Ac © Û

# **The Transcriptional Response to Lung-Targeting Lipid Nanoparticles** *in Vivo*

Afsane [Radmand,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Afsane+Radmand"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Melissa P. [Lokugamage,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Melissa+P.+Lokugamage"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Hyejin](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Hyejin+Kim"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Kim, Curtis [Dobrowolski,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Curtis+Dobrowolski"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Ryan [Zenhausern,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Ryan+Zenhausern"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) David [Loughrey,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="David+Loughrey"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Sebastian G. [Huayamares,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Sebastian+G.+Huayamares"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Marine](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Marine+Z.+C.+Hatit"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Z. C. Hatit, [Huanzhen](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Huanzhen+Ni"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Ni, Ada Del [Cid,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Ada+Del+Cid"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Alejandro](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Alejandro+J.+Da+Silva+Sanchez"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) J. Da Silva Sanchez, Kalina [Paunovska,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Kalina+Paunovska"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Elisa Schrader [Echeverri,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Elisa+Schrader+Echeverri"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Aram [Shajii,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Aram+Shajii"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Hannah](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Hannah+Peck"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Peck, Philip J. [Santangelo,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Philip+J.+Santangelo"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and James E. [Dahlman](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="James+E.+Dahlman"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-7-0)



these LNPs remains understudied. To evaluate the hypothesis that charged LNPs lead to differential cellular responses, we quantified how 137 LNPs delivered mRNA to 19 cell types *in vivo*. Consistent with previous studies, we observed helper lipid-dependent tropism. After identifying and individually characterizing three LNPs that targeted

n <sub>19</sub>73 n  $\mathbb{Z}$  $\Omega$ O Lung-targeting Single-cell Analysis of cell LNP in vivo RNA sequencing response to LNP

different tissues, we studied the *in vivo* transcriptomic response to these using single-cell RNA sequencing. Out of 835 potential pathways, 27 were upregulated in the lung, and of these 27, 19 were related to either RNA or protein metabolism. These data suggest that endogenous cellular RNA and protein machinery affects mRNA delivery to the lung *in vivo*.

KEYWORDS: *DNA barcode, LNP, lipid nanoparticle, mRNA, single-cell RNA sequencing, scRNA-seq*

Lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) are clinically relevant delivery<br>systems that have delivered mRNA-based COVID<br>wascines after intronuccular administration  $^{1,2}$  mPNA and vaccines after intramuscular administration, $1,2$  $1,2$  $1,2$  mRNA and single-guide  $RNA^{3,4}$  $RNA^{3,4}$  $RNA^{3,4}$  $RNA^{3,4}$  $RNA^{3,4}$  to hepatocytes after intravenous administration, and siRNA to hepatocytes after intravenous administration.<sup>[5](#page-8-0)</sup> These data justify the exploration of LNPs that target nonliver tissues.<sup>[6](#page-8-0)</sup> Scientists use three approaches to increase nonliver mRNA delivery. One is to pretreat animals with drugs that block the liver from taking up  $LNPs<sup>7</sup>$  $LNPs<sup>7</sup>$  $LNPs<sup>7</sup>$  or reduce the activity of mRNA once it reaches hepatocytes. $8,9$  A second is to add active targeting ligands to nanoparticles.<sup>[10](#page-8-0)</sup> For example, mRNA-loaded LNPs were targeted to Ly6c<sup>+</sup> leukocytes utilizing antibodies that were anchored into the lipid membrane.<sup>[11](#page-8-0)</sup> In another example, plasmalemma vesicleassociated protein-targeting LNPs were covalently conjugated with antibodies, which increased lung protein expression.<sup>[12](#page-8-0)</sup> A third approach is to change the chemical composition of the LNP. Clinical LNPs have consisted of four components: an ionizable or cationic lipid, a poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-lipid, a cholesterol, and a helper lipid. For example, when a cationic helper lipid was added to a liver-targeting LNP, it was redirected to lung endothelial cells. $^{13}$  $^{13}$  $^{13}$  In another example, RNA-lipoplexes were targeted to lymphoid-resident dendritic cells by titrating negative charge.<sup>14</sup> Finally, a fifth charged molecule was added to the LNP, improving tropism to the spleen and lung.<sup>15</sup> Notably, authors subsequently reported that these LNPs can bind different serum proteins, providing an insight into the biology of delivery.<sup>[16](#page-8-0)</sup> These studies led us to

hypothesize that changing the helper lipid could affect the internal cellular response to LNPs *in vivo*.

We first confirmed helper lipid-dependent tropism. We used a functional *in vivo* mRNA and DNA barcode system<sup>[17](#page-8-0)−[20](#page-8-0)</sup> to evaluate how 18 helper lipids affected tropism to 19 cell types *in vivo.* We microfluidically mixed $^{21}$  $^{21}$  $^{21}$  nanoparticle components with nucleic acids at a lipid:nucleic acid mass ratio of 10:1 ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1a). To isolate the effect of the helper lipid, the other three LNP components were previously validated; we used the ionizable lipid cKK-E12, a cholesterol, and two PEG-lipids. As a control to ensure delivery changes were not driven by a specific ratio of the four components, we formulated LNPs with four molar ratios [\(Figures](#page-1-0) 1b and [S1](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf)). Each of the 18 helper lipids was thus formulated eight times, creating 144 chemically distinct LNPs ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S2).

The 18 helper lipids varied in two traits. The first was charge: eight helper lipids were neutrally charged, seven were anionic, and three were cationic. Second, we varied helper lipid headgroup molecular weight and lipid tail saturation<sup>[22,23](#page-8-0)</sup> ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S2). After formulating the 144 LNPs, we investigated whether helper lipid composition influenced LNP hydro-

Received: November 15, 2022 Revised: January 23, 2023 Published: January 26, 2023





<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Characterizing how helper lipid composition influences LNP formation. (a, b) 144 chemically distinct LNPs were created by varying 18 helper lipids as well as the molar ratios of the LNPs' four components. (c) Hydrodynamic diameter of all individual LNPs (gray) as well as the pool of LNPs that were mixed together (purple). The diameter of the pool was within the range of the LNPs composing that pool. \**P* = 0.0127, \*\*\**P* = 0.0001, one-way ANOVA. (d, e) Diameter as a function of helper lipid. Average ± SEM. (f) Diameter as a function of helper lipid mole ratio. \*\**P* = 0.0034, one-way ANOVA, average  $\pm$  SEM.

dynamic diameter and polydispersity using dynamic light scattering (DLS). We observed a relationship between helper lipid charge and LNP hydrodynamic diameter: LNPs with anionic helper lipids tended to form smaller particles (Figure 1c). We then quantified LNP hydrodynamic diameter as a function of the 18 helper lipids (Figures 1d and [S2\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) but found no statistical relationship between helper lipids and size (Figure 1e). By measuring LNP hydrodynamic diameter as a function of helper lipid molar ratio, we found that LNPs with 27.5% of helper lipid formed smaller particles compared to those with 12% (Figure 1f). These results led us to conclude that stable four-component LNPs could be created using distinct helper lipids.

We then investigated whether helper lipid structure influenced mRNA delivery *in vivo*. We utilized Fast Identification of Nanoparticle Delivery (FIND) to quantify functional mRNA delivery (i.e., mRNA translated into protein) mediated by many LNPs in a single animal<sup>[17](#page-8-0)−[19](#page-8-0)</sup> [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 2a). In this system, LNP-1, with chemical structure 1, is formulated to carry Cre mRNA and DNA barcode 1; LNP-N, with chemical structure N, is formulated to carry Cre mRNA and DNA barcode N. LNPs with a hydrodynamic diameter less than 200 nm and a monodisperse DLS spectrum are pooled and administered to Ai14 mice. $24$  Cells in which Cre mRNA has been translated into functional Cre protein, which edits the genome by excising the Lox-Stop construct from genomic DNA, become tdTomato<sup>+</sup>. tdTomato<sup>+</sup> cells are subsequently sequenced to identify barcodes in functionally transfected cells. Thus, functional mRNA delivery is quantified as the percentage of tdTomato<sup>+</sup> cells, and the contribution of each LNP is quantified by sequencing as normalized delivery<sup>[25](#page-8-0)</sup> ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S3a).

We performed three experiments, creating one library for each lipid charge. Of the 24 cationic LNPs we formulated, 23 met our 200 nm and single DLS peak selection criteria. These LNPs were pooled and intravenously injected into four mice at a total dose of 1.0 mg/kg nucleic acid (i.e., 0.043 mg/kg/LNP, on average). We formulated 64 LNPs with a neutral helper lipid; 59 met pooling criteria and were administered at a total dose of 1.0 mg/kg nucleic acid. Finally, we formulated 56 <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Nano Letters [pubs.acs.org/NanoLett](pubs.acs.org/NanoLett?ref=pdf) Letter** *pubs.acs.org/NanoLett* **<b>Letter Letter** 



Figure 2. Helper lipid charge influences LNP *in vivo* tropism at the cellular level. (a) LNPs were formulated to carry a DNA barcode and Cre mRNA; 137 LNPs were pooled into their respective libraries and were then administered to Ai14 mice. After 4 days, tdTomato signal was quantified and tdTomato+ cells were isolated. Next-generation sequencing identified LNPs that functionally transfected cells *in vivo*. (b) Normalized delivery of all LNPs across all cell types in each library. The control, unencapsulated barcode, delivered less efficiently than barcodes delivered by LNPs. tdTomato<sup>+</sup> signal in (c) lung, (d) liver, (e) spleen, (f) heart, and (g) kidney cells. These data suggest preferential delivery to lung cell types by the cationic LNPs; no delivery was observed by the neutral and anionic LNP pools to lung cell types. \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001, \*\*\**P* < 0.0006, \*\**P* < 0.01, \**P* < 0.03, one-way ANOVA, average ± SEM. (h) Normalized delivery as a function of hydrodynamic diameter.

LNPs with an anionic helper lipid, of which 55 met pooling criteria and were administered to mice at a total dose of 1.0 mg/kg nucleic acid. Thus, across the three screens, we formulated 144 LNPs and injected 137 LNPs. Four days later, we sacrificed mice and quantified the percentage of tdTomato<sup>+</sup> cells for 19 cell types, using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)-treated mice as tdTomato gating controls [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) [S3b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). As a sequencing control, we included a DNA barcode

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3. Top LNP from cationic screen delivers mRNA potently to lung ECs. (a) An LNP was identified from the cationic screen and named Cat-LNP. (b) The Cat-LNP delivered to lungs more than liver. A cationic helper lipid, 18:0 DDAB and cKK-E12, cholesterol, and C14PEG2000 were used to formulate the Cat-LNP. The Cat-LNP was administered to mice intravenously at a dose of 1.3 mg/kg. Four days later, tdTomato<sup>+</sup> cells were quantified. We found that the Cat-LNP delivered Cre mRNA significantly to lung ECs, heart ECs, and liver Kupffer cells. \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001, \*\**P* < 0.006, two-way ANOVA, average ± SEM. (c, d) Lung and liver tissues were imaged, and tdTomato signal was quantified. Scale bars represent 100 *μ*m. Diam.: Diameter in nm; Zeta: Zeta potential in mV; Encap Eff.: Encapsulation efficiency in %.

that was not encapsulated in an LNP. This barcode should be delivered into cells less efficiently than barcodes carried in LNPs. As expected, unencapsulated barcodes were delivered inefficiently [\(Figures](#page-2-0) 2b and [S4](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf)). Relative to PBS-treated mice, mice treated with LNPs did not lose weight ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S5).

We then quantified mRNA delivery as a function of helper lipid charge in five lung cell types ([Figure](#page-2-0) 2c). Lung cells in mice treated with cationic LNPs became tdTomato<sup>+</sup>; lung cells in mice treated with neutral or anionic LNPs did not [\(Figure](#page-2-0) [2](#page-2-0)c). In cationic LNP-treated mice, we observed over 50% tdTomato+ lung endothelial cells (ECs). Between 10% and 25% of the lung dendritic cells (DCs), T cells, B cells, and monocytes were tdTomato<sup>+</sup>, suggesting that the LNPs first targeted the endothelial cells, which are accessible from the bloodstream, and then targeted less accessible cells. In contrast,

LNPs containing neutral or anionic helper lipids did not lead to measurable lung mRNA delivery but did lead to liver delivery [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 2d). In the spleen, heart, and kidney, we observed delivery, albeit at lower levels ([Figure](#page-2-0) 2e−g). These data suggest that cationic helper lipid charge within fourcomponent LNPs can increase the lung:liver delivery ratio. We observed no relationship between LNP hydrodynamic diameter and delivery ([Figure](#page-2-0) 2h).

We used the barcoding readout to identify relationships between lipid structure and *in vivo* delivery ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S6). First, we explored whether helper lipid molar ratio affected LNP normalized delivery. In the neutral screen, LNPs with 27.5% of helper lipid had a higher normalized delivery compared to those with 12% and 44.5%. We did not observe a similar relationship in the cationic and anionic screens [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S7).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Capillary ECs (1) Vascular ECs (2)  $VCAM-1$ <sup>+</sup> ECs (3) Lymphatic ECs (4) Smooth muscle cells (5) Myofibroblasts (6) Unclassified fibroblasts (7) Interstitial fibroblasts (8) Lipofibroblasts (9) Lipofibroblasts 2 (10) NK cells (11) B cells (12) Classical monocytes (13) Neutrophils + Eosinophils (14)  $CD4+T$  cells (15)  $CD8<sup>+</sup>T$  cells (16) Interstitial macrophages (17) Alveolar macrophages (18) CD2097CD11b<sup>+</sup>DCs (19) CD1037CD11b<sup>-</sup>DCs (20) Type2 pneumocytes (21) Ciliated cells (22) Unclassified CD326<sup>+</sup>cells (23) Type1 pneumocytes (24) Club cells (25) Goblet cells (26) Megakaryocytes (27) C 100  $a$ VHH $+$  cells  $\%$ 75 50

Figure 4. Top LNP from cationic screen (Cat-LNP) potently delivers aVHH mRNA to lung ECs. (a) Unsupervised clustering partitioned the lung into clusters of endothelial cells, fibroblasts, epithelial cells, and immune cell subtypes. (b) Cat-LNP delivered aVHH mRNA to subtypes of lung ECs more than the control group (Neu-LNP, An-LNP, and PBS) at the 1 h time point. (c) The percentage of aVHH<sup>+</sup> cells for each of the 27 clusters.

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Second, we calculated the fold change enrichment of each helper lipid in the lung, liver, and spleen. Enrichment<sup>28</sup> determines how often a specific chemical property appears in particles that performed in the top 10% and bottom 10%, relative to chance; fold enrichment is calculated by subtracting enrichment in the bottom 10% from enrichment in the top 10% [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S8a). Enrichment analyses [\(Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S8−S11) suggested four relationships between lipid structure and delivery. First, 18:0 dimethyldioctadecylammonium (DDAB) was the most enriched cationic helper lipid in all cell types, across all tissues [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S8b−d). Second, 18:1 1,2-dioleoyl-snglycero-3-phosphate (PA) was most enriched in all liver and spleen cell types in the anionic library [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S9a−c). Third, 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DSPC) was positively enriched across liver and spleen cell types [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) [S10a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf)−c) and was found in the best-performing LNP (highest

25

 $\overline{2}$ 3  $\overline{4}$ 5

-1

 $\overline{7}$ 8

6

0<br>cluster

normalized delivery) from the neutral screen [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S6a). Fourth, helper lipids with smaller headgroups tended to promote LNP delivery more than helper lipids with larger headgroups; we compared the enrichment of four neutral helper lipids with the same tail but different headgroups. Headgroups with lower molecular weights, ammonium and methylamine, were positively enriched, whereas headgroups with higher molecular weights, dimethylamine and trimethylamine, were negatively enriched ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S11a−c). These data suggest that lipid self-assembly and packing, which are influenced by headgroup size,[29](#page-8-0) influence *in vivo* delivery.

Predictions made by high-throughput *in vivo* screens should be confirmed using individual LNPs. Thus, we characterized a lead LNP from each library. We named the lead LNP from the cationic screen Cat-LNP [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 3a); the winners from the neutral and anionic screens were similarly named Neu-LNP

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 5. Cat-LNP significantly changes the transcriptomic profile of lung ECs and leads to upregulation of pathways related to metabolism of proteins (R-MMU-392499). (a) Differentially expressed genes in lung ECs in response to Cat-LNP when compared to control groups, i.e., PBS, Neu-LNP, and An-LNP. Purple dots represent the top 10 upregulated genes, *P*-value < 0.05. (b) Reactome pathway analysis with significantly upregulated pathways highlighted and listed, *P*-value < 0.001.

and An-LNP (Figure [S15a,c\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). All three lead LNPs formed monodisperse structures characterized by DLS and transmission electron microscopy [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S12).

We intravenously injected Cat-LNP carrying Cre mRNA into Ai14 mice at a dose of 1.3 mg/kg; 4 days later, we quantified the percentage of tdTomato<sup>+</sup> cells in the lungs, liver, spleen, kidney, and heart. Cat-LNP showed over 90% mRNA encapsulation efficiency and positive zeta potential ([Figure](#page-3-0) 3a). Consistent with the screen, flow cytometry revealed that Cat-LNP delivered mRNA to multiple cell types in the lung ([Figures](#page-3-0) 3b and [S13a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) more than the liver. This was confirmed by tdTomato imaging [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 3c,d). Cat-LNP also delivered mRNA to kidney and heart endothelial cells ([Figures](#page-3-0) 3b and [S13a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). We then intravenously injected Cat-LNP at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg, comparing it to a previously reported lung-targeting  $LNP<sup>13</sup>$  $LNP<sup>13</sup>$  $LNP<sup>13</sup>$  ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S14a). Compared to the control LNP, Cat-LNP significantly enhanced functional mRNA delivery to heart and kidney endothelial cells ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S14b−f).

Next, we confirmed the activity of Neu-LNP ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S15a). As predicted by the screen, Neu-LNP preferentially delivered to liver cell types [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S15b). We also noted delivery to splenic DCs and kidney ECs and monocytes ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S13b). Finally, we tested An-LNP ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S15c). We observed preferential delivery to liver cell types [\(Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S15d and [S13c\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) with the highest delivery to endothelial cells [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) [S15d\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). To complement these tdTomato readouts, which quantify functional delivery, we analyzed Cat-LNP, Neu-LNP, and An-LNP using QUANT, a PCR-based approach for measuring LNP biodistribution.<sup>27</sup> Consistent with our Cremediated functional mRNA delivery readouts, Cat-LNP biodistribution was higher in the lung compared to Neu-LNP and An-LNP [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S16a−c).

To control for potential mRNA sequence-specific effects, we formulated Cat-LNP, Neu-LNP, and An-LNP with mRNA encoding anchored vHH  $(aVHH)^{30-32}$  $(aVHH)^{30-32}$  $(aVHH)^{30-32}$  $(aVHH)^{30-32}$  $(aVHH)^{30-32}$  and intravenously injected them into BL/6 mice at a dose of 1.3 mg/kg [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) [S17a,b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). Cat-LNP again delivered aVHH mRNA to all lung cell types, whereas Neu-LNP and An-LNP did not [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) [S17d\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). We then quantified serum cytokines 6 h after LNP administration, using lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as a positive control. Compared to PBS-injected mice, we observed cytokine elevation at 6 h [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S18). Early cytokine increases are observed in clinical LNPs and typically resolve by 24  $h<sup>33</sup>$ We also monitored weight loss and found no change relative to PBS-treated mice ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S19).

Given that Cat-LNP, Neu-LNP, and An-LNP targeted different tissues, we reasoned that they could be used to study the transcriptional response to LNPs in the lung. We therefore utilized single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to understand how lung cells responded after mice were injected with LNPs. We intravenously injected BL/6 mice with Cat-LNP, Neu-LNP, and An-LNP carrying aVHH mRNA at a dose of 1.0 mg/kg. One hour later, we sacrificed the mice and isolated single cells from the lungs. We processed the resulting data using Seurat<sup>[34](#page-9-0)</sup> and analyzed it through BBrowser from BioTuring.<sup>[35](#page-9-0)</sup> Unsupervised clustering partitioned the lung cells into 27 clusters ([Figures](#page-4-0) 4a and [S20a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf)). These cell populations were consistent with previous scRNA-seq data sets from the  $\text{lung}^{36,37}$  $\text{lung}^{36,37}$  $\text{lung}^{36,37}$  $\text{lung}^{36,37}$  $\text{lung}^{36,37}$  and did not change in mice treated with LNPs relative to mice treated with PBS [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 4a).

To analyze the delivery in all 27 lung cell types, we first used BBrowser to visualize the clusters using T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding  $(t\text{-SNE})^{38}$  ([Figures](#page-4-0) 4a and [S20a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf). We then created an aVHH pseudogene and overlaid it on the t-SNE, thereby analyzing the amount of aVHH mRNA

delivered with single-cell resolution. Consistent with flow cytometry results, we measured more aVHH reads in lung endothelial cells than other lung cells in mice treated with Cat-LNP ([Figures](#page-4-0) 4b and [S20b,c](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf)). The highest aVHH mRNA delivery was in capillary ECs (Ednrb<sup>+</sup>, Tmem100<sup>+</sup>, Vwf<sup>-</sup>), vascular ECs (Tmem100+ , Vwf<sup>−</sup>, Ednrb<sup>−</sup>), VCAM-1+ ECs (Vwf+ , Tmem100<sup>+</sup> , Plac8+ ), and interestingly, lymphatic ECs (Mmrn1+ ). Cat-LNP also delivered aVHH mRNA to lung fibroblasts (Col1a2<sup>+</sup>), interstitial fibroblasts (Col1a2<sup>+</sup>, Dcn<sup>+</sup>, Inmt<sup>+</sup>), lipofibroblasts (Inmt<sup>+</sup>, Col1a2<sup>+</sup> (low), Dcn<sup>-</sup>), myofibroblasts (Col1a2<sup>+</sup>, Wif1<sup>+</sup>, Fgf18<sup>+</sup>, Aspn<sup>+</sup>), and smooth muscle cells (Col1a2<sup>+</sup>, Acta2<sup>+</sup>). We observed delivery to lung immune cells including classical monocytes (Plac8<sup>+</sup>, Ly6c2<sup>+</sup>), neutrophils  $(Ngp^+)$  and eosinophils  $(Cxcr2^+)$ , B cells  $(Cd79\bar{b}^+)$ , NK cells  $(Gzma^+)$ ,  $CD209^+$   $CD11b^+$  DCs (CD209a<sup>+</sup>, Ccl17<sup>+</sup>, Ear2<sup>+</sup>), CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (Trbc2<sup>+</sup>, Ly6c2<sup>+</sup>,  $Cd8b1<sup>+</sup>$ ), and interstitial macrophages  $(C1qb<sup>+</sup>, Prg4<sup>-</sup>)$ . Finally, we measured delivery to ciliated cells  $(CD326^+, Poxj1^+),$ CD326+ cells, type 1 pneumocytes (Rtkn2<sup>+</sup> ), and megakaryocytes (Ppbp<sup>+</sup> ) ([Figure](#page-4-0) 4c). Consistent with earlier results, Neu-LNP and An-LNP delivered less mRNA to the lung than Cat-LNP ([Figure](#page-4-0) 4b).

After confirming delivery to lung cells in mice treated with Cat-LNP, we quantified transcriptomic changes in lung endothelial cells. We used a differential expression tool in BBrowser to analyze genes that exhibited differential expression, comparing cells from mice treated with Cat-LNP to cells from mice treated with PBS, Neu-LNP, or An-LNP. We used volcano plots to visualize the data and included the genes with *P*-value < 0.05 [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5a). We found that 243 genes were significantly upregulated and 145, downregulated with Cat-LNP when compared to PBS; 283 were upregulated and 101, downregulated when compared to Neu-LNP; 213 were upregulated and 114, downregulated when compared to An-LNP.

Notably, of the top 10 upregulated genes when Cat-LNP was compared to PBS [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 5a, purple), eight (Nfkbia, Zfp36, Icam1, Rhob, Tnfaip3, Lmna, Myadm, and Fosb) were also upregulated when Cat-LNP was compared to Neu-LNP or An-LNP ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S21). This data led us to conclude that Neu-LNP and An-LNP did not substantially change the biological response in lung ECs, which is consistent with lower delivery to the lung.

The consistent transcriptomic response in Cat-LNP-treated mice, relative to the PBS-, Neu-LNP-, and An-LNP-treated mice, led us to conclude that the gene expression profile was valid. We therefore used the Reactome pathway database<sup>39</sup> to understand the cellular processes implicated by these changes. Of 835 potential pathways, 27 were enriched in mice treated with Cat-LNP (*P*-value < 0.001, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf) S22). Notably, 19 of the 27 were related to the metabolism of either RNA or protein. Specifically, 11 of the 27 pathways were related to protein metabolism (R-MMU-392499, [Figure](#page-5-0) 5b), including translation initiation (Reactome pathway ID: R-MMU-72649, R-MMU-72737, R-MMU-72613) and ribosomal assemblies required for subsequent translation (R-MMU-72702, R-MMU-72662, R-MMU-72689, R-MMU-72695, R-MMU-72706). Similarly, eight were related to RNA metabolism (R-MMU-8953854), including rRNA processing (R-MMU-72312, R-MMU-6791226, R-MMU-8868773) and regulation of mRNA stability (R-MMU-450531, R-MMU-450408). These data suggest that lung endothelial cells respond to LNPs carrying mRNA in part by upregulating genes related to the

manufacture and processing of RNA and subsequently produced proteins.

LNP-mRNA therapies will require a biological understanding of the way cells respond to these delivery systems. Here we found consistent evidence that a four-component LNP with a cationic helper lipid delivered mRNA to the lung more efficiently than LNPs with a neutral or anionic helper lipid. Interestingly, delivery within the lung, although highest in endothelial cells accessible from the blood, extended to other cell types, including subtypes that are difficult to assay using traditional techniques. By combining aVHH delivery with scRNA-seq, thereby analyzing delivery in transcriptionally defined cells, we found evidence of LNP delivery to fibroblasts, a suite of lung immune cells, lymphatic endothelial cells, and even epithelial cells. Notably, the efficiency of delivery across cell types was many-fold. Although additional work is required, this suggests that Cat-LNP may first saturate endothelial cells and then target additional cell types. In turn, this leads to two interesting questions. First, is this same effect observed in other tissues with continuous vasculature? Second, can LNPs be designed with tropism that is more evenly distributed across lung cell types? These data justify studies using scRNA-seq to characterize *in vivo* delivery as well as studies to identify the physical mechanism by which these LNPs access lung parenchyma.

When we analyzed the transcriptomic data, two facts struck us. First, only 27 of the 835 pathways were affected by LNP delivery. Second, 19 of the 27 were related to RNA and protein metabolism. These data suggest that cells respond to LNPmRNA drugs in large part by changing the way mRNA and subsequent proteins are processed. We noted that several steps related to early translation were impacted, including translation initiation, complex formation, and ribosomal scanning and start codon recognition. We were also especially interested in the role of cap-dependent translation initiation since synthetic mRNA can be rationally engineered for mRNA therapies.<sup>40</sup> The data provide a very early line of evidence that capdependent translation can be measured and, therefore, optimized *in vivo* after LNP treatment. The data also imply, but do not prove, that manipulating these pathways using small molecules could impact LNP delivery. We therefore envision future studies pretreating cells to "prime" them for LNP delivery. One limitation of this work is that we did not knock out genes *in vivo* and then observe changes in delivery, which is the gold standard $4^{41,42}$  $4^{41,42}$  $4^{41,42}$  required to confirm whether a specific gene affects delivery.

It is important to acknowledge other key limitations of this work. First, the experiments were performed in mice. The RNA and protein metabolism response will therefore need to be observed in larger animals. $31 \text{ second}$  $31 \text{ second}$ , the cellular response could change with the delivery vehicle. We envision repeating these studies with other nanomaterials. Third, the study does not include a systematic comparison of the microenvironmental response in different tissues; we hope that future work will address this question. Despite these limitations, we believe these data provide insights into the early cellular responses of LNP-mRNA drugs in a tissue that could be clinically relevant within the next few years.

# ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

## $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479?goto=supporting-info)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Materials and methods, LNPs molar ratios and helper lipid structures, example schematic of normalized delivery, cell type-specific markers, normalized delivery of LNPs, mice weight measures, enrichment analysis, TEM images of LNPs, tdTomato and aVHH protein expression quantification using FACS, biodistribution profiling, cytokine analysis, t-SNE plots, cell markers and aVHH mRNA expression profiling, aVHH mRNA expression in new cell types, volcano plots, eeactome pathways, and gating strategy for FACS [\(PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479/suppl_file/nl2c04479_si_001.pdf)

# ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

### **Corresponding Author**

James E. Dahlman − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0001-7580-436X;](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7580-436X) Email: [james.dahlman@emory.edu](mailto:james.dahlman@emory.edu)

#### **Authors**

- Afsane Radmand − *Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Biosciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States; Department of Chemical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Melissa P. Lokugamage − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Hyejin Kim − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Curtis Dobrowolski − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Ryan Zenhausern − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- David Loughrey − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Sebastian G. Huayamares − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Marine Z. C. Hatit − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Huanzhen Ni − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Ada Del Cid − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Alejandro J. Da Silva Sanchez − *Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Biosciences, Georgia Institute of*

*Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States; Department of Chemical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*

- Kalina Paunovska − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Elisa Schrader Echeverri − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Aram Shajii − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Hannah Peck − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States*
- Philip J. Santangelo − *Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0001-7352-0339](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7352-0339)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04479?ref=pdf)

#### **Funding**

The work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (R01-GM132985, awarded to J.E.D.; UG3-TR002855, awarded to J.E.D. and P.J.S.) and DARPA (PREPARE grant number HR00111920008, awarded to P.J.S. and J.E.D.).

# **Notes**

The authors declare the following competing financial  $interest(s)$ : J.E.D. is an advisor to GV. All other authors declare no competing interests.

### ■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank Karen Tiegren at Georgia Tech for copyediting the manuscript. TEM imaging was performed in part at the Materials Characterization Facility (MCF) at Georgia Tech. The MCF is jointly supported by the GT Institute for Materials (IMat) and the Institute for Electronics and Nanotechnology (IEN), which is a member of the National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant ECCS-2025462).

#### ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Baden, L. R.; El Sahly, H. M.; Essink, B.; Kotloff, K.; Frey, S.; Novak, R.; Diemert, D.; Spector, S. A.; Rouphael, N.; Creech, C. B.; McGettigan, J.; Khetan, S.; Segall, N.; Solis, J.; Brosz, A.; Fierro, C.; Schwartz, H.; Neuzil, K.; Corey, L.; Gilbert, P.; Janes, H.; Follmann, D.; Marovich, M.; Mascola, J.; Polakowski, L.; Ledgerwood, J.; Graham, B. S.; Bennett, H.; Pajon, R.; Knightly, C.; Leav, B.; Deng, W.; Zhou, H.; Han, S.; Ivarsson, M.; Miller, J.; Zaks, T. [Efficacy](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2035389) and Safety of the mRNA-1273 [SARS-CoV-2](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2035389) Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2021, *384* (5), 403−416.

(2) Polack, F. P.; Thomas, S. J.; Kitchin, N.; Absalon, J.; Gurtman, A.; Lockhart, S.; Perez, J. L.; Pérez Marc, G.; Moreira, E. D.; Zerbini, C.; Bailey, R.; Swanson, K. A.; Roychoudhury, S.; Koury, K.; Li, P.; Kalina, W. V.; Cooper, D.; Frenck, R. W.; Hammitt, L. L.; Türeci, Ö .; Nell, H.; Schaefer, A.; Ü nal, S.; Tresnan, D. B.; Mather, S.; Dormitzer, P. R.; Şahin, U.; Jansen, K. U.; Gruber, W. C. Safety and [Efficacy](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577) of <span id="page-8-0"></span>the [BNT162b2](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577) mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020, *383* (27), 2603−2615.

(3) *Intellia and Regeneron Announce Updated Phase 1 Data Demonstrating a Single Dose of NTLA-2001, an Investigational CRISPR Therapy for Transthyretin (ATTR) Amyloidosis, Resulted in Rapid, Deep and Sustained Reduction in Disease-Causing Protein*; [https://ir.intelliatx.](https://ir.intelliatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/intellia-and-regeneron-announce-updated-phase-1-data) [com/news-releases/news-release-details/intellia-and-regeneron](https://ir.intelliatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/intellia-and-regeneron-announce-updated-phase-1-data)[announce-updated-phase-1-data](https://ir.intelliatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/intellia-and-regeneron-announce-updated-phase-1-data) (February 28, 2022).

(4) Gillmore, J. D.; Gane, E.; Taubel, J.; Kao, J.; Fontana, M.; Maitland, M. L.; Seitzer, J.; O'Connell, D.; Walsh, K. R.; Wood, K.; Phillips, J.; Xu, Y.; Amaral, A.; Boyd, A. P.; Cehelsky, J. E.; McKee, M. D.; Schiermeier, A.; Harari, O.; Murphy, A.; Kyratsous, C. A.; Zambrowicz, B.; Soltys, R.; Gutstein, D. E.; Leonard, J.; Sepp-Lorenzino, L.; Lebwohl, D. [CRISPR-Cas9](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2107454) In Vivo Gene Editing for [Transthyretin](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2107454) Amyloidosis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2021, *385* (6), 493−502.

(5) Adams, D.; Gonzalez-Duarte, A.; O'Riordan, W. D.; Yang, C. C.; Ueda, M.; Kristen, A. V.; Tournev, I.; Schmidt, H. H.; Coelho, T.; Berk, J. L.; Lin, K. P.; Vita, G.; Attarian, S.; Plante-Bordeneuve, V.; Mezei, M. M.; Campistol, J. M.; Buades, J.; Brannagan, T. H., 3rd; Kim, B. J.; Oh, J.; Parman, Y.; Sekijima, Y.; Hawkins, P. N.; Solomon, S. D.; Polydefkis, M.; Dyck, P. J.; Gandhi, P. J.; Goyal, S.; Chen, J.; Strahs, A. L.; Nochur, S. V.; Sweetser, M. T.; Garg, P. P.; Vaishnaw, A. K.; Gollob, J. A.; Suhr, O. B. Patisiran, an RNAi [Therapeutic,](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1716153) for Hereditary [Transthyretin](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1716153) Amyloidosis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2018, *379* (1), 11−21.

(6) Loughrey, D.; Dahlman, J. E. [Non-liver](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.1c00601?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) mRNA Delivery. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2022, *55* (1), 13−23.

(7) Saunders, N. R. M.; Paolini, M. S.; Fenton, O. S.; Poul, L.; Devalliere, J.; Mpambani, F.; Darmon, A.; Bergère, M.; Jibault, O.; Germain, M.; Langer, R. A [Nanoprimer](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00752?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) To Improve the Systemic [Delivery](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00752?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of siRNA and mRNA. *Nano Lett.* 2020, *20* (6), 4264−4269. (8) Sago, C. D.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Loughrey, D.; Lindsay, K. E.; Hincapie, R.; Krupczak, B. R.; Kalathoor, S.; Sato, M.; Echeverri, E. S.; Fitzgerald, J. P.; Gan, Z.; Gamboa, L.; Paunovska, K.; Sanhueza, C. A.; Hatit, M. Z. C.; Finn, M. G.; Santangelo, P. J.; Dahlman, J. E. Augmented [lipid-nanoparticle-mediated](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-022-00847-9) in vivo genome editing in the lungs and spleen by [disrupting](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-022-00847-9) Cas9 activity in the liver. *Nature Biomedical Engineering* 2022, *6* (2), 157−167.

(9) Jain, R.; Frederick, J. P.; Huang, E. Y.; Burke, K. E.; Mauger, D. M.; Andrianova, E. A.; Farlow, S. J.; Siddiqui, S.; Pimentel, J.; Cheung-Ong, K.; McKinney, K. M.; Köhrer, C.; Moore, M. J.; Chakraborty, T. MicroRNAs Enable mRNA [Therapeutics](https://doi.org/10.1089/nat.2018.0734) to Selectively Program Cancer Cells to [Self-Destruct.](https://doi.org/10.1089/nat.2018.0734) *Nucleic Acid Therapeutics* 2018, *28* (5), 285−296.

(10) Xu, Y.; Fourniols, T.; Labrak, Y.; Préat, V.; Beloqui, A.; des Rieux, A. Surface Modification of Lipid-Based [Nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c02347?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Nano* 2022, *16* (5), 7168−7196.

(11) Veiga, N.; Goldsmith, M.; Granot, Y.; Rosenblum, D.; Dammes, N.; Kedmi, R.; Ramishetti, S.; Peer, D. Cell [specific](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06936-1) delivery of modified mRNA expressing [therapeutic](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06936-1) proteins to [leukocytes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06936-1) *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9* (1), 4493.

(12) Li, Q.; Chan, C.; Peterson, N.; Hanna, R. N.; Alfaro, A.; Allen, K. L.; Wu, H.; Dall'Acqua, W. F.; Borrok, M. J.; Santos, J. L. Engineering [Caveolae-Targeted](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.0c00003?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Lipid Nanoparticles To Deliver [mRNA](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.0c00003?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) to the Lungs. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2020, *15* (4), 830−836.

(13) Kauffman, K. J.; Oberli, M. A.; Dorkin, J. R.; Hurtado, J. E.; Kaczmarek, J. C.; Bhadani, S.; Wyckoff, J.; Langer, R.; Jaklenec, A.; Anderson, D. G. Rapid, [Single-Cell](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2017.11.005) Analysis and Discovery of Vectored mRNA Transfection In Vivo with a [loxP-Flanked](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2017.11.005) tdTomato [Reporter](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2017.11.005) Mouse. *Molecular therapy - Nucleic acids* 2018, *10*, 55−63. (14) Kranz, L. M.; Diken, M.; Haas, H.; Kreiter, S.; Loquai, C.; Reuter, K. C.; Meng, M.; Fritz, D.; Vascotto, F.; Hefesha, H.; Grunwitz, C.; Vormehr, M.; Husemann, Y.; Selmi, A.; Kuhn, A. N.; Buck, J.; Derhovanessian, E.; Rae, R.; Attig, S.; Diekmann, J.; Jabulowsky, R. A.; Heesch, S.; Hassel, J.; Langguth, P.; Grabbe, S.; Huber, C.; Tureci, O.; Sahin, U. [Systemic](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18300) RNA delivery to dendritic cells exploits antiviral defence for cancer [immunotherapy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18300) *Nature* 2016, *534* (7607), 396−401.

(15) Cheng, Q.; Wei, T.; Farbiak, L.; Johnson, L. T.; Dilliard, S. A.; Siegwart, D. J. Selective organ targeting (SORT) [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-020-0669-6) for [tissue-specific](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-020-0669-6) mRNA delivery and CRISPR-Cas gene editing. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2020, *15* (4), 313−320.

(16) Dilliard, S. A.; Cheng, Q.; Siegwart, D. J. On the [mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109256118) of [tissue-specific](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109256118) mRNA delivery by selective organ targeting nano[particles.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109256118) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2021, *118* (52), No. e2109256118.

(17) Sago, C. D.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Paunovska, K.; Vanover, D. A.; Monaco, C. M.; Shah, N. N.; Gamboa Castro, M.; Anderson, S. E.; Rudoltz, T. G.; Lando, G. N.; Mummilal Tiwari, P.; Kirschman, J. L.; Willett, N.; Jang, Y. C.; Santangelo, P. J.; Bryksin, A. V.; Dahlman, J. E. [High-throughput](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1811276115) in vivo screen of functional mRNA delivery identifies [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1811276115) for endothelial cell gene editing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2018, *115* (42), E9944−E9952.

(18) Paunovska, K.; Da Silva Sanchez, A. J.; Sago, C. D.; Gan, Z.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Islam, F. Z.; Kalathoor, S.; Krupczak, B. R.; Dahlman, J. E. [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807748) Containing Oxidized Cholesterol Deliver mRNA to the Liver [Microenvironment](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807748) at Clinically Relevant [Doses.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807748) *Adv. Mater.* 2019, *31*, No. e1807748.

(19) Lokugamage, M. P.; Gan, Z.; Zurla, C.; Levin, J.; Islam, F. Z.; Kalathoor, S.; Sato, M.; Sago, C. D.; Santangelo, P. J.; Dahlman, J. E. Mild Innate Immune Activation Overrides Efficient [Nanoparticle-](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201904905)[Mediated](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201904905) RNA Delivery. *Adv. Mater.* 2020, *32*, No. e1904905.

(20) Ni, H.; Hatit, M. Z. C.; Zhao, K.; Loughrey, D.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Peck, H. E.; Cid, A. D.; Muralidharan, A.; Kim, Y.; Santangelo, P. J.; Dahlman, J. E. [Piperazine-derived](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32281-5) lipid nanoparticles deliver mRNA to [immune](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32281-5) cells in vivo. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, *13* (1), 4766. (21) Chen, D.; Love, K. T.; Chen, Y.; Eltoukhy, A. A.; Kastrup, C.;

Sahay, G.; Jeon, A.; Dong, Y.; Whitehead, K. A.; Anderson, D. G. Rapid discovery of potent [siRNA-containing](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja301621z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) lipid nanoparticles enabled by controlled microfluidic [formulation.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja301621z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134* (16), 6948−51.

(22) Kulkarni, J. A.; Witzigmann, D.; Leung, J.; Tam, Y. Y. C.; Cullis, P. R. On the role of helper lipids in lipid nanoparticle [formulations](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9NR09347H) of [siRNA.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9NR09347H) *Nanoscale* 2019, *11* (45), 21733−21739.

(23) Kulkarni, J. A.; Darjuan, M. M.; Mercer, J. E.; Chen, S.; van der Meel, R.; Thewalt, J. L.; Tam, Y. Y. C.; Cullis, P. R. On the [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b01516?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Morphology of Lipid [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b01516?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Containing Ionizable [Cationic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b01516?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Lipids and siRNA. *ACS Nano* 2018, *12* (5), 4787−4795.

(24) Madisen, L.; Zwingman, T. A.; Sunkin, S. M.; Oh, S. W.; Zariwala, H. A.; Gu, H.; Ng, L. L.; Palmiter, R. D.; Hawrylycz, M. J.; Jones, A. R.; Lein, E. S.; Zeng, H. A robust and [high-throughput](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2467) Cre reporting and [characterization](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2467) system for the whole mouse brain. *Nature Neuroscience* 2010, *13* (1), 133−40.

(25) Lokugamage, M. P.; Sago, C. D.; Dahlman, J. E. [Testing](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobme.2018.08.001) thousands of [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobme.2018.08.001) in vivo using DNA barcodes. *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering* 2018, *7*, 1−8.

(26) Paunovska, K.; Sago, C. D.; Monaco, C. M.; Hudson, W. H.; Castro, M. G.; Rudoltz, T. G.; Kalathoor, S.; Vanover, D. A.; Santangelo, P. J.; Ahmed, R.; Bryksin, A. V.; Dahlman, J. E. A [Direct](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b00432?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Comparison](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b00432?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of in Vitro and in Vivo Nucleic Acid Delivery Mediated by Hundreds of [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b00432?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reveals a Weak Correlation. *Nano Lett.* 2018, *18* (3), 2148−2157.

(27) Sago, C. D.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Lando, G. N.; Djeddar, N.; Shah, N. N.; Syed, C.; Bryksin, A. V.; Dahlman, J. E. [Modifying](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03149?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) a Commonly Expressed Endocytic Receptor Retargets [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03149?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in [Vivo.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.8b03149?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2018, *18* (12), 7590−7600.

(28) Sago, C. D.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Islam, F. Z.; Krupczak, B. R.; Sato, M.; Dahlman, J. E. [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08976?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) that deliver RNA to bone marrow identified by in vivo directed [evolution.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08976?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140* (49), 17095−17105.

(29) Israelachvili, J. N.; Mitchell, D. J.; Ninham, B. W. [Theory](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736(77)90099-2) of [self-assembly](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736(77)90099-2) of lipid bilayers and vesicles. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1977, *470* (2), 185−201.

(30) Tiwari, P. M.; Vanover, D.; Lindsay, K. E.; Bawage, S. S.; Kirschman, J. L.; Bhosle, S.; Lifland, A. W.; Zurla, C.; Santangelo, P. J. Engineered [mRNA-expressed](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06508-3) antibodies prevent respiratory syncytial virus [infection.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06508-3) *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9* (1), 3999.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(31) Hatit, M. Z. C.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Dobrowolski, C. N.; Paunovska, K.; Ni, H.; Zhao, K.; Vanover, D.; Beyersdorf, J.; Peck, H. E.; Loughrey, D.; Sato, M.; Cristian, A.; Santangelo, P. J.; Dahlman, J. E. [Species-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-01030-y) in vivo mRNA delivery and cellular responses to [nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-01030-y) *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2022, *17* (3), 310−318.

(32) Dobrowolski, C.; Paunovska, K.; Schrader Echeverri, E.; Loughrey, D.; Da Silva Sanchez, A. J.; Ni, H.; Hatit, M. Z. C.; Lokugamage, M. P.; Kuzminich, Y.; Peck, H. E.; Santangelo, P. J.; Dahlman, J. E. [Nanoparticle](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-022-01146-9) single-cell multiomic readouts reveal that cell heterogeneity influences lipid [nanoparticle-mediated](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-022-01146-9) messenger RNA [delivery.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-022-01146-9) *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2022, *17* (8), 871−879.

(33) European Medicines Agency *Assessment report - Onpattro*; Procedure No. EMEA/H/C/004699/0000; European Medicines Agency, 2018.

(34) Hao, Y.; Hao, S.; Andersen-Nissen, E.; Mauck, W. M., III; Zheng, S.; Butler, A.; Lee, M. J.; Wilk, A. J.; Darby, C.; Zager, M. Integrated analysis of [multimodal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048) single-cell data. *Cell* 2021, *184* (13), 3573−3587.E29.

(35) Le, T.; Phan, T.; Pham, M.; Tran, D.; Lam, L.; Nguyen, T.; Truong, T.; Vuong, H.; Luu, T.; Phung, N. [BBrowser:](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.11.414136) Making singlecell data easily [accessible.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.11.414136) *bioRxiv* 2020, 2020.12.11.414136.

(36) Angelidis, I.; Simon, L. M.; Fernandez, I. E.; Strunz, M.; Mayr, C. H.; Greiffo, F. R.; Tsitsiridis, G.; Ansari, M.; Graf, E.; Strom, T.-M. An atlas of the aging lung mapped by single cell [transcriptomics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08831-9) and deep tissue [proteomics.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08831-9) *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10* (1), 963.

(37) Travaglini, K. J.; Nabhan, A. N.; Penland, L.; Sinha, R.; Gillich, A.; Sit, R. V.; Chang, S.; Conley, S. D.; Mori, Y.; Seita, J. A [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2922-4) cell atlas of the human lung from single-cell RNA [sequencing.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2922-4) *Nature* 2020, *587* (7835), 619−625.

(38) Van der Maaten, L.; Hinton, G. Visualizing data using t-SNE. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 2008, *9* (11), 2579−2605.

(39) Griss, J.; Viteri, G.; Sidiropoulos, K.; Nguyen, V.; Fabregat, A.; Hermjakob, H. [ReactomeGSA](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.TIR120.002155) - Efficient Multi-Omics Comparative Pathway [Analysis.](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.TIR120.002155) *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 2020, *19* (12), 2115−2125.

(40) van Dülmen, M.; Muthmann, N.; Rentmeister, A. [Chemo-](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100352)Enzymatic [Modification](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100352) of the 5′ Cap Maintains Translation and Increases [Immunogenic](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100352) Properties of mRNA. *Angew. Chem.* 2021, *60* (24), 13280−13286.

(41) Akinc, A.; Querbes, W.; De, S.; Qin, J.; Frank-Kamenetsky, M.; Jayaprakash, K. N.; Jayaraman, M.; Rajeev, K. G.; Cantley, W. L.; Dorkin, J. R.; Butler, J. S.; Qin, L.; Racie, T.; Sprague, A.; Fava, E.; Zeigerer, A.; Hope, M. J.; Zerial, M.; Sah, D. W.; Fitzgerald, K.; Tracy, M. A.; Manoharan, M.; Koteliansky, V.; Fougerolles, A.; Maier, M. A. Targeted delivery of RNAi [therapeutics](https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2010.85) with endogenous and exogenous ligand-based [mechanisms.](https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2010.85) *Molecular Therapy: The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy* 2010, *18* (7), 1357−64.

(42) Pattipeiluhu, R.; Arias-Alpizar, G.; Basha, G.; Chan, K. Y. T.; Bussmann, J.; Sharp, T. H.; Moradi, M. A.; Sommerdijk, N.; Harris, E. N.; Cullis, P. R.; Kros, A.; Witzigmann, D.; Campbell, F. [Anionic](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202201095) Lipid [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202201095) Preferentially Deliver mRNA to the Hepatic [Reticuloendothelial](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202201095) System. *Adv. Mater.* 2022, *34* (16), No. e2201095.