

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Hepatology*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Hepatology. 2023 May 01; 77(5): 1773-1796. doi:10.1002/hep.32740.

The Liver Cancer Immune Microenvironment: Therapeutic Implications for Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Romain Donne^{1,2,3}, Amaia Lujambio^{1,2,3,4}

¹Department of Oncological Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States

²Liver Cancer Program, Division of Liver Diseases, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Tisch Cancer Institute, New York, NY, United States

³Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, The Precision Immunology Institute, New York, NY, United States

⁴Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences at Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States

Abstract

The liver is the sixth most common site of primary cancer in humans, and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in the world. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for 90% of liver cancers. HCC is a prevalent disease with a progression that is modulated by the immune system. Half of the patients with HCC receive systemic therapies, traditionally sorafenib or lenvatinib, as a first-line therapy. In the last few years, immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have revolutionized cancer therapy and have gained an increased interest in the treatment of HCC. In 2020, the combination of atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1) and bevacizumab (anti-VEGF) improved overall survival over sorafenib, resulting in FDA approval as a first-line treatment for advanced HCC patients. Despite these major advances, a better molecular and cellular characterization of the tumor microenvironment is still needed as it has a crucial role in the development and progression of HCC. Inflamed (Hot) and non-inflamed (cold) HCC tumors and genomic signatures have been associated with response to ICIs. However, there are no additional biomarkers to guide clinical decision-making. Other immune targeting-strategies, such as adoptive T-cell transfer, vaccination, or virotherapy, are currently under development. This review provides an overview on the HCC immune microenvironment, the different cellular players, the current available immunotherapies, and potential immunotherapy modalities.

Corresponding author: amaia.lujambio@mssm.edu.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS R.D drafted and wrote the article.

A.L. provided critical feedback and gave the approval to the final version.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A.L. has received research funding from Pfizer and Genentech, and has received a consulting fee from Astra Zeneca.

Introduction

Liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death, with a 5-year survival of 18% (1,2). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for 90% of the cases (3). Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is the major risk factor, accounting for 50% of HCC cases (4). Other etiologies include infection by hepatitis C virus (HCV), chronic alcohol consumption, and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases (NAFLD) (5). Although vaccinations (for HBV) and recent anti-viral therapies (for HCV) have reduced viral HCC occurrence, HCC incidence continues to grow, mainly because of alcohol abuse and obesity/diabetes in western countries (6). The pathophysiology of HCC is a complex multistep process, with a heterogeneous mutational landscape and histological features (7–10). Telomerase activation, induced by *TERT* promoter mutations/rearrangements, is observed in 80% of HCC (8,11). Next-generation sequencing has enabled the identification of the candidate cancer driver genes in HCC, such as *TP53* (28–36%), *CTNNB1* (17–37%), *AXINI* (4–14%), *ARID1A* (16.8%), and *ARID2* (5.6%), affecting cell-cycle control, Wnt/ β -catenin pathway, and the epigenetic machinery (12–14).

Currently, only 25% of patients with HCC have at least one potential actionable mutation, while the main cancer driver genes remain undruggable (15). Unfortunately, HCC does not respond to classical chemotherapies and hepatic resection and liver transplantation are the main curative treatments (16). Since 2010, systemic therapies based on tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have improved patient outcomes. Sorafenib targets the RAF-MEK-ERK cascade and angiogenesis via vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) (17), and is used as front-line therapy, but only confers a survival benefit of 2.8 months over placebo (17). In 2018, the REFLECT phase 3 study reported the efficacy of lenvatinib, another TKI with more potent activity against VEGF-receptors and the FGFR family, with a slightly improved median overall survival compared to Sorafenib (13.6 months vs 12.3 months) (18). Second-line treatment options for advanced HCC include other TKIs (regorafenib (19) and cabozantinib (20)) and ramucirumab, a monoclonal antibody specific for VEGFR2 (21), which has shown specific benefit for patients with high AFP serum concentrations after the failure of sorafenib (REACH study) (21).

Since 2017, other therapies to modulate the liver tumor microenvironment have emerged. Pembrolizumab and nivolumab, two immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting programmed cell death-1 (PD-1), have been approved by the FDA as second-line treatments for advanced HCC (they failed to demonstrate significant superiority in overall survival over sorafenib) (22,23). A combination of nivolumab with ipilimumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) has been approved as a second-line treatment for advanced HCC by the FDA (24). In 2020, the atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1) and bevacizumab (anti-VEGF) combination was FDA-approved as a firstline treatment for advanced HCC after showing superiority over sorafenib in phase 3 IMBRAVE-150 study (NCT03434379) with a 6.8-month median progression-free survival versus 4.3 months for sorafenib (25). Still, despite these unprecedented and encouraging results, only 20–30% of patients respond to immunotherapies and so far, biomarkers have failed to clearly elucidate the responding groups (24,26). Taken together, there is an urgent need to better characterize the liver cancer microenvironment in order to

design novel combination therapies that inhibit tumorigenesis and/or restore sensitivity to immunotherapy-resistant tumors. Also, the identification of biomarkers of response and resistance will improve patient selection for personalized treatment.

In this review, we provide updates about the role of the liver tumor microenvironment (TME) on HCC tumorigenesis. Additionally, we summarize the current knowledge about feasible treatments, new therapies, and current clinical trials targeting myeloid cells and lymphocytes. While platelets are now recognized as important regulators of HCC and HCC tumor microenvironment (27), given the unique nature of platelets (they are pieces of megakaryocytes that lack a nucleus), they are reviewed elsewhere (28).

The liver immune microenvironment

The liver contains a large reservoir of immune cells: neutrophils, monocytes, resident macrophages (Kupffer cells, KCs), natural killer cells (NK), natural killer T cells (NKT), and liver-transiting and/or resident lymphocytes (B, CD8⁺ T, CD4⁺ T, and $\gamma\delta$ T cells) (Figure 1) (29). The liver environment is highly tolerogenic toward gut-derived microbial metabolites in order to maintain a global homeostasis (30,31). This immunotolerance results from continuous antigen-presentation between liver-resident cells (hepatocytes, endothelial cells, KCs, and dendritic cells/DCs) and peripheral leukocytes without co-stimulatory molecules, enabling the expansion of regulatory T cells (Treg) induced by KC-derived IL-10 (32). There is an overall balance between anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10, IL-13, and TGF- β) and pro-inflammatory ones (IL-2, IL-7, IL-12, IL-15, and IFN- γ), maintaining the homeostasis (33).

Chronic liver diseases lead to an upregulation of inflammatory signals and cause homeostatic disbalance associated with necro-inflammation (29). During chronic HBV infection, the load of circulating HBV or HBV-derived antigens promote T cell inactivity (exhaustion) and subsequent death associated with a global weakening of immunity (34-36). HCV avoids immune system recognition due to the high mutational rate (37) and through viral factors that counteract DNA sensors (38-40). On the other hand, chronic alcohol consumption and NAFLD are characterized by sterile inflammation that amplifies proinflammatory signals and activation of monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils (41,42). For the last ten years, immune cell involvement during chronic liver damage (CLD) has been intensively studied and shows a pivotal role in supporting disease progression. Moreover, there is increasing evidence implying a role of gut permeability, the microbiome, and microbial metabolites in CLD and HCC (43,44). Recently, an ex vivo study has shown that bacterial extracts from NAFLD-HCC patients elicit a T cell immunosuppressive environment with an attenuation of cytotoxic T cells (45). Targeting the gut-microbiota-liver axis represents an exciting clinical opportunity; however, more work is needed to clinically and functionally validate the potential of targeting the microbiome for therapeutic purposes.

The majority of HCCs evolve in this chronic immunosuppressive necro-inflamed environment. A decrease in T cell co-stimulatory factors associated with an increase in immune-checkpoint molecules results in impaired T cell effector functions (46). In cancer, and especially in HCC, TME fuels the growth of cancer cells and forms a safe

niche for them to counterbalance the activation of the immune system. Additionally, HCC tumors present an intermediate mutational load and a number of different immune evasion mechanisms (47). Recent studies based on single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) have shown that HCC cells exhibit high inter-patient variability while the TME exhibits recurring gene expression signatures that are more uniform between patients (48,49). These results suggest that strategies targeting the TME, such as immunotherapies, may be more effective in HCC patients.

Neutrophils: Friends or foes?

Neutrophils are innate immune cells and one of the first cells to infiltrate a tissue during infection, injury, or tumorigenesis. High infiltration levels of tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) in some solid human tumors have been shown to correlate with tumor growth, lymph node metastasis, and poor prognosis (50). However, TANs come in two different flavors: anti-tumorigenic (N1) or pro-tumorigenic (N2) (51). Pro-tumorigenic N2 TANs have the capacity to form decondensed chromatin embedded with granular proteins, called neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), known to support tumor growth (51). In HCC, CD66b⁺ neutrophils enriched in the peri-tumoral area are correlated with decreased overall survival (n=149) (52).

Multiple studies have highlighted the importance of cross-talk between tumor cells, TANs, and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) in influencing HCC progression. CAFs can suppress neutrophil function through the SDF1a/CXCR4/IL-6 pathway, which induces the expression of CD66b, PD-L1, CXCL8/IL-8, TNF, and CCL2, capable of suppressing the function and proliferation of T cells *in vitro* (53). Secretion of cardiotrophin-like cytokine factor 1 (CLCF1) by CAFs can mediate tumoral expression of CXCL6 and TGF- β , responsible for neutrophil recruitment and "N2" polarization, respectively (54). In human HCC, TANs acquired a pro-tumoral N2 phenotype in the mid- to late-stage of tumor progression in correlation with increased levels of CLCF1 (54). Indeed, CLCF1 may be a potential prognostic biomarker for HCC and selective blockade of CLCF1 signaling could represent an effective therapy for patients with HCC (Figure 2).

N2 TANs can induce a stem cell-like phenotype in HCC cells (55). Co-culture of human TANs and HCC cell lines enhanced tumorigenic features such as proliferation, invasion, and death escape. Injection of HCC cells and TANs into NOD-SCID mice (B and T cell-deficient) promoted HCC growth compared with HCC cells alone. Mechanistically, TANs secrete bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) and TGF- β 2, leading to miR-301b-3p expression in cancer cells, which increases stem cell properties and the CXCL5-neutrophil chemoattractant as a positive feedback loop (56). Multivariate analysis in three cohorts of HCC patients (n=919) indicated that CXCL5 overexpression, alone or combined with the presence of intra-tumoral neutrophils, was an independent prognostic indicator for overall survival (56). CXCL5 secretion by HCC tumors is induced by the TGF- β and AXL pathways, suggesting that targeting these pathways might be an effective therapeutic strategy to combat HCC progression in TGF- β -positive patients (57). These various studies highlight that CXCL5 inhibition may represent a potential therapeutic target to decrease TAN infiltration, which could be combined with tumor-targeted treatments. Interestingly,

sorafenib treatment increases TAN infiltration in animal models and HCC patients (n=46) through the HIF1a/NF-kB/CXCL5 pathway, and promotes their survival by inhibiting apoptosis (58). In tumor-bearing mice, TANs induced resistance to sorafenib by recruiting macrophages and Treg cells though the secretion of CCL2 and CCL17. Indeed, human HCC tumors with low levels of CCL2⁺ or CCL17⁺ cells had longer survival times. TAN depletion with anti-Ly6G antibody in tumor-bearing mice inhibited tumor growth and neovascularization to a greater extent when combined with sorafenib than sorafenib alone (58). Depletion of TANs with anti-Gr1 antibody in hepatoma-bearing mice reduced tumor size and micro-vessel density at the invading tumor edge (59) (Figure 2).

N2 TANs have also the capacity to form NETs, which can act to promote HCC development during NAFLD (60). In the STAM mouse model (NAFLD induced by neonatal streptozotocin and high-fat diet), inhibition of NET formation by DNase treatment did not affect the development of fatty liver, but reduced tumor growth, mainly by reducing IL-6 levels. NETs link innate and adaptive immunity by promoting differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into Tregs via the modulation of the oxidative phosphorylation pathway (OXPHOS) (61). Moreover, during late HCC stages, NETs can fuel invasiveness by promoting inflammation through activation of TLR4/9-COX2 (62). In vivo treatment with anti-inflammatory drugs (aspirin) and DNAse I, to block COX2 and the upstream pathway, was efficient in inhibiting HCC metastasis. Also, blocking NETs through the inhibition of cathepsin G, a serine protease released during NETosis, stops cancer cell invasion in vitro and decreased lung metastasis in mice (63). Clinically, a higher density of cathepsin G protein in the peritumoral tissues was observed for metastatic HCC patients than for those without metastasis. These findings implicate NETs in NASH-HCC and the late stages of HCC, suggesting that their elimination may reduce the initiation or progression of liver cancer (Figure 2).

TANs can also release many immunoregulatory and angiogenic factors capable of manipulating the TME or affecting cancer cells. Various studies have provided evidence that an elevated blood neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is associated with poor outcomes in HCC patients (52,64-66). Altering TAN recruitment, migration, or activation could be an interesting therapeutic strategy, which is notably missing in clinical trials. This idea is supported by the finding that lenvatinib therapy increases TAN recruitment by inducing CXCL2 and CXCL5 secretion in the TME and forcing their polarization toward the N2 phenotype (67). However, the combination of lenvatinib with a COX-2 inhibitor, celecoxib, reduced in vivo NET infiltration, and PD-1 exhausted T cells. Moreover, the TGF-β pathway has the capacity to promote N2 TANs but has also a pleiotropic role in multiple signaling pathways and can directly interfere with tumor development, favoring progression and driving immune evasion of cancer cells (68). In HCC, TGF- β usually plays a dual role, acting as a tumor-suppressor at early stages but contributing to tumor progression at late stages. Some clinical trials on targeting TGF- β or its receptors are being conducted in HCC patients. Galunisertib/ LY2157299, a novel TGF-β receptor 1 kinase inhibitor, is being investigated in phase II trials in combination with nivolumab (NCT02423343), sorafenib, or ramucirumab (NCT02240433, NCT02178358, and NCT01246986).

Monocytes: Dr Jekyll or Mr Hide?

Monocytes are innate immune cells and play a dichotomous role in cancer. They are often recruited into the tumor through tumoral CCL2 production (69). In HCC, different subsets of monocytes have been characterized along the tumorigenic process. During the early stages, recruited monocytes are able to kill tumor cells; however, tumors escaping immune surveillance hinder monocyte-induced death by reprogramming the monocytes into immunesuppressive cells. This has been demonstrated in a humanized murine model transplanted with human HCC cell lines, in which injection of CD14⁺ monocytes from patients with early HCC was able to kill transplanted HepG2 cells. However, CD14⁺ monocytes from the same patients after progression to the advanced stage lacked anti-tumor activity and expressed PD-L1/2, which counterbalanced the anti-tumor activity of CD8⁺ T cells against HepG2 and Huh7 cells (70). Finally, the overall survival of patients with PD-L1/2⁺ $CD14^+$ monocytes was shorter than those with other $CD14^+$ cells (n=87). These PD-L1/2⁺ CD14⁺ monocytes also expressed immune-suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 and CCL1 (71). Tumor-educated CCR1⁺CD14⁺ monocytes express PD-L1, B7-H3, and TIM-3, and upregulate tolerogenic metabolic enzymes, promoting angiogenesis and metastasis (72). Analysis of chemokine expression in HCC cell lines and tissues identified CCL15 as a main recruiter of this CCR1⁺CD14⁺ subset to the tumor invasive margin (72), and in two cohorts of patients (n=360 and n=253), those with high CCL15 levels had a worse prognosis. Blocking CCL15-CCR1 axis significantly inhibited orthotopic in vivo tumor growth and lung metastasis, and could be an interesting clinical strategy to circumvent the recruitment of CCR1⁺CD14⁺ monocytes. Importantly, CCL15 can be measured in the blood and could be used as a biomarker (73) (Figure 3).

Another therapeutic option could be to avoid the generation of pro-tumorigenic monocytes by inhibiting essential metabolic enzymes. A glycolytic switch in peritumoral CD86⁺HLA-DR⁺ monocytes has been reported to regulate HCC TME in patients (74). Mechanistically, the PFKFB3 glycolytic enzyme is induced during the monocytic metabolic switch that leads to PD-L1 expression, thus contributing to CD8⁺ T cell inactivation, immune evasion, and disease progression in human HCC (74). The same monocyte population can also recruit neutrophils within the tumor via CXCL2/CXCL8, which in return produce the prometastasic factor oncostatin M favoring disease progression (75) (Figure 3). The level of oncostatin M was found to positively correlate with metastasis in HCC patients (75).

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) are a heterogeneous subset of myeloid cells that have been shown to inhibit T-cell responses in cancer and HCC. Two different populations of MDSC have been described: monocytic (M-MDSC-CD14⁺) and poly-morphonuclear (PMN-MDSC-CD11b⁺CD33⁺HLA-DR⁻). Both share phenotypic and morphologic features with monocytes and neutrophils, respectively (76). An increase of the specific M-MDSC subset has been observed in the peripheral blood (n=111) and tumor (n=12) of HCC patients (77). This population was able to induce CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs and inhibit autologous NK cell cytotoxicity by NKp30-dependent cell contact, during *in vitro* co-culture (77,78). In tumors expressing the oncogenic cell cycle-related kinase (CCRK), MDSC accumulation was observed within the tumor and blood of HCC patients and high CCRK/IL-6/CD11b/ CD33 expression was associated with the worst prognosis (79). Preclinical HCC mouse

models have demonstrated that CCRK signaling coordinates the establishment of an immunosuppressive TME by recruiting MDSCs, which can be counteracted by targeting CCRK or IL-6. Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) can also induce M-MDSCs from circulating CD14⁺HLA-DR^{high} monocytes through a CD44-dependent contact and an unknown partner (80). In a cohort of patients (n=31), CD33⁺CD-11b⁺-MDSCs were enriched in the tumorsurrounding fibrotic livers (81). Authors also found in a human HSC-PBMC coculture system that activated HSC cells release factors to activate monocyte-intrinsic p38 signaling leading to M-MDSC-specific gene expression. Activation of p38 in MDSCs was requisite for the recruitment of C/EBPβ and p300 to the chromatin, thus promoting H3K27 acetylation and BRD4 binding at enhancers. In HCC patient-derived PBMCs, blocking the enhancers with i-BET762 led to M-MDSC reduction. Furthermore, treatment of a CCl₄induced fibrotic HCC model with i-BET and anti-PD-L1 improved the anti-tumor activity by reducing M-MDSC and increasing CD8⁺T cells, which improved long-term survival. This combination was well tolerated by the mice and could represent an emerging strategy to treat patients. i-BET762 (Molibresib/GSK525762) is currently under investigation in phase I/II clinical trials (NCT02964507/NCT03150056) to treat advanced or metastatic breast cancer and castration-resistant prostate cancer (Figure 3). Profiling of monocytes may provide a diagnostic or prognostic marker for HCC. Furthermore, the development of therapies targeting monocyte recruitment or differentiation could be an interesting way to alter neutrophil recruitment and CD8⁺ T cell inhibition.

Macrophages: the tough ones

In homeostasis, monocyte-derived cells develop into liver DCs or macrophages. Additionally, the liver contains a pool of resident macrophages, the Kupffer cells, which originate from yolk sac-derived precursors during embryogenesis (82). Kupffer cells are activated by danger signals and then promote chronic liver inflammation by inducing the recruitment of immune cells, including monocytes (82). According to their environmental stimuli, macrophages can be divided into two main subtypes, M1 and M2. M1 macrophages are induced by microbial components or by pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF, IFN- γ , TLR) and exert pro-inflammatory functions by releasing nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species, and pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6, IL-12, TNF-α, CXCL5, and CXCL8/10. In contrast, M2 macrophages are polarized by IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13 and glucocorticoids, and exert immunosuppressive functions to promote tissue repair. The CD68 marker is commonly used for liver tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) location and distribution, and the expression levels of CD86 (M1), CD163 (M2), and CD206 (M2) are accepted to distinguish between M1 and M2 macrophages in vitro (83). However, the M1/M2 nomenclature has become controversial because of the existence of many distinct polarization phenotypes that have been seen in tissues and driven by a number of different stimuli (82,84). Within the M2 subclass, there are several subtypes (M2a, M2b, M2c, and M2d) characterized according to their activation stimuli (85). However, these subclasses have been difficult to identify in vivo due to the wide spectrum of activation states and markers (86).

Macrophages are major components of the HCC TME and present several tumor-promoting roles including immune suppression, metastasis, angiogenesis, maintenance of cancer cell stemness, and drug resistance (87,88). Different studies have reported that high levels of

TAMs, especially in the peri-tumoral area, are associated with poor prognosis in HCC patients (89–92). High TAM infiltration within the tumor or at the marginal site can also predict poor prognosis after tumor resection (93). The analysis of CD68⁺-TAMs in a cirrhotic HBV-positive cohort of patients (n=137) has shown that marginal macrophage density was associated with vascular invasion, tumor multiplicity, and fibrous capsule formation. Also, a dysregulated balance toward CD206⁺ M2 macrophages has been associated with an aggressive phenotype with advanced tumor-node-metastasis stage, poor overall survival, and decreased time to recurrence in an HBV⁺-associated cirrhosis/HCC cohort (n=253) (94). The density of TAMs has also been correlated with resistance to trans-arterial chemoembolization in a small cohort of patients (n=26) (95). Consequently, immunotherapies targeting TAMs have emerged as a promising approach to treating patients with HCC and can be divided into different mechanisms, including inhibition of monocyte recruitment, elimination of pre-existing TAMs in the tumor tissue, remodeling TAM polarization, promotion of phagocytosis, and neutralization of pro-tumorigenic factors secreted by TAMs (Figure 4).

Some studies have investigated the mechanism of monocyte recruitment and TAM accumulation in HCC. Mitochondrial fission occurring in HCC cells has been correlated with the infiltration of CD163⁺-TAMs (n=69) (96). Indeed, fission induces cytosolic mitochondrial DNA in HCC cells, which activates the TLR9/NF-rB pathway, which in turn increases the production of CCL2, a TAM chemoattractant. Blockade of the CCL2/CCR2 signaling pathway seems a promising approach to suppress monocyte/TAM recruitment and M2 polarization, and thus enable activation of an anti-tumor CD8⁺ T cell response (97). Moreover, CCL2 is overexpressed in human HCCs and is associated with poor prognosis. A combination of Nivolumab (anti-PD1) with a CCR2/5-inhibitor is currently being tested in phase II clinical trial (NCT04123379). The osteopontin/CSF1/CSF1R pathway is another factor accounting for the accumulation of TAMs and failure of ICIs (98). Osteopontin expression is positively associated with PD-L1 expression as well as TAM infiltration in human HCC tumors. In some HCC murine models, osteopontin facilitates chemotactic migration and M2 polarization and promotes the expression of PD-L1 in HCC cells via activation of the CSF1 receptor. Consequently, CSF1/CSF1R blockade may enhance the efficacy of anti-PD-1/L1 immunotherapies by hijacking TAMs (98). The CSF-1R inhibitor PLX3397 was able to suppress tumor growth in tumor-bearing mice by promoting a polarization shift toward the M1 phenotype, a decrease in MDSCs, and CD4⁺ T cells in tumors counterbalanced with an increased number of CD8⁺ T cell (99). A phase I study is currently testing a MET/CSF1R/SRC inhibitor (TPX-0022) in patients with advanced solid tumors including HCC (NCT03993873) (Figure 4).

The suppression of TAMs is a potential strategy to treat HCC. Sorafenib in combination with TAM-depleting agents (clodrolip or zoledronic acid) induces anti-metastatic and antiangiogenic effects (100). Interestingly, Sorafenib treatment led to a higher presence of F4/80 and CD11b-positive cells in the blood and within the tumor. In a nude mouse model bearing HCC cell lines, sorafenib induced tumor necrosis responsible for HNF-1a secretion and chemoattraction of TAMs. Combination with anti-macrophage drugs reduced VEGF and led to the enhanced inhibition of tumor growth and lung metastasis. Moreover, the combination of Sorafenib and zoledronic acid synergized to decrease CXCR4⁺ vascular density in the

tumor (101). Indeed, similar pre-clinical observations were made in rat HCC models treated with TACE and zoledronic acid (102). Interestingly, zoledronic acid has been widely used in the prevention of bone metastasis and reduction of skeletal tumor burden in many kinds of cancer by inhibiting osteoclast activity, and it is well tolerated in patients (103,104).

The re-education of TAMs could be a potential alternative strategy to treat HCC. Indeed, miR-146a-5p embedded-exosomes secreted from HCC cells can educate macrophages and promote M2 polarization to enable pro-tumoral effects, notably by inducing PD-L1 upregulation and T cell exhaustion (105). Recently, extracellular vesicles showed a role in monocyte differentiation through activation of the glycolysis (106). M2 macrophages, polarized from the monocytic cell line THP-1, confer in vitro hepatoma resistance to sorafenib through HGF/c-Met signaling. HGF also increases monocytes' recruitment from the blood, creating a feed-forward loop. In tumor-bearing mice, M2 TAMs were accumulated in sorafenib-resistant tumors more than in sorafenib-sensitive tumors and produced an abundant amount of HGF. These new insights brought a rationale for the use of cMET/HGF inhibitors (cabozantinib or tepotinib) alone or in combination to improve systemic therapeutic efficacy. Tepotinib is currently tested in phase Ib/2 for advanced HCC patients, while the combination of cabozantinib with atezolizumab is ongoing in patients with advanced HCC in phase III clinical trial (NCT02115373- NCT03755791) (107). TAM polarization toward the M1-like phenotype can also be induced by IFN- α and has a synergistic effect with sorafenib inhibiting HCC growth and metastasis in an orthotopic HCC implantation model (108). Another strategy could be to skew TAM polarization from M2 into M1 with vaccines, which have shown a synergistic anti-tumor effect with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in an HCC-bearing mouse model (109).

Neutralization of pro-tumorigenic factors secreted by TAMs is another possible strategy to employ. Elevation of IL-6 in the serum of HCC patients is linked to the expression of PD-L1 in HCC monocytes and macrophages (110). Notably, IL-6 expression is correlated with stemness and tumor progression and this cytokine can be produced by TAMs and MDSCs (111). Tocilizumab, an FDA-approved humanized anti–IL6-receptor antibody for rheumatoid arthritis treatment, was able to inhibit liver tumorigenesis. Interestingly, a recruiting clinical trial (NCT04524871) will try to evaluate the efficacy and safety of multiple immunotherapy-based treatment combinations (atezolizumab, bevacizumab, and tocilizumab) in patients with advanced liver cancers. The anti-IL-6 antibody siltuximab could be another method as it is approved by the FDA for the idiopathic multicentric Castleman's disease (112,113).

Recently, by combining the single-cell atlases from human fetal livers and HCCs (n=14), a new TAM subset has been discovered to secrete VEGF and promote oncofetal reprogramming of the TME and severity of HCCs (49). These fetal-liver-associated CD163⁺-TAMs were able to interact with immune cells through immune-checkpoint receptors and other ligands (CD40LG/CD40, CD28/CD86, SIRPA/CD47, and CD86/CTLA4). Interestingly, the VEGF pathway promotes immune suppression in different ways, including inhibition of antigen-presenting cells and activation of suppressive MDSCs, TAMs, and Treg cells, providing a rationale for combining ICIs with anti-angiogenic agents (114). The IMBrave150 HCC clinical trial (NCT03434379) combined VEGF

inhibitor bevacizumab with atezolizumab, and has recently shown an outcome improvement in comparison to sorafenib monotherapy that led to its FDA-approval (115,116). By suppressing immunosuppressive cells and increasing DC maturation and cytotoxic T cell activity, VEGF inhibitors could allow the switch from cold tumors to hot tumors (117). However, high doses or long-term therapy with anti-VEGF agents can lead to an excessive reduction of vessels and promote hypoxia, highlighting the challenges of using anti-VEGF therapy (117). Of note, regorafenib and sorafenib have similar targets but regorafenib has a more potent anti-angiogenic effect (118).

The role of macrophages and TAMs in HCC represents a double-edged sword since these cells have both anti-tumor and pro-tumor functions. Targeting these populations or re-educating them by forcing a phenotypic switch could represent a potential therapeutic treatment. Also, improving the phagocytosis capacity of macrophages could lead to a reduced tumor burden as demonstrated in other solid cancers (119).

Dendritic cells get T cells rolling

Dendritic cells (DCs) constitute an essential link between innate and adaptive immunity, as they orchestrate the antigen presentation leading to activation and differentiation of T cells. In contrast to macrophages, DCs have migratory properties and present antigen to T cells in the tissue-draining lymph nodes (120); however, many DC-T cell interactions also occur in the liver (121). CD8⁺ T-cell activation depends on the previous activation of a DC by CD4⁺ T helper (Th) cells (122). A fully functioning immune synapse is defined by three regulated steps (123). First, DCs must present the antigen on MHC class II molecules to CD4⁺ T helper cells and on MHC class I molecules to CD8⁺ T cells. Secondly, the interaction of costimulatory molecules of the immunoglobulin superfamily (CD80-CD86/CD28) and the TNF superfamily (CD40L/CD40, 4-1BBL/4-1BB, CD27/CD70, CD30L/CD30, and HVEM/LIGHT) must occur to trigger the production of cytokines (third step) that stimulate CD8⁺ T cell expansion and differentiation, such as IL-12 and type I IFN (Figure 5A). The CD8⁺ T cell licensing can be facilitated by CD4⁺ T helper cells ("classical licensing") or by NKT cells ("alternative licensing") (123). Interference at one of these three steps will lead to a dysfunctional adaptative response. Therefore, one of the main mechanisms used by cancer cells to escape immune surveillance is the disruption of this immune synapse, commonly through the expression of inhibitory ligands preventing T cell activation (124). T-cell exhaustion is defined as an impaired T-cell capacity to proliferate and secrete cytokines, and can be achieved with the overexpression of inhibitory immune-checkpoint receptors (e.g. PD1, CTLA4; lymphocyte-activating 3, LAG3; hepatitis A virus cellular receptor 2, TIM3) (125). As described before, inhibitory immune-checkpoint ligands are not only expressed by HCC tumor cells but also by myeloid cells such as DCs, TAMs, and neutrophils.

There is a great heterogeneity of DC populations depending on their developmental lineage, differentiation stage, and physiological and pathological microenvironment. They are usually grouped as: conventional DCs (cDCs), plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs; CD303⁺CD304⁺, secreting type I IFN), and inflammatory DCs (infDCs, SIGN⁺, differentiated from monocytes in chronically inflamed environments, inducing T helper cell (Th)-17

differentiation) (126). cDCs, also known as myeloid DCs, can be further divided into 2 categories: CD141⁺/CD14⁻ type 1 cDCs (cDC1) and CD1c⁺/CD14⁻ type 2 cDCs (cDC2).

In the peripheral blood of HCC patients, several studies have observed a decrease in circulating pDCs and cDCs and a lower expression of costimulatory molecules on these DCs, as compared to healthy controls, which was inversely correlated with IL-10 concentration (127,128). CD303⁺ pDCs were found accumulated within human HCC tumors (n=39) and localized with type 1 Tregs ($P3^{-}CD49^{+}LAG-3^{+}$), and associated with poor prognosis in three cohorts of patients (n=1065) (129,130). In fact, high numbers of BDCA2⁺pDCs within tumors correlated with high alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels, advanced tumor-node-metastasis stage, and increased tumoral infiltration of Tregs and IL-17-producing cells. In vitro, pDCs were able to induce type 1 Tregs (Tr1) from naive CD4⁺ T cells through ICOS-L/ICOS interaction, allowing the production of Tr1 IL-10 (Figure 5B). Furthermore, tumor cDCs were found to express inhibitory ligands such as PD-L1, Gal9 (ligand of TIM3), MHC-II (for LAG3), and CD86 and CD80 (for CTLA4) in human HCC (n=59) (131). scRNAseq is currently helping to find new subsets and markers of DCs that highlight DC heterogeneity (132). In a small cohort of HCC patients (n=18), tumoral DCs expressed high levels of MHC-II molecules but low levels of MHC-I molecules compared to PBMC DCs (133). cDC1 and cDC2 were distinguished but only cDC2 were accumulated in the tumor and were potentially able to present specific antigens to CD4⁺ T cells (133). Also, a new cDC class (cDC3) was identified in HCC patients, with the expression of CCL19, LAMP3, and CCR7(133). More recently, scRNAseq on 16 tumors from treatment-naive liver cancer patients uncovered three DC subsets enriched within the tumors: DC-c1-CD1C, DC-c3-CLEC9A, and DC-c4-LAMP3 (134). The DC-c4 LAMP3⁺ subset, enriched in the HCC core, expressed maturation and migration markers (LAMP3, CD80, CD83, CCR7) but also PD-L1/2, and was predicted to bind to and inhibit PD-1⁺T cells. Analysis of liver HCC TCGA data indicated a strong correlation between the LAMP3⁺DC signature and exhaustion/regulation of T cells (Figure 5B).

Strategies augmenting the DC/CD8⁺ T cell dialogue have been developed, such as adoptive immunotherapy and DC-based vaccines, to attempt to restore an efficient antitumor response. A meta-analysis conducted on clinical trials (n=1276 patients, 19 trials) highlighted that DC-based immunotherapies led to a better outcome, enhanced the CD4⁺ T/ CD8⁺ T ratio, and were safe for patients (135). DCs-OK432 generated with a streptococcusderived anti-cancer immune-therapeutic agent (OK432), were able to produce large amounts of Th1 cytokines (IL-12 and IFN- γ) and enhance cytotoxic T cell activity through CD40/ CD40L interactions (136). These DCs-OK432 can be developed from harvested patient monocytes, stimulated with IL-4 and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and then with OK432 (137,138). HCC patients (n=13) administered DCs-OK432 during transcatheter hepatic arterial embolization presented a prolonged recurrencefree survival (137,138).

DC-based vaccination is another therapeutic approach based on the *ex vivo* exposure of monocyte-derived DCs to tumor antigens (tumor lysates or tumor-associated antigens-TAAs) with concomitant stimulatory signals (GM-CSF, IL-4, TNF- α), to trigger anti-tumor responses when introduced back into the patients (139). Some years ago, DC vaccines failed

to show an improved outcome for HCC patients despite their safety and tolerability (140– 142). However, AFP-pulsed DCs were able to stimulate specific cytotoxic T-lymphocytes towards AFP-producing HCC cells (143,144). Recently, the combination of DC-vaccine with ICIs has shown promising results (145,146). In pre-established *in vivo* subcutaneous and orthotopic HCCs, AFP-DCs alone induced a significant reduction and a slight delay of tumor-growth while combination of AFP-DCs and CD40L-expressing DCs had a synergistic effect associated with an enhanced Th1-cytokine level, tumor infiltration of cytotoxic T lymphocytes, and tumor apoptosis (147). Also, an orthotopic HCC mouse model treated with a DC vaccine in combination with a PD-1 inhibitor showed longer overall survival than monotherapy and led to a greater reduction of tumor volume by inducing apoptosis (145). Data from clinical trials have shown that the most promising strategy is the combination with ICIs and could represent a potential treatment for melanoma and prostate cancers (148).

A meta-analysis conducted on 22 studies involving 3,756 patients has reported that DCbased immunotherapies with cytokine-induced killer cells (CIKs) in combination with various standard-of-care treatments can improve patient prognosis (149). DC-CIK therapies refer to mononuclear cells harvested from patients and activated, amplified, and modified to mediate activation of CIKs, mainly CD3⁺CD56⁺ type II killer T lymphocytes (NKTs) (150). A DC-CIK therapy has been recently studied in a small cohort of HCC patients (n=36), in which DC cells loaded with CD24 (a marker of cancer stem cells) were able to decrease serum Treg concentration and lead to an increase in CD3⁺, CD4⁺, and CD56⁺ markers, and improved survival (151). This study highlights that DC-CIK therapies can modify immune balance and have potential therapeutic benefits in the long-term control of tumor progression.

Dysfunction of DC-mediated antigen cross-presentation and subsequent T cell suppression is a central mechanism of immune escape in tumors. Adoptive DC immunotherapies and DC-based vaccines are promising immunological strategies to enhance the DC/T cell dialogue and restore an efficient anti-tumor response HCC (Figure 5B). However, it seems important to highlight that these therapies are based on an optimal maturation status of DCs, the choice of tumor antigens, the dose, and the route of administration.

NK cells shoot to kill

NK cells are a granular CD3⁻ lymphocyte population that triggers direct innate immune reactions against pathogens and malignant cells (152). They represent about 10% of the lymphocytes in human peripheral blood and are subdivided into two main populations: the immune-modulator (CD56^{bright}/CD16⁻) subset and the cytotoxic (CD56^{dim}/CD16⁺) subset. NK cells express inhibitory receptors such as inhibitory killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (iKIRs) and the C-type lectin-like receptor NKG2A, binding MHC-I and the non-classical MHC-I complex, HLA-E, respectively. The absence of these ligands on a cell engages activating NK receptors (NKp30, NKp46, NKp44, NKG2D, and NKG2C) that bind their putative ligands expressed on infected or tumor-transformed cells (152) (Figure 6A). High cytotoxic activity of peripheral blood NK cells positively correlates with reduced cancer risk (153). DCs also play an important role in NK cell-mediated anti-tumor activity through both direct contacts as well as a plethora of inflammatory cytokines (IL-15, IL-12,

IL-18) that foster NK cell proliferation, stimulate cytokine production, and induce cytolytic activity (154).

Liver NK cells, particularly those enriched with the immune-modulator CD56^{bright} (CXCR6⁺CCR5⁺CD69⁺) subset, represent the most abundant population among the intrahepatic lymphocytes (up to 50%), while HCC-infiltrating NK cells express memory-like NK cell markers such as KLRC1 and KLRC2 (49). The presence of a high number of NK and CD8⁺ T cells predicts a better outcome in the early stage of the disease and correlates positively with apoptotic tumor cells in the human HCC (155). Nonetheless, NK cells usually lose anti-tumor properties. The frequency of both peripheral blood and liver CD56^{dim} NK cells is decreased while immune-modulator NKs are expanded in stage III HCC patients (n=110) (156). Intra-tumoral CD56^{dim} NK cells express immune-checkpoint molecules PD-1 and NKG2A, which are associated with poor prognosis (n=207) (157,158) (Figure 6A). Blocking IL-10 specifically inhibited NKG2A expression in NK cells in vitro, suggesting that targeting IL-10 could restore immunity by reversing NK cell exhaustion (158). Moreover, MDSCs and tumor cells are responsible for NK inhibition through NKp30 in patients (78,159). Monocytes from HCC tissues incubated with circulating NK cells led to a transient activation and then strong exhaustion associated with cell death triggered through a CD48/2B4 dialogue (160). Finally, sorafenib induces NK cell activation, in vitro and in tumor-bearing mice, through cytokines secreted by macrophages (IL-12, IL18, IL-1 β), suggesting that reinforcing NK functions could be a mechanism of sorafenib's anti-tumoral effect (161,162). Overall, NK cells lose anti-tumor function in HCC because of a disbalance between their different subsets, prompting the immune-modulator subset to hijack immunosurveillance. These studies have opened new avenues for NK-mediated therapeutic strategies, including adoptive transfer of activated NK cells, administration of molecules that activate NK cell function, and the use of antibodies that block the interaction between inhibitory receptors and their ligands (163-165).

Adoptive transfer of NK cells as immunotherapy requires their ex vivo expansion and subsequent strong in vivo activity, persistence, and cytotoxicity. NK cells can be derived from stem cells, from peripheral blood NK cells of a healthy donor (allogeneic condition), from the patient (autologous condition), or from the NK-92 cell line. Interestingly, injection of allogeneic NK cells from peripheral blood in combination with HCC cryoablation improved global immune function, reduced AFP expression, and was associated with longer progression-free survival (n=61) (166). In two phase III clinical trials, NK-based cytokineinduced killer (CIK) therapies were well-tolerated and improved overall survival (n=200; n=270) (167,168). Recently, a phase I study reported that administration of allogeneic CIK prevents HCC recurrence after liver transplantation (n=18, NCT01147380) (169). Similarly, the human NK-92 cell line, which presents high cytotoxic activity and can be expanded under good manufacturing, has shown promising anti-tumor responses when injected into patients (170). Two injections of NK-92 cells were sufficient to trigger anti-tumor responses in treatment-resistant lung cancer patients (n=13) and no long-term side effects were observed, indicating that they can be used as a promising therapy (171). Also, allogeneic NK cells genetically-modified to express the chimeric receptor NKG2D-CD3ζ-DAP10 (which includes the receptor, the signaling domain, and the signaling adaptor, respectively) were

shown to enhance anti-HCC cytotoxicity *in vitro* and in immunodeficient tumor-bearing mice (172).

These last few years, the generation of <u>chimeric antigen receptor CAR-T</u> cells has revolutionized cancer treatment. Briefly, the CAR is constituted of an artificially modified fusion protein combining an extracellular antigen recognition domain followed by a spacer and transmembrane region and fused to a wide range of intracellular signaling domains. CAR-NK cells are now used to improve the targetability and efficacy of NK cells. In HCC, CAR-modified NK-92 cells were used to specifically target Glypican-3 (GPC3)-positive tumor cells (173). Recently, NKG2D-CAR-NK cells were able to delay colorectal cancer's progression in three patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer, suggesting the possibility of treating patients with CAR-NK cells (174) (Figure 6B).

The functional rescue of NK-cells in HCC could represent another strategy for new immunotherapeutic treatments (175). Metabolic defects and functional impairment of circulating NK-cells in patients with HCC can be partially attributed to TGF- β signaling (176). Incubation of NK-cells from healthy donors with an anti-TGF- β agent partially restored functional defects of the NK cells, suggesting that TGF- β might represent a suitable target for immunotherapy (176). Despite its potential toxicity, IL-15 has also been proposed as a potential pharmacological candidate for cancer therapy because of its role in NK cell proliferation, survival, and cytotoxicity (177) (Figure 6B). Alternatively, enhancing the NK cell-mediated antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) during tumorigenesis may represent a way to activate NK cells and kill tumor cells (178–181). There are currently great expectations for bispecific killer cell engagers (BiKEs), which are composed of two antibody fragments, one recognizing a tumor antigen and the other one recognizing CD16a on NK cells to bring them closer and form an immunological synapse to induce the NK cytolytic function (182).

The discovery of new NK populations allows us to speculate that specific suppression of the immune-modulator NK subset might represent a therapeutic potential. Cultured CD96⁺NK cells presented low expression of perforin and granzyme B associated with the expression of PD-1, NKG2A, IL-10, and TGF-β. Nevertheless, reversing NK cell exhaustion *in vitro* by blocking the interaction between CD96 and its ligand CD155 restored the cytotoxicity of NK cells (183). Co-targeting CD96 with other immuno-suppressive receptors may provide a more powerful boost in anti-tumor immune responses. Recently, monalizumab, a humanized anti-NKG2A antibody, has shown promising results in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck by enhancing tumor immunity promoted by both NK and CD8+ T cells (184). Finally, regorafenib, in addition to its other anti-tumoral roles, also inhibits the expression of ADAM9 and ADAM10 in HCC cell lines, resulting in higher MICA (NKG2D-ligand) expression at the membrane and lower secreted MICA levels, leading to higher cytotoxic NK cell activity (185). The combination of regorafenib and an NK cell therapeutic strategy could potentially enhance the anti-tumor effect of regorafenib.

Harnessing the NK anti-tumor activity as a novel immunotherapeutic approach has revealed promising effects in solid cancers and hematological malignancies (163,165,178,186). All

these NK-targeting strategies used alone or in combination with other treatments, are expected to increase the number and the activation of NK cells at the tumor site.

T cells lead the anti-tumor charge

In liver cancers, $CD8^+$ and $CD4^+$ T cells are enriched within the tumor and in the peritumoral area, respectively (187). Low intra-tumoral Treg and high number of activated $CD8^+$ T cells is associated with favorable prognosis in patients (n=302) (188). Treg cells mediate T cell dysfunction in HCC and their presence within the tumor is associated with worse outcomes (189). Recently, T cell diversity was dissected by scRNAseq in six HBV⁺ treatment-naïve HCC patients (190). Exhausted CD8⁺ T cells (PDCD1⁺CTLA4⁺) and Tregs (TIGIT⁺CTLA4⁺) were enriched within the tumor and expressed the LAYLIN (*LAYN*) suppressive marker. Interestingly, TCR sequencing revealed that 10% of CD8⁺ T cells harbored clonal TCRs in blood and normal liver tissues while it reached 30% in tumors. Based on trajectory analyses, exhausted CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells were closely linked to intermediate populations, suggesting potential therapeutic strategies that favor activation instead of exhaustion (190). Different CD4⁺ T cell populations, including Th1, Th2, Th17, and Tregs, can be induced in response to a specific balance of cytokines and chemokines, including TGF- β and IL-6 (191).

In HCC, the expression of PD-1 and its ligands PD-L1/2 have been intensively studied. In physiological conditions, their expression is a defense mechanism to prevent the activation of autoreactive T cells and the death of healthy cells (192,193). Pioneering studies in HCC have shown that PD-1 expression is increased on CD8⁺ T effector cells and PD-1 interacts with tumors expressing PD-L1/2, which blocks signaling, proliferation, and cytokine secretion of T cells (194,195). In a cohort of HBV-infected patients, the frequency of circulating PD-1⁺CD8⁺ T cells increased with disease progression (194). CD8⁺ T cells induce PD-L1 expression on hepatoma cells in an IFN γ -dependent manner, which in turn promotes apoptosis of T cells. HCCs with a discrete population of PD1-high cells are more aggressive but are predicted to respond to anti-PD1 therapy (195) (Figure 7A). In 2018, James P. Allison and Tasuku Honjo were awarded the Nobel Prize in physiology/medicine for the discovery of immune checkpoints, which are targets for cancer immunotherapy (196). Antibodies against immune checkpoints such as PD-1 and CTLA-4 disrupt coinhibitory T cell signaling, thus reactivating the immune response against tumor cells. ICIs against PD-1 (nivolumab and pembrolizumab) and PD-L1 (atezolizumab, durvalumab, and avelumab) have been tested in a series of clinical trials including HCC patients. A recent meta-analysis of 8 trials has revealed that ICIs are more efficient in patients with viral hepatitis compared to non-viral-related HCC, whereas no differences were seen regarding etiology in patients treated with TKI/anti-VEGF (197). In addition, two NASH-driven HCC cohorts treated with anti-PD1 or anti-PDL1 showed reduced overall survival compared to patients with other etiologies, reinforcing the hypothesis that NASH-driven HCC seems less responsive to immunotherapy. A prospective validation is still needed to strengthen these data, given the relatively small number of NAFLD patients in the current study (198). Interestingly, in concordance with this work, Dudek et al., showed an increase of unconventional resident activated and exhausted hepatic CXCR6⁺ PD1⁺CD8⁺ T cells in

the choline-deficient high-fat diet (CD-HFD) mouse model and human NASH tissue: these cells participate in tissue auto-aggression and may favor HCC development by reinforcing chronic liver damage (198,199).

The majority of HCCs are not enriched in inflammatory TME responses, thus constituting a large group of tumors with potentially little response to ICI (200). Immune-active HCCs are characterized by active helper CD4⁺ T and cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cell infiltration and are prone to respond to ICIs. Conversely, immune-exhausted HCCs are associated with CD8⁺ T cell exhaustion, an increase of Treg cells, and downregulation of NK cell activators. This group overlaps with the proliferative progenitor subclass and the *CTNNB1*-mutated subclasses G5-G6 (200,201). Our laboratory has reported that *CTNNB1*-mutated tumors undergo immune escape and resistance to ICIs through a decrease in CCL5 cytokine, which impairs DC recruitment and leads to ineffective CD8⁺ T cell tumor clearance (202). Finally, radiotherapy enhances tumor immunogenicity and its combination with ICIs is being evaluated as an approach for HCC (NCT03203304, NCT04611165, NCT03482102) (203). Taken together, it is clear that only a subset of patients can benefit from immunotherapy, necessitating the identification of disease-progression markers associated with robust predictors of ICI response and combination strategies that convert resistant tumors to responsive ones.

CD8⁺ T cell responses against specific TAAs are considered to be potential immunological anti-tumor forces, but they are not well amplified in HCC, suggesting an inefficient induction and restricted antigen recognition (201). Indeed, exhausted TAA-specific CD8⁺ T cells (PD-1⁺TIM3⁺LAG3⁺) have been described in HCC patients with an upregulation of activated markers despite low levels of granzyme B and effector cytokines (131). Interestingly, patients treated with radiofrequency ablation present TAA-specific T cell responses associated with a decrease in MDSCs and reduction in HCC recurrence (204). Among HCCs, AFP is the most studied TAA since CTL epitopes for AFP were identified at early stages of tumorigenesis (205,206). AFP is transcriptionally reactivated and highly expressed in 75% of HCC patients (207) and serum AFP level is used as a biomarker of the disease, as it is inversely correlated with survival (208). AFP peptide-based immunization has shown only modest clinical responses in a small cohort of patients (209,210). Human T cells transduced with a specific mouse TCR for HLA-A2/AFP complex recognized HLA-A2⁺AFP⁺ HepG2 cells, leading to cytokine production and tumor death, in vitro and in tumor-bearing immunocompromised mice (211). An ongoing phase I clinical trial (NCT03132792) is examining the safety of adoptive transfer of engineered T cells recognizing the HLA-A*02-restricted AFP158–166 peptide, FMNKFIYEI (212). While HLA-A2 is the most common HLA-A allele in Europe and North America it is not in Asia (213). Thus, KWV3.1-TCR specific for AFP2-11-HLA-A*24:02-restricted peptide KWVESIFLIF (AFP2–11) has been designed and was able to kill AFP⁺HLA-A*24:02⁺ tumor cell lines (214). Recently, microwave ablation in HCC patients (n=23) revealed *de-novo* or enhanced tumor-specific immune responses in 30% of patients through enhancing TAA (215). This response was correlated with long-term survival, supporting the combination of local ablation and immunotherapy. In addition, HCC-TAAs such as GPC3 and AFP are being tested as targets of CAR-T. Infusion of autologous HBV-specific CAR-T cells was able to target HBsAg-expressing HCC cells in a subject with end-stage HCC without exacerbation of liver inflammation or toxicity (216). GPC3-CAR-T cells were able

to eliminate GPC3⁺HCC cells and tumors in a patient-derived xenograft (217), and have been recently tested in phase I clinical trial to prove safety and potential efficacy in patients with advanced GPC3⁺HCC (NCT02395250 and NCT03146234, n=13) (218). A second and third generation of these CAR-T cells have been generated with the disruption of PD-1 via CRISPR/Cas9 or with the co-expression of the costimulatory molecule ICOSL-41BB (219,220) (Figure 7B). Both improve the persistence and infiltration of CAR-T cells in mice bearing tumors. Additionally, dual-targeted CAR-T cells directed against GPC3 and asialo-glycoprotein receptor (ASRG1) exerted superior anticancer activity and persistence compared with GPC3-CAR-T cells alone in two GPC3+ASGR1+HCC xenograft models (221). NKG2D-based CAR-T cells have also been generated and have shown an efficient destruction of NKG2DL⁺HCC cells in vitro and in NKG2DL⁺HCC xenografts (222). A phase II clinical trial (NCT02541370) has recently reported that CAR-T-133 cells, targeting the cancer stem cell marker CD133, present antitumor activity and a manageable safety profile in advanced HCC patients (n=21), (223). Finally, two CD19-CAR-T therapies were approved for the treatment of relapsed and refractory pre-B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by the FDA as examples of first gene therapies (224,225).

B cells join forces to inhibit or promote cancer

B lymphocytes possess different functions in ADCC and antigen presentation, and increasing evidence suggests an additional role in regulating innate and adaptive immunity through the production of cytokines (226). Although B cells were once thought to be passive players in HCC, the role of tumor-infiltrating B cells (TIBs) remains controversial.

An increase in CD20⁺ B cells in the tumor margin area has been correlated with favorable prognosis and linked to small tumor size, absence of vascular invasion, and increased density of CD8⁺ T lymphocytes, especially in HBV-associated HCC (n=120) (227). Along the same line, high densities of B cell subsets prolonged survival in two independent HCC cohorts (n = 619) (228). Plasma cells were the most abundant group, suggesting that B cell responses occur in the TME. Also, plasma cells defined as $CD20^{-}CD79a^{+}$ cells were significantly associated with better prognosis (229,230). Moreover, the density of TIBs was positively correlated with the number and activation status of both T and NK cells, and coincided with reduced tumor cell viability (n=112) (231). Indeed, the density of TIBs correlated positively with the density of apoptotic tumor cells and negatively with tumor cell proliferation. Also, an unsupervised gene expression analysis of full cancer transcriptomes (n=2,158 patients), revealed that the infiltration of CD20 cells and CD79a cells was associated with prolonged survival of patients and secretion of immunoglobulins (232). Deeper investigations based on immunohistochemistry have highlighted that atypical CD20⁺ memory B cells (IgD⁻IgG⁺CD27⁻CD38⁻) possess tumor-killing potential and cooperate with CD8⁺ T cells, responsible for a favorable prognosis (227). These findings suggest that B cell subsets may enhance the anti-tumor effect in HCC. Using di-ethyl-nitrosamine (DEN)-induced liver cancer mouse models, it has been shown that T cells prevent initial tumor formation whereas B cells limit growth (225). Igh $6^{-/-}$ mice lacking B cells develop more and larger tumors than WT animals. B cell depletion with CD20 antibody led to enhanced tumor growth of transplanted hepatoma cells (Hepa1-6) in mice, mainly because

of a reduction of CD4⁺ T cell activation. Moreover, CD20 depletion was also associated with enhanced PD-1 expression on CD8+ T cells, indicating that CD8⁺ T cell activation can be influenced by CD20⁺ B cells (225) (Figure 8A).

B cells may also exert a pro-tumorigenic role. A recent study has reported that high infiltration of CD20⁺ B cells within the tumor correlates with poor differentiation and lower disease-free survival in patients with HCV-induced HCC (234). B cells secreted proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α in the liver of an HCC mouse model ($Mdr2^{-/-}$ mice). Thus, in a mouse model of chronic inflammation driven-HCC, IgA⁺ B lymphocytes supported tumor growth by actively counteracting $CD8^+$ (PD-1⁺Tim3⁺) T cell function (235). PD-L1 blockade was sufficient to decrease liver IgA+IL-10+ cell abundance and increase CD8⁺ T cells (235). Other B lymphocytes are implicated in the progression of HCC, including B regulatory cells (Bregs), whose role is being intensely studied regarding their strong immunosuppressive function via the production of IL-10 (236). CD4⁺ T cellmediated cytotoxicity was negatively controlled by Tim-1⁺ IL-10-expressing B cells in a small cohort of HBV-related HCC (n=28) (237). The Breg population is characterized in humans as CD19+CD24hiCD38hi and in mice as CD19+CD21hiCD23hiIgMhiCD24hi (238). Overall, Bregs are enriched in the HCC TME and have been associated with tumor progression (239). Circulating Bregs were accumulated in HCC patients (n= 80) whereas B memory cells (CD24⁺CD38⁻/CD19⁺) were decreased compared to healthy individuals. In severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) xenografted mice, displaying a lack of B and T cells, injection of Bregs influenced HCC tumor growth by migrating into the tumor and producing IL-10. Administration of anti-CD154 (CD40L) antibody abolished tumor growth. Inhibition of CD40/CD40L axis in the coculture of Bregs and HCC cells led to a decrease in TGF- β 1 and IL-10 but an increase in TNF- α secretion, showing that Bregs promote a strong anti-inflammatory environment (239). Another Breg subset has been characterized in human HCC by the expression of a high level of PD-1 and IL-10 (CD5^{hi}CD24^{-/+}CD27^{hi/+}CD38^{dim}) (240). Patients with higher proportions of PD-1^{high} B cells showed early recurrence after 12 months. This study suggests that PD-1^{high} B cells may be activated by PD-L1⁺ monocytes/ macrophages, allowing the production of IL-10 and the subsequent CD8⁺ T cell inactivation. In another human HCC cohort (n=35), 50% of B cells exhibited CD20⁺FcγRII(CD32)^{low/-} activated phenotype, and their accumulation into the tumor positively correlated with patient tumor-node-metastasis stages (241). Interestingly, activation of this particular subset of B cells was triggered in a CD95L-dependent manner, coordinated by semi-mature dendritic cells. However, the CD20⁺Fc_γRII^{high} subset is the major source of IL-10 production in mice (242). Indeed, targeting the CD95 axis could attenuate Breg activation and IL-10 production. A clinical trial based on sorafenib in advanced HCC (n=62) demonstrated a better prognosis in patients with a reduced Breg ratio in the peripheral blood (243). These data suggest that the proportion of Bregs in peripheral blood may be indicative of sorafenib efficacy (Figure 8B).

B cell subsets are highly heterogeneous and some of them co-exist within the tumor microenvironment. Further investigation on the B cell repertoire is needed to identify potential targetable subsets and molecules, and to explore therapies in future clinical trials.

Conclusion

HCC tumors present a complex environment with interactions between tumor cells and other cell populations (244). The TME is composed of a range of immune cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts, and endothelial cells, fueling cancer cells with growth factors, and facilitating proliferation, immune evasion, and angiogenesis. Single-cell RNA sequencing studies have recently highlighted that the liver TME exhibits a more uniform pattern between patients than HCC tumor cells, suggesting that treating the TME may be a better strategy than treating tumor cells alone, to bypass the variability and diversity of tumors (48,49). This notion is further supported by the fact that the main dominant mutational drivers in HCC remain undruggable (15). Understanding the role of the TME is gaining increased attention, as it plays a significant role in clinical outcomes and response to therapy. The emergence of novel immunotherapies has begun to change the landscape of liver cancer treatment. Last year, the combination of atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1) and bevacizumab (anti-VEGF) was FDA-approved as a first-line treatment for advanced HCC, representing a new age in HCC treatment (25). However, only the immune-active subgroup of HCC is believed to respond to therapy and there is no specific blood marker to easily identify responding patients (26). In this context, understanding the interactions between oncogenic pathways and immune responses is critical to improving the efficacy of current and future treatments. In addition, understanding the interactions between different immune cells with each other and immune cells with stromal cells, such as HSCs or CAFs, will be critical to therapeutically exploit the tumor microenvironment. For example, it has been shown that depending on the context, senescent HSCs can either restrict or promote tumorigenesis through context-dependent interactions with the immune system (245,246). In the future, precision medicine will revolutionize HCC therapies, as accumulating evidence suggests that patients who receive personalized therapy have better clinical outcomes (247).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Jill Gregory for the artistic work.

FUNDING

R.D was supported by a Philipp Foundation grant.

A.L. was supported by Damon Runyon-Rachleff Innovation Award (DR52-18), Pfizer Emerging Science Fund, and NIH/NCI R37 Merit Award (R37CA230636).

REFERENCES

- 1. Jemal A, Ward EM, Johnson CJ, Cronin KA, Ma J, Ryerson B, et al. Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, 1975–2014, Featuring Survival. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017;109.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 2021;71:209–249. [PubMed: 33538338]
- 3. Wittekind C [Pathology of liver tumors]. Zentralbl Chir 2000;125:587-591. [PubMed: 10960966]
- 4. Global Burden of Disease Liver Cancer Collaboration, Akinyemiju T, Abera S, Ahmed M, Alam N, Alemayohu MA, et al. The Burden of Primary Liver Cancer and Underlying Etiologies From 1990 to 2015 at the Global, Regional, and National Level: Results From the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:1683–1691. [PubMed: 28983565]

- Sanyal A, Poklepovic A, Moyneur E, Barghout V. Population-based risk factors and resource utilization for HCC: US perspective. Curr Med Res Opin 2010;26:2183–91. [PubMed: 20666689]
- Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Mapakshi S, Natarajan Y, Chayanupatkul M, Richardson PA, et al. Risk of Hepatocellular Cancer in Patients With Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Gastroenterology 2018;155:1828–1837.e2. [PubMed: 30144434]
- Boyault S, Rickman DS, de Reynies A, Balabaud C, Rebouissou S, Jeannot E, et al. Transcriptome classification of HCC is related to gene alterations and to new therapeutic targets. Hepatology 2007;45:42–52. [PubMed: 17187432]
- Zucman-Rossi J, Villanueva A, Nault J-C, Llovet JM. Genetic Landscape and Biomarkers of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2015;149:1226–1239.e4. [PubMed: 26099527]
- Llovet JM, Zucman-Rossi J, Pikarsky E, Sangro B, Schwartz M, Sherman M, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Nature Reviews Disease Primers 2016;2:1–23.
- Vij M, Calderaro J. Pathologic and molecular features of hepatocellular carcinoma: An update. World J Hepatol 2021;13:393–410. [PubMed: 33959223]
- 11. Nault JC, Calderaro J, Di Tommaso L, Balabaud C, Zafrani ES, Bioulac-Sage P, et al. Telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter mutation is an early somatic genetic alteration in the transformation of premalignant nodules in hepatocellular carcinoma on cirrhosis. Hepatology [Internet]. 2014;Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/ query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=25123086
- Guichard C, Amaddeo G, Imbeaud S, Ladeiro Y, Pelletier L, Maad IB, et al. Integrated analysis of somatic mutations and focal copy-number changes identifies key genes and pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat. Genet 2012;44:694–698. [PubMed: 22561517]
- Nault JC, Mallet M, Pilati C, Calderaro J, Bioulac-Sage P, Laurent C, et al. High frequency of telomerase reverse-transcriptase promoter somatic mutations in hepatocellular carcinoma and preneoplastic lesions. Nat Commun 2013;4:2218. [PubMed: 23887712]
- Totoki Y, Tatsuno K, Covington KR, Ueda H, Creighton CJ, Kato M, et al. Trans-ancestry mutational landscape of hepatocellular carcinoma genomes. Nat Genet 2014;46:1267–1273. [PubMed: 25362482]
- Llovet JM, Montal R, Sia D, Finn RS. Molecular therapies and precision medicine for hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2018;15:599–616. [PubMed: 30061739]
- 16. Qin S, Bai Y, Lim HY, Thongprasert S, Chao Y, Fan J, et al. Randomized, multicenter, open-label study of oxaliplatin plus fluorouracil/leucovorin versus doxorubicin as palliative chemotherapy in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma from Asia. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:3501–3508. [PubMed: 23980077]
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc J-F, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:378–390. [PubMed: 18650514]
- Yamashita T, Kudo M, Ikeda K, Izumi N, Tateishi R, Ikeda M, et al. REFLECT-a phase 3 trial comparing efficacy and safety of lenvatinib to sorafenib for the treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: an analysis of Japanese subset. J Gastroenterol 2020;55:113–122. [PubMed: 31720835]
- Bruix J, Qin S, Merle P, Granito A, Huang Y-H, Bodoky G, et al. Regorafenib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who progressed on sorafenib treatment (RESORCE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017;389:56–66. [PubMed: 27932229]
- Abou-Alfa GK, Meyer T, Cheng A-L, El-Khoueiry AB, Rimassa L, Ryoo B-Y, et al. Cabozantinib in Patients with Advanced and Progressing Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2018;379:54–63. [PubMed: 29972759]
- Zhu AX, Kang Y-K, Yen C-J, Finn RS, Galle PR, Llovet JM, et al. Ramucirumab after sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma and increased α-fetoprotein concentrations (REACH-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2019;20:282–296. [PubMed: 30665869]
- 22. Zhu AX, Finn RS, Edeline J, Cattan S, Ogasawara S, Palmer D, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma previously treated with sorafenib (KEYNOTE-224): a non-randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2018;19:940–952. [PubMed: 29875066]

- El-Khoueiry AB, Sangro B, Yau T, Crocenzi TS, Kudo M, Hsu C, et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 040): an open-label, non-comparative, phase 1/2 dose escalation and expansion trial. Lancet 2017;389:2492–2502. [PubMed: 28434648]
- 24. Yau T, Kang Y-K, Kim T-Y, El-Khoueiry AB, Santoro A, Sangro B, et al. Nivolumab (NIVO) + ipilimumab (IPI) combination therapy in patients (pts) with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (aHCC): Results from CheckMate 040. JCO 2019;37:4012–4012.
- Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle PR, Ducreux M, Kim T-Y, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. New England Journal of Medicine 2020;382:1894– 1905. [PubMed: 32402160]
- 26. Zhu AX, Abbas AR, de Galarreta MR, Guan Y, Lu S, Koeppen H, et al. Molecular correlates of clinical response and resistance to atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Med 2022;1–13. [PubMed: 35075292]
- Malehmir M, Pfister D, Gallage S, Szydlowska M, Inverso D, Kotsiliti E, et al. Platelet GPIba is a mediator and potential interventional target for NASH and subsequent liver cancer. Nat. Med 2019;25:641–655. [PubMed: 30936549]
- 28. Pavlovic N, Rani B, Gerwins P, Heindryckx F. Platelets as Key Factors in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers (Basel) 2019;11:E1022.
- 29. Ringelhan M, Pfister D, O'Connor T, Pikarsky E, Heikenwalder M. The immunology of hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat. Immunol 2018;19:222–232. [PubMed: 29379119]
- Thomson AW, Knolle PA. Antigen-presenting cell function in the tolerogenic liver environment. Nat Rev Immunol 2010;10:753–766. [PubMed: 20972472]
- Zheng M, Tian Z. Liver-Mediated Adaptive Immune Tolerance. Front Immunol 2019;10:2525. [PubMed: 31787967]
- Heymann F, Peusquens J, Ludwig-Portugall I, Kohlhepp M, Ergen C, Niemietz P, et al. Liver inflammation abrogates immunological tolerance induced by Kupffer cells. Hepatology 2015;62:279–291. [PubMed: 25810240]
- Robinson MW, Harmon C, O'Farrelly C. Liver immunology and its role in inflammation and homeostasis. Cell Mol Immunol 2016;13:267–276. [PubMed: 27063467]
- Pallett LJ, Gill US, Quaglia A, Sinclair LV, Jover-Cobos M, Schurich A, et al. Metabolic regulation of hepatitis B immunopathology by myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Nat Med 2015;21:591–600. [PubMed: 25962123]
- Das A, Hoare M, Davies N, Lopes AR, Dunn C, Kennedy PTF, et al. Functional skewing of the global CD8 T cell population in chronic hepatitis B virus infection. J Exp Med 2008;205:2111– 2124. [PubMed: 18695005]
- 36. Ye B, Liu X, Li X, Kong H, Tian L, Chen Y. T-cell exhaustion in chronic hepatitis B infection: current knowledge and clinical significance. Cell Death Dis 2015;6:e1694. [PubMed: 25789969]
- Dustin LB, Cashman SB, Laidlaw SM. Immune control and failure in HCV infection--tipping the balance. J Leukoc Biol 2014;96:535–548. [PubMed: 25015956]
- 38. Li X-D, Sun L, Seth RB, Pineda G, Chen ZJ. Hepatitis C virus protease NS3/4A cleaves mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein off the mitochondria to evade innate immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:17717–17722. [PubMed: 16301520]
- Meylan E, Curran J, Hofmann K, Moradpour D, Binder M, Bartenschlager R, et al. Cardif is an adaptor protein in the RIG-I antiviral pathway and is targeted by hepatitis C virus. Nature 2005;437:1167–1172. [PubMed: 16177806]
- 40. Ahlén G, Derk E, Weiland M, Jiao J, Rahbin N, Aleman S, et al. Cleavage of the IPS-1/Cardif/ MAVS/VISA does not inhibit T cell-mediated elimination of hepatitis C virus non-structural 3/4A-expressing hepatocytes. Gut 2009;58:560–569. [PubMed: 18689426]
- 41. Szabo G, Petrasek J. Inflammasome activation and function in liver disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;12:387–400. [PubMed: 26055245]
- 42. Csak T, Ganz M, Pespisa J, Kodys K, Dolganiuc A, Szabo G. Fatty acid and endotoxin activate inflammasomes in mouse hepatocytes that release danger signals to stimulate immune cells. Hepatology 2011;54:133–144. [PubMed: 21488066]
- Schwabe RF, Greten TF. Gut microbiome in HCC Mechanisms, diagnosis and therapy. J Hepatol 2020;72:230–238. [PubMed: 31954488]

- 44. Yu L-X, Schwabe RF. The gut microbiome and liver cancer: mechanisms and clinical translation. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;14:527–539. [PubMed: 28676707]
- 45. Behary J, Amorim N, Jiang X-T, Raposo A, Gong L, McGovern E, et al. Gut microbiota impact on the peripheral immune response in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease related hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Commun 2021;12:187. [PubMed: 33420074]
- 46. Kassel R, Cruise MW, Iezzoni JC, Taylor NA, Pruett TL, Hahn YS. Chronically inflamed livers upregulate expression of inhibitory B7 family members. Hepatology 2009;50:1625–1637. [PubMed: 19739236]
- 47. Ang C, Klempner SJ, Ali SM, Madison R, Ross JS, Severson EA, et al. Prevalence of established and emerging biomarkers of immune checkpoint inhibitor response in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncotarget 2019;10:4018–4025. [PubMed: 31258846]
- Massalha H, Bahar Halpern K, Abu-Gazala S, Jana T, Massasa EE, Moor AE, et al. A single cell atlas of the human liver tumor microenvironment. Mol Syst Biol 2020;16:e9682. [PubMed: 33332768]
- Sharma A, Seow JJW, Dutertre C-A, Pai R, Blériot C, Mishra A, et al. Onco-fetal Reprogramming of Endothelial Cells Drives Immunosuppressive Macrophages in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cell 2020;183:377–394.e21. [PubMed: 32976798]
- Coffelt SB, Wellenstein MD, de Visser KE. Neutrophils in cancer: neutral no more. Nat Rev Cancer 2016;16:431–446. [PubMed: 27282249]
- Arvanitakis K, Mitroulis I, Germanidis G. Tumor-Associated Neutrophils in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Pathogenesis, Prognosis, and Therapy. Cancers (Basel) 2021;13:2899. [PubMed: 34200529]
- 52. He G, Zhang H, Zhou J, Wang B, Chen Y, Kong Y, et al. Peritumoural neutrophils negatively regulate adaptive immunity via the PD-L1/PD-1 signalling pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2015;34:141. [PubMed: 26581194]
- 53. Cheng Y, Li H, Deng Y, Tai Y, Zeng K, Zhang Y, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce PDL1+ neutrophils through the IL6-STAT3 pathway that foster immune suppression in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Death Dis 2018;9:422. [PubMed: 29556041]
- Song M, He J, Pan Q-Z, Yang J, Zhao J, Zhang Y-J, et al. Cancer-Associated Fibroblast-Mediated Cellular Crosstalk Supports Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression. Hepatology 2021;73:1717– 1735. [PubMed: 33682185]
- 55. Zhou S-L, Yin D, Hu Z-Q, Luo C-B, Zhou Z-J, Xin H-Y, et al. A Positive Feedback Loop Between Cancer Stem-Like Cells and Tumor-Associated Neutrophils Controls Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression. Hepatology 2019;70:1214–1230. [PubMed: 30933361]
- 56. Zhou S-L, Dai Z, Zhou Z-J, Wang X-Y, Yang G-H, Wang Z, et al. Overexpression of CXCL5 mediates neutrophil infiltration and indicates poor prognosis for hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2012;56:2242–2254. [PubMed: 22711685]
- 57. Haider C, Hnat J, Wagner R, Huber H, Timelthaler G, Grubinger M, et al. Transforming Growth Factor-β and Axl Induce CXCL5 and Neutrophil Recruitment in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology 2019;69:222–236. [PubMed: 30014484]
- Zhou S-L, Zhou Z-J, Hu Z-Q, Huang X-W, Wang Z, Chen E-B, et al. Tumor-Associated Neutrophils Recruit Macrophages and T-Regulatory Cells to Promote Progression of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Resistance to Sorafenib. Gastroenterology 2016;150:1646– 1658.e17. [PubMed: 26924089]
- Kuang D-M, Zhao Q, Wu Y, Peng C, Wang J, Xu Z, et al. Peritumoral neutrophils link inflammatory response to disease progression by fostering angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2011;54:948–955. [PubMed: 21145847]
- van der Windt DJ, Sud V, Zhang H, Varley PR, Goswami J, Yazdani HO, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps promote inflammation and development of hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2018;68:1347–1360. [PubMed: 29631332]
- Wang H, Zhang H, Wang Y, Brown ZJ, Xia Y, Huang Z, et al. Regulatory T cell and neutrophil extracellular trap interaction contributes to carcinogenesis in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. J Hepatol 2021;S0168–8278(21)01962–0.

- 62. Yang L-Y, Luo Q, Lu L, Zhu W-W, Sun H-T, Wei R, et al. Increased neutrophil extracellular traps promote metastasis potential of hepatocellular carcinoma via provoking tumorous inflammatory response. J Hematol Oncol 2020;13:3. [PubMed: 31907001]
- 63. Guan X, Lu Y, Zhu H, Yu S, Zhao W, Chi X, et al. The Crosstalk Between Cancer Cells and Neutrophils Enhances Hepatocellular Carcinoma Metastasis via Neutrophil Extracellular Traps-Associated Cathepsin G Component: A Potential Therapeutic Target. J Hepatocell Carcinoma 2021;8:451–465. [PubMed: 34046369]
- 64. Terashima T, Yamashita T, Iida N, Yamashita T, Nakagawa H, Arai K, et al. Blood neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as a predictor in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy. Hepatol Res 2015;45:949–959. [PubMed: 25319848]
- 65. Chu HH, Kim JH, Shim JH, Gwon DI, Ko H-K, Shin JH, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio as a Biomarker Predicting Overall Survival after Chemoembolization for Intermediate-Stage Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers (Basel) 2021;13:2830. [PubMed: 34204125]
- 66. Xiao W-K, Chen D, Li S-Q, Fu S-J, Peng B-G, Liang L-J. Prognostic significance of neutrophillymphocyte ratio in hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. BMC Cancer 2014;14:117. [PubMed: 24559042]
- 67. Deng H, Kan A, Lyu N, He M, Huang X, Qiao S, et al. Tumor-derived lactate inhibit the efficacy of lenvatinib through regulating PD-L1 expression on neutrophil in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Immunother Cancer 2021;9:e002305. [PubMed: 34168004]
- 68. Gonzalez-Sanchez E, Vaquero J, Férnandez-Barrena MG, Lasarte JJ, Avila MA, Sarobe P, et al. The TGF-β Pathway: A Pharmacological Target in Hepatocellular Carcinoma? Cancers (Basel) 2021;13:3248. [PubMed: 34209646]
- Shi C, Pamer EG. Monocyte recruitment during infection and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol 2011;11:762–774. [PubMed: 21984070]
- 70. Yasuoka H, Asai A, Ohama H, Tsuchimoto Y, Fukunishi S, Higuchi K. Increased both PD-L1 and PD-L2 expressions on monocytes of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma was associated with a poor prognosis. Sci Rep 2020;10:10377. [PubMed: 32587357]
- 71. Asai A, Yasuoka H, Matsui M, Tsuchimoto Y, Fukunishi S, Higuchi K. Programmed Death 1 Ligand Expression in the Monocytes of Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma Depends on Tumor Progression. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12:E2286.
- 72. Liu L-Z, Zhang Z, Zheng B-H, Shi Y, Duan M, Ma L-J, et al. CCL15 Recruits Suppressive Monocytes to Facilitate Immune Escape and Disease Progression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology 2019;69:143–159. [PubMed: 30070719]
- 73. Li Y, Wu J, Zhang W, Zhang N, Guo H. Identification of serum CCL15 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Br J Cancer 2013;108:99–106. [PubMed: 23321514]
- 74. Chen D-P, Ning W-R, Jiang Z-Z, Peng Z-P, Zhu L-Y, Zhuang S-M, et al. Glycolytic activation of peritumoral monocytes fosters immune privilege via the PFKFB3-PD-L1 axis in human hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2019;71:333–343. [PubMed: 31071366]
- Peng Z-P, Jiang Z-Z, Guo H-F, Zhou M-M, Huang Y-F, Ning W-R, et al. Glycolytic activation of monocytes regulates the accumulation and function of neutrophils in human hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2020;73:906–917. [PubMed: 32407813]
- Bronte V, Brandau S, Chen S-H, Colombo MP, Frey AB, Greten TF, et al. Recommendations for myeloid-derived suppressor cell nomenclature and characterization standards. Nat Commun 2016;7:12150. [PubMed: 27381735]
- 77. Hoechst B, Ormandy LA, Ballmaier M, Lehner F, Krüger C, Manns MP, et al. A new population of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma patients induces CD4(+)CD25(+)Foxp3(+) T cells. Gastroenterology 2008;135:234–243. [PubMed: 18485901]
- Hoechst B, Voigtlaender T, Ormandy L, Gamrekelashvili J, Zhao F, Wedemeyer H, et al. Myeloid derived suppressor cells inhibit natural killer cells in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma via the NKp30 receptor. Hepatology 2009;50:799–807. [PubMed: 19551844]
- Zhou J, Liu M, Sun H, Feng Y, Xu L, Chan AWH, et al. Hepatoma-intrinsic CCRK inhibition diminishes myeloid-derived suppressor cell immunosuppression and enhances immune-checkpoint blockade efficacy. Gut 2018;67:931–944. [PubMed: 28939663]

- Höchst B, Schildberg FA, Sauerborn P, Gäbel YA, Gevensleben H, Goltz D, et al. Activated human hepatic stellate cells induce myeloid derived suppressor cells from peripheral blood monocytes in a CD44-dependent fashion. J Hepatol 2013;59:528–535. [PubMed: 23665041]
- Liu M, Zhou J, Liu X, Feng Y, Yang W, Wu F, et al. Targeting monocyte-intrinsic enhancer reprogramming improves immunotherapy efficacy in hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut 2020;69:365– 379. [PubMed: 31076403]
- Krenkel O, Tacke F. Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2017;17:306–321. [PubMed: 28317925]
- Elliott LA, Doherty GA, Sheahan K, Ryan EJ. Human Tumor-Infiltrating Myeloid Cells: Phenotypic and Functional Diversity. Front Immunol 2017;8:86. [PubMed: 28220123]
- 84. Zhou D, Luan J, Huang C, Li J. Tumor-Associated Macrophages in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Friend or Foe? Gut Liver 2021;15:500–516. [PubMed: 33087588]
- Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. Immunity 2014;41:14–20. [PubMed: 25035950]
- 86. R szer T Understanding the Mysterious M2 Macrophage through Activation Markers and Effector Mechanisms. Mediators of Inflammation 2015;2015:1–16.
- Huang Y, Ge W, Zhou J, Gao B, Qian X, Wang W. The Role of Tumor Associated Macrophages in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. J Cancer 2021;12:1284–1294. [PubMed: 33531974]
- Tacke F Targeting hepatic macrophages to treat liver diseases. J Hepatol 2017;66:1300–1312. [PubMed: 28267621]
- Kong L-Q, Zhu X-D, Xu H-X, Zhang J-B, Lu L, Wang W-Q, et al. The clinical significance of the CD163+ and CD68+ macrophages in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. PLoS One 2013;8:e59771. [PubMed: 23555776]
- 90. Zhu X-D, Zhang J-B, Zhuang P-Y, Zhu H-G, Zhang W, Xiong Y-Q, et al. High expression of macrophage colony-stimulating factor in peritumoral liver tissue is associated with poor survival after curative resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:2707–2716. [PubMed: 18509183]
- Kuang D-M, Zhao Q, Peng C, Xu J, Zhang J-P, Wu C, et al. Activated monocytes in peritumoral stroma of hepatocellular carcinoma foster immune privilege and disease progression through PD-L1. J Exp Med 2009;206:1327–1337. [PubMed: 19451266]
- 92. Zhang Q-B, Jia Q-A, Wang H, Hu C-X, Sun D, Jiang R-D, et al. High-mobility group protein box1 expression correlates with peritumoral macrophage infiltration and unfavorable prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis. BMC Cancer 2016;16:880. [PubMed: 27836008]
- 93. Ding T, Xu J, Wang F, Shi M, Zhang Y, Li S-P, et al. High tumor-infiltrating macrophage density predicts poor prognosis in patients with primary hepatocellular carcinoma after resection. Hum Pathol 2009;40:381–389. [PubMed: 18992916]
- 94. Dong P, Ma L, Liu L, Zhao G, Zhang S, Dong L, et al. CD86⁺/CD206⁺, Diametrically Polarized Tumor-Associated Macrophages, Predict Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patient Prognosis. Int J Mol Sci 2016;17:320. [PubMed: 26938527]
- 95. Fu X-T, Song K, Zhou J, Shi Y-H, Liu W-R, Shi G-M, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages modulate resistance to oxaliplatin via inducing autophagy in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int 2019;19:71. [PubMed: 30962765]
- 96. Bao D, Zhao J, Zhou X, Yang Q, Chen Y, Zhu J, et al. Mitochondrial fission-induced mtDNA stress promotes tumor-associated macrophage infiltration and HCC progression. Oncogene 2019;38:5007–5020. [PubMed: 30894684]
- 97. Li X, Yao W, Yuan Y, Chen P, Li B, Li J, et al. Targeting of tumour-infiltrating macrophages via CCL2/CCR2 signalling as a therapeutic strategy against hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut 2017;66:157–167. [PubMed: 26452628]
- 98. Zhu Y, Yang J, Xu D, Gao X-M, Zhang Z, Hsu JL, et al. Disruption of tumour-associated macrophage trafficking by the osteopontin-induced colony-stimulating factor-1 signalling sensitises hepatocellular carcinoma to anti-PD-L1 blockade. Gut 2019;68:1653–1666. [PubMed: 30902885]

- Ao J-Y, Zhu X-D, Chai Z-T, Cai H, Zhang Y-Y, Zhang K-Z, et al. Colony-Stimulating Factor 1 Receptor Blockade Inhibits Tumor Growth by Altering the Polarization of Tumor-Associated Macrophages in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Mol Cancer Ther 2017;16:1544–1554. [PubMed: 28572167]
- 100. Zhang W, Zhu X-D, Sun H-C, Xiong Y-Q, Zhuang P-Y, Xu H-X, et al. Depletion of tumorassociated macrophages enhances the effect of sorafenib in metastatic liver cancer models by antimetastatic and antiangiogenic effects. Clin Cancer Res 2010;16:3420–3430. [PubMed: 20570927]
- 101. Meng Y-M, Liang J, Wu C, Xu J, Zeng D-N, Yu X-J, et al. Monocytes/Macrophages promote vascular CXCR4 expression via the ERK pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncoimmunology 2018;7:e1408745. [PubMed: 29399411]
- 102. Zhou D-Y, Qin J, Huang J, Wang F, Xu G-P, Lv Y-T, et al. Zoledronic acid inhibits infiltration of tumor-associated macrophages and angiogenesis following transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in rat hepatocellular carcinoma models. Oncol Lett 2017;14:4078–4084. [PubMed: 28943915]
- 103. Aapro M, Abrahamsson PA, Body JJ, Coleman RE, Colomer R, Costa L, et al. Guidance on the use of bisphosphonates in solid tumours: recommendations of an international expert panel. Ann Oncol 2008;19:420–432. [PubMed: 17906299]
- 104. Honda Y, Aikata H, Honda F, Nakano N, Nakamura Y, Hatooka M, et al. Clinical outcome and prognostic factors in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with bone metastases medicated with zoledronic acid. Hepatol Res 2017;47:1053–1060. [PubMed: 27862729]
- 105. Yin C, Han Q, Xu D, Zheng B, Zhao X, Zhang J. SALL4-mediated upregulation of exosomal miR-146a-5p drives T-cell exhaustion by M2 tumor-associated macrophages in HCC. Oncoimmunology 2019;8:1601479. [PubMed: 31143524]
- 106. Hou P-P, Luo L-J, Chen H-Z, Chen Q-T, Bian X-L, Wu S-F, et al. Ectosomal PKM2 Promotes HCC by Inducing Macrophage Differentiation and Remodeling the Tumor Microenvironment. Mol Cell 2020;78:1192–1206.e10. [PubMed: 32470318]
- 107. Zhang H, Feng Q, Chen W-D, Wang Y-D. HGF/c-MET: A Promising Therapeutic Target in the Digestive System Cancers. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19:3295. [PubMed: 30360560]
- 108. Zhang Z, Zhu Y, Xu D, Li T-E, Li J-H, Xiao Z-T, et al. IFN-α facilitates the effect of sorafenib via shifting the M2-like polarization of TAM in hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Transl Res 2021;13:301–313. [PubMed: 33527025]
- 109. Xu G, Feng D, Yao Y, Li P, Sun H, Yang H, et al. Listeria-based hepatocellular carcinoma vaccine facilitates anti-PD-1 therapy by regulating macrophage polarization. Oncogene 2020;39:1429– 1444. [PubMed: 31659256]
- 110. Zhang W, Liu Y, Yan Z, Yang H, Sun W, Yao Y, et al. IL-6 promotes PD-L1 expression in monocytes and macrophages by decreasing protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type O expression in human hepatocellular carcinoma. J Immunother Cancer 2020;8:e000285. [PubMed: 32581055]
- 111. Wan S, Zhao E, Kryczek I, Vatan L, Sadovskaya A, Ludema G, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages produce interleukin 6 and signal via STAT3 to promote expansion of human hepatocellular carcinoma stem cells. Gastroenterology 2014;147:1393–1404. [PubMed: 25181692]
- 112. Kampan NC, Xiang SD, McNally OM, Stephens AN, Quinn MA, Plebanski M. Immunotherapeutic Interleukin-6 or Interleukin-6 Receptor Blockade in Cancer: Challenges and Opportunities. Curr Med Chem 2018;25:4785–4806. [PubMed: 28707587]
- 113. van Rhee F, Casper C, Voorhees PM, Fayad LE, Gibson D, Kanhai K, et al. Long-term safety of siltuximab in patients with idiopathic multicentric Castleman disease: a prespecified, open-label, extension analysis of two trials. Lancet Haematol 2020;7:e209–e217. [PubMed: 32027862]
- 114. Rahma OE, Hodi FS. The Intersection between Tumor Angiogenesis and Immune Suppression. Clin Cancer Res 2019;25:5449–5457. [PubMed: 30944124]
- 115. Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle PR, Ducreux M, Kim T-Y, et al. IMbrave150: Updated overall survival (OS) data from a global, randomized, open-label phase III study of atezolizumab (atezo)

+ bevacizumab (bev) versus sorafenib (sor) in patients (pts) with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). JCO 2021;39:267–267.

- 116. Qin S, Ren Z, Feng Y-H, Yau T, Wang B, Zhao H, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab versus Sorafenib in the Chinese Subpopulation with Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Phase 3 Randomized, Open-Label IMbrave150 Study. Liver Cancer 2021;10:296–308. [PubMed: 34414118]
- 117. Gallage S, García-Beccaria M, Szydlowska M, Rahbari M, Mohr R, Tacke F, et al. The therapeutic landscape of hepatocellular carcinoma. Med 2021;2:505–552. [PubMed: 35590232]
- 118. Wilhelm SM, Dumas J, Adnane L, Lynch M, Carter CA, Schütz G, et al. Regorafenib (BAY 73– 4506): a new oral multikinase inhibitor of angiogenic, stromal and oncogenic receptor tyrosine kinases with potent preclinical antitumor activity. Int J Cancer 2011;129:245–255. [PubMed: 21170960]
- 119. Gordon SR, Maute RL, Dulken BW, Hutter G, George BM, McCracken MN, et al. PD-1 expression by tumour-associated macrophages inhibits phagocytosis and tumour immunity. Nature 2017;545:495–499. [PubMed: 28514441]
- 120. Tacke F, Randolph GJ. Migratory fate and differentiation of blood monocyte subsets. Immunobiology 2006;211:609–618. [PubMed: 16920499]
- 121. Jenne CN, Kubes P. Immune surveillance by the liver. Nat. Immunol 2013;14:996–1006. [PubMed: 24048121]
- 122. Bennett SR, Carbone FR, Karamalis F, Flavell RA, Miller JF, Heath WR. Help for cytotoxic-Tcell responses is mediated by CD40 signalling. Nature 1998;393:478–480. [PubMed: 9624004]
- 123. Thaiss CA, Semmling V, Franken L, Wagner H, Kurts C. Chemokines: a new dendritic cell signal for T cell activation. Front Immunol 2011;2:31. [PubMed: 22566821]
- 124. Iwai Y, Ishida M, Tanaka Y, Okazaki T, Honjo T, Minato N. Involvement of PD-L1 on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor immunotherapy by PD-L1 blockade. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:12293–12297. [PubMed: 12218188]
- 125. McLane LM, Abdel-Hakeem MS, Wherry EJ. CD8 T Cell Exhaustion During Chronic Viral Infection and Cancer. Annu Rev Immunol 2019;37:457–495. [PubMed: 30676822]
- 126. Lurje I, Hammerich L, Tacke F. Dendritic Cell and T Cell Crosstalk in Liver Fibrogenesis and Hepatocarcinogenesis: Implications for Prevention and Therapy of Liver Cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21:E7378.
- 127. Kunitani H, Shimizu Y, Murata H, Higuchi K, Watanabe A. Phenotypic analysis of circulating and intrahepatic dendritic cell subsets in patients with chronic liver diseases. J Hepatol 2002;36:734–741. [PubMed: 12044522]
- 128. Beckebaum S, Zhang X, Chen X, Yu Z, Frilling A, Dworacki G, et al. Increased levels of interleukin-10 in serum from patients with hepatocellular carcinoma correlate with profound numerical deficiencies and immature phenotype of circulating dendritic cell subsets. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:7260–7269. [PubMed: 15534100]
- 129. Pedroza-Gonzalez A, Zhou G, Vargas-Mendez E, Boor PP, Mancham S, Verhoef C, et al. Tumorinfiltrating plasmacytoid dendritic cells promote immunosuppression by Tr1 cells in human liver tumors. Oncoimmunology 2015;4:e1008355. [PubMed: 26155417]
- 130. Zhou Z-J, Xin H-Y, Li J, Hu Z-Q, Luo C-B, Zhou S-L. Intratumoral plasmacytoid dendritic cells as a poor prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma following curative resection. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2019;68:1223–1233. [PubMed: 31201473]
- 131. Zhou G, Sprengers D, Boor PPC, Doukas M, Schutz H, Mancham S, et al. Antibodies Against Immune Checkpoint Molecules Restore Functions of Tumor-Infiltrating T Cells in Hepatocellular Carcinomas. Gastroenterology 2017;153:1107–1119.e10. [PubMed: 28648905]
- 132. Dutertre C-A, Becht E, Irac SE, Khalilnezhad A, Narang V, Khalilnezhad S, et al. Single-Cell Analysis of Human Mononuclear Phagocytes Reveals Subset-Defining Markers and Identifies Circulating Inflammatory Dendritic Cells. Immunity 2019;51:573–589.e8. [PubMed: 31474513]
- 133. Sun Y, Wu L, Zhong Y, Zhou K, Hou Y, Wang Z, et al. Single-cell landscape of the ecosystem in early-relapse hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell 2021;184:404–421.e16. [PubMed: 33357445]
- 134. Zhang Q, He Y, Luo N, Patel SJ, Han Y, Gao R, et al. Landscape and Dynamics of Single Immune Cells in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cell 2019;179:829–845.e20. [PubMed: 31675496]

- 135. Chen C, Ma Y-H, Zhang Y-T, Zhang F, Zhou N, Wang X, et al. Effect of dendritic cellbased immunotherapy on hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cytotherapy 2018;20:975–989. [PubMed: 30072299]
- 136. Hill KS, Errington F, Steele LP, Merrick A, Morgan R, Selby PJ, et al. OK432-activated human dendritic cells kill tumor cells via CD40/CD40 ligand interactions. J Immunol 2008;181:3108– 3115. [PubMed: 18713981]
- 137. Nakamoto Y, Mizukoshi E, Kitahara M, Arihara F, Sakai Y, Kakinoki K, et al. Prolonged recurrence-free survival following OK432-stimulated dendritic cell transfer into hepatocellular carcinoma during transarterial embolization. Clin Exp Immunol 2011;163:165–177. [PubMed: 21087443]
- 138. Kitahara M, Mizukoshi E, Terashima T, Nakagawa H, Horii R, Iida N, et al. Safety and Long-Term Outcome of Intratumoral Injection of OK432-Stimulated Dendritic Cells for Hepatocellular Carcinomas After Radiofrequency Ablation. Transl Oncol 2020;13:100777. [PubMed: 32413834]
- 139. Schuler-Thurner B, Schultz ES, Berger TG, Weinlich G, Ebner S, Woerl P, et al. Rapid induction of tumor-specific type 1 T helper cells in metastatic melanoma patients by vaccination with mature, cryopreserved, peptide-loaded monocyte-derived dendritic cells. J Exp Med 2002;195:1279–1288. [PubMed: 12021308]
- 140. Lee W-C, Wang H-C, Hung C-F, Huang P-F, Lia C-R, Chen M-F. Vaccination of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma patients with tumor lysate-pulsed dendritic cells: a clinical trial. J Immunother 2005;28:496–504. [PubMed: 16113606]
- 141. Butterfield LH, Ribas A, Potter DM, Economou JS. Spontaneous and vaccine induced AFPspecific T cell phenotypes in subjects with AFP-positive hepatocellular cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2007;56:1931–1943. [PubMed: 17522860]
- 142. Palmer DH, Midgley RS, Mirza N, Torr EE, Ahmed F, Steele JC, et al. A phase II study of adoptive immunotherapy using dendritic cells pulsed with tumor lysate in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2009;49:124–132. [PubMed: 18980227]
- 143. He Y, Hong Y, Mizejewski GJ. Engineering α-fetoprotein-based gene vaccines to prevent and treat hepatocellular carcinoma: review and future prospects. Immunotherapy 2014;6:725–736. [PubMed: 25041030]
- 144. González-Carmona MA, Märten A, Hoffmann P, Schneider C, Sievers E, Schmidt-Wolf IGH, et al. Patient-derived dendritic cells transduced with an a-fetoprotein-encoding adenovirus and co-cultured with autologous cytokine-induced lymphocytes induce a specific and strong immune response against hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Liver Int 2006;26:369–379. [PubMed: 16584401]
- 145. Teng C-F, Wang T, Shih F-Y, Shyu W-C, Jeng L-B. Therapeutic efficacy of dendritic cell vaccine combined with programmed death 1 inhibitor for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36:1988–1996. [PubMed: 33462840]
- 146. Teng C-F, Wang T, Wu T-H, Lin J-H, Shih F-Y, Shyu W-C, et al. Combination therapy with dendritic cell vaccine and programmed death ligand 1 immune checkpoint inhibitor for hepatocellular carcinoma in an orthotopic mouse model. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2020;12:1758835920922034. [PubMed: 32565925]
- 147. Vogt A, Sadeghlar F, Ayub TH, Schneider C, Möhring C, Zhou T, et al. Alpha-Fetoproteinand CD40Ligand-Expressing Dendritic Cells for Immunotherapy of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers (Basel) 2021;13:3375. [PubMed: 34282787]
- 148. Bryant CE, Sutherland S, Kong B, Papadimitrious MS, Fromm PD, Hart DNJ. Dendritic cells as cancer therapeutics. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 2019;86:77–88. [PubMed: 29454038]
- 149. Cao J, Kong F-H, Liu X, Wang X-B. Immunotherapy with dendritic cells and cytokine-induced killer cells for hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019;25:3649– 3663. [PubMed: 31367163]
- 150. Märten A, Ziske C, Schöttker B, Renoth S, Weineck S, Buttgereit P, et al. Interactions between dendritic cells and cytokine-induced killer cells lead to an activation of both populations. J Immunother 2001;24:502–510. [PubMed: 11759073]

- 151. Xu K, Meng Z, Mu X, Sun B, Chai Y. One Single Site Clinical Study: To Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Immunotherapy With Autologous Dendritic Cells, Cytokine-Induced Killer Cells in Primary Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. Front Oncol 2020;10:581270. [PubMed: 33324558]
- 152. Chiossone L, Dumas P-Y, Vienne M, Vivier E. Natural killer cells and other innate lymphoid cells in cancer. Nat Rev Immunol 2018;18:671–688. [PubMed: 30209347]
- 153. Imai K, Matsuyama S, Miyake S, Suga K, Nakachi K. Natural cytotoxic activity of peripheralblood lymphocytes and cancer incidence: an 11-year follow-up study of a general population. Lancet 2000;356:1795–1799. [PubMed: 11117911]
- 154. Cazzetta V, Franzese S, Carenza C, Della Bella S, Mikulak J, Mavilio D. Natural Killer-Dendritic Cell Interactions in Liver Cancer: Implications for Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel) 2021;13:2184. [PubMed: 34062821]
- 155. Chew V, Tow C, Teo M, Wong HL, Chan J, Gehring A, et al. Inflammatory tumour microenvironment is associated with superior survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. J Hepatol 2010;52:370–379. [PubMed: 19720422]
- 156. Cai L, Zhang Z, Zhou L, Wang H, Fu J, Zhang S, et al. Functional impairment in circulating and intrahepatic NK cells and relative mechanism in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Clin Immunol 2008;129:428–437. [PubMed: 18824414]
- 157. Liu Y, Cheng Y, Xu Y, Wang Z, Du X, Li C, et al. Increased expression of programmed cell death protein 1 on NK cells inhibits NK-cell-mediated anti-tumor function and indicates poor prognosis in digestive cancers. Oncogene 2017;36:6143–6153. [PubMed: 28692048]
- 158. Sun C, Xu J, Huang Q, Huang M, Wen H, Zhang C, et al. High NKG2A expression contributes to NK cell exhaustion and predicts a poor prognosis of patients with liver cancer. Oncoimmunology 2017;6:e1264562. [PubMed: 28197391]
- 159. Mantovani S, Oliviero B, Lombardi A, Varchetta S, Mele D, Sangiovanni A, et al. Deficient Natural Killer Cell NKp30-Mediated Function and Altered NCR3 Splice Variants in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology 2019;69:1165–1179. [PubMed: 30153337]
- 160. Wu Y, Kuang D-M, Pan W-D, Wan Y-L, Lao X-M, Wang D, et al. Monocyte/macrophage-elicited natural killer cell dysfunction in hepatocellular carcinoma is mediated by CD48/2B4 interactions. Hepatology 2013;57:1107–1116. [PubMed: 23225218]
- 161. Sprinzl MF, Reisinger F, Puschnik A, Ringelhan M, Ackermann K, Hartmann D, et al. Sorafenib perpetuates cellular anticancer effector functions by modulating the crosstalk between macrophages and natural killer cells. Hepatology 2013;57:2358–2368. [PubMed: 23424039]
- 162. Hage C, Hoves S, Strauss L, Bissinger S, Prinz Y, Pöschinger T, et al. Sorafenib Induces Pyroptosis in Macrophages and Triggers Natural Killer Cell-Mediated Cytotoxicity Against Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology 2019;70:1280–1297. [PubMed: 31002440]
- 163. Yu M, Li Z. Natural killer cells in hepatocellular carcinoma: current status and perspectives for future immunotherapeutic approaches. Front Med 2017;11:509–521. [PubMed: 28780700]
- 164. Kalathil SG, Thanavala Y. Natural Killer Cells and T Cells in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Viral Hepatitis: Current Status and Perspectives for Future Immunotherapeutic Approaches. Cells 2021;10:1332. [PubMed: 34071188]
- 165. Mantovani S, Oliviero B, Varchetta S, Mele D, Mondelli MU. Natural Killer Cell Responses in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Implications for Novel Immunotherapeutic Approaches. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12:E926.
- 166. Lin M, Liang S, Wang X, Liang Y, Zhang M, Chen J, et al. Cryoablation combined with allogenic natural killer cell immunotherapy improves the curative effect in patients with advanced hepatocellular cancer. Oncotarget 2017;8:81967–81977. [PubMed: 29137237]
- 167. Xu L, Wang J, Kim Y, Shuang Z-Y, Zhang Y-J, Lao X-M, et al. A randomized controlled trial on patients with or without adjuvant autologous cytokine-induced killer cells after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncoimmunology 2016;5:e1083671. [PubMed: 27141337]
- 168. Lee JH, Lee J-H, Lim Y-S, Yeon JE, Song T-J, Yu SJ, et al. Adjuvant immunotherapy with autologous cytokine-induced killer cells for hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2015;148:1383–1391.e6. [PubMed: 25747273]

- 169. Ohira M, Hotta R, Tanaka Y, Matsuura T, Tekin A, Selvaggi G, et al. Pilot study to determine the safety and feasibility of deceased donor liver natural killer cell infusion to liver transplant recipients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2021;
- 170. Gong JH, Maki G, Klingemann HG. Characterization of a human cell line (NK-92) with phenotypical and functional characteristics of activated natural killer cells. Leukemia 1994;8:652–658. [PubMed: 8152260]
- 171. Tonn T, Schwabe D, Klingemann HG, Becker S, Esser R, Koehl U, et al. Treatment of patients with advanced cancer with the natural killer cell line NK-92. Cytotherapy 2013;15:1563–1570. [PubMed: 24094496]
- 172. Kamiya T, Chang Y-H, Campana D. Expanded and Activated Natural Killer Cells for Immunotherapy of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Res 2016;4:574–581. [PubMed: 27197065]
- 173. Yu M, Luo H, Fan M, Wu X, Shi B, Di S, et al. Development of GPC3-Specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor-Engineered Natural Killer Cells for the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Mol Ther 2018;26:366–378. [PubMed: 29339014]
- 174. Xiao L, Cen D, Gan H, Sun Y, Huang N, Xiong H, et al. Adoptive Transfer of NKG2D CAR mRNA-Engineered Natural Killer Cells in Colorectal Cancer Patients. Mol Ther 2019;27:1114– 1125. [PubMed: 30962163]
- 175. Yang J, Eresen A, Scotti A, Cai K, Zhang Z. Combination of NK-based immunotherapy and sorafenib against hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Cancer Res 2021;11:337–349. [PubMed: 33575075]
- 176. Zecca A, Barili V, Canetti D, Regina V, Olivani A, Carone C, et al. Energy metabolism and cell motility defect in NK-cells from patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2020;69:1589–1603. [PubMed: 32307580]
- 177. Conlon KC, Lugli E, Welles HC, Rosenberg SA, Fojo AT, Morris JC, et al. Redistribution, hyperproliferation, activation of natural killer cells and CD8 T cells, and cytokine production during first-in-human clinical trial of recombinant human interleukin-15 in patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:74–82. [PubMed: 25403209]
- 178. Wang W, Erbe AK, Hank JA, Morris ZS, Sondel PM. NK Cell-Mediated Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity in Cancer Immunotherapy. Front Immunol 2015;6:368. [PubMed: 26284063]
- 179. Abou-Alfa GK, Puig O, Daniele B, Kudo M, Merle P, Park J-W, et al. Randomized phase II placebo controlled study of codrituzumab in previously treated patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2016;65:289–295. [PubMed: 27085251]
- 180. Chen G, Chen Y-C, Reis B, Belousov A, Jukofsky L, Rossin C, et al. Combining expression of GPC3 in tumors and CD16 on NK cells from peripheral blood to identify patients responding to codrituzumab. Oncotarget 2018;9:10436–10444. [PubMed: 29535817]
- 181. Abou-Alfa GK, Yen C-J, Hsu C-H, O'Donoghue J, Beylergil V, Ruan S, et al. Phase Ib study of codrituzumab in combination with sorafenib in patients with non-curable advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2017;79:421–429. [PubMed: 28120036]
- Davis ZB, Vallera DA, Miller JS, Felices M. Natural killer cells unleashed: Checkpoint receptor blockade and BiKE/TriKE utilization in NK-mediated anti-tumor immunotherapy. Semin Immunol 2017;31:64–75. [PubMed: 28882429]
- 183. Sun H, Huang Q, Huang M, Wen H, Lin R, Zheng M, et al. Human CD96 Correlates to Natural Killer Cell Exhaustion and Predicts the Prognosis of Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology 2019;70:168–183. [PubMed: 30411378]
- 184. André P, Denis C, Soulas C, Bourbon-Caillet C, Lopez J, Arnoux T, et al. Anti-NKG2A mAb Is a Checkpoint Inhibitor that Promotes Anti-tumor Immunity by Unleashing Both T and NK Cells. Cell 2018;175:1731–1743.e13. [PubMed: 30503213]
- 185. Arai J, Goto K, Stephanou A, Tanoue Y, Ito S, Muroyama R, et al. Predominance of regorafenib over sorafenib: Restoration of membrane-bound MICA in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;33:1075–1081. [PubMed: 29055152]

- 186. Zhang C, Liu Y. Targeting NK Cell Checkpoint Receptors or Molecules for Cancer Immunotherapy. Front Immunol 2020;11:1295. [PubMed: 32714324]
- 187. Kasper H-U, Drebber U, Stippel D-L, Dienes H-P, Gillessen A. Liver tumor infiltrating lymphocytes: comparison of hepatocellular and cholangiolar carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2009;15:5053–5057. [PubMed: 19859998]
- 188. Gao Q, Qiu S-J, Fan J, Zhou J, Wang X-Y, Xiao Y-S, et al. Intratumoral balance of regulatory and cytotoxic T cells is associated with prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma after resection. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2586–2593. [PubMed: 17577038]
- 189. Langhans B, Nischalke HD, Krämer B, Dold L, Lutz P, Mohr R, et al. Role of regulatory T cells and checkpoint inhibition in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2019;68:2055–2066. [PubMed: 31724091]
- 190. Zheng C, Zheng L, Yoo J-K, Guo H, Zhang Y, Guo X, et al. Landscape of Infiltrating T Cells in Liver Cancer Revealed by Single-Cell Sequencing. Cell 2017;169:1342–1356.e16. [PubMed: 28622514]
- 191. McGeachy MJ, Cua DJ. T Cells Doing It for Themselves: TGF-β Regulation of Th1 and Th17 Cells. Immunity 2007;26:547–549. [PubMed: 17521582]
- 192. Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD. Immune-Related Adverse Events Associated with Immune Checkpoint Blockade. N Engl J Med 2018;378:158–168. [PubMed: 29320654]
- 193. Sangro B, Chan SL, Meyer T, Reig M, El-Khoueiry A, Galle PR. Diagnosis and management of toxicities of immune checkpoint inhibitors in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2020;72:320– 341. [PubMed: 31954495]
- 194. Shi F, Shi M, Zeng Z, Qi R-Z, Liu Z-W, Zhang J-Y, et al. PD-1 and PD-L1 upregulation promotes CD8(+) T-cell apoptosis and postoperative recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Int J Cancer 2011;128:887–896. [PubMed: 20473887]
- 195. Kim H-D, Song G-W, Park S, Jung MK, Kim MH, Kang HJ, et al. Association Between Expression Level of PD1 by Tumor-Infiltrating CD8+ T Cells and Features of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2018;155:1936–1950.e17. [PubMed: 30145359]
- 196. The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 2018 [Internet]. NobelPrize.org. [cited 2021 Sep 20]; Available from: https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/2018/summary/
- 197. Haber PK, Puigvehí M, Castet F, Lourdusamy V, Montal R, Tabrizian P, et al. Evidence-Based Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials (2002–2020). Gastroenterology 2021;161:879–898. [PubMed: 34126063]
- 198. Pfister D, Núñez NG, Pinyol R, Govaere O, Pinter M, Szydlowska M, et al. NASH limits anti-tumour surveillance in immunotherapy-treated HCC. Nature 2021;592:450–456. [PubMed: 33762733]
- 199. Dudek M, Pfister D, Donakonda S, Filpe P, Schneider A, Laschinger M, et al. Auto-aggressive CXCR6+ CD8 T cells cause liver immune pathology in NASH. Nature 2021;592:444–449. [PubMed: 33762736]
- 200. Sia D, Jiao Y, Martinez-Quetglas I, Kuchuk O, Villacorta-Martin C, Castro de Moura M, et al. Identification of an Immune-specific Class of Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Based on Molecular Features. Gastroenterology 2017;153:812–826. [PubMed: 28624577]
- 201. Luke JJ, Bao R, Sweis RF, Spranger S, Gajewski TF. WNT/β-catenin Pathway Activation Correlates with Immune Exclusion across Human Cancers. Clin Cancer Res 2019;25:3074–3083. [PubMed: 30635339]
- 202. Ruiz de Galarreta M, Bresnahan E, Molina-Sánchez P, Lindblad KE, Maier B, Sia D, et al. β-Catenin Activation Promotes Immune Escape and Resistance to Anti-PD-1 Therapy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancer Discov 2019;9:1124–1141. [PubMed: 31186238]
- Lee BM, Seong J. Radiotherapy as an immune checkpoint blockade combination strategy for hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2021;27:919–927. [PubMed: 33776363]
- 204. Mizukoshi E, Yamashita T, Arai K, Sunagozaka H, Ueda T, Arihara F, et al. Enhancement of tumor-associated antigen-specific T cell responses by radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2013;57:1448–1457. [PubMed: 23174905]

- 205. Butterfield LH, Koh A, Meng W, Vollmer CM, Ribas A, Dissette V, et al. Generation of human Tcell responses to an HLA-A2.1-restricted peptide epitope derived from alpha-fetoprotein. Cancer Res 1999;59:3134–3142. [PubMed: 10397256]
- 206. Thimme R, Neagu M, Boettler T, Neumann-Haefelin C, Kersting N, Geissler M, et al. Comprehensive analysis of the alpha-fetoprotein-specific CD8+ T cell responses in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2008;48:1821–1833. [PubMed: 19003875]
- 207. Johnson PJ. Role of alpha-fetoprotein in the diagnosis and management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1999;14 Suppl:S32–36. [PubMed: 10382636]
- 208. Yamashita T, Forgues M, Wang W, Kim JW, Ye Q, Jia H, et al. EpCAM and alphafetoprotein expression defines novel prognostic subtypes of hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Res 2008;68:1451–1461. [PubMed: 18316609]
- 209. Liu Y, Daley S, Evdokimova VN, Zdobinski DD, Potter DM, Butterfield LH. Hierarchy of a Fetoprotein (AFP)-Specific T Cell Responses in Subjects with AFP-Positive Hepatocellular Cancer. The Journal of Immunology 2006;177:712–721. [PubMed: 16785570]
- 210. Butterfield LH, Ribas A, Meng WS, Dissette VB, Amarnani S, Vu HT, et al. T-cell responses to HLA-A*0201 immunodominant peptides derived from alpha-fetoprotein in patients with hepatocellular cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:5902–5908. [PubMed: 14676113]
- 211. Zhu W, Peng Y, Wang L, Hong Y, Jiang X, Li Q, et al. Identification of α-fetoprotein-specific T-cell receptors for hepatocellular carcinoma immunotherapy. Hepatology 2018;68:574–589. [PubMed: 29443377]
- 212. Docta RY, Ferronha T, Sanderson JP, Weissensteiner T, Pope GR, Bennett AD, et al. Tuning T-Cell Receptor Affinity to Optimize Clinical Risk-Benefit When Targeting Alpha-Fetoprotein-Positive Liver Cancer. Hepatology 2019;69:2061–2075. [PubMed: 30561769]
- 213. Nakaoka H, Inoue I. Distribution of HLA haplotypes across Japanese Archipelago: similarity, difference and admixture. J Hum Genet 2015;60:683–690. [PubMed: 26202576]
- 214. Li Z, Gong H, Liu Q, Wu W, Cheng J, Mei Y, et al. Identification of an HLA-A*24:02restricted α-fetoprotein signal peptide-derived antigen and its specific T-cell receptor for T-cell immunotherapy. Immunology 2020;159:384–392. [PubMed: 31849039]
- 215. Leuchte K, Staib E, Thelen M, Gödel P, Lechner A, Zentis P, et al. Microwave ablation enhances tumor-specific immune response in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2021;70:893–907. [PubMed: 33006650]
- 216. Qasim W, Brunetto M, Gehring AJ, Xue S-A, Schurich A, Khakpoor A, et al. Immunotherapy of HCC metastases with autologous T cell receptor redirected T cells, targeting HBsAg in a liver transplant patient. J Hepatol 2015;62:486–491. [PubMed: 25308176]
- 217. Gao H, Li K, Tu H, Pan X, Jiang H, Shi B, et al. Development of T cells redirected to glypican-3 for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2014;20:6418–6428. [PubMed: 25320357]
- 218. Shi D, Shi Y, Kaseb AO, Qi X, Zhang Y, Chi J, et al. Chimeric Antigen Receptor-Glypican-3 T-Cell Therapy for Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Results of Phase I Trials. Clin Cancer Res 2020;26:3979–3989. [PubMed: 32371538]
- 219. Guo X, Jiang H, Shi B, Zhou M, Zhang H, Shi Z, et al. Disruption of PD-1 Enhanced the Anti-tumor Activity of Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cells Against Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front Pharmacol 2018;9:1118. [PubMed: 30327605]
- 220. Hu W, Huang X, Huang X, Chen W, Hao L, Chen Z. Chimeric antigen receptor modified T cell (CAR-T) co-expressed with ICOSL-41BB promote CAR-T proliferation and tumor rejection. Biomed Pharmacother 2019;118:109333. [PubMed: 31545280]
- 221. Chen C, Li K, Jiang H, Song F, Gao H, Pan X, et al. Development of T cells carrying two complementary chimeric antigen receptors against glypican-3 and asialoglycoprotein receptor 1 for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2017;66:475–489. [PubMed: 28035433]
- 222. Sun B, Yang D, Dai H, Liu X, Jia R, Cui X, et al. Eradication of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by NKG2D-Based CAR-T Cells. Cancer Immunol Res 2019;7:1813–1823. [PubMed: 31484657]

- 223. Dai H, Tong C, Shi D, Chen M, Guo Y, Chen D, et al. Efficacy and biomarker analysis of CD133-directed CAR T cells in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a single-arm, open-label, phase II trial. Oncoimmunology 2020;9:1846926. [PubMed: 33312759]
- 224. First-Ever CAR T-cell Therapy Approved in U.S. Cancer Discov 2017;7:OF1.
- 225. FDA Approves Second CAR T-cell Therapy. Cancer Discov 2018;8:5-6. [PubMed: 29113977]
- 226. Qin M, Wang D, Fang Y, Zheng Z, Liu X, Wu F, et al. Current Perspectives on B Lymphocytes in the Immunobiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front Oncol 2021;11:647854. [PubMed: 34235074]
- 227. Shi J-Y, Gao Q, Wang Z-C, Zhou J, Wang X-Y, Min Z-H, et al. Margin-infiltrating CD20(+) B cells display an atypical memory phenotype and correlate with favorable prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2013;19:5994–6005. [PubMed: 24056784]
- 228. Zhang Z, Ma L, Goswami S, Ma J, Zheng B, Duan M, et al. Landscape of infiltrating B cells and their clinical significance in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncoimmunology 2019;8:e1571388. [PubMed: 30906667]
- 229. Kurebayashi Y, Ojima H, Tsujikawa H, Kubota N, Maehara J, Abe Y, et al. Landscape of immune microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma and its additional impact on histological and molecular classification. Hepatology 2018;68:1025–1041. [PubMed: 29603348]
- 230. Schneider C, Teufel A, Yevsa T, Staib F, Hohmeyer A, Walenda G, et al. Adaptive immunity suppresses formation and progression of diethylnitrosamine-induced liver cancer. Gut 2012;61:1733–1743. [PubMed: 22267597]
- 231. Garnelo M, Tan A, Her Z, Yeong J, Lim CJ, Chen J, et al. Interaction between tumour-infiltrating B cells and T cells controls the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut 2017;66:342–351. [PubMed: 26669617]
- 232. Brunner SM, Itzel T, Rubner C, Kesselring R, Griesshammer E, Evert M, et al. Tumor-infiltrating B cells producing antitumor active immunoglobulins in resected HCC prolong patient survival. Oncotarget 2017;8:71002–71011. [PubMed: 29050338]
- 233. Kang T-W, Yevsa T, Woller N, Hoenicke L, Wuestefeld T, Dauch D, et al. Senescence surveillance of pre-malignant hepatocytes limits liver cancer development. Nature 2011;479:547– 551. [PubMed: 22080947]
- 234. Faggioli F, Palagano E, Di Tommaso L, Donadon M, Marrella V, Recordati C, et al. B lymphocytes limit senescence-driven fibrosis resolution and favor hepatocarcinogenesis in mouse liver injury. Hepatology 2018;67:1970–1985. [PubMed: 29105104]
- 235. Shalapour S, Lin X-J, Bastian IN, Brain J, Burt AD, Aksenov AA, et al. Inflammationinduced IgA+ cells dismantle anti-liver cancer immunity. Nature 2017;551:340–345. [PubMed: 29144460]
- 236. Blair PA, Noreña LY, Flores-Borja F, Rawlings DJ, Isenberg DA, Ehrenstein MR, et al. CD19(+)CD24(hi)CD38(hi) B cells exhibit regulatory capacity in healthy individuals but are functionally impaired in systemic Lupus Erythematosus patients. Immunity 2010;32:129–140. [PubMed: 20079667]
- 237. Xue H, Lin F, Tan H, Zhu Z-Q, Zhang Z-Y, Zhao L. Overrepresentation of IL-10-Expressing B Cells Suppresses Cytotoxic CD4+ T Cell Activity in HBV-Induced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. PLoS One 2016;11:e0154815. [PubMed: 27136203]
- Balkwill F, Montfort A, Capasso M. B regulatory cells in cancer. Trends Immunol 2013;34:169– 173. [PubMed: 23206438]
- 239. Shao Y, Lo CM, Ling CC, Liu XB, Ng KT-P, Chu ACY, et al. Regulatory B cells accelerate hepatocellular carcinoma progression via CD40/CD154 signaling pathway. Cancer Lett 2014;355:264–272. [PubMed: 25301451]
- 240. Xiao X, Lao X-M, Chen M-M, Liu R-X, Wei Y, Ouyang F-Z, et al. PD-1hi Identifies a Novel Regulatory B-cell Population in Human Hepatoma That Promotes Disease Progression. Cancer Discov 2016;6:546–559. [PubMed: 26928313]
- 241. Ouyang F-Z, Wu R-Q, Wei Y, Liu R-X, Yang D, Xiao X, et al. Dendritic cell-elicited B-cell activation fosters immune privilege via IL-10 signals in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Commun 2016;7:13453. [PubMed: 27853178]

- 242. Qian L, Qian C, Chen Y, Bai Y, Bao Y, Lu L, et al. Regulatory dendritic cells program B cells to differentiate into CD19hiFc γ IIbhi regulatory B cells through IFN- β and CD40L. Blood 2012;120:581–591. [PubMed: 22692512]
- 243. Lei C-J, Liu J-N, Wu R, Long Z-X, Zhang J-Z, Tao D, et al. Change of the peripheral blood immune pattern and its correlation with prognosis in patients with liver cancer treated by sorafenib. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2016;9:592–596. [PubMed: 27262073]
- 244. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011;144:646–674. [PubMed: 21376230]
- 245. Lujambio A, Akkari L, Simon J, Grace D, Tschaharganeh DF, Bolden JE, et al. Non-cellautonomous tumor suppression by p53. Cell 2013;153:449–460. [PubMed: 23562644]
- 246. Yoshimoto S, Loo TM, Atarashi K, Kanda H, Sato S, Oyadomari S, et al. Obesity-induced gut microbial metabolite promotes liver cancer through senescence secretome. Nature 2013;499:97– 101. [PubMed: 23803760]
- 247. Schwaederle M, Zhao M, Lee JJ, Lazar V, Leyland-Jones B, Schilsky RL, et al. Association of Biomarker-Based Treatment Strategies With Response Rates and Progression-Free Survival in Refractory Malignant Neoplasms: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol 2016;2:1452–1459. [PubMed: 27273579]



Figure 1: Understand the liver microenvironment

Myeloid and lymphoid progenitors arise from hematopoietic stem cells via intermediate progenitors. In the steady-state, these progenitor cells supply cells to tissues for immune surveillance. Monocyte progenitors produce neutrophils, dendritic cells (DC) and monocytes. Monocytes can be differentiated in macrophages in specific organs. In the liver, there are two categories of macrophages, the ones having an embryonic origin (the Kupffer cells) and the others differentiated from circulating monocytes (the monocyte derived macrophages). In mice, specific markers to discriminate Kupffer cells have been discovered but in humans, they are still unknown. The lymphoblast lineage gives rise to NK cells and lymphocytes (iNKT, T cells and B cells). Representative markers for each cell type are shown.



Figure 2: Neutrophils: Friends or foes?

Neutrophils are recruited at the tumoral site by the release of CXCL5 and CXCL6. Tumorassociated neutrophils (TANs) are turned into a pro-tumorigenic (N2) phenotype by the tumor. These N2 TANs exhibit strong immunosuppressive functions including expression of PD-L1, release of immunosuppressive cytokines (IL-8, CCL2, CCL17), and NETosis. These features inhibit T cells, promote Treg differentiation, and induce immunosuppressive tumor associated-macrophages (TAMs), leading to sorafenib resistance. There is an important dialogue between tumor cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), and TANs (blue and red arrows). Secretion of cardiotrophin-like cytokine factor 1 (CLCF1) by CAFs mediates tumoral expression of CXCL5, IL-6, and TGF- β , responsible for neutrophil recruitment and "N2" polarization, respectively. Activation of STAT3 leads to PD-L1 expression associated with T cell inhibition and triggers a positive loop to amplify cancer stem cell characteristics with the release of TGF- β and BMP2. Strategies to inhibit the immunosuppressive function of TANs during HCC could involve preventing their recruitment by using immunotherapies (anti-Ly6G or anti-Gr1), blocking the pro-tumorigenic NETosis mechanism with DNAse or cathepsin G inhibitor, or to block some cytokine pathways such as CXC5 or TGF- β .



Figure 3: Monocytes: Dr Jekyll or Mr Hide?

Monocytes are recruited into the tumor site by the release of tumoral and stromal chemokines, such as CCL2 and CCL15. Monocytes can be polarized into different subtypes such as CD14⁺, CCR1⁺CD14⁺, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC). All of these subtypes promote a strong immunosuppressive environment with expression of immune-checkpoint inhibitors (PD-L1/2, B7-H3, TIM-3) and cytokines (IL-10, CXCL2, CXCL8), inhibiting NK cytotoxicity and inducing Tregs. They also interact with neutrophils to promote tumor invasiveness though oncostatin M pathway. Ways to control tumorigenesis through monocytes could be to prevent their recruitment to the tumor by inhibiting the CCL15 pathway, to block their polarization by inhibiting the p38 pathway, or to repress the IL-6 pathway in order to prevent Treg formation.



Figure 4: Macrophages: the tough ones

Macrophage-derived monocytes can be recruited by CCL2 to the tumor site. The proinflammatory (M1) / anti-inflammatory (M2) nomenclature is controversial but it is still used to describe macrophage activity. HCCs educate tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) to become immunosuppressive, notably through the secretion of CCL2 and osteopontin (SPP1), and the release of extracellular vesicles. CSF1 expression by macrophages leads to tumoral PD-L1 expression and increases the immunosuppressive environment. Moreover, M2 cells release cytokines such as IL-10, IL-6, and VEGF, leading to T and NK cell inhibition, macrophage immunosuppression, and Treg differentiation responsible for resistance to therapies. Also, TAMs secrete HGF and IGF-1, leading to tumor cell proliferation and monocyte recruitment. Strategies to block their recruitment (anti-CCL2) or prevent their pro-tumorigenic functions (inhibition of VEGF, HGF, c-MET or IL-6 pathway, IFN-a vaccine) could lead to reduced tumor burden.



Figure 5: Dendritic cells get the T cells rolling

A. The dendritic cell (DC) – T cell synapse is defined by three regulated steps: first, DCs present the antigen on MHC class II molecules to CD4⁺ T helper cells and on MHC class I molecules to CD8⁺ T cells. Then, interaction of costimulatory molecules of the immunoglobulin superfamily (CD80 and CD86, which bind to CD28 on T cells) and the TNF superfamily (CD40L/CD40, 4–1BBL/4–1BB, CD27/CD70, CD30L/CD30, and HVEM/LIGHT) occur to trigger cytokine release and T cell activation and differentiation. **B.** Plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) can induce type 1 Tregs (Tr1) from naive CD4⁺ T cells through ICOS-L-ICOS interaction, allowing production of IL-10 from Tregs. LAMP3⁺ DCs (mDCs) can also express inhibitory ligands such as PD-L1, Gal9 (ligand of TIM3), MHC-II (for LAG3), and CD86 and CD80 (for CTLA4). These mechanisms lead to an immunosuppressive environment. Artificial activation of DCs (immunotherapy, vaccination...) could lead to an immune competent environment favoring an anti-tumor response.



Figure 6: NK cells shoot to kill

A. NK cells express inhibitory receptors such as the inhibitory killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (iKIRs) and the C-type lectin-like receptor NKG2A, binding MHC-I and the nonclassical MHC-I complex, HLA-E, respectively. Healthy cells inhibit NK cells by binding to NKG2A and iKIRs. However, absence of these ligands on a cell engages activating NK receptors (NKG2C/D, NKp30 and NKp44) that bind their ligands (MICA-B, B7H6, NKP46L, respectively) expressed on infected or tumor-transformed cells. After binding, perforin and granzyme B are release and kill the cell. However, an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment – through IL-10 signaling - leads to the expression of NKG2A in NK cells. NKG2A associated to the expression of PD-1 induces NK inactivation and tumor growth.

B. Tumors inhibit NK cells, but 6 different ways to reactivate them during tumorigenesis have been uncovered: the use of modified NK cells (CAR-NK), stimulation by IL15, targeting TGF- β , using antibodies binding the tumor cells and NK cells (ADCC and BiKE/TriKE), and inhibition of NKG2A or CD96 to suppress the immunosuppressive NK population.



Figure 7: T cells lead the anti-tumor charge

A. The immunosuppressive environment, notably through the secretion of cytokines such as TGF- β , leads to Treg differentiation, associated with the expression of immune-checkpoint ligands by the tumor cell (PD-L1/2), which cause inhibition of CD8⁺ T cells. **B.** Different generations of CAR-T cells have been designed to recognize the tumor cell, kill it, and remain "non-exhausted" (PD-1 deletion).

Donne and Lujambio



Figure 8: B cells join forces to promote or inhibit cancer

A. Naïve B cell interaction with tumor cells triggers B cell differentiation into an activated plasma cell able to kill the tumor cell via the secretion of specific antibodies. Thus, it promotes NK cell activation though the ADCC mechanism, as well as T cell activation. **B.** $CD20^+$ B cells can limit growth of established tumors by secreting TNF-a. However, IgA⁺ B cells and regulatory B cells (Bregs) inhibit T cells by secreting IL-10 and TGF- β . This immunosuppressive environment also triggers polarization of monocytes and macrophages to reinforce it.