Systems/Circuits

Arc-Expressing Accessory Olfactory Bulb Interneurons Support Chemosensory Social Behavioral Plasticity

Kelsey E. Zuk,^{1,2} [Hillary L. Cansler](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8267-0515),³ Jinxin Wang,² and \bullet [Julian P. Meeks](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7537-4491)^{2,4}

¹Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390, ²Department of Neuroscience, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York 14642, ³Department of Pharmacology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida 32603, and ⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York 14642

The accessory olfactory system (AOS) is critical for the development and expression of social behavior. The first dedicated circuit in the AOS, the accessory olfactory bulb (AOB), exhibits cellular and network plasticity in male and female mice after social experience. In the AOB, interneurons called internal granule cells (IGCs) express the plasticity-associated immediate-early gene Arc following intermale aggression or mating. Here, we sought to better understand how Arc-expressing IGCs shape AOB information processing and social behavior in the context of territorial aggression. We used "ArcTRAP" (Arc-CreERT2) transgenic mice to selectively and permanently label Arc-expressing IGCs following male–male resident–intruder interactions. Using whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology, we found that Arc-expressing IGCs display increased intrinsic excitability for several days after a single resident–intruder interaction. Further, we found that Arc-expressing IGCs maintain this increased excitability across repeated resident–intruder interactions, during which resident mice increase or "ramp" their aggression. We tested the hypothesis that Arc-expressing IGCs participate in ramping aggression. Using a combination of ArcTRAP mice and chemogenetics (Cre-dependent hM4D(G_i)-mCherry AAV injections), we found that disruption of Arc-expressing IGC activity during repeated resident–intruder interactions abolishes the ramping aggression exhibited by resident male mice. This work shows that Arc-expressing AOB IGC ensembles are activated by specific chemosensory environments, and play an integral role in the establishment and expression of sex-typical social behavior. These studies identify a population of plastic interneurons in an early chemosensory circuit that display physiological features consistent with simple memory formation, increasing our understanding of central chemosensory processing and mammalian social behavior.

Key words: accessory olfactory bulb; aggression; Arc; interneuron; plasticity; social behavior

Significance Statement

The accessory olfactory system plays a vital role in rodent chemosensory social behavior. We studied experience-dependent plasticity in the accessory olfactory bulb and found that internal granule cells expressing the immediate-early gene Arc after the resident– intruder paradigm increase their excitability for several days. We investigated the roles of these Arc-expressing internal granule cells on chemosensory social behavior by chemogenetically manipulating their excitability during repeated social interactions. We found that inhibiting these cells eliminated intermale aggressive ramping behavior. These studies identify a population of plastic interneurons in an early chemosensory circuit that display physiological features consistent with simple memory formation, increasing our understanding of central chemosensory processing and mammalian social behavior.

Received May 2, 2022; revised Nov. 18, 2022; accepted Nov. 22, 2022.

Author contributions: K.E.Z., H.L.C., J.W., and J.P.M. designed research; K.E.Z. and H.L.C. performed research; K.E.Z., J.W., and J.P.M. analyzed data; K.E.Z. and J.P.M. edited the paper; K.E.Z. and J.P.M. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants R01DC015784 to J.P.M. and F31DC018440 to K.E.Z., and, in part, by National Institutes of Health Grants R01DC017985 and R21NS104826 to J.P.M. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. We thank Cara Nielson, Jie Cao, and Michael Mastrangelo for technical assistance and experimental support; and members of the Chemosensation and Social Learning Laboratory for constructive feedback.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Julian P. Meeks at julian_meeks@urmc.rochester.edu. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0847-22.2022

Copyright © 2023 Zuk et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license,](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Introduction

The survival and reproduction of an animal are highly dependent on its ability to appropriately interact with its social environment. Social interactions arise from the integration of complex sensory cues found in the animal's surroundings. More so than humans, terrestrial mammals rely on the detection and interpretation of olfactory stimuli to guide their behavior, both during initial encounters and after experience [\(Brennan and Keverne,](#page-11-0) [2015\)](#page-11-0). In rodents, the accessory olfactory system (AOS) plays an essential role in guiding behavior through the detection of chemosignals, which are thought to convey information about the species, sex, reproductive status, or even health of other animals [\(Brennan, 2001;](#page-11-1) [Salazar and Brennan, 2001;](#page-12-0) [Mucignat-Caretta et](#page-12-1) [al., 2012\)](#page-12-1). Many chemosignals, including pheromones, are detected in the AOS via vomeronasal sensory neurons found in the vomeronasal organ. Vomeronasal sensory neurons are known to be activated by excreted proteins, peptides, and steroids ([Leinders-](#page-12-2)[Zufall et al., 2004;](#page-12-2) [Kimoto et al., 2005](#page-12-3); [Chamero et al., 2007;](#page-11-2) [Nodari et al., 2008](#page-12-4); [Liberles et al., 2009;](#page-12-5) [Riviere et al., 2009;](#page-12-6) [Wong et al., 2020](#page-12-7)). Disruption of vomeronasal sensory neuron signaling through knockout of the Trpc2 ion channel has been shown to alter the display of sex-typical behavior, such as mating and aggression, reinforcing the notion that sensory input to the AOS plays a critical role in behavioral output ([Stowers et](#page-12-8) [al., 2002\)](#page-12-8). Overall, it is well established that AOS alteration disrupts social behavior, but the circuit-level mechanisms mediating these behavioral changes are still not understood.

Previous work suggested that inhibitory signaling, via GABAergic neurons, plays an essential role in regulating olfactory information processing [\(Brennan and Keverne, 1997;](#page-11-3) [Jia et](#page-12-9) [al., 1999\)](#page-12-9). Recent studies identified a population of GABAergic inhibitory interneurons in the accessory olfactory bulb (AOB), the first dedicated circuit for AOS information processing, that display increased intrinsic excitability for several hours after social chemosensory experience [\(Cansler et al., 2017](#page-11-4); [Gao et al.,](#page-11-5) [2017\)](#page-11-5). These inhibitory interneurons, known as internal granule cells (IGCs), are found in the internal cellular layer (ICL) of the AOB and are the most abundant inhibitory interneuron subtype in this circuit ([Larriva-Sahd, 2008](#page-12-10)). IGCs have small basal dendrites that reside in the ICL and long apical dendrites that stretch into the external cellular layer (ECL), where excitatory mitral cells reside. Each IGC forms reciprocal, dendro-dendritic synapses along the dendrites of multiple mitral cells [\(Taniguchi and](#page-12-11) [Kaba, 2001](#page-12-11); [Larriva-Sahd, 2008](#page-12-10)). These cells are analogous to granule cells in the main olfactory bulb, which have similar morphology, connectivity, and presumably function to their AOB counterparts ([Sailor et al., 2016\)](#page-12-12). Main olfactory bulb granule cells demonstrate changes in their dendritic arbors and dendro-dendritic synapses throughout the life of an animal ([Sailor et al., 2016;](#page-12-12) [Quast et al., 2017\)](#page-12-13). Experience-dependent structural changes, as measured by electron microscopy, in these dendro-dendritic synapses have also been shown to be associated with olfactory learning in the AOB ([Matsuoka](#page-12-14) [et al., 1997\)](#page-12-14). Building on recent work, we sought to further understand how AOB experience-dependent plasticity may be linked to changes in immediate-early gene expression in inhibitory interneurons ([Cansler et al., 2017;](#page-11-4) [Gao et al.,](#page-11-5) [2017](#page-11-5)).

Immediate-early genes, which are quickly and selectively upregulated after a neuronal stimulus response, have been linked to various forms of plasticity ([Minatohara et al., 2015](#page-12-15)). The activity-regulated cytoskeletal-associated gene (Arc) has been shown to play a direct role in mediating LTD in hippocampal excitatory neurons [\(Bramham et al., 2008](#page-11-6); [Shepherd](#page-12-16) [and Bear, 2011](#page-12-16); [Jakkamsetti et al., 2013\)](#page-11-7). AOB IGCs that display increased excitability after experience also selectively express Arc mRNA and protein (Arc) ([Cansler et al., 2017](#page-11-4)). Arc is associated with experience-dependent plasticity throughout the brain (for review, see [Korb and Finkbeiner, 2011](#page-12-17)), and we hypothesized that Arc-expressing IGCs contribute to AOB function in the context of AOS-mediated social behavior.

Here, we report that IGCs that transiently express Arc following a single social experience display increased intrinsic excitability for several days. Increased IGC excitability persisted during a week of repeated social experiences but did not scale with the number of experiences. We tested whether Arc-expressing IGCs participate in the development of aggressive behaviors between males, an AOS-mediated behavior. We found that chemogenetically inhibiting Arc-positive AOB IGCs completely abolished aggressive ramping over multiple intermale resident–intruder interactions. Our results indicate that this early sensory inhibitory neuron population plays a critical role in regulating the behavioral response to social chemosignals.

Materials and Methods

Mice. All animal procedures were conducted in compliance with both the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the University of Rochester University Committee on Animal Resources. Sexually naive adult male mice 6-12 weeks of age were used throughout the study. Mice were housed on a reverse 12 h light/dark cycle, with lights on from either noon to midnight or 6:00 P.M. to 6:00 A.M. Food and water were provided ad libitum. B6.129(Cg)-Arctm1.1 (ArcCreERT2^{+/-}; The Jackson Laboratory; Stock #021881) were crossed with Gt(ROSA)26Sortm9 $(CAG-tdTomato)Hze$ (Ai9^{+/-} or Ai9^{+/+}; The Jackson Laboratory; stock #007905) ([Guenthner et al., 2013](#page-11-8)). These mice will be referred to as ArcTRAP (ArcCreERT2^{+/-}, Ai9^{+/-}, or Ai9^{+/+}) throughout [\(Guenthner](#page-11-8) [et al., 2013\)](#page-11-8). In some experiments, the ArcTRAP line was crossed with a hemizygous Arc-d4EGFP line (a gift from Pavel Osten, via Kimberly Huber) ([Grinevich et al., 2009](#page-11-9)) to form ArcTRAP \times Arc-d4EGFP mice. Each mouse line was maintained on a C57BL/6J background. BALB/cJ mice were obtained from either the University of Texas Southwestern Mouse Breeding Core or The Jackson Laboratory (stock #000651). A total of 207 mice were used in this study.

Resident–intruder test. All behavioral tests were conducted during the dark cycle. ArcTRAP resident male mice were single housed for 1 week without cage changes. At the end of 1 week, resident mice underwent a process referred to as targeted recombination in active populations (TRAP) ([Guenthner et al., 2013\)](#page-11-8), where they were lightly anesthetized with isoflurane (to reduce stress from injection) and intraperitoneally injected with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT; Sigma-Aldrich) at a dose of 50 mg/kg. Twenty minutes after 4-OHT injection, a BALB/cJ intruder male was introduced to the resident's cage for 10 min during the resident–intruder test. After 10 min, the intruder was returned to its home cage. Resident mice were left in their home cage and allowed to rest for at least 1 h before being returned to the animal housing facility.

The resident–intruder test was conducted once per day, for up to 8 d. In repeated exposure (re-exposure [RE]) experiments (see [Figs. 4](#page-7-0)–[7\)](#page-10-0), resident animals were re-exposed to the same individual BALBc\J intruder for each interaction. Aggressive ramping experiments (see [Figs. 6](#page-9-0), [7](#page-10-0)) involved measurements from the same resident–intruder pair each day. All behavior sessions were recorded with handheld cameras (Panasonic) at 30 frames per second. In a subset of our DREADD-manipulated and control mice, behavior videos were recorded from both a side view (used for all manual behavior annotation) and a top view (better suited for DeepLabCut).

Live slice preparation. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated 1, 3, 5, or 7 d after being TRAPed on day 0. Brains were dissected, and 400 μ m parasagittal sections of the AOB were prepared using a vibrating microtome (Leica VT1200) in ice-cold ACSF bubbled with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂. Standard ACSF contained the following (in m_M): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 glucose, 3 myoinositol, 2 Na-pyruvate, and 0.4 Na-ascorbate (pH 7.4, 315 mOsm). For slicing, an additional 9 MgCl₂ was added to ice-cold ACSF. After slicing, the slices were kept in a recovery chamber at room temperature (23°C) containing oxygenated ACSF with 0.5 mm kynurenic acid to prevent potential glutamate excitotoxicity during the recovery/ holding period (1-6 h). Just before patch-clamp recordings or live 2 photon imaging experiments, slices were transferred to a slice chamber (Warner Instruments) mounted on an upright fluorescence-equipped differential interference contrast microscope (Nikon; model FN1) or 2-photon microscope (ThorLabs Acerra). The tissue was superfused with oxygenated ACSF at 35°C via a peristaltic pump (Gilson) at a rate of 3-3.5 ml/min.

Electrophysiology. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made on ArcTRAP⁺ (tdTomato⁺) and ArcTRAP⁻ (tdTomato⁻) IGCs 1, 3, 5, or 7 d following TRAP on day 0. Thin-wall borosilicate glass electrodes with a tip resistance between 4.5 and 13.5 $\text{M}\Omega$ were filled with internal solution containing the following (in mm): 115 K-gluconate, 20 KCl, 10 HEPES, 2 EGTA, 2 MgATP, 0.3 Na₂GTP, and 10 Na phosphocreatine, pH 7.37. All recordings were amplified using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) at 20 kHz and were digitized by a DigiData 1440 analog-digital converter controlled via pClamp 10.5 software (Molecular Devices; RRID[:SCR_011323](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/SCR_011323)). Data were analyzed by Clampex 10.5 (Molecular Devices) and custom software written in MATLAB.

Patched AOB IGCs were subjected to a series of current-clamp and voltage-clamp challenges. Immediately after achieving the whole-cell configuration, the resting membrane potential (V_{rest}) of each cell was measured in current-clamp mode. To standardize measurements across cells with different V_{rest}, we injected steady-state currents to maintain the membrane potential (V_m) of each cell between -70 and -75 mV. Based on initial measurements of input resistance (R_{input}) , we empirically determined the amplitude of hyperpolarizing current that adjusted V_m by -50 mV (to \sim -125 mV). After determining this initial current injection amplitude, we generated a cell-specific 10 sweep Clampex protocol that applied increasingly depolarizing 0.5 s square current pulses, starting with the initial injection amplitude. For example, if the initial current injection was determined to be -100 pA , the 10 sweep protocol would have current injection increments of 20 pA (i.e., -100 , -80 , -60 , ... 80 pA). In voltage clamp, cells were initially held at -70 mV, and a series of 12 voltage command steps (0.5 s in duration) were applied that spanned -100 to 10 mV. For each cell, both current-clamp and voltageclamp protocols were applied up to 4 times, and all reported quantities represent the mean responses across repeated trials.

For CNO wash-on experiments, slices were taken from a subset of participants in the behavioral experiments shown in [Figure 7](#page-10-0)C–H $(N = 13$ for AAV-Gi-DREADD, $N = 3$ for AAV-mCherry). For each slice, 32 min recording was conducted while measuring baseline resting membrane potential. tdTomato signal was used to identify ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs in slices that contained either the AAV-Gi-DREADD or the AAVmCherry. In the first 2 min of the recording, ACSF was washed over the slice. In minutes 2-12, 10 μ M CNO in ACSF was washed-on. ACSF was then washed over the slice for the remainder of the recording, between minutes 12 and 32. Once exposed to CNO, slices were discarded to avoid possible carryover of CNO effects to subsequent recordings.

Injectable drug preparation. 4-OHT (Sigma; catalog #H6278) was freshly dissolved in warmed ethanol at a concentration of 20 mg/ml on each experimental day. Once dissolved, a volume of corn oil (Acros Organics; catalog #8001-30-7) equivalent to the volume of ethanol was added. The mixture was heated and spun at 1725 rpm in a Speedvac concentrator (Savant; model #SVC-100H) for 20 min. The 4-OHT/ corn oil solution was then loaded into insulin syringes (BD; catalog #329461) at a dose of 50 mg/kg for injection. Clozapine-n-oxide (CNO; Tocris; catalog #4936) was dissolved in 0.5% DMSO (Sigma; catalog #D2438; v/v in 0.9% sterile saline) at room temperature to a concentration of 3.79 mg/ml. The solution was then aliquoted and stored at 20°C until experimentation. A dose of 2.5 mg/kg was used for injection; 0.5% DMSO (v/v in 0.9% sterile saline) was used as a vehicle control injection.

Viral injections for DREADD experiments. pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM4D (Gi)-mCherry (Addgene; catalog #44362-AAV9; RRID:[Addgene_44362](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/Addgene_44362)) or pAAV-hSyn-DIO-mCherry (Addgene; catalog #50459-AAV9; RRID: [Addgene_50459](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/Addgene_50459)) was used for bilateral intracranial injections into the AOB of resident ArcTRAP mice [\(Krashes et al., 2011](#page-12-18)). Mice were head-fixed on a custom stereotaxic device that allows for a 30° tilt along the horizontal plane, elevating the rostral brain. A Nanoject II (Drummond Instruments) was used to deliver a volume of 92-110 nl at coordinates AP 3.80 mm, ML \pm 1.00 mm, and DV -2.00 mm relative to bregma. After 1 week of recovery, mice were TRAPed. The mice were then given another week to allow for expression of the Cre-dependent Gi-DREADD. Subsequently, the mice were exposed to repeated resident intruder interactions following intraperitoneal

injections with either DMSO (control; 0.5% DMSO v/v in 0.9% sterile saline) or CNO (2.5 mg/kg in 0.5% DMSO).

Tissue imaging. Fixed tissue imaging was conducted at the URMC Histology, Biochemistry, and Molecular Imaging core facility using an Olympus VS120 Virtual Slide Microscope and Visiopharm Image Analysis System. Resident mice were TRAPed on day 0 where they received either 4-OHT and the resident–intruder assay, 4-OHT alone, or the resident–intruder assay alone. On day 3, mice were euthanized with ketamine (300 mg/kg)/xylazine (30 mg/kg) and intracardially perfused with 0.9% sodium chloride, followed by 4% PFA. Brains were dissected and postfixed in 4% PFA for 24-48 h; 30% sucrose was used to cryoprotect the brains overnight before embedding in OCT compound (Fisher) for cryosectioning on a Shandon Cryotome FSE (Thermo Electron). Sections were cut at $25 \mu m$ thickness and free-floated in PBS before mounting. Sections were mounted using Vectashield Hardset antifade mounting medium (Vector Laboratories); $20 \times Z$ -stack (15 slices, 1.34 μ m spacing) images were captured in the TRITC channel (580 nm emission wavelength).

Live tissue 2-photon imaging was conducted on ArcTRAP-d4EGFP mice. Images were captured on a Thorlabs Acerra upright 2-photon microscope system equipped with an XLUMPlanFLN $20 \times$ objective (Olympus) and a fast-scanning resonant galvanometer along one of the two principal axes. ArcTRAP-d4EGFP residents were TRAPed on day 0. On days 1 and 2, they were re-exposed to the intruder seen on day 0. On day 3, residents were either re-exposed to the same intruder seen previously or exposed to a novel female. Three hours after the day 3 resident–intruder interaction, brains were acutely dissected and live slices were prepared (as described above); 200-µm-thick image stacks were taken from the AOB with $\sim 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 2 \mu$ m sampling, with excitation at 890 nm and emission filters for eGFP (525 \pm 50 nm) and tdTomato (607 \pm 70 nm). Each image was generated by averaging 16 sequentially acquired frames.

Experimental design and statistical analysis. For fixed tissue image analysis, images were first opened in QuPath ([Bankhead et al., 2017](#page-11-10)) and converted to .tiff format for processing in FIJI/ImageJ [\(Schindelin et al.,](#page-12-19) [2012](#page-12-19)). Maximum intensity projections were used, and brightness/contrast was adjusted equally for all images. A threshold was set to include $tdTomato⁺$ cell bodies, and the watershed algorithm was applied to separate cell bodies in close proximity. An ROI was drawn around the ICL of the AOB. Particles were included based on size and circularity, and analyzed by the built-in "analyze particles" ImageJ function. Cell counts were normalized to the ROI area. Results were analyzed via repeated-measures one-way ANOVA using Geisser–Greenhouse correction. Comparisons between individual treatments were made using Holm–Sídák multiple comparisons tests.

For live 2-photon image analysis, images were stitched in 3 dimensions using ImageJ ([Schindelin et al., 2012](#page-12-19)). From the stitched 3D images, we selected 20 continuous frames $(40 \,\mu m)$ in depth containing the ICL for analysis. Background subtraction and intensity normalization were applied to each image stack. An ROI was drawn around the ICL, and colocalization analysis was performed on 3D volumes using the Colocalization Threshold plugin for ImageJ. Thresholded Manders coefficients were calculated for both tdTomato and eGFP channels and reported [\(Manders et al., 1993](#page-12-20)). Each AOB was roughly bisected along its medial-lateral axis, and AOBs from both hemispheres were imaged. This resulted in 4 imaged sections from each animal. Mixed-effects ANOVA analysis was performed using Geisser–Greenhouse's correction (no assumption of sphericity).

Multidimensional analysis was performed across all IGCs recorded in both the single exposure (SE) and RE conditions (see [Fig. 5](#page-8-0)). Clustering was performed for 137 cells across 23 features using the Density Based Merging approach ([Walther et al., 2009](#page-12-21)) embedded within the uniform manifold approximation [\(McInnes](#page-12-22) [et al., 2018\)](#page-12-22) package for MATLAB. We set the DBM "cluster detail" option to "very low" (i.e., setting a very high threshold for splitting clusters into subclusters, resulting in a small/conservative number of clusters). Inspecting members of each cluster (see, i.e., [Fig. 5](#page-8-0)B) allowed us to identify Cluster 1 as containing IGCs with a lowexcitability phenotype, and Cluster 3 as containing IGCs with a

high-excitability phenotype. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was used to identify an Eigenvector that maximally separates Cluster 1 and Cluster 3 populations (see [Fig. 5](#page-8-0)C). By projecting all cells (including those in Cluster 2) along this Eigenvector, we were able to quantify the proximity of cells to the high-excitability (Cluster 3) or low-excitability (Cluster 1) phenotype.

For analysis of CNO effects on IGC resting potential (see [Fig.](#page-10-0) [7](#page-10-0)C), average membrane potential during the 0-2, 10-12, and 30- 32 min windows of gap-free recordings was calculated and compared. The DREADD+CNO condition comprised 17 recordings from 13 animals, and the mCherry+CNO condition comprised 6 recordings from 3 animals. Mixed-effects ANOVA tests were performed across the measurement windows with Sídák correction for multiple comparisons.

Aggressive behaviors were quantified by manual video review with the assistance of a custom MATLAB interface that blinds the scorer to the experimental conditions and DeepLabCut, a computer software package used for animal pose estimation based on transfer learning with deep neural networks [\(Mathis and Mathis, 2020\)](#page-12-23). DeepLabCut was trained with 1184 video frames that were manually annotated, and 12 body labels were applied to the resident and intruder. From the manual annotation, we identified 20 resident behaviors that could be analyzed for state duration (occupancy). Overall, 259 behavioral features/metrics were calculated based on the tracking data generated from DeepLabCut, including the following: distance, velocity, body orientation, and position. A random forest classifier was applied to classify the 20 behaviors of interest. After training, the performance of the random forest classifier was evaluated by fivefold cross-validation, and the accuracy of the final classifier was 0.943. For manual video analysis, the number of residentinitiated aggressive interactions were counted. These aggressive interactions included attack behavior (lunging at the intruder with or without biting) and fighting behavior (active physical altercation between the resident and intruder, often involving tumbling). Sequential behaviors were counted separately if a physical separation occurred between the resident and intruder, or if there was at least a 2 s pause in the interaction.

Results

Arc expression is upregulated in AOB IGCs after the resident–intruder paradigm

To study Arc-expressing IGCs over week-long time periods, we first wanted to validate the use of the ArcTRAP transgenic mouse line. This transgenic mouse contains a tamoxifen-inducible Cre (CreERT2) directed by the Arc promoter. When Arc expression occurs, it causes expression of tamoxifen-inducible Cre. If tamoxifen is present, Cre will excise the stop codon on the Ai9 transgene, permanently labeling that neuron with tdTomato. We tested whether tdTomato expression was elevated in mice after inducing CreERT2 with 4-OHT and subjecting male mice to the resident–intruder assay, which has been used to model male–male territorial aggression. Resident male ArcTRAP mice were solohoused for 1 week before behavioral testing. On day 0, resident mice received an injection of 4-OHT and were left in their home cage to habituate for 20 min. Following the 20 min habituation period, a male BALB/cJ intruder mouse was introduced to the resident's home cage for 10 min [\(Fig. 1](#page-4-0)A). This combination of solo-housing and 4 -OHT injection+behavior on day 0 will be referred to as TRAP throughout the text. Residents that received both the 4-OHT injection and the resident–intruder assay showed a significant increase in tdTomato expression in the AOB ICL 7–14 d after the injection, compared with residents that received either the 4-OHT injection in their home cages or resident–intruder assay without 4-OHT injections [\(Fig. 1](#page-4-0)B–E). The small number of labeled IGCs in the absence of 4-OHT injections (CreERT2 "leak") is a concern in some

brain regions of ArcTRAP mice [\(Guenthner et al., 2013](#page-11-8)) but is minimal in the AOB.

We observed a small number of $tdTomato^+$ neurons in the AOB ECL, where excitatory mitral cells and inhibitory external granule cells (EGCs) reside. The labeled neurons were almost certainly EGCs, as they (1) have a small $(<10 \mu m)$ spherical soma, (2) highly branched, radially symmetric, spine-dense dendrites, (3) a lack of visible dendritic tufts in the glomerular layer, and (4) no visible axon [\(Larriva-Sahd, 2008](#page-12-10); [Maksimova](#page-12-24) [et al., 2019](#page-12-24); [Zhang and Meeks, 2020](#page-12-25)). The presence of a small number of $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ EGCs suggests that these cells may also undergo experience-dependent plasticity, which warrants future investigation. Because Arc is induced in AOB IGCs through the detection of accessory olfactory chemosignals in the mouse's environment ([Cansler et al., 2017](#page-11-4)), we were not surprised to see some tdTomato expression in those residents only receiving the 4-OHT injection. This indicates that the residents are able to detect their own chemosignals in their home cage, and that this detection is enough to induce some level of Arc expression in select IGCs, or that there is a baseline expression of Arc in IGCs. Overall, these results support the use of the ArcTRAP transgenic mouse line for measuring and tracking Arc-expressing IGCs across time in the context of male–male territorial aggression.

$ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs show increased intrinsic excitability for days after a single resident–intruder interaction

Previous studies indicated that Arc-expressing IGCs display changes in their intrinsic excitability 4-8 h after a single resident–intruder assay [\(Cansler et al., 2017\)](#page-11-4) or mating [\(Gao et al.,](#page-11-5) [2017\)](#page-11-5). ArcTRAP^{+/-} \times Ai9 mice ([Fig. 1\)](#page-4-0) allow for permanent labeling of Arc-expressing cells, but these animals are also hemizygous for Arc, which was not the case in Arc-d4EGFP mice studied in [Cansler et al. \(2017\)](#page-11-4), the latter being generated via bacterial artificial chromosome transgenesis [\(Grinevich et al.,](#page-11-9) [2009\)](#page-11-9). Here, we sought to determine whether Arc -hemizygous AOB IGCs also increase their excitability following resident–intruder interactions, and, if so, to determine the length of intrinsic excitability changes. We hypothesized that, if Arc-expressing IGCs were playing a role in regulating behaviorally relevant incoming chemosensory information, we would see some persistent changes in excitability across a longer time period.

Resident mice were TRAPed on day 0 via a resident–intruder interaction with a BALB/cJ male. Following this single resident–intruder assay, acute slices were prepared for whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology on day 1, 3, 5, or 7. We recorded a series of electrophysiological challenges in current clamp (I-Clamp) and voltage clamp (V-Clamp) from tdTomato-positive ($ArcTRAP^+$) and tdTomato-negative (ArcTRAP–) IGCs. Sample I-Clamp traces from $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ IGCs on day 1, 3, 5, and 7 can be seen in [Figure 2](#page-5-0)B. We measured 23 electrophysiological features from I-Clamp and V-Clamp challenges, which we have previously used to assist in identifying differing qualities within and across AOB interneuron types [\(Maksimova et al., 2019](#page-12-24)). Previous results obtained during a 4-8 h time window following social behavior indicated that maximal spiking frequency increased in Arc-expressing IGCs [\(Cansler et al., 2017\)](#page-11-4). We therefore investigated whether $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ IGCs also demonstrated differences in spiking frequency ([Fig. 2](#page-5-0)C). We found that $ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs$ had higher maximum spiking frequencies than the ArcTRAP– population (mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of fluorescence, $F_{(1,66)} = 5.85$, $p = 0.018$, [Fig. 2](#page-5-0)C). We investigated

Figure 1. TRAPing during resident-intruder interactions permanently labels AOB IGCs with tdTomato. A, The TRAPing process (paired 4-OHT injection and social interaction). Day 0 is TRAP Day. $B-D$, Sample AOB images of tdTomato expression in ArcTRAP mice in 4-OHT + Intruder (B) , 4-OHT alone (C) , and Intruder alone (D) conditions. Animals were perfused 7-14 d after the TRAPing process. In all three images, the ICL is outlined by the dashed region to the left, and the external cellular layer and glomerular layer are outlined by the dashed region to the right. tdTomato⁺ cells in the ECL are EGCs, another AOB interneuron type. Scale bar, 100 µm. E, Quantification of tdTomato-positive cells in the ICL. Cell counts are normalized to the ICL area. Error bars indicate mean \pm SD. Repeated-measures one-way ANOVA, $F_{(1,002,2003)} = 98.73$, $p = 0.0099$. Holm–Šídák correction for multiple comparisons. * $p = 0.04$. ** $p = 0.0196$. *** $p = 0.0063$. $n = 9-12$ AOB sections from 3 or 4 mice per treatment.

other electrophysiological features across fluorescence type and test day [\(Fig. 2](#page-5-0)C–E). We observed a trend toward a time-dependent difference in spontaneous EPSC amplitudes, but no significant effect between $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ groups (mixed-effects ANOVA, EPSC fixed effect of test day $F_{(3,66)}$ = 2.64, $p = 0.057$; fixed effect of fluorescence $F_{(1,66)} = 0.864$, $p = 0.356$; [Fig. 2](#page-5-0)D). We did not see a difference in the hyperpolarization-activated (I_H) sag potentials, a notable feature of all IGCs ([Fig. 2](#page-5-0)E) ([Hu et al., 2016](#page-11-11)). These data indicated that differences in $ArcrRAP$ ⁺ IGCs persisted across a long, behaviorally relevant time scale.

A similar population of IGCs re-express Arc on RE to the same intruder mouse

Given their days-long increases in excitability, we hypothesized that Arc-expressing AOB IGCs may contribute to experience-dependent chemosensory learning. For example, Arc-expressing IGCs may inhibit the population of AOB mitral cells activated during a salient social behavior, like aggression, and continue shaping mitral cell activation through repeated interactions. If Arc-expressing IGCs were indeed contributing to social behavior plasticity, we hypothesized that similar populations of IGCs would become activated during repeated behavior interactions with the same mouse. To test this hypothesis, we used tripletransgenic ArcTRAP-d4EGFP mice. In addition to the tamoxifen-inducible Cre and tdTomato reporter (ArcTRAP), these mice harbor a bacterial artificial chromosome that contains a transiently active EGFP induced by the Arc promoter (Arcd4EGFP) ([Grinevich et al., 2009](#page-11-9)). These triple-transgenic mice allow us to permanently label cells expressing Arc on day 0 with tdTomato, and then transiently label Arc-expressing cells on subsequent behavioral testing days with d4EGFP ([Fig. 3](#page-6-0)A). Male resident ArcTRAP-d4EGFP mice were TRAPed on day 0 with a male BALB/cJ intruder. On days 1 and 2, the resident was reexposed to the same BALB/cJ intruder in the resident–intruder assay. On day 3, resident mice were either exposed to the same BALB/cJ intruder seen on the previous days or introduced to a female BALB/cJ. Three hours after the final resident–intruder assay on day 3, acute slices were prepared for 2-photon imaging. Colocalization analysis was performed to determine how much overlap existed between the tdTomato and d4EGFP signals in male–male and male–female exposed mice [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)B,C). Residents that were exposed to the same male mouse on all 4 d of the testing had increased colocalization of tdTomato and d4EGFP ([Fig. 3](#page-6-0)D,E) compared with residents that were exposed to a male on the first 3 d and a female on the last day. These data show that IGCs re-express Arc after multiple social experiences with the same mouse, and that the patterns of ArcTRAP IGC labeling after by male and female interactions are distinct.

$ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs$ sustain increased intrinsic excitability across daily resident–intruder interactions

Having shown that $ArcTRAP⁺ IGC$ excitability is elevated for days following a single resident–intruder interaction, and that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs are reactivated by repeated interactions with the same animal, we proceeded to investigate the physiological responses of ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs during repeated resident-intruder interactions. If ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs show increased excitability during repeated social encounters, this would further suggest that these cells are capable of supporting AOS-mediated social behavior plasticity. To test this, we conducted similar electrophysiology experiments to those described in [Figure 2.](#page-5-0) However, in this cohort, we re-exposed resident mice to the same intruder every day leading up to the day of electrophysiology recording, including the day of recording [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)A). On day 3, 5, or 7, acute slices were prepared for whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology. The same I-Clamp and V-Clamp challenges were applied to $ArctRAP^+$ and $ArctRAP^-$ IGCs [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)B). We found that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs had a significantly increased

Figure 2. ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs show increased intrinsic excitability for 1-3 d following a single resident—intruder interaction. **A**, Depiction of resident—intruder interaction followed by electrophys-
iology recordings on da Arc⁺ and Arc⁻ IGCs across days. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. *Mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of fluorescence, $F_{(1,63)} = 5.9266$, $p = 0.018$. D, Spontaneous EPSC amplitude of ArcTRAP⁺ and ArcTRAP⁻ IGCs across days. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. *Mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of test day, $F_{(3,63)} = 2.8039$, $p = 0.047$. E, Mean I_H sag potential of ArcTRAP⁺ and ArcTRAP⁻ IGCs across days. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. Mixed-effects ANOVA, not significant. C-E, $n = 33$ ArcTRAP⁺ cells, 38 ArcTRAP⁻ cells, 16 animals.

maximum spiking frequency compared with ArcTRAP– IGCs ([Fig. 4](#page-7-0)C). We did not see a significant difference in spontaneous EPSC amplitudes when the mice underwent repeated resident–intruder exposures ([Fig. 4](#page-7-0)D). Significant increases in I_H currents were found with RE in the $ArcTRAP^+$ population ([Fig. 4](#page-7-0)E), which were not seen with SE. These results indicate that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs maintain increased intrinsic excitability features across multiple behavior exposures, suggesting a role in AOS-mediated behavioral plasticity.

The changes in $ArctRAP⁺$ IGC physiology we saw in both single and repeated resident–intruder interactions were largely consistent with those observed in d4EGFP-expressing cells 4-8 h after resident–intruder interactions [\(Cansler et al., 2017\)](#page-11-4). Previous work indicated that IGCs are electrophysiologically heterogeneous [\(Cansler et al., 2017](#page-11-4); [Gao et al., 2017](#page-11-5); [Maksimova et al., 2019\)](#page-12-24) but that they can be readily distinguished from other AOB IGCs based on multidimensional analysis of electrophysiological parameters [\(Maksimova et al., 2019\)](#page-12-24). In order to more systematically investigate the impacts of these behavioral conditions on $ArcTRAP^+$ and ArcTRAP– IGCs, we analyzed 137 IGCs recorded in both SE and repeated exposure (RE) conditions [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-8-0). Cluster analysis identified both a "high-excitability" and a "low-excitability" IGC

population across all recordings ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)B). The low-excitability cluster (Cluster 1) was enriched for ArcTRAP– IGCs across days and experiments (17 of 22 cells, $p < 0.01$, binomial test), whereas the high-excitability cluster (Cluster 3) was enriched for ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs (16 of 21 cells, $p < 0.01$, binomial test). The majority of IGCs were not included in either of these clusters (94 of 137, $p = 0.54$, binomial test), suggesting that excitability changes are not all-or-none but are instead multidimensional. In order to determine whether $ArcTRAP^+$ cells are systematically shifted toward the high-excitability state, we used LDA to generate a multidimensional vector (Eigenvector) that best distinguished high-excitability and low-excitability clusters ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)C). Projecting each recording along this primary LDA Eigenvector allowed us to quantify the relative closeness of each IGC to the high-excitability or low-excitability state ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)D,E). This comparison revealed strong separation of $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ IGCs in both SE and RE conditions ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)D). To facilitate statistical comparisons, we ranked all cells on an axis from 0 to 1, with 0 being the low-excitability extreme and 1 being high-excitability extreme [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)E,F). We then compared the ranks of all $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ cells in both SE and RE conditions, finding in both cases that $ArcTRAP^+$ cells were shifted

toward the high-excitability end compared with ArcTRAP– cells ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)E). For the sake of visualization, the vertical axes in [Figure 5](#page-8-0)E, F are normalized by the total number of cells applicable in the comparison ("Fraction within group"). Furthermore, this comparison confirmed that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGC excitability increases were present at 1 and 3 d after TRAPing in SE experiments, and that these increases were present at 3 and 5 d after TRAPing in RE experiments ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)E). In day 7 RE experiments, we observed no difference in $ArcrRAP$ ⁺ and $ArcrRAP$ ⁻ excitability $(p = 0.71,$ Kruskal–Wallis test). This appeared to be because of an increase in ArcTRAP– excitability, rather than a decrease in ArcTRAP⁺ excitability ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)C). Given that each resident–intruder interaction activates some IGCs that were not activated on "TRAP Day" ([Fig. 3](#page-6-0)), this lack of separation on day 7 may reflect the inclusion of cells that began expressing Arc on days 1-7 (after TRAP), and therefore did not express tdTomato at the time of recording. Overall, these results confirm and extend the observations that Arc-expressing AOB IGCs shift toward high-excitability states for days following resident–intruder interactions, in both SE and RE conditions.

Aggressive behavior in male mice increases across repeated resident– intruder interactions

When conducting the repeated exposures to the resident–intruder assay, we noted changes in the resident's aggression levels over time. Given that intermale aggression is AOS-mediated ([Stowers et al., 2002](#page-12-8)), we investigated whether this aggression is supported by experience-dependent plasticity in the AOB. Other studies have indicated that male resident mice tend to become more aggressive when undergoing short-duration, repeated resident– intruder interactions [\(Sunkin et al., 2013](#page-12-26)). Because of this, we wanted to identify whether male ArcTRAP resident mice increase their aggressive behavior across repeated, 10 min resident–intruder inter-

actions once per day for 8 d. Video was recorded during the repeated resident–intruder interactions for electrophysiology. We manually quantified the behavior by counting the number of resident-initiated aggressive interactions, as well as the latency to the first aggressive interaction [\(Fig. 6](#page-9-0)B,C). We found that resident mice significantly increased the number of aggressive interactions toward the end of the 8 d behavior period (days 5-7) ([Fig. 6](#page-9-0)B). In line with an increase in aggression, we also saw a decrease in the latency to the first attack, with a significant decrease in latency between day 3 and day 5 of testing ([Fig. 6](#page-9-0)C). These results indicate that experimental

Figure 3. RE to the same intruder induces Arc expression in a similar population of IGCs across multiple days. A, Depiction of repeated resident–intruder behavioral paradigm involving triple transgenic (ArcTRAP \times Ai9 \times Arc-d4eGFP) mice. 4-OHT was injected 20 min before resident–intruder exposure on day 0. On day 3, resident mice were either exposed to the same male intruder seen on days 0-2 or to a novel female intruder. B, Left, AOB image from a resident exposed to the same male intruder on all 4d. Scale bar, 100 µm. Right, ICL inset from male-male exposure. Scale bar, 25 µm. Magenta represents tdTomato expression driven by ArcTRAP procedure on day 0. Green represents eGFP induced by Arc expression on day 3. White represents colocalization between tdTomato and eGFP. Dashed line outlines the ICL. A, Anterior; P, posterior. C, Right, AOB image from a resident exposed to the same male intruder for 3 d, and a novel female intruder on the fourth day. Scale bar, 100 μ m. Left, ICL inset from male–female exposure. Scale bar, 25 μ m. D , Colocalization (thresholded Manders coefficient) of tdTomato⁺ pixels (magenta) with eGFP pixels (green). *Mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of treatment, $F_{(1,4)} = 27.81$, $p = 0.0062$. Four sections per animal, 3 animals. E, Colocalization of eGFP⁺ pixels with tdTomato pixels. †Mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of treatment, $F_{(1,4)} = 6.806$, $p = 0.0595$. Four sections per animal, 3 animals. Im, Left hemisphere/medial AOB; ll, left hemisphere/lateral AOB; rm, right hemisphere/medial AOB; rl, right hemisphere/lateral AOB.

conditions that reactivate $ArctRAP⁺ AOB IGCs$ coincide with changes in an AOS-mediated social behavior.

Inhibiting $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs with DREADDs abolishes the intermale ramping aggression

We next sought to test whether $ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs$ participate in intermale aggressive ramping. We hypothesized that inhibiting the $ArcTRAP^+$ population may affect these behavioral outputs of chemosensory information processing. To test this hypothesis, we used inhibitory designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs (G_i-DREADDs). A Cre-dependent AAV containing the Gi-DREADD was injected into the AOB of male resident

Figure 4. ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs show increased intrinsic excitability after repeated resident–intruder interactions. A, Resident–intruder interactions followed by electrophysiology recordings on day 3, 5, or 7. B, Sample current-clamp traces from ArcTRAP⁺ and ArcTRAP⁻ IGCs on days 3, 5, and 7. Calibration: 0.25 s, 25 mV. C, Maximum spiking frequency of ArcTRAP⁺ and ArcTRAP⁻ IGCs across days. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. *Mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of fluorescence, $F_{(1,61)} = 6.4302$, $p = 0.014$. D, Spontaneous EPSC amplitude of ArcTRAP⁺ and ArcTRAP⁻ IGCs across days. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. Mixed-effects ANOVA, not significant. E, Mean I_H sag potential of ArcTRAP⁺ and ArcTRAP⁻ IGCs across days. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. *Mixed-effects ANOVA, fixed effect of fluorescence, $F_{(1,61)} = 5.267$, $p = 0.025$; interaction between test day and fluorescence, $F_{(2,61)} = 3.2199$, $p = 0.047$. C-E, $n = 31$ ArcTRAP⁺ cells, $n = 36$ ArcTRAP⁻ cells, 17 mice.

ArcTRAP mice. Control mice received a Cre-dependent AAVmCherry. After 1 week of recovery from surgery, while also being solo-housed, the mice were TRAPed on day 0, activating CreERT2 and inducing expression of the G_i-DREADD (or mCherry-only control). Following this initial resident–intruder interaction, residents were solo-housed for an additional week to allow the G_i-DREADD receptor to be strongly expressed. Residents then underwent repeated resident–intruder interactions once per day for 7 d and received either a CNO or DMSO (vehicle) injection 20 min before behavior [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)A). Viral targeting resulted in high efficiency labeling of ArcTRAP⁺ cells (thresholded Manders coefficient for tdTomato pixels colocalized with mCherry pixels 0.853 ± 0.061 , for mCherry pixels colocalized with tdTomato 0.8697 ± 0.059 , $n = 8$ animals; [Fig. 7](#page-10-0)B). To ensure that the G_i -DREADD was having the anticipated hyperpolarizing effect on IGCs, we conducted a drug wash-on electrophysiology experiment in AOB slices [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)C). Resting membrane potential was recorded for a 32 min duration. Compared with IGCs expressing only mCherry, the G_i-DREADD-expressing IGCs showed a significant hyperpolarization induced by the CNO wash-on, which persisted through the postdrug period ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)C), confirming that the G_i -DREADDs were having the anticipated hyperpolarizing effect in IGCs.

After verifying that the G_i -DREADD was actively inducing hyperpolarization in $ArctRAP$ ⁺ IGCs during a CNO application, we assessed the effect of hyperpolarizing $ArcTRAP^+$ IGCs on behavioral outcomes. We manually measured the number of resident-initiated aggressive interactions and latency to first attack, finding that mice receiving the AAVmCherry and CNO injection displayed the same aggressive ramping behavior [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)D) as seen in unmanipulated residents ([Fig. 6](#page-9-0)B). They also showed a similar decrease in latency to attack [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)E). Consistent with our hypothesis that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs participate in ramping intermale aggression, we found that mice receiving the G_i -DREADD and CNO injection lacked the ramping aggression seen in the mCherry control mice ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)D). They also displayed an increased latency to first attack ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)E). Mice receiving the Gi-DREADD and DMSO control injection displayed moderately increased aggression, and increased latency to first attack; however, they were not at the same levels of the mCherry control ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)D,E). This may be explained through physiological changes induced solely by the expression of a G_i -DREADD, in the absence of the activating drug, which has been shown in other studies ([Saloman et al., 2016\)](#page-12-27).

Figure 5. Multidimensional analysis of IGC electrophysiological properties. A, Heatmap of 23 electrophysiological properties recorded for 137 IGCs included in SE and RE experiments [\(Fig. 2](#page-5-0) and [Fig. 4](#page-7-0), respectively). Measurements were normalized by the maximum value observed and colorized based on the sign of the measurement for display purposes. B, Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) of all cells in A, colorized by their identity. Despite high levels of heterogeneity, cluster analysis identified IGCs with low excitability (C1), high excitability (C3), and intermediate excitability (C2). Insets, Cells identified by arrowheads. Calibration: 50 mV, 1 s. C, Heat map display of (C1-C3) LDA Eigenvector weights, used for projections in D-F. D, Clustered heat map with cells displayed based on their projection along an Eigenvector that best separates cells in Cluster 1 (low excitability) from cells in Cluster 3 (high excitability). Purple ovals represent day of measurement (lightest, day 1; darkest, day 7), and TRAP condition is indicated using the same color codes as in B. E, Cumulative distributions of TRAP⁺ (red) and TRAP⁻ (black) IGCs along the Eigenvector indicated in C for SE (top) and RE (bottom) experiments. F, Relative ranks of TRAP⁺ and TRAP⁻ IGCs along LDA Eigenvector, broken down by experimental day. $*p < 0.05$; $\dagger p < 0.1$; Kruskal–Wallis test.

Together, these data suggest that proper regulation of signaling in inhibitory IGCs is necessary for sex-typical intermale ramping aggression in the resident–intruder paradigm.

To determine whether these manipulations altered other aspects of social behavior, on a subset of experiments (for which we had top-down video recordings), we used the machine learning-based DeepLabCut software ([Mathis et al., 2018](#page-12-28)) and random forest-based behavioral segmentation. This analysis showed that control mCherry/CNO mice had increased aggression on days 3 and 7 compared with DREADD/CNO mice [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)F). We did not see a difference in other relevant behaviors, such as exploration (time spent exploring the cage, sniffing bedding, etc.), approach (resident approaching intruder), or sniffing behavior (resident sniffing intruder) [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)F–I). We did observe a trend in the data suggesting that the DREADD/CNO group demonstrated higher nonaggressive sniffing behaviors than controls [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)I). Combined, these data show that, although expression and activation of G_i -DREADDs strongly decrease the levels of aggression in resident mice, other nonaggressive behaviors are largely unchanged. This supports our hypothesis that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs play a role in specifically regulating AOS-mediated aggressive behavior in the context of the resident–intruder paradigm.

Discussion

Transgenic silencing and physical ablation of the vomeronasal organ established that the AOS is essential for several aspects of rodent social behavior ([Stowers et al., 2002;](#page-12-8) [Keller et al.,](#page-12-29) [2006\)](#page-12-29). The AOB itself has also been targeted for selective manipulation in the context of social behavior [\(Brennan et al.,](#page-11-12) [1990;](#page-11-12) [Otsuka et al., 2001;](#page-12-30) [Kunkhyen et al., 2017\)](#page-12-31). Despite the

increased availability of cell type-specific transgenic tools for neuroscience, there are few tools that are selective enough to help delineate the functions of the several classes of neurons in the AOB [\(Cansler et al., 2017](#page-11-4); [Gao et al., 2017;](#page-11-5) [Maksimova](#page-12-24) [et al., 2019;](#page-12-24) [Zhang and Meeks, 2020](#page-12-25)). The availability of transgenic tools for labeling and manipulating Arc-expressing neurons is especially helpful for studying AOB circuit function, as IGCs are the predominant cell type expressing this immediateearly gene in the AOB [\(Matsuoka et al., 2002;](#page-12-32) [Cansler et al.,](#page-11-4) [2017](#page-11-4); [Gao et al., 2017\)](#page-11-5). Building on previous work that showed Arc-expressing IGCs demonstrate experience-dependent plasticity, we sought to understand whether this plasticity participated in longer-term AOS function, and whether the activity of these cells ultimately affects AOB signaling and the subsequent behavioral output.

ArcTRAP mice ([Guenthner et al., 2013\)](#page-11-8) provided an opportunity to investigate these questions. However, these and other tools that enable transient immediate-early gene-based labeling have limitations, requiring validation in a circuit and cell typespecific manner [\(Reijmers and Mayford, 2009](#page-12-33); [Guenthner et al.,](#page-11-8) [2013;](#page-11-8) [Allen et al., 2017](#page-11-13)). We investigated the utility of the ArcTRAP tool for studying AOB IGCs in the context of intermale territorial aggression, a context that has been shown previously to induce robust Arc expression in AOB IGCs ([Cansler et](#page-11-4) [al., 2017\)](#page-11-4). This behavioral context is certainly not the only condition in which IGCs express Arc [\(Matsuoka et al., 2002](#page-12-32); [Gao et al.,](#page-11-5) [2017\)](#page-11-5), but it is a well-established AOS-mediated behavior, making it an attractive platform in which to perform initial testing and validation. TRAPing in this context was robust and cell type-specific ([Fig. 1\)](#page-4-0). Although TRAPing was strongest in social chemosensory conditions, we also observed increased tdTomato labeling in mice only receiving 4-OHT in their home cage [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-4-0).

Resident solo-housed 1 week \rightarrow 0²¹ 0²¹ 0²¹ 0²¹ 0²¹ 0²¹ 0²¹ Day 6

Figure 6. Ramping aggression in ArcTRAP males during repeated resident-intruder interactions. A, Depiction of resident–intruder schedule across 8 d. B, Average number of resident-initiated aggressive interactions across repeated resident–intruder interactions. *Repeated-measures ANOVA, $F_{(7,35)} = 5.041$, $p = 0.0005$, $n = 6$. C, Average latency to first attack across repeated resident-intruder interactions. *Repeated-measures ANOVA, $F_{(7,35)} = 3.579$, $p = 0.0052$. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM.

There are several possible explanations for this observation. For example, in other brain regions, Arc expression is induced by environmental novelty ([Cleland et al., 2017\)](#page-11-14). Alternatively, it could be that sampling self chemosignals is sufficient to induce Arc-CreERT2 expression and recombination. It may also be that some AOB IGCs have spontaneous activity levels capable of driving sufficient Arc expression for ArcTRAPing. Regardless, these initial studies confirmed that ArcTRAP-based approaches selectively label AOB IGCs, and that the labeling reflects the chemosensory conditions present in the time window following 4-OHT injection as expected.

Two recent studies showed that Arc-expressing IGCs have increased excitability compared with other IGCs, and a major component of this effect was a change in the ability for Arc-expressing IGCs to maintain high spiking frequencies in the presence of strong depolarization ([Cansler et al., 2017;](#page-11-4) [Gao et al., 2017\)](#page-11-5). We found that $ArcrRAP$ ⁺ IGCs maintain similar increases in excitability for multiple days following a single resident–intruder interaction ([Fig. 2\)](#page-5-0). As in previous studies, the increased intrinsic excitability of $ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs$ was not accompanied by alterations in spontaneous EPSCs [\(Fig.](#page-5-0) [2](#page-5-0)D). The lack of obvious synaptic plasticity in these conditions does not preclude a subtle change in synaptic properties, but these experiments were not designed to tease apart such nuanced differences. Future studies would be needed to identify, for example, whether $ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs$ are primed for future plasticity ("metaplasticity"), as has been seen in other Arc-expressing cells [\(Jakkamsetti et al., 2013](#page-11-7)). The observation that $ArcTRAP^+$

IGCs maintain elevated excitability for several days after a social chemosensory encounter indicated that these cells are modified over time courses compatible with storing a chemosensory memory, a topic of much interest over the past several decades (for review, see [Brennan, 2009](#page-11-15)). To determine whether Arc-expressing IGCs might play roles in chemosensory memory and/ or social behavior plasticity, we proceeded to investigate Arc expression patterns after multiple social encounters.

In the hippocampus, well known for its role in spatial and episodic memory, RE to a familiar environment elicits Arc expression in a similar population of cells ([Chawla et al., 2005\)](#page-11-16). In contrast, exposure to a novel environment induces Arc expression in a mostly nonoverlapping neuronal population ([Chawla](#page-11-16) [et al., 2005](#page-11-16)). If Arc-expressing AOB IGC ensembles possess similar qualities in social chemosensory contexts, this could suggest the presence of an inhibitory "memory trace" at the first stage of sensory processing. Re-exposing a resident male to a familiar male intruder for 3 d after TRAPing induced highly overlapping Arc expression among $ArcTRAP^+$ IGCs [\(Fig.](#page-6-0) [3\)](#page-6-0). However, when a novel female mouse was introduced on the fourth day, Arc was expressed in a mostly nonoverlapping population of IGCs ([Fig. 3\)](#page-6-0). These data indicated that a similar ensemble of IGCs are reactivated, re-express Arc across multiple social interactions, and that the population of IGCs that express Arc reflect the social chemosensory environment. This also indicates that cells expressing Arc do not chronically express Arc, but that they transiently express Arc as a result of the specific intruder-induced AOB excitation.

It is not clear whether the re-expression of Arc across multiple days would sustain existing elevations of intrinsic excitability, or whether this

would produce additional physiological effects. We therefore tested whether Arc re-expression produced new alterations to IGC physiology. If physiological changes in $ArcTRAP^+$ IGCs scaled with each experience, we would expect to see an enhancement of physiological features that were affected after additional chemosensory encounters. We did find that increased IGC excitability persisted in $ArctRAP⁺$ and $ArctRAP⁻$ IGCs following multiple resident–intruder encounters. However, this increased excitability in RE experiments did not scale with repeated social encounters [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)). In both the SE and RE paradigms, we found that the excitability of $ArctRAP⁺$ and $ArctRAP⁻$ groups was statistically indistinguishable on day 7 [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)F). However, in the SE paradigm, $ArcTRAP^+$ cells reduced their excitability to control levels by day 7 [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)F), suggesting that, in the absence of reactivation, the excitability effect waned. In contrast, in the RE paradigm, we found that the similarities between $ArcTRAP^+$ and ArcTRAP– maximum spiking frequency on day 7 were driven by an increase in excitability in the ArcTRAP– group [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)F). We hypothesize that this may have been because of ArcTRAP– IGCs (cells that were not TRAPed on day 0) being stimulated to express Arc between days 1 and 7. These cells would not express the tdTomato marker (because Arc expression occurred outside the 4-OHT time window), but would have expressed Arc in subsequent days, and therefore increased their excitability. This hypothesis is consistent with observations made during repeated exposure experiments involving ArcTRAP-d4eGFP mice [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)). In these experiments, we saw

Figure 7. Inhibiting ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs with a Gi-DREADD abolishes ramping aggression in male mice. (A) Depiction of the resident-intruder interaction schedule with drug injections. Mice were solo-housed for 1 week before day 0, and for an additional week after day 0. Subsequently, they received daily CNO or DMSO (control) injections before the resident-intruder paradigm for the duration of the experiment. (B) AAV-GiDREADD-mCherry colocalization with ArcTRAP⁺ (tdTomato⁺) IGCs. Scale bars: 100 µm (left), 25 µm (right). (C) Whole-cell patch-clamp membrane potential recordings from IGCs in AOB slices during CNO wash-on. Baseline resting potential was measured from minutes 0-2. A 10 min CNO wash-on period occurred between minutes 2-12. A 20 min wash-off period occurred between minutes 12-32 (though no wash-off effect was seen). Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM. Mixed-effects analysis. Fixed effect of treatment $F_{(1,21)} = 9.76$, $p = 0.0051$. Interaction of treatment \times time $F_{(2,42)} = 10.12$, $p = 0.003$. * $p < 0.01$ Sídák multiple comparisons test. $n = 6-17$ cells. (D) Average number of aggressive interactions per treatment group across 8 d. *Mixed-effects analysis. Fixed effect of treatment $F_{(2,32)} = 5.607$, $p = 0.0082$. Main effect of time $F_{(3.622,115,4)} = 5.147$, $p = 0.0011$. Interaction of treatment and time $F_{(14,223)} = 4.481$, $p < 0.001$. $n = 9-13$ mice. (E) Average latency to attack per treatment group across 8 d. *Mixed-effects analysis. Interaction of treatment and time $F_{(14,217)} = 1.959$, $p = 0.0221$. $n = 9-13$ mice. (F-I) DeepLabCut-supported Random Forest predictions for listed behaviors. *Mixed-effects analysis. Interaction of treatment and time $F_{(2,14)} = 3.842$, $p = 0.0467$. Subset of animals used in panel C, D. $n = 4$ -6 mice. Error bars indicate mean \pm SEM.

that a majority of $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs re-expressed Arc , but some $ArcTRAP^+$ IGCs did not re-express Arc. Other IGCs expressed Arc on the last day, but not the first [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)). Future electrophysiology studies will be needed to determine whether more nuanced changes in IGC physiology, morphology, or gene expression occur as IGCs repeatedly express Arc across multiple social encounters.

IGCs are a heterogeneous population electrophysiologically, morphologically, and genetically ([Larriva-Sahd, 2008;](#page-12-10) [Cansler et](#page-11-4) [al., 2017;](#page-11-4) [Maksimova et al., 2019\)](#page-12-24). This heterogeneity may have several sources, including cellular maturity; IGCs are continuously added to the AOB via adult neurogenesis in the subventricular zone and migration via the rostral migratory stream ([Bonfanti et al., 1997\)](#page-11-17). We explored the electrophysiological diversity of IGCs in the context of both SE and RE experiments to place $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ excitability changes in a broader context ([Fig. 5\)](#page-8-0). Clustering analysis identified high and low-excitability groups that were enriched in $ArcTRAP^+$ and $ArcTRAP^-$ IGCs, respectively [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)B). Using the high-excitability and low-excitability clusters as templates, we calculated a multidimensional vector that best separated these two populations. This allowed us to analyze the excitability of cells in our analysis in a way that incorporated all 23 physiological parameters, rather than just a single parameter [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)C,D). Despite their physiological heterogeneity, $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs

project closer to the high-excitability phenotype that ArcTRAP– IGCs in both SE and RE paradigms ([Fig. 5](#page-8-0)D,E). The circuit functions of lower- and higher-excitability IGCs are not yet known, and future studies will be needed to determine how Arc expression impacts cellular maturity and longevity.

In observing the interactions between males during RE electrophysiology experiments, we noted that resident mice became more aggressive over the course of 7 d of RE [\(Fig. 6](#page-9-0)). Given that $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs have increased excitability across this same time course, we hypothesized that IGC activity may be playing a role in regulating these behavioral changes. When we inhibited the AOB ArcTRAP⁺ population with G_i -DREADDs, we ablated the sex-typical aggressive ramping seen in repeated resident– intruder assays ([Fig. 7\)](#page-10-0). To our knowledge, this is the first report of an AOS-mediated behavioral effect driven by selective manipulation of an AOB interneuron subtype. The lack of ramping aggression in mice receiving Gi-DREADDs and the DREADD agonist CNO could have been because of an off-target effect, for example, a reduction in main olfactory function, or other behavioral deficits. However, a DeepLabCut-based investigation of the microstructure of these encounters showed that other socially relevant behaviors (exploring, sniffing, approaching) were not affected by the manipulation of $ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs$ [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-10-0). In animals expressing the Gi-DREADD but receiving vehicle injections, we observed an intermediate phenotype between controls and CNO-injected animals ([Fig. 7\)](#page-10-0). This may indicate that expression of Gi-DREADDs causes disruption of these cells in the absence of the selective ligand. Agonist-independent effects of G_i -DREADD expression on neurons have been reported elsewhere, and represent an important consideration for these tools [\(Saloman et al., 2016](#page-12-27)). Despite this possibility, the direction of the change is consistent with the overall conclusion of this experiment, which is that alteration of $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGC function reduces ramping aggression.

In these studies, we chose to selectively inhibit $ArcTRAP^+$ IGCs, a manipulation likely to disinhibit AOB excitatory mitral cells. In female mice, AOB disinhibition causes failure of pregnancy maintenance, similar to the effects of a stranger male or its urine [\(Kaba and Keverne, 1988\)](#page-12-34). If mitral cell hyperactivation increased the excitation by male chemosignals in the resident–intruder paradigm, one hypothesis would be that this would result in increased intermale aggressive behavior. However, the behavioral results indicate that this manipulation eliminated ramping aggression, an effect similar in nature to that of removing or silencing the vomeronasal organ itself [\(Wekesa](#page-12-35) [and Lepri, 1994](#page-12-35); [Stowers et al., 2002\)](#page-12-8). This suggests that Arcexpressing IGCs are necessary for the AOB to reliably transmit chemosensory information across repeated social encounters. Pharmacological blockade of GABAA receptors in the AOB reduces discriminability of mitral cell responses to male and female urinary chemosignals [\(Hendrickson et al., 2008\)](#page-11-18). It may be that hyperpolarizing $ArcTRAP$ ⁺ IGCs enhances responses to many MCs that would normally be minimally activated by nonmale cues, effectively "scrambling" the information produced by the AOB. Since hyperpolarizing ArcTRAP⁺ IGCs disrupted ramping aggression without entirely abolishing male– male aggression ([Fig. 7](#page-10-0)D, day 0), it may also be the case that repeated resident–intruder interactions enhance the discriminability of male chemosignals compared with other conspecific cues, and that hyperpolarizing $ArcTRAP^+$ cells circumvents this process. In future studies, it will be important to investigate these hypotheses, and to determine whether similar effects are seen with other AOS-mediated behaviors, such as mating and predator avoidance ([Takahashi, 2014;](#page-12-36) [Takahashi et al.,](#page-12-37) [2018](#page-12-37)).

In conclusion, these studies demonstrate that a population of plastic interneurons in an early chemosensory circuit display physiological features consistent with simple memory formation. These neurons, when silenced, result in disruption of natural escalation of aggressive behavior between males, a well-known chemosensory-mediated behavior. Plasticity between excitatory and inhibitory interneurons is increasingly appreciated as critical for brain function, and these studies highlight that one of these roles is to support social behavior plasticity.

References

- Allen WE, DeNardo LA, Chen MZ, Liu CD, Loh KM, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Deisseroth K, Luo L (2017) Thirst-associated preoptic neurons encode an aversive motivational drive. Science 357:1149–1155.
- Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernandez JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, McQuaid S, Gray RT, Murray LJ, Coleman HG, James JA, Salto-Tellez M, Hamilton PW (2017) QuPath: open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Sci Rep 7:16878.
- Bonfanti L, Peretto P, Merighi A, Fasolo A (1997) Newly-generated cells from the rostral migratory stream in the accessory olfactory bulb of the adult rat. Neuroscience 81:489–502.
- Bramham CR, Worley PF, Moore MJ, Guzowski JF (2008) The immediate early gene arc/arg3.1: regulation, mechanisms, and function. J Neurosci 28:11760–11767.
- Brennan PA (2001) The vomeronasal system. Cell Mol Life Sci 58:546–555.
- Brennan PA (2009) Outstanding issues surrounding vomeronasal mechanisms of pregnancy block and individual recognition in mice. Behav Brain Res 200:287–294.
- Brennan PA, Keverne EB (1997) Neural mechanisms of mammalian olfactory learning. Prog Neurobiol 51:457–481.
- Brennan P, Keverne EB (2015) Biological complexity and adaptability of simple mammalian olfactory memory systems. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 50:29–40.
- Brennan P, Kaba H, Keverne EB (1990) Olfactory recognition: a simple memory system. Science 250:1223–1226.
- Cansler HL, Maksimova MA, Meeks JP (2017) Experience-dependent plasticity in accessory olfactory bulb interneurons following male–male social interaction. J Neurosci 37:7240–7252.
- Chamero P, Marton TF, Logan DW, Flanagan K, Cruz JR, Saghatelian A, Cravatt BF, Stowers L (2007) Identification of protein pheromones that promote aggressive behaviour. Nature 450:899–902.
- Chawla MK, Guzowski JF, Ramirez-Amaya V, Lipa P, Hoffman KL, Marriott LK, Worley PF, McNaughton BL, Barnes CA (2005) Sparse, environmentally selective expression of Arc RNA in the upper blade of the rodent fascia dentata by brief spatial experience. Hippocampus 15:579–586.
- Cleland JP, Willis EF, Bartlett PF, Vukovic J (2017) Somatic Arc protein expression in hippocampal granule cells is increased in response to environmental change but independent of task-specific learning. Sci Rep 7:12477.
- Gao Y, Budlong C, Durlacher E, Davison IG (2017) Neural mechanisms of social learning in the female mouse. eLife 6:e25421.
- Grinevich V, Kolleker A, Eliava M, Takada N, Takuma H, Fukazawa Y, Shigemoto R, Kuhl D, Waters J, Seeburg PH, Osten P (2009) Fluorescent Arc/Arg3.1 indicator mice: a versatile tool to study brain activity changes in vitro and in vivo. J Neurosci Methods 184:25–36.
- Guenthner CJ, Miyamichi K, Yang HH, Heller HC, Luo L (2013) Permanent genetic access to transiently active neurons via TRAP: targeted recombination in active populations. Neuron 78:773–784.
- Hendrickson RC, Krauthamer S, Essenberg JM, Holy TE (2008) Inhibition shapes sex selectivity in the mouse accessory olfactory bulb. J Neurosci 28:12523–12534.
- Hu R, Ferguson KA, Whiteus CB, Meijer DH, Araneda RC (2016) Hyperpolarization-activated currents and subthreshold resonance in granule cells of the olfactory bulb. eNeuro 3:ENEURO.0197-16.2016.
- Jakkamsetti V, Tsai NP, Gross C, Molinaro G, Collins KA, Nicoletti F, Wang KH, Osten P, Bassell GJ, Gibson JR, Huber KM (2013) Experienceinduced Arc/Arg3.1 primes CA1 pyramidal neurons for metabotropic

glutamate receptor-dependent long-term synaptic depression. Neuron 80:72–79.

- Jia C, Chen WR, Shepherd GM (1999) Synaptic organization and neurotransmitters in the rat accessory olfactory bulb. J Neurophysiol 81:345–355.
- Kaba H, Keverne EB (1988) The effect of microinfusions of drugs into the accessory olfactory bulb on the olfactory block to pregnancy. Neuroscience 25:1007–1011.
- Keller M, Pierman S, Douhard Q, Baum MJ, Bakker J (2006) The vomeronasal organ is required for the expression of lordosis behaviour, but not sex discrimination in female mice. Eur J Neurosci 23:521–530.
- Kimoto H, Haga S, Sato K, Touhara K (2005) Sex-specific peptides from exocrine glands stimulate mouse vomeronasal sensory neurons. Nature 437:898–901.
- Korb E, Finkbeiner S (2011) Arc in synaptic plasticity: from gene to behavior. Trends Neurosci 34:591–598.
- Krashes MJ, Koda S, Ye C, Rogan SC, Adams AC, Cusher DS, Maratos-Flier E, Roth BL, Lowell BB (2011) Rapid, reversible activation of AgRP neurons drives feeding behavior in mice. J Clin Invest 121:1424–1428.
- Kunkhyen T, McCarthy EA, Korzan WJ, Doctor D, Han X, Baum MJ, Cherry JA (2017) Optogenetic activation of accessory olfactory bulb input to the forebrain differentially modulates investigation of opposite versus same-sex urinary chemosignals and stimulates mating in male mice. eNeuro 4:ENEURO.0010-17.2017.
- Larriva-Sahd J (2008) The accessory olfactory bulb in the adult rat: a cytological study of its cell types, neuropil, neuronal modules, and interactions with the main olfactory system. J Comp Neurol 510:309–350.
- Leinders-Zufall T, Brennan P, Widmayer P, Chandramani P, Maul-Pavicic A, Jager M, Li XH, Breer H, Zufall F, Boehm T (2004) MHC class I peptides as chemosensory signals in the vomeronasal organ. Science 306:1033–1037.
- Liberles SD, Horowitz LF, Kuang D, Contos JJ, Wilson KL, Siltberg-Liberles J, Liberles DA, Buck LB (2009) Formyl peptide receptors are candidate chemosensory receptors in the vomeronasal organ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:9842–9847.
- Maksimova MA, Cansler HL, Zuk KE, Torres JM, Roberts DJ, Meeks JP (2019) Interneuron functional diversity in the mouse accessory olfactory bulb. eNeuro 6:ENEURO.0058-19.2019.
- Manders EM, Verbeek FJ, Aten JA (1993) Measurement of co-localization of objects in dual-colour confocal images. J Microsc 169:375–382.
- Mathis MW, Mathis A (2020) Deep learning tools for the measurement of animal behavior in neuroscience. Curr Opin Neurobiol 60:1–11.
- Mathis A, Mamidanna P, Cury KM, Abe T, Murthy VN, Mathis MW, Bethge M (2018) DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning. Nat Neurosci 21:1281–1289.
- Matsuoka M, Kaba H, Mori Y, Ichikawa M (1997) Synaptic plasticity in olfactory memory formation in female mice. Neuroreport 8:2501–2504.
- Matsuoka M, Yoshida-Matsuoka J, Sugiura H, Yamagata K, Ichikawa M, Norita M (2002) Mating behavior induces differential Arc expression in the main and accessory olfactory bulbs of adult rats. Neurosci Lett 335:111–114.
- McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J (2018) UMAP: uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. arXiv:1802.03426. [https://](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1802.03426) doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1802.03426.
- Minatohara K, Akiyoshi M, Okuno H (2015) Role of immediate-early genes in synaptic plasticity and neuronal ensembles underlying the memory trace. Front Mol Neurosci 8:78.
- Mucignat-Caretta C, Redaelli M, Caretta A (2012) One nose, one brain: contribution of the main and accessory olfactory system to chemosensation. Front Neuroanat 6:46.
- Nodari F, Hsu FF, Fu X, Holekamp TF, Kao LF, Turk J, Holy TE (2008) Sulfated steroids as natural ligands of mouse pheromone-sensing neurons. J Neurosci 28:6407–6418.
- Otsuka T, Hashida M, Oka T, Kaba H (2001) Activation of GABA(A) receptors in the accessory olfactory bulb does not prevent the formation of an olfactory memory in mice. J Vet Med Sci 63:807–809.
- Quast KB, Ung K, Froudarakis E, Huang L, Herman I, Addison AP, Ortiz-Guzman J, Cordiner K, Saggau P, Tolias AS, Arenkiel BR (2017) Developmental broadening of inhibitory sensory maps. Nat Neurosci 20:189–199.
- Reijmers L, Mayford M (2009) Genetic control of active neural circuits. Front Mol Neurosci 2:27.
- Riviere S, Challet L, Fluegge D, Spehr M, Rodriguez I (2009) Formyl peptide receptor-like proteins are a novel family of vomeronasal chemosensors. Nature 459:574–577.
- Sailor KA, Valley MT, Wiechert MT, Riecke H, Sun GJ, Adams W, Dennis JC, Sharafi S, Ming GL, Song H, Lledo PM (2016) Persistent structural plasticity optimizes sensory information processing in the olfactory bulb. Neuron 91:384–396.
- Salazar I, Brennan PA (2001) Retrograde labelling of mitral/tufted cells in the mouse accessory olfactory bulb following local injections of the lipophilic tracer DiI into the vomeronasal amygdala. Brain Res 896:198–203.
- Saloman JL, Scheff NN, Snyder LM, Ross SE, Davis BM, Gold MS (2016) Gi-DREADD expression in peripheral nerves produces ligand-dependent analgesia, as well as ligand-independent functional changes in sensory neurons. J Neurosci 36:10769–10781.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an opensource platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9:676–682.
- Shepherd JD, Bear MF (2011) New views of Arc, a master regulator of synaptic plasticity. Nat Neurosci 14:279–284.
- Stowers L, Holy TE, Meister M, Dulac C, Koentges G (2002) Loss of sex discrimination and male–male aggression in mice deficient for TRP2. Science 295:1493–1500.
- Sunkin SM, Ng L, Lau C, Dolbeare T, Gilbert TL, Thompson CL, Hawrylycz M, Dang C (2013) Allen Brain Atlas: an integrated spatio-temporal portal for exploring the central nervous system. Nucleic acids Res 41:D996– D1008.
- Takahashi LK (2014) Olfactory systems and neural circuits that modulate predator odor fear. Front Behav Neurosci 8:72.
- Takahashi H, Yoshihara S, Tsuboi A (2018) The functional role of olfactory bulb granule cell subtypes derived from embryonic and postnatal neurogenesis. Front Mol Neurosci 11:229.
- Taniguchi M, Kaba H (2001) Properties of reciprocal synapses in the mouse accessory olfactory bulb. Neuroscience 108:365–370.
- Walther G, Zimmerman N, Moore W, Parks D, Meehan S, Belitskaya I, Pan J, Herzenberg L (2009) Automatic clustering of flow cytometry data with density-based merging. Adv Bioinformatics 2009:686759.
- Wekesa KS, Lepri JJ (1994) Removal of the vomeronasal organ reduces reproductive performance and aggression in male prairie voles. Chem Senses 19:35–45.
- Wong WM, Cao J, Zhang X, Doyle WI, Mercado LL, Gautron L, Meeks JP (2020) Physiology-forward identification of bile acid-sensitive vomeronasal receptors. Sci Adv 6:eaaz6868.
- Zhang X, Meeks JP (2020) Paradoxically sparse chemosensory tuning in broadly integrating external granule cells in the mouse accessory olfactory bulb. J Neurosci 40:5247–5263.