbini, C.; et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *385*, 1761–1773. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Patalon, T.; Gazit, S.; Pitzer, V.E.; Prunas, O.; Warren, J.L.; Weinberger, D.M. Odds of Testing Positive for SARS-CoV-2 Following Receipt of 3 vs 2 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **2022**, *182*, 179. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Ontañón, J.; Blas, J.; de Cabo, C.; Santos, C.; Ruiz-Escribano, E.; García, A.; Marín, L.; Sáez, L.; Beato, J.L.; Rada, R.; et al. Influence of past infection with SARS-CoV-2 on the response to the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in health care workers: Kinetics and durability of the humoral immune response. *eBioMedicine* **2021**, *73*, 103656. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Lombardi, A.; Consonni, D.; Oggioni, M.; Bono, P.; Renteria, S.U.; Piatti, A.; Pesatori, A.C.; Castaldi, S.; Muscatello, A.; Riboldi, L.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike antibody titers after vaccination with BNT162b2 in naïve and previously infected individuals. *J. Infect. Public Health* **2021**, *14*, 1120–1122. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Kontopoulou, K.; Ainatzoglou, A.; Nakas, C.T.; Ifantidou, A.; Goudi, G.; Antoniadou, E.; Adamopoulos, V.; Papadopoulos, N.; Papazisis, G. Second dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine: Value of timely administration but questionable necessity among the seropositive. *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 5078–5081. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Azzi, L.; Focosi, D.; Dentali, F.; Baj, A.; Maggi, F. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD IgG responses in convalescent versus naïve BNT162b2 vaccine recipients. *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 2489–2490. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Kelsen, S.G.; Braverman, A.S.; Aksoy, M.O.; Hayman, J.A.; Patel, P.S.; Rajput, C.; Zhao, H.; Fisher, S.G.; Ruggieri, M.R.; Gentile, N.T. SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 vaccine-induced humoral response and reactogenicity in individuals with prior COVID-19 disease. *JCI Insight* **2022**, *7*, e155889. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Hammerman, A.; Sergienko, R.; Friger, M.; Beckenstein, T.; Peretz, A.; Netzer, D.; Yaron, S.; Arbel, R. Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Vaccine after Recovery from COVID-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2022**, *386*, 1221–1229. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Sokal, A.; Barba-Spaeth, G.; Fernández, I.; Broketa, M.; Azzaoui, I.; de La Selle, A.; Vandenberghe, A.; Fourati, S.; Roeser, A.; Meola, A.; et al. mRNA vaccination of naïve and COVID-19-recovered individuals elicits potent memory B cells that recognize SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Immunity* **2021**, *54*, 2893–2907.e5. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Mileto, D.; Fenizia, C.; Cutrera, M.; Gagliardi, G.; Gigantiello, A.; De Silvestri, A.; Rizzo, A.; Mancon, A.; Bianchi, M.; De Poli, F.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2 triggers a consistent cross-variant humoral and cellular response. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2021**, *10*, 2235–2243. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Brewer, R.C.; Ramadoss, N.S.; Lahey, L.J.; Jahanbani, S.; Robinson, W.H.; Lanz, T.V. BNT162b2 vaccine induces divergent B cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 S1 and S2. *Nat. Immunol.* **2022**, *23*, 33–39. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Kotaki, R.; Adachi, Y.; Moriyama, S.; Onodera, T.; Fukushi, S.; Nagakura, T.; Tonouchi, K.; Terahara, K.; Sun, L.; Takano, T.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-neutralizing memory B cells are elicited by two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. *Sci. Immunol.* **2022**, *7*, eabn8590. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. Ciabattini, A.; Pastore, G.; Fiorino, F.; Polvere, J.; Lucchesi, S.; Pettini, E.; Auddino, S.; Rancan, I.; Durante, M.; Miscia, M.; et al. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2-Specific Memory B Cells Six Months After Vaccination with the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 740708. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Gandolfo, C.; Anichini, G.; Mugnaini, M.; Bocchia, M.; Terrosi, C.; Sicuranza, A.; Savellini, G.G.; Gozzetti, A.; Franchi, F.; Cusi, M.G. Overview of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Immune Response Six Months after BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine. *Vaccines* **2022**, *10*, 171. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Sharma, O.; Sultan, A.A.; Ding, H.; Triggler, C.R. A Review of the Progress and Challenges of Developing a Vaccine for COVID-19. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 585354. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Ewer, K.J.; Barrett, J.R.; Belij-Rammerstorfer, S.; Sharpe, H.; Makinson, R.; Morter, R.; Flaxman, A.; Wright, D.; Bellamy, D.; Bittaye, M.; et al. T cell and antibody responses induced by a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine in a phase 1/2 clinical trial. *Nat. Med.* **2021**, *27*, 270–278. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Ramasamy, M.N.; Minassian, A.M.; Ewer, K.J.; Flaxman, A.L.; Folegatti, P.M.; Owens, D.R.; Voysey, M.; Aley, P.K.; Angus, B.; Babbage, G.; et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): A single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet* **2020**, *396*, 1979–1993. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

83. Asano, M.; Okada, H.; Itoh, Y.; Hirata, H.; Ishikawa, K.; Yoshida, E.; Matsui, A.; Kelly, E.J.; Shoemaker, K.; Olsson, U.; et al. Immunogenicity and safety of AZD1222 (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) against SARS-CoV-2 in Japan: A double-blind, randomized controlled phase 1/2 trial. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *114*, 165–174. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
84. Knoll, M.D.; Wonodi, C. Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine efficacy. *Lancet* **2021**, *397*, 72–74. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Falsey, A.R.; Sobieszczyk, M.E.; Hirsch, I.; Sproule, S.; Robb, M.L.; Corey, L.; Neuzil, K.M.; Hahn, W.; Hunt, J.; Mulligan, M.J.; et al. Phase 3 Safety and Efficacy of AZD1222 (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) COVID-19 Vaccine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *385*, 2348–2360. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Francis, A.I.; Ghany, S.; Gilkes, T.; Umakanthan, S. Review of COVID-19 vaccine subtypes, efficacy and geographical distributions. *Postgrad. Med. J.* **2022**, *98*, 389–394. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Jeewandara, C.; Kamaladasa, A.; Pushpakumara, P.D.; Jayathilaka, D.; Aberathna, I.S.; Danasekara, D.R.S.R.; Guruge, D.; Ranasinghe, T.; Dayarathna, S.; Pathmanathan, T.; et al. Immune responses to a single dose of the AZD1222/Covishield vaccine in health care workers. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 4617. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Müller, M.; Volzke, J.; Subin, B.; Müller, S.; Sombetzki, M.; Reisinger, E.C.; Müller-Hilke, B. Single-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations with either BNT162b2 or AZD1222 induce disparate Th1 responses and IgA production. *BMC Med.* **2022**, *20*, 29. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Jayathilaka, D.; Jeewandara, C.; Gomes, L.; Jayadas, T.T.P.; Kamaladasa, A.; Somathilake, G.; Guruge, D.; Pushpakumara, P.D.; Ranasinghe, T.; Aberathna, I.S.; et al. Kinetics of immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 proteins in individuals with varying severity of infection and following a single dose of the AZD1222. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **2022**, *208*, 323–331. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Parai, D.; Choudhary, H.R.; Dash, G.C.; Sahoo, S.K.; Pattnaik, M.; Rout, U.K.; Nanda, R.R.; Kanungo, S.; Kshatri, J.S.; Pati, S.; et al. Single-dose of BBV-152 and AZD1222 increases antibodies against spike glycoprotein among healthcare workers recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* **2021**, *44*, 102170. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Jamiruddin, M.R.; Haq, A.; Khondoker, M.U.; Ali, T.; Ahmed, F.; Khandker, S.S.; Jawad, I.; Hossain, R.; Ahmed, S.; Rahman, S.R.; et al. Antibody response to the first dose of AZD1222 vaccine in COVID-19 convalescent and uninfected individuals in Bangladesh. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **2021**, *20*, 1651–1660. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
92. Havervall, S.; Marking, U.; Greilert-Norin, N.; Ng, H.; Gordon, M.; Salomonsson, A.-C.; Hellström, C.; Pin, E.; Blom, K.; Mangsbo, S.; et al. Antibody responses after a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine in healthcare workers previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. *eBioMedicine* **2021**, *70*, 103523. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
93. Amirthalingam, G.; Bernal, J.L.; Andrews, N.J.; Whitaker, H.; Gower, C.; Stowe, J.; Tessier, E.; Subbarao, S.; Ireland, G.; Baawuah, F.; et al. Serological responses and vaccine effectiveness for extended COVID-19 vaccine schedules in England. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 7217. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Hoque, A.; das Barshan, A.; Chowdhury, F.U.H.; Fardous, J.; Hasan, M.J.; Khan, A.S.; Kabir, A. Antibody Response to ChAdOx1-nCoV-19 Vaccine Among Recipients in Bangladesh: A Prospective Observational Study. *Infect. Drug Resist.* **2021**, *14*, 5491–5500. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Jeewandara, C.; Aberathna, I.S.; Gomes, L.; Pushpakumara, P.D.; Danasekara, S.; Guruge, D.; Ranasinghe, T.; Gunasekera, B.; Kamaladasa, A.; Kuruppu, H.; et al. Kinetics of immune responses to the AZD1222/Covishield vaccine with varying dose intervals in Sri Lankan individuals. *Immun. Inflamm. Dis.* **2022**, *10*, e592. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Hung, I.F.N.; Poland, G.A. Single-dose Oxford–AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine followed by a 12-week booster. *Lancet* **2021**, *397*, 854–855. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Flaxman, A.; Marchevsky, N.G.; Jenkin, D.; Aboagye, J.; Aley, P.K.; Angus, B.; Belij-Rammerstorfer, S.; Bibi, S.; Bittaye, M.; Cappuccini, F.; et al. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity after a late second dose or a third dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 in the UK: A substudy of two randomised controlled trials (COV001 and COV002). *Lancet* **2021**, *398*, 981–990. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Silva, V.O.; Yamashiro, R.; Ahagon, C.M.; de Campos, I.B.; de Oliveira, I.P.; de Oliveira, E.L.; López-Lopes, G.I.S.; Matsuda, E.M.; Castejon, M.J.; de Macedo Brígido, L.F. Inhibition of receptor-binding domain—ACE2 interaction after two doses of Sinovac’s CoronaVac or AstraZeneca/Oxford’s AZD1222 SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *J. Med. Virol.* **2021**, *94*, 1217–1223. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Nam, M.; Seo, J.D.; Moon, H.-W.; Kim, H.; Hur, M.; Yun, Y.-M. Evaluation of Humoral Immune Response after SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination Using Two Binding Antibody Assays and a Neutralizing Antibody Assay. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **2021**, *9*, e0120221. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Lee, S.W.; Moon, J.-Y.; Lee, S.-K.; Lee, H.; Moon, S.; Chung, S.J.; Yeo, Y.; Park, T.S.; Park, D.W.; Kim, T.-H.; et al. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein RBD Antibody Levels After Receiving a Second Dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) Vaccine in Healthcare Workers: Lack of Association with Age, Sex, Obesity, and Adverse Reactions. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 779212. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Wei, J.; Pouwels, K.B.; Stoesser, N.; Matthews, P.C.; Diamond, I.; Studley, R.; Rourke, E.; Cook, D.; Bell, J.I.; Newton, J.N.; et al. Antibody responses and correlates of protection in the general population after two doses of the ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccines. *Nat. Med.* **2022**, *28*, 1072–1082. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
102. Shrotri, M.; Navaratnam, A.M.D.; Nguyen, V.; Byrne, T.; Geismar, C.; Fragaszy, E.; Beale, S.; Fong, W.L.E.; Patel, P.; Kovar, J.; et al. Spike-antibody waning after second dose of BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1. *Lancet* **2021**, *398*, 385–387. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
103. Robertson, L.J.; Price, R.; Moore, J.S.; Curry, G.; Farnan, J.; Black, A.; Blighe, K.; Nesbit, M.A.; McLaughlin, J.A.; Moore, T. IgG antibody production and persistence to 6 months following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination: A Northern Ireland observational study. *Vaccine* **2022**, *40*, 2535–2539. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Mishra, S.K.; Pradhan, S.K.; Pati, S.; Sahu, S.; Nanda, R.K. Waning of Anti-spike Antibodies in AZD1222 (ChAdOx1) Vaccinated Healthcare Providers: A Prospective Longitudinal Study. *Cureus* **2021**, *13*, e19879. [[CrossRef](#)]

105. Choudhary, H.R.; Parai, D.; Dash, G.C.; Kshatri, J.S.; Mishra, N.; Choudhary, P.K.; Pattnaik, D.; Panigrahi, K.; Behera, S.; Sahoo, N.R.; et al. Persistence of Antibodies Against Spike Glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 in Healthcare Workers Post Double Dose of BBV-152 and AZD1222 Vaccines. *Front. Med.* **2021**, *8*, 778129. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Terpos, E.; Karalis, V.; Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Evangelakou, Z.; Gavriatopoulou, M.; Manola, M.S.; Malandrakis, P.; Gianniou, D.D.; Kastiritis, E.; Trougakos, I.P.; et al. Comparison of Neutralizing Antibody Responses at 6 Months Post Vaccination with BNT162b2 and AZD1222. *Biomedicines* **2022**, *10*, 338. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Lim, S.; Lee, Y.; Kim, D.W.; Park, W.S.; Yoon, J.H.; Lee, J.Y. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Responses after Two Doses of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) in Healthcare Workers. *Infect. Chemother.* **2022**, *54*, 140–152. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Tawinprai, K.; Siripongboonsitti, T.; Porntharukchareon, T.; Dechates, B.; Monprach, H.; Sornsamtrang, G.; Wittayasak, K.; Soonklang, K.; Mahanonda, N. Persistence of immunogenicity, contributing factors of an immune response, and reactogenicities after a single dose of the ChAdOx1 (AZD1222) COVID-19 vaccine in the Thai population. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* **2022**, *18*, 2035573. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Wanlapakorn, N.; Suntronwong, N.; Phowatthanasathian, H.; Yorsaeng, R.; Vichaiwattana, P.; Thongmee, T.; Auphimai, C.; Srimuan, D.; Thatsanatorn, T.; Assawakosri, S.; et al. Safety and immunogenicity of heterologous and homologous inactivated and adenoviral-vectored COVID-19 vaccine regimens in healthy adults: A prospective cohort study. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* **2022**, *18*, 2029111. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Chibwana, M.G.; Moyo-Gwete, T.; Kwatra, G.; Mandolo, J.; Hermanaus, T.; Motlou, T.; Mzindle, N.; Ayres, F.; Chaponda, M.; Tembo, G.; et al. AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine induces robust broadly cross-reactive antibody responses in Malawian adults previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. *BMC Med.* **2022**, *20*, 128. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Madhi, S.A.; Baillie, V.; Cutland, C.L.; Voysey, M.; Koen, A.L.; Fairlie, L.; Padayachee, S.D.; Dheda, K.; Barnabas, S.L.; Bhorat, Q.E.; et al. Efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 COVID-19 Vaccine against the B.1.351 Variant. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *384*, 1885–1898. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
112. Emary, K.R.W.; Golubchik, T.; Aley, P.K.; Ariani, C.V.; Angus, B.; Bibi, S.; Blane, B.; Bonsall, D.; Cicconi, P.; Charlton, S.; et al. Efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/01 (B.1.1.7): An exploratory analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **2021**, *397*, 1351–1362. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Wall, E.C.; Wu, M.; Harvey, R.; Kelly, G.; Warchal, S.; Sawyer, C.; Daniels, R.; Adams, L.; Hobson, P.; Hatipoglu, E.; et al. AZD1222-induced neutralising antibody activity against SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC. *Lancet* **2021**, *398*, 207–209. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
114. Murillo-Zamora, E.; Trujillo, X.; Huerta, M.; Ríos-Silva, M.; Lugo-Radillo, A.; Baltazar-Rodríguez, L.; Mendoza-Cano, O. First-generation BNT162b2 and AZD1222 vaccines protect from COVID-19 pneumonia during the Omicron variant emergence. *Public Health* **2022**, *207*, 105–107. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Eyre, D.W.; Taylor, D.; Purver, M.; Chapman, D.; Fowler, T.; Pouwels, K.B.; Walker, A.S.; Peto, T.E. Effect of COVID-19 Vaccination on Transmission of Alpha and Delta Variants. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2022**, *386*, 744–756. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Al Kaabi, N.; Oulhaj, A.; Ganesan, S.; Al Hosani, F.I.; Najim, O.; Ibrahim, H.; Acuna, J.; Alsuwaidi, A.R.; Kamour, A.M.; Alzaabi, A.; et al. Effectiveness of BBIBP-CorV vaccine against severe outcomes of COVID-19 in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 3215. [[CrossRef](#)]
117. Khoshnood, S.; Arshadi, M.; Akrami, S.; Koupaei, M.; Ghahramanpour, H.; Shariati, A.; Sadeghifard, N.; Heidary, M. An overview on inactivated and live-attenuated SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *J Clin Lab Anal.* **2022**, *36*, e24418. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Wang, H.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, B.; Deng, W.; Quan, Y.; Wang, W.; Xu, W.; Zhao, Y.; Li, N.; Zhang, J.; et al. Development of an Inactivated Vaccine Candidate, BBIBP-CorV, with Potent Protection against SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* **2020**, *182*, 713–721.e9. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Xia, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Yang, Y.; Gao, G.F.; Tan, W.; Wu, G.; Xu, M.; Lou, Z.; et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV, in people younger than 18 years: A randomised, double-blind, controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *22*, 196–208. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Xia, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Yang, Y.; Gao, G.F.; Tan, W.; Wu, G.; Xu, M.; Lou, Z.; et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2021**, *21*, 39–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Jeewandara, C.; Aberathna, I.S.; Pushpakumara, P.D.; Kamaladasa, A.; Guruge, D.; Wijesinghe, A.; Gunasekera, B.; Tanussiya, S.; Kuruppu, H.; Ranasinghe, T.; et al. Immune responses to Sinopharm/ BBIBP-CorV in individuals in Sri Lanka. *Immunology* **2022**, *167*, 275–285. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
122. Al Kaabi, N.; Oulhaj, A.; Al Hosani, F.I.; Al Mazrouei, S.; Najim, O.; Hussein, S.E.; Abdalla, J.S.; Fasihuddin, M.S.; Hassan, A.A.; Elghazali, G.; et al. The incidence of COVID-19 infection following emergency use authorization of BBIBP-CORV inactivated vaccine in frontline workers in the United Arab Emirates. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*, 490. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
123. Jahromi, M.; Al Sheikh, M.H. Partial protection of Sinopharm vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 during recent outbreak in Bahrain. *Microb. Pathog.* **2021**, *158*, 105086. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
124. Li, Z.; Xiang, T.; Liang, B.; Deng, H.; Wang, H.; Feng, X.; Quan, X.; Wang, X.; Li, S.; Lu, S.; et al. Characterization of SARS-CoV-2-Specific Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses Induced by Inactivated COVID-19 Vaccines in a Real-World Setting. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 802858. [[CrossRef](#)]
125. Zhang, J.; Xing, S.; Liang, D.; Hu, W.; Ke, C.; He, J.; Yuan, R.; Huang, Y.; Li, Y.; Liu, D.; et al. Differential Antibody Response to Inactivated COVID-19 Vaccines in Healthy Subjects. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2021**, *11*, 791660. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

126. Liao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Pu, J.; Zhao, Z.; Li, D.; Fan, S.; Yu, L.; Xu, X.; Wang, L.; et al. Intensified antibody response elicited by boost suggests immune memory in individuals administered two doses of SARS-CoV-2 inactivated vaccine. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2021**, *10*, 1112–1115. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
127. de la Torre, J.C.G.; Cáceres-DelAguila, J.A.; Muro-Rojo, C.; De La Cruz-Escurra, N.; Copaja-Corzo, C.; Hueda-Zavaleta, M.; Siles, D.A.; Benites-Zapata, V.A. Humoral Immune Response Induced by the BBIBP-CorV Vaccine (Sinopharm) in Healthcare Workers: A Cohort Study. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *7*, 66. [[CrossRef](#)]
128. Badano, M.N.; Sabbione, F.; Keitelman, I.; Pereson, M.; Aloisi, N.; Colado, A.; Ramos, M.V.; Wilczyński, J.M.O.; Pozner, R.G.; Castillo, L.; et al. Humoral response to the BBIBP-CorV vaccine over time in healthcare workers with or without exposure to SARS-CoV-2. *Mol. Immunol.* **2022**, *143*, 94–99. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Aijaz, J.; Hussain, S.; Naseer, F.; Kanani, F.; Anis, S.; Sarfaraz, S.; Saeed, S.; Farooq, H.; Jamal, S. Neutralizing Antibody Response to BBIBP-CorV in Comparison with COVID-19 Recovered, Unvaccinated Individuals in a Sample of the Pakistani Population. *Vaccines* **2022**, *10*, 692. [[CrossRef](#)]
130. Jeewandara, C.; Aberathna, I.S.; Pushpakumara, P.D.; Kamaladasa, A.; Guruge, D.; Wijesinghe, A.; Gunasekera, B.; Ramu, S.T.; Kuruppu, H.; Ranasinghe, T.; et al. Persistence of immune responses to the Sinopharm/BBIBP-CorV vaccine. *Immun. Inflamm. Dis.* **2022**, *10*, 621. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. Jeewandara, C.; Aberathna, I.S.; Pushpakumara, P.D.; Kamaladasa, A.; Guruge, D.; Wijesinghe, A.; Gunasekera, B.; Tanussiya, S.; Kuruppu, H.; Ranasinghe, T.; et al. Persistence of Antibody and T Cell Responses to the Sinopharm/BBIBP-CorV Vaccine in Sri Lankan Individuals. *Allergy Immunol.* **2022**, preprint.
132. Zou, L.; Zhang, H.; Zheng, Z.; Jiang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Lin, S.; Yu, J.; Deng, X.; He, J.; Shen, C.; et al. Serosurvey in SARS-CoV-2 inactivated vaccine-elicited neutralizing antibodies against authentic SARS-CoV-2 and its viral variants. *J. Med Virol.* **2022**, *94*, 6065–6072. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
133. Yu, X.; Wei, D.; Xu, W.; Liu, C.; Guo, W.; Li, X.; Tan, W.; Liu, L.; Zhang, X.; Qu, J.; et al. Neutralizing activity of BBIBP-CorV vaccine-elicited sera against Beta, Delta and other SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 1788. [[CrossRef](#)]
134. Luan, N.; Wang, Y.; Cao, H.; Lin, K.; Liu, C. Comparison of immune responses induced by two or three doses of an alum-adjuvanted inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in mice. *J. Med Virol.* **2022**, *94*, 2250–2258. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
135. Mahmoud, S.; Ganesan, S.; Al Kaabi, N.; Naik, S.; Elavalli, S.; Gopinath, P.; Ali, A.M.; Bazzi, L.; Warren, K.; Zaher, W.A.; et al. Immune response of booster doses of BBIBP-CORV vaccines against the variants of concern of SARS-CoV-2. *J. Clin. Virol.* **2022**, *150–151*, 105161. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
136. Ferenci, T.; Sarkadi, B. RBD-specific antibody responses after two doses of BBIBP-CorV (Sinopharm, Beijing CNBG) vaccine. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *22*, 87. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
137. Farid, E.; Herrera-Urbe, J.; Stevenson, N.J. The Effect of Age, Gender and Comorbidities Upon SARS-CoV-2 Spike Antibody Induction After Two Doses of Sinopharm Vaccine and the Effect of a Pfizer/BioNtech Booster Vaccine. *Front. Immunol.* **2022**, *13*, 817597. [[CrossRef](#)]
138. Stevens, C.E.; Szmunn, W.; Goodman, A.I.; Weseley, S.A.; Fotino, M. Hepatitis B vaccine: Immune responses in hemodialysis patients. *Lancet* **1980**, *2*, 1211–1213. [[CrossRef](#)]
139. Tian, J.H.; Patel, N.; Haupt, R.; Zhou, H.; Weston, S.; Hammond, H.; Lague, J.; Portnoff, A.D.; Norton, J.; Guebre-Xabier, M.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein vaccine candidate NVX-CoV2373 immunogenicity in baboons and protection in mice. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 372. [[CrossRef](#)]
140. Keech, C.; Albert, G.; Cho, I.; Robertson, A.; Reed, P.; Neal, S.; Plested, J.S.; Zhu, M.; Cloney-Clark, S.; Zhou, H.; et al. Phase 1–2 Trial of a SARS-CoV-2 Recombinant Spike Protein Nanoparticle Vaccine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *383*, 2320–2332. [[CrossRef](#)]
141. Dunkle, L.M.; Kotloff, K.L.; Gay, C.L.; Áñez, G.; Adelglass, J.M.; Barrat Hernández, A.Q.; Harper, W.L.; Duncanson, D.M.; McArthur, M.A.; Florescu, D.F.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of NVX-CoV2373 in Adults in the United States and Mexico. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2022**, *386*, 531–543. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
142. Bhiman, J.; Richardson, S.I.; Lambson, B.E.; Kgagudi, P.; Mzindle, N.; Kaldine, H.; Crowther, C.; Gray, G.; Bekker, L.G.; Shinde, V.; et al. Novavax NVX-COV2373 triggers potent neutralization of Omicron sub-lineages. *bioRxiv. preprint.* [[CrossRef](#)]
143. Hielscher, F.; Schmidt, T.; Klemis, V.; Wilhelm, A.; Marx, S.; Abu-Omar, A.; Ziegler, L.; Guckelmus, C.; Urschel, R.; Sester, U.; et al. NVX-CoV2373-induced cellular and humoral immunity towards parental SARS-CoV-2 and VOCs compared to BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273-regimens. *J. Clin. Virol.* **2022**, *157*, 105321. [[CrossRef](#)]
144. Petrović, V.; Vuković, V.; Patić, A.; Marković, M.; Ristić, M. Immunogenicity of BNT162b2, BBIBP-CorV and Gam-COVID-Vac vaccines and immunity after natural SARS-CoV-2 infection—A comparative study from Novi Sad, Serbia. *PLoS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0263468. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
145. Lijeskić, O.; Klun, I.; Djaković, M.S.; Gligorić, N.; Štajner, T.; Srbijanović, J.; Djurković-Djaković, O. Prospective Cohort Study of the Kinetics of Specific Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Infection and to Four SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines Available in Serbia, and Vaccine Effectiveness: A 3-Month Interim Report. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 1031. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
146. Wei, J.; Matthews, P.C.; Stoesser, N.; Maddox, T.; Lorenzi, L.; Studley, R.; Bell, J.I.; Newton, J.N.; Farrar, J.; Diamond, I.; et al. Anti-spike antibody response to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection in the general population. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 6250. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
147. Brown, B.; Ojha, V.; Fricke, I.; Green, M.; Imarogbe, C.; Al-Sheboul, S.; Gravier, T.; Peterson, L.; Koutsaroff, I.P. Cellular and Humoral Immunity and Infection Responses to SARS-CoV-2: Immune Biomolecular Mechanisms by Case Study within SARS-CoV-2 Pathogenesis and Other Infections. *Preprint.* [[CrossRef](#)]

148. Ward, H.; Whitaker, M.; Flower, B.; Tang, S.N.; Atchison, C.; Darzi, A.; Donnelly, C.A.; Cann, A.; Diggle, P.J.; Ashby, D.; et al. Population antibody responses following COVID-19 vaccination in 212,102 individuals. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 907. [[CrossRef](#)]
149. Schwarz, M.; Torre, D.; Lozano-Ojalvo, D.; Tan, A.T.; Tabaglio, T.; Mzoughi, S.; Sanchez-Tarjuelo, R.; Le Bert, N.; Lim, J.M.E.; Hatem, S.; et al. Rapid, scalable assessment of SARS-CoV-2 cellular immunity by whole-blood PCR. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *40*, 1680–1689. [[CrossRef](#)]
150. Kristiansen, P.A.; Page, M.; Bernasconi, V.; Mattiuzzo, G.; Dull, P.; Makar, K.; Plotkin, S.; Knezevic, I. WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin. *Lancet* **2021**, *397*, 1347–1348. [[CrossRef](#)]
151. Filchakova, O.; Dossym, D.; Ilyas, A.; Kuanysheva, T.; Abdizhamil, A.; Bukasov, R. Review of COVID-19 testing and diagnostic methods. *Talanta* **2022**, *244*, 123409. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
152. Mohit, E.; Rostami, Z.; Vahidi, H. A comparative review of immunoassays for COVID-19 detection. *Expert Rev. Clin. Immunol.* **2021**, *17*, 573–599. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
153. Dolton, G.; Rius, C.; Hasan, S.; Wall, A.; Szomolay, B.; Behiry, E.; Whalley, T.; Southgate, J.; Fuller, A.; Morin, T.; et al. Emergence of immune escape at dominant SARS-CoV-2 killer T cell epitope. *Cell* **2022**, *185*, 2936–2951.e19. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
154. Tuekprakhon, A.; Nutalai, R.; Djokaite-Guraliuc, A.; Zhou, D.; Ginn, H.M.; Selvaraj, M.; Liu, C.; Mentzer, A.J.; Supasa, P.; Duyvesteyn, H.M.; et al. Antibody escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 from vaccine and BA.1 serum. *Cell* **2022**, *185*, 2422–2433.e13. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
155. Akbulut, S.; Gokce, A.; Boz, G.; Saritas, H.; Unsal, S.; Ozer, A.; Akbulut, M.S.; Colak, C. Evaluation of Vaccine Hesitancy and Anxiety Levels among Hospital Cleaning Staff and Caregivers during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Vaccines* **2022**, *10*, 1426. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
156. Ghattas, M.; Dwivedi, G.; Lavertu, M.; Alameh, M.G. Vaccine Technologies and Platforms for Infectious Diseases: Current Progress, Challenges, and Opportunities. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 1490. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.