

The spectre of unsafe abortions in the Philippines

Juan Raphael M. Perez,^a Gianica Reena S. Monteagudo,^b Pia Junea C. Nebrada,^a Ma Veronica Pia N. Arevalo,^a Edilina P. De la Paz,^b Frances Dominique V. Ho,^a and Clara Rita A. Padilla^{c,*}

^aCollege of Medicine, University of the Philippines, 1000 Manila, Philippines

^bDepartment of Family and Community Medicine, Philippine General Hospital, 1000 Manila, Philippines

^cEnGendeRights, Inc., 1105 Quezon City, Philippines



On 24 June 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court overturned *Roe v. Wade*, the landmark 1973 ruling that protected the constitutional right to abortion, threatening the physical and mental health of millions of pregnant people in the U.S. However, this crisis has long been the reality for pregnant people in the Philippines, a lower-middle income country in Southeast Asia where abortion remains restricted with no explicit exception for high-risk pregnancies, fetal impairment, rape, and incest.¹ Nonetheless, 1.1 million induced abortions occur in the country annually, a number that was estimated to increase by 14.6% in 2020.² 1000 Filipino women die each year from post-abortion complications.³

Unsafe abortion contributes significantly to preventable maternal mortality in the country yet remains the sole option for many young, low-income, and rural women,^{1,3} as 75% of Filipino women seeking abortions are financially unable to raise another child. Disturbingly, over 10% of women are victims of sexual violence.³ Unsafe abortive methods include unsupervised catheter insertion, abdominal manipulation or massage, and self-induction with unapproved herbs.^{3,4} Misoprostol, an internationally accepted abortifacient, also remains illegal and inaccessible due to restrictions on abortion. These frequently result in mortality from hemorrhage, sepsis, genital trauma, and bowel necrosis, with many survivors suffering long-term complications (e.g., poor wound healing, infertility, and incontinence).⁵

Though the provision of humane post-abortion care is constitutional, Filipino women face both societal stigma and maltreatment from healthcare providers, the latter ranging from verbal abuse and religious sanction to outright refusal of care.⁴ With over 80% of the Filipino population identifying as Roman Catholic,⁶ strong religiosity may be a contributor to societal stigma. This, compounded by fear of legal prosecution, may lead women to delay seeking post-abortion care. Filipino healthcare providers themselves risk criminal prosecution, license revocation, and ostracisation from peers for participating in abortion-related activities.⁷

Legal barriers further thwart safe post-abortion care. A 2016 Department of Health Administrative Order (DOH AO), drafted in consultation with reproductive health advocates, provided protections on confidentiality and redress mechanisms for abortion patients.⁸ However, a 2018 DOH AO, developed without consulting health advocates, removed these guidelines explicitly maintaining patient privacy.⁸

Women who continue with unintended pregnancies are exposed, willingly or unwillingly, to the effects of pregnancy itself, which range from normal physiologic (e.g., increased workload to the heart, hypercoagulable state of the blood) to life-threatening (e.g., preeclampsia, venous thromboembolism).⁹ Quality prenatal care manages these risks, but remains out of reach for low-income Filipino women, especially those dwelling in geographically isolated and disadvantaged areas (GIDAs), due to high out-of-pocket costs and concentrated healthcare resources in urban centers.¹⁰

Religious, political, and socioeconomic forces influence the Filipino woman's reproductive freedoms beyond abortion. Unintended pregnancy remains a public health challenge in the Philippines because of limited access to contraception and sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services. Over half of all pregnancies are unintended and over half of these unintended pregnancies end in abortion.¹¹ Seventeen percent of Filipino women have an unmet need for family planning, an estimate projected to rise by 67% in 2020, due to community quarantine-related service disruptions.² Such unmet needs may be partly due to the deep-seated political and cultural power of the Philippine Catholic Church, ineffective widescale implementation of sexuality and contraception education, high rates of gender-based violence, stalled implementation of the Reproductive Health (RH) Law, and the delayed registration of contraceptives due to a former restraining order issued by the national Supreme Court.^{1,3,4} The RH Law, passed in 2012, promises to provide modern contraceptive services, counseling, and sex education, especially for rural and poor Filipinos.³

We advocate for the decriminalisation of abortion and ensuring access to safe abortion, which begins with halting the prosecution of patients and abortion care providers. Training obstetric and primary care providers for safe abortion care is also critical to expand access, especially in GIDAs. In the meantime, to reduce

The Lancet Regional Health - Western Pacific
2023;32: 100655

Published Online 19
December 2022

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2022.100655>

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2022.100655>

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: engenderights@gmail.com (C.R.A. Padilla).

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

maternal morbidity and mortality from unsafe abortions, policies addressing post-abortion care should prioritise the expansion of existing treatment options by nurses and midwives according to WHO standards and should be made in consultation with SRH advocates. Legislation should be crafted to protect the privacy of women seeking these services.

The country must address the determinants underlying unintended pregnancies through efforts to promote SRH literacy and universal access to modern contraception, while effectively addressing gender-based violence. Finally, to improve outcomes for people with unintended pregnancies, it is imperative to reduce out-of-pocket costs of prenatal care and mobilise prenatal care providers towards and within resource-limited areas. Ultimately, it is time for the Philippines to rethink its long-standing cultural condemnation of induced abortion and consider how safe abortion access can not only save lives but also defend women's health, security, and dignity.

Contributors

Conceptualisation, resources, writing (original draft), writing (review & editing) - JRMP, GRSM, PJCN, MVPNA, EPDP, FDVH, CRAP.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This study received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- 1 Padilla CRA. Safe and legal abortion saves women's lives: public health concerns and social costs of lack of access to safe and legal abortion and post-abortion care [Internet]. EnGendeRights, Inc. <https://engenderights.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/1.B.2.1-Fact-Sheet-1-2016-EnGendeRights.pdf>; 2016. Accessed July 28, 2022.
- 2 Marquez MPN, Laguna EP, Kabamalan MMM, Cruz GT. Estimating the potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on key sexual and reproductive health outcomes in the Philippines [Internet]. University of the Philippines Population Institute. <https://www.uppi.upd.edu.ph/sites/default/files/pdf/UPPI-Impact-of-COVID-19-on-SRH.pdf>; 2020. Accessed July 28, 2022.
- 3 Finer LB, Hussain R. Unintended pregnancy and unsafe abortion in the Philippines: context and consequences [Internet]. Guttmacher Institute. <https://www.guttmacher.org/report/unintended-pregnancy-and-unsafe-abortion-philippines-context-and-consequences>; 2013. Accessed July 28, 2022.
- 4 Center for Reproductive Rights. Forsaken lives: the harmful impact of the Philippine criminal abortion ban [Internet]. https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/default/files/documents/phil_report_Spreads.pdf; 2010. Accessed July 29, 2022.
- 5 Haddad L, Nour N. Unsafe abortion: unnecessary maternal mortality. *Rev Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;2(2):122–126.
- 6 Philippine Statistics Authority. Philippine population surpassed the 100 million mark (results from the 2015 census of population) [Internet]. <https://psa.gov.ph/content/philippine-population-surpassed-100-million-mark-results-2015-census-population>; 2017. Accessed August 18, 2022.
- 7 Center for Reproductive Rights. Facts on abortion in the Philippines: criminalization and a general ban on abortion [Internet]. https://reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/pub_fac_philippines_1%2010.pdf; 2010. Accessed September 29, 2022.
- 8 Upreti M, Jacob J. The Philippines rolls back advancements in the postabortion care policy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2018;142(2):255–256.
- 9 The Lancet Haematology. The dystopia of enforced childbirth. *Lancet Haematol*. 2022;9(7).
- 10 Tantengco O, Ornos E. Trends in health insurance and antenatal care coverage in the Philippines. *J Public Health*. 2022:fdac068.
- 11 Guttmacher Institute. Country profile - Philippines [Internet]. <https://www.guttmacher.org/regions/asia/philippines>; 2022. Accessed September 29, 2022.