REVIEW

Plant‑made pharmaceuticals: exploring studies for the production of recombinant protein in plants and assessing challenges ahead

Juho Lee1 · Seon‑Kyeong Lee1 · Jong‑Sug Park1 · Kyeong‑Ryeol Lee[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9265-8728)

Received: 24 August 2022 / Revised: 16 January 2023 / Accepted: 6 February 2023 / Published online: 16 February 2023 © Korean Society for Plant Biotechnology 2023

Abstract

The production of pharmaceutical compounds in plants is attracting increasing attention, as plant-based systems can be less expensive, safer, and more scalable than mammalian, yeast, bacterial, and insect cell expression systems. Here, we review the history and current status of plant-made pharmaceuticals. Producing pharmaceuticals in plants requires pairing the appropriate plant species with suitable transformation technology. Pharmaceuticals have been produced in tobacco, cereals, legumes, fruits, and vegetables via nuclear transformation, chloroplast transformation, transient expression, and transformation of suspension cell cultures. Despite this wide range of species and methods used, most such eforts have involved the nuclear transformation of tobacco. Tobacco readily generates large amounts of biomass, easily accepts foreign genes, and is amenable to stable gene expression via nuclear transformation. Although vaccines, antibodies, and therapeutic proteins have been produced in plants, such pharmaceuticals are not readily utilized by humans due to diferences in glycosylation, and few such compounds have been approved due to a lack of clinical data. In addition, achieving an adequate immune response using plant-made pharmaceuticals can be difficult due to low rates of production compared to other expression systems. Various technologies have recently been developed to help overcome these limitations; however, plant systems are expected to increasingly become widely used expression systems for recombinant protein production.

Keywords Biopharmaceuticals · Plant production system · Plant-made pharmaceuticals · Molecular farming · Recombinant protein · Transgenic plant · Transient expression

Introduction

Recombinant therapeutic proteins are transgenic proteins that are produced in a heterologous organism and are used to prevent or treat a human or animal disease. The frst commercially available recombinant therapeutic protein was human insulin produced in *Escherichia coli* (Goeddel et al. [1979](#page-9-0)). Since then, as the technology has developed, other therapeutics, vaccines, and cytokines have been produced to protect people from infectious diseases and as cures for previously incurable diseases (Meyer et al. [2008](#page-10-0)). Unlike traditional pharmaceuticals produced by chemical synthesis, recombinant proteins are larger, with more complex structures. For example, aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) is composed of 21 atoms, whereas a monoclonal antibody used as an anticancer agent is composed of 25,000 atoms and exhibits a complex structure (Otto et al. [2014\)](#page-11-0). Given this complexity, systems to produce such recombinant proteins generally use living cells, including *E. coli*, yeast, insect, and mammalian cells (Thomas et al. [2002\)](#page-12-0).

The production system is chosen based on the type of drug being produced. Prokaryotic production systems are used to produce proteins that have simple structures and lack post-translational modifcations such as N-glycosylation, whereas eukaryotic production systems are used to produce proteins that have complex structures (such as antibodies) and post-translational modifcations (Ferrer-Miralles et al. [2009](#page-9-1)). Bacterial expression systems were the frst systems utilized to produce recombinant proteins, and they remain in use because bacterial cells are easy to handle and grow rapidly (Redwan [2007\)](#page-11-1). However, bacterial systems also have signifcant disadvantages, including the presence of endotoxin and the production of proteins lacking glycosylation. For these reasons, and because most biopharmaceuticals

 \boxtimes Kyeong-Ryeol Lee realdanny@korea.kr

¹ Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, National Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Rural Development Administration, Jeonju 54874, Republic of Korea

require post-translational protein processing for function, eukaryotic yeast and mammalian cell expression systems have accounted for the majority of biopharmaceutical production (Butler [2003\)](#page-8-0). Like bacteria, yeast cells are inexpensive to produce and grow quickly. In addition, they can produce glycosylated proteins (Gellison et al. [1992](#page-9-2); Choi et al. [2003](#page-8-1); Demain and Vaishnav [2009\)](#page-8-2). Yeast cell disruption is difficult, however, due to the presence of the cell wall (Çelik and Çalık [2012\)](#page-8-3). Recombinant proteins produced in insect cells can undergo proper folding and post-translational modifcation; however, insect cell systems are expensive and time-consuming to use (Fernandes et al. [2012\)](#page-9-3). Because the mammalian cell culture system has the same advantages as the insect cell system and is optimized for human protein production, most recombinant protein production platforms are based on mammalian cells. Such systems have been approved for the production of a number of drugs (O'Flaherty et al. [2020](#page-10-1)). However, these systems are highly vulnerable to infection by animal pathogens, are difficult to scale up and require expensive culture conditions (Butler [2003](#page-8-0)).

To overcome these disadvantages, plant production systems for biopharmaceuticals have been developed (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) (Shanmugaraj et al. [2020\)](#page-11-2). Plants are generally suitable for recombinant protein production. Recombinant proteins can be rapidly produced in plants via transient expression (Kapila et al. [1997](#page-10-2)). In addition, plant production systems cannot be infected by mammalian pathogens during protein production and are easy to scale up (Yusibov and Rabindran [2008\)](#page-12-1). The production cost when using plant production system is estimated to be only 0.1% and 2–10% that when using mammalian cell culture and microbial fermentation systems, respectively (Giddings [2001\)](#page-9-4). Moreover, their culture conditions are simple, and since plants are eukaryotic, the recombinant proteins undergo posttranslational modifcation (Fischer et al. [1999a\)](#page-9-5). However, the glycosylation process in plants is diferent from that in animal cell systems (Bosch et al. [2013](#page-8-4)). Although few plant-made recombinant proteins have been approved to date for use as therapeutic agents, these problems are being resolved with advances in biotechnology (Schillberg et al. [2019](#page-11-3)). The production of biopharmaceuticals in plants—known as molecular farming, a term introduced by Fischer et al. [\(1999a,](#page-9-5) [b](#page-9-6))—offers benefits such as scalability, speed, and improved safety compared with insect and mammalian cell expression systems. These features are desirable when resources are limited and could be helpful for the rapid production of vaccines against pandemic disease outbreaks or biochemical terrorism (Fischer et al. [1999a,](#page-9-5) [b\)](#page-9-6).

The US Food and Drug Administration approved the frst vaccine produced in *Nicotiana tabacum* cell suspension culture expression system in 2006; this vaccine protects Newcastle disease virus in poultry (Vermij and Waltz [2006](#page-12-2)). In 2012, the frst plant-made pharmaceutical (PMP) for humans was approved: a recombinant human glucocerebrosidase produced in a carrot (*Daucus carota*) cell suspension culture system. This enzyme is used to treat Gaucher's disease, a hereditary lysosomal storage disorder caused by a mutation of the *β-glucocerebrosidase* gene (Fox [2012](#page-9-7); Rosales-Mendoza and Tello-Olea [2015](#page-11-4)). The disease is characterized by enlargement of the liver and spleen, fatigue, and anemia (Tekoah et al. [2015\)](#page-12-3). Since then, PMPs have been developed using various technologies, and the demand for these products is growing. The US Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), which is interested in the potential use of plant-derived vaccine production technology, has invested in both proof-of-concept operations and the development of commercial-scale facilities for plant-derived vaccine manufacturing. In particular, DARPA announced the design and operation of a facility that can produce tens of millions of doses of plant-derived vaccines via transient expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* (Holtz et al. [2015](#page-9-8))*.* These vaccines can be ready for use within 1 month after the plants are treated with an appropriate vector, showing how quickly the production of "green vaccines" can be established using transient expression. By emphasizing how easily the upstream process could be expanded, the authors demonstrated the suitability of plant-derived vaccine production as a means to rapidly protect the population against new infectious diseases.

In this review, we discuss pharmaceutical proteins produced in plants. First, we look at the types of recombinant pharmaceutical proteins developed so far, including vaccines, antibodies, and therapeutic proteins. Next, we discuss tools and technologies for producing these proteins in plants, including production methods in various plant systems. Finally, we discuss the limitations of recombinant protein production using plant systems compared to mammalian, insect, yeast, and *E. coli* systems, as well as the

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of plant-made pharmaceuticals production

current state of research and development aimed at solving these problems.

Three types of PMPs

High-value-added PMPs produced from plants include vaccines, antibodies, and therapeutic proteins. Clinical trials have been conducted on various PMPs, which are listed in Table [1](#page-2-0) [\(https://clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov), accessed on December 2022).

Vaccines

Vaccines confer acquired immunity against specifc diseases or pathogens in animals, including humans. Vaccines are similar in structure to the antigen recognition sites of microbial pathogens that cause disease, but unlike pathogens, they are not pathogenic. Thus, vaccines activate the immune system, allowing it to quickly respond to subsequent infections with the same pathogen. The demand for plant-based vaccines has continuously increasing since the

Table 1 Plant-made pharmaceuticals in clinical trials

development of a vaccine against Newcastle virus in 2006 (Yusibov and Rabindran [2008](#page-12-1); Shim et al. [2019](#page-11-5)). Research on various pathogens is being actively conducted, and some vaccines are currently being evaluated for safety and efficacy. In addition to general vaccines, which have attenuated pathogenicity, other types of vaccines are available, such as those made from inactivated viruses, recombinant protein subunits, and nucleic acids (Ellis [1999\)](#page-9-9). In particular, a vaccine made from virus-like particles (VLPs; a type of recombinant protein subunit) is attracting attention (Noad and Roy [2003](#page-10-3)).

A VLP that is similar to a virus shape is not infectious because it does not contain viral genetic materials. Although VLPs lack pathogenicity, they contain the viral antigen(s) found on the outside of the capsid and are immunogenic (Murray [1988](#page-10-4); Pumpens and Grens [2002\)](#page-11-6). Representative plant-produced vaccines include vaccines against the hepatitis B virus (Huang et al. [2008\)](#page-9-10), Newcastle disease virus (Guerrero-Andrade et al. [2006](#page-9-11)), infuenza (D'Aoust et al. [2008](#page-8-5); Kalthoff et al. [2010;](#page-9-12) Kanagarajan et al. [2012](#page-9-13); Shoji et al. [2012](#page-11-7); Firsov et al. [2015;](#page-9-14) Mbewana et al. [2015](#page-10-5); Smith et al. [2020](#page-11-8)), porcine circovirus (Gunter et al. [2019](#page-9-15)),

Zika virus (Yang et al. [2018](#page-12-4)), and poliovirus (Marsian et al. [2017](#page-10-6)). Recently, two types of plant-made COVID-19 vaccines were rapidly developed. Medicago's coronavirus VLP (CoVLP) is a vaccine that mimics the surface structure of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Using a transient expression system in *N. benthamiana*, after the nucleotide sequence of the virus is obtained, a vaccine can be successfully produced within 8 weeks (Nosaki et al. [2021\)](#page-10-7). This process is four- to eightfold faster than the currently used egg-production system for the infuenza vaccine. Based on the promising results of the phase 1 clinical trial, phase 2/3 clinical trials were conducted and it was approved in Canada in 2022 (Ward et al. [2021](#page-12-5); [https://www.canada.ca/en/services/drugs-health-products.](https://www.canada.ca/en/services/drugs-health-products.html) [html](https://www.canada.ca/en/services/drugs-health-products.html), accessed on December 2022). Kentucky Bioprocessing (KBP) also produced a VLP-based vaccine in *N. benthamiana*. This vaccine candidate, KBP-201, is a chimeric VLP (cVLP) comprising the expressed SARS-CoV-2 receptorbinding domain (RBD) and a modified tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) (Maharjan et al. [2021\)](#page-10-8). Since cVLPs consist of viruses that are not related to the antigens, they function as heterologous antigens; such molecules can induce a robust antibody response (Kushnir et al. [2012](#page-10-9)).

Antibodies

Antibodies are substances produced by the immune system in response to stimulation by antigens. Their basic structure comprises two light chains and two heavy chains, which form a Y shape through disulfde bonds. The amino acid sequences of antibodies vary depending on the type of antigen, allowing countless types of antibodies to be produced. In 1989, the production of single gamma or kappa immunoglobulin chains in tobacco was made possible for the frst time (Hiatt et al. [1989](#page-9-16)); since then, numerous plant-made antibody therapeutics have been researched and developed. The plant-produced antibody drug ZMapp was developed by Mapp Pharmaceutical to treat Ebola virus (Pettitt et al. [2013\)](#page-11-9). In addition, antibodies against cancer (So et al. [2013](#page-11-10); Rattanapisit et al. [2019](#page-11-11)), human immunodeficiency virus (Floss et al. [2008;](#page-9-17) Sainsbury and Lomonossoff [2008](#page-11-12); Holland et al. [2010\)](#page-9-18), Zika, herpes (Diamos et al. [2020](#page-8-6)), and dengue (Dent et al. [2016](#page-8-7)) are currently being developed.

Therapeutic proteins

Cytokines are small glycoproteins involved in a variety of processes in the cell, including cell proliferation, diferentiation, and migration. As cytokines are components of the human immune system, their most widely known role is to mediate signal transduction between adjacent or distant cells, causing positive or negative feedback cascades (Arai et al. [1990](#page-8-8)). The more than 100 cytokines identifed to date are divided into several families, including hematopoietins,

interferons, platelet-derived growth factors, tumor necrosis factors, tumor growth factors, and chemokines (Liles and Van Voorhis [1995](#page-10-10)). Cytokines can also be produced in animal cells, but due to the potential for contamination, efforts are focused on producing these molecules in plant systems. Using a coat protein (CP)-deficient bamboo mosaic virus (BaMV) vector, the expression level of human interferon-γ was higher compared to that of other viral vectors in *N. benthamiana* (Jiang et al. [2019](#page-9-19)). Moreover, the efficient removal of the affinity tag after purification during the production of human interleukin-6 reduced production costs.

The bleeding disorder hemophilia affects a small percentage of the world's population, but it imposes a great burden on those who have it. In particular, more than half of hemophilia patients do not receive adequate treatment. Hemophilia is caused by a deficiency of factor VIII (FVIII), a blood coagulation factor (Mannucci and Tudden-ham [2001](#page-10-11)). Because FVIII is known to undergo complex post-translational modifcation, research has focused on producing a therapeutic agent in eukaryotes. In plants, the FVIII domain was produced in *N. tabacum* chloroplasts and bioencapsulated in plant cells up to 0.4 mg/g in fresh leaves (Verma et al. [2010](#page-12-6); Sherman et al. [2014](#page-11-13)). Furthermore, the entire FVIII factor was produced in the chloroplasts of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) at a level of 852 µg/g (Kwon et al. [2018](#page-10-12)). Another blood coagulation factor, a serine protease a-thrombin precursor (pFIIa) involved in fbrin formation, was co-expressed in *N. benthamiana* with Turnip Crinkle Virus coat protein (TCV-CP), which is known to interfere with post-transcriptional gene silencing (Laguia-Becher et al. [2019](#page-10-13)).

Human serum albumin (HSA), an abundant protein in the human body, accounts for more than half of the total protein content in plasma. Due to its strong binding properties, HSA acts as a carrier for hormones and metabolites and is also used to treat diseases or injuries such as hypovolemia, burns, and bleeding. HSA can be extracted from blood, but there has been considerable effort made to diversify its production due to the limited availability of donor human blood and the potential for blood-based infection. Studies to produce HSA using tobacco and rice (*Oryza sativa*) plants have been attempted (He et al. [2011](#page-9-20)). HSA expression in rice was successfully increased by knock-in of an HSA expression cassette at the locus encoding GluA1, a rice storage protein (Sedaghati et al. [2020\)](#page-11-14).

Tools and techniques for PMP expression

Two major methods are used to produce recombinant proteins in plant systems: stable genetic transformation and transient gene expression (Table [2](#page-4-0)) (Potrykus [1991](#page-11-15); Paul and Ma [2011\)](#page-11-16). The method chosen depends on the plant

Table 2 Advantages and disadvantages of the techniques for PMP expression

Technique	Advantages	Disadvantages
Stable transformation	Low cost at large-scale production Accumulation of the protein in spe- cific organs (tubers, seeds) High yields (chloroplast transforma-	Long selection procedures Environmental concerns Low yields (nuclear transforma- tion)
	tion)	No glycosylation of proteins (chloroplast transformation)
Transient transformation	Rapid production Easy to scale up High yields Minor environmental issues	Difficult to scale up (high cost)
Suspension cell culture	Little pathogen contamination (using bioreactor) Easy to facilitate downstream pro- cessing (secretion in the medium)	Difficult to scale up (High cost) Low yields

species, target genome (nuclear or plastid), and gene characteristics (Christou [1996](#page-8-9)). Stable genetic transformation involves the stable production of proteins via the insertion of recombinant genes into the plant cell genomes (Horsch et al. [1985](#page-9-21)). This method has several advantages. In particular, it enables the stable, large-scale production of recombinant proteins, and allows for the production of multiple recombinant proteins. However, this method also has disadvantages: it is time-consuming and must be modifed to ensure mass expression. Moreover, in nuclear transformation, since genes are randomly inserted into the nucleus, unstable expression or silencing of genes could occur due to positional efects (Fischer et al. [2004\)](#page-9-22).

Transient gene expression can be used to produce a target protein more rapidly than stable genetic transformation. There are two major methods for transient gene expression: *Agrobacterium*-mediated infltration and viral vector-based transient expression (Scholthof et al. [1996;](#page-11-17) Kapila et al. [1997](#page-10-2)). In both cases, stable integration of the transgene is not required.

Nuclear transformation

Among several methods for nuclear transformation, the most widely used nuclear transformation method is *Agrobacterium-*mediated plant transformation. In the case of plants which cannot be transformed with *Agrobacterium*, polyethylene glycol-mediated protoplast transformation method or biolistic transformation method can be used (Hayashimoto et al. [1990;](#page-9-23) Altpeter et al. [2005\)](#page-8-10). Most recombinant proteins in plants generated to date have been produced through nuclear transformation, in which a gene of interest (GOI) is stably integrated into a chromosome in the nucleus of a plant cell. The natural plant pathogens *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, which produce crown gall disease and hairy root disease, respectively, mediate indirect gene transfer during nuclear transformation (Anderson and Moore [1979](#page-8-11)). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carries a tumorinducing (Ti) plasmid comprising a transfer DNA (T-DNA) region, a virulence (*vir*) gene, and an origin of replication. The T-DNA region contains auxin, cytokinin, and opine biosynthesis genes between the left border (LB) and right border (RB). Auxin and cytokinin are phytohormones that increase the size of plant cells and promote division. Opines, such as octopine and nopaline, are derivatives of various amino acids or sugar phosphates produced in host plants that serve as nutrients for *Agrobacterium* after infection. When an infection begins, the T-DNA region of the Tiplasmid is transferred to the nucleus of the plant cell and then fuses with the plant genome. At this time, the *vir* genes are expressed to facilitate the transfer and integration of the T-DNA (Birch [1997\)](#page-8-12).

Based on these mechanisms by which *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediates plant transformation, a T-DNA binary vector system was developed consisting of two autonomousreplicating disarmed Ti-plasmids working as helpers for T-DNA processing and transfer and a T-region-containing binary vector for cloning the GOI (Hoekema et al. [1983](#page-9-24)). The binary vector also contains multiple cloning sites, origins of replication that operate in both *E. coli* and *Agrobacterium*, and antibiotic selection markers that function in plants and *E. coli.* The helper plasmid contains *vir* genes that promote gene transfer and integration into plant cells (Özyiğit [2012](#page-11-18)). After *Agrobacterium* infection of plants, callus formation occurs, callus diferentiation is induced, and shoots and roots are generated. The resulting transgenic plant thus becomes a host for recombinant protein production (De La Riva et al. [1998](#page-8-13); Gelvin [2003](#page-9-25); Van Montagu [2003\)](#page-12-7). In many cases, the GOI is expressed under the control of a constitutive strong promoter such as the caulifower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter to ensure copious production of the protein (Kay et al. [1987;](#page-10-14) Ma et al. [2003](#page-10-15)). In some cases, the GOI is expressed under the control of a seed-specifc promoter, and the target protein accumulates in mature seeds.

The advantage of this feature is that it is possible to obtain transgenic plants immediately by planting seeds that stably express the target gene (Twyman et al. [2003\)](#page-12-8). The protein of interest could also be targeted to subcellular organelles such as plastids, the endoplasmic reticulum, or the vacuole. There are also various choices for post-translational modifcations, as the proteins are retained in the endoplasmic reticulum or secreted into the apoplast (Schillberg et al. [2002\)](#page-11-19).

Chloroplast transformation

In addition to inserting a GOI into the nucleus, the GOI can also be introduced into chloroplast chromosomes to express the recombinant protein in leaf tissue. The chloroplast is a membrane-bound organelle whose genetic characteristics closely resemble those of prokaryotes since chloroplasts are thought to have originated from cyanobacteria (Martin et al. [2002\)](#page-10-16). Each mature leaf cell contains up to 100 chloroplasts, and each chloroplast contains ~ 100 copies of chloroplast genomic DNA. Thus, it provides a high gene copy number and leads to result in high expression of recombinant protein from integrated GOI in the chloroplast genome (Daniell et al. [2002](#page-8-14)). Chloroplast transformation is achieved by bombarding the leaf with gold particles coated with plastid DNA fragments containing the GOI and allowing the DNA to be integrated into the plastid genome via homologous recombination (Daniell et al. [1990\)](#page-8-15). Homologous recombination allows the GOI to be inserted into a specifc position in the chloroplast genome, and no post-transcriptional gene silencing has been observed (Daniell et al. [2005](#page-8-16)). In addition to bombardment, polyethylene glycol can be used to insert a GOI into the chloroplast genome (O'Neill et al. [1993;](#page-10-17) Díaz and Koop 2014). This simple, efficient method allows the simultaneous transformation of many samples, and the plant tissue shows a high survival rate, but the success rate is low compared to other methods.

Although transgenes are expressed at high levels in the chloroplast, a chloroplast-specifc promoter is often used for optimization. For example, the *psbA* promoter exhibits higher translational activity than other promoters. Codon optimization of the target gene is also performed using the sequence of *psbA* to increase the translation rate (Kwon et al. [2016](#page-10-18)). However, plastids do not provide post-translational modifcation pathways such as glycosylation, making them unsuitable for the production of glycoproteins.

Transient expression

Unlike stably expressed transgenes, transiently expressed transgenes do not undergo chromosomal integration and are not afected by positional efects. Therefore, protein expression peaks within 18–48 h and is maintained for approximately 10 days (Kapila et al. [1997\)](#page-10-2). Two major methods are used for transient expression: infltration using *A. tumefaciens* or plant viral infection. Agro-infltration is a simple method performed using the same binary vector used for stable transformation (Komori et al. [2007](#page-10-19)). The *Agrobacterium* culture is infltrated in leaves using a needleless syringe or vacuum (Lee and Yang [2006](#page-10-20); Simmons et al. [2009](#page-11-20)), and the leaves are harvested within 3–4 days post infltration to extract proteins. However, agro-infltrated leaves express low amounts of recombinant protein, and the use of this technology is limited to mass production platforms. Therefore, several methods have been used to increase protein expression following agro-infltration. The expression level of a GOI increased up to sevenfold after the addition of 5-azacytidine (5AzaC), antioxidants, and surfactants to the system in *N. benthamiana* (Zhao et al. [2017\)](#page-12-9). 5AzaC increases transgene expression by reducing DNA methylation, and antioxidants such as ascorbic acid, lipoic acid, and polyvinylpyrrolidone reduce the levels of reactive oxygen species generated during *Agrobacterium* infection and increase the efficiency of transformation. In addition, surfactants such as Tween-20, Triton X-100, and Silwet L-77 reduce the surface tension of plant cells to facilitate the invasion of *Agrobacterium* (Kuta and Tripathi [2005](#page-10-21); Dan [2008](#page-8-18)).

Plant viruses such as TMV, potato virus X (PVX), BaMV, and cowpea mosaic virus (CPMV) are used to produce recombinant proteins because viruses express large amounts of specifc proteins to ensure infection. Plant virus expression systems offer the advantage of rapid and highlevel transgene expression (Lico et al. [2008](#page-10-22)). To construct a viral vector with high transgene expression, the coat and movement protein genes are removed from the viral genome and replaced with a target gene expression cassette. Because viral vectors produce large amounts of proteins under extreme conditions, it is possible to produce proteins at levels exceeding hundreds of mg/kg plant biomass using these vectors (Balke and Zeltins [2019\)](#page-8-19).

Expression in suspension cell cultures

Plant suspension cell cultures are generated by growing individual cells or small aggregates derived from the breakdown of brittle callus pieces. Suspension cell culture is usually carried out in shaker fasks, and a bioreactor is used for subsequent scale-up. For recombinant protein production using suspension cells, tissues of cells co-cultured with *A. tumefaciens* or transgenic explants are used. Tobacco is one of the most widely used plant tissue sources due to its rapid division and easy transformation. For example, *N. tabacum* cv. Bright Yellow 2 (BY-2) cells derived from embryogenic root meristem cells are often used for suspension cell culture (Winicur et al. [1998](#page-12-10)). In addition to tobacco, alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) (Pires et al. [2012\)](#page-11-21), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Plasson et al. [2009](#page-11-22)), soybean (*Glycine max*) (Ganapathi et al. [2007](#page-9-26)), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (Kwon et al. [2003](#page-10-23)), and carrot cells (Mikschofsky et al. [2009](#page-10-24)) are also used. The Israeli company Protalix BioTherapeutics used carrot suspension cells to produce the treatment for Gaucher's disease mentioned previously, which represented the frst approved PMP used to treat human disease.

The advantages of plant suspension cell culture systems for recombinant protein production are as follows. First, plant cells grow rapidly. For example, one batch culture of *N. tabacum* BY-2 cells takes approximately 1–2 weeks to generate, whereas the process from seeding to harvest of transgenic plants takes several months (Plasson et al. [2009\)](#page-11-22). Second, scale-up is relatively simple because only the amounts of pre-culture and culture media need to be increased (Twyman et al. [2003](#page-12-8)). Third, recovery and purifcation of the product are simple. If the recombinant protein is secreted outside the cells, plant cell debris and byproducts can easily be removed by fltration. In addition, since the recombinant protein is in a dissolved state in the medium, a separate extraction process is not required. Most products remain in the medium following fltration, and the subsequent purifcation yield is also high. However, scaling up a plant suspension cell culture system requires a complex process design, and recombinant protein production is expensive compared to that in stable or transient expression systems (Hellwig et al. [2004;](#page-9-27) Schillberg et al. [2013\)](#page-11-23).

Host plants for PMP expression

Arabidopsis is an excellent model for plant science research due to its short generation time, high-density growth, and easy transformation (Meinke et al. [1998](#page-10-25)). However, *Arabidopsis* is not suitable for protein production due to its low biomass. Higher biomass and protein content of plants is advantageous for recombinant protein production since the relatively lower content of growth inhibitory factors and secondary metabolites (Fischer et al. [2004](#page-9-22)). Representative plants include tobacco, cereals, legumes, fruits, and vegetables.

Nicotiana **spp.**

N. benthamiana and *N. tabacum* have many desirable characteristics for the production of recombinant proteins, such as a fast growth rate, high biomass, and easy acceptance of foreign genes. Thus, the levels of recombinant proteins produced in tobacco are higher than in other crops (Fischer et al. [2004\)](#page-9-22). For example, the expression level of green fuorescent protein in transformed tobacco exceeded 50% of total soluble proteins when a viral vector was used (Marillonnet et al. [2004](#page-10-26)). Also, because tobacco is not a food or feed crop, it is free from food- or feed-related contamination problems. Of course, many tobacco varieties contain high levels of toxic alkaloids, but low-alkaloid varieties exist that are used for the production of pharmaceutical proteins. When 52 *Nicotiana* varieties were evaluated, *N. tabacum* cv. I 64 showed the highest protein concentration, large amount of biomass, and small amount of alkaloids (Conley et al. [2011](#page-8-20)).

Cereals

Unlike leaf crops, protein expression in seeds has the advantage that it can be stored for a long time even at room temperature because proteins are stably accumulated (Lau and Sun [2009\)](#page-10-27). In addition, since cereal seeds contain almost no phenolic compounds, the efficiency of downstream processes such as refning and analysis is high. These properties allow cereals containing therapeutics or vaccines to be administered orally with minimal processing. (Margolin et al. 2018). However, it is difficult to develop a suitable recombinant protein production system in the seeds of cereal plants because it is time-consuming to obtain seeds.

Legumes

Legumes such as alfalfa and soybeans have an advantage for protein production because their ability to fx atmospheric nitrogen lowers the need for chemical fertilizer (D'Aoust et al. [2004\)](#page-8-21). Many studies have been conducted to produce recombinant proteins in alfalfa because it has a large dry biomass yield per area and can be harvested up to nine times per year (Ding et al. [2006](#page-8-22); Joensuu et al. [2006;](#page-9-28) Aguirreburualde et al. [2013\)](#page-8-23). For example, lactoferrin has been produced in alfalfa (Stefanova et al. [2013](#page-12-11)). In addition, soybean has a high protein content in seeds $(>40\%)$ as compared to other crops, which is benefcial for recombinant protein production (Hudson et al. [2011\)](#page-9-29). In addition, soybeans have an excellent ability to stably store protein. For example, the recombinant protein remained stable in soybean seeds at room temperature even after 7 years (Cunha et al. [2010](#page-8-24)). However, since soybean seeds contain large amounts of oil, the efficiency of downstream processes such as refining and analysis is not high (Stoger et al. [2005\)](#page-12-12).

Fruits and vegetables

The greatest advantage of using fruits and vegetables for protein expression is that the tissue can be consumed uncooked, unprocessed, or partially processed. This is very important for the production of recombinant proteins such as vaccines, antibodies, and nutraceuticals. Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) represents a major system for vaccine production; studies have been conducted on vaccine production for infectious bronchitis virus and hepatitis B virus in potatoes (Zhou et al. [2004](#page-12-13); Thanavala et al. [2005](#page-12-14)). Tomatoes, bananas, and strawberries could also be used for recombinant protein production; unlike potatoes, tomatoes, bananas, and strawberries that produce recombinant protein can be eaten raw and used as 'edible vaccines'. Tomatoes also are delicious and have a higher biomass yield than potatoes. A recent study highlighted the possibility of generating a colorectal cancer vaccine by producing an rGAA-733 antigen in tomatoes (Park et al. [2022\)](#page-11-24). Bananas are another attractive edible vaccine production platform, because they can be grown in wide areas and are enjoyed by most people. For example, bananas producing hepatitis B surface antigen and cholera toxin B subunit have been reported (Kumar et al. [2005](#page-10-29); Renuga et al. [2010\)](#page-11-25). In addition, strawberries that produce canine interferon-α were developed in Japan and commercialized in 2014, proving their efectiveness in preventing periodontal disease in dogs (Tabayashi and Matsumura [2014\)](#page-12-15).

Duckweed

Duckweed is a perennial monocotyledonous plant belonging to the Lemnaceae that lives on the water surface in rice felds or ponds. A small oval winter bud from the mother plant sinks into the water in the autumn, stays dormant in the water in the winter, and rises to the water surface in the spring of the following year to undergo asexual reproduction (Landolt and Kandeler [1987](#page-10-30)). Duckweed grows rapidly and can double in one day, and its culture and propagation are simple (Vunsh et al. [2007](#page-12-16); Firsov et al. [2015](#page-9-14)). In addition, up to 45% of the plant body is made up of protein, and it is relatively resistant to contamination (Escobar and Escobar [2017\)](#page-9-30). Duckweed has several advantages as a PMP platform: First, scale-up is easy due to the low cost of culture medium for duckweed. Second, since it does not produce pollen, duckweed is safe from concerns about gene transfer into the ecosystem. Finally, duckweed is edible. These advantages make duckweed an ideal protein production platform for oral delivery (Popov et al. [2006;](#page-11-26) Rival et al. [2008\)](#page-11-27).

Moss

Physcomitrium patens (spreading earthmoss) can grow rapidly in an inorganic medium without phytohormones or vitamins. Genetic studies have revealed that most mosses exist as haploids during the growing season, pointing to their ease of transformation (Reski and Cove [2004\)](#page-11-28). *P. patens* shows high stability as a protein production platform because it undergoes a complex post-translational modifcation process during protein expression. This can be an advantage for the production of exogenous recombinant proteins because it allows stable protein production (von Stackelberg et al. [2006](#page-12-17); Parsons et al. [2013](#page-11-29)).

Conclusions and future perspectives

Plant expression systems for recombinant protein production have several specifc advantages. However, their application in recombinant protein production must overcome major barriers such as a lack of regulatory approval. PMPs are currently used primarily for diagnostic purposes and as veterinary medicines. Although it is difficult to obtain approval for PMP as a human medical protein, it will be necessary to secure a basis for approval for the production of recombinant human medical protein in the future. These systems also have some disadvantages, such as plant-specifc glycosylation patterns difering from those in animal cells, low yields for recombinant protein production, and complex transgenic plant management practices, but solutions to these problems are possible with the development of new technologies.

Protein glycosylation is an essential post-translational modifcation that occurs in all eukaryotes. The early steps of this process are well conserved in plants, animals, and yeast, but late *N*-glycan maturation in the Golgi apparatus varies considerably. Therefore, it will be important to control the glycosylation of target proteins in plants (Koprivova et al. [2004](#page-10-31); Strasser et al. [2004\)](#page-12-18). Indeed, Protalix BioTherapeutics increased the therapeutic efficacy of ELE-LYSO™ by adding mannose to *N*-glycan (Tekoah et al. [2015](#page-12-3)). A recent study demonstrated a technique for removing xylose and fucose from *N*-glycan in plants (Jansing et al. [2019\)](#page-9-31). In the future, we expect that this technology will be further developed to freely control whether N-glycan residues are attached to target proteins in plants.

A recently developed type of *N. tabacum* does not produce alkaloid nicotine (Schachtsiek and Stehle [2019](#page-11-30)); this advance is expected to help increase the yield of recombinant proteins in this system. Other studies have been conducted to overcome the yield-related difficulties in inducing immunogenicity. For example, a split-intein SpyTag/Spy-Catcher (ST/SC) conjugation system is a complementary peptide that can bind over a wide range of temperatures and pH values, allowing it to be used for the efficient binding of two proteins (Zakeri et al. [2012\)](#page-12-19). VLPs from West Nile virus were efficiently formed using this system (Stander et al. [2021\)](#page-11-31). In addition, several antigen-display technologies, such as bacteria-like particles (BLPs) have been developed (van Roosmalen et al. [2006](#page-12-20); Bosma et al. [2006;](#page-8-25) Buist et al. [2008\)](#page-8-26). The BLP system is used for antigen display by inactivating *Lactococcus* bacteria, which are generally recognized as safe. The display capacity was improved by binding mCor1 homotrimer and lysM to the antigen protein. In a recent study, the immunogenicity of a vaccine for avian infuenza virus was signifcantly improved using this technology (Song et al. [2021](#page-11-32)). Such improvements should lead to the widespread adoption of PMPs in the future.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by a research grant from the Rural Development Administration project (Project No. PJ01570102, JL), Republic of Korea.

Author contributions JL and KRL wrote and reviewed the manuscript. Three types of PMPs and tools and techniques for PMP expression were reviewed by SKL. PMP expression platforms were reviewed by JSP. Final compilation and editing were done by JL and KRL. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

References

- Aguirreburualde MSP, Gómez MC, Ostachuk A, Wolman F, Albanesi G, Pecora A, Odeon A, Ardila F, Escribano JM, Santos MJD (2013) Efficacy of a BVDV subunit vaccine produced in alfalfa transgenic plants. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 151(3–4):315– 324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.12.004>
- Altpeter F, Baisakh N, Beachy R, Bock R, Capell T, Christou P, Daniell H, Datta K, Datta S, Dix PJ, Fauquet C, Huang N, Kohli A, Mooibroek H, Nicholson L, Nguyen TT, Nugent G, Raemakers K, Romano A, Somers DA, Stoger E, Taylor N, Visser R (2005) Particle bombardment and the genetic enhancement of crops: myths and realities. Mol Breed 15:305–327. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-004-8001-y) [1007/s11032-004-8001-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-004-8001-y)
- Anderson AR, Moore LW (1979) Host specifcity in the genus *Agrobacterium*. Phytopathol 69(4):320-323
- Arai K-i, Lee F, Miyajima A, Miyatake S, Arai N, Yokota T (1990) Cytokines: coordinators of immune and infammatory responses. Annu Rev Biochem 59(1):783–836. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.59.070190.004031) [annurev.bi.59.070190.004031](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.59.070190.004031)
- Balke I, Zeltins A (2019) Use of plant viruses and virus-like particles for the creation of novel vaccines. Adv Drug Del Rev 145:119– 129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2018.08.007>
- Birch RG (1997) Plant tramsformation: problems and strategies for practical application. Annu Rev Plant Biol 48(1):297–326. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.48.1.297>
- Bosch D, Castilho A, Loos A, Schots A, Steinkellner H (2013) N-glycosylation of plant-produced recombinant proteins. Curr Pharm Des 19(31):5503–5512. [https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612811](https://doi.org/10.2174/138161281139310006) [39310006](https://doi.org/10.2174/138161281139310006)
- Bosma T, Kanninga R, Neef J, Audouy SA, van Roosmalen ML, Steen A, Buist G, Kok J, Kuipers OP, Robillard G (2006) Novel surface display system for proteins on non-genetically modifed gram-positive bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 72(1):880–889. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.880-889.2006>
- Buist G, Steen A, Kok J, Kuipers OP (2008) LysM, a widely distributed protein motif for binding to (peptido) glycans. Mol Microbiol 68(4):838–847. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06211.x) 06211 x
- Butler M (2003) Animal cell culture and technology. Taylor & Francis, pp 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203427835>
- Çelik E, Çalık P (2012) Production of recombinant proteins by yeast cells. Biotechnol Adv 30(5):1108–1118. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.09.011) [1016/j.biotechadv.2011.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.09.011)
- Choi BK, Bobrowicz P, Davidson RC, Hamilton SR, Kung DH, Li H, Miele RG, Nett JH, Wildt S, Gerngross TU (2003) Use of combinatorial genetic libraries to humanize N-linked

glycosylation in the yeast *Pichia pastoris*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100(9):5022–5027. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.09312](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0931263100) [63100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0931263100)

- Christou P (1996) Transformation technology. Trends Plant Sci 1(12):423–431. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385\(96\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(96)10047-9) [10047-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(96)10047-9)
- Conley AJ, Zhu H, Le LC, Jevnikar AM, Lee BH, Brandle JE, Menassa R (2011) Recombinant protein production in a variety of Nicotiana hosts: a comparative analysis. Plant Biotechnol J 9(4):434– 444. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2010.00563.x>
- Cunha N, Araújo A, Leite A, Murad A, Vianna G, Rech E (2010) Correct targeting of proinsulin in protein storage vacuoles of transgenic soybean seeds. Genet Mol Res 9(2):1163–1170. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4238/vol9-2gmr849) [org/10.4238/vol9-2gmr849](https://doi.org/10.4238/vol9-2gmr849)
- D'Aoust MA, Lavoie PO, Couture MMJ, Trépanier S, Guay JM, Dargis M, Mongrand S, Landry N, Ward BJ, Vézina LP (2008) Infuenza virus-like particles produced by transient expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* induce a protective immune response against a lethal viral challenge in mice. Plant Biotechnol J 6(9):930–940. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00384.x>
- Dan Y (2008) Biological functions of antioxidants in plant transformation. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol - Plant 44(3):149–161. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-008-9110-9) [org/10.1007/s11627-008-9110-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-008-9110-9)
- Daniell H, Vivekananda J, Nielsen BL, Ye GN, Tewari KK, Sanford JC (1990) Transient foreign gene expression in chloroplasts of cultured tobacco cells after biolistic delivery of chloroplast vectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87(1):88–92. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.1.88) [1073/pnas.87.1.88](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.1.88)
- Daniell H, Khan MS, Allison L (2002) Milestones in chloroplast genetic engineering: an environmentally friendly era in biotechnology. Trends Plant Sci 7(2):84–91. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(01)02193-8) [S1360-1385\(01\)02193-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(01)02193-8)
- Daniell H, Kumar S, Dufourmantel N (2005) Breakthrough in chloroplast genetic engineering of agronomically important crops. Trends Biotechnol 23(5):238–245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibte](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2005.03.008) [ch.2005.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2005.03.008)
- D'Aoust M-A, Busse U, Martel M, Lerouge P, Levesque D, Vézina L-P (2004) Perennial plants as a production system for pharmaceuticals. Handb Plant Biotechnol. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/0470869143.kc043) [0470869143.kc043](https://doi.org/10.1002/0470869143.kc043)
- De La Riva GA, González-Cabrera J, Vázquez-Padrón R, Ayra-Pardo C (1998) Agrobacterium tumefaciens: a natural tool for plant transformation. Electron J Biotechnol 1(3):24–25. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-34581998000300002) [10.4067/S0717-34581998000300002](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-34581998000300002)
- Demain AL, Vaishnav P (2009) Production of recombinant proteins by microbes and higher organisms. Biotechnol Adv 27(3):297–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.01.008>
- Dent M, Hurtado J, Paul AM, Sun H, Lai H, Yang M, Esqueda A, Bai F, Steinkellner H, Chen Q (2016) Plant-produced anti-dengue virus monoclonal antibodies exhibit reduced antibody-dependent enhancement of infection activity. J Gen Virol 97(12):3280– 3290.<https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000635>
- Diamos AG, Hunter JGL, Pardhe MD, Rosenthal SH, Sun H, Foster BC, DiPalma MP, Chen Q, Mason HS (2020) High level production of monoclonal antibodies using an optimized plant expression system. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00472) [fbioe.2019.00472](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00472)
- Díaz AH, Koop H-U (2014) *Nicotiana tabacum*: PEG-mediated plastid transformation. In: Maliga P (ed) Chloroplast biotechnology: methods and protocols. Humana Press, Totowa, pp 165–175. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-995-6_9
- Ding S-H, Huang L-Y, Wang Y-D, Sun H-C, Xiang Z-H (2006) Highlevel expression of basic fbroblast growth factor in transgenic soybean seeds and characterization of its biological activity. Biotechnol Lett 28(12):869–875. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-006-9018-6) [s10529-006-9018-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-006-9018-6)
- Ellis RW (1999) New technologies for making vaccines. Vaccine 17(13–14):1596–1604. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X\(98\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(98)00416-2) [00416-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(98)00416-2)
- Escobar CM, Escobar AC (2017) Duckweed: a tiny aquatic plant with enormous potential for bioregenerative life support systems. Int Conf Environ Syst 281:1-9
- Fernandes F, Vidigal J, Dias MM, Prather KLJ, Coroadinha AS, Teixeira AP, Alves PM (2012) Flipase-mediated cassette exchange in Sf9 insect cells for stable gene expression. Biotechnol Bioeng 109(11):2836–2844.<https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24542>
- Ferrer-Miralles N, Domingo-Espín J, Corchero JL, Vázquez E, Villaverde A (2009) Microbial factories for recombinant pharmaceuticals. Microb Cell Factor 8(1):1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-8-17) [1475-2859-8-17](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-8-17)
- Firsov A, Tarasenko I, Mitiouchkina T, Ismailova N, Shaloiko L, Vainstein A, Dolgov S (2015) High-yield expression of M2e peptide of avian infuenza virus H5N1 in transgenic duckweed plants. Mol Biotechnol 57(7):653–661. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-015-9855-4) [s12033-015-9855-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-015-9855-4)
- Fischer R, Drossard J, Commandeur U, Schillberg S, Emans N (1999a) Towards molecular farming in the future: moving from diagnostic protein and antibody production in microbes to plants. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 30(2):101–108. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-8744.1999.tb00898.x) [1470-8744.1999.tb00898.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-8744.1999.tb00898.x)
- Fischer R, Liao Y-C, Hofmann K, Schillberg S, Emans N (1999b) Molecular farming of recombinant antibodies in plants. Biol Chem 380(7–8):825–839.<https://doi.org/10.1515/BC.1999.102>
- Fischer R, Stoger E, Schillberg S, Christou P, Twyman RM (2004) Plant-based production of biopharmaceuticals. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7(2):152–158.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2004.01.007>
- Floss DM, Sack M, Stadlmann J, Rademacher T, Scheller J, Stöger E, Fischer R, Conrad U (2008) Biochemical and functional characterization of anti-HIV antibody–ELP fusion proteins from transgenic plants. Plant Biotechnol J 6(4):379–391. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00326.x) [1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00326.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00326.x)
- Fox JL (2012) First plant-made biologic approved. Nat Biotechnol 30(6):472–473. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0612-472>
- Ganapathi T, Kumar GS, Srinivas L, Revathi C, Bapat V (2007) Analysis of the limitations of hepatitis B surface antigen expression in soybean cell suspension cultures. Plant Cell Rep 26(9):1575– 1584. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-007-0379-7>
- Gellison G, Janowicz ZA, Weydemann U, Melber K, Strasser AWM, Hollenberg CP (1992) High-level expression of foreign genes in *Hansenula polymorpha*. Biotech Adv 10:179–189. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0734-9750(92)90002-Q) [org/10.1016/0734-9750\(92\)90002-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0734-9750(92)90002-Q)
- Gelvin SB (2003) Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation: the biology behind the "gene-jockeying" tool. Microbiol Mol Rev 67(1):16–37.<https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.67.1.16-37.2003>
- Giddings G (2001) Transgenic plants as protein factories. Curr Opin Biotechnol 12:450–454. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-1669\(00\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-1669(00)00244-5) [00244-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-1669(00)00244-5)
- Goeddel DV, Kleid DG, Bolivar F, Heyneker HL, Yansura DG, Crea R, Hirose T, Kraszewski A, Itakura K, Riggs AD (1979) Expression in Escherichia coli of chemically synthesized genes for human insulin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 76(1):106–110. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.1.106) [10.1073/pnas.76.1.106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.1.106)
- Guerrero-Andrade O, Loza-Rubio E, Olivera-Flores T, Fehérvári-Bone T, Gómez-Lim MA (2006) Expression of the Newcastle disease virus fusion protein in transgenic maize and immunological studies. Transgenic Res 15(4):455–463. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-006-0017-0) [s11248-006-0017-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-006-0017-0)
- Gunter CJ, Regnard GL, Rybicki EP, Hitzeroth II (2019) Immunogenicity of plant-produced porcine circovirus-like particles in mice. Plant Biotechnol J 17(9):1751–1759. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13097) [1111/pbi.13097](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13097)
- Hayashimoto A, Li Z, Murai N (1990) A polyethylene glycol-mediated protoplast transformation system for production of fertile transgenic rice plants. Plant Physiol 93(3):857–863. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.93.3.857) [10.1104/pp.93.3.857](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.93.3.857)
- He Y, Ning T, Xie T, Qiu Q, Zhang L, Sun Y, Jiang D, Fu K, Yin F, Zhang W, Shen L, Wang H, Li J, Lin Q, Sun Y, Li H, Zhu Y, Yang D (2011) Large-scale production of functional human serum albumin from transgenic rice seeds. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(47):19078–19083. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.11097](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1109736108) [36108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1109736108)
- Hellwig S, Drossard J, Twyman RM, Fischer R (2004) Plant cell cultures for the production of recombinant proteins. Nat Biotechnol 22(11):1415–1422. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1027>
- Hiatt A, Cafferkey R, Bowdish K (1989) Production of antibodies in transgenic plants. Nature 342(6245):76–78. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/342076a0) [1038/342076a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/342076a0)
- Hoekema A, Hirsch PR, Hooykaas PJJ, Schilperoort RA (1983) A binary plant vector strategy based on separation of vir- and T-region of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Ti-plasmid. Nature 303(5913):179–180.<https://doi.org/10.1038/303179a0>
- Holland T, Sack M, Rademacher T, Schmale K, Altmann F, Stadlmann J, Fischer R, Hellwig S (2010) Optimal nitrogen supply as a key to increased and sustained production of a monoclonal fullsize antibody in BY-2 suspension culture. Biotechnol Bioeng 107(2):278–289.<https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22800>
- Holtz BR, Berquist BR, Bennett LD, Kommineni VJM, Munigunti RK, White EL, Wilkerson DC, Wong K-YI, Ly LH, Marcel S (2015) Commercial-scale biotherapeutics manufacturing facility for plant-made pharmaceuticals. Plant Biotechnol J 13(8):1180– 1190.<https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12469>
- Horsch RB, Fry JE, Hofmann NL, Wallroth M, Eichholtz D, Rogers SG, Fraley RT (1985) A simple and general method for transferring genes into plants. Science 227(4691):1229–1231. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.227.4691.1229) doi.org/10.1126/science.227.4691.1229
- Huang Z, LePore K, Elkin G, Thanavala Y, Mason HS (2008) Highyield rapid production of hepatitis B surface antigen in plant leaf by a viral expression system. Plant Biotechnol J 6(2):202–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2007.00316.x>
- Hudson LC, Bost KL, Piller KJ (2011) Optimizing recombinant protein expression in soybean. In: Soybean-molecular aspects of breeding InTech Open, pp 19–42
- Jansing J, Sack M, Augustine SM, Fischer R, Bortesi L (2019) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of six glycosyltransferase genes in *Nicotiana benthamiana* for the production of recombinant proteins lacking β-1,2-xylose and core α-1,3-fucose. Plant Biotechnol J 17(2):350–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12981>
- Jiang MC, Hu CC, Lin NS, Hsu YH (2019) Production of human IFNγ protein in *Nicotiana benthamiana* plant through an enhanced expression system based on bamboo mosaic virus. Viruses. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v11060509>
- Joensuu J, Verdonck F, Ehrström A, Peltola M, Siljander-Rasi H, Nuutila A-M, Oksman-Caldentey K-M, Teeri T, Cox E, Goddeeris B (2006) F4 (K88) fmbrial adhesin FaeG expressed in alfalfa reduces F4+ enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* excretion in weaned piglets. Vaccine 24(13):2387–2394. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.11.056) [10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.11.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.11.056)
- Kalthoff D, Giritch A, Geisler K, Bettmann U, Klimyuk V, Hehnen H-R, Gleba Y, Beer M (2010) Immunization with plantexpressed hemagglutinin protects chickens from lethal highly pathogenic avian infuenza virus H5N1 challenge infection. J Virol 84(22):12002–12010. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00940-10) [00940-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00940-10)
- Kanagarajan S, Tolf C, Lundgren A, Waldenström J, Brodelius PE (2012) Transient expression of hemagglutinin antigen from low pathogenic avian infuenza A (H7N7) in *Nicotiana benthamiana*.

PLoS ONE 7(3):e33010. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033010) [0033010](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033010)

- Kapila J, De Rycke R, Van Montagu M, Angenon G (1997) An *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient gene expression system for intact leaves. Plant Sci 122(1):101–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(96)04541-4) [9452\(96\)04541-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(96)04541-4)
- Kay R, Chan A, Daly M, McPherson J (1987) Duplication of CaMV 35*S* promoter sequences creates a strong enhancer for plant genes. Science 236(4806):1299–1302. [https://doi.org/10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.236.4806.1299) [science.236.4806.1299](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.236.4806.1299)
- Komori T, Imayama T, Kato N, Ishida Y, Ueki J, Komari T (2007) Current status of binary vectors and superbinary vectors. Plant Physiol 145(4):1155–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.105734>
- Koprivova A, Stemmer C, Altmann F, Hofmann A, Kopriva S, Gorr G, Reski R, Decker EL (2004) Targeted knockouts of *Physcomitrella* lacking plant-specifc immunogenic N-glycans. Plant Biotechnol J 2(6):517–523. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2004.00100.x) [2004.00100.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2004.00100.x)
- Kumar GB, Ganapathi TR, Revathi CJ, Srinivas L, Bapat VA (2005) Expression of hepatitis B surface antigen in transgenic banana plants. Planta 222(3):484–493. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-005-1556-y) [s00425-005-1556-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-005-1556-y)
- Kushnir N, Streatfeld SJ, Yusibov V (2012) Virus-like particles as a highly efficient vaccine platform: Diversity of targets and production systems and advances in clinical development. Vaccine 31(1):58–83.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.083>
- Kuta DD, Tripathi L (2005) *Agrobacterium*-induced hypersensitive necrotic reaction in plant cells: a resistance response against *Agrobacterium*-mediated DNA transfer. Afr J Biotechnol 4(8):752–757. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB2005.000-3149>
- Kwon T-H, Kim Y-S, Lee J-H, Yang M-S (2003) Production and secretion of biologically active human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor in transgenic tomato suspension cultures. Biotechnol Lett 25(18):1571–1574. [https://doi.org/10.1023/a:](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1025409927790) [1025409927790](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1025409927790)
- Kwon K-C, Chan H-T, León IR, Williams-Carrier R, Barkan A, Daniell H (2016) Codon optimization to enhance expression yields insights into chloroplast translation. Plant Physiol 172(1):62–77. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00981>
- Kwon KC, Sherman A, Chang WJ, Kamesh A, Biswas M, Herzog RW, Daniell H (2018) Expression and assembly of largest foreign protein in chloroplasts: oral delivery of human FVIII made in lettuce chloroplasts robustly suppresses inhibitor formation in haemophilia A mice. Plant Biotechnol J 16(6):1148–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12859>
- Laguia-Becher M, Zaldúa Z, Xu W, Marconi PL, Velander W, Alvarez MA (2019) Co-expressing Turnip Crinkle Virus-coat protein with the serine protease α -thrombin precursor (pFIIa) in *Nicotiana benthamiana* Domin. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 55(1):88–98
- Landolt E, Kandeler R (1987) Biosystematic investigations in the family of duckweeds (Lemnaceae), Vol. 4: the family of Lemnaceaea monographic study, Vol. 2 (phytochemistry, physiology, application, bibliography). Veroefentlichungen des Geobotanischen Instituts der ETH, Stiftung Ruebel
- Lau OS, Sun SSM (2009) Plant seeds as bioreactors for recombinant protein production. Biotechnol Adv 27(6):1015–1022. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.05.005) doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.05.005
- Lee MW, Yang Y (2006) Transient expression assay by agroinfltration of leaves. Methods Mol Biol 323:225–229. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-003-0:225) [1385/1-59745-003-0:225](https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-003-0:225)
- Lico C, Chen Q, Santi L (2008) Viral vectors for production of recombinant proteins in plants. J Cell Physiol 216(2):366–377. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21423) doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21423
- Liles WC, Van Voorhis WC (1995) Nomenclature and biologic signifcance of cytokines involved in infammation and the host

immune response. J Infect Dis 172(6):1573–1580. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/172.6.1573) [org/10.1093/infdis/172.6.1573](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/172.6.1573)

- Ma JKC, Drake PMW, Christou P (2003) The production of recombinant pharmaceutical proteins in plants. Nat Rev Genet 4(10):794–805.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1177>
- Maharjan PM, Cheon J, Jung J, Kim H, Lee J, Song M, Jeong GU, Kwon Y, Shim B, Choe S (2021) Plant-expressed receptor binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein elicits humoral immunity in mice. Vaccines 9(9):978. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9090978) [vaccines9090978](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9090978)
- Mannucci PM, Tuddenham EG (2001) The hemophilias–from royal genes to gene therapy. N Engl J Med 344(23):1773–1779. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm200106073442307) doi.org/10.1056/nejm200106073442307
- Margolin E, Chapman R, Williamson AL, Rybicki EP, Meyers AE (2018) Production of complex viral glycoproteins in plants as vaccine immunogens. Plant Biotechnol J 16(9):1531–1545. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12963>
- Marillonnet S, Giritch A, Gils M, Kandzia R, Klimyuk V, Gleba Y (2004) In planta engineering of viral RNA replicons: efficient assembly by recombination of DNA modules delivered by Agrobacterium. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101(18):6852. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400149101) [org/10.1073/pnas.0400149101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400149101)
- Marsian J, Fox H, Bahar MW, Kotecha A, Fry EE, Stuart DI, Macadam AJ, Rowlands DJ, Lomonossoff GP (2017) Plant-made polio type 3 stabilized VLPs—a candidate synthetic polio vaccine. Nat Commun 8(1):1–9.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00090-w>
- Martin W, Rujan T, Richly E, Hansen A, Cornelsen S, Lins T, Leister D, Stoebe B, Hasegawa M, Penny D (2002) Evolutionary analysis of *Arabidopsis*, cyanobacterial, and chloroplast genomes reveals plastid phylogeny and thousands of cyanobacterial genes in the nucleus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99(19):12246–12251. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.182432999) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.182432999
- Mbewana S, Mortimer E, Pêra FF, Hitzeroth II, Rybicki EP (2015) Production of H5N1 infuenza virus matrix protein 2 ectodomain protein bodies in tobacco plants and in insect cells as a candidate universal infuenza vaccine. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 3:197. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2015.00197>
- Meinke DW, Cherry JM, Dean C, Rounsley SD, Koornneef M (1998) *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a model plant for genome analysis. Science 282(5389):662–682. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5389.662) [5389.662](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5389.662)
- Meyer H, Brass J, Jungo C, Klein J, Wenger J, Mommers R (2008) An emerging star for therapeutic and catalytic protein production. Bioprocess Int 6:10
- Mikschofsky H, Hammer M, Schmidtke J, König P, Keil G, Schirrmeier H, Schmidt K, Broer I (2009) Optimization of growth performance of freshly induced carrot suspensions concerning PMP production. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 45(6):740–749. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-008-9189-z>
- Murray K (1988) Application of recombinant DNA techniques in the development of viral vaccines. Vaccine 6(2):164–174. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0264-410x(88)80022-7) [doi.org/10.1016/s0264-410x\(88\)80022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0264-410x(88)80022-7)
- Noad R, Roy P (2003) Virus-like particles as immunogens. Trends Microbiol 11(9):438–444. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(03)00208-7) [842X\(03\)00208-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(03)00208-7)
- Nosaki S, Hoshikawa K, Ezura H, Miura K (2021) Transient protein expression systems in plants and their applications. Plant Biotechnol (tokyo) 38(3):297–304. [https://doi.org/10.5511/plant](https://doi.org/10.5511/plantbiotechnology.21.0610a) [biotechnology.21.0610a](https://doi.org/10.5511/plantbiotechnology.21.0610a)
- O'Flaherty R, Bergin A, Flampouri E, Mota LM, Obaidi I, Quigley A, Xie Y, Butler M (2020) Mammalian cell culture for production of recombinant proteins: a review of the critical steps in their biomanufacturing. Biotechnol Adv 43:107552. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107552) [10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107552](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107552)
- O'Neill C, Horvath GV, Horvath E, Dix PJ, Medgyesy P (1993) Chloroplast transformation in plants: polyethylene glycol (PEG)

treatment of protoplasts is an alternative to biolistic delivery systems. Plant J 3(5):729–738. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.1993.00729.x) [313X.1993.00729.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.1993.00729.x)

- Otto R, Santagostino A, Schrader U (2014) Rapid growth in biopharma: challenges and opportunities. McKinsey & Company
- Özyiğit İİ (2012) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and its use in plant biotechnology. In: Ashraf M, Öztürk M, Ahmad MSA, Aksoy A (eds) Crop production for agricultural improvement. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp 317–361. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4116-4_12) [978-94-007-4116-4_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4116-4_12)
- Park SH, Ji K-Y, Park SY, Kim HM, Ma SH, Do JH, Kang H, Kang HS, Oh D-B, Shim JS (2022) Immunotherapeutic efects of recombinant colorectal cancer antigen produced in tomato fruits. Sci Rep 12(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13839-1>
- Parsons J, Altmann F, Graf M, Stadlmann J, Reski R, Decker EL (2013) A gene responsible for prolyl-hydroxylation of moss-produced recombinant human erythropoietin. Sci Rep 3(1):1–8. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03019) [org/10.1038/srep03019](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03019)
- Paul M, Ma JKC (2011) Plant-made pharmaceuticals: leading products and production platforms. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 58:58–67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bab.6>
- Pettitt J, Zeitlin L, Kim DH, Working C, Johnson JC, Bohorov O, Bratcher B, Hiatt E, Hume SD, Johnson AK, Morton J, Pauly MH, Whaley KJ, Ingram MF, Zovanyi A, Heinrich M, Piper A, Zelko J, Olinger GG (2013) Therapeutic intervention of ebola virus infection in rhesus macaques with the MB-003 monoclonal antibody cocktail. Sci Transl Med 5(199):199ra113. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3006608) [org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3006608](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3006608)
- Pires AS, Rosa S, Castanheira S, Fevereiro P, Abranches R (2012) Expression of a recombinant human erythropoietin in suspension cell cultures of *Arabidopsis*, tobacco and Medicago. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 110(1):171–181. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-012-0141-x) [s11240-012-0141-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-012-0141-x)
- Plasson C, Michel R, Lienard D, Saint-Jore-Dupas C, Sourrouille C, de March GG, Gomord V (2009) Production of recombinant proteins in suspension–cultured plant cells. Methods Mol Biol 483:145-161 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-407-0_9
- Popov SV, Golovchenko VV, Ovodova RG, Smirnov VV, Khramova DS, Popova GY, Ovodov YS (2006) Characterisation of the oral adjuvant efect of lemnan, a pectic polysaccharide of *Lemna minor* L. Vaccine 24(26):5413–5419. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.03.076) [vaccine.2006.03.076](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.03.076)
- Potrykus I (1991) Gene transfer to plants: assessment of published approaches and results. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 42(1):205–225
- Pumpens P, Grens E (2002) Artifcial genes for chimeric virus-like particles. Artif DNA Methods Appl 249:327
- Rattanapisit K, Phakham T, Buranapraditkun S, Siriwattananon K, Boonkrai C, Pisitkun T, Hirankarn N, Strasser R, Abe Y, Phoolcharoen W (2019) Structural and in vitro functional analyses of novel plant-produced anti-human PD1 antibody. Sci Rep 9(1):1– 10.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51656-1>
- Redwan E-RM (2007) Cumulative updating of approved biopharmaceuticals. Hum Antib 16(3–4):137–158
- Renuga G, Saravanan R, Thandapani AB, Arumugam K (2010) Expression of cholera toxin B subunit in Banana callus culture. J Pharm Sci & Res 2(1):26
- Reski R, Cove DJ (2004) Physcomitrella patens. Curr Biol 14(7):R261–R262
- Rival S, Wisniewski J-P, Langlais A, Kaplan H, Freyssinet G, Vancanneyt G, Vunsh R, Perl A, Edelman M (2008) Spirodela (duckweed) as an alternative production system for pharmaceuticals: a case study, aprotinin. Transgenic Res 17(4):503–513. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-007-9123-x) doi.org/10.1007/s11248-007-9123-x
- Rosales-Mendoza S, Tello-Olea MA (2015) Carrot cells: a pioneering platform for biopharmaceuticals production. Mol Biotechnol 57(3):219–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-011-9837-y>

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

- Sainsbury F, Lomonossoff GP (2008) Extremely high-level and rapid transient protein production in plants without the use of viral replication. Plant Physiol 148(3):1212–1218. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.126284) [10.1104/pp.108.126284](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.126284)
- Schachtsiek J, Stehle F (2019) Nicotine-free, nontransgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* l.) edited by CRISPR-Cas9. Plant Biotechnol J 17(12):2228–2230.<https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13193>
- Schillberg S, Emans N, Fischer R (2002) Antibody molecular farming in plants and plant cells. Phytochem Rev 1(1):45–54. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015880218651>
- Schillberg S, Raven N, Fischer R, Twyman MR, Schiermeyer A (2013) Molecular farming of pharmaceutical proteins using plant suspension cell and tissue cultures. Curr Pharm Des 19(31):5531–5542. [https://doi.org/10.2174/138161281131931](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612811319310008) [0008](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612811319310008)
- Schillberg S, Raven N, Spiegel H, Rasche S, Buntru M (2019) Critical Analysis of the Commercial Potential of Plants for the Production of Recombinant Proteins. Front Plant Sci. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00720) [3389/fpls.2019.00720](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00720)
- Scholthof HB, Scholthof KBG, Jackson AO (1996) Plant virus gene vectors for transient expression of foreign proteins in plants. Annu Rev Phytopathol 34(1):299–323. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.34.1.299) [annurev.phyto.34.1.299](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.34.1.299)
- Sedaghati B, Haddad R, Bandehpour M (2020) Transient expression of human serum albumin (HSA) in tobacco leaves. Mol Biol Rep 47(9):7169–7177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-05640-y>
- Shanmugaraj B, Christine JIB, Phoolcharoen W (2020) Plant molecular farming: a viable platform for recombinant biopharmaceutical production. Plants 9(7):842. [https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9070](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9070842) [842](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9070842)
- Sherman A, Su J, Lin S, Wang X, Herzog RW, Daniell H (2014) Suppression of inhibitor formation against FVIII in a murine model of hemophilia A by oral delivery of antigens bioencapsulated in plant cells. Blood 124(10):1659–1668. [https://doi.org/10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-10-528737) [blood-2013-10-528737](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-10-528737)
- Shim BS, Hong KJ, Maharjan PM, Choe S (2019) Plant factory: new resource for the productivity and diversity of human and veterinary vaccines. Clin Exp Vaccine Res 8:136–139. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.7774/cevr.2019.8.2.136) [10.7774/cevr.2019.8.2.136](https://doi.org/10.7774/cevr.2019.8.2.136)
- Shoji Y, Farrance CE, Bautista J, Bi H, Musiychuk K, Horsey A, Park H, Jaje J, Green BJ, Shamloul M (2012) A plant-based system for rapid production of infuenza vaccine antigens. Infuenza Other Respir Viruses 6(3):204–210. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00295.x) [2659.2011.00295.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00295.x)
- Simmons CW, VanderGheynst JS, Upadhyaya SK (2009) A model of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* vacuum infltration into harvested leaf tissue and subsequent in planta transgene transient expression. Biotechnol Bioeng 102(3):965–970. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22118) [1002/bit.22118](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22118)
- Smith T, O'Kennedy MM, Wandrag DB, Adeyemi M, Abolnik C (2020) Efficacy of a plant-produced virus-like particle vaccine in chickens challenged with Infuenza A H6N2 virus. Plant Biotechnol J 18(2):502–512.<https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13219>
- So Y, Lee K-J, Kim D-S, Lee J-H, Oh D-B, Hwang K-A, Ko K, Choo Y-K, Ko K (2013) Glycomodifcation and characterization of anti-colorectal cancer immunotherapeutic monoclonal antibodies in transgenic tobacco. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 113(1):41– 49.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-012-0249-z>
- Song S-J, Shin G-I, Noh J, Lee J, Kim D-H, Ryu G, Ahn G, Jeon H, Diao H-P, Park Y, Kim MG, Kim W-Y, Kim Y-J, Sohn E-J, Song CS, Hwang I (2021) Plant-based, adjuvant-free, potent multivalent vaccines for avian infuenza virus via *Lactococcus* surface display. J Integr Plant Biol 63(8):1505–1520. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13141) [1111/jipb.13141](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13141)
- Stander J, Chabeda A, Rybicki EP, Meyers AE (2021) A plant-produced virus-like particle displaying envelope protein domain III

Elicits an immune response against west nile virus in mice. Front Plant Sci. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.738619>

- Stefanova G, Slavov S, Gecheff K, Vlahova M, Atanassov A (2013) Expression of recombinant human lactoferrin in transgenic alfalfa plants. Biol Plant 57(3):457–464
- Stoger E, Ma JKC, Fischer R, Christou P (2005) Sowing the seeds of success: pharmaceutical proteins from plants. Curr Opin Biotechnol 16(2):167–173.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2005.01.005>
- Strasser R, Altmann F, Mach L, Glössl J, Steinkellner H (2004) Generation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants with complex N-glycans lacking β1,2-linked xylose and core α1,3-linked fucose. FEBS Lett 561(1–3):132–136. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793\(04\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(04)00150-4) [00150-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(04)00150-4)
- Tabayashi N, Matsumura T (2014) Forefront study of plant biotechnology for practical use: development of oral drug for animal derived from transgenic strawberry. Soc Biotechnol J Japn 92:537–539
- Tekoah Y, Shulman A, Kizhner T, Ruderfer I, Fux L, Nataf Y, Bartfeld D, Ariel T, Gingis-Velitski S, Hanania U (2015) Large-scale production of pharmaceutical proteins in plant cell culture—the protalix experience. Plant Biotechnol J 13(8):1199–1208. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12428) doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12428
- Thanavala Y, Mahoney M, Pal S, Scott A, Richter L, Natarajan N, Goodwin P, Arntzen CJ, Mason HS (2005) Immunogenicity in humans of an edible vaccine for hepatitis B. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102(9):3378–3382. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.04098](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409899102) [99102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409899102)
- Thomas B, Van Deynze A, Bradford K (2002) Production of therapeutic proteins in plants. UCANR Publications, Oakland, pp 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3733/ucanr.8078>
- Twyman RM, Stoger E, Schillberg S, Christou P, Fischer R (2003) Molecular farming in plants: host systems and expression technology. Trends Biotechnol 21(12):570–578. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2003.10.002) [1016/j.tibtech.2003.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2003.10.002)
- van Montagu M (2003) Jeff Schell (1935–2003): steering *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant gene engineering. Trends Plant Sci 8(8):353–354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385\(03\)00160-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(03)00160-2)
- van Roosmalen ML, Kanninga R, El Khattabi M, Neef J, Audouy S, Bosma T, Kuipers A, Post E, Steen A, Kok J (2006) Mucosal vaccine delivery of antigens tightly bound to an adjuvant particle made from food-grade bacteria. Methods 38(2):144–149. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2005.09.015) doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2005.09.015
- Verma D, Moghimi B, LoDuca PA, Singh HD, Hofman BE, Herzog RW, Daniell H (2010) Oral delivery of bioencapsulated coagulation factor IX prevents inhibitor formation and fatal anaphylaxis in hemophilia B mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(15):7101– 7106. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912181107>
- Vermij P, Waltz E (2006) USDA approves the frst plant-based vaccine. Nat Biotechnol 24(3):234. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0306-233>
- von Stackelberg M, Rensing SA, Reski R (2006) Identifcation of genic moss SSR markers and a comparative analysis of twenty-four

algal and plant gene indices reveal species-specifc rather than group-specifc characteristics of microsatellites. BMC Plant Biol 6(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-6-9>

- Vunsh R, Li J, Hanania U, Edelman M, Flaishman M, Perl A, Wisniewski J-P, Freyssinet G (2007) High expression of transgene protein in Spirodela. Plant Cell Rep 26(9):1511–1519. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-007-0361-4) doi.org/10.1007/s00299-007-0361-4
- Ward BJ, Gobeil P, Séguin A, Atkins J, Boulay I, Charbonneau P-Y, Couture M, D'Aoust M-A, Dhaliwall J, Finkle C, Hager K, Mahmood A, Makarkov A, Cheng MP, Pillet S, Schimke P, St-Martin S, Trépanier S, Landry N (2021) Phase 1 randomized trial of a plant-derived virus-like particle vaccine for COVID-19. Nat Med 27(6):1071–1078. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01370-1) [s41591-021-01370-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01370-1)
- Winicur ZM, Feng Zhang G, Andrew Staehelin L (1998) Auxin deprivation induces synchronous Golgi diferentiation in suspensioncultured tobacco BY-2 cells. Plant Physiol 117(2):501–513. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.117.2.501>
- Yang M, Sun H, Lai H, Hurtado J, Chen Q (2018) Plant-produced Zika virus envelope protein elicits neutralizing immune responses that correlate with protective immunity against Zika virus in mice. Plant Biotechnol J 16(2):572–580. [https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12796) [12796](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12796)
- Yusibov V, Rabindran S (2008) Recent progress in the development of plant derived vaccines. Expert Rev Vaccines 7(8):1173–1183. <https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.7.8.1173>
- Zakeri B, Fierer JO, Celik E, Chittock EC, Schwarz-Linek U, Moy VT, Howarth M (2012) Peptide tag forming a rapid covalent bond to a protein, through engineering a bacterial adhesin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(12):E690–E697. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115485109) [pnas.1115485109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115485109)
- Zhao H, Tan Z, Wen X, Wang Y (2017) An improved syringe agroinfltration protocol to enhance transformation efficiency by combinative use of 5-azacytidine, ascorbate acid and Tween-20. Plants 6(1):9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants6010009>
- Zhou J-Y, Cheng L-Q, Zheng X-J, Wu J-X, Shang S-B, Wang J-Y, Chen J-G (2004) Generation of the transgenic potato expressing fulllength spike protein of infectious bronchitis virus. J Biotechnol 111(2):121–130.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2004.03.012>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.