ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# The role of microRNAs in the modulation of cancer-associated fibroblasts activity during pancreatic cancer pathogenesis

Lawrence N. Barrera[1,2] · P. Matthew Ridley[1] · Camino Bermejo-Rodriguez[1] · Eithne Costello[1] · Pedro A. Perez-Mancera[1]

## Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the deadliest of the common cancers. A major hallmark of PDAC is an abundant and dense fibrotic stroma, the result of a disproportionate deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are the main mediators of PDAC desmoplasia. CAFs represent a heterogenous group of activated fibroblasts with different origins and activation mechanisms. microRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs with critical activity during tumour development and resistance to chemotherapy. Increasing evidence has revealed that miRNAs play a relevant role in the differentiation of normal fibroblasts into CAFs in PDAC. In this review, we discuss recent findings on the role of miRNAs in the activation of CAFs during the progression of PDAC and its response to therapy, as well as the potential role that PDAC-derived exosomal miRNAs may play in the activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and formation of liver metastasis. Since targeting of CAF activation may be a viable strategy for PDAC therapy, and miRNAs have emerged as potential therapeutic targets, understanding the biology underpinning miRNA-mediated tumour cell-CAF interactions is an important component in guiding rational approaches to treating this deadly disease.

**Keywords** microRNAs · Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) · Pancreatic cancer · Tumour pathogenesis · Response to therapy

## Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide and is expected to become second by 2030 [96]. Late diagnosis of patients when tumours are at advanced stages and a strong resistance to systemic chemotherapy and radiotherapy are the major reasons for this dire clinical outcome (5-year survival rate of ~ 9%) [59, 96, 110]. It is well established that activating mutations in KRAS (detected in over 90% of PDAC cases) lead to the development of low-grade pre-invasive lesions (preinvasive pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia; PanIN) that progress to high-grade PanIN lesions and eventually PDAC following the acquisition of additional genetic alterations that frequently involve inactivation of the tumour suppressor genes *TP53*, *P16/CDKN2A* and *DPC4/SMAD4* [47, 91].

PDAC is characterised by the development of complex and unique histological features. The bulk of the tumour comprises actively proliferating neoplastic cells and a desmoplastic stroma that accounts for up to 90% of the tumour volume. The stroma consists of activated cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), immune cells, and endothelial cells embedded in a dense extracellular matrix (ECM) composed of proteins, glycoproteins, and proteoglycans [32, 79]. This reactive stroma provides an exclusive tumour microenvironment (TME) that has been demonstrated to drive tumour progression, dissemination, and resistance to therapy [53, 83, 92]. Intriguingly, studies have shown that the PDAC microenvironment also harbours tumour suppressive properties

**Key Points** 1. CAFs promote pancreatic cancer desmoplasia.
    2. miRNAs mediate tumour-stroma crosstalk.
    3. miRNAs modulate CAF activity and impact pancreatic cancer pathogenesis.

✉ Eithne Costello
  ecostell@liverpool.ac.uk

✉ Pedro A. Perez-Mancera
  pedro.perez-mancera@liverpool.ac.uk

1  Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

2  Department of Molecular Cell Biology, School of Medicine, Faculty of Clinical and Biomedical Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 1JQ, UK

[18, 20, 86, 97, 99]. These apparently opposing activities emphasise the complex role that the TME plays in PDAC development, underpinning the need of a better comprehension of the stromal biology, as well as the molecular and cellular mechanisms that operate and control the crosstalk between neoplastic cells and components of the TME during tumour progression. Expanding our knowledge in this area will contribute to improved therapeutic intervention strategies to fight this disease.

CAFs are the most abundant component of the pancreatic TME and play an essential role during the pathogenesis of PDAC, including tumour development and response to therapy [12]. Secretion of components of the ECM, chemokines, and cytokines by CAFs is considered to be the most significant contributor to pancreatic cancer desmoplasia [12, 55, 82, 103]. However, despite recent advances in understanding the biology of CAFs, our knowledge of the crosstalk between tumour cells and CAFs remains inadequate, which has contributed to the inefficient design of therapeutic approaches to tackle pancreatic cancer.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are highly conserved small noncoding RNA molecules (~ 22 nucleotides in length) that negatively regulate post-transcriptional gene expression. Mechanistically, miRNAs bind to the 3'-untranslated region of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and silence gene expression through degradation or translational inhibition of target mRNAs. miRNAs have been shown to have both tumour suppressive and promoting activities depending on the cellular and molecular context [51, 90, 102] and, importantly, accumulating evidence indicates that miRNAs play a critical role in remodelling the tumour microenvironment [87, 101, 113]. In this review, we discuss progress in understanding the roles of miRNAs in the regulation of CAF activation during pancreatic cancer development and response to therapy.

## Origin of CAFs

Despite huge efforts to elucidate where CAFs come from, their origin remains controversial, and the identification of cellular sources of CAFs persists as a significant unresolved challenge. This is largely due to the difficulty in categorising and defining distinct CAF populations [12, 55, 82, 103]. Two main factors have contributed to our failure to accurately delineate CAF populations. Firstly, most of the studies with CAFs have been performed using in vitro approaches, which are unable to faithfully recapitulate the physiology of the in vivo microenvironment. Secondly, the lack of relevant in vivo lineage tracing mouse models, due to the unavailability of CAF-specific molecular markers, has hampered our ability to unravel CAF biology [103]. Indeed, in vivo approaches typically involve the use of transgenic or knock-in alleles to express CRE recombinase in restricted cellular

compartments, where CRE drives the expression of reporter genes (usually fluorescent proteins) that label and track specific cell populations during the whole life of the animal [1]. However, the lack of discernible CAF markers has precluded the selection of CRE-driving promoters to tag and trace specific CAF populations in vivo.

Multiple lines of evidence have suggested that CAFs mainly derive from local precursors, including quiescent resident fibroblasts, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), and pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs) [3, 55, 84, 126] (Fig. 1). Recently, an elegant study employing a dual reporter mouse model provided support for this concept. Arina and colleagues found that CAFs expressing collagen type I alpha 1 (Col1a1) and alpha-smooth muscle actin (αSMA), two CAF-associated markers, originated mainly from precursors located in the tumour microenvironment, while the contribution from bone marrow circulating precursors was infrequent [4]. In the context of pancreatic cancer, the most important sources of CAFs are PSCs. Activation and transformation of quiescent PSCs into active CAFs are driven by different mechanisms, including cytokines and growth factors such as TGF-β, IL-1, IL-10, PDGF, and CTGF [7, 11, 72, 105], exosomes-released miRNAs [70], oxidative stress [64], and epigenetic mechanisms [10].



**Fig. 1** Origin of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) in PDAC. Schematic illustration of the cellular sources of CAFs. Cell types include resident normal fibroblasts, quiescent pancreatic stellate cells (qPSCs), epithelial cells, endothelial cells, pericytes, adipocytes, mesothelial, bone marrow–derived fibrocytes, and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). *EMT*, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; *EndMT*, endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition; *MMT*, mesothelial-to-mesenchymal transition

Interestingly, bone marrow–derived mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells (MSCs) have been observed in the peripheral blood of patients with PDAC [112], and MSCs incubated with conditioned media from the human pancreatic cell line PANC1 were found to upregulate the expression of CAF-associated genes including *αSMA* [75]. Collectively, these findings support the notion that circulating MSC precursors may also contribute to the population of CAFs in pancreatic cancer [4]. Additional studies have reported that CAFs can also derive from the differentiation of other cell types. Fibrocytes are circulating mesenchymal cells derived from monocyte precursors that can be recruited to the neoplastic tissue and differentiate into CAFs [23, 98]. Other cell types from mesenchymal origin that have been shown to give rise to CAFs include adipocytes [13, 56] and pericytes [44]. Furthermore, transdifferentiation from mesothelial cells through mesothelial-to-mesenchymal (MMT) transition [104], endothelial cells through endothelial-to-mesenchymal (EndMT) transition [128], and even epithelial cells through epithelial-to-mesenchymal (EMT) transition [52] have also been reported to be potential precursors of CAF populations (Fig. 1).

Taken together, these studies confirm that CAFs constitute a highly heterogenous cell population with multiple origins and routes of activation. The identification of specific molecular markers to definitively categorise different CAF populations is essential to advance our knowledge of CAF biology.

## Fibroblast heterogeneity in PDAC

Fibroblasts were originally treated as a homogeneous cell population present in a quiescent state or as activated CAFs. In pancreatic tissue, quiescent PSCs exhibit cytoplasmic deposits of vitamin A-lipid droplets that inhibit PSC activation. Once stimulated, PSCs lose the ability to store vitamin A and acquire an activated phenotype that is characterised by increased proliferation and secretion of components of the ECM that intensify tumour desmoplasia [71]. However, increasing experimental evidence has demonstrated that fibroblast activation in physiological contexts is more complex. The rapid development of next-generation sequencing technologies is shedding new light on the existence of diverse CAF subtypes, with different activities, within the tumour microenvironment [21, 29, 35, 57, 63, 65, 94, 119].

In the case of PDAC, Öhlund and colleagues identified the coexistence of two subtypes of CAFs. Employing an innovative three-dimensional (3D) co-culture approach of murine wild-type PSCs and PDAC organoids derived from $Kras^{LSL-G12D/+}$, $Trp53^{LSL-R172H/+}$, $Pdx1^{Cre/+}$ (KPC) mice, it was found that PSCs differentiated into two spatially separated and reversible CAF subpopulations. The first subpopulation, termed inflammatory CAF (iCAF), was activated by paracrine factors produced by neoplastic cells.

iCAFs localised distantly from cancer cells and were characterised by lower expression of αSMA and high level of inflammatory mediators such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-11, and leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (Fig. 2). The second subtype, named myofibroblastic CAF (myCAF), was activated by juxtracrine interaction with tumour cells and had periglandular location. myCAFs had a myofibroblastic phenotype characterised by high levels of αSMA expression and reduced secretion of inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 2). Interestingly, conversion from one CAF subtype to another was highly dynamic, depending on molecular cues in the tumour microenvironment [81]. Subsequent studies have provided new insights into the signalling pathways governing the activation and regulation of iCAFs and myCAFs. It has been reported that TGF-β secreted by both neoplastic and regulatory T (Treg) cells promotes myCAF differentiation, while causing attenuation of the iCAF phenotype by downregulating IL1R1 expression [11, 25, 131]. On the other hand, secretion of IL1 by pancreatic tumour cells mediates activation of the NF-κB and JAK/STAT signalling pathways, promoting an iCAF phenotype and the secretion of tumour-promoting cytokines and chemokines such as IL-6, LIF, and CXCL1 [11, 111].

Additional studies have confirmed the heterogeneity of CAFs in PDAC at single-cell resolution. Employing single-cell RNA sequencing of human and mouse PDACs, Elyada and colleagues verified the existence of myCAFs and iCAFs associated with unique gene signatures. Importantly, this study identified a novel CAF subpopulation, dubbed antigen-presenting CAF (apCAF), which expresses MHC class II-related genes and *CD74*, and have an immune-modulatory role through activation of CD4+T cells [29] (Fig. 2). Interestingly, this apCAF subpopulation has a similar transcriptional signature to mesothelial cells [25] that have been found to be potential CAF precursors [104]. Further single-cell transcriptomic approaches have demonstrated that while myCAFs were abundant in preinvasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) lesions, iCAFs were only detected in invasive PDAC specimens. These findings strongly suggest that transdifferentiation of fibroblast/PSCs into myCAFs happens in early stages of pancreatic cancer development [9]. An additional study has provided further insight into the origin of myCAFs. Using an in vivo lineage-tracing approach, Garcia and colleagues revealed that two subpopulations of Gli1+- and Hoxb6+-expressing fibroblasts coexist at similar levels in healthy pancreas. However, only Gli1+ fibroblasts expand in preinvasive PanIN lesions and contribute to the tumour stroma during PDAC development. Interestingly, Gli1+ fibroblasts express αSMA and may represent early precursors of the myCAF population [34]. Finally, a study which employed mass cytometry to illustrate the stromal composition of diverse normal and neoplastic murine tissues [50] found that while CD105-positive pancreatic

**Fig. 2** CAF subtypes in PDAC. Three distinct cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF) subpopulations have been identified and characterised in PDAC: myofibroblastic CAF (myCAF), inflammatory CAF (iCAF), and antigen-presenting CAF (apCAF)

**myCAF**

- Adjacent to PDAC cells.
- Myofibroblastic features.
- Express high levels of α-SMA.

**iCAF**

- Distant from PDAC cells.
- Secretory features.
- Express high levels of inflammatory molecules (IL-6, IL-11, LIF).

**apCAF**

- Interact with CD4$^+$ T cells.
- Immune-modulatory capacity.
- Express high levels of MHC class II genes and CD74.

fibroblasts do not affect tumour growth in vivo, CD105-negative fibroblasts confer a strong tumour-suppressive activity.

Taken together, these studies demonstrate that CAFs have distinct functional roles during the progression from preinvasive lesions to invasive of PDAC.

## Fibroblast heterogeneity in different pancreatic diseases

Both pancreatic neoplastic (PDAC) and inflammatory (chronic pancreatitis, CP) tissues display a compact desmoplasia comprising abundant ECM and expansion of stromal cell populations. Although PSCs derived from PDAC and CP share cellular and functional features, specific and differential transcriptional profiles have been associated with each cell population. For example, cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass G-type receptor 3 (*CELSR3*) was found to be upregulated in PDAC-associated PSCs compared to PSCs derived from CP, while Pre-B-cell leukaemia transcription factor 1 (*PBX1*) was expressed at higher levels in PSCs present in inflammatory conditions (CP) compared to PDAC-derived PSCs [30]. A better understanding of the molecular basis governing the activation of fibroblast/PSCs in different pancreatic contexts will provide insight into the molecular basis of CAF activation.

Recently, we employed a comprehensive approach to evaluate gene expression patterns of primary activated pancreatic fibroblasts isolated from a variety of pancreatic disease types including PDAC, CP, and periampullary tumours (PATs). The analysis of miRNA and gene expression profiles revealed significant overlap in the genetic signatures of disease-derived fibroblasts compared to normal activated (NA) fibroblasts. Indeed, only 6.6% and 7.3% of genes were transcriptionally altered in CP-derived activated fibroblasts (CP-AFs) versus NA fibroblasts, and PAT-derived activated fibroblasts (PAT-AFs) versus NA fibroblasts, respectively. Moreover, this analysis revealed that around 32% of genes were differentially expressed between PDAC-derived activated fibroblasts (PDAC-AFs) and NA fibroblasts [8]. These findings suggest that the differential regulation of a restricted subset of genes governs the functional heterogeneity of fibroblasts between pancreatic diseases. When comparisons were circumscribed to PDAC-AFs and CP-AFs, it was observed that Tenascin C (TNC), an ECM glycoprotein with therapeutic relevance [73], was found to be upregulated in PDAC-AFs compared to CP-AFs. Consistent with this, immunohistochemical analysis found that TNC was upregulated in the stromal compartment of PDAC relative to CP and, importantly, circulating TNC was significantly upregulated in serum of PDAC patients compared with CP patients. Collectively,

these findings support the clinical relevance of TNC as a disease biomarker. Mechanistically, miR-137, reported to be downregulated in PDAC [78, 123], was linked to the increased TNC expression found in PDAC-associated fibroblasts [8], supporting the important role for miR-NAs in the activity of CAFs. Interestingly, our miRNA-profiling analysis unveiled a subset of five miRNAs dysregulated in PDAC-AFs compared with NA fibroblasts (let-7e, miR-132, miR-193a, miR-193b, and miR-138), with three of them (let-7e, miR-132, and miR-193a) also dysregulated between CP-AFs and NA fibroblasts, and miR-138 dysregulated in both CP-AFs and PAT-AFs. Additionally, miR-3613 was only found dysregulated in CP-AFs, while miR-92a dysregulation was exclusively altered in PAT-AFs (Fig. 3).

Collectively, this study indicates that pancreatic-disease fibroblasts have highly conserved transcriptome and miRNA profiles, and a subset of genes and miRNAs differentially regulated by the surrounding pancreatic tissue seems to drive the reprogramming of normal fibroblasts into PDAC-AFs, CP-Afs, or PAT-AFs.

## Role of miRNAs in the activation of PDAC-associated CAFs

Early miRNA profiling analysis showed that miRNAs were differentially expressed in human neoplasias, including PDAC [15, 66]. Additional studies revealed that miRNAs played an important role during tumour pathogenesis and could be used as biomarkers for tumour diagnosis [38, 115]. Importantly, miRNAs have been found to regulate the transition from quiescent resident fibroblasts into CAFs in the tumour microenvironment, modulating tumour development [76, 107, 121, 125]. In the pancreatic context, early observations showed that the miRNA expression profile was altered during the activation of rat PSCs in cell culture. Indeed, Masamune and colleagues employed miRNA microarrays



**Fig. 3** microRNA expression in pancreatic disease-associated fibroblasts. **A** miRNA arrays were employed to identify miRNA expression profiles in activated fibroblasts isolated from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), chronic pancreatitis (CP), periampullary tumour (PAT), and adjacent normal (NA) tissues. **B** Venn diagram depicting differentially expressed miRNAs between disease-associated fibroblasts (PDAC, CP, PAT) versus normal-activated (NA) fibroblasts

**Fig. 4** microRNAs modulate PDAC pathogenesis. Examples of miRNAs with **A** tumour-promoting and **B** tumour-suppressive activities in PDAC. (*) In some contexts, miR-21 has been shown to act as a tumour suppressor. Blue circles represent exosomes. *qPSC*, quiescent pancreatic stellate cells; *CAFs*, cancer-associates fibroblasts; *PDAC*, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; *EMT*, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition

in quiescent (day 1) and culture-activated (day 14) rat PSCs and found 84 miRNAs differentially expressed (42 miRNAs were upregulated and 42 downregulated) in activated PSCs compared with quiescent counterparts [69]. Subsequent studies have confirmed that miRNA dysregulation plays a relevant role in CAF activation, tumour cell-CAF interactions, and PDAC development.

Exosomes are extracellular vesicles that have be shown to transfer mRNAs and miRNAs between cells [118]. During the last few years, diverse studies have revealed that exosomal miRNAs play an important role in the crosstalk between pancreatic neoplastic cells and CAFs (Fig. 4A) [108]. Pang and colleagues showed that normal pancreatic fibroblasts derived from wild-type mice differentiated into CAF-like cells after being incubated with PDAC cell-derived microvesicles containing miR-155. In this model, activation of normal fibroblasts may be mediated, at least partially, by miR-155-mediated targeting of the pro-apoptotic modulator TP53INP1 [88]. Moreover, PDAC cell exosome-mediated transference of miR-1246 and miR-1290 was found to promote activation of primary human PSCs, denoted by the upregulation of procollagen type I C-peptide (*PIP*) and *αSMA* [70]. Interestingly, CAF-derived exosomal miRNAs have been demonstrated to play a relevant role in chemoresistance. Fang and colleagues reported that gemcitabine-elevated miR-106b expression in PDAC-associated CAFs, and exosome-mediated transference of miR-106b from CAFs to pancreatic tumour cells increased resistance to gemcitabine by a mechanism involving miR-106b-mediated targeting of TP53INP1 [31]. An independent study showed that gemcitabine upregulated miR-146a and Snail in pancreatic CAFs, and exosomes secreted by gemcitabine-treated CAFs increased both miR-146a and Snail levels in PDAC cells

in culture, increasing chemoresistance [100]. These studies provide a rationale for the potential use of miR-106b and miR-146a as therapeutic targets for patient treatment stratification in PDAC.

One of the most commonly upregulated miRNAs in human neoplasias, miR-21, has been shown to play an important role in the crosstalk between tumour cells and CAFs [16, 33, 36, 60, 85, 109, 120]. Interestingly, this crosstalk can be mediated by exosomal miR-21 released by CAFs [6, 27]. In the pancreatic cancer context, miR-21 is upregulated in CAFs compared to normal PSCs [2], and numerous studies have confirmed that CAF-derived miR-21 supports PDAC dissemination. Kadera and colleagues showed that PDAC cells stimulated miR-21 upregulation in CAFs, and high miR-21 expression in the tumour stroma was associated with tumour invasion, lymph node metastasis, and shorter survival. Interestingly, miR-21 expression was stronger around neoplastic ducts and it decreased laterally in a circular gradient away from tumour cells, indicating that crosstalk signalling between pancreatic tumour cells and CAFs regulates miR-21 expression [54]. Additional studies have reinforced the functional relevance of CAF-derived miR-21 in the modulation of the invasive behaviour of PDAC cells. Thus, Chen and colleagues found that miR-21 inhibition reduced glycolysis in CAFs, and this was associated with decreased invasiveness of pancreatic tumour cells [17]. Furthermore, it has been observed that exosome-mediated transfer of miR-21 from CAFs to pancreatic neoplastic cells induces EMT, activation of KRAS downstream effector pathways, and migration of PDAC cells [67]. An independent study has confirmed the oncogenic role of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer. Chu and colleagues found that miR-21 inhibition in PDAC cells derived from *Kras*$^{LSL-G12D/+}$,

$Trp53^{LSL-R172H/+}$, $Pdx1^{Cre/+}$ (KPC) mice reduced proliferation, migration, and invasion associated with the downregulation of Kras effector pathways [19]. Interestingly, this study has also revealed that miR-224 is specifically upregulated in PDAC CAFs, and ectopic expression of miR-224 promotes pancreatic normal fibroblast activation [19].

Importantly, miR-21 has also been reported to have therapeutic relevance. Indeed, diverse studies have demonstrated that high levels of miR-21 increase resistance to gemcitabine in PDAC cell cultures and, in the clinical setting, miR-21 expression correlated with poor prognosis in patients treated with gemcitabine [36, 77, 89]. Zhang and colleagues revealed that miR-21 expression in human PDAC tissue was associated with an elevated number of CAFs and a poorer response to gemcitabine. Notably, gemcitabine increased miR-21 expression in CAFs, but not in neoplastic cells. Moreover, ectopic miR-21 expression in CAFs increased invasiveness of PDAC cells in culture and promoted resistance to gemcitabine in a xenograft mouse model. In this context, miR-21-mediated CAF activation, denoted by increased secretion of metalloproteases, cytokines, and growth factors, was driven by miR-21-mediated PDCD4 targeting. Collectively, these findings strongly support the notion that miR-21 induces resistance to gemcitabine by regulating CAF activity [130]. However, an independent study showed that expression levels of miR-21 in CAFs correlated with decreased survival in PDAC patients who received 5-FU but not gemcitabine [26]. Further studies will be needed to clarify the therapeutic relevance of miR-21 in PDAC.

Conversely, research using a mouse model of PDAC has shown that, in some contexts, miR-21 may have tumour suppressor activities. Schipper and colleagues observed that pancreatic expression of Kras$^{G12D}$ and concomitant p53 deficiency cooperated with systemic ablation of miR-21 to accelerate pancreatic cancer development in a well-established mouse model of PDAC ($Kras^{LSL-G12D/+}$, $Trp53^{LoxP/+}$, $Pdx1^{Cre/+}$, $Mir-21^{KO/KO}$ (KP$^{F/+}$C21KO)) [106]. Remarkably, KP$^{F/+}$C21KO mice developed preinvasive mucinous cystic neoplasia (MCN) lesions that progressed to invasive PDAC with complete penetrance. KP$^{F/+}$C21KO mice showed reduced overall survival (12.5 weeks) compared with KP$^{F/+}$C counterparts (23 weeks). Analysis of KP$^{F/+}$C21KO tumours showed that miR-21 ablation drastically reduced CAF activation, denoted by α-SMA expression, while angiogenesis and immune infiltration were increased [106]. Although these findings seem to contradict the generalised view of miR-21 as an oncogenic miRNA [33], additional studies employing a miR-21 conditional knock-out strain will be necessary to exclude potential adverse effects of the germline inactivation of miR-21 in the activation of fibroblasts. Furthermore, the phenotype of the KP$^{F/+}$C21KO mice supports the notion that a dense desmoplastic stroma can restrain rather than stimulate PDAC development [18,

20, 86, 97, 99]. Importantly, this work has major clinical implications, as therapeutic approaches aiming at modulating miRNA activity in tumours should consider the effect of modulating the target miRNA expression in both the neoplastic and stromal compartments.

Additional experimental evidence has unveiled other CAF-derived miRNAs with tumour suppressor activities in PDAC (Fig. 4B). Kwon and colleagues showed that TGFβ downregulated miR-29 expression in mouse PSCs (mPSCs), human PSCs (hPSCs), and human PDAC-derived CAFs in culture. Moreover, miR-29 knockdown increased expression of ECM proteins in mPSCs and hPSCs, and ectopic miR-29 in hPSCs decreased viability and colony formation of human PDAC cells in co-culture. Importantly, miR-29 was found to be downregulated in a mouse model of PDAC ($Kras^{LSL-G12D/+}$, $Pdx1^{Cre/+}$) and in human PDAC [61]. An additional study proved that ectopic expression of the miRNA let-7d suppressed activation of human PSCs, decreasing the expression of the fibrosis markers *αSMA* and *COL1A1* by targeting Thrombospondin 1 (*THBS1*) [5]. Interestingly, the same group found that inhibition of let-7d in human PSCs promoted TGFβ-mediated *αSMA* and *COL1A1* expression, and reduced serum let-7d levels correlated with shorter survival in PDAC patients treated with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy [114], confirming that let-7d can be used as a biomarker of therapeutic response.

Collectively, the studies presented above highlight the central role of miRNAs in the activation of CAFs and in the pathogenesis of PDAC, opening new avenues for the design of therapeutic intervention strategies.

## PDAC-derived exosomal miRNAs as potential drivers of liver metastasis

A high dissemination potential and the formation of distant metastasis are major hallmarks of PDAC [46, 59]. The liver is the most common metastatic site, with hepatic metastatic deposits found in up to 76% of patients with PDAC [124]. Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) are considered to be the hepatic counterparts of PSCs, and activated HSCs transdifferentiate into collagen-producing myofibroblasts that stimulate the generation of a fibrotic microenvironment supporting the formation of metastasis in the liver [116]. Multiple molecules and signalling pathways are involved in the activation of HSCs, including growth factors, cytokines, adipokines, Hedgehog ligands, and miRNAs [116]. Significantly, primary tumour-derived factors have been demonstrated to activate HSCs to drive the formation of a hepatic metastatic niche [24, 28, 74]. For example, it was shown that the secretion of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 (TIMP1) by pancreatic premalignant lesions caused the activation of HSCs via CD63 signalling, creating a premetastatic niche in the

**Fig. 5** Exosomal miRNAs promote activation of quiescent hepatic stellate cells (qHSCs). Exosomes derived from the primary PDAC tumour cells (**A**) or PDAC circulating tumour cells (**B**) deliver to the liver miRNAs that activate qHSCs and potentially mediate the formation of a hepatic pre-metastatic niche

liver [39]. Moreover, PDAC-derived exosomes expressing macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) were found to induce the secretion of TGFβ by Kupffer cells (KCs) in the liver. Subsequently, KC-derived TGFβ activated HSCs that secreted fibronectin which, in turn, recruited bone marrow-derived cells preparing the metastatic niche in the liver [22]. The same group showed that exosomes derived from human and mouse pancreatic cancer cells were particularly taken up by liver and, remarkably, this was mediated by the exosomal integrin $\alpha_v$ (ITG$\alpha_v$). Importantly, exosomal ITG$\alpha_v$ levels in pancreatic cancer patient plasma correlated with a higher liver metastasis burden [45]. An additional study showed that PDAC cells recruited metastasis-associated macrophages (MAMs) to the liver. MAMs secreted granulin, inducing the transdifferentiation of HSCs into myofibroblasts that, in turn, secreted components of the ECM, including periostin, which supported the colonisation of PDAC cells in the liver and the formation of metastatic deposits [80].

Importantly, exosome-derived miRNAs have been shown to trigger stroma remodelling and formation of the hepatic metastatic niche. Hsu and colleagues showed that bone marrow–derived exosomes containing miR-92a enhanced HSC activation and ECM deposition that contributed to the formation of a hepatic pre-metastatic niche in a mouse model of lung cancer [48]. These findings strongly support the notion that exosomal miRNAs derived from PDAC primary tumours, or from PDAC circulating cells, may have a significant role in the activation of HSCs to support the growth and colonisation of PDAC cancer cells in the hepatic metastatic microenvironment (Fig. 5). Interestingly, several miRNAs involved in the activation of HSCs have been found upregulated in circulating exosomes of patients with pancreatic cancer. For instance, miR-21 was found to be highly upregulated in activated HSCs [129, 132], and it has been shown to enhance the transdifferentiation of HSCs into CAFs [133]. Notably, exosomal miR-21 has been found to be significantly upregulated in plasma and serum of patients with pancreatic cancer compared with healthy controls [37, 62, 93]. Additional miRNAs upregulated in circulating exosomes of pancreatic cancer patients which induce HSC activation include miR-17-5p [95, 127], miR-1246 [49, 68], miR-30c [62, 122], and miR-181a [40, 62].

In summary, this body of research indicates that miRNAs which promote activation of HSCs are part of the cargo of circulating exosomes released from PDAC cells. Therefore, and although experimental support is required, we hypothesise that miRNA-carrying exosomes derived from PDAC can be taken up by the liver where they can activate HSCs to increase hepatic fibrosis and facilitate the formation of the hepatic metastatic niche.

## Concluding remarks

Accumulating evidence has proved the key role of miR-NAs in the activation of CAFs. Furthermore, circulating exosomal miRNAs have been proposed as predictive and prognostic biomarkers in cancer [41, 42, 58]. In the context of pancreatic cancer, multiple functional analyses have confirmed the significant role that exosomal miR-NAs play in tumour cells-CAFs crosstalk, PDAC pathogenesis, diagnosis, and response to therapy [11, 12, 43, 81, 100, 117].

Pancreatic cancer is highly metastatic, with liver the most common site of distant metastasis. This preference for hepatic dissemination is mediated by a selective remodelling of the liver microenvironment to generate a hepatic metastatic niche that promotes and facilitates the engraftment of PDAC cells and the development of metastatic deposits [14]. Activated HSCs increase fibrosis and are major mediators of the formation of the hepatic metastatic niche. Since miRNAs have been shown to contribute to driving the activation of HSCs, research focused on investigating the role of circulating exosomal miRNAs derived from PDAC cells in the activation of HSCs, and generation of a metastatic niche in the liver, is warranted.

Collectively, the findings presented in this review strongly suggest that miRNAs constitute an attractive target for cancer therapy. The development of miRNA-based therapies employing extracellular vesicles loaded with miRNA mimics, for restoring miRNA loss expression, or antagomiRs for inactivating overexpressed miRNAs, may constitute a promising therapeutic tool to generate effective anti-cancer therapies to fight pancreatic cancer.

## Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

1. Alcolea MP, Jones PH (2013) Tracking cells in their native habitat: lineage tracing in epithelial neoplasia. Nat Rev Cancer 13(3):161–171

2. Ali S et al (2015) Contribution of microRNAs in understanding the pancreatic tumor microenvironment involving cancer associated stellate and fibroblast cells. Am J Cancer Res 5(3):1251–1264

3. Apte MV et al (2013) A starring role for stellate cells in the pancreatic cancer microenvironment. Gastroenterology 144(6):1210–1219

4. Arina A et al (2016) Tumor-associated fibroblasts predominantly come from local and not circulating precursors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113(27):7551–7556

5. Asama H et al (2019) MicroRNA let-7d targets thrombospondin-1 and inhibits the activation of human pancreatic stellate cells. Pancreatology 19(1):196–203

6. Au Yeung CL et al (2016) Exosomal transfer of stroma-derived miR21 confers paclitaxel resistance in ovarian cancer cells through targeting APAF1. Nat Commun 7:11150

7. Bachem MG et al (2005) Pancreatic carcinoma cells induce fibrosis by stimulating proliferation and matrix synthesis of stellate cells. Gastroenterology 128(4):907–921

8. Barrera LN et al (2020) Fibroblasts from distinct pancreatic pathologies exhibit disease-specific properties. Cancer Res 80(13):2861–2873

9. Bernard V et al (2019) Single-cell transcriptomics of pancreatic cancer precursors demonstrates epithelial and microenvironmental heterogeneity as an early event in neoplastic progression. Clin Cancer Res 25(7):2194–2205

10. Bhagat TD, Von Ahrens D, Dawlaty M, Zou Y, Baddour J, Achreja A, Zhao H, Yang L, Patel B, Kwak C, Choudhary GS, Gordon-Mitchell S, Aluri S, Bhattacharyya S, Sahu S, Bhagat P, Yu Y, Bartenstein M, Giricz O, Suzuki M, Sohal D, Gupta S, Guerrero PA, Batra S, Goggins M, Steidl U, Greally J, Agarwal B, Pradhan K, Banerjee D, Nagrath D, Maitra A, Verma A (2019) Lactate-mediated epigenetic reprogramming regulates formation of human pancreatic cancer-associated fibroblasts. Elife 8:e50663. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.50663

11. Biffi G et al (2019) IL1-induced JAK/STAT signaling is antagonized by TGFβ to shape CAF heterogeneity in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Discov 9(2):282–301

12. Biffi G, Tuveson DA (2021) Diversity and biology of cancer-associated fibroblasts. Physiol Rev 101(1):147–176

13. Bochet L et al (2013) Adipocyte-derived fibroblasts promote tumor progression and contribute to the desmoplastic reaction in breast cancer. Cancer Res 73(18):5657–5668

14. Brodt P (2016) Role of the microenvironment in liver metastasis: from pre- to prometastatic niches. Clin Cancer Res 22(24):5971–5982

15. Calin GA et al (2002) Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro- RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99(24):15524–15529

16. Chan JA, Krichevsky AM, Kosik KS (2005) MicroRNA-21 is an antiapoptotic factor in human glioblastoma cells. Cancer Res 65(14):6029–6033

17. Chen S et al (2018) MiR-21-mediated metabolic alteration of cancer-associated fibroblasts and its effect on pancreatic cancer cell behavior. Int J Biol Sci 14(1):100–110

18. Chen Y et al (2021) Type I collagen deletion in alphaSMA(+) myofibroblasts augments immune suppression and accelerates progression of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Cell 39(4):548-565.e6

19. Chu NJ et al (2020) Inhibition of miR-21 regulates mutant KRAS effector pathways and intercepts pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma development. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 13(7):569–582

20. Chugh S et al (2018) Disruption of C1galt1 gene promotes development and metastasis of pancreatic adenocarcinomas in mice. Gastroenterology 155(5):1608–1624

21. Costa A et al (2018) Fibroblast heterogeneity and immunosuppressive environment in human breast cancer. Cancer Cell 33(3):463-479.e10

22. Costa-Silva B et al (2015) Pancreatic cancer exosomes initiate pre-metastatic niche formation in the liver. Nat Cell Biol 17(6):816–826

23. Direkze NC et al (2004) Bone marrow contribution to tumor-associated myofibroblasts and fibroblasts. Cancer Res 64(23):8492–8495

24. Doglioni G, Parik S, Fendt SM (2019) Interactions in the (pre) metastatic niche support metastasis formation. Front Oncol 9:219

25. Dominguez CX et al (2020) Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Reveals Stromal Evolution into LRRC15(+) Myofibroblasts as a Determinant of patient response to cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Discov 10(2):232–253

26. Donahue TR et al (2014) Stromal microRNA-21 levels predict response to 5-fluorouracil in patients with pancreatic cancer. J Surg Oncol 110(8):952–959

27. Donnarumma E et al (2017) Cancer-associated fibroblasts release exosomal microRNAs that dictate an aggressive phenotype in breast cancer. Oncotarget 8(12):19592–19608

28. Drew J, Machesky LM (2021) The liver metastatic niche: modelling the extracellular matrix in metastasis. Dis Model Mech 14(4):dmm048801. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.048801

29. Elyada E et al (2019) Cross-species single-cell analysis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma reveals antigen-presenting cancer-associated fibroblasts. Cancer Discov 9(8):1102–1123

30. Erkan M et al (2010) Organ-, inflammation- and cancer specific transcriptional fingerprints of pancreatic and hepatic stellate cells. Mol Cancer 9:88

31. Fang Y et al (2019) Exosomal miRNA-106b from cancer-associated fibroblast promotes gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. Exp Cell Res 383(1):111543

32. Feig C et al (2012) The pancreas cancer microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res 18(16):4266–4276

33. Feng YH, Tsao CJ (2016) Emerging role of microRNA-21 in cancer. Biomed Rep 5(4):395–402

34. Garcia PE et al (2020) Differential contribution of pancreatic fibroblast subsets to the pancreatic cancer stroma. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 10(3):581–599

35. Givel AM, Kieffer Y, Scholer-Dahirel A, Sirven P, Cardon M, Pelon F, Magagna I, Gentric G, Costa A, Bonneau C, Mieulet V, Vincent-Salomon A, Mechta-Grigoriou F (2018) miR200-regulated CXCL12β promotes fibroblast heterogeneity and immunosuppression in ovarian cancers. Nat Commun 9(1):1056. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03348-z

36. Giovannetti E et al (2010) MicroRNA-21 in pancreatic cancer: correlation with clinical outcome and pharmacologic aspects underlying its role in the modulation of gemcitabine activity. Cancer Res 70(11):4528–4538

37. Goto T et al (2018) An elevated expression of serum exosomal microRNA-191, - 21, -451a of pancreatic neoplasm is considered to be efficient diagnostic marker. BMC Cancer 18(1):116

38. Greither T et al (2010) Elevated expression of microRNAs 155, 203, 210 and 222 in pancreatic tumors is associated with poorer survival. Int J Cancer 126(1):73–80

39. Grunwald B et al (2016) Pancreatic premalignant lesions secrete tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1, which activates hepatic stellate cells via CD63 signaling to create a premetastatic niche in the liver. Gastroenterology 151(5):1011-1024.e7

40. Gupta P et al (2019) TGF-beta induces liver fibrosis via miRNA-181a-mediated down regulation of augmenter of liver regeneration in hepatic stellate cells. PLoS ONE 14(6):e0214534

41. Hanna J, Hossain GS, Kocerha J (2019) The potential for microRNA therapeutics and clinical research. Front Genet 10:478

42. Hayes J, Peruzzi PP, Lawler S (2014) MicroRNAs in cancer: biomarkers, functions and therapy. Trends Mol Med 20(8):460–469

43. Hessmann E, Patzak MS, Klein L, Chen N, Kari V, Ramu I, Bapiro TE, Frese KK, Gopinathan A, Richards FM, Jodrell DI, Verbeke C, Li X, Heuchel R, Löhr JM, Johnsen SA, Gress TM, Ellenrieder V, Neesse A (2017) Fibroblast drug scavenging increases intratumoural gemcitabine accumulation in murine pancreas cancer. Gut 67(3):497–507. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-311954

44. Hosaka K et al (2016) Pericyte-fibroblast transition promotes tumor growth and metastasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113(38):E5618–E5627

45. Hoshino A et al (2015) Tumour exosome integrins determine organotropic metastasis. Nature 527(7578):329–335

46. Houg DS, Bijlsma MF (2018) The hepatic pre-metastatic niche in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Mol Cancer 17(1):95

47. Hruban RH et al (2000) Progression model for pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res 6(8):2969–2972

48. Hsu YL et al (2020) Bone-marrow-derived cell-released extracellular vesicle miR-92a regulates hepatic pre-metastatic niche in lung cancer. Oncogene 39(4):739–753

49. Huang JL et al (2020) Hepatic stellate cells promote the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma through microRNA-1246-RORalpha-Wnt/beta-Catenin axis. Cancer Lett 476:140–151

50. Hutton C, Heider F, Blanco-Gomez A, Banyard A, Kononov A, Zhang X, Karim S, Paulus-Hock V, Watt D, Steele N, Kemp S, Hogg EKJ, Kelly J, Jackstadt RF, Lopes F, Menotti M, Chisholm L, Lamarca A, Valle J, Sansom OJ, Springer C, Malliri A, Marais R, Pasca di Magliano M, Zelenay S, Morton JP, Jørgensen C (2021) Single-cell analysis defines a pancreatic fibroblast lineage that supports anti-tumor immunity. Cancer Cell 39(9):1227–1244.e20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.06.017

51. Ichimura A et al (2011) miRNAs and regulation of cell signaling. FEBS J 278(10):1610–1618

52. Iwano M et al (2002) Evidence that fibroblasts derive from epithelium during tissue fibrosis. J Clin Invest 110(3):341–350

53. Jacobetz MA et al (2013) Hyaluronan impairs vascular function and drug delivery in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Gut 62(1):112–120

54. Kadera BE et al (2013) MicroRNA-21 in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tumor-associated fibroblasts promotes metastasis. PLoS ONE 8(8):e71978

55. Kalluri R (2016) The biology and function of fibroblasts in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 16(9):582–598

56. Kidd S et al (2012) Origins of the tumor microenvironment: quantitative assessment of adipose-derived and bone marrow-derived stroma. PLoS ONE 7(2):e30563

57. Kieffer Y et al (2020) Single-cell analysis reveals fibroblast clusters linked to immunotherapy resistance in cancer. Cancer Discov 10(9):1330–1351

58. Kim J et al (2018) MicroRNAs and metastasis: small RNAs play big roles. Cancer Metastasis Rev 37(1):5–15

59. Kleeff J et al (2016) Pancreatic cancer. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2:16022

60. Kunita A et al (2018) MicroRNA-21 in cancer-associated fibroblasts supports lung adenocarcinoma progression. Sci Rep 8(1):8838

61. Kwon JJ et al (2015) Pathophysiological role of microRNA-29 in pancreatic cancer stroma. Sci Rep 5:11450

62. Lai X et al (2017) A microRNA signature in circulating exosomes is superior to exosomal glypican-1 levels for diagnosing pancreatic cancer. Cancer Lett 393:86–93

63. Lambrechts D et al (2018) Phenotype molding of stromal cells in the lung tumor microenvironment. Nat Med 24(8):1277–1289

64. Lei J et al (2014) alpha-Mangostin inhibits hypoxia-driven ROS-induced PSC activation and pancreatic cancer cell invasion. Cancer Lett 347(1):129–138

65. Li H et al (2017) Reference component analysis of single-cell transcriptomes elucidates cellular heterogeneity in human colorectal tumors. Nat Genet 49(5):708–718

66. Lu J et al (2005) MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature 435(7043):834–838

67. Ma Q et al (2020) Upregulation of exosomal microRNA21 in pancreatic stellate cells promotes pancreatic cancer cell migration and enhances Ras/ERK pathway activity. Int J Oncol 56(4):1025–1033

68. Madhavan B et al (2015) Combined evaluation of a panel of protein and miRNA serum-exosome biomarkers for pancreatic cancer diagnosis increases sensitivity and specificity. Int J Cancer 136(11):2616–2627

69. Masamune A et al (2014) Alteration of the microRNA expression profile during the activation of pancreatic stellate cells. Scand J Gastroenterol 49(3):323–331

70. Masamune A et al (2018) Exosomes derived from pancreatic cancer cells induce activation and profibrogenic activities in pancreatic stellate cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 495(1):71–77

71. McCarroll JA et al (2006) Vitamin A inhibits pancreatic stellate cell activation: implications for treatment of pancreatic fibrosis. Gut 55(1):79–89

72. McCarroll JA et al (2014) Role of pancreatic stellate cells in chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. Front Physiol 5:141

73. Midwood KS et al (2016) Tenascin-C at a glance. J Cell Sci 129(23):4321–4327

74. Mielgo A, Schmid MC (2020) Liver tropism in cancer: the hepatic metastatic niche. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 10(3):a037259. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a037259

75. Mishra PJ et al (2008) Carcinoma-associated fibroblast-like differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. Cancer Res 68(11):4331–4339

76. Mitra AK et al (2012) MicroRNAs reprogram normal fibroblasts into cancer-associated fibroblasts in ovarian cancer. Cancer Discov 2(12):1100–1108

77. Moriyama T et al (2009) MicroRNA-21 modulates biological functions of pancreatic cancer cells including their proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance. Mol Cancer Ther 8(5):1067–1074

78. Neault M, Mallette FA, Richard S (2016) miR-137 modulates a tumor suppressor network-inducing senescence in pancreatic cancer cells. Cell Rep 14(8):1966–1978

79. Neesse A et al (2019) Stromal biology and therapy in pancreatic cancer: ready for clinical translation? Gut 68(1):159–171

80. Nielsen SR et al (2016) Macrophage-secreted granulin supports pancreatic cancer metastasis by inducing liver fibrosis. Nat Cell Biol 18(5):549–560

81. Öhlund D et al (2017) Distinct populations of inflammatory fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in pancreatic cancer. J Exp Med 214(3):579–596

82. Ohlund D, Elyada E, Tuveson D (2014) Fibroblast heterogeneity in the cancer wound. J Exp Med 211(8):1503–1523

83. Olive KP et al (2009) Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling enhances delivery of chemotherapy in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Science 324(5933):1457–1461

84. Omary MB et al (2007) The pancreatic stellate cell: a star on the rise in pancreatic diseases. J Clin Invest 117(1):50–59

85. Oue N et al (2014) High miR-21 expression from FFPE tissues is associated with poor survival and response to adjuvant chemotherapy in colon cancer. Int J Cancer 134(8):1926–1934

86. Ozdemir BC et al (2014) Depletion of carcinoma-associated fibroblasts and fibrosis induces immunosuppression and accelerates pancreas cancer with reduced survival. Cancer Cell 25(6):719–734

87. Pan Z et al (2020) Role of microRNAs in remodeling the tumor microenvironment (Review). Int J Oncol 56(2):407–416

88. Pang W et al (2015) Pancreatic cancer-secreted miR-155 implicates in the conversion from normal fibroblasts to cancer-associated fibroblasts. Cancer Sci 106(10):1362–1369

89. Park JK et al (2009) Antisense inhibition of microRNA-21 or -221 arrests cell cycle, induces apoptosis, and sensitizes the effects of gemcitabine in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Pancreas 38(7):e190–e199

90. Peng Y, Croce CM (2016) The role of microRNAs in human cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther 1:15004

91. Perez-Mancera PA et al (2012) What we have learned about pancreatic cancer from mouse models. Gastroenterology 142(5):1079–1092

92. Provenzano PP et al (2012) Enzymatic targeting of the stroma ablates physical barriers to treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell 21(3):418–429

93. Pu X et al (2020) Elevated expression of exosomal microRNA-21 as a potential biomarker for the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer using a tethered cationic lipoplex nanoparticle biochip. Oncol Lett 19(3):2062–2070

94. Puram SV et al (2017) Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of primary and metastatic tumor ecosystems in head and neck cancer. Cell 171(7):1611-1624.e24

95. Que R et al (2013) Analysis of serum exosomal microRNAs and clinicopathologic features of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. World J Surg Oncol 11:219

96. Rahib L et al (2021) Estimated projection of US cancer incidence and death to 2040. JAMA Netw Open 4(4):e214708

97. Rath N et al (2017) ROCK signaling promotes collagen remodeling to facilitate invasive pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tumor cell growth. EMBO Mol Med 9(2):198–218

98. Reilkoff RA, Bucala R, Herzog EL (2011) Fibrocytes: emerging effector cells in chronic inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol 11(6):427–435

99. Rhim AD et al (2014) Stromal elements act to restrain, rather than support, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell 25(6):735–747

100. Richards KE et al (2017) Cancer-associated fibroblast exosomes regulate survival and proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells. Oncogene 36(13):1770–1778

101. Rupaimoole R et al (2016) miRNA deregulation in cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Discov 6(3):235–246

102. Ryan BM, Robles AI, Harris CC (2010) Genetic variation in microRNA networks: the implications for cancer research. Nat Rev Cancer 10(6):389–402

103. Sahai E et al (2020) A framework for advancing our understanding of cancer-associated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Cancer 20(3):174–186

104. Sandoval P et al (2013) Carcinoma-associated fibroblasts derive from mesothelial cells via mesothelial-to-mesenchymal transition in peritoneal metastasis. J Pathol 231(4):517–531

105. Sarper M et al (2016) ATRA modulates mechanical activation of TGF-beta by pancreatic stellate cells. Sci Rep 6:27639

106. Schipper J et al (2020) Loss of microRNA-21 leads to profound stromal remodeling and short survival in K-Ras-driven mouse models of pancreatic cancer. Int J Cancer 147(8):2265–2278

107. Schoepp M, Ströse AJ, Haier J (2017) Dysregulation of miRNA expression in cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and its consequences on the tumor microenvironment. Cancers (Basel) 9(6):54. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers9060054

108. Sempere LF et al (2021) Role of non-coding RNAs in tumor progression and metastasis in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 40(3):761–776

109. Si ML et al (2007) miR-21-mediated tumor growth. Oncogene 26(19):2799–2803

110. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2020) Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin 70(1):7–30

111. Somerville TD, Biffi G, Daßler-Plenker J, Hur SK, He XY, Vance KE, Miyabayashi K, Xu Y, Maia-Silva D, Klingbeil O, Demerdash OE, Preall JB, Hollingsworth MA, Egeblad M, Tuveson DA, Vakoc CR (2020) Squamous trans-differentiation of pancreatic cancer cells promotes stromal inflammation. Elife 9:e53381. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53381

112. Starzynska T et al (2013) An intensified systemic trafficking of bone marrow-derived stem/progenitor cells in patients with pancreatic cancer. J Cell Mol Med 17(6):792–799

113. Suzuki HI et al (2015) MicroRNA regulons in tumor microenvironment. Oncogene 34(24):3085–3094

114. Suzuki R et al (2018) Fibrosis-related miRNAs as serum biomarkers for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Oncotarget 9(4):4451–4460

115. Szafranska AE et al (2007) MicroRNA expression alterations are linked to tumorigenesis and non-neoplastic processes in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Oncogene 26(30):4442–4452

116. Tsuchida T, Friedman SL (2017) Mechanisms of hepatic stellate cell activation. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 14(7):397–411

117. Uddin MH, Al-Hallak MN, Philip PA, Mohammad RM, Viola N, Wagner KU, Azmi AS (2021) Exosomal microRNA in pancreatic cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment: from bench to bedside. Cancers (Basel) 13(11):2777. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13112777

118. Valadi H et al (2007) Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. Nat Cell Biol 9(6):654–659

119. Vickman RE et al (2020) Heterogeneity of human prostate carcinoma-associated fibroblasts implicates a role for subpopulations in myeloid cell recruitment. Prostate 80(2):173–185

120. Volinia S et al (2006) A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103(7):2257–2261

121. Wang X, Wang X, Xu M, Sheng W (2021) Effects of CAF-derived microRNA on tumor biology and clinical applications. Cancers (Basel) 13(13):3160. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13133160

122. Wen M et al (2016) Involvement of miR-30c in hepatic stellate cell activation through the repression of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1. Life Sci 155:21–28

123. Xiao J et al (2014) microRNA-137 modulates pancreatic cancer cells tumor growth, invasion and sensitivity to chemotherapy. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 7(11):7442–7450

124. Yachida S et al (2012) Clinical significance of the genetic landscape of pancreatic cancer and implications for identification of potential long-term survivors. Clin Cancer Res 18(22):6339–6347

125. Yang F et al (2017) Exosomal miRNAs and miRNA dysregulation in cancer-associated fibroblasts. Mol Cancer 16(1):148

126. Yin C et al (2013) Hepatic stellate cells in liver development, regeneration, and cancer. J Clin Invest 123(5):1902–1910

127. Yu F et al (2015) MicroRNA-17-5p activates hepatic stellate cells through targeting of Smad7. Lab Invest 95(7):781–789

128. Zeisberg EM et al (2007) Discovery of endothelial to mesenchymal transition as a source for carcinoma-associated fibroblasts. Cancer Res 67(21):10123–10128

129. Zhang Z et al (2013) The autoregulatory feedback loop of microRNA-21/programmed cell death protein 4/activation protein-1 (MiR-21/PDCD4/AP-1) as a driving force for hepatic fibrosis development. J Biol Chem 288(52):37082–37093

130. Zhang L et al (2018) Micro-RNA-21 regulates cancer-associated fibroblast-mediated drug resistance in pancreatic cancer. Oncol Res 26(6):827–835

131. Zhang Y et al (2020) Regulatory T-cell depletion alters the tumor microenvironment and accelerates pancreatic carcinogenesis. Cancer Discov 10(3):422–439

132. Zhao J et al (2014) MiR-21 simultaneously regulates ERK1 signaling in HSC activation and hepatocyte EMT in hepatic fibrosis. PLoS ONE 9(10):e108005

133. Zhou Y et al (2018) Hepatocellular carcinoma-derived exosomal miRNA-21 contributes to tumor progression by converting hepatocyte stellate cells to cancer-associated fibroblasts. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 37(1):324