



Article Association of Cardiovascular Disease Risk and Health-Related Behaviors in Stroke Patients

Rezarta Lalo ¹,*¹, Ilirjana Zekja ²¹ and Fatjona Kamberi ³

- ¹ Department of Health Care, Faculty of Health, University of Vlora "Ismail Qemali", L. Pavarësia, 9400 Vlorë, Albania
- ² Faculty of Technical Medical Sciences, University of Medicine Tirana, 8RRM+W7X, Rruga e Dibrës, 1001 Tirana, Albania
- ³ Research Centre for Public Health, Faculty of Health, University of Vlora "Ismail Qemali", L. Pavarësia, 9400 Vlorë, Albania
- * Correspondence: rezarta_lalo@yahoo.com; Tel.: +355-69-283-4893

Abstract: Brain stroke continues to be a leading cause of mortality and disability in both developed and developing countries, with higher healthcare costs due to the long-term care and rehabilitation that it incurs. The purpose of the current study was to assess the association between brain stroke patients' health-related behaviors and their risk for cardiovascular disease. Methods: A crosssectional study was carried out from March to August 2022 in the Vlora district regional hospital in Albania. The study included 150 out of 170 participants who met the necessary criteria, achieving an 88% response rate. Measurement tools included the Framingham Cardiovascular Risk Scale (FRS) and the Lifestyle Health Promotion Profile II (HPLP II). Results: The patients' average age was 65.9 ± 9.04 years. Over 65% of the stroke patients suffer from diabetes, and 47% from hypertension. About 31% of them have a high risk of hyperlipidemia (mean TC = 179 ± 28.5). About 32% of the brain stroke patients manifested unhealthy behaviors, while 84% of them had a high risk of cardiovascular disease (FRS = 19.5 \pm 0.53). Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk was statistically associated with stress management behaviors (p = 0.008; OR = 0.20; CI = 95%). This risk was highest in the over-70 age group as well as in men. Conclusion: Brain stroke patients had a high probability of developing CVD. For better health among stroke patients, new evidence-based behavior change approaches must be introduced into preventative and management programs.

Keywords: association; better health; cardiovascular disease risk; health-related behaviors; stroke patients

1. Introduction

An attack on the brain or stroke is a neurological vascular event that severely damages the central nervous system. Approximately 613,148 strokes were reported in all EU nations in 2015, and it is projected that the number will increase by 34% in 2035 as a result of population aging and increased risk factors [1]. Brain attacks are the second biggest cause of mortality and disability, according to the OECD (2018), making them a significant public health concern [2].

Patients with brain stroke appear to have a significant prevalence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) [3]. Previous studies have identified a number of both non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors, including sociodemographic characteristics, medical history, prior stroke history, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitus, psychosocial stress, and unhealthy lifestyle behaviors [4]. According to worldwide standards and research, it is strongly recommended to promote activities that specifically target modifiable factors, with an emphasis on brain stroke prevention among the population [5].



Citation: Lalo, R.; Zekja, I.; Kamberi, F. Association of Cardiovascular Disease Risk and Health-Related Behaviors in Stroke Patients. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 3693. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph20043693

Academic Editor: Zahid Ahmad Butt

Received: 26 January 2023 Revised: 12 February 2023 Accepted: 16 February 2023 Published: 19 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Multimodal behavioral therapies, such as control of clinical parameters, modification of adverse lifestyles, and adherence to preventive medications have been shown to improve the health of stroke survivors, according to the results of a randomized controlled trial [6].

Furthermore, though the burden of brain stroke is increasing in low and middleincome countries, attempts to avoid it within the medical system are still in their early stages [7].

Albania, an emerging country in the South-East Europe (SEE) region, inspires to be part of the European Union and is characterized by an epidemiological transition with significant changes in the lifestyle and behavioral characteristics of the population. The latest World Health Organization (WHO) statistics from 2017 show that 4675 brain stroke deaths occurred, accounting for 24.15% of all deaths in Albania. The age-regulated death rate in this developing country is 105.31 per 1000 persons, which is a major cause for concern for health-care experts [8].

However, there is little information available on Albania's specific cerebrovascular disease burden. There are particularly few scientific studies that describe the prevalence and incidence of these disorders in the adult Albanian population [9]. One study, conducted in the Albanian city of Vlora as a diabetes and hypertension screening programme that specifically focused on the risk assessment of stroke in a sample of randomly selected adult persons, discovered that the risk for stroke was average for 38% of participants and relatively high for 24% of them. While stroke risk, levels of arterial blood pressure, and diabetes were statistically significant [10]. Meanwhile, studies in relation to strategies or different management risks related to brain stroke-related behaviors are lacking. Few findings in this regard imply that quick risk assessment for diabetes and brain stroke is an affordable early screening technique that can be implemented in primary healthcare, especially in countries with limited tools and resources [11].

In addition, one study suggests that there is a significant knowledge gap about the efficacy of different brain stroke prevention strategies in developing countries. For example, it is unclear which interventions would be appropriate and cost-effective in reducing the number of strokes in these countries. Poor knowledge, a lack of information, and a lack of preventative health-related behavior remain some of the greatest challenges in public healthcare, preventing stroke patients from accessing state of the art treatment [7].

The important findings above highlight the need to investigate risk factors and healthrelated behaviors. Furthermore, the implementation of behavior modification strategies for brain stroke prevention in Albania may be aided by understanding the factors that affect health-related behaviors. The purpose of the current study was to accurately assess the association between brain stroke patients' health-related behaviors, such as smoking cigarettes, drinking alcohol excessively, unhealthy diet, lack of exercise, and stress, and their risk for cardiovascular disease.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area, Study Design, and Study Period

A cross-sectional study was conducted in the regional hospital pathology department in Vlora district, Albania, between March and August 2022.

2.2. Sample Size Estimation and Sampling Technique

A non-probability consecutive sampling technique based on inclusion and exclusion criteria was selected for data collection. The *inclusion criteria* included all hospitalized patients in the pathology ward that were diagnosed with brain stroke by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or scanner (computed tomography CT scan), were over the age of 18 years, with demonstrated signs of neurological stability (the result of an examination of mental state was more than 21) and that were eligibility to participate in the study. The *exclusion criteria* were patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage, severe aphasia, dementia, or severe comorbidities (e.g., end-stage renal disease, Parkinson's disease or multiple sclerosis). The Cochran formula: $n = (Za)^2 (p \times q)/d^2$ was used to determine the sample

size, where p = brain stroke prevalence (according to Albanian studies, it is about 15%), q = prevalence complement, error margin = d, alpha = 85 percent significance level, and n = $2.85 \times 2.85 (0.15 \times 0.85)/0.1 \times 0.1 = 138$. This formula determined that 138 people were the ideal sample size. Finally, 150 participants who met the criteria and expressed a willingness to participate were included out of 170 patients who were hospitalized throughout the duration of the study, resulting in an 88% response rate. All participants provided informed consent.

2.3. Data Collection and Study Tools

Information from medical records was used to assess medical history, drug treatment, and lipid and glucose profile data. Two health professionals measured blood pressure and body mass index (BMI) during their daily clinical practice and had previously agreed to cooperate in data collection. To assess risky behaviors including alcohol consumption and smoking habits, we followed the respective WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control Guidelines [12] and the Canada Low-Risk Alcohol Drinking Guidelines [13]. Highrisk behaviors are characterized as actions that raise the possibility of contracting a disease or suffering an injury, which can then result in disability, death, or societal issues. Violence, alcoholism, tobacco use disorder, risky sexual conduct, and eating disorders are among the most prevalent high-risk behaviors [14]. We used the Health Promotion Lifestyle Profile (HPLP II), a self-reported scale [15] that evaluates health-related behaviors in six areas. We selected three of the six HPLP II dimensions to assess the physical, nutrition, and stress management activities of participants in the past week before their stroke using a four-point Likert scale. Based on HPLP II scoring, each response ranged from 4 to 32 points for physical activity and stress management and a score of 4 to 36 points for nutrition. The total score ranged from 12 to 100 points. A score for an overall health-promoting lifestyle was obtained by calculating a mean of the individual's responses to all 25 items for three subscales. Three subscale scores were obtained similarly by calculating the mean of the responses to subscale items. Lifestyle behaviors were classified into two categories: 12–50 points = incorrect behavior and correct behavior = 51–100 points.

To evaluate comorbidities, the Comorbidity Function Index (CFI) was applied [16]. Every comorbidity listed in the index receives the same weighting: 1 point if present, 0 point if absent. The final score, which might be between 0 and 18 points, was the total of all criteria. A higher score was derived by adding the "yes" responses, which then indicated worse comorbidity. In addition, the questionnaire asks users to self-report sociodemographic data. We used the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) to further examine the likelihood of developing cardiovascular disease. The six coronary risk factors, including age, gender, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and smoking behaviors, were used to construct FRS scores [17]. The FRS calculates the 10-year probability of clinical stroke manifestation. Three categories of CVD risk percentage were established: low risk (10%), moderate risk (>10%), and high risk (>20%).

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The SPSS-23 statistical package was used to analyze the data. We used descriptive analyses for clinical profiles, sociodemographic factors, and health- related behaviors.

The mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation were calculated for continuous variables assessed using points (behavior, risk), whereas frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables. The Chi-square probability was used to demonstrate significant changes in the values of the dependent variable based on the levels of an independent variable, and the value p < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also used for quantitative variables. Where a significant statistical difference was observed, the analysis was continued by regression analysis. For qualitative variables (physical activity, nutrition, and stress management), univariate logistic regression was used—wherein the compared probabilities (OR) were analyzed—while for quantitative variables (such as BMI, systolic blood pressure, LDL, HDL, TC, triglycerides (TG), fasting glucose (FG), HbA1c, smoking status, and alcohol consumption), simple linear regression was used.

3. Results

3.1. Socio Demographic Characteristics and Risk Profile of Stroke Patients

One hundred and fifty patients, with a mean age of 65.9 ± 9.04 and composed of a majority of men (64%), completed the questionnaires. The risk profile of the individuals revealed a tendency for obesity, that the majority of the patients had diabetes, that a significant percentage had a greater risk for hyperlipidemia and were also considered hypertensive, and that the patients were both smokers and drinkers (Table 1).

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics and risk profile of stroke patients.

Variables	n (%)	Mean \pm SD
Sociodemographic variables		
Age		65.92 ± 9.04
Age by gender	-	68.167 ± 8.73 (female)
0,00		64.656 ± 9.01 (male)
Gender (female)	54 (36)	-
Education (less than high school)	103 (69)	-
Living (alone)	10 (7)	-
Economic status (moderate)	86 (57)	-
Residence (village)	52 (35)	-
Risk profile		
CFI (score)	-	2.5 ± 1.63
FRS (score)	-	19.5 ± 0.53
BMI (kg/m^2)	-	27.2 ± 3.16
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	-	164.307 ± 27.06
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	-	90.389 ± 12.26
BP over 140/90	70 (46.7)	-
BP over 180/110	38 (25.3)	-
LDL (mg/dL)	-	140.1 ± 23.8
LDL > 160 mg/dL	31 (20.7)	
HDL (mg/dL)	-	40.4 ± 15.4
HDL < 35 mg/dL	44 (29.3)	-
TC cholesterol total (mg/dL)	-	208.9 ± 54.3
TC > 240 mg/dL	46 (30.7)	-
TG (mg/dL)	-	165.5 ± 63.5
TG > 200 mg/dL	24 (16)	-
FG (mg/dL)	-	178.1 ± 89.0
FG > 126 mg/dL	107 (71.30)	-
HbA1c %	-	8.5 ± 12.8
HbA1c > 6.5%	97 (64.7)	-
Smoking status over the past 7 days (yes)	70 (46.6)	-
Number of cigarettes per day	-	11.67 ± 5.67
Alcohol consumption over the last 7 days (yes)	47 (31.3)	-
Number of drinks per day	-	3.57 ± 1.67

SD: Standard deviation, **FRS**: Framingham Risk Score, **BMI**: body mass index, **BP**: blood pressure, **HDL**: highdensity lipoprotein, **LDL**: low-density lipoprotein, **TC**: total cholesterol, **TG**: triglycerides, **FG**: fasting glucose, **HbA1c**: hemoglobin A1c, **CFI**: Comorbidity Function Index.

3.2. Evaluation of the Health-Promoting Lifestyle of Participants

Referring to the findings in Table 2, about 32% of brain stroke patients manifested unhealthy lifestyle behaviors. Overall, the HPLP II score was statistically significantly associated with only two sociodemographic variables, such as age and gender (Table 3). It was observed that males and patients in the age group 51–60 years old manifested healthier behaviors compared with other categories.

Variables	n (%)	$\mathbf{Mean} \pm \mathbf{SD}$
Overall HPLP II		
Correct	102 (68.0)	54.67 ± 13.34
Incorrect	48 (32.0)	
Total	150 (100.00)	
Physical activity subscale		
Correct	98 (65.3)	16.50 ± 5.40
Incorrect	52 (34.7)	
Total	150 (100.0)	
Nutrition subscale		
Correct	119 (79.3)	20.62 ± 4.48
Incorrect	31 (20.7)	
Total	150 (100.0)	
Stress management subscale		
Correct	108 (72.0)	17.50 ± 5.11
Incorrect	42 (28.0)	
Total	150 (100.0)	

Table 2. Evaluation of health promoting lifestyle of participants.

HPLP II: Health Promotion Profile II, **SD**: Standard deviation.

Table 3. Association between health promoting lifestyle and sociodemographic factors.

Predictors	Overall HPLP II						
		р	ANOVA			Regression Analysis	
Sociodemographic	HPLP II		F F Critical OF		OR	95% CI	
Factors	$\mathbf{Mean} \pm \mathbf{SD}$					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Age (years)							
Until 50	59.3 ± 15.7	0.016	3.544	0.167	2.276	0.418	12.378
51-60	60.3 ± 11.8				4.400	1.466	13.205
61–70	53.6 ± 11.4				1.517	0.695	3.314
>70	51.5 ± 14.9				Reference		
Gender							
Female	53.6 ± 13.8	0.020	0.493	0.478	0.909	0.446	1.852
Male	55.3 ± 13.1				Reference		
Education							
Bachelor	76.5 ± 0.71	0.098	2.137	2.300			
High school	54.8 ± 12.3						
Professional degree	49.5 ± 9.4						
Less than high school	54.5 ± 13.7						
Living							
With someone	55 ± 13.1	0.298	1.099	2.110			
Alone	50 ± 13.3						
Economic status							
Moderate and high	77 ± 0.01	0.221	1.525	1.670			
Low	53.9 ± 14.1						

HPLP II: Health Promotion Profile II, **SD**: Standard deviation, **OR**: odds ratio, **CI**: confidence interval, **ANOVA**: analysis of variance. The bold number are to emphasize the *p* value as significant.

3.3. Predictors of Cardiovascular Disease Risk (FRS Score)

The mean FRS score was 19.5 ± 0.53 (Table 1). The results presented in Table 4 found a statistically significant relationship between FRS score and variables such as age, gender, and stress management. It is noted that the majority of patients belonging to the age group of 61–70 years old and 65% of women are more likely to be exposed to the risk of cardiovascular disease.

High, n (%) Moderate, n (%) Low, n (%) Total n (%) Age (gers) 10,07 8 (5.4) 0.001 51-80 24 (16.0) 10 (6.7) 0 35 (2.0) 51-80 24 (16.0) 5 (3.3) 10.07 57 (3.0) 51-70 47 (13.3) 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 5 (3.3) Cender	Predictors	CVD Risk				
Age (genrs)		High, n (%)	Moderate, n (%)	Low, n (%)	Total n (%)	
	Age (years)					
	Until 50	4 (2.7)	3 (2.0)	1 (0.7)	8 (5.4)	0.001
	51-60	24 (16.0)	10 (6.7)	0	35 (22.7)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } > 70 & 47 (31.3) & 2 (1.3) & 2 (1.3) & 5 (3.3) \\ \hline Gender & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	61–70	51 (34.0)	5 (3.3)	1 (0.7)	57 (38.0)	
Gendr served bit is (10.0) 4 (2.7) 5 (3.3) 0 96 (64.0) 0.002 Faluaction -	>70	47 (31.3)	2 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	50 (33.9)	
Fendale 35 (23.3) 15 (10.0) 4 (2.7) 54 (36.0) 0.002 Education 5 (3.3) 0 96 (64.0) Education 10 (0.7) 13 (8.7) 3 (2.0) 103 (88.7) 0.853 Bachelor 1 (0.7) 0 2 (1.3) 100.7 97 (55.0) 11 (0.7) 0 6 (4.0) Residenc 79 (55.0) 15 (10.0) 4 (2.7) 98 (65.3) 0.189 City 79 (52.6) 15 (10.0) 4 (2.7) 98 (65.3) 0.703 Living	Gender					
Male 91 (60.7) 5 (3.3) 0 96 (64.0) Education Education 10.7) 1 (0.7) 0 2 (1.3) Bachelor 1 (0.7) 0 2 (1.3) 39 (26.0) Professional degree 5 (3.3) 1 (0.7) 0 6 (4.0) Residence Village 47 (31.4) 5 (3.3) 0 52 (34.7) 0.189 City 79 (52.6) 15 (10.0) 4 (2.7) 98 (65.3) 0.703 Alone 7 (4.7) 2 (1.3) 1 (0.7) 10 (6.7) 0.866 Low 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 0 (4.0) 86 (57.3) 0.703 Alone 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 63 (42.0) 86 (57.3) 0.703 Correct 83 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Incorrect 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.120 Incorrect 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0.120 Incorrect 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0)	Female	35 (23.3)	15 (10.0)	4 (2.7)	54 (36.0)	0.002
	Male	91 (60.7)	5 (3.3)	0	96 (64.0)	
Less than high school %7 (58.0) 13 (8.7) 3 (2.0) 0 (30 (68.7) 0.853 Bachelor 1 (0.7) 1 (0.7) 0 2 (1.3) High school 33 (2.0) 5 (3.3) 1 (0.7) 0 6 (4.0) Professional degree 5 (3.3) 1 (0.7) 0 5 (2.0) 9 (26.0) 18 (20.0) 5 (2.0) 9 (26.0) 18 (20.0) 5 (2.0) 9 (26.0) 18 (20.0) 5 (2.0) 10 (7.0) 0 0 10 (7.0) 0 0 10 (7.0) 0 0 10 (7.0) 0	Education					
Bachelor 1 (0.7) 1 (0.7) 0 2 (1.3) High school 33 (220) 5 (3.3) 1 (0.7) 0 6 (4.0) Residence	Less than high school	87 (58.0)	13 (8.7)	3 (2.0)	103 (68.7)	0.853
High school 33 (22.0) 5 (3.3) 1 (0.7) 0 6 (4.0) Residence	Bachelor	1 (0.7)	1 (0.7)	0	2 (1.3)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c } Professional degree & 5 (3.3) & 1 (0.7) & 0 & 6 (4.0) \\ \hline Residence & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	High school	33 (22.0)	5 (3.3)	1 (0.7)	39 (26.0)	
Residence Village 47 (31.4) 5 (3.3) 0 52 (34.7) 0.189 City 79 (52.6) 15 (10.0) 4 (2.7) 98 (65.3) 0.703 Licing With someone 119 (79.3) 18 (12.0) 3 (2.0) 140 (93.3) 0.703 Alone 7 (4.7) 2 (1.3) 1 (0.7) 0 (0.67) 0.866 Low 55 (36.7) 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 63 (42.0) 0.866 Average 70 (46.6) 13 (6.6) 3 (2.0) 84 (32.0) 0.173 Overall HPLP II Correct 83 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (66.0) 0.120 Correct 48 (32.0) 3 (2.0) 10 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 86 (53.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (52.0) 3 (2.0) 10 (7) 0.120 1.10 Correct 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) <td>Professional degree</td> <td>5 (3.3)</td> <td>1 (0.7)</td> <td>0</td> <td>6 (4.0)</td> <td></td>	Professional degree	5 (3.3)	1 (0.7)	0	6 (4.0)	
Vilage City47 (31.4)5 (3.3)052 (34.7)0.189City79 (52.6)15 (10.0)4 (2.7)98 (65.3)Lioing With someone119 (79.3)18 (12.0)3 (2.0)140 (93.3)0.703Alone7 (4.7)2 (1.3)1 (0.7)0 (6.7)00Conomic status1007)0001 (0.7)0.866Low55 (36.7)7 (4.7)1 (0.7)63 (42.0)84 (5.3)Overall HPLP IIU0.173102 (68.0)0.173Correct43 (28.7)3 (2.0)2 (1.3)148 (32.0)0.173Incorrect43 (28.7)3 (2.0)2 (1.3)102 (68.0)0.173Incorrect43 (28.7)3 (2.0)2 (1.3)102 (68.0)0.173Incorrect78 (52.0)17 (11.3)3 (2.0)98 (65.3)0.120Incorrect78 (52.0)17 (11.3)3 (2.0)98 (65.3)0.120Incorrect79 (66.0)18 (12.0)2 (1.3)119 (79.3)0.171Incorrect20 (13.4)2 (1.3)108 (72.0)0.008Incorrect86 (57.3)20 (13.4)2 (1.3)108 (72.0)0.008Incorrect99 (66.0)18 (12.0)2 (1.3)168 (72.0)0.008Incorrect90 (60.7)0.09 (0.02-0.43)1.67 (0.15-19.1)0.008Incorrect90 (60.7)0.09 (0.02-0.43)1.67 (0.15-19.1)0.008Incorrect90 (60.7)0.09 (0.02-0.43)1.67 (0.15-19.1)0.008 <td>Residence</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Residence					
City 79 (52.6) 15 (10.0) 4 (2.7) 98 (65.3) Living With someone Alone 119 (79.3) 18 (12.0) 3 (2.0) 140 (93.3) 0.703 Alone 7 (4.7) 2 (1.3) 1 (0.7) 10 (6.7) 0.866 Low 55 (36.7) 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 63 (42.0)	Village	47 (31.4)	5 (3.3)	0	52 (34.7)	0.189
Living Mith someone Alone 119 (79.3) (140 (93.3) 140 (93.3) (10.7) 10 (67) Economic status 1 1 1 10.7) 0 0 100.7) 0.866 High 1 0.7) 0 0 10.7) 0.866 Low 55 (36.7) 7 (4.7) 10.7) 0.63 (42.0) 86 (57.3) Overatil HPLP II T Correct 53 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Incorrect 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (32.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.120 Stress management Correct 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 142 (28.0) 0.009 Verdition Correct 90 (65.0) 16 (0.0) 0.171 0.000 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0	City	79 (52.6)	15 (10.0)	4 (2.7)	98 (65.3)	
With someone 119 (79.3) 18 (12.0) 3 (2.0) 140 (93.3) 0.703 Alone 7 (4.7) 2 (1.3) 1 (0.7) 00 (6.7) 00 (6.7) 0.866 Economic status 1 (0.7) 0 0 1 (0.7) 63 (42.0) Average 70 (46.6) 3 (2.0) 86 (57.3) 002 (68.0) 0.173 Overall HPLP II Correct 83 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Incorrect 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 48 (32.0) 0.120 Physical activity Correct 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (32.0) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (4.7) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 70 (46.6) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 27 (18.1) 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect <t< td=""><td>Living</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Living					
Alone 7 (4.7) 2 (1.3) 1 (0.7) 10 (6.7) Economic status High 1 (0.7) 0 0 1 (0.7) 0.866 Low 55 (36.7) 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 63 (42.0) 86 (57.3) Overall HPLP II S5 (56.7) 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 63 (42.0) 86 (57.3) Overall HPLP II Correct 83 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Incorrect 43 (25.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 48 (32.0) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (32.0) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0.120 Nutrition T Correct 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0.9 (0.13) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 0.167 0.09 (0.02–0.43)	With someone	119 (79.3)	18 (12.0)	3 (2.0)	140 (93.3)	0.703
Economic status Image of the second status Image of	Alone	7 (4.7)	2 (1.3)	1 (0.7)	10 (6.7)	
High 1 (0.7) 0 0 1 (0.7) 0.866 Low 55 (36.7) 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 6.3 (42.0) Keiter Average 70 (46.6) 13 (8.6) 3 (2.0) 86 (57.3) Double (57.3) Overall HPLP II 55 (36.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Correct 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 48 (32.0) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 12 (1.3) 31 (20.7) 108 (72.0) 0.017 Incorrect 27 (18.1) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 10.07 0.020 (0.05-0.57) 0.	Economic status					
Low 55 (36.7) 7 (4.7) 1 (0.7) 6.3 (42.0) Average 70 (46.6) 13 (8.6) 3 (2.0) 86 (57.3) Overall HPLP II Correct 83 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Incorrect 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 48 (32.0) 0.173 Physical activity Correct 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 78 (52.0) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0.171 Nutrition Zorrect 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) 0.171 Incorrect 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.5) 20 (0 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.5) 0.09 (0	High	1 (0.7)	0	0	1 (0.7)	0.866
Average 70 (46.6) 13 (8.6) 3 (2.0) 86 (57.3) Overall HPLP II	Low	55 (36.7)	7 (4.7)	1 (0.7)	63 (42.0)	
$\begin{array}{ c c c } Overall HPLP II & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Average	70 (46.6)	13 (8.6)	3 (2.0)	86 (57.3)	
Correct 83 (55.3) 17 (11.3) 2 (1.3) 102 (68.0) 0.173 Incorrect 43 (28.7) 3 (2.0) 2 (1.3) 48 (32.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Physical activity 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (32.0) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0.120 Nutrition 2 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Stress management Correct 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.09 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 100 (26.7) 0.09 (0.02–0.43) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) 0.09 Incorrect 0.17 0.09 (0.02–0.43) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 Intil 60 0.17 0.09 (0.02–0.43) 1.67 (0.15–19.1)	Overall HPLP II					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Incorrect & 43 (28.7) & 3 (2.0) & 2 (1.3) & 48 (32.0) \\ \hline Physical activity \\ \hline Correct & 78 (52.0) & 17 (11.3) & 3 (2.0) & 98 (65.3) & 0.120 \\ \hline Incorrect & 48 (32.0) & 3 (2.0) & 1 (0.7) & 52 (34.7) \\ \hline Nutrition & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Correct	83 (55.3)	17 (11.3)	2 (1.3)	102 (68.0)	0.173
Physical activity Correct 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (32.0) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0 Nutrition 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) 0.120 Nutrition 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Correct 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) Stress management 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Correct 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Predictors Kegression analysis, OR (95% CI) V V V V Mage (years) 0.17 0.09 (0.02–0.43) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) V 1.67 (0.15–19.1) V 61–70 0.72 0.42 (0.08–2.29) 2.29 (0.20–25.98) V V V Female </td <td>Incorrect</td> <td>43 (28.7)</td> <td>3 (2.0)</td> <td>2 (1.3)</td> <td>48 (32.0)</td> <td></td>	Incorrect	43 (28.7)	3 (2.0)	2 (1.3)	48 (32.0)	
Correct 78 (52.0) 17 (11.3) 3 (2.0) 98 (65.3) 0.120 Incorrect 48 (32.0) 3 (2.0) 1 (0.7) 52 (34.7) Nutrition Nutrition 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 29 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) Stress management 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 90 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 0.008 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.017 0.016 (0.03-0.57) 0.009 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 0.167 (0.15-19.1) 0.167 (0.15-19.1) 0.167 (0.15-19.1) 0.167 (0.19-2.72) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) 570 Reference Reference Gender 1.80 (1.73-1.84) 0.001 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.	Physical activity					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Incorrect & 48 (32.0) & 3 (2.0) & 1 (0.7) & 52 (34.7) \\ \hline Nutrition \\ \hline Nutrition \\ \hline Correct & 99 (66.0) & 18 (12.0) & 2 (1.3) & 119 (79.3) & 0.171 \\ \hline Incorrect & 27 (18.1) & 2 (1.3) & 2 (1.3) & 31 (20.7) \\ \hline Stress management \\ Correct & 86 (57.3) & 20 (13.4) & 2 (1.3) & 108 (72.0) & 0.008 \\ \hline Incorrect & 40 (26.7) & 0 & 2 (1.3) & 42 (28.0) \\ \hline Predictors & Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) \\ \hline Predictors & Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) \\ \hline CVD risk & High & Moderate & Low \\ \hline Age (years) & 0.17 & 0.09 (0.02-0.43) & 1.67 (0.15-19.1) \\ Until 60 & 0.17 & 0.09 (0.02-0.43) & 1.67 (0.15-19.1) \\ 0.17 & 0.09 (0.02-0.43) & 1.67 (0.15-19.1) \\ \hline 61-70 & 0.72 & 0.42 (0.08-2.29) & 2.29 (0.20-25.98) \\ \hline 570 & Reference & Reference & Reference \\ \hline Gender & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Correct	78 (52.0)	17 (11.3)	3 (2.0)	98 (65.3)	0.120
Nutrition Correct 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 27 (18.1) 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) 0 Stress management 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Incorrect 86 (57.3) 0 (13 (40.67) 0.2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Age (years) 0.17 0.09 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.16-19.1) 1.60 (1.04-0.29) 1.60 (1.04-0.29) 1.60 (1.04-0.29) 1.60 (1.04-0.29) 1.60 (1.73-1.84) 1.60 (1.73-1.84) 1.60 (1.73-1.84) 1	Incorrect	48 (32.0)	3 (2.0)	1 (0.7)	52 (34.7)	
Correct 99 (66.0) 18 (12.0) 2 (1.3) 119 (79.3) 0.171 Incorrect 27 (18.1) 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) 0 Stress management 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0 Predictors Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) Predictors Low 0.017 Age (years) 0.17 0.09 (0.02–0.43) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.19–2.72) 0.42 (0.08–2.29) 2.29 (0.20–25.98) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.19–2.72) 0.42 (0.08–2.29) 2.29 (0.20–25.98) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) 0.167 (0.19–19.1) 0.167 (0.19–19.1) 0.167 (0.17–19.1) 0.167 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–19.1) 1.67 (0.17–10.4) 1.67 (0.17–10.4) 1.67 (0.17–10.4) 1.60 (0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.	Nutrition					
Incorrect 27 (18.1) 2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 31 (20.7) Stress management Correct 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0.008 Predictors Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) 0 0.008 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 0.008 Age (years) 0.17 (0.05-0.57) 0.09 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 61-70 0.72 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Reference Gender Male Reference Reference Reference Reference Stress management 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect 0.020 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Correct	99 (66.0)	18 (12.0)	2 (1.3)	119 (79.3)	0.171
Stress management Correct 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) 0 Predictors Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) VDD risk High Moderat Low 1 Age (years) 0.17 (0.05-0.57) 0.09 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 1 61-70 0.72 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) 1 >70 Reference Reference Reference Reference Reference Gender 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) 1 Male Reference Reference Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.29) 0.0009 (0.008-0.01) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) 1 Incorrect Reference Reference Reference Reference	Incorrect	27 (18.1)	2 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	31 (20.7)	
Correct Incorrect 86 (57.3) 20 (13.4) 2 (1.3) 108 (72.0) 0.008 Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) Predictors Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) V High Moderate Low Age (years) 0.17 (0.05-0.57) 0.09 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 61-70 0.72 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Gender 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Stress management					
Incorrect 40 (26.7) 0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0) Predictors Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) Regression analysis, OR (95% CI) CVD risk High Moderate Low Age (years) 0.17 (0.05-0.57) 0.09 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 61-70 0.72 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Gender 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Correct	86 (57.3)	20 (13.4)	2 (1.3)	108 (72.0)	0.008
Predictors Regression analysis, OR (95% Cl) CVD risk High Moderate Low Age (years) 0.17 0.09 (0.02–0.43) 1.67 (0.15–19.1) 01-70 0.72 0.42 (0.08–2.29) 2.29 (0.20–25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Gender 0.10 0.14 (0.05–0.42) 1.80 (1.73–1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 0.0009 (0.0008–0.001) 2.65 (0.36–19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Incorrect	40 (26.7)	0	0 2 (1.3) 42 (28.0)		
CVD riskHighModerateLowAge (years) 0.17 $(0.05-0.57)$ $0.09 (0.02-0.43)$ $1.67 (0.15-19.1)$ $61-70$ 0.72 $(0.19-2.72)$ $0.42 (0.08-2.29)$ $2.29 (0.20-25.98)$ >70ReferenceReferenceReferenceGender 0.10 $(0.04-0.29)$ $0.14 (0.05-0.42)$ $1.80 (1.73-1.84)$ MaleReferenceReferenceReferenceStress management 0.20 $(0.04-0.87)$ $0.0009 (0.008-0.001)$ $2.65 (0.36-19.45)$ IncorrectReferenceReferenceReference	Predictors		Kegressi	on analysis, OR (95%	% CI)	
Age (years) 0.17 (0.05-0.57) 0.09 (0.02-0.43) 1.67 (0.15-19.1) 61-70 0.72 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Gender Reference Reference Reference Female 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference Reference	CVD risk	High	Moderate	e	Low	
Until 60 0.17 $(0.05-0.57)$ $0.09 (0.02-0.43)$ $1.67 (0.15-19.1)$ $61-70$ 0.72 $(0.19-2.72)$ $0.42 (0.08-2.29)$ $2.29 (0.20-25.98)$ >70ReferenceReferenceReference <i>Fonale</i> 0.10 $(0.04-0.29)$ $0.14 (0.05-0.42)$ $1.80 (1.73-1.84)$ MaleReferenceReferenceReference <i>Stress management</i> 0.20 $(0.04-0.87)$ $0.0009 (0.0008-0.001)$ $2.65 (0.36-19.45)$ IncorrectReferenceReferenceReference	Age (years)	0.17				
61-70 0.72 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Gender 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference Reference	Until 60	(0.05 - 0.57)	0.09 (0.02–0.43)		1.67 (0.15–19.	1)
61-70 (0.19-2.72) 0.42 (0.08-2.29) 2.29 (0.20-25.98) >70 Reference Reference Reference Gender 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	(1.70	0.72	0 40 (0 00 0	20)		
>70 Reference Reference Gender 0.10 $0.14 (0.05-0.42)$ $1.80 (1.73-1.84)$ Female 0.00 $0.14 (0.05-0.42)$ $1.80 (1.73-1.84)$ Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management $0.20 \\ (0.04-0.87) \\ (0.04-0.87)$ $0.0009 (0.0008-0.001)$ $2.65 (0.36-19.45) \\ 2.65 (0.36-19.45) \\ 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001)$ Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	01-/0	(0.19–2.72)	0.42 (0.08–2.29)		2.29 (0.20–25.98)	
Gender 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	>70	Reference	Reference		Reference	
Female 0.10 (0.04-0.29) 0.14 (0.05-0.42) 1.80 (1.73-1.84) Male Reference Reference Reference Stress management 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Gender					
MaleReferenceReferenceStress management0.20 (0.04-0.87)0.0009 (0.0008-0.001)2.65 (0.36-19.45)IncorrectReferenceReferenceReference	Female	0.10	0.14 (0.05–0.42)		1.80 (1.73–1.84)	
Stress management 0.20 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Male	Reference	Reference		Reference	
Correct 0.20 (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Stress management					
Correct (0.04-0.87) 0.0009 (0.0008-0.001) 2.65 (0.36-19.45) Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Compost	0.20	0.0000 (0.0000, 0.001)			
Incorrect Reference Reference Reference	Correct	(0.04–0.87)	0.0009 (0.0008–0.001)		2.05 (0.30–19.45)	
	Incorrect	Reference	Reference		Reference	

 Table 4. Predictors of cardiovascular disease risk (Framingham Risk Score) and regression analysis.

CVD: Cardiovascular disease, **OR**: odds ratio, **CI**: confidence interval. The bold number are to emphasize the *p* value as significant.

4. Discussion

According to O'Donnell (2010), a risk profile increases the risk of having a brain attack or stroke. To lower the incidence of stroke, it is crucial to analyze the risk profile [4]. The findings of our investigation also support these data. The over 61 age group, men, and people from low socioeconomic backgrounds had the highest incidence of stroke. According to certain studies [18–21], the incidence increases with age; however, other investigations have revealed that the incidence increases even at younger ages [22].

Regarding the other demographic characteristics mentioned, our results are in line with other studies conducted in Albania and other countries [9,21], which demonstrate that the risk of stroke is higher in men and patients with a lower socioeconomic level. Similarly, it was found that people of a lower socioeconomic level are more likely to have stroke due to their inability to receive quality hospital and rehabilitation services [23,24]. Related to gender factor, studies have shown that this can be explained by the protective role of estrogen in women's cerebral circulation [25] and the detrimental effects of risk factors including smoking and ischemic heart disease, which are more common in men [26]. Future research should be undertaken to better understand the biochemical causes of stroke in each gender, according to these findings.

Our results, which are in line with those of other studies, demonstrate that diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia were significant predictors of the risk profile of patients with a high prevalence of stroke, primarily in those over 60 years of age. This suggests an urgent need for improved treatment for patients with hypertension and diabetes, as well as early blood control and a focus on their fat profiles [19,27,28]. The BMI assessment results of stroke patients are interesting since they reveal that the majority of participants were overweight and that a large fraction was obese. Globally, obesity is beginning to be regarded as a factor that affects the death rate from stroke, and our study's findings are concerning in terms of risk exposure and are consistent with other studies [21,29]. Additionally, studies have revealed that hypertension is related to ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, while obesity is related to the cardiovascular risk that results in ischemic stroke [19–21]. The above relationship can be explained by the fact that the amount of adipose tissue in obese patients leads to subsequent atherosclerosis and cerebral vessel occlusion resulting in ischemic stroke. High blood pressure can also damage blood vessels inside the brain, causing bleeding in the brain [22,23].

In recent years, numerous research has investigated the effects of consuming alcohol and smoking on the prevalence of stroke [15,18,30]. This is also visible in the study's findings, which show that 11.6 ± 5.6 clouds of smoke and 3.5 ± 1.6 drinks are consumed daily, respectively.

Brain stroke patients reported statistically significant associations between sociodemographic characteristics and an average level of healthy habits. Patients under 60 years old showed healthier behaviors. Surprisingly, men displayed healthier practices than women. Based on studies, sociodemographic variables have been found to have an impact on different health habits. In contrast with our findings, another study found that patient health behaviors increased with age [31], while another found that older patients with higher educational levels demonstrated better health behaviors [32]. In contrast with our results, a study found that the behaviors of the female subjects were better [28]. This range of data shows that educational initiatives should focus on the most vulnerable populations. Furthermore, our study provides evidence for the subscales of health behaviors selected as most related to self-efficacy and management [15]. The results show that the nutrition subscale (20.6 \pm 4.48) and stress management (17.5 \pm 5.11) had the highest mean scores, while the physical activity subscale (16.5 \pm 5.4) had the lowest. Jiang et al. (2014) discovered that the physical activity subscale had a lower score [33], similar to our study, while hypertensive stroke patients reported healthier habits related to the nutrition subscale, with higher mean scores reported in another study [28]. These findings have significant therapeutic practice implications that can help to further encourage patients to adopt healthier habits. Recent scientific research has investigated the relationship between unhealthy lifestyle behaviors and the risk of cardiovascular events [34,35]. Referring to a meta-analysis, one study determined that long-term sedentary behavior and physical inactivity increased the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) in healthy adults [34]. In addition, another study provided evidence concerning health promoting behaviors (HPB) and risk of cardiovascular events among patients with CVD. Findings in this study reveal that, among HPB subscales, only nutrition indicated a significant correlation with risk of cardiovascular events [35]. Furthermore, the assessment of cardiovascular risk in stroke patients has been proven to have a positive influence on primary and secondary prevention, according to a systematic review of the literature [3].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the risk of cardiovascular disease in brain stroke patients using the Framingham scale in Albania. As stated in the results section, the mean FRS (19.5 \pm 0.53) was high, and 84% of stroke patients had a high risk of CVD. Only the subcategory of stress management health behaviors showed a significant association in the regression analysis to identify CVD risk factors. According to our study, the overall Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile II (HPLP-II) did not affect the degree of cardiovascular risk of stroke patients, a finding similar to a study conducted previously [31]. The above study [31] also found that cardiovascular risk was only statistically associated with the subcategory of physical activity, demonstrating the advantages associated with including physical activity in prevention programs. Patients who participated in physical activity had a decreased risk of CVD. The reverse is true, as shown by our findings, which point to the urgent need to incorporate physical activity or a healthy diet into future educational programs and services, as well as its impact on lowering cardiovascular risk in stroke patients [3,32,33].

Strength and Limitations

This study has several limitations. Since we only evaluated patients who had moderate strokes when admitted to the pathology ward, our findings cannot be applied to those who had mild strokes or those who were discharged from the hospital. In addition, the crosssectional design of the study makes it difficult for us to identify the underlying causes of the correlation between the variables. Blood pressure was measured during hospital stays, meaning that this measurement may not accurately reflect blood pressure before a stroke, and does not take into account how drug administration may have affected the actual value of blood pressure. However, we have taken into account the list of previous medications when calculating the CVD risk. In addition, some examinations that depend on self-report measures could be biased. Despite these limitations, the study has the advantage of using standardized tools whose validity and reliability have been examined in prior research. Another benefit and strength of the study is the use of clinical data from patient records and other digital examinations (CT scanners). The power of the study, which is the first of its kind in Albania, is strengthened by the completion of anthropometric and arterial pressure measurements by professional nurses. Future research is necessary to evaluate the effects of interventions in which nurses have a primary role in the modification of health and behavioral risk factors.

5. Conclusions

Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia were shown to be significant predictors in the risk profiles of brain stroke patients in our study based on clinical and anthropometric data. Being overweight, abusing alcohol, and using tobacco were all considered to reinforce this profile. Patients of a younger age and of the male gender reported healthier behavior. According to the FRS scale, good self-management of stress was associated with a lower cardiovascular risk score. These findings imply that healthcare professionals should include cardiovascular disease risk factors in addition to stroke risk profiles when assessing stroke patients' training and education needs. **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization and methodology, R.L.; software and formal analysis, F.K.; investigation, R.L.; data curation, I.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, R.L.; writing—review and editing, I.Z. and F.K.; supervision, I.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health, University of Vlora "Ismail Qemali," Prot. No. 174/1, Dated 7 October 2021; and the Regional Hospital of Vlora, Prot. No. 4444, Dated 2 November 2021.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available for privacy reasons.

Acknowledgments: All authors acknowledge the support of the staff on the pathology ward of the regional hospital in Vlora who helped with data collection and with executing the measurements.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Stevens, E.; Emmett, E.; Wang, Y.; McKevitt, C.; Wolfe, C. The Burden of Stroke in Europe: The Challenge for Policy Makers. Stroke Alliance for Europe. 2017. Available online: https://www.stroke.org.uk/sites/default/files/the_burden_of_stroke_in_ europe_challengesforpolicy_makers.pdf (accessed on 20 September 2022).
- 2. OECD; European Union. *Health at a Glance: Europe 2018: State of Health in the EU Cycle*; OECD Publishing: Paris, France, 2018. [CrossRef]
- 3. Arboix, A. Cardiovascular risk factors for acute stroke: Risk profiles in the different subtypes of ischemic stroke. *World J. Clin. Cases* **2015**, *3*, 418–429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- O'donnell, M.J.; Xavier, D.; Liu, L.; Zhang, H.; Chin, S.L.; Rao-Melacini, P.; Rangarajan, S.; Islam, S.; Pais, P.; McQueen, M.J.; et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): A case-control study. *Lancet* 2010, 376, 112–123. [CrossRef]
- 5. Lennon, O.; Blake, C.; Booth, J.; Pollock, A.; Lawrence, M. Interventions for behaviour change and self-management in stroke secondary prevention: Protocol for an overview of reviews. *Syst. Rev.* **2018**, *7*, 231. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Joubert, J.; Davis, S.M.; Donnan, G.A.; Levi, C.; Gonzales, G.; Joubert, L.; Hankey, G.J. ICARUSS: An effective model for risk factor management in stroke survivors. *Int. J. Stroke* 2020, *15*, 438–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kalkonde, Y.V.; Alladi, S.; Kaul, S.; Hachinski, V. Stroke Prevention Strategies in the Developing World. Stroke. 2018, 49, 3092–3097. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krishnamurthi, R.V.; Ikeda, T.; Feigin, V.L. Global, Regional and Country-Specific Burden of Ischaemic Stroke, Intracerebral Haemorrhage and Subarachnoid Haemorrhage: A Systematic Analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Neuroepidemiology* 2020, 54, 171–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Gjorgji, P.; Kruja, J. Trends and demographic characteristics of hemorrhagic stroke in Albania during the period 2004–2015. *South East Eur. J. Public Health* **2016**, *6*, 5–12. [CrossRef]
- Kamberi, F.; Sinaj, E. Assessment for Stroke Risk-Suggestions from a Pilot Study. In Proceedings of the 12th LUMEN International Scientific Conference-RSACVP 2019, Iasi, Romania, 15–17 May 2019. Available online: https://www.first-draft_working-papers_lumen_rsacvp2019.pdf (accessed on 3 October 2022).
- 11. Kamberi, F.; Jaho, J.; Kamberi, L. Rapid Risk Assessment for Diabetes and Stroke a Cost-Effective Method for Early Screening and Prevention. *Clin. Exp.* **2021**, *116*, 154551. [CrossRef]
- 12. WHO. Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: Guidelines for Implementation; Article 5.3; Article 8; Articles 9 and 10; Article 11; Article 12; Article 13; Article 14; 2013 edition; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2013; ISBN 978 92 4 150518 5.
- 13. Butt, P.; Beirness, D.; Gliksman, L.; Paradis, C.; Stockwell, T. *Alcohol and Health in Canada: A Summary of Evidence and Guidelines for Low-Risk Drinking*; Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse: Ottawa, ON, Canada, 2011.
- 14. Tariq, N.; Gupta, V. High risk behaviors. In *StatPearls*; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2022. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK560756 (accessed on 29 August 2022).
- 15. Walker, S.N.; Sechristm, K.R.; Pender, N.J. The Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile: Development and psychometric characteristics. *Nurs Res.* **1987**, *36*, 76–81. [CrossRef]
- 16. Groll, D.L.; To, T.; Bombardier, C.; Wright, J.G. The development of a comorbidity index with physical function as the outcome. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **2005**, *58*, 595–602. [CrossRef]
- Chia, Y.C.; Gray, S.Y.; Ching, S.M.; Lim, H.M.; Chinna, K. Validation of the Framingham general cardiovascular risk score in a multiethnic Asian population: A retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2015, *5*, e007324. [CrossRef]

- Avan, A.; Digaleh, H.; Di Napoli, M.; Stranges, S.; Behrouz, R.; Shojaeianbabaei, G.; Amiri, A.; Tabrizi, R.; Mokhber, N.; Spence, J.D.; et al. Socioeconomic status and stroke incidence, prevalence, mortality, and worldwide burden: An ecological analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *BMC Med.* 2019, *17*, 191. [CrossRef]
- 19. Arisegi, S.A.; Awosan, K.J.; Oche, M.O.; Sabir, A.A.; Ibrahim, M.T. Knowledge and practices related to stroke prevention among hypertensive and diabetic patients attending Specialist Hospital, Sokoto, Nigeria. *Pan. Afr. Med. J.* 2018, 29, 63. [CrossRef]
- Onabajo, G.V.; Mshelia, J.Y.; Abubakar, U.; Majidadi, R.W.; Aliyu, H.N.; Gujba, F.K.; Ali, M.U.; Masta, M.A. Knowledge of Stroke Risk Factors among Individuals Diagnosed with Hypertension and Diabetes: A Hospital-based Survey. *Br. J. Med. Med. Res.* 2015, 10, BJMMR.19865. [CrossRef]
- Gan, Y.; Wu, J.; Zhang, S.; Li, L.; Yin, X.; Gong, Y.; Herath, C.; Mkandawire, N.; Zhou, Y.; Song, X.; et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with stroke in middle-aged and older Chinese: A community-based cross-sectional study. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 9501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Quiñones-Ossa, G.A.; Lobo, C.; Garcia-Ballestas, E.; Florez, W.A.; Moscote-Salazar, L.R.; Agrawal, A. Obesity and Stroke: Does the Paradox Apply for Stroke? *Neurointervention* **2021**, *16*, 9–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morgenstern, L.B.; Hemphill, J.C., 3rd; Anderson, C.; Becker, K.; Broderick, J.P.; Connolly, E.S., Jr.; Greenberg, S.M.; Huang, J.N.; Macdonald, R.L.; Messé, S.R.; et al. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2010, 41, 2108–2129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bettger, J.P.; Zhao, X.; Bushnell, C.; Zimmer, L.; Pan, W.; Williams, L.S.; Peterson, E.D. The association between socioeconomic status and disability after stroke: Findings from the Adherence eValuation After Ischemic stroke Longitudinal (AVAIL) registry. BMC Public Health 2014, 14, 281. [CrossRef]
- 25. Krause, D.N.; Duckles, S.P.; Pelligrino, D.A. Influence of sex steroid hormones on cerebrovascular function. J. Appl. Physiol. 2006, 101, 1252–1261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wiszniewska, M.; Niewada, M.; Czlonkowska, A. Sex differences in risk factor distribution, severity, and outcome of ischemic stroke. *Acta Clin. Croat* 2011, 50, 21–28.
- Yaghi, S.; Elkind, M.S. Lipids and Cerebrovascular Disease: Research and Practice. *Stroke* 2015, 46, 3322–3328. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 28. Wan, L.H.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, X.P.; Deng, S.F.; Li, L.; He, S.Z.; Ruan, H.F. Stroke prevention knowledge and prestroke health behaviors among hypertensive stroke patients in mainland China. *J. Cardiovasc. Nurs.* **2014**, *29*, E1–E9. [CrossRef]
- Oesch, L.; Tatlisumak, T.; Arnold, M.; Sarikaya, H. Obesity paradox in stroke—Myth or reality? A systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0171334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Pan, B.; Jin, X.; Jun, L.; Qiu, S.; Zheng, Q.; Pan, M. The relationship between smoking and stroke: A meta-analysis. *Medicine* **2019**, *98*, e14872. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parappilly, B.P.; Field, T.S.; Mortenson, W.B.; Sakakibara, B.M.; Eng, J.J. Determinants Influencing the Prestroke Health Behaviors and Cardiovascular Disease Risk of Stroke Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis. 2019, 28, 1509–1518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kang, Y.; Yang, I.S.; Kim, N. Correlates of health behaviors in patients with coronary artery disease. Asian Nurs. Res. (Korean Soc. Nurs. Sci.) 2010, 4, 45–55. [CrossRef]
- 33. Jiang, S.; Shen, L.; Ruan, H.; Li, L. Family function and health behaviours of stroke survivors. *Int. J. Nurs. Sci.* 2014, 1, 272–276. [CrossRef]
- Liang, Z.-D.; Zhang, M.; Wang, C.-Z.; Yuan, Y.; Liang, J.-H. Association between sedentary behavior, physical activity, and cardiovascular disease-related outcomes in adults—A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Front. Public Health* 2022, 10, 1018460. [CrossRef]
- 35. Wicaksana, A.L.; Maharani, E.; Chen, H. Health Promoting Behaviors and Risk of Cardiovascular Events among Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases. *Open Access Maced. J. Med. Sci.* 2021, *9*, 135–142. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.