

# **HHS Public Access**

Int J Heat Mass Transf. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 February 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Int J Heat Mass Transf. 2022 January ; 182: . doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2021.121958.

## **Fractional telegraph equation under moving time-harmonic impact**

## **Yuriy Povstenko**a,\* , **Martin Ostoja-Starzewski**<sup>b</sup>

aFaculty of Science and Technology, Jan Dlugosz University in Czestochowa, Armii Krajowej 13/15, Czestochowa 42-200, Poland

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mechanical Science and Engineering, Beckman Institute and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

## **Abstract**

The time-fractional telegraph equation with moving time-harmonic source is considered on a real line. We investigate two characteristic versions of this equation: the "wave-type" with the second and Caputo fractional time-derivatives as well as the "heat-type" with the first and Caputo fractional time-derivatives. In both cases the order of fractional derivative  $1 < a < 2$ . For the time-fractional telegraph equation it is impossible to consider the quasi-steady-state corresponding to the solution being a product of a function of the spatial coordinate and the time-harmonic term. The considered problem is solved using the integral transforms technique. The solution to the "wave-type" equation contains wave fronts and describes the Doppler effect contrary to the solution for the "heat-type" equation. Numerical results are illustrated graphically for different values of nondimensional parameters.

#### **Keywords**

Telegraph equation; Fractional calculus; Caputo derivative; Time-harmonic impact; Laplace transform; Fourier transform

## **1. Introduction**

In 1876, Heaviside [1] (see also historical notes in the books [2,3]) in his research on telegraph cables obtained the telegraph equation

$$
A\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + B\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - bu.
$$
 (1)

Declaration of Competing Interest

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. j.povstenko@ujd.edu.pl (Y. Povstenko).

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yuriy Povstenko: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Martin Ostoja-Starzewski:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

It turns out that Eq. (1) describes various processes in different fields of study: electrical transmission [1-4], heat and mass transfer [5-8], random models [9,10], pressure in pulsatile blood flow in arteries [11,12], the velocity field in the Reyleigh problem for a Maxwell fluid [13], cosmic ray transport [14], biology [11,15], economics [16], etc. (the additional references can be found in the abovementioned publications).

Originally, the sought-for function u was interpreted in terms of the voltage or current on an electrical transmission line, and the coefficients  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $D$ , and  $b$  were expressed in terms of the resistance, inductance, conductance, and capacitance. For different physical processes, the function  $u$  and the coefficients  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $D$ , and  $b$  have the corresponding interpretation and associated physical dimensions.

For example, if we treat Eq. (1) as the damped wave equation

$$
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + B \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - bu,
$$
\n(2)

then the wave propagation speed has the physical dimension  $[c] = m/sec$ , the friction coefficient  $[B] = 1/\text{sec}$ , and  $[b] = 1/\text{sec}^2$ .

If we correlate Eq. (1) with the heat conduction (diffusion) equation,

$$
A\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - bu,
$$
\n(3)

then the thermal diffusivity coefficient has the physical dimension  $[a] = m^2/sec$ , the additional time parameter  $[A]$  = sec, and  $[b]$  = 1/sec.

In the context of the theory of heat (mass) transport, the hyperbolic transfer equation was obtained by several authors. Fock [17] derived the evolution equations for total particles densities in the form of the hyperbolic transfer equation. Davydov [18] modified the standard diffusion equation and obtained the hyperbolic transfer equation in the context of the molecular diffusion (see also [19]). Cattaneo [20,21] and Vernotte [22] generalized the Fourier constitutive equation for the heat flux

$$
\mathbf{q} + A \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} = -k \operatorname{grad} u \tag{4}
$$

and obtained the telegraph equation

$$
A\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a\Delta u.
$$
 (5)

It should be emphasized that Eq. (4) can be rewritten in the time-nonlocal form with the "short-tale memory" exponential kernel

$$
\mathbf{q}(t) = -\frac{k}{A} \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{t-\tau}{A}\right) \operatorname{grad} u(\tau) d\tau. \tag{6}
$$

The fractional calculus (the theory of integrals and derivatives of non-integer order) has numerous applications in mechanics, physics, geophysics, rheology, engineering, chemistry, geology, biology, bio-engineering, finance, and medicine (see [23-32] among many others). For example, Ezzat et al. considered theory of thermoelasticity [33], thermoviscoelasticity [34], magneto-thermoelasticity [35], and magneto-hydrodynamics [36] based on the fractional heat conduction.

It should be noted that time-fractional operators describe memory effects, space-fractional operators represent the long-range interaction. Recall the main notions of the fractional calculus [23,24]. The Riemann-Lioville fractional integral of order  $\alpha$  is defined as

$$
I^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} f(\tau) d\tau, \qquad t > 0, \quad \alpha > 0,
$$
 (7)

and has the following Laplace transform rule

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{I^{\alpha}f(t)\right\} = \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}}f^{*}(s),\tag{8}
$$

where  $\Gamma(a)$  is the gamma function, the asterisk denotes the transform, s is the Laplace transform variable.

The Riemann–Liouville derivative of the fractional order  $\alpha$ 

$$
D_{RL}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{d}t^{n}} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{n-\alpha-1} f(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
t > 0, \quad n-1 < \alpha < n,
$$
\n(9)

for its Laplace transform requires the knowledge of the initial values of the fractional integral  $I^{n-a}f(t)$  and its derivatives of the order  $k = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{D_{RL}^{\alpha}f(t)\right\} = s^{\alpha}f^{*}(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D^{k}I^{n-\alpha}f(0^{+})s^{n-1-k}, \quad n-1 < \alpha < n. \tag{10}
$$

The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$ 

$$
D_C^{\alpha} f(t) \equiv \frac{d^{\alpha} f(t)}{dt^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{d^n f(\tau)}{d\tau^n} d\tau,
$$
  
\n $t > 0, \quad n-1 < \alpha < n,$  (11)

for its Laplace transform rule requires the initial values of a given function and its derivatives of integer order:

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{D_{C}^{\alpha}f(t)\right\} = s^{\alpha}f^{*}(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{(k)}(0^{+})s^{\alpha-1-k}, \quad n-1 < \alpha < n. \tag{12}
$$

If care is taken, equations containing the Caputo derivative can be recast to those with the Riemann-Liouville derivative and vice versa according to the following formula

$$
D_{RL}^{\alpha}f(t) = D_{C}^{\alpha}f(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{k-\alpha}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} f^{(k)}(0^{+}), \quad n-1 < \alpha < n. \tag{13}
$$

Compte and Metzler [37] considered four possible generalizations of the telegraph Eq. (5) with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \zeta D_{RL}^{1 + \alpha} u = a \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,\tag{14}
$$

$$
D_{RL}^{\alpha}u + \zeta D_{RL}^{2\alpha}u = a\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 1 \;/ \; 2 < \alpha < 1,\tag{15}
$$

$$
D_{RL}^{\alpha}u + \zeta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = a \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2,\tag{16}
$$

$$
D_{RL}^{\alpha}u + \zeta D_{RL}^{1+\alpha}u = a\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \tag{17}
$$

Atanackovic et al. [38] investigated a generalized telegraph equation with two Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. This equation can be written as

$$
AD_{RL}^{\alpha}u + BD_{RL}^{\beta}u = D\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < \beta < \alpha \le 2. \tag{18}
$$

It follows from Eq. (13) that for functions with zero initial conditions, the difference between the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo definitions disappears.

Equation (18) with two Caputo derivatives can be obtained as a consequence of the constitutive equation for the heat flux (see [30,39,40]):

$$
BI^{1-\beta}\mathbf{q} + A\frac{\partial^{\alpha-1}\mathbf{q}}{\partial t^{\alpha-1}} = -k \operatorname{grad} u, \quad 1 < \alpha \le 2, \ 0 < \beta \le 1,\tag{19}
$$

or

$$
\mathbf{q}(t) = -\frac{k}{A} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-1} \Big[ -\frac{B}{A} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-\beta} \Big] \text{grad} u(\tau) d\tau, \tag{20}
$$

where  $E_{a,\beta}$  is the generalized Mittag-Leffler function in two parameters:

$$
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0, \quad z \in C.
$$
 (21)

It is evident that physical dimensions of coefficients arising in equations containing differential and integral operators of fractional order differ from those appearing in equations with the corresponding operators of the integer order (see the discussion above). We can interprete the coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  in Eqs. (18)-(20) as having the physical dimensions  $[A] = \sec^{\alpha-1}$  and  $[B] = \sec^{\beta-1}$ . If we introduce some time parameter  $\tau_0$ , then we may assume that  $A = \tau_0^{\alpha - 1}$  and  $B = \tau_0^{\beta}$ 8 of the integer order (see the discussion above). We can<br>B in Eqs. (18)-(20) as having the physical dimensions<br>f we introduce some time parameter  $\tau_0$ , then we may<br> $\beta^{-1}$ . The choice of notation A and B or  $\tau_0^{\alpha -$  $\begin{cases}\n\text{can} \\
\text{ms} \\
\text{y} \\
\beta - 1 \\
\text{o}\n\end{cases}$ <br>  $\text{estion}$ for these coefficients is only a question of custom, a question of convenience or a question of habitude. Both notations are used in the literature, and the statement of the paper [41] about dimensional inconsistency of coefficients in time-fractional constitutive equations for the flux **q** in the papers [42-44] is quite incorrect. In fact, the theory presented in [41] is a modification of the thermoelasticity theory based on the time-fractional telegraph equation for temperature proposed in [39].

Different choices of the coefficients A and B and the orders of derivatives  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  allow one to obtain different particular cases of the time-fractional telegraph equation. A variety of problems for different versions of the time-fractional telegraph equation were considered in [45-50], among others. Numerical methods for solving fractional telegraph equation were proposed in [51-54] (see also [55,56]).

Ångström [57] was the first to investigate the heat conduction equation under the timeharmonic impact. An extensive literature on the so-called "oscillatory diffusion" can be found in [58,59]. The Ångström approach to measurement of material thermal properties still attracts the attention of researchers (see, for example, [60,61] and references therein).

It was shown in [62] that the telegraph equation has two harmonic wave solutions: temporally attenuated and spatially periodic (TASP) and spatially attenuated and temporally periodic (SATP). The standard telegraph equation with the time-harmonic source was considered in [63]. In the present paper, we develop the results of previous authors' investigations [62,63] and study the time-fractional telegraph equation with moving timeharmonic source on a real line. Two characteristic versions of this equation are investigated: the "wave-type" with the second and Caputo fractional time-derivatives as well as the "heat-type" with the first and Caputo fractional time-derivatives. In both cases the order of fractional derivative  $1 < a < 2$ . Numerical results are illustrated graphically for different values of nondimensional parameters introduced for both versions.

## **2. Fractional telegraph equation on a real line with moving time-harmonic**

## **source**

#### **2.1. The fractional telegraph equation of the "wave-type"**

Consider the fractional telegraph equation of the "wave-type" with the time-harmonic source moving with the velocity  $v$ 

$$
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \zeta_\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha u}{\partial t^\alpha} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - bu + Q_0 \delta(x - vt) e^{i\omega t},
$$
  
\n
$$
-\infty < x < \infty, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2,
$$
 (22)

under zero initial conditions

$$
t = 0: u = 0,\tag{23}
$$

$$
t = 0: \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0.
$$
 (24)

The exponential Fourier transform with respect to the spatial coordinate x and the Laplace transform with respect to time  $t$  give the solution in the transform domain

$$
\widetilde{u}^*(\xi, s) = \frac{Q_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{s - i(\omega + \nu\xi)} \frac{1}{s^2 + \zeta_\alpha s^\alpha + c^2 \xi^2 + b},\tag{25}
$$

where the tilde denotes the Fourier transform,  $\xi$  is the transform variable.

Taking into account that

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s - i(\omega + \nu\xi)}\right\} = e^{i(\omega + \nu\xi)t}
$$
\n(26)

and denoting

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s^2 + \zeta_a s^\alpha + c^2 \xi^2 + b}\right\} = G_W(\xi, t),\tag{27}
$$

after inverting the integral transforms using the convolution theorem, we get

$$
u(x,t) = \frac{Q_0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^t G_W(\xi,\tau) e^{-i[x-v(t-\tau)]\xi} e^{i\omega(t-\tau)} d\tau d\xi
$$
 (28)

or, taking into account that  $G_W(\xi, \tau)$  is an even function with respect to the Fourier transform variable ξ,

$$
u(x,t) = \frac{Q_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t G_W(\xi,\tau)\cos\left\{\left[x - v(t-\tau)\right]\xi\right\} e^{i\omega(t-\tau)} d\tau d\xi. \tag{29}
$$

The representation of  $G_W(\xi, \tau)$  amenable for numerical calculation is obtained using a method described by Beyer and Kempfle [64]. To evaluate the inverse Laplace transform, we bend the Bromwich path of integration into the equivalent Hankel path, a loop which starts from −∞ along the lower side of the negative real axis, encircles a small circle in the positive direction and ends at −∞ along the upper side of the negative real axis. In this case

$$
s = re^{\pm i\pi}, \qquad s^2 = r^2, \qquad s^\alpha = r^\alpha [\cos(\alpha \pi) \pm i \sin(\alpha \pi)] \tag{30}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s^2 + \zeta_a s^{\alpha} + c^2 \xi^2 + b}\right\} = K_1(\xi, t) + K_2(\xi, t). \tag{31}
$$

Here

$$
K_{1}(\xi, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im \text{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-rt}}{r^{2} + \zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} [\cos(\alpha \pi) + i \sin(\alpha \pi)] + c^{2} \xi^{2} + b} dr
$$
  
=  $\frac{\sin(\alpha \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} e^{-rt}}{[r^{2} + \zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} \cos(\alpha \pi) + c^{2} \xi^{2} + b]^{2} + [\zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} \sin(\alpha \pi)]^{2}} dr,$  (32)

$$
K_2(\xi, t) = \frac{2e^{-\Psi t}}{p^2 + q^2} [p \cos(\Omega t) + q \sin(\Omega t)],
$$
\n(33)

where  $s_{1,2}$  are simple, conjugate complex zeros of the denominator in Eq. (31)

$$
s^2 + \zeta_{\alpha}s^{\alpha} + \xi^2 + b = 0
$$
 (34)

on the principal branch of  $s^a$  ( $-\pi < \arg s < \pi$ ):

$$
s_{1,2} = -\Psi \pm i\Omega. \tag{35}
$$

These zeros are placed in the open left half-plane ( $\psi > 0$ ,  $\Omega > 0$ ) and

$$
p \pm iq = 2s_{1,2} + \alpha \zeta_{\alpha} s_{1,2}^{\alpha - 1}.
$$
 (36)

Let  $L$  be the characteristic length. We introduce the following nondimensional quantities

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{x}{L}, \quad \overline{t} = \frac{c}{L}t, \quad \overline{\omega} = \frac{L}{c}\omega, \quad \overline{v} = \frac{v}{c},
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{b} = \frac{L^2}{c^2}b, \quad \overline{\zeta}_{\alpha} = \frac{L^{2-\alpha}}{c^{2-\alpha}}\zeta_{\alpha}, \quad \overline{u} = \frac{c^2}{LQ_0}u.
$$
\n(37)

The results of numerical calculation of the real part of the solution (29) are presented in Figs. 1a-5a for different values of the order of derivative  $a$  and different values of nondimensional parameters (37).

#### **2.2. The fractional telegraph equation of the "heat-type"**

Next we study the fractional telegraph equation of the "heat-type" with moving timeharmonic source:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \zeta_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} u}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = a \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - bu + Q_0 \delta(x - vt) e^{i\omega t},
$$
  

$$
-\infty < x < \infty, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2,
$$
 (38)

under zero initial conditions

$$
t = 0: u = 0,\tag{39}
$$

$$
t = 0: \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0.
$$
\n<sup>(40)</sup>

The exponential Fourier transform with respect to the spatial coordinate x and the Laplace transform with respect to time  $t$  result in the solution

$$
u(x,t) = \frac{Q_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t G_H(\xi,\tau)\cos\left\{\left[x - v(t-\tau)\right]\xi\right\} e^{i\omega(t-\tau)} d\tau d\xi,\tag{41}
$$

where

$$
G_H(\xi, t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s + \zeta_a s^{\alpha} + c^2 \xi^2 + b} \right\} = K_1(\xi, t) + K_2(\xi, t), \tag{42}
$$

$$
K_{1}(\xi, t) = \frac{\sin(\alpha \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} e^{-rt}}{\left[-r + \zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} \cos(\alpha \pi) + c^{2} \xi^{2} + b\right]^{2} + \left[\zeta_{\alpha} r^{\alpha} \sin(\alpha \pi)\right]^{2}} dr,
$$
\n
$$
(43)
$$

$$
K_2(\xi, t) = \frac{2e^{-\Psi t}}{p^2 + q^2} [p \cos(\Omega t) + q \sin(\Omega t)],
$$
\n(44)

 $S_{1,2}$  are simple, conjugate complex zeros of the denominator in Eq. (42)

$$
s + \zeta_{\alpha}s^{\alpha} + \xi^{2} + b = 0
$$
 (45)

on the principal branch of  $s^a$ 

$$
s_{1,2} = -\Psi \pm i\Omega, \ \Psi > 0, \ \Omega > 0,
$$
\n<sup>(46)</sup>

and

$$
p \pm iq = 1 + \alpha \zeta_{\alpha} s_{1,2}^{\alpha - 1} \,. \tag{47}
$$

In this case, we introduce the nondimensional quantities

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{x}{L}, \quad \overline{t} = \frac{a}{L^2}t, \quad \overline{\omega} = \frac{L^2}{a}\omega, \quad \overline{v} = \frac{L}{a}v,
$$
\n
$$
\overline{b} = \frac{L^2}{a}b, \quad \overline{\zeta}_{\alpha} = \frac{a^{\alpha - 1}}{L^{2\alpha - 2}}\zeta_{\alpha}, \quad \overline{u} = \frac{a}{LQ_0}u.
$$
\n(48)

The results of numerical calculations of the real part of the solution (41) are presented in Figs. 1b-5b for different values of nondimensional parameters (48).

## **3. Discussion**

For moving time-harmonic source, in the case of fractional telegraph equation of the "wavetype" there are two wave fronts and there appears the Doppler effect. Indeed, at least for small times  $t$  (the large values of the Laplace transform variable  $s$ ), from Eqs. (25) and (28) we have the expression for the real part of the solution:

$$
\mathfrak{Re}\,u(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\infty < x < -ct, \\ \frac{Q_0}{2c\,\omega}\sin\left(\frac{c}{c+v}\omega t + \frac{\omega x}{c+v}\right), & -ct < x < vt, \\ \frac{Q_0}{2c\,\omega}\sin\left(\frac{c}{c-v}\omega t - \frac{\omega x}{c-v}\right), & vt < x < ct, \\ 0, & ct < x < \infty \end{cases} \tag{49}
$$

It follows from Eq. (49) that there are two wave fronts at  $x = -ct$  and at  $x = ct$ , and the obtained solution describes the Doppler effect: at one side the angular frequency is

$$
\omega_1 = \frac{c}{c+v}\omega,\tag{50}
$$

at another side the angular frequency equals

$$
\omega_2 = \frac{c}{c - v}\omega. \tag{51}
$$

In the case of fractional telegraph equation of the "heat-type" the signal propagates with the infinite velocity with no Doppler effect. It should be noted that for equations of different types, we have introduced different definitions of nondimensional velocity (compare Eqs. (37) and (48)). For the fractional telegraph equation of the "wave-type"  $0 \leq v < c$ 

 $((0 \le \bar{v} < 1))$ , we do not consider the source movement with the "supersonic velocity"  $v > c$  $(v > c(\bar{v} > 1))$ ; for the fractional telegraph equation of the "heat-type", there is no limitation on *v*. For some values of frequency, at  $\bar{x} = \bar{v}\bar{t}$  there appear kinks on the curves describing the solution. Such kinks are clearly demonstrated in Figs. 2 and 4. In graphical representation of numerical results, we have used different scales due to large differences in wave amplitudes for various values of the nondimensional parameters.

In the case of fractional telegraph equations it is impossible to consider the so-called "quasi-steady-state" solution corresponding to the representation of the sought-for function  $u(x, t)$  as a product of a function of the spatial coordinate  $U(x)$  and the time-harmonic term

$$
u(x,t) = U(x) e^{i\omega t}
$$
 (52)

as for the fractional order Caputo derivative (11) of the exponential function, we have [65]

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t}}{\mathrm{d}t^{\alpha}} = \lambda^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t} \frac{\gamma (n - \alpha, \lambda t)}{\Gamma (n - \alpha)} \neq \lambda^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t},\tag{53}
$$

where  $\gamma$ (*a*, *x*) is the incomplete gamma function

$$
\gamma(a, x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} t^{a-1} dt.
$$
\n(54)

The "quasi-steady-state" solution can be considered for the time-fractional telegraph equation in which the Caputo derivative of the fractional order  $\alpha$  is defined with the lower terminal at −∞ (see [66]). But in this case it is impossible to study problems with initial conditions.

The derived solutions can be successfully used when the source term can be expanded into a Fourier series. In this case the solution can be represented as a superposition of harmonic terms. The obtained results can also have medical applications (see, for example, [67]).

## **Acknowledgment**

M.O.S. was partially supported by the National Institutes of Health under award number R01EB029766.

#### **References**

- [1]. Heaviside O, Electrical Papers, Macmillan, London, 1894.
- [2]. Lieberstein HM, Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [3]. Nahin PJ, Oliver Heaviside: The Life, Work, and Times of an Electrical Genius of the Victorian Age, second ed., The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2002.
- [4]. Sadiku MNO, Elements of Electromagnetics, seventh ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2018.
- [5]. Joseph DD, Preziosi L, Heat waves, Rev. Mod. Phys 61 (1989) 41–73,
- [6]. Wang L, Zhou X, Wei X, Heat Conduction: Mathematical Models and Analytical Solutions, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [7]. Ignaczak J, Ostoja-Starzewski M, Thermoelasticity with Finite Wave Speeds, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010.

- [8]. Tzou DY, Macro to Microscale Heat Transfer: The Lagging Behavior, second ed., John Wiley, Chichester, 2015.
- [9]. Takayasu H, Differential fractal dimension of random walk and its applications to physical systems, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 51 (9) (1982) 3057–3064.
- [10]. Orsingher E, Hyperbolic equations arising in random models, Stoch. Proc. Appl 21 (1985) 93– 106.
- [11]. Debnath L, Mikusi ski P, Introduction to Hilbert Spaces with Applications, third ed., Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2005.
- [12]. Jordan PM, Puri A, Digital signal propagation in dispersive media, J. Appl. Phys 85 (3) (1999) 1273–1282.
- [13]. Böhme G, Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [14]. Litvinenko YE, Schlickeiser R, The telegraph equation for cosmic-ray transport with weak adiabatic focusing, Astron. Astrophys 554 (2013) A59-1–A59-7.
- [15]. Sato T, Terao K, An interpretation of the telegraph equation for animal movement near boundary wall, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys 24 (4) (1986) L299–L302.
- [16]. Kolesnik AD, Ratanov N, Telegraph Processes and Option Pricing, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [17]. Fock VA, The solution of a problem of diffusion theory by the method of finite differences and its application to the diffusion of light, Trans. Opt. Inst. Leningrad 4 (1926) 1–31. In Russian
- [18]. Davydov BI, Diffusion equation with the inclusion of molecular velocity, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 2 (1935) 474–475.Diffusionsgleichung mit Berücksichtung der Molekulargeschwindigkeit, C. R. Acad. Sci. URSS 2 (1935) 476–477 (in German) (in Russian)
- [19]. Sobolev SL, On hyperbolic heat-mass transfer equation, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf 122 (2018) 629–630.
- [20]. Cattaneo C, Sulla conduzione del calore, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 3 (1948) 83–101.
- [21]. Cattaneo C, Sur une forme de l'équation de la chaleur éliminant le paradoxe d'une propagation instantanée, C. R. Acad. Sci 247 (1958) 431–433.
- [22]. Vernotte P, Les paradoxes de la theorie continue de l'équation de la chaleur, C. R. Acad. Sci 246 (1958) 3154–3155.
- [23]. Podlubny I, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [24]. Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trujillo JJ, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [25]. Magin RL, Fractional Calculus in Bioengineering, Begell House Publishers, Redding, 2006.
- [26]. Tarasov VE, Fractional Dynamics: Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [27]. Mainardi F, Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity: An Introduction to Mathematical Models, Imperial College Press, London, 2010.
- [28]. Uchaikin VV, Fractional Derivatives for Physicists and Engineers, Springer, Berlin, 2013.
- [29]. Herrmann R, Fractional Calculus: An Introduction for Physicists, second ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.
- [30]. Povstenko Y, Fractional Thermoelasticity, Springer, New York, 2015.
- [31]. Povstenko Y, Linear Fractional Diffusion-Wave Equation for Scientists and Engineers, Birkhäuser, New York, 2015.
- [32]. Rossikhin YA, Shitikova MV, Fractional operator models of viscoelasticity, in: Altenbach H, Öchsner A (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Continuum Mechanics, Springer, Berlin, 2020, pp. 971–982.
- [33]. Ezzat MA, El-Bary AA, Fayik MA, Fractional Fourier law with three-phase lag of thermoelasticity, Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct 20 (2013) 593–602.
- [34]. Ezzat MA, El-Karamany AS, El-Bary AA, On thermo-viscoelasticity with variable thermal conductivity and fractional-order heat transfer, Int. J. Thermophys 36 (7) (2015) 1684–1697.
- [35]. Ezzat MA, El-Karamany AS, El-Bary AA, Fayik MA, Fractional ultrafast laser-induced magneto-thermoelastic behavior in perfect conducting metal films, J. Electromagn. Waves Appl 28 (1) (2014) 64–82.

- [36]. Ezzat MA, El-Bary AA, MHD free convection flow with fractional heat conduction law, Magnetohydrodynamics 48 (4) (2012) 503–522.
- [37]. Compte A, Metzler R, The generalized Cattaneo equation for the description of anomalous transport processes, J. Phys. A 30 (1997) 7277–7289.
- [38]. Atanackovic TM, Pilipovic S, Zorica D, A diffusion wave equation with two fractional derivatives of different order, J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 5319–5333.
- [39]. Povstenko Y, Fractional Cattaneo-type equations and generalized thermoelasticity, J. Therm. Stresses 34 (2011) 97–114.
- [40]. Povstenko Y, Theories of thermal stresses based on space-time fractional telegraph equation, Comput. Math. Appl 64 (2012) 3321–3328.
- [41]. Li X, Xue Z, Tian X, A modified fractional order generalized bio-thermoelastic theory with temperature-dependent thermal material properties, Int. J. Therm. Sci 132 (2018) 249–256.
- [42]. Povstenko Y, Fractional heat conduction equation and associated thermal stresses, J. Therm. Stresses 28 (2005) 83–102.
- [43]. Povstenko Y, Fractional heat conduction equation and associated thermal stresses in an infinite solid with spherical cavity, Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math 61 (2008) 523–547.
- [44]. Povstenko Y, Fractional heat conduction in infinite one-dimensional composite medium, J. Therm. Stresses 36 (2013) 351–363.
- [45]. Qi H, Xu H, Guo X, The Cattaneo-type time fractional heat conduction equation for laser heating, Comp. Math. Appl 66 (2013) 824–831.
- [46]. Qi H, Guo X, Transient fractional heat conduction with generalized Cattaneo model, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf 76 (2014) 535–539.
- [47]. Mamchuev MO, Boundary value problem for the time-fractional telegraph equation with Caputo derivatives, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom 12 (3) (2017) 82–94.
- [48]. Mamchuev MO, Solutions of the main boundary value problems for the time-fractional telegraph equation by the Green function method, Fract. Calc. Apl. Anal 20 (1) (2017) 190–211.
- [49]. Górska K, Horzela A, Lenzi EK, Pagnini G, Sandev T, Generalized Cattaneo (telegrapher's) equations in modeling anomalous diffusion phenomena, Phys. Rev. E 102 (2020) 022128. [PubMed: 32942420]
- [50]. Masoliver J, Telegraphic transport processes and their fractional generalization: a review and some extensions, Entropy 23 (2021) 364. [PubMed: 33803883]
- [51]. Vong SW, Pang HK, Jin XQ, A high-order difference scheme for the generalized Cattaneo equation, East Asian J. Appl. Math 2 (2) (2012) 170–184.
- [52]. Saadatmandi A, Mohabbati M, Numerical solution of fractional telegraph equation via the tau method, Math. Rep 17 (67) (2015) 155–166.
- [53]. Prakash A, Veeresha P, Prakasha DG, Goyal M, A homotopy technique for a fractional order multi-dimensional telegraph equation via the Laplace transform, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 134 (2019) 19.
- [54]. Liang Y, Yao Z, Wang Z, Fast high order difference schemes for the time fractional telegraph equation, Numer. Meth. Partial Differ. Equ 36 (2020) 154–172.
- [55]. Veeresha P, Prakasha DG, Qurashi MA, Baleanu D, A reliable technique for fractional modified Boussinesq and approximate long wave equations, Adv. Differ. Equ 2019 (1) (2019) 1–23.
- [56]. Gao W, Veeresha P, Prakasha DG, Senel B, Baskonus HM, Iterative method applied to the fractional nonlinear systems arising in thermoelasticity with Mittag-Leffler kernel, Fractals 28 (8) (2020) 2040040.
- [57]. Ångström AJ, Neue Methode, das Wärmeleitungs vermögen der Körper zu bestimmen, Ann. Phys. Chem 114 (12) (1861) 513–530.
- [58]. Mandelis A, Diffusion waves and their uses, Phys. Today 53 (8) (2000) 29–33.
- [59]. Mandelis A, Diffusion-Wave Fields: Mathematical Methods and Green Functions, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [60]. Zhu Y, Heat-loss modified Angstrom method for simultaneous measurements of thermal diffusivity and conductivity of graphite sheets: the origins of heat-loss in Angstrom method, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf 92 (2016) 784–791.

- [61]. Guo W, Chen A, Lv Y, Zhu Y, Wu J, Microscale heat-fluxmeter for low-dimensional thermal measurement and its application in heat-loss modified Angstrom method, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf 169 (2021) 120938.
- [62]. Zhang D, Ostoja-Starzewski M, Telegraph equation: two types of harmonic waves, a discontinuity wave, and a spectral finite element, Acta Mech. 230 (5) (2019) 1725–1743.
- [63]. Povstenko Y, Ostoja-Starzewski M, Doppler effect described by the solutions of the Cattaneo telegraph equation, Acta Mech. 232 (2021) 725–740. [PubMed: 33896941]
- [64]. Beyer H, Kempfle S, Definition of physically consistent damping laws with fractional derivatives, Z. Angew. Math. Mech 75 (8) (1995) 623–635.
- [65]. Povstenko Y, Fractional heat conduction in a space with a source varying harmonically in time and associated thermal stresses, J. Therm. Stresses 39 (11) (2016) 1442–1450.
- [66]. Giusti A, Dispersion relations for the time-fractional Cattaneo-Maxwell heat equation, J. Math. Phys 59 (2018) 013506.
- [67]. Madhukar A, Park Y, Kim W, Sunaryanto HJ, Berlin R, Chamorro LP, Bentsman J, Ostoja-Starzewski M, Heat conduction in porcine muscle and blood: experiments and time-fractional telegraph equation model, J. Roy. Soc. Interface 16 (2019) 20190726. [PubMed: 31771452]





Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of nondimensional velocity of the source. The results of computer simulation for the values of parameters  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\bar{\omega} = \pi / 4$ , Fig. 1.<br>Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of source. The results of computer simulation for the values of  $\overline{b} = 1$ ,  $\overline{\zeta}_\alpha = 0.5$ .  $\overline{t} = 2$ . (**a**) – solution (29); (**b**) – solution (41).





## (b) "heat-type"

## **Fig. 2.**

Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of nondimensional velocity of the source. The results of computer simulation for the values of parameters  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\bar{\omega} = \pi / 3$ , Fig. 2.<br>Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of source. The results of computer simulation for the values of  $\overline{b} = 1$ ,  $\overline{\zeta}_\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $\overline{t} = 2$ . (**a**) – solution (29); (**b**) – solution (41).





### **Fig. 3.**

Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of the parameter  $\bar{b}$ . The results of computer simulation for the values of parameters  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\bar{\omega} = \pi / 4$ ,  $\bar{v} = 0.5$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ meter  $\overline{b}$ . The results of<br>  $\overline{v} = 0.5$ ,  $\overline{\zeta}_{\alpha} = 0.5$ ,  $\overline{t} = 2$ . (**a** – solution (29); (**b** – solution (41).



#### **Fig. 4.**

Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of the order of fractional derivative. The results of computer simulation for the values of parameters  $\bar{v} = 0.5$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ . **Fig. 4.**<br>
Dependence of the solution on distance for various values of the order of fractional<br>
derivative. The results of computer simulation for the values of parameters  $\overline{v} = 0.5$ ,  $\overline{\zeta}_{\alpha} = 0.5$ ,<br>  $\overline{t} = 2$ ,



(b) "heat-type"

#### **Fig. 5.**

Dependence of the solution on time for various values of the spatial variable. The results of computer simulation for the values of parameters  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\bar{v} = 0.5$ ,  $\bar{\omega} =$ ne spatial va $\overline{v} = 0.5, \overline{\omega} =$  $\overline{\omega} = \pi / 4$ ,  $\overline{\zeta}_{\alpha} = 0.5$ ,  $\overline{b}$ The results of  $\alpha = 0.5, \overline{b} = 1.$  $$