# scientific reports

### **OPEN**



## **Metabolic changes in bile acids with pregnancy progression and their correlation with perinatal complications in intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnant patients**

**Zhixin Ma1,2,4, Yifeng Liu1,4, Lin Chai1,2,4, Guochen Jin1,2, Yanni Sun1,2, Shaomin Zhou1,2,**   ${\sf Pe}$ iyuan Yin $^3$ , Siwen Wang $^1$ , Yuning Zhu $^{1,2}$ , Dan Zhang $^1$ , Shiming Lu $^{1,2\boxtimes}$  & Bo Zhu $^{1,2\boxtimes}$ 

**Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) is a rare liver disease occurring during pregnancy that is characterized by disordered bile acid (BA) metabolism. It is related to adverse clinical outcomes in both the mother and fetus. Our aim was to evaluate the BA metabolism profles in diferent types of ICP and investigate the association between specifc BAs and perinatal complications in ICP patients. We consecutively evaluated 95 patients with ICP, in which 53 patients were diagnosed with early-onset ICP (EICP) and 42 patients were diagnosed with late-onset ICP (LICP). Concentrations of 15 BA components were detected using high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Clinical information was abstracted from the medical records. The percentage of conjugated bile acids increased in ICP patients. Specifcally, taurocholic acid (TCA) accumulated in LICP patients, and glycocholic acid (GCA) predominated in EICP patients. A higher preterm birth incidence was observed among ICP patients. Albumin, total bile acids, total bilirubin and GCA percentage values at ICP diagnosis predicts 83.5% of preterm birth in EICP, and the percentage of TCA in total bile acids at ICP diagnosis predicts 93.2% of preterm birth in LICP. This analysis showed that the BA metabolism profles of EICP and LICP were distinct. Increased hepatic load was positively correlated with preterm birth in EICP. An elevated TCA percentage in total bile acids provides a biomarker to predict preterm birth in LICP.**

### **Abbreviations**



<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Reproductive Genetics, and Women's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. <sup>2</sup>Clinical Prenatal Diagnosis Center, Women's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. <sup>3</sup>Clinical Laboratory of Integrative Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China. <sup>4</sup>These authors contributed equally: Zhixin Ma, Yifeng Liu and Lin Chai. <sup>⊠</sup>email: lusm@ zju.edu.cn; 5202054@zju.edu.cn



Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) is a rare liver disease occurring during pregnancy; ICP manifests as pruritus and elevated total bile acid (TBA) concentrations, and afects approximately 0.3–27% of pregnant women worldwide<sup>[1,](#page-9-0)[2](#page-9-1)</sup>. The etiology of ICP is still unknown. Genetics, environmental factors and changes in hormone profles during pregnancy may all lead to ICP[3](#page-9-2) . ICP was once considered a benign situation as the symptoms rapidly resolved after delivery<sup>[4](#page-9-3)</sup>. However, researchers have confirmed that pregnant women with ICP are more susceptible to preeclampsia and gestational diabetes mellitus<sup>[5,](#page-9-4)[6](#page-9-5)</sup>. ICP, especially early-onset ICP (EICP), is associated with adverse perinatal complications, including preterm birth (PTB), stillbirth and even long-term metabolic disorders, which may be attributable to the accumulation of maternal bile acids (BAs) in the fetus and the long exposure time in utero $7-9$ .

Maternal serum TBA≥10 μmol/L has diagnostic signifcance in ICP, and the incidence of poor pregnancy outcomes increases with increasing TBA[4](#page-9-3),[10,](#page-9-8)[11.](#page-9-9) Studies have suggested maternal TBA≥40 μmol/L as a threshold for predicting the occurrence of fetal complications, with each additional 1-μmol/L of TBA increasing the incidence of fetal complications by 1–2%. Furthermore, TBA ≥100 µmol/L increased the risk of stillbirth $9.12$ . BA metabolism profle analysis has revealed that cholic acid (CA), chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) and their glycine and taurine conjugated forms are dominant in TBA of ICP<sup>[13,](#page-9-11)14</sup>. However, studies uncovering the association between specifc BAs and the incidence of perinatal complications, particularly preterm birth, which is the most frequent, are still lacking. Since BA metabolism changes with the progression of pregnancy[15](#page-9-13), it is necessary to understand the diferences in BA profles in patients with EICP and late-onset ICP (LICP), as this is critical to understanding why diferent types of ICP lead to diferent PTB incidences.

In this study, we frst evaluated the characteristics of BA metabolism profles in sera from patients with diferent types of ICP using high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC‒MS). Second, according to the unique BA metabolism profles in ICP patients with PTB, we investigated whether changes in specifc BAs were associated with the incidence of PTB in ICP patients, which may help to provide potential valuable biomarkers for early intervention for patients with ICP in clinical practice.

#### **Materials and methods**

**Patients and sample collection.** Pregnant women admitted to Women's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine (ZJUWH) were screened and consulted to participate in the study. Women with ICP were diagnosed according to the ICP guidelines from the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists edition  $II<sup>16</sup>$ . The exclusion criteria were as follows: women who underwent in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer; elevated liver enzymes and low platelet syndrome; multiple pregnancy; preeclampsia; or other hepatic diseases and diseases afecting liver function tests. From July 2019 to May 2020, 53 patients with ICP with gestational age<28 weeks (defned as the EICP group) and 42 ICP patients with gestational age≥28 weeks (defned as the LICP group) were enrolled in this study. Healthy pregnant women were 1:1 matched for gestational age (defned as the ENC and LNC groups) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) treatment commenced afer diagnosis in all patients with ICP. Te clinical information of the participants is shown in Table [1](#page-2-1). Samples were obtained in a fasting state at primary diagnosis of ICP (pre-UDCA treatment). Afer centrifugation, the sera were stored at −80 °C until analysis.

2



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure 1. Flowchart showing case enrollment and the completeness of reporting.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of 190 participants according to ICP diagnosis, 2019–2020.

**Bile acid measurement.** The measurement of BAs was described previously but with minor modifications<sup>[15](#page-9-13)</sup>. Reference standards of cholic acid (CA), chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), deoxycholic acid (DCA), lithocholic acid (LCA), glycocholic acid (GCA), taurocholic acid (TCA), glycochenodeoxycholic acid (GCDCA), taurochenodeoxycholic acid (TCDCA), glycoursodeoxycholic acid (GUDCA), tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), glycodeoxycholic acid (GDCA), taurodeoxycholic acid (TDCA), taurolithocholic acid (TLCA), and glylithocholic acid (GLCA) were commercially available from TRC Inc. (Toronto, Canada) and Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, USA).

A total of 100 μl of serum from each participant was mixed with 300 μl of standard solution, quality control acetonitrile and 10 μl of internal standard (IS). Afer vortexing for 1 min and centrifuging at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 min, 100 μl of supernatant was applied for LC‒MS analysis. BA analysis was performed by ultra-highperformance liquid chromatography (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) coupled to TRIPLE QUAD 5500 (AB Sciex, Framingham, USA). All chromatographic separations were performed with a Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (1.7 µm, 3 mm × 50 mm) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). The collision energy for each BA is listed in Supplemental Table S1.

**Statistical analysis.** PeakView 2.0 (AB Sciex) was used to identify compound identities. Analyst software v1.6.0 (SCIEX, Framingham, USA) was used to quantify confirmed compounds. Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test were used to evaluate the diference among groups for independent samples. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Kruskal–Wallis test was used in the case of more than two groups of continuous variables. BA data of participants were averaged according to the gestational ages and then normalized for the generation of a heatmap. Logistic regression was performed with IBM SPSS 18.0. A receiver operating characteristic curve was generated with MedCalc<sup>17</sup>. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0. *P*<0.05 was considered statistically signifcant.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate.** The study was approved by the Ethics committee of ZJUWH (No. 20170059), in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, written informed consent forms were signed by all the participants.

Results<br>Changes in the bile acid metabolism profile in patients with ICP compared with normal pregnant women. The TBA detected by LC-MS was consistent with the results measured by enzymatic cycling assay in all participants (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)A). In the normal BA pool, primary BAs, secondary BAs, and glycine- and taurineconjugated BAs represented 13.67%, 18.88%, 46.74% and 20.71%, respectively (Figs. [2](#page-4-0)B, S1A). In patients with ICP, those four subgroups of BAs represented 11.61%, 5.08%, 50.48% and 32.82% of BAs, respectively (Figs. [2](#page-4-0)C, S1B). The concentrations of almost all BA components, except UDCA and LCA, surged remarkably (Fig. S1C). Nevertheless, compared with those of healthy pregnant women, the percentages derived from the TBA assay revealed that CA and CDCA remained stable and that DCA, LCA and UDCA all decreased signifcantly in patients with ICP. Such changes resulted in a signifcant decrease in the percentages of TLCA, GLCA, TDCA and GDCA and among the conjugated secondary BAs. Since the metabolic pathway of CA was switched to form conjugated CA, the proportions of both GCA and TCA were signifcantly elevated in ICP patients (Fig. [2D](#page-4-0)).

**Clinical information and bile acid metabolism characteristics changed with pregnancy progression in normal pregnant women and ICP patients.** The clinical information revealed that hepatic and renal function and fatty acid metabolism varied with the increased pregnancy load, regardless of ICP diagnosis. During the second and third trimesters, although indicators remained within the reference range, the liver and renal load increased more in patients with ICP. Total protein (TP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), total bilirubin (TB), direct bilirubin (DB), indirect bilirubin (IDB), creatinine (CR) and urea (UREA) were elevated in the ICP group. Among all pregnant women, albumin (ALB) showed a decreasing trend as gestational age increased, while CR, uric acid (URIC), triglycerides (TGs), and total cholesterol (TCH) showed an increasing trend with pregnancy progression (Table [2](#page-5-0)).

To further understand the BA metabolism profles of diferent types of ICP, we examined the BA spectrum in normal pregnant women. Although TBA homeostasis was sustained throughout the second and third trimesters, changes in BA components occurred. Specifcally, in the second trimester, unconjugated BAs predominated in serum BA pools, whereas conjugated components progressively increased as the pregnancy advanced in the third trimester (Figs. [3](#page-6-0)A-1, S2A). Interestingly, a similar trend was also observed in patients with ICP. The changes in certain BAs were signifcantly correlated with gestational age (Figs. [3A](#page-6-0)-2, S2B). Both the concentrations and ratios of total G and GCDCA in TBA, UDCA and G/T decreased, whereas total T increased with increasing gestational age (Fig. [3B](#page-6-0)–K). It is worth noting that such changes indicate that there is a discrepancy between EICP and LICP in BA metabolism profles.

#### **Characteristics of bile acid metabolism profles in early‑onset ICP (EICP) and late‑onset ICP**

**(LICP).** Virtually, the BA profles in EICP and LICP were not only diferent from those of normal pregnant women but also distinguishable from each other. In EICP serum, the concentrations of 14 BAs were increased, with LCA being the exception. LCA/CDCA and DCA/CA were signifcantly decreased (Fig. S3A). In LICP serum, the concentrations of 13 BAs were elevated, with LCA and UDCA being the exceptions, and that of G/T was decreased (Fig. S3B). The percentages of TCA, GCA, total T and conjugated BAs were elevated. The percentages of TLCA, GLCA, UDCA, DCA, LCA and unconjugated BAs were decreased in both the EICP and LICP groups (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)A,B). Compared with patients with EICP, those with LICP had lower concentrations of unconjugated BAs, including UDCA, CDCA and DCA. Conjugated BA levels, including TCDCA, GCDCA, GCA and total G, were decreased in patients with LICP (Fig. S3C). However, the percentages of GCA, GCDCA and total G were decreased, while the percentages of TCA, TCDCA, TDCA, TLCA and total T were increased, which resulted in decreased G/T in LICP (Fig. [4C](#page-7-0)).

**Incidence of perinatal complications among patients with ICP compared with normal preg- nant women.** In Table [3,](#page-8-0) we show that patients with ICP had a higher incidence of preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction, but the diference was not signifcant. In addition, the EICP group tended to further develop gestational diabetes mellitus, although the diference was not signifcant. Of note, exposure to ICP was associated with a higher PTB rate (EICP: 24.53% vs. ENC: 3.77%; LICP: 16.67% vs. LNC: 0%), fewer gestational weeks (EICP: 38, (36, 38) vs. ENC: 39, (38, 40); LICP: 38, (37, 39) vs. LNC: 39, (38, 40), especially in the EICP group

4



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 2. Correlation of TBA detected by cycling enzymatic assay and LC–MS in all the participants. The green and red dots represent normal pregnant women and women with ICP, respectively (**A**). Pie charts show the percentage variation of BA individuals in TBA between NC (**B**) and ICP patients (**C**), (P: primary BAs, S: secondary BAs, G: glycine conjugated BAs, T: taurine conjugated BAs). T test of BA metabolism changes compared with the normal control (**D**). \**P*<0.05; \*\**P*<0.01; \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001.

(EICP: 38, (36, 38) vs. LICP: 38, (37, 39); however, no diference in fetal birthweight was observed among participants.

**Potential increase in predictive values of bile acids for preterm birth.** Logistic regression revealed that elevated TBA, a high percentage of GCA in TBA, and increased serum ALB, TB, DB and IB levels were risk factors associated with PTB in the EICP group (Table S2). The single-parameter models to predict PTB had similar areas under the curve (Table S3). The inclusion of TBA, GCA percentage in TBA, ALB and TB into one model signifcantly increased the AUC to 0.84 (95% CI 0.71 to 0.92, *P*<0.001) (Fig. [5](#page-8-1)A). In the LICP group, the single TCA percentage in TBA obtained an AUC of 0.93 (95% CI 0.76 to 0.99, *P* < 0.001) (Fig. [5B](#page-8-1)) (Table S4). Although renal function-related parameters HDL, TCDCA and total T were also related to PTB in LICP (Table S5), combining them with TCA did not improve the AUC and 95% CI in multiple prediction models.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2.** Characteristics of enrolled normal pregnant women and patients with ICP grouped by gestational age.

#### **Discussion**

ICP has been reported to increase perinatal complications, including PTB and even intrauterine fetal death. Tis study was designed to study the metabolic features of BAs in patients with ICP during the second and third trimesters and then aimed to discover potential biochemical markers to screen for PTB. Our data are in line with previous fndings that ICP patients are more likely to experience PTB and that increased serum TBA concentrations are correlated with a higher risk of PTB. More importantly, we further demonstrated that an elevated percentage of GCA in TBA and ALB, TBA and TB is related to an elevated PTB rate among patients with EICP. Increased TCA percentages can be used to predict PTB in the LICP group.

Maternal circular TBA elevation has been proven to be positively correlated with the incidence of fetal compli-cations, including PTB and stillbirth in ICP<sup>[18,](#page-9-16)19</sup>. However, the mechanism of ICP-induced PTB is still uncertain. Tis efect seems to be related to the accumulation of BAs in the fetal compartment and the increased reaction of the myometrium to BAs. Afer exposure to BAs from patients with ICP, myometrial cells isolated from women without ICP had increased sensitivity to oxytocin<sup>20</sup>. The oxytocin signaling pathway is abnormally activated, leading to the upregulation of oxytocin receptor expression in ICP<sup>21</sup>. Except for TBAs, certain BA components are also related to PTB. Deng and his colleagues demonstrated that 0.5% CA in the diet led to a PTB rate of 50% in mice, and an increased incidence of PTB meconium-stained amniotic fuid was observed in 100% of lambs that received CA infusion<sup>[22](#page-9-20),[23](#page-9-21)</sup>. Here, we show that increased GCA is related to PTB in EICP and that high TCA is associated with the incidence of PTB in LICP. The different CA-conjugated BA forms related to different types of ICP may be ascribed to metabolic changes in the BA profle throughout pregnancy.

The BA metabolism profile changes during the second and third trimesters in the healthy state<sup>[15](#page-9-13)</sup>. Such changes are also more obvious in ICP, with high concentrations of glycine-conjugated BAs observed in EICP, and taurineconjugated BAs increased in LICP. However, substantial changes in the percentages of TBA are blurred by the seemingly raised concentrations. BA metabolism in both EICP and LICP is represented by decreased percentages of unconjugated individuals, suggesting disordered BA metabolic flux in ICP. The occurrence of ICP may be due to the hindered transformation of primary to secondary BAs, which results in the accumulation of conjugated CA and CDCA. In addition, it can be explained in the reverse manner that excessive production of conjugated BAs prevents the primary BAs from converting to secondary BAs. Further research is warranted to elucidate the cause-and-efect relationship.

UDCA has been recommended as the first-line medication in ICP treatment for decades<sup>4</sup>. However, its efficacy is controversial. Studies have shown that patients with ICP experience obvious pruritus relief afer UDCA treatment, with lowered biochemical parameters<sup>[24](#page-9-22)</sup>. The impact of UDCA administration on the fetus has not been evaluated with adequately powered research, although a recent randomized controlled trial based on a large population indicated that UDCA treatment benefited patients with ICP in terms of perinatal outcomes<sup>25</sup>. A meta-analysis showed that UDCA was likely to reduce adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with ICP; furthermore, there was a signifcant reduction in TBAs in both maternal serum and umbilical cord serum and



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Heatmap showing the BA metabolism profles that changed with pregnancy advance in normal (**A-1**) and ICP (**A-2**). Total unconju: total unconjugated BAs; Total conju: total conjugated BAs; Total G: total glycine conjugated BAs; Total T: total taurine conjugated BAs; G/T: Total G/Total T. Linear changes in concentrations and percentages of total G (**B**, **G**), total T (**C**, **H**), G/T (**D**, **I**), GCDCA (**E**, **J**), and UDCA (**F**, **K**) at diferent diagnostic time points in ICP patients.

a qualitative variation in the serum BA pool, with the hydrophobic BA component reduced in the pool $26,27$  $26,27$ . However, the recent PITCHES trial revealed that TBA was not reduced in the UDCA group compared with the

7



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Figure 4.** Changes in BA metabolism profles in EICP (**A**) and LICP (**B**) compared with normal pregnant women. Diferent BA metabolism profles between EICP and LICP (**C**), BAs are represented as the percentage of TBA and log transformed. \**P*<0.05; \*\**P*<0.01; \*\*\**P*<0.001; \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001.

placebo group in patients with ICP[25](#page-9-23). Indeed, afer 500 mg–2 g/day intake of UDCA medication, serum UDCA, GUDCA and TUDCA accounted for almost 60% of serum TBA<sup>[28](#page-9-26)</sup>. In clinical practice, the vast majority of PTB in women with ICP occurs in the late pregnancy period and is often iatrogenic. The reason for iatrogenic PTB in pregnant women with ICP is predominantly elevated TBA. Tus, it might be more reasonable to understand the BA profiles more comprehensively after UDCA treatment with the aid of LC–MS, not just TBA. Herein, we established a model to provide GCA and TCA as PTB predictive biomarkers. Moreover, changes in GCA and

Sample	$ENC(n=53)$	$EICP(n=53)$	P value	$LNC(n=42)$	$LICP(n=42)$	P value	<b>EICP</b> versus <b>LICP</b>
Gestational week. median (IQR)	39, (38; 40)	38, (36; 38)	< 0.001	39, (38; 40)	38, (37; 39)	0.008	0.016
Furtherly accom- panied with GDM	$1, (1.89\%)$	$3, (5.66\%)$	ns	NA	NA	NA	<b>NA</b>
Pre-eclampsia	$0, (0\%)$	$2, (3.77\%)$	ns	$0, (0\%)$	$1, (2.38\%)$	ns	ns
Fetal growth restriction	$0, (0\%)$	1, (1.89%)	ns	$1, (2.38\%)$	$4, (9.52\%)$	ns	ns
Birth weight, median $(IQR)$ , g	3330, (3080; 3650)	3010, (2673; 3348)	ns	3390, (3025; 3465)	3215, (2750; 3618)	ns	ns
Preterm birth. n(%)	$2, (3.77\%)$	13, (24.53%)	0.002	$0(0\%)$	7, (16.67%)	0.005	ns

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 3.** Clinical outcomes of early and late onset ICP compared with normal pregnancy.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Figure 5.** Receiver operating characteristic curves of TBA+GCA percentage of TBA+ALB+TB for the prediction of PTB in EICP (**A**). Receiver operating characteristic curves of TCA percentage of TBA for the prediction of PTB in LICP (**B**).

TCA may be helpful for evaluating UDCA treatment efficacy. Fully understanding the BA spectrum may also contribute to the decision making of early induction in late pregnancy to avoid the incidence of iatrogenic PTB.

Furthermore, normal hepatic function is essential for the maintenance of a normal pregnancy<sup>[29](#page-9-27)</sup>. Liver size enlarges gradually as pregnancy progresses to meet the nutritional and metabolic requirements of the growing fetus and pregnant woman, and this is accompanied by increases in biochemical parameters, representing the liver's compensation during pregnancy<sup>[30](#page-9-28),31</sup>. However, when the compensation can no longer meet these demands, the risk of PTB is increased. Tus, PTB may be a protective mechanism that ensures the survival of the pregnant woman when her liver is no longer able to compensate. In EICP, elevated ALB, TBA, TB and GCA percentages taken together predict the risk of PTB, suggesting that the increased liver load in the second trimester is positively correlated with PTB. The patient's hepatic function state at the ICP diagnostic time point has an important role in determining her further perinatal and ofspring outcomes following the occurrence of PTB.

#### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, we found that patients with diferent types of ICP have distinct serum BA profles. BA metabolism is not disorganized in ICP. In fact, it is related to gestational age. Moreover, we revealed previously unnoticed variations in BAs, which may help to explain the underlying mechanism of ICP development. Increased ALB, TBA, TB and GCA percentage of TBA are useful to predict PTB in EICP, suggesting that a high hepatic load in the second trimester increases PTB risk. In addition, an elevated TCA percentage might be used to predict PTB in patients with LICP.

#### **Data availability**

All the data are available to interested researchers upon reasonable request. Requests for access to data should be made to the frst author with e-mail: 11418122@zju.edu.cn.

Received: 18 July 2022; Accepted: 21 October 2022 Published online: 28 January 2023

#### **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Lammert, F., Marschall, H. U., Glantz, A. & Matern, S. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: Molecular pathogenesis, diagnosis and management. *J. Hepatol.* **33**, 1012–1021. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-8278\(00\)80139-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-8278(00)80139-7) (2000).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Mackillop, L. & Williamson, C. Liver disease in pregnancy. *Postgrad. Med. J.* **86**, 160–164. [https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.2009.](https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.2009.089631) [089631](https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.2009.089631) (2010).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Dixon, P. H. & Williamson, C. Te pathophysiology of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* **40**, 141–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinre.2015.12.008> (2016).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. Williamson, C. & Geenes, V. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **124**, 120–133. [https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.](https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000346) [0000000000000346](https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000346) (2014).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. Wikstrom Shemer, E., Marschall, H. U., Ludvigsson, J. F. & Stephansson, O. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy and associated adverse pregnancy and fetal outcomes: A 12-year population-based cohort study. *BJOG Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **120**, 717–723. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.12174> (2013).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>6. Mor, M. *et al.* Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy as a risk factor for preeclampsia. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **301**, 655–664. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-020-05456-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s00404-020-05456-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-020-05456-y) (2020).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>7. Jin, J. *et al.* Risk factors for adverse fetal outcomes among women with early- versus late-onset intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet. Of. Organ Int. Fed. Gynaecol. Obstet.* **128**, 236–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2014.09.013> (2015).
- 8. Lin, J., Gu, W. & Hou, Y. Diagnosis and prognosis of early-onset intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: A prospective study. *J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med. Of. J. Eur. Assoc. Perinat. Med. Fed. Asia Oceania Perinat. Soc. Int. Soc. Perinat. Obstet.* **32**, 997–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2017.1397124> (2019).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>9. Ovadia, C. *et al.* Association of adverse perinatal outcomes of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy with biochemical markers: Results of aggregate and individual patient data meta-analyses. *Lancet* **393**, 899–909. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31877-4) [31877-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31877-4) (2019).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>10. Germain, A. M., Carvajal, J. A., Glasinovic, J. C., Kato, C. S. & Williamson, C. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: An intriguing pregnancy-specifc disorder. *J. Soc. Gynecol. Investig.* **9**, 10–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1071-5576\(01\)00144-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1071-5576(01)00144-7) (2002).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>11. Bicocca, M. J., Sperling, J. D. & Chauhan, S. P. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: Review of six national and regional guidelines. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* **231**, 180–187.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2018.10.041>(2018).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>12. Glantz, A., Marschall, H. U. & Mattsson, L. A. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: Relationships between bile acid levels and fetal complication rates. *Hepatology* **40**, 467–474.<https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.20336> (2004).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>13. Cui, Y. *et al.* Diagnostic and therapeutic profles of serum bile acids in women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy-a pseudotargeted metabolomics study. *Clinica Chimica Acta Int. J. Clin. Chem.* **483**, 135–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2018.04.035> (2018).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>14. Tribe, R. M. *et al.* Longitudinal profles of 15 serum bile acids in patients with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **105**, 585–595.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2009.633> (2010).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>15. Zhu, B. *et al.* Characteristics of bile acids metabolism profle in the second and third trimesters of normal pregnancy. *Metabolism* **95**, 77–83.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2019.04.004> (2019).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>16. Garcia-Flores, J. *et al.* Clinical value of maternal bile acid quantifcation in intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy as an adverse perinatal outcome predictor. *Gynecol. Obstet. Invest.* **79**, 222–228.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000370003> (2015).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>17. Nazzaro, G. *et al.* Cervical elastography using E-cervix for prediction of preterm birth in singleton pregnancies with threatened preterm labor. *J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med. Of. J. Eur. Assoc. Perinat. Med. Fed. Asia Oceania Perinat. Soc. Int. Soc. Perinat. Obstet.* **35**, 330–335.<https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2020.1716721>(2022).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>18. Simjak, P. *et al.* Fetal complications due to intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *J. Perinat. Med.* **43**, 133–139. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2014-0089) [1515/jpm-2014-0089](https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2014-0089) (2015).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>19. Rezai, S., Lam, J. & Henderson, C. E. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: Maternal and fetal outcomes associated with elevated bile acid levels. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **213**, 114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2015.03.040> (2015).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>20. Germain, A. M. *et al.* Bile acids increase response and expression of human myometrial oxytocin receptor. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **189**, 577–582. [https://doi.org/10.1067/s0002-9378\(03\)00545-3](https://doi.org/10.1067/s0002-9378(03)00545-3) (2003).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>21. Israel, E. J., Guzman, M. L. & Campos, G. A. Maximal response to oxytocin of the isolated myometrium from pregnant patients with intrahepatic cholestasis. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **65**, 581–582. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016348609158391>(1986).
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>22. You, S. *et al.* Dysregulation of bile acids increases the risk for preterm birth in pregnant women. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2111. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15923-4) [doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15923-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15923-4) (2020).
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>23. Campos, G. A., Guerra, F. A. & Israel, E. J. Efects of cholic acid infusion in fetal lambs. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **65**, 23–26. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016348609158224> (1986).
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>24. Chappell, L. C. *et al.* Ursodeoxycholic acid versus placebo, and early term delivery versus expectant management, in women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: Semifactorial randomised clinical trial. *BMJ* **344**, e3799. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e3799> (2012).
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>25. Chappell, L. C. *et al.* Ursodeoxycholic acid versus placebo in women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (PITCHES): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **394**, 849–860. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31270-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31270-X) (2019).
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>26. Kong, X., Kong, Y., Zhang, F., Wang, T. & Yan, J. Evaluating the efectiveness and safety of ursodeoxycholic acid in treatment of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: A meta-analysis (a prisma-compliant study). *Medicine* **95**, e4949. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000004949) [MD.0000000000004949](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000004949) (2016).
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>27. Geenes, V. et al. The reversed feto-maternal bile acid gradient in intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy is corrected by ursodeoxycholic acid. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e83828.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083828>(2014).
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>28. Manna, L. B. *et al.* Enzymatic quantifcation of total serum bile acids as a monitoring strategy for women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy receiving ursodeoxycholic acid treatment: A cohort study. *BJOG Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **126**, 1633–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.15926> (2019).
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>29. Sasamori, Y., Tanaka, A. & Ayabe, T. Liver disease in pregnancy. *Hepatol. Res. Of. J. Jpn. Soc. Hepatol.* [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/hepr.13540) [hepr.13540](https://doi.org/10.1111/hepr.13540) (2020).
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>30. Hollister, A., Okubara, P., Watson, J. G. & Chaykin, S. Reproduction in mice: Liver enlargement in mice during pregnancy and lactation. *Life Sci.* **40**, 11–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205\(87\)90246-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(87)90246-3) (1987).
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>31. Wang, F. *et al.* Telocytes in pregnancy-induced physiological liver growth. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem. Int. J. Exp. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol.* **36**, 250–258.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000374068>(2015).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank all the physicians, nurses, technicians, especially the patients involved in their dedication to the study.

#### **Author contributions**

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Specifcally: Z.M. and Y.L. design the study, material preparation and sample collection were conducted by L.C. and G.J., data collection and analysis were performed by Y.S. and S.Z. Bile acids measurement was performed by P.Y. and S.W. Y.Z. and D.Z. provided clinical consult. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Z.M. and revised by S.L., and B.Z. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

#### **Funding**

Tis work was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Number: 2018YFC1005003, 2018YFC1002700, 2018YFC1002702), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81974224, 81771535), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. LZ18H040001), the Zhejiang Provincial Key Medical Technology Program (WKJ-ZJ-1826), and the Medical Health Science and Technology Project of Zhejiang Province Health Commission (No. 2017KY428).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22974-8) [10.1038/s41598-022-22974-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22974-8).

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to S.L. or B.Z.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** Tis article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$  $\odot$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023