

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

# The effect of exercise on blood concentrations of angiogenesis markers in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis

**Bing Xin Song** University of Toronto Laiba Azhar Sunnybrook Research Institute Grace Ka Yi Koo Sunnybrook Research Institute Susan Marzolini University Health Network **Damien Gallagher** Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre Walter Swardfager Sunnybrook Research Institute Clara Chen University of Toronto **Joycelyn Ba** University of Toronto Nathan Herrmann Sunnybrook Research Institute Krista Lanctôt ( krista.lanctot@sunnybrook.ca ) Sunnybrook Research Institute

#### **Research Article**

Keywords: Vascular endothelial growth factor, E-selectin, exercise, blood, angiogenesis

Posted Date: January 16th, 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2468576/v1

License: 
① ① This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

# Abstract Background

Physical exercise has positive impacts on health and can improve angiogenesis, which is impaired during aging, but the underlying mechanisms of benefit are unclear. This meta-analysis and systematic review investigated the effects of exercise on several peripheral angiogenesis markers in older adults to better understand the relationship between exercise and angiogenesis.

# Methods

MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane CENTRAL were searched for original, peer-reviewed reports of peripheral concentrations of angiogenesis markers before and after exercise interventions in older adults (> 50 years). The risk of bias was assessed with standardized criteria. Standardized mean differences (SMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated from random-effects models. Publication bias was assessed with Egger's test, funnel plots, and trim-and-fill. *A priori* subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were performed to investigate heterogeneity where possible.

# Results

Of the 44 articles included in the review, 38 were included in meta-analyses for five proteins. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was found to be higher after exercise (SMD[95%CI] = 0.18[0.03, 0.34], p = 0.02), and e-selectin (CD62E) was found to be lower after exercise (SMD[95%CI]= -0.72[-1.42, -0.03], p = 0.04). Endostatin (SMD[95%CI] = 0.28[-0.56, 1.11], p = 0.5), fibroblast growth factor 2 (SMD[95%CI] = 0.045, p = 0.03[-0.18, 0.23], p = 0.8), and matrix metallopeptidase-9 (SMD[95%CI] = -0.26[-0.97, 0.45], p = 0.5) levels did not change after exercise.

# Conclusions

Of the five angiogenesis blood markers evaluated in this meta-analysis, only VEGF and CD62E changed with exercise. Although more studies are needed, changes in angiogenesis markers may explain the beneficial effects of exercise on angiogenesis and health in older adults.

## Background/introduction

Angiogenesis is the process of growth and formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing vessels, helping to transport nutrients and proteins throughout the body. It requires the complex and coordinated activity of different growth factors, cell adhesion molecules, and metabolites in endothelial cells [1, 2]. There are several known angiogenesis markers, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) that promote angiogenesis, and anti-angiogenic factors, such as endostatin which

competitively inhibits VEGF and increases endothelial cell apoptosis [3, 4]. For e-selectin (CD62E), although its role in angiogenesis has not been fully elucidated, it has been suggested to regulate the anti-angiogenic activity of endostatin [5] and angiostatin, another potent angiogenesis inhibitor[6]. Interestingly, metallopeptidase-9 (MMP9) is considered a pro-angiogenic factor that plays a crucial role in the modeling of the extracellular matrix, but it is also involved in the generation of the anti-angiogenic factor, angiostatin [7]. Importantly, several of these angiogenesis markers have been shown to change with age; VEGF and FGF2 can decrease with age, while matrix metalloproteinases can increase [8]. Moreover, the age-related impairment in angiogenesis can be associated with increased risk of cardiovascular diseases [9]. Considering the impairment of angiogenesis during aging [8, 10, 11], exploring interventions that can improve angiogenesis can be particularly important in older adults.

In older adults, exercise has a positive impact on overall health and wellness [12], with particular benefits on mental health and cardiovascular fitness [13]. Long-term physical activity of at least moderate intensity has been shown to improve cognitive outcomes in older adults over 50 years old [14]. However, the mechanisms via which exercise confers health benefits in older adults have not yet been fully elucidated. Physical exercise has been shown to improve angiogenesis in animals and humans [11, 15–18]. Although angiogenesis marker levels may return to baseline levels within a short interval (about 20 minutes) following acute aerobic and resistance exercise [19], long-term exercise have been associated with sustained alteration in angiogenesis marker levels and thus, may have more pronounced benefits for older adults [20]. Several reviews have suggested that exercise can change angiogenesis marker levels in healthy adults and even potentially older adults [11, 15–18], but the findings from exercise studies with angiogenesis blood markers in apparently healthy older adults. The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the effect of exercise on various angiogenesis blood markers in generally healthy older adults. This will allow for a better understanding of the angiogenesis processes following physical exercise in older adults.

### Methods

Data Sources and Search Strategy

This systematic review was conducted according to our pre-defined protocol registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (CRD42022334061), following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis guidelines [21]. English-language literature was searched on March 10, 2022, in Cochrane Central, Embase, and MEDLINE for original peer-reviewed articles. A sample search strategy is included in Additional file 1. Reference lists of included articles were further examined for additional eligible studies. Search strategies for all databases included key and MeSH terms with exercise and key angiogenesis proteins of interest.

Study Selection

Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) healthy older adults (including overweight and obese older adults); 2)  $\geq$  50 years of age (mean), or if mean age was not reported, population description implied that the group consisted of participants mostly  $\geq$  50 years of age; 3) measured serum, plasma or blood concentrations of selected angiogenesis proteins (Additional file 1) before and after an exercise intervention; and 4) the exercise intervention was at least moderate intensity (e.g.  $\geq$  40 to 59% heart rate reserve (HRR) or oxygen consumption reserve ( $VO_2R$ ), 46 to 63% of maximal oxygen consumption ( $VO_{2max}$ ), 64 to 76% of maximum heart rate ( $HR_{max}$ ) or 12–13 on the rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale [22]), or if exercise intensity was not reported, exercise described as running, cycling, or resistance training. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) studies conducted among populations with medical comorbidities or neuropsychiatric conditions that could impact angiogenesis markers (e.g. diabetes, schizophrenia, etc.) 2) mean age of study participants below 50 years of age; 3) significant study co-interventions that may impact the effect of exercise on angiogenesis protein concentrations (e.g. high altitude [23], blood flow restriction [24], or drug trials); or 4) exercise intensity below moderate intensity (e.g. yoga or easy walking).

When studies reported similar overlapping subjects, the larger sample size study was included. If an overlapping sample between studies was suspected, clarification was sought by emailing the corresponding authors. Studies with different populations or different exercise interventions in the same article were not pooled; a minimum of three studies are needed for a meta-analysis [25]. Studies where data cannot be extracted (e.g., pre- or post-intervention values not provided) were not included in a meta-analysis. If insufficient for a meta-analysis, results from the included studies were qualitatively summarized as numerically increased or decreased and whether the changes were significant. Inclusion eligibility was assessed by two independent reviewers, and conflicts were resolved by consensus between the reviewers.

#### Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two independent reviewers extracted means and standard deviations (SD) of angiogenesis protein concentrations pre- and post-exercise, population characteristics (e.g. mean age, male proportion, body mass index (BMI),  $VO_{2max}$ ), exercise intervention characteristics (e.g. exercise duration, intensity, and type/modality), risk of bias items (e.g. dropout rate, compliance), and other study details (e.g. compartment of blood concentrations, protein quantification assay) from included studies into a pre-formatted spreadsheet. When resting concentrations at multiple time points were available, the time-points that were closest to the initiation and completion of the exercise intervention were included for analysis. Mean and SD were estimated when the values were given in confidence intervals (CI), quartiles, standard errors, or graphic figures. Missing data were requested by email from the corresponding authors. Studies with different populations or different exercise interventions in the same article were analyzed separately. The risk of bias was evaluated based on criteria adapted from the Newcastle Ottawa Scale and the Cochrane Collaboration's Risk of Bias Assessment Tool [26, 27]. Two independent reviewers assessed the risk of bias, and disagreement was resolved by consensus between the reviewers; the overall risk of bias was assessed based on whether the study methodology may greatly contribute to bias under the present review question.

#### Statistical Analyses

Standardized mean differences (SMD; hedges' g) with 95% CIs were calculated using the random-effects model as between-study variation was anticipated. Heterogeneity was quantified by the  $l^2$  statistic, and the Q statistics were used to test significant heterogeneity. If heterogeneity was detected ( $l^2 > 50\%$ ), a predefined systematic investigation was performed using meta-regressions and sub-group analyses. Subgroup analyses were performed with 1) exercise type, 2) exercise duration, 3) population (healthy, overweight, and obese), 4) blood compartment, and 5) overall potential risk of bias rating. If more than 10 observations were available, meta-regressions with 1) mean age, 2) percent male, 3) exercise dose (calculated by multiplying the exercise duration per session, number of sessions per week, and number of weeks)[28], 4) BMI, and 5)  $VO_{2max}$  were also performed. Publication bias was assessed using Egger's test and funnel plots with trim-and-fill to adjust for the bias. Funnel plots were visually inspected for potential outliers which were analyzed using the leave-one-out meta-analysis method in STATA 17.0.

### Results

Literature search findings

A total of 9288 records were found from the literature and two more were identified from references of included articles. Forty-four articles met the inclusion criteria with sufficient data [29–72], and 38 of them were included in the meta-analyses (Additional file 2). Seventeen angiogenesis markers were included in the qualitative synthesis (Table 1); five of which were included in meta-analyses: VEGF, endostatin, CD62E, FGF2, and MMP9. Characteristics of the included studies are presented in Additional file 3.

Included proteins and their abbreviations	(if applicable)
Protein Name	Addreviation
Angiogenin	
Angiopoietin-like protein 2	ANGPTL2
Angiopoietin-like protein 4	ANGPTL4
Endostatin	
E-selectin	CD62E
Fibroblast growth factor 2	FGF2
Hepatocyte growth factor	HGF
Matrix metalloproteinase-2	MMP2
Matrix metalloproteinase-9	MMP9
Placental growth factor	PIGF
Platelet derived growth factor AA	PDGF-AA
Platelet derived growth factor BB	PDGF-BB
Thrombospondin-1	TSP-1
Placental growth factor	PIGF
Vascular endothelial growth factor	VEGF
Vascular endothelial growth factor C	VEGF-C
Vascular endothelial growth factor D	VEGF-D

Table 1

#### Pre-and Post-intervention Comparisons

In the meta-analyses (Table 2), resting blood concentrations of VEGF were significantly higher after exercise (SMD [95%CI] = 0.18 [0.03, 0.34], p = 0.02; Fig. 1), while CD62E concentrations were significantly lower after exercise (SMD [95%Cl] = -0.72 [-1.42, -0.03], p = 0.04; Fig. 2). No differences in blood concentrations of endostatin (Additional file 4), FGF2 (Additional file 5), and MMP9 (Additional file 6), were found pre-and post-exercise. In the qualitative synthesis (Additional file 7), one article on ANGPTL4 and the one article on TSP-1 reported a significant increase after exercise. Other comparisons for ANGPTL2, ANGPTL4, HGF, PDGF-AA, PDGF-BB, VEGF-C, and VEGF-D were either not statistically significant or did not report statistical significance, but mostly showed an increasing direction after exercise. Although not statistically significant, MMP2 and PIGF comparisons showed a decreasing direction after exercise. The single study on angiogenin did not report a significant change with exercise.

Summary of meta-analyses										
Protein	Number of comparisons	SMD	[95% Cl]	Z	p- value	<sup>2</sup> (%)	Chi- square	p- value	Potential Risk of publication bias	
CD62E	6	-0.72	[-1.42, -0.03]	-2.04	0.04	86.8	39.2	< 0.001	Not detected	
Endostatin	5	0.28	[-0.56, 1.11]	0.65	0.5	81.5	19.1	< 0.001	Detected	
FGF2	5	0.03	[-0.18, 0.23]	0.24	0.8	0	7.3	0.1	Not detected	
MMP9	6	-0.26	[-0.97, 0.45]	-0.71	0.5	89.1	30.2	< 0.001	Not detected	
VEGF	40	0.18	[0.03, 0.34]	2.29	0.02	66.8	107.0	< 0.001	Detected	

Table 2 Summary of meta-analyses

Assessment of potential bias

Thirty-five studies were found to have a low potential risk of bias and nine studies were found to have an unclear potential risk of bias mainly due to unclear descriptions of the 1) exercise interventions, 2) protein assays or measurement compartment, 3) mean age, 4) health status of the population (i.e. comorbidities), and/or 5) exercise adherence and dropout rate (Additional file 8). Egger's test detected a significant risk of publication bias in VEGF (B[SE] = 1.91 [0.62], p = 0.002) and endostatin (B[SE] = -7.62 [1.90], p < 0.001), but publication bias was not detected (Egger's test, p > 0.05) in CD62E, FGF2, and MMP9 (Additional files 9–13). Applying the trim-and-fill to the VEGF meta-analysis did not adjust the studies included or affect the effect size (SMD [95%CI] = 0.18 [0.03, 0.34]). Endostatin's effect size remained non-significant after adjusting for potential publication bias using trim-and-fill (estimated SMD [95%CI] = 0.54 [-0.33, 1.41). Visual inspection of the VEGF funnel plot revealed three potential outliers (Additional file 9); the leave-one-out meta-analysis was performed and found similar effect sizes with the overall estimates for these three ("Kolasa-Trela 2017": SMD[95%CI] = 0.09 [-0.02, 0.19], p = 0.1, "Izzicupo 2017 (2)b": SMD [95%CI] = 0.12 [-0.003, 0.24], p = .06, and "Park 2010": SMD[95%CI] = 0.14 [0.003, 0.28], p = 0.045; Additional file 14), as their updated estimates still fell within the 95% CI of the overall meta-analytical estimates (SMD [95%CI] = 0.18 [0.03, 0.34]).

#### Exploration of heterogeneity

Significant heterogeneity was detected in meta-analyses (Table 2) for VEGF ( $I^2 = 67\% p < 0.001$ ), CD62E ( $I^2 = 87\% p < 0.001$ ), endostatin ( $I^2 = 82\% p < 0.001$ ), and MMP9 ( $I^2 = 89\% p < 0.001$ ), but not FGF2 ( $I^2 = 0\% p = 0.1$ ). Subgroup analyses were performed for VEGF, CD62E, endostatin, and MMP9; meta-regressions were performed with VEGF.

# VEGF

None of the subgroup analyses showed significant differences between the subgroups in VEGF (Fig. 3, Additional files 15–18). Subgroup analysis of exercise type showed higher VEGF after exercise only in aerobic exercise interventions (SMD [95%CI] = 0.22 [0.02, 0.42], p = 0.03) with no reduced heterogeneity ( $I^2 =$ 70%, p < 0.001), but not in the resistance training or combined aerobic and resistance group (both; Fig. 3). Subgroup analysis of exercise duration (Additional file 15) did not show significant VEGF changes in the subgroup with at least 4 weeks of exercise ( $\geq$  4 weeks) or single session of exercise (1 session); the  $\geq$  4 weeks subgroup showed a trend with higher VEGF after exercise (SMD [95%CI] = 0.13 [-0.003, 0.26], p = 0.06) and had reduced but still significant heterogeneity ( $\geq 4$  weeks:  $I^2 = 43\%$  p < 0.001; 1 session:  $I^2 = 69\%$  p < 0.001). Subgroup analysis of the population (Additional file 16) also did not show significant VEGF changes in the healthy, overweight, and obese population subgroups, but the healthy subgroup showed a trend with higher VEGF after exercise (SMD [95%CI] = 0.21 [-0.004, 0.42], p = 0.05) with no reduced heterogeneity (healthy:  $l^2 = 72\%$ , p < 0.001; obese:  $l^2 = 84\%$  p < 0.001; overweight:  $l^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.9). Similarly, subgroup analysis of the measurement compartment (Additional file 17) did not show significant VEGF changes in the plasma and serum subgroups. The plasma subgroup showed a trend (SMD [95%CI] = 0.42 [-0.02, 0.86], p = 0.06) but with no reduced heterogeneity (plasma: I<sup>2</sup> = 89%, p < 0.001; serum: I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p = 0.96).

Subgroup analysis of the potential risk of bias (Additional file 18) showed higher VEGF after exercise only in the low potential risk of bias studies (SMD [95%CI] = 0.22 [0.04, 0.40], p = 0.01) with no reduced heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 71\%$ , p < 0.001), but not in the unclear potential risk of bias studies. Meta-regression analyses showed no significant associations between VEGF changes and mean age, percentage of the male population, exercise dose, baseline BMI, or baseline  $VO_{2max}$  (Additional files 19–23).

## CD62E

None of the subgroup analyses showed significant differences between the subgroups in CD62E (Additional files 24–27). No population subgroup analysis was performed since the population was healthy across all studies. CD62E was higher before exercise only in the  $\geq$  4 weeks exercise duration subgroup (SMD [95%CI] = -0.86 [-1.64, -0.08], p = 0.03) with no reduced heterogeneity (l<sup>2</sup> = 88% p < 0.001) and in the serum compartment subgroup (SMD [95%CI] = -1.22 [-2.33, -0.11], p = 0.03) with no reduced heterogeneity (l<sup>2</sup> = 91% p < 0.001). No significant changes in CD62E were found in the exercise type or the potential risk of bias subgroup that had more than one comparison.

# **Endostatin and MMP9**

Endostatin was also analyzed with subgroups of exercise duration, population, measurement compartment, and potential risk of bias, yielding a similar division of the subgroups (Additional files 28-31) with significant between-group differences ( $\chi^2 = 18.4$ , p < 0.001); exercise type was aerobic across all studies, so no subgroup analysis was performed for exercise type. Among the 5 comparisons, endostatin was higher after exercise in the 1 session duration/serum compartment/low potential risk of bias subgroup (SMD [95%CI] = 0.97 [0.58, 1.36], p < 0.001) with reduced heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 0\%$  p = 0.7), but it seems to go in the opposite direction for the  $\ge 4$  weeks duration /plasma compartment/unclear potential risk of bias subgroup

at a reduced heterogeneity ( $l^2 = 0\% p = 0.9$ ) with the two comparisons included. MMP9 was also analyzed with subgroups of exercise type, duration, population, compartment, and potential risk of bias, showing no significant changes in the subgroups with more than one comparison (Additional files 32–36); none of the subgroup analyses showed significant differences between the subgroups that had more than one comparison.

### Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to examine the changes in angiogenesis markers with exercise in healthy older adults. Among the five angiogenesis markers that were included in meta-analyses, there were significant differences in VEGF and CD62E concentrations after exercise, but no differences in MMP9, FGF2, and endostatin. The increase in VEGF and the decrease in CD62E were heterogeneous with small and medium effect sizes, respectively. The high I<sup>2</sup> values in VEGF and CD62E analyses suggest heterogeneity across studies, and the inconsistency in effect estimates may be contributed by differences between studies, such as demographic variables, exercise parameters, and measurement protocols. Many other proteins could not be included in the meta-analyses but showed a trend to increase with exercise in the qualitative analysis. Our findings supported the observation that exercise can induce angiogenesis, similar to previous reviews [11, 15, 16].

## VEGF

VEGF induces angiogenesis by activating VEGF receptors, particularly VEGF receptor-2, to increase microvascular permeability, endothelial cell proliferation and migration, and the release of matrix metalloproteinases [1]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis on exercise and inflammatory markers evaluated VEGF, but only found one study from their search of randomized controlled trials, which is not enough for a meta-analysis [73]. Our meta-analysis found an increase in peripheral VEGF after exercise in exercise intervention studies. This result should be interpreted with caution as there might be potential outliers in the analysis, as suggested by the leave-one-out meta-analysis but not the standard trim-and-fill; the study characteristics of these comparisons did not reveal any noticeable differences in experimental protocols from other included studies. Although the connection between exercise-induced changes in peripheral VEGF and brain VEGF changes remains to be further investigated, animal studies showed that exercising skeletal muscle may induce VEGF and cerebral angiogenesis through the activation of lactate receptors [74, 75].

Our meta-regressions investigated the effects of demographic variables on the VEGF response. VEGF changes after exercise did not show a significant association with age or sex, although aging has been associated with decreased angiogenesis and VEGF levels [76], and females were found to have higher VEGF levels than males in adults and the elderly at baseline [77]. Although the meta-regression did not find BMI to be significantly associated with changes in VEGF concentrations after exercise, our subgroup analysis found changes in VEGF to have a trend only in the "healthy" and not overweight or obese population. Previously, serum VEGF levels were also positively correlated with BMI in non-diseased individuals [78].

Based on the current findings, age and sex may not affect the VEGF response after exercise in older adults, while it is unclear whether the response may be affected by BMI.

Variations in experimental protocols could also contribute to differences in VEGF response to exercise, as examined in our subgroup analyses. Our subgroup analyses also found that peripheral VEGF increased following aerobic exercise, but not after resistance training, similar to a previous meta-analysis which found increased peripheral BDNF after aerobic exercise but not resistance training [79]. Additionally, a previous review on exercise and angiogenesis suggested that although resistance and aerobic exercise both induce angiogenesis, the effects are stronger in aerobic exercise [11]; this could be attributed to the increased capillarity, particularly after aerobic training [80]. While there was no significant difference between the studies conducted in plasma or serum, changes in VEGF were found to have a trend only in studies conducted in plasma. Serum VEGF levels are significantly higher than plasma levels [81], and this difference could be attributed to the storage of VEGF within platelets [82] since serum VEGF levels could be increased by platelet activation in healthy and diseased states [83]. Although some evidence suggests that serum VEGF changes may be more useful than plasma VEGF in cancer patients for prognosis [83], the current evidence could not conclude whether plasma or serum is a more ideal specimen for monitoring VEGF changes with exercise in older adults. These findings suggest that the effects of exercise may differ due to cellular angiogenesis responses.

## CD62E

On the other hand, CD62E levels were found to decrease with exercise. CD62E is an adhesion molecule in vascular endothelial cells [84]. It is widely recognized as an endothelial and inflammatory marker regulating leukocyte accumulation, but it has been suggested to also mediate angiogenesis [85]. Although its role in angiogenesis remains to be clarified, the decreasing CD62E levels found with exercise in this paper may be explained by CD62E's anti-angiogenic actions [5, 6]. Our current findings differ from a recent systematic review that did not find CD62E levels to change after low-to-moderate-intensity aerobic exercise and resistance exercise, although some other adhesion molecules in that review decreased [86]. This discrepancy may reflect the inclusion of newer studies in the current meta-analysis; also, the previous paper was a qualitative review [86] and not a meta-analysis. Our results are consistent with the notion that CD62E may be more responsive to long-term exercise, as our subgroup analysis showed significant changes only in the group that exercise duration subgroup (i.e., 1 session group), thus more studies are needed to examine the effects of a single exercise session on peripheral CD62E levels. The CD62E response was also only significant in the serum subgroup and not the plasma subgroup, but this may be due to the similar inclusion of only 4-week exercise duration studies in the serum subgroup.

## Endostatin, FGF2, and MMP9

The other three proteins that were included in the meta-analyses, endostatin, FGF2, and MMP9, did not show significant changes in association with exercise, but they all had a small sample size. Notably, only

three articles were found for endostatin; two of the articles had two different participant groups, and thus, it had five comparisons in total. Exploring further, the exercise duration, population (obesity), compartment, and quality assessment subgroup analyses all showed that one article was significantly different from the other two articles with significantly opposite endostatin responses. This finding suggests that exercise and population parameters may influence endostatin response to exercise, but more research would be needed. The current findings also suggest that FGF2, which can upregulate VEGF to induce angiogenesis [87], may not be activated by exercise; alternatively, besides FGF2, VEGF can be activated by other factors and other processes, such as hypoxia inducible factor[88]. Nevertheless, the findings should be interpreted with caution despite the low heterogeneity in its analysis, since similarly to the articles with endostatin, only three articles were found for FGF2. Moreover, MMP9 only had four comparisons in total from two articles. Three of the four articles also had an unclear risk of bias. Although the high heterogeneity was lowered in subgroup analyses, the subgroups often included only one or two comparisons. Considering the high heterogeneity and the limited number of articles in the current analyses, more research is needed to examine endostatin, FGF2, and MMP9 changes with exercise.

## Limitations and future directions

A few limitations should be considered when interpreting our findings. Many proteins could not be included in a meta-analysis due to the limited studies available. Amongst the five proteins that were included in the meta-analyses, only a few studies were identified for endostatin, FGF2, and MMP9. Thus, the small sample size may have contributed to the lack of response observed, and the results of endostatin, FGF2, and MMP9 should be interpreted with caution. In addition, despite attempts made to address the differences through subgroup and meta-regression analyses, variations across the studies in study parameters such as exercise interventions and demographics may have contributed to the high heterogeneity from the meta-analyses on VEGF, CD62E, endostatin, and MMP9. Furthermore, nine of forty-four studies included in this paper had an unclear overall risk of bias, and publication bias was a concern specifically in the VEGF meta-analysis where trim-and-fill was not able to adjust the bias. Also, the protein changes observed may not be specific to angiogenesis; changes in VEGF and CD62E could reflect changes in other pathways, like inflammation. For example, CD62E has also been shown to improve endothelial inflammation [86] and exercise is known to protect endothelial function by reducing inflammatory factors [89]. Therefore, more studies analyzing the effects of exercise on various angiogenesis markers are needed. Moreover, since some angiogenesis markers have shown transient responses after exercise [19], future research could be done on the duration of exercise's effects on different angiogenesis proteins and the effects of measurement time points. Additionally, dehydration after exercise can also decrease blood volume, affecting blood concentrations [90], but the included studies in this meta-analysis did not provide enough information to examine this effect; future studies could further explore the effects of dehydration on angiogenesis levels.

#### Conclusions

This systematic review and meta-analysis of angiogenesis blood markers in healthy older adults showed changes after exercise in some angiogenesis blood markers, including VEGF and CD62E. The increase in

VEGF after exercise may differ depending on the exercise type, and potentially the blood compartment of measurements and population. The decrease in CD62E may also differ depending on exercise duration and blood compartment of measurements. Our findings suggest that multiple angiogenesis markers, including VEGF and CD62E, can change with physical exercise in older adults. However, many angiogenesis proteins were only qualitatively reviewed because they could not be included in meta-analyses, suggesting the need for further research. The study of additional angiogenesis blood markers can help elucidate the effects of exercise on angiogenesis.

#### Abbreviations

$\geq$ 4 weeks	At least 4 weeks of exercise
1 session	Single session of exercise
ANGPTL2	Angiopoietin-like protein 2
ANGPTL4	Angiopoietin-like protein 4
BMI	Body mass index
CD62E	E-selectin
CI	Confidence interval
FGF2	Fibroblast growth factor 2
HGF	Hepatocyte growth factor
HR <sub>max</sub>	Maximum heart rate
HRR	Heart rate reserve
MMP2	Matrix metalloproteinase-2
MMP9	Matrix metalloproteinase-9
PDGF-AA	Platelet derived growth factor AA
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB	Platelet derived growth factor AA Platelet derived growth factor BB
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factor
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factor
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF RPE	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertion
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF RPE SD	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviations
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF RPE SD SMD	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviationsStandardized mean differences
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF SD SD SMD TSP-1	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviationsStandardized mean differencesThrombospondin-1
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF SD SD SMD TSP-1 VEGF	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviationsStandardized mean differencesThrombospondin-1Vascular endothelial growth factor
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF SD SD SMD SMD TSP-1 VEGF-C	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviationsStandardized mean differencesThrombospondin-1Vascular endothelial growth factor C
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF SD SD SD SMD SMD TSP-1 VEGF-C VEGF-C	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviationsStandardized mean differencesThrombospondin-1Vascular endothelial growth factor CVascular endothelial growth factor D
PDGF-AA PDGF-BB PIGF PIGF SD SD SMD SMD TSP-1 VEGF-C VEGF-C VEGF-D	Platelet derived growth factor AAPlatelet derived growth factor BBPlacental growth factorPlacental growth factorRating of perceived exertionStandard deviationsStandardized mean differencesThrombospondin-1Vascular endothelial growth factor CVascular endothelial growth factor DMaximal oxygen consumption

## Declarations

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

## Funding

Not applicable.

## Authors' contributions

BXS and KL contributed to the conception and design. BXS performed literature search. BXS, GKYK, LA, CC, JB, SM performed data curation. BXS performed data analysis. BXS and LA drafted the manuscript. BXS, KL, GKYK, LA, SM, NH, DG, and WS substantively revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgement

BXS gratefully acknowledges the financial support from MITO2i and the Thomas Zachos Scholars. KLL reports grants from Alzheimer's Association (PTC-18-543823, PTCG-20-700751), Alzheimer Society of Canada, Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation (Grant No: 2016354), Canadian Institutes Health Research (PJ2-179752, PJT-183584), National Institute on Aging (R01AG046543), and Weston Brain Institute; consulting fees from BioXcel Therapeutics, Bright Minds, Cerevel Therapeutics, Eisai Co. Ltd, Exciva, ICG Pharma, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Kondor Pharma, H Lundbeck A/S, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Praxis Therapeutics, Sumimoto Pharma Co. Ltd; stock options from Highmark Interactive outside the submitted work. WS was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (PJT- 159711), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RGPIN- 2017-06962), the Weston Brain Institute, Alzheimer's Research Trust, Alzheimer's Association, and Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research (BAND3) and in part through funding from the Canada Research Chairs Program. The authors would like to thank Henry Lam for information resources and search strategy support, Jinghan Jenny Chen and Kritleen Bawa for statistical analysis support, and Abby Li and Che-Yuan Wu for data curation support. We gratefully acknowledge correspondence from Drs. Ylva Hellsten [91], Prakash Jayabalan [92], Christie Befort [93], Dahan C. Nascimento [94], Robin Daly [41], Pascal Izzicupo [62], Eric Thorin [30], Meredith Luttrell [40], Justin Crane [32], Kieran Marston [72], David McGreevy [95], David Niederseer [44], Mark Ross [60], Kurt Højlund [58], Michelle Voss [61].

#### References

- 1. Abhinand CS, Raju R, Soumya SJ, Arya PS, Sudhakaran PR. VEGF-A/VEGFR2 signaling network in endothelial cells relevant to angiogenesis. J Cell Commun Signal. 2016;10:347–54.
- 2. Kumar VBS, Binu S, Soumya SJ, Sudhakaran KH PR. Regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor by metabolic context of the cell. Glycoconj J. 2014;31:427–34.
- 3. Kim Y-M, Hwang S, Kim Y-M, Pyun B-J, Kim T-Y, Lee S-T, Gho YS, Kwon Y-G. Endostatin blocks vascular endothelial growth factor-mediated signaling via direct interaction with KDR/Flk-1. J Biol Chem. 2002;277:27872–9.
- 4. Ucuzian AA, Gassman AA, East AT, Greisler HP. Molecular Mediators of Angiogenesis. J Burn Care Res. 2010;31:158.
- Yu Y, Moulton KS, Khan MK, Vineberg S, Boye E, Davis VM, O'Donnell PE, Bischoff J, Milstone DS. (2004) E-selectin is required for the antiangiogenic activity of endostatin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101:8005–8010
- Chen Y-H, Huang Y-H, Wu H-L, Wu M-P, Chang W-T, Kuo Y-Z, Lu K-C, Wu L-W. Angiostatin K1-3 induces Eselectin via AP1 and Ets1: a mediator for anti-angiogenic action of K1-3. J Thromb Haemost. 2008;6:1953–61.
- 7. Stetler-Stevenson WG. Matrix metalloproteinases in angiogenesis: a moving target for therapeutic intervention. J Clin Invest. 1999;103:1237–41.
- 8. Hodges NA, Suarez-Martinez AD, Murfee WL. (2018) Understanding angiogenesis during aging: opportunities for discoveries and new models. J Appl Physiol (1985) 125:1843–1850
- 9. Lähteenvuo J, Rosenzweig A, Sinclair D, North B. Effects of Aging on Angiogenesis. Circul Res. 2012;110:1252–64.
- 10. Reed MJ, Edelberg JM. (2004) Impaired Angiogenesis in the Aged. Science of Aging Knowledge Environment 2004:pe7–pe7
- 11. Kwak S-E, Lee J-H, Zhang D, Song W. Angiogenesis: focusing on the effects of exercise in aging and cancer. J Exerc Nutrition Biochem. 2018;22:21–6.
- 12. Zubala A, MacGillivray S, Frost H, Kroll T, Skelton DA, Gavine A, Gray NM, Toma M, Morris J. Promotion of physical activity interventions for community dwelling older adults: A systematic review of reviews. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0180902–2.
- 13. Ruegsegger GN, Booth FW. Health Benefits of Exercise. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2018;8:a029694.

- Erickson KI, Hillman C, Stillman CM, Ballard RM, Bloodgood B, Conroy DE, Macko R, Marquez DX, Petruzzello SJ, Powell KE. Physical Activity, Cognition, and Brain Outcomes: A Review of the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51:1242–51.
- 15. Gorski T, Bock KD. Metabolic regulation of exercise-induced angiogenesis. Vascular Biology. 2019;1:H1–H8.
- 16. Kissane RW, Egginton S. Exercise-mediated angiogenesis. Curr Opin Physiol. 2019;10:193–201.
- 17. Spirandelli LC, de Soares D, Alves MB OC, et al. Influence of Exercise or Physical Activity in the Angiogenesis Process. Integr Rev OJCRR. 2020;3:1–9.
- 18. Bloor CM. Angiogenesis during exercise and training. Angiogenesis. 2005;8:263–71.
- 19. Tsai C-L, Ukropec J, Ukropcová B, Pai M-C. An acute bout of aerobic or strength exercise specifically modifies circulating exerkine levels and neurocognitive functions in elderly individuals with mild cognitive impairment. NeuroImage: Clin. 2018;17:272–84.
- Al-Jarrah M, Jamous M, Al Zailaey K, Bweir SO. Endurance exercise training promotes angiogenesis in the brain of chronic/progressive mouse model of Parkinson's Disease. NeuroRehabilitation. 2010;26:369–73.
- 21. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71.
- 22. American College of Sports Medicine. (2021) ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription, 11th edition. Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia
- 23. Walter R, Maggiorini M, Scherrer U, Contesse J, Reinhart WH. Effects of high-altitude exposure on vascular endothelial growth factor levels in man. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2001;85:113–7.
- 24. Larkin KA, Macneil RG, Dirain M, Sandesara B, Manini TM, Buford TW. (2012) Blood Flow Restriction Enhances Post–Resistance Exercise Angiogenic Gene Expression. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 44:2077–2083
- Harris JD, Brand JC, Cote MP, Dhawan A. Research Pearls: The Significance of Statistics and Perils of Pooling. Part 3: Pearls and Pitfalls of Meta-analyses and Systematic Reviews. Arthroscopy. 2017;33:1594–602.
- 26. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savović J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JAC. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.
- 27. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010;25:603–5.
- Santiago de Araújo Pio C, Marzolini S, Pakosh M, Grace SL. Effect of Cardiac Rehabilitation Dose on Mortality and Morbidity: A Systematic Review and Meta-regression Analysis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2017;92:1644–59.
- 29. Roh H-T, Cho S-Y, So W-Y. A Cross-Sectional Study Evaluating the Effects of Resistance Exercise on Inflammation and Neurotrophic Factors in Elderly Women with Obesity. J Clin Med. 2020;9:842.

- 30. Larouche J-F, Yu C, Luo X, et al. Acute High-Intensity Intermittent Aerobic Exercise Reduces Plasma Angiopoietin-Like 2 in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease. Can J Cardiol. 2015;31:1232–9.
- Windsor MT, Bailey TG, Perissiou M, Greaves K, Jha P, Leicht AS, Russell FD, Golledge J, Askew CD. (2018) Acute Inflammatory Responses to Exercise in Patients with Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 50:649–658
- 32. MacNeil LG, Tarnopolsky MA, Crane JD. Acute, Exercise-Induced Alterations in Cytokines and Chemokines in the Blood Distinguish Physically Active and Sedentary Aging. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A. 2021;76:811–8.
- 33. Izzicupo P, D'Amico MA, Di Blasio A, Napolitano G, Nakamura FY, Di Baldassarre A, Ghinassi B. (2017) Aerobic Training Improves Angiogenic Potential Independently of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Modifications in Postmenopausal Women.Frontiers in Endocrinology8
- 34. Grace FM, Herbert P, Ratcliffe JW, New KJ, Baker JS, Sculthorpe NF. Age related vascular endothelial function following lifelong sedentariness: positive impact of cardiovascular conditioning without further improvement following low frequency high intensity interval training. Physiological Rep. 2015;3:e12234.
- 35. Goldberg RB, Temprosa M, Mele L, et al. Change in adiponectin explains most of the change in HDL particles induced by lifestyle intervention but not metformin treatment in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Metabolism. 2016;65:764–75.
- 36. Sandri M, Viehmann M, Adams V, et al. Chronic heart failure and aging effects of exercise training on endothelial function and mechanisms of endothelial regeneration: Results from the Leipzig Exercise Intervention in Chronic heart failure and Aging (LEICA) study. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23:349–58.
- Adams V, Linke A, Breuckmann F, et al. Circulating progenitor cells decrease immediately after marathon race in advanced-age marathon runners. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev rehabilitation. 2008;15:602– 7.
- 38. Duggan C, Tapsoba J, de Wang D, McTiernan C-Y A. Dietary Weight Loss and Exercise Effects on Serum Biomarkers of Angiogenesis in Overweight Postmenopausal Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Cancer Res. 2016;76:4226–35.
- 39. Duggan C, Xiao L, Wang C-Y, McTiernan A. Effect of a 12-month exercise intervention on serum biomarkers of angiogenesis in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014;23:648–57.
- 40. Luttrell MJ, Mardis BR, Bock JM, Iwamoto E, Hanada S, Ueda K, Feider AJ, Temperly K, Casey D. Effect of Age and Acute Exercise on Circulating Angioregulatory Factors. J Aging Phys Act. 2020;29:423–30.
- 41. Formica MB, Gianoudis J, Nowson CA, O'Connell SL, Milte C, Ellis KA, Daly RM. Effect of lean red meat combined with a multicomponent exercise program on muscle and cognitive function in older adults: a 6-month randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2020;112:113–28.
- 42. Kennedy SA, Annett SL, Dunne MR et al. (2021) Effect of the Rehabilitation Program, ReStOre, on Serum Biomarkers in a Randomized Control Trial of Esophagogastric Cancer Survivors.Frontiers in Oncology11

- 43. Despeghel M, Reichel T, Zander J, Krüger K, Weyh C. Effects of a 6 Week Low-Dose Combined Resistance and Endurance Training on T Cells and Systemic Inflammation in the Elderly. Cells. 2021;10:843.
- 44. Niederseer D, Steidle-Kloc E, Mayr M, Müller EE, Cadamuro J, Patsch W, Dela F, Müller E, Niebauer J. Effects of a 12-week alpine skiing intervention on endothelial progenitor cells, peripheral arterial tone and endothelial biomarkers in the elderly. Int J Cardiol. 2016;214:343–7.
- 45. Pakiz B, Flatt SW, Bardwell WA, Rock CL, Mills PJ. Effects of a Weight Loss Intervention on Body Mass, Fitness, and Inflammatory Biomarkers in Overweight or Obese Breast Cancer Survivors. Int J Behav Med. 2011;18:333–41.
- 46. Kang D, Bressel E, Kim D. Effects of aquatic exercise on insulin-like growth factor-1, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, and cognitive function in elderly women. Exp Gerontol. 2020;132:110842.
- 47. Ratajczak M, Skrypnik D, Bogdański P, Mądry E, Walkowiak J, Szulińska M, Maciaszek J, Kręgielska-Narożna M, Karolkiewicz J. Effects of Endurance and Endurance–Strength Training on Endothelial Function in Women with Obesity: A Randomized Trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16:4291.
- 48. Lim S-T, Min S-K, Kwon Y-C, Park S-K, Park H. Effects of intermittent exercise on biomarkers of cardiovascular risk in night shift workers. Atherosclerosis. 2015;242:186–90.
- 49. Park W, Jung W-S, Hong K, Kim Y-Y, Kim S-W, Park H-Y. Effects of Moderate Combined Resistance- and Aerobic-Exercise for 12 Weeks on Body Composition, Cardiometabolic Risk Factors, Blood Pressure, Arterial Stiffness, and Physical Functions, among Obese Older Men: A Pilot Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:7233.
- 50. Jamka M, Bogdański P, Krzyżanowska-Jankowska P, et al. Endurance Training Depletes Antioxidant System but Does Not Affect Endothelial Functions in Women with Abdominal Obesity: A Randomized Trial with a Comparison to Endurance-Strength Training. J Clin Med. 2021;10:1639.
- Sponder M, Dangl D, Kampf S, Fritzer-Szekeres M, Strametz-Juranek J. Exercise increases serum endostatin levels in female and male patients with diabetes and controls. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2014;13:6.
- 52. Brevetti G, De Caterina M, Martone VD, Ungaro B, Corrado F, Silvestro A, de Cristofaro T, Scopacasa F. Exercise increases soluble adhesion molecules ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 in patients with intermittent claudication. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc. 2001;24:193–9.
- 53. Niebauer J, Clark AL, Webb-Peploe KM, Coats AJS. Exercise training in chronic heart failure: effects on pro-inflammatory markers. Eur J Heart Fail. 2005;7:189–93.
- 54. Thijssen DHJ, Vos JB, Verseyden C, Van Zonneveld AJ, Smits P, Sweep FCGJ, Hopman MTE, De Boer HC. Haematopoietic stem cells and endothelial progenitor cells in healthy men: effect of aging and training. Aging Cell. 2006;5:495–503.
- 55. Juliana N, Shahar S, Sahar MA, Ghazali AR, Manaf ZA, Noah RM. "Her shape" intervention programme for obese women with high breast adiposity. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2017;26:278–86.
- 56. El-Kader SMA, Al-Shreef FM, Al-Jiffri OH. Impact of aerobic exercise versus resisted exercise on endothelial activation markers and inflammatory cytokines among elderly. Afr Health Sci.

2019;19:2874-80.

- 57. Adams V, Lenk K, Linke A, Arteriosclerosis et al. Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology24:684–690
- 58. Sabaratnam R, Pedersen AJT, Kristensen JM, Handberg A, Wojtaszewski JFP, Højlund K. Intact regulation of muscle expression and circulating levels of myokines in response to exercise in patients with type 2 diabetes. Physiological Rep. 2018;6:e13723.
- 59. Brixius K, Schoenberger S, Ladage D, et al. Long-term endurance exercise decreases antiangiogenic endostatin signalling in overweight men aged 50–60 years. Br J Sports Med. 2008;42:126–9. discussion 129.
- 60. Ross MD, Malone EM, Simpson R, Cranston I, Ingram L, Wright GP, Chambers G, Florida-James G. Lower resting and exercise-induced circulating angiogenic progenitors and angiogenic T cells in older men. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2018;314:H392–H402.
- 61. Voss MW, Erickson KI, Prakash RS, et al. Neurobiological markers of exercise-related brain plasticity in older adults. Brain Behav Immun. 2013;28:90–9.
- 62. Izzicupo P, D'Amico MA, Di Blasio A, Napolitano G, Di Baldassarre A, Ghinassi B. Nordic walking increases circulating VEGF more than traditional walking training in postmenopause. Climacteric. 2017;20:533–9.
- 63. Maass A, Düzel S, Brigadski T, et al. Relationships of peripheral IGF-1, VEGF and BDNF levels to exercise-related changes in memory, hippocampal perfusion and volumes in older adults. NeuroImage. 2016;131:142–54.
- 64. Bruserud Ø, Grovan F, Lindås R, Blymke Møinichen C, Østerhus KK. Serum levels of angioregulatory mediators in healthy individuals depend on age and physical activity: Studies of angiogenin, basic fibroblast growth factor, leptin and endostatin. Scand J Clin Lab Investig. 2005;65:505–12.
- 65. Li G, Zhang H, Ryan AS. Skeletal Muscle Angiopoietin-Like Protein 4 and Glucose Metabolism in Older Adults after Exercise and Weight Loss. Metabolites. 2020;10:354.
- 66. Kolasa-Trela R, Konieczynska M, Bazanek M, Undas A. Specific changes in circulating cytokines and growth factors induced by exercise stress testing in asymptomatic aortic valve stenosis. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0173787.
- 67. Yasar Z, Elliott BT, Kyriakidou Y, Nwokoma CT, Postlethwaite RD, Gaffney CJ, Dewhurst S, Hayes LD. Sprint interval training (SIT) reduces serum epidermal growth factor (EGF), but not other inflammatory cytokines in trained older men. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2021;121:1909–19.
- 68. Cho S-Y, Roh H-T. Taekwondo Enhances Cognitive Function as a Result of Increased Neurotrophic Growth Factors in Elderly Women. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16:962.
- 69. Chupel MU, Minuzzi LG, Furtado GE, Santos ML, Ferreira JP, Filaire E, Teixeira AM. Taurine supplementation reduces myeloperoxidase and matrix-metalloproteinase-9 levels and improves the effects of exercise in cognition and physical fitness in older women. Amino Acids. 2021;53:333–45.
- 70. Park J, Nakamura Y, Kwon Y, Park H, Kim E, Park S. The Effect of Combined Exercise Training on Carotid Artery Structure and Function, and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (vegf) in Obese Older Women. Japanese J Phys Fit Sports Med. 2010;59:495–504.

- 71. Brenner DR, Ruan Y, Adams SC, Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM. The impact of exercise on growth factors (VEGF and FGF2): results from a 12-month randomized intervention trial. Eur Rev Aging Phys Activity. 2019;16:8.
- 72. Marston KJ, Brown BM, Rainey-Smith SR, Bird S, Wijaya L, Teo SYM, Laws SM, Martins RN, Peiffer JJ. Twelve weeks of resistance training does not influence peripheral levels of neurotrophic growth factors or homocysteine in healthy adults: a randomized-controlled trial. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2019;119:2167– 76.
- 73. Titus J, Bray NW, Kamkar N, Camicioli R, Nagamatsu LS, Speechley M, Montero-Odasso M. The role of physical exercise in modulating peripheral inflammatory and neurotrophic biomarkers in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Mech Ageing Dev. 2021;194:111431.
- 74. Ballard HJ. Exercise makes your brain bigger: skeletal muscle VEGF and hippocampal neurogenesis. J Physiol. 2017;595:5721–2.
- 75. Morland C, Andersson KA, Haugen OP, et al. Exercise induces cerebral VEGF and angiogenesis via the lactate receptor HCAR1. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15557.
- 76. Moriya J, Minamino T. Angiogenesis, Cancer, and Vascular Aging. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2017;4:65.
- 77. Malamitsi-Puchner A, Tziotis J, Tsonou A, Protonotariou E, Sarandakou A, Creatsas G. Changes in Serum Levels of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor in Males and Females Throughout Life. J Soc Gynecol Investig. 2000;7:309–12.
- 78. Jaswal S, Kaur H, Kaur J, Gupta S. (2018) Association of BMI with markers of angiogenesis in healthy population.
- 79. Dinoff A, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Liu CS, Sherman C, Chan S, Lanctôt KL. The Effect of Exercise Training on Resting Concentrations of Peripheral Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF): A Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0163037.
- 80. Prior BM, Yang HT, Terjung RL. What makes vessels grow with exercise training? J Appl Physiol. 2004;97:1119–28.
- 81. Webb NJ, Bottomley MJ, Watson CJ, Brenchley PE. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is released from platelets during blood clotting: implications for measurement of circulating VEGF levels in clinical disease. Clin Sci (Lond). 1998;94:395–404.
- 82. Banks RE, Forbes MA, Kinsey SE, Stanley A, Ingham E, Walters C, Selby PJ. Release of the angiogenic cytokine vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) from platelets: significance for VEGF measurements and cancer biology. Br J Cancer. 1998;77:956–64.
- 83. Lee JK, Hong YJ, Han CJ, Hwang DY, Hong SI. Clinical usefulness of serum and plasma vascular endothelial growth factor in cancer patients: which is the optimal specimen? Int J Oncol. 2000;17:149– 201.
- 84. Roldán V, Marín F, Lip GYH, Blann AD. Soluble E-selectin in cardiovascular disease and its risk factors. Thromb Haemost. 2003;90:1007–20.
- Koch AE, Halloran MM, Haskell CJ, Shah MR, Polverini PJ. Angiogenesis mediated by soluble forms of E-selectin and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1. Nature. 1995;376:517–9.

- 86. Koh Y, Park J. Cell adhesion molecules and exercise. J Inflamm Res. 2018;11:297–306.
- 87. Seghezzi G, Patel S, Ren CJ, Gualandris A, Pintucci G, Robbins ES, Shapiro RL, Galloway AC, Rifkin DB, Mignatti P. Fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) induces vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression in the endothelial cells of forming capillaries: an autocrine mechanism contributing to angiogenesis. J Cell Biol. 1998;141:1659–73.
- 88. Ahluwalia A, Tarnawski AS. Critical role of hypoxia sensor–HIF-1α in VEGF gene activation. Implications for angiogenesis and tissue injury healing. Curr Med Chem. 2012;19:90–7.
- 89. Gao J, Pan X, Li G, Chatterjee E, Xiao J. Physical Exercise Protects Against Endothelial Dysfunction in Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases. J of Cardiovasc Trans Res. 2022;15:604–20.
- 90. Maughan RJ, Leiper JB, Shirreffs SM. Restoration of fluid balance after exercise-induced dehydration: effects of food and fluid intake. Europ J Appl Physiol. 1996;73:317–25.
- 91. Hoier B, Walker M, Passos M, Walker PJ, Green A, Bangsbo J, Askew CD, Hellsten Y. (2013) Angiogenic response to passive movement and active exercise in individuals with peripheral arterial disease. J Appl Physiol (1985) 115:1777–1787
- 92. Jayabalan P, Bergman R, Jauregui E, Hanaoka C, Stoker AM. Acute Physiological Effects of Continuous Versus Intermittent Walking During Golf in Individuals With Knee Osteoarthritis: A Pilot Study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2022;101:460–7.
- 93. Befort CA, Kimler BF, Bantis LE, Phillips TA, Fabian CJ. Effects of Weight Loss and Weight Regain on Circulating Biomarkers in Overweight/Obese Breast Cancer Survivors Enrolled in a Weight Loss Trial in the Rural Midwest. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2020;29:1321–8.
- 94. Nascimento D, Navalta C, Durigan JW, Marqueti JLQ, de Tibana R, Luiz Franco RA, de Almeida O, Camarço JA, Neto NF, de Prestes IV J. Acute eccentric resistance exercise decreases matrix metalloproteinase activity in obese elderly women. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2016;36:139–45.
- McGreevy DT, Dogan S, Oscarsson V, Vergari M, Eliasson K, Hörer TM, Nilsson KF, Norgren L. Metabolic Response to Claudication in Peripheral Arterial Disease: A Microdialysis Pilot Study. Ann Vasc Surg. 2019;58:134–41.

#### Figures

	1	After Exe	rcise	B	efore Exe	ercise		Hedges's g	Weight		
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD		with 95% CI	(%)		
Adams 2004	11	15.8	9.9	11	14.0	9.9		0.17 [ -0.63, 0.9	8] 2.02		
Adams 2008	68	34.0	61.9	68	48.9	66.0		-0.23 [ -0.57, 0.1	0] 3.59		
Brenner 2019a	58	74.0	76.1	58	70.3	70.0		0.05 [ -0.31, 0.4	1] 3.50		
Brenner 2019b	80	58.0	51.9	80	60.6	48.3		-0.05 [ -0.36, 0.2	6] 3.69		
Brixius 2008a	7	1.5	0.5	7	1.3	1.1		0.22 [ -0.76, 1.2	1] 1.60		
Brixius 2008b	7	1.5	0.5	7	1.6	0.8		-0.14 [ -1.12, 0.8	4] 1.60		
Cho 2019	19	146.6	43.1	19	140.0	42.4		0.15 [ -0.47, 0.7	7] 2.56		
Despeghel 2021	30	15.0	6.5	30	22.1	16.1		-0.57 [ -1.08, -0.0	6] 2.96		
Duggan 2014	83	320.8	194.6	85	325.0	194.7		-0.02 [ -0.32, 0.2	8] 3.71		
Duggan 2016	117	297.9	198.8	117	307.5	205.9		-0.05 [ -0.30, 0.2	1] 3.86		
Formica 2020	72	409.0	396.1	77	442.7	578.7		-0.07 [ -0.39, 0.2	5] 3.65		
Grace 2015a	22	327.0	120.0	22	248.0	93.0		0.72 [ 0.12, 1.3	2] 2.64		
Grace 2015b	17	134.0	80.0	17	129.0	64.0		0.07 [ -0.59, 0.7	2] 2.45		
Izzicupo 2017 (1)a	34	41.4	6.8	34	40.1	3.7	-	0.24 [ -0.23, 0.7	2] 3.10		
Izzicupo 2017 (2)a	15	40.2	0.7	15	39.7	0.8	<b>—</b>	0.74 [ 0.02, 1.4	7] 2.25		
Izzicupo 2017 (2)b	15	42.5	1.5	15	38.2	0.4		- 3.70 [ 2.54, 4.8	7] 1.27		
Jamka 2021a	44	459.0	265.0	44	475.0	268.0		-0.06 [ -0.47, 0.3	5] 3.31		
Jamka 2021b	41	492.0	275.0	41	482.0	256.0		0.04 [ -0.39. 0.4	71 3.26		
Kang 2020	10	198.1	20.3	10	189.9	29.5		0.31 [ -0.53. 1.1	6] 1.91		
Kennedy 2021	18	84.7	50.7	20	98.0	124.5		-0.13 [ -0.76. 0.4	91 2.56		
Kolasa-Trela 2017	32	34.0	6.2	32	26.4	3.5		1.49 [ 0.94, 2.0	41 2.82		
Luttrell 2020	9	409.8	389.1	9	320.6	287.4	_	0.25 [ -0.64. 1.1	31 1.82		
Maass 2016	21	351.4	225.0	18	351.8	183.2	_	-0.00 [ -0.62, 0.6	11 2.58		
MacNeil 2020a	13	82.0	44.2	13	74.6	51.4		0.15 [ -0.60. 0.9	01 2.18		
MacNeil 2020b	9	120.7	133.6	9	134.3	148.8	_	-0.09 [ -0.97, 0.7	91 1.83		
Marston 2019a	13	94.1	62.3	14	94.7	70.6		-0.01 [ -0.74. 0.7	21 2.22		
Marston 2019b	12	93.0	92.3	15	77.7	69.2		0.18 [ -0.55, 0.9	2] 2.21		
Pakiz 2011	42	33.5	27.0	42	29.3	21.8	-	0.17 [ -0.25. 0.5	91 3.27		
Park 2010	10	28.6	7.2	10	10.8	5.3	T	2.72 [ 1.53, 3.9	11 1.24		
Park 2020	10	31.2	3.7	10	32.7	7.1		-0.25 [ -1.10. 0.5	91 1.92		
Rataiczak 2019a	22	110.8	82.9	22	107.1	47.4		0.05 [ -0.53, 0.6	31 2.71		
Rataiczak 2019b	17	128.7	80.4	17	113.7	55.0	_	0.21 [ -0.45, 0.8	71 2.45		
Roh 2020	13	62.6	13.3	13	58.1	11.1		0.36 [ -0.40, 1.1	1] 2.17		
Ross 2018	9	39.7	64.7	9	27.6	41.4		0.21 [ -0.67, 1.1	0] 1.82		
Sandri 2016	15	96.0	100.7	15	86.0	73.6	_	0.11 [ -0.59. 0.8	11 2.33		
Thiissen 2006a	7	4878.8	6609.0	7	5820.5	7265.7		-0.13 [ -1.11. 0.8	5] 1.60		
Thijssen 2006b	7	6080.3	7431.7	7	5820.5	7265.7		0.03 [ -0.95, 1.0	11 1.61		
Voss 2013a	28	509.3	314.5	26	453.6	205.4		0.21 [ -0.32. 0.7	31 2.89		
Voss 2013b	31	318.3	233.7	30	273.3	228.2	-	0,19[-0.30. 0.6	9] 3.01		
Yasar 2021	9	152.0	80.0	9	191.0	123.0		-0.36 [ -1.25. 0.5	3] 1.81		
Overall	2							0.18 [ 0.02 0.3	41		
Heterogeneitur z <sup>2</sup> -	0 15	2 - 66 00	0/ ⊔ <sup>2</sup> - 1	2 01				0.10[ 0.03, 0.3	-1		
Test of $\theta = 0$ , $O(20) = 106.05$ , $\theta = 0.00$ Higher before exercise Higher after exercise											
Tott of $P = 0$ , $Q(0) = 10000$											
1651 01 0 = 0. 2 = 2.	29, p -	0.02				c					

Random-effects REML model Sorted by: Study

#### Figure 1

Meta-analysis of resting VEGF concentrations pre-and-post exercise. Higher VEGF was found after exercise.



Sorted by: Study

#### Figure 2

Meta-analysis of resting CD62E concentrations pre-and-post exercise. Higher CD62E was found before exercise.

	/	After Exer	rcise	B	efore Exe	ercise			Hedges's	g	Weight
Study	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD			with 95%	CI	(%)
aerobic	1.12										
Adams 2004	11	15.8	9.9	11	14.0	9.9			0.17 [ -0.63,	0.98]	2.02
Adams 2008	68	34.0	61.9	68	48.9	66.0			-0.23 [ -0.57,	0.10]	3.59
Brenner 2019a	58	74.0	76.1	58	70.3	70.0			0.05 [ -0.31,	0.41]	3.50
Brenner 2019b	80	58.0	51.9	80	60.6	48.3			-0.05 [ -0.36,	0.26]	3.69
Brixius 2008a	-	1.5	0.5	1	1.3	1.1			0.22[-0.76,	1.21]	1.60
Che 2010	10	1.0	0.5	10	140.0	42.4			-0.14 [-1.12,	0.84]	1.60
Cho 2019	02	220.9	404.6	19	225.0	42.4			0.15[-0.47,	0.201	2.50
Duggan 2014	117	207 0	194.0	117	307.5	205.9	-		-0.02 [ -0.32,	0.20]	3.86
Grace 2015a	22	327.0	120.0	22	248.0	203.9			0.72 [ 0.12	1 321	2.64
Grace 2015b	17	134.0	80.0	17	129.0	64.0			0.07 [ -0.59	0.721	2.04
Izzicuno 2017 (1)a	34	41.4	6.8	34	40.1	3.7	-		0.24 [ -0.23	0.721	3 10
Izzicupo 2017 (2)a	15	40.2	0.7	15	39.7	0.8			0.74 [ 0.02	1.471	2.25
Izzicupo 2017 (2)b	15	42.5	1.5	15	38.2	0.4	-	_	3 70 [ 2 54	4 871	1 27
Jamka 2021a	44	459.0	265.0	44	475.0	268.0	-	1.1	-0.06[-0.47	0.351	3.31
Kang 2020	10	198.1	20.3	10	189.9	29.5			0.31 [ -0.53	1.161	1.91
Kolasa-Trela 2017	32	34.0	6.2	32	26.4	3.5	-		1.49 [ 0.94.	2.041	2.82
Luttrell 2020	9	409.8	389.1	9	320.6	287.4			0.25 [ -0.64.	1.131	1.82
Maass 2016	21	351.4	225.0	18	351.8	183.2	_		-0.00 [ -0.62.	0.611	2.58
MacNeil 2020a	13	82.0	44.2	13	74.6	51.4	_		0.15 [ -0.60.	0.901	2.18
MacNeil 2020b	9	120.7	133.6	9	134.3	148.8	_		-0.09 [ -0.97.	0.791	1.83
Ratajczak 2019a	22	110.8	82.9	22	107.1	47.4			0.05 [ -0.53,	0.63]	2.71
Ross 2018	9	39.7	64.7	9	27.6	41.4	_		0.21 [ -0.67,	1.10]	1.82
Sandri 2016	15	96.0	100.7	15	86.0	73.6			0.11 [ -0.59,	0.81]	2.33
Thijssen 2006a	7	4878.8	6609.0	7	5820.5	7265.7			-0.13 [ -1.11,	0.85]	1.60
Thijssen 2006b	7	6080.3	7431.7	7	5820.5	7265.7			0.03 [ -0.95,	1.01]	1.61
Voss 2013a	28	509.3	314.5	26	453.6	205.4	-		0.21 [ -0.32,	0.73]	2.89
Yasar 2021	9	152.0	80.0	9	191.0	123.0			-0.36 [ -1.25,	0.53]	1.81
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0$ .	17, l <sup>2</sup>	= 70.23%	$H^2 = 3.$	36			٠		0.22 [ 0.02,	0.42]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(27)	= 78.4	4, p = 0.0	00								
both							1000				
Despeghel 2021	30	15.0	6.5	30	22.1	16.1			-0.57 [ -1.08,	-0.06]	2.96
Formica 2020	72	409.0	396.1	77	442.7	578.7	-		-0.07 [ -0.39,	0.25]	3.65
Jamka 2021b	41	492.0	275.0	41	482.0	256.0			0.04 [ -0.39,	0.47]	3.26
Kennedy 2021	18	84.7	50.7	20	98.0	124.5	-		-0.13 [ -0.76,	0.49]	2.56
Park 2010	10	28.6	7.2	10	10.8	5.3	_		2.72 [ 1.53,	3.91]	1.24
Park 2020	10	31.2	3.7	10	32.7	7.1			-0.25 [ -1.10,	0.59]	1.92
Ratajczak 2019b	1/	128.7	80.4	1/	113.7	55.0			0.21 [ -0.45,	0.87]	2.45
Heterogeneity: $T = 0$ .	07,1	= 89.01%	₀, H = 9.	03					0.18 [ -0.48,	0.84]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : $Q(\theta) =$	25.85	, p = 0.00	,								
resistance training											
Marston 2019a	13	94.1	62.3	14	94.7	70.6			-0.01 [ -0.74,	0.72]	2.22
Marston 2019b	12	93.0	92.3	15	77.7	69.2	_		0.18 [ -0.55,	0.92]	2.21
Roh 2020	13	62.6	13.3	13	58.1	11.1			0.36 [ -0.40,	1.11]	2.17
Voss 2013b	31	318.3	233.7	30	273.3	228.2	-		0.19 [ -0.30,	0.69]	3.01
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0$ .	00, I <sup>2</sup>	= 0.00%,	$H^2 = 1.0$	0					0.18 [ -0.14,	0.51]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_i$ : Q(3) =	0.47,	p = 0.93					T I			1100000	
unspecified											
Pakiz 2011	42	33.5	27.0	42	29.3	21.8	-		0.17 [ -0.25,	0.59]	3.27
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0$ .	00, I <sup>2</sup>	= .%, H <sup>2</sup>	= .						0.17 [ -0.25,	0.59]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(0) =	0.00,	p = .									
Overall									0.18 0.03,	0.34]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0$ .	15, I <sup>2</sup>	= 66.83%	6, H <sup>2</sup> = 3.	01							
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(39) = 106.95, p = 0.00						before ex	ercise Highe	r after exercise			
Test of aroun differen	COP. (	(3) = 0	07 n = 1	00							
rear or group diligien	s. U	$e_0(0) = 0.1$	or, p = 1.			5	2 0	2 4 4	3		

Random-effects REML model Sorted by: Study

#### Figure 3

Sub-group analysis of exercise type on resting VEGF concentrations pre-and-post exercise. Studies either had aerobic exercise (SMD [95%CI] = 0.22 [0.02, 0.42], p = 0.03), resistance training (SMD [95%CI] = 0.18 [-0.14, 0.51], p = 0.3), a combination of both aerobic and resistance training (both: SMD [95%CI] = 0.18 [-0.48, 0.84], p = 0.6), or did not specify the exercise intervention (unspecified: only one comparison).

#### **Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

• AEMetaadditionalfilesall.docx