

Syntaxin 11 Contributes to the Interferon-Inducible Restriction of *Coxiella burnetii* Intracellular Infection

Sandhya Ganesan, ** Natalie N. Alvarez, b Samuel Steiner, a Karen M. Fowler, a Abigail K. Corona, a S O Craig R. Roya, c

^aDepartment of Microbial Pathogenesis, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA ^bDepartment of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA ^cDepartment of Immunobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

ABSTRACT There is a limited understanding of host defense mechanisms targeting intracellular pathogens that proliferate in a lysosome. Coxiella burnetii is a model bacterial pathogen capable of replicating in the hydrolytic and acidic environment of the lysosome. It has been shown that gamma interferon (IFN γ)-stimulated host cells restrict C. burnetii replication by a mechanism that involves host IDO1 depletion of tryptophan. Host cells deficient in IDO1 activity, however, retain the ability to restrict C. burnetii replication when stimulated with IFNy, which suggests additional mechanisms of host defense. This study identified syntaxin 11 (STX11) as a host protein that contributes to IFNy-mediated suppression of C. burnetii replication. STX11 is a SNARE protein; SNARE proteins are proteins that mediate fusion of host vesicles with specific subcellular organelles. Depletion of STX11 using either small interfering RNA (siRNA)- or CRISPR-based approaches enhanced C. burnetii replication intracellularly. Stable expression of STX11 reduced C. burnetii replication in epithelial cells and macrophages, which indicates that this STX11-dependent cell-autonomous response is operational in multiple cell types and can function independently of other IFN γ induced factors. Fluorescently tagged STX11 localized to the Coxiella-containing vacuole (CCV), and STX11 restriction was found to involve an interaction with STX8. Thus, STX11 regulates a vesicle fusion pathway that limits replication of this intracellular pathogen in a lysosome-derived organelle.

IMPORTANCE Cell intrinsic defense mechanisms are used by eukaryotic cells to restrict the replication and dissemination of pathogens. This study identified a human protein called syntaxin 11 (STX11) as a host restriction factor that inhibits the intracellular replication of *Coxiella burnetii*. Syntaxins regulate the delivery of cargo inside vesicles by promoting specific membrane fusion events between donor and acceptor vesicles. Data presented here demonstrate that STX11 regulates an immunological defense pathway that controls replication of pathogens in lysosome-derived organelles, which provides new insight into the function of this SNARE protein.

KEYWORDS *Coxiella*, innate immunity, interferons, intracellular bacteria, phagosomes, secretion systems

A ctivation of mammalian cells with the cytokine gamma interferon (IFN γ) restricts the replication of most intracellular pathogens and promotes clearance of the pathogen from the host. This is achieved by production of IFN γ -regulated proteins that promote cell autonomous defense mechanisms that ultimately lead to the destruction of the pathogen in a lysosome (1). Little is known about how IFN γ activation promotes host defense against specialized pathogens that have evolved to survive and replicate inside lysosome-derived organelles. To address this question, we are investigating the mechanisms of IFN γ -mediated restriction of *Coxiella burnetii* intracellular replication. Editor Yasuko Rikihisa, Ohio State University Copyright © 2023 Ganesan et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Craig R. Roy, craig.roy@yale.edu, or Sandhya Ganesan, sandhyag@iisertvm.ac.in.

*Present address: Sandhya Ganesan, School of Biology, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, India.

§Present address: Abigail K. Corona, Prime Education, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a direct contribution from Craig R. Roy, a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology, who arranged for and secured reviews by Maximiliano Gutierrez, The Francis Crick Institute, and Fabienne Paumet, Thomas Jefferson University.

Received 20 December 2022 Accepted 4 January 2023 Published 2 February 2023 *Coxiella burnetii* is an obligate intracellular bacterial pathogen that replicates in an acidified lysosome-derived vacuole referred to as the *Coxiella*-containing vacuole (CCV). The *C. burnetii dot* and *icm* genes encode a type IVB secretion system (T4SS) that is essential for biogenesis of a CCV that supports intracellular replication (2, 3). *C. burnetii* encodes over 100 different proteins called effectors that are translocated into the host cytosol by the Dot/Icm system, and these effector proteins mediate the subversion of multiple host cell pathways (4–13). Effector proteins have been shown to promote the remodeling and expansion of the CCV by controlling fusion with autophagic, secretory, and clathrin-coated vesicles (8, 14–20).

Host Ras-associated binding (RAB) GTPases promote endocytic maturation and progressive acidification of the nascent CCV, which delivers *C. burnetii* to an acidified lysosomal organelle (21). SNARE proteins (<u>S</u>oluble <u>N</u>-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor <u>A</u>ttachment protein <u>RE</u>ceptor) associated with the CCV membrane promote fusion of the CCV with host vesicles and organelles through cognate SNARE-SNARE interaction on the opposing membranes. Mammals encode roughly 36 different SNARE proteins, and the pairing of cognate SNARE proteins regulates organelle-specific delivery of cargo in membrane-bound vesicles (22–25). To generate the autolysosomal organelle in which *C. burnetii* replicates, the SNARE protein VAMP7 has been shown to promote fusion of the CCV with lysosomes (26), and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-localized SNARE protein syntaxin 17 (STX17) mediates fusion of the CCV with autophagosomes (8, 27–29).

The cytokine IFN γ is critical for host protection against pathogens that have evolved the ability to replicate in acidic and hydrolytic lysosomal compartments (30–35). Many hundreds of different genes are upregulated when cells are activated by IFN γ ; however, there remains much to learn about how these genes contribute to host defense. In human nonprofessional phagocytic cells, IFN γ -mediated restriction of *C. burnetii* replication is mediated primarily by upregulation of the host protein Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1), which is an enzyme that depletes tryptophan in the host cell to deprive *C. burnetii* of this essential nutrient (34). Silencing of *IDO1* partially rescues intracellular replication of *C. burnetii* in IFN γ -activated host cells, whereas inactivation of the IFN γ signaling pathway mediated by silencing of STAT1 completely restores *C. burnetii* replication (34). This indicates that other host factors contribute to IFN γ -mediated restriction of *C. burnetii* replication. The goal of this study was to identify additional IFN γ -regulated proteins that function in host restriction of *C. burnetii* replication.

RESULTS

STX11 contributes to IFN*y***-mediated restriction of** *C. burnetii***.** IDO1 is a dominant IFN*y*-induced host factor that interferes with *C. burnetii* intracellular replication by depleting tryptophan (34). We hypothesized that IDO1 function may precede or mask the importance of other IFN*y*-induced mechanisms that restrict *C. burnetii* replication. Thus, a small interfering RNA (siRNA) screen was conducted to identify host factors that contribute to IFN*y*-mediated restriction of *C. burnetii* replication under conditions where the host cells were supplemented with additional tryptophan to override the effect of IDO1. Luminescence was measured to assess intracellular replication of a *C. burnetii* strain producing luciferase (as described in reference 34). Peak luminescence was used to compare the effect of gene silencing on *C. burnetii* replication in HeLa cells over 6 days of infection (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Silencing of the gene encoding the SNARE protein Syntaxin 11 (STX11) resulted in a significant increase in *C. burnetii* luminescence in cells that were treated with IFN*y* and supplemented with tryptophan (Fig. S1). These data suggested that STX11 may contribute to IFN*y*-mediated restriction of *C. burnetii* intracellular replication (Fig. S1).

To validate the requirement of STX11 in IFN₂-mediated *C. burnetii* restriction, HeLa cells were treated with siRNA pools targeting either *IDO1* or *STX11* independently or pools that would silence both host factors (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the results in Fig. S1, silencing *STX11* in cells where *IDO1* was silenced (*IDO1+STX11* siRNA) and silencing *STX11* in Trp-supplemented cells significantly augmented *C. burnetii* luminescence in IFN₂-treated cells (Fig. 1A). Immunoblot analysis demonstrated production of



FIG 1 (A) Syntaxin 11 (STX11) contributes to IFN γ -mediated restriction of *C. burnetii*. HeLa 229 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA pools, infected with *C. burnetii* lux, and left untreated or treated with IFN γ in the presence or absence of additional tryptophan (Trp). *Coxiella* luminescence data were normalized to those of mock-transfected, untreated cells (first black bar). For each treatment group, statistical significance was calculated by comparing the luminescence values of *IDO1* siRNA with those of *STX11* siRNA alone or *IDO1+STX11* siRNA. The experiment was conducted at least twice, and the average values are shown. (B) STX11 protein levels in mock- or *STX11* siRNA-treated cells left untreated or treated with IFN γ were examined by western blotting. Statistical significance was calculated by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple-comparison test (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ****, *P* < 0.001).

the STX11 protein in HeLa cells, induction by IFN γ stimulation, and knockdown of *STX11* by siRNA pools in untreated and IFN γ -treated cells (Fig. 1B). Thus, neutralizing the effects of IDO1 was necessary to uncover a role for STX11 in IFN γ -mediated restriction of *C. burnetii* replication, which indicates a dominant phenotype for IDO1 in this defense pathway (Fig. 1).

Cells deficient in STX11 expression are more permissive for C. burnetii intracellular replication. To better characterize STX11 function during C. burnetii infection, STX11deficient HeLa cells were generated using CRISPR-based gene editing. HeLa cells constitutively expressing either STX11 guide RNA (gRNA) or the corresponding empty vector were clonally isolated and designated STX11-deficient and WT* cells (WT HeLa that expresses plentiGuide-Puro empty vector), respectively (Fig. S2A). No STX11 protein was detected in the STX11-deficient HeLa cells. Replication of C. burnetii in the STX11-deficient cells was compared to replication in WT* cells. These data showed enhanced replication of C. burnetii in the STX11-deficient cells (Fig. S2B). Consistent with replication data based on luminescence, C. burnetii replication assessed by measuring genome equivalents (GE) revealed enhanced replication of C. burnetii in STX11-deficient cells compared to WT* cells (Fig. S2C). To validate the role of STX11 in restriction of C. burnetii replication and reduce off-target effects that could potentially arise due to constitutive expression of Cas9 and gRNA, STX11deficient clones were isolated after transient expression of Cas9 and gRNA in HeLa CCL2 cells (Fig. 2A). Replication of C. burnetii was similarly enhanced in two independent clones of STX11-deficient HeLa CCL2 cells (Fig. 2B and C). CCVs in WT and STX11-deficient HeLa cells were immunostained for C. burnetii and a lysosomal membrane protein (LAMP1) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. CCVs stained positive for LAMP1 in the presence as well as the absence of STX11, indicating that STX11 is not required for maturation of the CCV to a lysosome-derived organelle. In the absence of IFN γ signaling, the average size of these LAMP1⁺ CCVs was increased in cells deficient in STX11 (Fig. 2D and E and Fig. S2D and E), consistent with data indicating enhanced bacterial replication in STX11-deficient cells (Fig. 2B and C and Fig. S2B and C). However, the small CCVs observed in IFNy-treated cells displayed no significant difference in size (Fig. S2E), which is consistent with IDO1 having a dominant role in restricting C. burnetii replication. Altogether, these data suggest STX11 mediates a host defense pathway that restricts C. burnetii replication.



FIG 2 Cells deficient in STX11 expression are more permissive for intracellular *Coxiella* replication. (A) STX11 expression in clonal isolates of STX11-deficient HeLa CCL2 cells, as detected by western blotting. (B) STX11-deficient cells (clones A and B) and the corresponding wild-type control (WT) were infected with *C. burnetii lux*, and luminescence was measured on the indicated days postinfection. In the graph on the right, values measured on 4 dpi were normalized to that of the WT. (C to E) WT and STX11-deficient cells were infected with wt *C. burnetii*. (C) Fold increase in *C. burnetii* genome equivalents at 7 dpi compared to that at 1 dpi was measured by qPCR. (D) Infected HeLa cells stained for DNA, LAMP1, and *C. burnetii* were examined by indirect immunofluorescence on 5 dpi. Bars, 10 μ m. (E) CCV area was measured for at least 100 vacuoles per cell type. Data in panels B, D, and E are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA (B) and unpaired *t* test (C and E) with Bonferroni's multiple-comparison test where applicable (**, *P* < 0.01; ****, *P* < 0.0001), based on the biological replicates included in one representative experiment.

STX11 overexpression interferes with *C. burnetii* **intracellular replication.** Green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged STX11 was produced ectopically in HeLa cells to determine if upregulation of STX11 would be sufficient to augment a host restriction pathway that limits *C. burnetii* intracellular replication. Immunoblot analysis confirmed the production of GFP-STX11 or GFP alone in the resulting stable cell lines (Fig. 3A). Production of GFP-STX11 decreased *C. burnetii* replication in both WT and STX11-



FIG 3 STX11 expression restricts *C. burnetii.* WT and STX11-deficient HeLa CCL2 cells were reconstituted with GFP-tagged STX11 or GFP alone. (A) Stable expression of GFP-STX11 and GFP was detected by Western blotting. (B) Transduced cells were infected with *C. burnetii lux*, and luminescence was measured at 4 dpi. Statistical significance was measured by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple-comparison test (***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001). (C) STX11-deficient cells reconstituted with GFP-STX11 or GFP alone were infected with wt *C. burnetii.* HeLa cells and CCVs stained for DNA, GFP, and LAMP1 were examined by indirect immunofluorescence at 4 dpi. One representative field containing CCV is shown. Bars, 10 μ m. (D) CCV area was measured for at least 50 vacuoles per cell type. Data in panels B and D are representative of at least 3 or 2 independent experiments, respectively. Statistical analysis was calculated based on data obtained from one representative experiment, from the biological replicate wells (B) or vacuolar sizes from several fields (D) included in that experiment. Statistical significance was measured by Student's *t* test (***, P < 0.001).

deficient cell lines (Fig. 3B and Fig. S2F). This decrease in *C. burnetii* replication in cells producing GFP-STX11 correlated with a decrease in the average size of the CCV in these cells (Fig. 3C and D). These data indicate that increasing STX11 production augments restriction of *C. burnetii* intracellular replication, suggesting that STX11 protein levels may be a limiting factor regulating this cell autonomous defense pathway.

STX11 associates with the mature CCV. The localization of GFP-STX11 was examined in *C. burnetii*-infected cells to address whether STX11 could mediate the fusion of host vesicles with the CCV. Fluorescence microscopy was used to localize GFP-tagged proteins and vacuoles containing *C. burnetii* (Fig. 3C and Fig. 4A). Bacteria inside the CCV were identified by DNA staining, and the limiting membrane of the CCV was identified by staining for the host protein LAMP1. These micrographs demonstrate that GFP-STX11 localized to the limiting membrane of the CCV (Fig. 3C and Fig. 4A). Colocalization of GFP-STX11 with LAMP1 was evident on the vacuoles containing *C. burnetii* (Fig. 4A).



FIG 4 STX11 localizes to the CCV, and its expression specifically suppresses *C. burnetii* replication. HeLa cells expressing GFP-STX (STX11, -7, -8, and -2), as indicated, were infected with wt *C. burnetii* at MOI of 25 at 4 dpi, and CCVs were examined by indirect immunofluorescence for the markers shown (A). CCVs were examined for colocalization of GFP-STX with the CCV membrane using LAMP1 staining as the reference (B). Panel A depicts Representative examples of STX scored as positive (GFP-STX7, -8, and -11) and as negative (GFP-STX2) for the data in panel B. Number of CCVs that showed partial or complete overlap in LAMP1 and GFP staining on the boundary of the CCV, compared to the total number of CCVs imaged is shown in panel B. HeLa cells stably expressing GFP-alone or GFP-tagged STX7, STX8, or STX11 were infected with *C. burnetii lux*, and bacterial luminescence was measured at 4 dpi (C). Data in panels B and C are averages from two independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA using Bonferroni analysis (**, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001). Bars, 10 μ m (A) and 2 μ m (insets).

The localization of other SNARE proteins was examined to determine the specificity of GFP-STX11 localization to the CCV. STX7, STX8, and Vti1B are endosome-associated t-SNAREs (target membrane SNARE) that typically pair with the v-SNARE (vesicle membrane SNARE) VAMP8 or VAMP7 to drive fusion events with late endosomes or lyso-somes, respectively (36, 37). Because these proteins are all involved in maturation of endocytic organelles, they should all have a role in CCV biogenesis. GFP-STX7 and GFP-STX8 were both found to be robustly associated with the CCV and colocalized with LAMP1 (Fig. 4A). STX2 is a plasma membrane-associated SNARE that may be transiently associated with an early CCV but is not predicted to play a direct role in CCV biogenesis. Consistent with this hypothesis, the mature CCV did not have STX2 associated with the limiting membrane, which demonstrates the selective association of host SNAREs with the CCV (Fig. 4A). Individual CCVs were analyzed and quantified for the association of these different SNARE proteins, which confirmed the association of STX7, STX8, and STX11 on the CCV and the absence of STX2 (Fig. 4B).

Because SNARE-SNARE interactions drive membrane fusion reactions, proteins in a complex with GFP-STX11 were identified by mass spectrometry. The GFP-STX11 protein was immunoprecipitated from uninfected and C. burnetii-infected cells. Consistent with previous studies identifying STX11 interacting proteins (38-41), the proteins SNAP23 and STXBP2 were identified in the GFP-STX11 complex (Fig. S3A). The STX8 protein was also identified in the GFP-STX11 immunoprecipitate, suggesting that STX8 could be a component of a complex containing STX11 (Fig. S3A). Immunoprecipitation of GFP-STX11 followed by immunoblotting for endogenous SNARE proteins demonstrated the association of STX8, VAMP8, and Vti1B in a complex with GFP-STX11 (Fig. S3B). Endogenous STX8 was detected on the CCV by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. S3C), consistent with previous observations (42, 43). HeLa cells expressed low levels of endogenous STX11, consistent with previous studies showing low expression of STX11 in nonlymphoid cells (38, 44, 45), which prevented specific detection of endogenous STX11 on the CCV in these cells. Overproduction of GFP-STX11 and GFP-STX8 after transient transfection of an expression plasmid enhanced localization of these GFP-tagged SNAREs to the CCV, providing further evidence that these proteins traffic to the CCV (Fig. S4).

The interaction between STX11 and STX8 was unexpected, and suggested that a complex containing these two proteins may be involved in restriction of *C. burnetii* intracellular replication. Consistent with this hypothesis, ectopic production of GFP-STX8 interfered with *C. burnetii* replication similar to ectopic production of GFP-STX11 (Fig. 4C). In contrast, ectopic production of GFP-STX7, which also localized to the CCV (Fig. 4A), did not inhibit *C. burnetii* intracellular replication (Fig. 4C). These data suggest that STX8 and STX11 have a functional relationship in a pathway that restricts *C. burnetii* intracellular replication.

STX11 expression interferes with C. burnetii replication in human macrophages. Because macrophages are the primary cells that support C. burnetii replication during human infection, the role of STX11 in cell autonomous defense was investigated using the human monocytic cell line THP-1. Treatment of THP-1 cells with phorbol 12-myristate 13acetate (PMA) induces differentiation of these immature monocytic cells into macrophagelike cells. Immunoblot analysis showed that STX11 is upregulated after IFN γ treatment in both undifferentiated and PMA-differentiated THP-1 cells (Fig. 5A). THP-1 cells that ectopically produce either GFP alone or GFP-STX11 were infected with C. burnetii to test whether elevated expression of STX11 interfered with intracellular replication (Fig. 5B). The PMAtreated macrophages producing GFP-STX11 were less permissive for C. burnetii replication than control cells producing GFP alone, as determined by measuring bacterial luminescence after 4 days of infection (Fig. 5C). Inhibition of C. burnetii replication in these cells was confirmed by GE measurements (Fig. 5D). No significant difference in C. burnetii replication was detected in undifferentiated THP-1 cells producing GFP-STX11, which could indicate a difference in the functionality of this antimicrobial pathway in monocytes compared to macrophages or could be related to higher levels of GFP-STX11 protein being detected in the PMA-treated cells. These data confirm that STX11 is important for a defense pathway that limits C. burnetii replication in human cells.

DISCUSSION

This study identified STX11 as a host factor important for restriction of *C. burnetii* intracellular replication. Notably, knockdowns in several IFN γ -induced genes typically involved in host defense against intracellular pathogens, including *GBP1*, -2, and -5 (encoding IFN γ -induced guanylate-binding proteins), *CYBB* (encoding 91-phox), and *NOS2* (encoding inducible nitric oxide synthase [iNOS]), did not enhance *C. burnetii* replication in HeLa cells, which may indicate that *C. burnetii* has mechanisms to evade these host defense mechanisms. In particular, GBPs are often recruited to pathogencontaining vacuoles and restrict pathogen replication by promoting cell-autonomous defense pathways that include vacuole rupture, activation of inflammasomes, and autophagy (46–49); however, efficient recruitment of GBP1 to the CCV has not been observed (our unpublished data).



FIG 5 STX11 expression interferes with *C. burnetii* replication in macrophages. (A) Endogenous STX11 expression in undifferentiated or PMAdifferentiated THP-1 cells treated with IFN₇ were examined by western blotting. (B) THP-1 cells expressing GFP-STX11 or GFP alone were treated with PMA as indicated, and expression of GFP-tagged proteins was examined by western blotting. Arrowheads indicate GFP-only and GFP-STX11 fusion proteins at the expected molecular weights. (C and D) THP-1 cells, transduced and differentiated as indicated, were infected with *C. burnetii* lux at MOI of 25, and luminescence was measured at 4 dpi (C), or cells were infected with wt *C. burnetii*, and bacterial GE were measured at 6 dpi by qPCR and normalized to that at 1 dpi (D). All biological replicates from 3 independent experiments are represented in dot plots (C and D). Statistical significance was measured by t tests (**, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001) comparing *C. burnetii* replication in GFP-STX11- and GFP-expressing cells (C and D).

STX11 is a well-characterized component of the machinery that promotes the fusion of lysosome-related organelles (LROs) with the plasma membrane to mediate release of lytic cargo into the immunological synapse, which is an important function in cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells (50–53). Mutations in STX11 are associated with impaired exocytosis of lytic granules and predispose humans to an immune disorder called familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis subtype 4 (FHL-4) (51, 54). Further studies have provided evidence for uncontrolled macrophage activation, cytopenia, hepatosplenomegaly, and elevated levels of multiple proinflammatory cytokines in the serum in FHL-4 patients, which cannot be accounted for by an exocytosis defect (55) and suggests other roles for STX11. The early and aggressive onset of symptoms in primary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH), as well as patients with macrophage activation syndrome (MAS, or acquired HLH), is predominantly triggered by infections (56), indicating a link between infection, immunity, and disease progression.

There are several attributes of STX11 that suggest a role in host defense and antimicrobial responses. STX11 is abundantly expressed in immune cells, is upregulated by immune activation through LPS and IFN γ , and is enriched in the phagosomes of IFN γ treated cells (38, 45, 53, 57). In addition, STX11 expression is very high in placenta, lung, and heart, which is a tissue distribution that aligns closely with the tropism of *C*. *burnetii* and many other intracellular pathogens (38, 44, 58). Findings presented here support a nonlymphocyte role for STX11 in controlling intracellular bacterial infection.

STX11 interferes with C. burnetii replication at uninduced levels of expression and

8

10.1128/mbio.03545-22

as an induced effector of IFN₇-mediated cell-intrinsic defense. The contribution of STX11 in restricting *C. burnetii* was validated by reconstituting STX11-deficient cells with STX11. The deficiency in STX11 did not measurably affect pathogen uptake or initial events postinternalization. Differential staining to measure extracellular and intracellular *C. burnetii* in wild-type and STX11-deficient cells did not reveal any uptake differences (data not shown). *Coxiella* luminescence that measures bacterial metabolic activity inside cells were not significantly different in wild-type and STX11-deficient cells at early times postinfection (Fig. 2B). The difference in *C. burnetii* replication in STX11-deficient cells was observed at roughly 3 to 5 days postinfection (dpi), which indicates that STX11 function interferes with *C. burnetii* replication at a later stage of infection (Fig. 2B).

Ectopic production of STX8 was observed to phenocopy ectopic production of STX11 with respect to limiting C. burnetii replication. STX8 is a SNARE involved in late endosomelysosome fusion and exocytic secretion of granules in platelets, which are functions that are similar to those of STX11 (36, 37, 59-62). STX11 and STX8 have been found to be the most enriched SNAREs on phagosomes in IFNy-activated macrophages implying a role in cell-autonomous immunity (57). SNARE-mediated fusion is driven by the formation of a 4-helix bundle between opposing membranes. Q-SNAREs (SNARE that contributes a conserved glutamine residue to the SNARE helical bundle) on donor membranes contribute 3 helixes (Qabc helixes) that typically interact with a helix on an R-SNARE (SNARE that contributes a conserved arginine residue to the SNARE helical bundle) on the acceptor membrane (24, 63, 64). STX11 is predicted to be a Qa SNARE that interacts with the Qbc SNARE SNAP23 and the R SNARE VAMP8 to mediate exocytosis of lytic granules (38, 52, 65). Importantly, GFP-STX11 produced in HeLa cells coimmunoprecipitated SNAP23 and VAMP8, suggesting that this SNARE bundle is generated in these cells (Fig. S3A and B). The proteins Vti1B (Qb SNARE) and STX8 (Qc SNARE) were also found in a complex with GFP-STX11, which suggests that an alternative pairing of SNAREs could mediate a cell-autonomous pathway that restricts C. burnetii replication. Previous data have implied that STX11 interacts with Vti1B to modulate the formation of functional SNARE complexes containing STX6 or STX8 to modulate exocytic and endocytic fusion pathways (61). Thus, STX11 can functionally interact with multiple SNAREs to modulate different cellular fusion events. Further, detection of STX11-interacting SNAREs in cells that have not been activated with IFNy or infected with C. burnetii (Fig. S3A and B) suggests a homeostatic role for STX11. Enhanced STX11 expression after IFNy stimulation suggests that the homeostatic role for STX11 is augmented during immune activation. Additional studies are necessary to determine how STX11, and potentially STX8, function in the pathway that suppresses C. burnetii replication.

The association of STX11 on the CCV suggests a direct role for this SNARE in mediating fusion of vesicles with the vacuole. GFP-STX11 puncta that colocalized with LAMP1 were observed on the CCV, which indicates delivery of GFP-STX11 to the limiting membrane of the CCV. These puncta could represent enrichment of STX11 at areas where vesicles displaying STX11 fuse with the CCV membrane. In comparison, a t-SNARE resident on the lysosome-derived CCV, such as STX7, displayed a more uniform distribution (66) (Fig. 4A and Fig. S4).

One possible role for STX11, which would be consistent with the localization of STX11 to the CCV, would be to promote the fusion of vesicles containing antimicrobial cargo with the CCV. This hypothesis would be consistent with STX11 mediating the fusion of LROs with the plasma membrane. LROs contain cargo that enhances microbial killing, such as lytic enzymes and antimicrobial peptides. One possibility is that STX11 is targeted to different subcellular organelles in different cell types to mediate fusion of LROs with either the plasma membrane or pathogen-containing vacuoles.

Intracellular bacterial pathogens employ multiple mechanisms to subvert SNARE functions to modulate organelle biogenesis and vesicle fusion in host cells. Effector proteins produced by bacterial pathogens such as *Legionella* and *Chlamydia* have been shown to function as SNARE mimics (67, 68) and enzymes that mediate SNARE or

TABLE 1 C. burnetii strains used in this study

Strain	Antibiotic (concn [µg/mL])
WT NMII RSA439	None
C. burnetii lux (generated and gifted by Shawna C. Reed, Roy Laboratory, Yale University) (78)	Kanamycin (375)
WT NMII RSA439 expressing mCherry under the control of the CBU_0311 promoter, gifted by Paul A. Beare (78)	Chloramphenicol (3)

synaptotagmin cleavage (69, 70). Pathogens can manipulate recruitment of SNAREs to the pathogen-containing vacuoles to modulate fusion of the vacuole with host organelles (71). *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* actively delays the recruitment of syntaxin 8 to the vacuole in which it resides, whereas the bacterial effector protein SipA, produced by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, mimics STX8 (72, 73). Thus, it is conceivable that *C. burnetii* has evolved effector-mediated mechanisms to partially counteract STX11 function. Indeed, many *C. burnetii* type IVB effectors localize to the CCV, have coiled-coil domains similar to the eukaryotic SNARE domain, and are important for *C. burnetii* replication (74). Overall, identification of STX11 and STX8 as host proteins that limit *C. burnetii* intracellular replication defines a cell-autonomous pathway that provides host defense against microbial pathogens, which makes these proteins potential targets for bacterial effector-mediated inhibition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. HEK293T, THP-1, HeLa 229, and CCL2 cells were from ATCC. All cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Gibco catalog no. 11965-118) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), referred to as complete medium, at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HeLa cells were maintained with DMEM containing 5% FBS during the course of infection. THP-1 cells were cultured in complete RPMI (RPMI 1640 medium with ATCC modification [catalog no. A10491-01] supplemented with 10% FBS and 50 μ M β -mercaptoethanol).

Coxiella burnetii strains. Wild-type *Coxiella burnetii* Nine Mile phase II (NMII) (RSA439) and a strain that constitutively expresses luminescence were used as described earlier (34) (Table 1). *C. burnetii* strains were grown for 6 days in acidified citrate cysteine medium 2 (ACCM-2) at 37° C, 2.5% O₂, and 5% CO₂ as described elsewhere (75, 76). Bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm and 4°C for 15 min, and pellets were resuspended in DMEM containing 5% FBS and sonicated for 10 min. *C. burnetii* genome equivalents were measured by quantitative PCR as described previously (8).

Reagents and antibodies. Black 96-well plates with clear bottoms, recombinant human IFN γ , and Ltryptophan were from Corning Costar (3904), Biolegend (570206), and Sigma-Aldrich (T0254), respectively. Trp was resuspended in tissue culture-grade water and used at 0.3125 mM. As listed in Table 2, siGENOME SMARTpool siRNAs (unless mentioned otherwise) and Dharmafect (T-2001) were obtained from Dharmacon. For transfection of plasmids (Table 3), TransIT-LT1 (Mirus Bio, MIR 2300) or Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, 11668027) was used.

siRNA screen and luminescence measurement. siRNAs were resuspended in 1× siRNA buffer and stored as 10 mM or 2 mM stocks at -20° C. Forty-eight hours prior to infection, HeLa 229 cells (10^{4} cells/ well) were reverse transfected with 25 or 50 nM siRNA using Dharmafect ($0.2 \ \mu$ L/well) in 96-well black, clear-bottom plates. As controls, cells were transfected with transfection reagent alone (mock transfection). HeLa cells were infected with *C. burnetii lux* at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100, and 5 h later, Trp ($0.3125 \ mM$) was added. One hour after Trp addition, cells were left untreated or treated with 10 ng/ mL of IFN_Y. Cells were washed and replenished with fresh medium without IFN_Y at 1 dpi, and luminescence values were measured on specific days postinfection as indicated using a Tecan Infinite M1000 plate reader. Peak *C. burnetii* luminescence values measured from untreated cells during the course of a 6-day infection period (4 dpi or as indicated) were normalized to 100%.

Immunoprecipitation, mass spectrometry, and Western blotting. HeLa CCL2 cells stably expressing GFP alone or GFP-STX11 were plated at 1.25×10^6 in two 10-cm dishes each and infected with wt *C. burnetii* or left uninfected. Three days postinfection, medium was removed, and cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), lifted using cell lifters, and collected in 15-mL conical tubes with a $400 \times g$ spin for 5 min at room temperature (RT). Supernatant was removed, and pellets were washed in 1 mL PBS again, transferred to 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes, and centrifuged at $2,000 \times g$ for 5 min. Supernatant was discarded, and cell pellets were lysed in 1 mL Differ (50 mM Tris-HCI [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, cOmplete Mini protease inhibitor tablet) and incubated on ice for 15 to 20 min. The lysate was clarified by a low-speed spin at 1,000 $\times g$ for 5 min at 4°C, and the resulting supernatant was separated into 2 microcentrifuge tubes, one for immunoprecipitate (IP) (950 μ L) and another for lysate (50 μ L) (for Western blotting). Lysate samples for IP were incubated with 15 μ L anti-GFP antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, 11814460001) for 45 min to 1 h at 4°C. Protein G Dynabeads

TABLE 2 SMARTpool siRNAs from Dharmacon^a

Pool catalog no.	Gene symbol	Gene ID	Accession no.	GI no.	Description	
M-011057-00	IFNGR1	3459	NM 000416	4557879	Interferon gamma receptor 1	
M-012713-00	IFNGR2	3460	NM 005534	47419933	Interferon gamma receptor 7	
M-003146-02	JAK2	3717	NM 004972	13325062	Janus kinase 2	
M-003145-02	JAK1	3716	NM 002227	102469033	Janus kinase 1	
M-011704-01	IRF1	3659	NM 002198	4504720	Interferon regulatory factor 1	
M-020858-02	IRF9	10379	NM 006084	82734235	Interferon regulatory factor 9	
M-003543-01	STAT1	6772	NM 007315	21536299	Signal transducer and activator of	
					transcription 1	
M-003544-02	STAT3	6774	NM_213662	47458819	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3	
M-006423-03	CEBPB	1051	NM_005194	28872795	CCAAT enhancer binding protein beta	
M-009240-01	NOS2	4843	NM 000625	24041028	Nitric oxide synthase 2	
M-011021-01	CYBB	1536	NM_000397	163854302	Cytochrome b_{avc} beta chain	
M-005153-02	GBP1	2633	NM_002053	4503938	Guanylate hinding protein 1	
M-011867-00	GBP2	2634	NM 004120	38327557	Guanylate binding protein 2	
M-028450-01	IBGM	345611	XM_001127260	113416797	Immunity-related GTPase M	
M-014116-01	IFITM3	10410	NM_021034	148612841	Interferon-induced transmembrane	
M_010460_01	STY11	8676	NM 003764	33667037	Syntaxin 11	
M-008317-00	RAB20	55647	NM_017817	8923400	RAB20, member of the RAS oncogene	
M-028161-01	RAB43	339122	NM_198490	50234888	RAB43, member of the RAS oncogene family	
M-010337-01	IDO1	3620	NM 002164	156071492	Indoleamine 2.3-dioxygenase 1	
M-019310-01	IDO2	169355	NM 194294	148539553	Indoleamine 2.3-dioxygenase 2	
M-013432-01	APOL6	80830	NM 030641	87162462	Apolipoprotein L6	
M-017402-02	APOL1	8542	NM 003661	21735613	Apolipoprotein L1	
M-007380-02	SLC11A1	6556	NM 000578	109255240	Solute carrier family 11 member 1	
M-009553-00	RAB36	9609	NM_004914	31795534	RAB36, member of the RAS oncogene family	
M-011002-01	C4A	720	NM 007293	67190747	Complement C4A	
M-005197-00	TNFRSF1A	7132	NM 001065	23312372	TNF receptor superfamily member 1A	
M-011511-04	SOCS1	8651	NM 003745	4507232	Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1	
M-003502-01	ICAM1	3383	NM_000201	4557877	Intercellular adhesion molecule 1	
M-012982-02	CLIC2	1193	NM 001289	66346732	Chloride intracellular channel 2	
M-003729-03	PLSCR1	5359	NM 021105	10863876	Phospholipid scramblase 1	
M-008322-01	WARS	7453	NM 004184	7710155	Tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase	
M-004202-01	NMI	9111	NM 004688	4758813	N-Myc and STAT interactor	
M-005844-01	CTSS	1520	NM 004079	23110961	Cathepsin S	
M-007516-01	SI C2A3	6515	NM_006931	5902089	Solute carrier family 2 member 3	
M-007402-02	SLC16A1	6566	NM 003051	115583684	Solute carrier family 16 member 1	
M-007453-00	SLC22A2	6582	NM 003058	23510411	Solute carrier family 22 member 2	
M-007143-01	DTX3L	151636	NM 138287	31377615	Deltex E3 ubiguitin ligase 3L	
M-018178-00	GBP5	115362	NM 052942	31377630	Guanylate binding protein 5	
M-007831-01	CCL2	6347	NM 002982	56119169	C-C motif chemokine ligand 2	
M-006022-01	PSMB8	5696	NM 148919	73747874	Proteasome subunit beta 8	
M-011699-01	IRF8	3394	NM 002163	55953136	Interferon regulatory factor 8	
D-001810-10	ON-TARGET plus				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Nontargeting Control					
L-003543-00-005 (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNA)	STAT1	6772			Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1	

^aCCAAT, Cytosine-cytosine-adenosine-adenosine-thymidine box motif; RAB, Ras-associated binding protein; TNF, Tumor necrosis factor.

(Invitrogen, 10003D) were washed twice with lysis buffer, and 50 μ L protein G agarose slurry was added to each lysate with antibody sample for overnight incubation at 4°C. Beads were separated using a Dynamag magnet, and flowthrough was removed. The beads were washed twice with wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCI [pH 7.5], 0.25 M NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 0.05% deoxycholate).

For mass spectrometry, the beads were additionally washed three times with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, resuspended in a 5 to $10 \times$ bead volume of a 1/500 dilution of trypsin (Promega V5113) in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, and mixed well. The samples were incubated overnight at 37° C on a thermomixer with gentle rocking. The supernatants and a first deionized-water wash of the beads were combined

TABLE 3	Plasmids	used in	this	study
---------	----------	---------	------	-------

Plasmid	Notes	Antibiotic	Source
pEGFP-C1		Kanamycin	Clontech
pDNR-Dual-STX11		Ampicillin	Harvard PlasmID Database (ID HsCD00005628)
pEGFP-C1 STX7	Cloned hSTX7 at the Sall site in pEGFP-C1 by ligation- independent cloning	Kanamycin	This study
pEGFP-C1 STX8	Cloned hSTX8 at the Sall site in pEGFP-C1 by ligation- independent cloning	Kanamycin	This study
pEGFP-C1 STX11	Cloned h <i>STX11</i> at the Sall site in pEGFP-C1 by ligation- independent cloning	Kanamycin	This study
pEGFP-C1 VAMP8	Cloned hVAMP8 at the Sall site in pEGFP-C1 by ligation- independent cloning	Kanamycin	This study
PX459	Sp-Cas9-2A-Puro and single guide RNA	Ampicillin	Addgene, no. 62988
pVSV-G	Lentiviral envelope plasmid	Ampicillin	Gift from Jorge Galán, Yale University
psPAX2	Lentiviral packaging plasmid	Ampicillin	Gift from Didier Trono (Addgene no. 12260)
plentiCas9-Blast		Ampicillin	Addgene, no. 52962
plentiGuide-Puro		Ampicillin	Addgene, no. 52963
pLenti-EGFP		Ampicillin	Gift from Brett Lindenbach, Yale University
pLenti-EGFP-STX11	Cloned STX11 at the BsrG1 site by ligation-independent cloning	Ampicillin	This study
pLenti-EGFP-STX8	Cloned STX8 at the BsrG1 site by ligation-independent cloning	Ampicillin	This study
pLenti-EGFP-STX7	Cloned STX7 at the BsrG1 site by ligation-independent cloning	Ampicillin	This study
pLenti-EGFP-STX2	Cloned STX2 at the BsrG1 site by ligation-independent cloning	Ampicillin	This study

and saved. These samples were further acidified with formic acid at a final 0.5% concentration and submitted to the Keck Proteomics facility at Yale. Data were analyzed using Scaffold software. A list with exclusive spectral counts for SNARE and vesicle traffic-related proteins was compiled using the following parameters: protein threshold, 99%; peptide threshold, 95%; and minimum number of peptides, 1.

For Western blotting, after two washes with wash buffer, 2× Laemmli dye with β -mercaptoethanol (β -ME) was added to the beads and 4× dye to the lysate (lysate control for immunoprecipitation). The samples were incubated at 9°°C for 10 min and loaded on to 10% SDS-PAGE gels. For Fig. 2A, 3A, and 5A and B and Fig. S2A, cells were directly lysed using the 1× blue loading buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, 7722). Proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. The primary antibodies anti-STX11 (Millipore Sigma, ABS1033, or Thermo Fisher, PA5-50800), anti- α -tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich, T9026), anti-GFP (Sigma-Aldrich, 11814460001, or GenScript, A01388), anti-STX8 (R&D Systems, AF5348-SP), anti-Vti1B (Proteintech, 14495-1-AP) were prepared typically at 1:1,000 in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) or 5% milk in 1× Tris-buffered saline–Tween (TBST) per the manufacturer's recommendations. The secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit/mouse/sheep/goat lgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 65-6120 and 62-6520; Novus Biologicals, HAF016; Abcam, ab6741) were used at 1:5,000. To confirm knockdown of *STX11* by siRNA, cells were reverse transfected with 50 nM siRNA in 96-well plates and treated with IFNy for 48 h, and cell lysates were prepared using 1× blue loading buffer and analyzed for STX11 protein levels by immunoblotting.

Generation of STX11-deficient cells using CRISPR. *STX11* gRNA oligonucleotides were designed using the web-based guide RNA design platform developed by the Feng Zhang lab (https://zlab.bio/guide-design-resources) and are listed in Table 4. gRNA oligonucleotides were annealed and cloned in plentiGuide-Puro and PX459 plasmids at BsmBl and Bbsl sites, respectively, according to protocols developed by the Zhang lab (http://www.addgene.org/crispr/zhang/) (77). For each cell line, at least two independent clones were used to determine the effect of STX11 deficiency on *C. burnetii* replication.

(i) HeLa 229 cells. HeLa 229 cells stably expressing Cas9 were made by lentiviral transduction of HeLa 229 with plentiCas9-BLAST (Table 3) and selection with blasticidin (6 μ g/mL), followed by clonal isolation. Cas9-expressing cells were left untransduced or transduced with pLentiGuide-puro, which expresses *STX11* gRNA (Table 3) or does not include a cloned gRNA (empty vector [EV]). At 48 h postransduction, cells were replenished with complete medium for a day, and then 2 μ g/mL puromycin was added. Once the cells were stable in puromycin, they were clonally isolated to identify a clone that does not express STX11 protein by Western blotting.

(ii) HeLa CCL2 cells. HeLa CCL2 cells were transiently transfected with the PX459 plasmid, which expresses *STX11* gRNA (Table 3), using TransIT-LT1 per the manufacturer's instructions. At 24 h posttransfection, cells were selected in puromycin (2 μ g/mL) for 48 h prior to clonal isolation. Cells were not maintained in puromycin selection to avoid the stable integration of *Cas9* into the genome.

Measurement of GE. Cells (5×10^4 per well for HeLa 229 or 2.5×10^4 per well for HeLa CCL2) were plated in 24-well plates, 1 day prior to infection. Cells were infected with wt *C. burnetii* NMII at MOI of 100 and washed 1 dpi. At 1 and 7 dpi, supernatants were collected separately and combined with cells

TABLE 4 Oligonucleotides used in this study

Primer or		
oligonucleotide	Sequence	Description or purpose
<i>STX11</i> F	CACCGTCTCGAACACGATGTCCTCG	gRNA oligonucleotide used for cloning in plentiGuide-puro and PX459
<i>STX11</i> R	AAACCGAGGACATCGTGTTCGAGAC	gRNA oligonucleotide used for cloning in plentiGuide-puro and PX459
pEGFP-C1- <i>STX11</i> F	GATCTCGAGCTCAAGCTTCGAATTCTGCAGTCGACATGAAAGACCGGCTAGCAG	Cloning hSTX11 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>STX11</i> R	GATCCGGTGGATCCCGGGCCCGCGGTACCGTCGACCTACTTGAGGCAGGGACAG	Cloning hSTX11 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>STX7</i> F	GATCTCGAGCTCAAGCTTCGAATTCTGCAGTCGACATGTCTTACACTCCAGG AGTTGG	Cloning hSTX7 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>STX7</i> R	GATCCGGTGGATCCCGGGCCCGCGGTACCGTCGACTCAGTGGTTCAATCCCCA TATGAT	Cloning hSTX7 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>STX8</i> F	GATCTCGAGCTCAAGCTTCGAATTCTGCAGTCGACATGGCACCGGACCCCT	Cloning hSTX8 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>STX8</i> R	GATCCGGTGGATCCCGGGCCCGCGGTACCGTCGACTCAGTTGGTCGGCCAGAC	Cloning hSTX8 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>VAMP8</i> F	GATCTCGAGCTCAAGCTTCGAATTCTGCAGTCGACATGGAGGAAGCCAGTGAAGG	Cloning hVAMP8 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
pEGFP-C1- <i>VAMP8</i> R	GATCCGGTGGATCCCGGGCCCGCGGTACCGTCGACTTAAGAGAAGGCACCAGTGGC	Cloning hVAMP8 in pEGFP-C1 vector at Sall by ligation-independent cloning
dotA F	GCGCAATACGCTCAATCACA	qPCR for C. burnetii GE
dotA R	CCATGGCCCCAATTCTCTT	qPCR for <i>C. burnetii</i> GE

lysed with distilled water. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Illustra bacterial genomicPrep mini spin kit (catalog no. 28904259; GE) and genomic equivalents (GE) were quantified by qPCR using primers for the *C. burnetii dotA* gene (Table 4).

Indirect immunofluorescence and live microscopy. Cells (2.5×10^4 or 5×10^4) were plated on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips placed in each well of 24-well plates, 24 h before infection. Cells were infected at MOI of 25 (HeLa CCL2) or 100 (HeLa 229) and washed at 1 dpi. Cells were fixed at 1, 4, or 5 dpi with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min at RT. Coverslips were washed at least six times with 1× PBS, permeabilized, and blocked with 0.2% saponin, 0.5% BSA, and 1% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated FBS. Coverslips were stained with rabbit anti-*C. burnetii* (2), mouse anti-LAMP1 (clone H4A3; Development Studies Hybridoma bank at the University of lowa), rabbit anti-LAMP1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9091S), anti-GFP (Sigma-Aldrich, 11814460001), anti-STX8 (BD 611352), and DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Sigma-Aldrich, D9542) at 1:10,000, 1:250, 1:100, 1:100, and 1:10,000, respectively. Goat anti-rabbit and -mouse IgG (H+L) highly cross-adsorbed secondary antibodies with Alexa Fluor 568, 488, or 647 conjugates were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Thermo Scientific, A32733, A32731, A11029, and A32727) and used at 1:2,000.

For live microscopy, HeLa cells were plated at 0.2 million cells in 35-mm dishes (MatTek Corporation, P35G-1.5-14-C) or 0.05 million cells in 24-well plates with glass bottoms (NEST Scientific, 801006) and left overnight. Cells were transfected with pEGFP-C1 expressing GFP-tagged SNAREs using Mirus LT1 (MIR 2300), per the manufacturer's instructions. At 6 h posttransfection, cells were infected with wt *C. burnetii* expressing mCherry controlled by the promoter of CBU_0311, at MOI of 100. Cells were washed six times with PBS after 18 h. Uninfected and infected cells were imaged at 2 or 3 dpi after staining with Hoechst (Thermo Fisher Scientific, H1399).

Images were captured with a Photometrics CoolSNAP EZ 20 MHz digital monochrome camera, Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S inverted microscope (Lumencor), and Nikon Plan Apo100x objective/1.4 numerical aperture as described earlier (34). Three-dimensional (3D) images were acquired using SlideBook software 6.0 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations), and z-stacks were deconvolved using nearest neighbors or constrained iterative methods. Deconvolved images were processed using Fiji, saved as TIFF files, resized, and labeled using Adobe Illustrator, and representative fields are shown. CCV area was measured by tracing the limiting membrane of the CCV using SlideBook software.

Lentiviral expression of GFP and GFP-STX11 in HeLa cells. The human *STX11* coding sequence (CDS) (gene ID 8676) was generously provided by Harvard PlasmID Database (ID HsCD00005628). The *STX11* CDS was cloned into an empty lentiviral vector, pLenti-EGFP, at the BsrGl site, C terminal to enhanced GFP (EGFP) but separated by a linker (GGGGSGGGGS), through ligase-independent cloning using the primers listed in Table 4. Briefly, 3 million HEK293T cells were plated per 10-cm dish and transfected with pLenti-EGFP (empty vector) or pLenti-EGFP-STX11 with pVSV-G and psPAX2, as listed in Table 3, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Supernatants containing lentiviral particles were collected at 72 h posttransfection and filtered using a 0.45- μ m low-protein-binding filter (Millipore, SLHA033SS). Lentivirus containing pLenti-EGFP or pLenti-EGFP-STX11 was used to transduce subconfluent HeLa cells by incubating cells with a 1:1 ratio of lentiviral medium and complete medium in the presence of Polybrene (Sigma H9268; 8 μ g/mL). Transduced cells were selected using zeocin (200 μ g/mL)

for two rounds followed by, in some cases, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-based enrichment of GFP-positive cells. Expression of GFP or GFP-STX11 was verified by Western blotting using anti-GFP antibody.

Culture and lentiviral transduction of THP-1 cells. To derive GFP-only- or GFP-STX11-expressing lentivirus for transducing THP-1 cells, supernatants from packaging HEK293T cells were concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 25,000 rpm at 4°C for 2 h using a 45 Ti rotor. Lentivirus-containing supernatants from ~5 or 6 dishes of HEK293T cells were concentrated, and visible pellets were observed. The postspin supernatant was discarded, and pellets were dissolved in complete RPMI to concentrate 7.5-fold. A total of 1.5 million cells of THP-1 cells in 500 μ L medium were mixed with 2 mL concentrated lentiviral supernatant and 2 μ g/mL Polybrene, placed in 6-well plates, and spun down at 2,000 × g for 45 min at 37°C. After the spin, cells were gently pipetted a few times to avoid cell clumping. After 2 days, the medium was replaced with fresh complete RPMI. After a day of recovery, medium was supplemented with 600 μ g/mL zeocin, a concentration determined to be effective for THP-1 cells by kill curves. Antibiotic-stable cells were sorted for GFP⁺ cells using FACS.

Infection of THP-1 cells. Parental THP-1 cells or transduced, FACS-sorted GFP- or GFP-STX11-expressing THP-1 cells were plated at 6×10^4 /well (96-well plate) for the *C. burnetii* luminescence-based growth curve assay, 2×10^5 /well (24-well plate) for the *C. burnetii* GE-based growth curve assay, and 3.5×10^5 to 4×10^5 / well (12-well plate) for assessment of protein expression. Cells were treated with PMA (124 ng/mL) for 24 h for differentiation into macrophages. PMA-containing medium was removed, and cells were replenished with fresh medium prior to infection. Cells were infected with wt *C. burnetii* or *C. burnetii* lux at MOI of 25. Overnight infection was followed by washing the cells and replenishing with fresh complete RPMI. Luminescence/GE-based growth curves were performed similarly to that described for HeLa cells. Where indicated, cells were treated with IFNy (100 ng/mL) for 48 h.

Data analysis and statistics. Data were graphed and analyzed using Prism 9 software. Statistical significance was determined by *t* test or one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) depending on the number of groups and comparison and Bonferroni *post hoc* tests using Prism 9.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **FIG S1**, TIF file, 1.6 MB. **FIG S2**, TIF file, 5.6 MB. **FIG S3**, TIF file, 4.2 MB. **FIG S4**, TIF file, 5.4 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the following colleagues at Yale University for their assistance: Shawna C. Reed (now at Quinnipiac University, Connecticut) for generating the *C. burnetii lux* strain, Harish Ramanathan (now at Merck & Co.) for the pLenti-EGFP plasmid, Jeff Coleman (James E. Rothman laboratory) for the original human STX8, human STX7, and rat STX2 plasmids, and Shu-Jung Chang (now at National Taiwan University, Taiwan) for CRISPR-related feedback. We are grateful to the members of the Roy, Galán, Rego, and Kazmierczak laboratories for their valuable input and feedback. We thank the Yale Keck sequencing and proteomics facility for sequencing of the cloning constructs and mass spectrometry of the protein samples.

REFERENCES

- MacMicking JD. 2012. Interferon-inducible effector mechanisms in cellautonomous immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 12:367–382. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nri3210.
- Carey KL, Newton HJ, Lührmann A, Roy CR. 2011. The Coxiella burnetii Dot/Icm system delivers a unique repertoire of type IV effectors into host cells and is required for intracellular replication. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002056. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002056.
- Beare PA, Gilk SD, Larson CL, Hill J, Stead CM, Omsland A, Cockrell DC, Howe D, Voth DE, Heinzen RA. 2011. Dot/Icm type IVB secretion system requirements for Coxiella burnetii growth in human macrophages. mBio 2:e00175-11. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00175-11.
- Voth DE, Howe D, Heinzen RA. 2007. Coxiella burnetii inhibits apoptosis in human THP-1 cells and monkey primary aleolar macrophages. Infect Immun 75:4263–4271. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00594-07.
- Luhrmann A, Roy CR. 2007. Coxiella burnetii inhibits activation of host cell apoptosis through a mechanism that involves preventing cytochrome c release from mitochondria. Infect Immun 75:5282–5289. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/IAI.00863-07.

- Howe D, Melnicáková J, Barák I, Heinzen RA. 2003. Maturation of the Coxiella burnetii parasitophorous vacuole requires bacterial protein synthesis but not replication. Cell Microbiol 5:469–480. https://doi.org/10.1046/j .1462-5822.2003.00293.x.
- Weber MM, Faris R, McLachlan J, Tellez A, Wright WU, Galvan G, Luo Z-Q, Samuel JE. 2016. Modulation of the host transcriptome by Coxiella burnetii nuclear effector Cbu1314. Microbes Infect 18:336–345. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.micinf.2016.01.003.
- Newton HJ, Kohler LJ, McDonough JA, Temoche-Diaz M, Crabill E, Hartland EL, Roy CR. 2014. A screen of Coxiella burnetii mutants reveals important roles for Dot/Icm effectors and host autophagy in vacuole biogenesis. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004286. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat .1004286.
- Crabill E, Schofield WB, Newton HJ, Goodman AL, Roy CR, Bäumler AJ. 2018. Dot/lcm-translocated proteins important for biogenesis of the Coxiella burnetii-containing vacuole identified by screening of an effector mutant sublibrary. Infect Immun 86:e00758-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/ IAI.00758-17.

- Martinez E, Cantet F, Fava L, Norville I, Bonazzi M. 2014. Identification of OmpA, a Coxiella burnetii protein involved in host cell invasion, by multiphenotypic high-content screening. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004013. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004013.
- Beare PA, Sandoz KM, Larson CL, Howe D, Kronmiller B, Heinzen RA. 2014. Essential role for the response regulator PmrA in Coxiella burnetii type 4B secretion and colonization of mammalian host cells. J Bacteriol 196: 1925–1940. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01532-14.
- Clemente TM, Mulye M, Justis AV, Nallandhighal S, Tran TM, Gilk SD. 2018. Coxiella burnetii blocks intracellular IL-17 signaling in macrophages. Infect Immun 86:e00532-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00532-18.
- Samanta D, Clemente TM, Schuler BE, Gilk SD. 2019. Coxiella burnetii type 4B secretion system-dependent manipulation of endolysosomal maturation is required for bacterial growth. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007855. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007855.
- Berón W, Gutierrez MG, Rabinovitch M, Colombo MI. 2002. Coxiella burnetii localizes in a Rab7-labeled compartment with autophagic characteristics. Infect Immun 70:5816–5821. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.70.10.5816-5821.2002.
- Gutierrez MG, Vázquez CL, Munafó DB, Zoppino FCM, Berón W, Rabinovitch M, Colombo MI. 2005. Autophagy induction favours the generation and maturation of the Coxiella-replicative vacuoles. Cell Microbiol 7:981–993. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00527.x.
- Romano PS, Gutierrez MG, Berón W, Rabinovitch M, Colombo MI. 2007. The autophagic pathway is actively modulated by phase II Coxiella burnetii to efficiently replicate in the host cell. Cell Microbiol 9:891–909. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00838.x.
- Campoy EM, Zoppino FCM, Colombo MI. 2011. The early secretory pathway contributes to the growth of the Coxiella-replicative niche. Infect Immun 79:402–413. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00688-10.
- Winchell CG, Graham JG, Kurten RC, Voth DE. 2014. Coxiella burnetii type IV secretion-dependent recruitment of macrophage autophagosomes. Infect Immun 82:2229–2238. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01236-13.
- Larson CL, Beare PA, Howe D, Heinzen RA. 2013. Coxiella burnetii effector protein subverts clathrin-mediated vesicular trafficking for pathogen vacuole biogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E4770–E4779. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1309195110.
- Latomanski EA, Newton P, Khoo CA, Newton HJ. 2016. The effector Cig57 hijacks FCHO-mediated vesicular trafficking to facilitate intracellular replication of Coxiella burnetii. PLoS Pathog 12:e1006101. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.ppat.1006101.
- Newton HJ, McDonough JA, Roy CR. 2013. Effector protein translocation by the Coxiella burnetii Dot/Icm type IV secretion system requires endocytic maturation of the pathogen-occupied vacuole. PLoS One 8:e54566. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054566.
- Söllner T, Whiteheart SW, Brunner M, Erdjument-Bromage H, Geromanos S, Tempst P, Rothman JE. 1993. SNAP receptors implicated in vesicle targeting and fusion. Nature 362:318–324. https://doi.org/10.1038/362318a0.
- McNew JA, Parlati F, Fukuda R, Johnston RJ, Paz K, Paumet F, Söllner TH, Rothman JE. 2000. Compartmental specificity of cellular membrane fusion encoded in SNARE proteins. Nature 407:153–159. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/35025000.
- Parlati F, McNew JA, Fukuda R, Miller R, Söllner TH, Rothman JE. 2000. Topological restriction of SNARE-dependent membrane fusion. Nature 407:194–198. https://doi.org/10.1038/35025076.
- Paumet F, Rahimian V, Rothman JE. 2004. The specificity of SNARE-dependent fusion is encoded in the SNARE motif. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:3376–3380. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400271101.
- Campoy EM, Mansilla ME, Colombo MI. 2013. Endocytic SNAREs are involved in optimal Coxiella burnetii vacuole development. Cell Microbiol 15:922–941. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12087.
- McDonough JA, Newton HJ, Klum S, Swiss R, Agaisse H, Roy CR. 2013. Host pathways important for Coxiella burnetii infection revealed by genome-wide RNA interference screening. mBio 4:e00606-12. https://doi .org/10.1128/mBio.00606-12.
- Martinez E, Allombert J, Cantet F, Lakhani A, Yandrapalli N, Neyret A, Norville IH, Favard C, Muriaux D, Bonazzi M. 2016. Coxiella burnetii effector CvpB modulates phosphoinositide metabolism for optimal vacuole development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:E3260–E3269. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1522811113.
- Kohler LJ, Reed SR, Sarraf SA, Arteaga DD, Newton HJ, Roy CR. 2016. Effector protein Cig2 decreases host tolerance of infection by directing constitutive fusion of autophagosomes with the Coxiella-containing vacuole. mBio 7:e01127-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01327-16.

- Andoh M, Zhang G, Russell-Lodrigue KE, Shive HR, Weeks BR, Samuel JE. 2007. T cells are essential for bacterial clearance, and gamma interferon, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and B cells are crucial for disease development in Coxiella burnetii infection in mice. Infect Immun 75:3245–3255. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01767-06.
- Dellacasagrande J, Capo C, Raoult D, Mege JL. 1999. IFN-gamma-mediated control of Coxiella burnetii survival in monocytes: the role of cell apoptosis and TNF. J Immunol 162:2259–2265. https://doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.162.4.2259.
- Ghigo E, Capo C, Tung C-H, Raoult D, Gorvel J-P, Mege J-L. 2002. Coxiella burnetii survival in THP-1 monocytes involves the impairment of phagosome maturation: IFN-gamma mediates its restoration and bacterial killing. J Immunol 169:4488–4495. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.8.4488.
- Zamboni DS, Rabinovitch M. 2003. Nitric oxide partially controls Coxiella burnetii phase II infection in mouse primary macrophages. Infect Immun 71:1225–1233. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.3.1225-1233.2003.
- 34. Ganesan S, Roy CR. 2019. Host cell depletion of tryptophan by IFNγinduced Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibits lysosomal replication of Coxiella burnetii. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007955. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.ppat.1007955.
- 35. Swihart K, Fruth U, Messmer N, Hug K, Behin R, Huang S, Del Giudice G, Aguet M, Louis JA. 1995. Mice from a genetically resistant background lacking the interferon gamma receptor are susceptible to infection with Leishmania major but mount a polarized T helper cell 1-type CD4+ T cell response. J Exp Med 181:961–971. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.181.3.961.
- Antonin W, Holroyd C, Fasshauer D, Pabst S, Mollard von GF, Jahn R. 2000. A SNARE complex mediating fusion of late endosomes defines conserved properties of SNARE structure and function. EMBO J 19:6453–6464. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.23.6453.
- Pryor PR, Mullock BM, Bright NA, Lindsay MR, Gray SR, Richardson SCW, Stewart A, James DE, Piper RC, Luzio JP. 2004. Combinatorial SNARE complexes with VAMP7 or VAMP8 define different late endocytic fusion events. EMBO Rep 5:590–595. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400150.
- Valdez AC, Cabaniols JP, Brown MJ, Roche PA. 1999. Syntaxin 11 is associated with SNAP-23 on late endosomes and the trans-Golgi network. J Cell Sci 112:845–854. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.112.6.845.
- Hellewell AL, Foresti O, Gover N, Porter MY, Hewitt EW. 2014. Analysis of familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis type 4 (FHL-4) mutant proteins reveals that S-acylation is required for the function of syntaxin 11 in natural killer cells. PLoS One 9:e98900. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0098900.
- Spessott WA, Sanmillan ML, McCormick ME, Kulkarni VV, Giraudo CG. 2017. SM protein Munc18-2 facilitates transition of Syntaxin 11-mediated lipid mixing to complete fusion for T-lymphocyte cytotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E2176–E2185. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1617981114.
- Kinoshita D, Sakurai C, Morita M, Tsunematsu M, Hori N, Hatsuzawa K. 2019. Syntaxin 11 regulates the stimulus-dependent transport of Toll-like receptor 4 to the plasma membrane by cooperating with SNAP-23 in macrophages. Mol Biol Cell 30:1085–1097. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc .E18-10-0653.
- 42. Ghigo E, Colombo MI, Heinzen RA. 2012. The Coxiella burnetii parasitophorous vacuole. Adv Exp Med Biol 984:141–169. https://doi.org/10 .1007/978-94-007-4315-1_8.
- Miller HE, Larson CL, Heinzen RA. 2018. Actin polymerization in the endosomal pathway, but not on the Coxiella-containing vacuole, is essential for pathogen growth. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007005. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1007005.
- Advani RJ, Bae HR, Bock JB, Chao DS, Doung YC, Prekeris R, Yoo JS, Scheller RH. 1998. Seven novel mammalian SNARE proteins localize to distinct membrane compartments. J Biol Chem 273:10317–10324. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.17.10317.
- 45. Prekeris R, Klumperman J, Scheller RH. 2000. Syntaxin 11 is an atypical SNARE abundant in the immune system. Eur J Cell Biol 79:771–780. https://doi.org/10.1078/0171-9335-00109.
- 46. Yamamoto M, Okuyama M, Ma JS, Kimura T, Kamiyama N, Saiga H, Ohshima J, Sasai M, Kayama H, Okamoto T, Huang DC, Soldati-Favre D, Horie K, Takeda J, Takeda K. 2012. A cluster of interferon-γ-inducible p65 GTPases plays a critical role in host defense against Toxoplasma gondii. Immunity 24:37:302–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.06.009.
- Kim B-H, Shenoy AR, Kumar P, Das R, Tiwari S, MacMicking JD. 2011. A family of IFNγ-inducible 65-kD GTPases protects against bacterial infection. Science 332:717–721. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201711.
- Selleck EM, Fentress SJ, Beatty WL, Degrandi D, Pfeffer K, Virgin HI, MacMicking JD, Sibley LD. 2013. Guanylate-binding protein 1 (Gbp1)

contributes to cell-autonomous immunity against Toxoplasma gondii. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003320. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003320.

- Meunier E, Dick MS, Dreier RF, Schürmann N, Broz DK, Warming S, Roose-Girma M, Bumann D, Kayagaki N, Takeda K, Yamamoto M, Broz P. 2014. Caspase-11 activation requires lysis of pathogen-containing vacuoles by IFNinduced GTPases. Nature 509:366–370. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13157.
- Arneson LN, Brickshawana A, Segovis CM, Schoon RA, Dick CJ, Leibson PJ. 2007. Cutting edge: syntaxin 11 regulates lymphocyte-mediated secretion and cytotoxicity. J Immunol 179:3397–3401. https://doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.179.6.3397.
- Bryceson YT, Rudd E, Zheng C, Edner J, Ma D, Wood SM, Bechensteen AG, Boelens JJ, Celkan T, Farah RA, Hultenby K, Winiarski J, Roche PA, Nordenskjöld M, Henter J-I, Long EO, Ljunggren H-G. 2007. Defective cytotoxic lymphocyte degranulation in syntaxin-11 deficient familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis 4 (FHL4) patients. Blood 110:1906–1915. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-02-074468.
- Halimani M, Pattu V, Marshall MR, Chang HF, Matti U, Jung M, Becherer U, Krause E, Hoth M, Schwarz EC, Rettig J. 2014. Syntaxin11 serves as a t-SNARE for the fusion of lytic granules in human cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Eur J Immunol 44:573–584. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201344011.
- 53. D'Orlando O, Zhao F, Kasper B, Orinska Z, Müller J, Hermans-Borgmeyer I, Griffiths GM, Stadt Zur U, Bulfone-Paus S. 2013. Syntaxin 11 is required for NK and CD8⁺ T-cell cytotoxicity and neutrophil degranulation. Eur J Immunol 43:194–208. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201142343.
- Stadt Zur U. 2005. Linkage of familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (FHL) type-4 to chromosome 6q24 and identification of mutations in syntaxin 11. Hum Mol Genet 14:827–834. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi076.
- 55. Sepulveda FE, Debeurme F, Ménasché G, Kurowska M, Côte M, Pachlopnik Schmid J, Fischer A, de Saint Basile G. 2013. Distinct severity of HLH in both human and murine mutants with complete loss of cytotoxic effector PRF1, RAB27A, and STX11. Blood 121:595–603. https://doi .org/10.1182/blood-2012-07-440339.
- Brisse E, Wouters CH, Andrei G, Matthys P. 2017. How viruses contribute to the pathogenesis of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. Front Immunol 8:1102. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01102.
- Trost M, English L, Lemieux S, Courcelles M, Desjardins M, Thibault P. 2009. The phagosomal proteome in interferon-gamma-activated macrophages. Immunity 30:143–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2008.11.006.
- Maurin M, Raoult D. 1999. Q fever. Clin Microbiol Rev 12:518–553. https:// doi.org/10.1128/CMR.12.4.518.
- Golebiewska EM, Harper MT, Williams CM, Savage JS, Goggs R, Fischer von Mollard G, Poole AW. 2015. Syntaxin 8 regulates platelet dense granule secretion, aggregation, and thrombus stability. J Biol Chem 290: 1536–1545. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.602615.
- Bhat SS, Friedmann KS, Knörck A, Hoxha C, Leidinger P, Backes C, Meese E, Keller A, Rettig J, Hoth M, Qu B, Schwarz EC. 2016. Syntaxin 8 is required for efficient lytic granule trafficking in cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1863:1653–1664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.04.014.
- Offenhäuser C, Lei N, Roy S, Collins BM, Stow JL, Murray RZ. 2011. Syntaxin 11 binds Vti1b and regulates late endosome to lysosome fusion in macrophages. Traffic 12:762–773. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01189.x.
- Ye S, Karim ZA, Hawas AR, Pessin JE, Filipovich AH, Whiteheart SW. 2012. Syntaxin-11, but not syntaxin-2 or syntaxin-4, is required for platelet secretion. Blood 120:2484–2492. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-05 -430603.
- 63. Fasshauer D, Sutton RB, Brunger AT, Jahn R. 1998. Conserved structural features of the synaptic fusion complex: SNARE proteins reclassified as Q-

and R-SNAREs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:15781–15786. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.95.26.15781.

- 64. Sutton RB, Fasshauer D, Jahn R, Brunger AT. 1998. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 A resolution. Nature 395:347–353. https://doi.org/10.1038/26412.
- Marshall MR, Pattu V, Halimani M, Maier-Peuschel M, Müller M-L, Becherer U, Hong W, Hoth M, Tschernig T, Bryceson YT, Rettig J. 2015. VAMP8-dependent fusion of recycling endosomes with the plasma membrane facilitates T lymphocyte cytotoxicity. J Cell Biol 210:135–151. https://doi.org/ 10.1083/jcb.201411093.
- West ZE, Aitcheson SM, Semmler ABT, Murray RZ. 2021. The trans-SNARE complex VAMP4/Stx6/Stx7/Vti1b is a key regulator of Golgi to late endosome MT1-MMP transport in macrophages. Traffic 22:368–376. https:// doi.org/10.1111/tra.12813.
- Paumet F, Wesolowski J, Garcia-Diaz A, Delevoye C, Aulner N, Shuman HA, Subtil A, Rothman JE. 2009. Intracellular bacteria encode inhibitory SNARE-like proteins. PLoS One 4:e7375. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0007375.
- Shi X, Halder P, Yavuz H, Jahn R, Shuman HA. 2016. Direct targeting of membrane fusion by SNARE mimicry: convergent evolution of Legionella effectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:8807–8812. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1608755113.
- Arasaki K, Toomre DK, Roy CR. 2012. The Legionella pneumophila effector DrrA is sufficient to stimulate SNARE-dependent membrane fusion. Cell Host Microbe 11:46–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.11.009.
- Binz T, Sikorra S, Mahrhold S. 2010. Clostridial neurotoxins: mechanism of SNARE cleavage and outlook on potential substrate specificity reengineering. Toxins (Basel) 2:665–682. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins2040665.
- Wesolowski J, Paumet F. 2010. SNARE motif: a common motif used by pathogens to manipulate membrane fusion. Virulence 1:319–324. https:// doi.org/10.4161/viru.1.4.12195.
- Fratti RA, Chua J, Vergne I, Deretic V. 2003. Mycobacterium tuberculosis glycosylated phosphatidylinositol causes phagosome maturation arrest. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:5437–5442. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0737613100.
- Singh PK, Kapoor A, Lomash RM, Kumar K, Kamerkar SC, Pucadyil TJ, Mukhopadhyay A. 2018. Salmonella SipA mimics a cognate SNARE for host Syntaxin8 to promote fusion with early endosomes. J Cell Biol 217: 4199–4214. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201802155.
- Larson CL, Beare PA, Voth DE, Howe D, Cockrell DC, Bastidas RJ, Valdivia RH, Heinzen RA. 2015. Coxiella burnetii effector proteins that localize to the parasitophorous vacuole membrane promote intracellular replication. Infect Immun 83:661–670. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02763-14.
- Omsland A, Beare PA, Hill J, Cockrell DC, Howe D, Hansen B, Samuel JE, Heinzen RA. 2011. Isolation from animal tissue and genetic transformation of Coxiella burnetii are facilitated by an improved axenic growth medium. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3720–3725. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM .02826-10.
- 76. Omsland A. 2012. Axenic growth of Coxiella burnetii, p 215–229. *In* Coxiella burnetii: recent advances and new perspectives in research of the Q fever bacterium. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. 2014. Improved vectors and genomewide libraries for CRISPR screening. Nat Methods 11:783–784. https://doi .org/10.1038/nmeth.3047.
- Beare PA, Larson CL, Gilk SD, Heinzen RA. 2012. Two systems for targeted gene deletion in Coxiella burnetii. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4580–4589. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00881-12.