RESEARCH ARTICLE

a OPEN ACCESS

Check for updates

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

Phytic acid-modified manganese dioxide nanoparticles oligomer for magnetic resonance imaging and targeting therapy of osteosarcoma

Qian Ju^{[a,](#page-0-0)[b](#page-0-1)[†](#page-0-2)}, Rong Huang^{b†}, Ruimin Hu^{[c](#page-0-3)}, Junjie Fan^d, Dinglin Zhang^{b,c} (D, Jun Ding^e a[nd](#page-0-4) Rong Li^{[a](#page-0-0)}

aCollege of Chemistry, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing, China; bDepartment of Chemistry, College of Basic Medicine, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), Chongqing, China; ^cDepartment of Urology, Southwest Hospital, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), Chongqing, China; ^dDepartment of Clinical Laboratory, the 958th Hospital of Chinese People's Liberation Army, Chongqing, China; ^eDepartment of Ultrasonics, Southwest Hospital, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), Chongqing, China

ABSTRACT

Osteosarcoma is the most common malignant tumor in the skeletal system with high mortality. Phytic acid (PA) is a natural compound extracted from plant seeds, which shows certain antitumor activity and good bone targeting ability. To develop a novel theranostics for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and targeting therapy of osteosarcoma, we employed PA to modify manganese dioxide nanoparticles (MnO₂@PA NPs) for osteosarcoma treatment. The MnO₂ NPs oligomer was formed by PA modification with uniformed size distribution and negative zeta potential. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, energy dispersive spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and thermogravimetric analysis demonstrated that PA has been successfully modified on MnO₂ NPs, and the structure of MnO₂@PA NPs is amorphous. *In vitro* experiments demonstrated that MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer can be efficiently internalized by tumor cell, and the internalized NPs can react with H_2O_2 under acid microenvironment to produce Mn²⁺ and O₂. In vivo experiments demonstrated that MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer can passively accumulate in tumor tissue, and the accumulated NPs can produce Mn^{2+} and $O₂$ for MRI and targeting therapy of osteosarcoma. In conclusion, we prepared a novel bone-targeting nano theranostics for MRI and therapy of osteosarcoma.

1. Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common malignant tumor in the skeletal system, accounting for 20%–34% of the primary malignant bone tumor with extreme invasiveness and metastasis as well as dismal prognosis (Rathore & Van Tine, [2021\)](#page-9-0). Currently, surgery was the preponderant clinical treatment, but only patients with early-stage osteosarcoma could be cured via surgical resection. In addition, the five-year survival rate after surgery was less than 70% (Siegel et al., [2021\)](#page-9-1). Surgery combined with neoadjuvant chemotherapy can significantly increase the survival rate of patients. Classical anticancer drugs such as cisplatin, doxorubicin, and methotrexate have shown good therapeutic effects to osteosarcoma (Jiang et al., [2022\)](#page-8-0). However, chemical drugs are likely to cause numerous side effects and bone marrow microenvironment-associated drug resistance is inevitable (Yang & Tian et al., [2020](#page-9-2)).

In recent years, various nanoformulations such as inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) (Li et al., [2020](#page-8-1)), liposomes (Jing et al., [2022\)](#page-8-2), nanogel (Zhang et al., [2018\)](#page-9-3), lipid NPs (Peira et al., [2022](#page-9-4)), and polymeric NPs (Heyder et al., [2021\)](#page-8-3) had been widely exploited for targeting treatment of osteosarcoma (Ambrosio et al., [2021](#page-8-4); Wu et al., [2022](#page-9-5)). Nanoformulations can target and deliver therapeutics to osteosarcoma region to improve therapeutic benefits and decrease adverse effects of therapeutics (Jo et al., [2015\)](#page-8-5). Otherwise, NPs can alter tumor microenvironment to decrease tumor cells survival through a serial of chemical, physical, and photogenic reactions. For example, manganese dioxide ($MnO₂$) NPs synthesized by chemical (Lim et al., [2021](#page-8-6)) or biological methods (Liu et al., [2021\)](#page-9-6) can catalyze the conversion of endogenous hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) in tumor region into $O₂$ due to its catalase-like activity, thereby alleviating tumor hypoxia. The $O₂$ generated by Mn $O₂$ can facilitate the cancer cell cycle status to S phase, which is sensitive to chemotherapeutic drugs (Guo et al., [2020\)](#page-8-7). In addition, $MnO₂$ NPs can enhance radiotherapy efficacy of tumors (Liu et al., [2020](#page-9-7); Yang & Ren et al., [2020\)](#page-9-8). MnO, NPs also play an important role in osteosarcoma treatment. For

CONTACT Rong Li @ rongli258@163.com @ College of Chemistry, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, China; Jun Ding @ lilyzh01@126.com Department of Ultrasound, Southwest Hospital, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), Chongqing 400038, China; Dinglin Zhang zh18108@163.com or zh18108@tmmu.edu.cn Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Medicine, Army Medical University (Third Military Medical University), Chongqing 400038, China.

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 30 December 2022 Revised 13 February 2023 Accepted 13 February 2023

KEYWORDS

Phytic acid; manganese dioxide nanoparticles; osteosarcoma; magnetic resonance imaging; targeting therapy

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at <https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743>.

^{© 2023} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

example, alendronate/K7M2 cell membranes-coated hollow $MnO₂$ NPs encapsulated ginsenoside Rh2 was employed for immuno-chemodynamic combination therapy of osteosarcoma (Fu et al., [2022](#page-8-8)). In addition to chemodynamic therapy, the Mn^{2+} ions produced by $MnO₂$ NPs can be used as a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast, which displayed good biodegradation and biocompatibility *in vivo* (Yang et al., [2018](#page-9-9)). Consequently, MnO₂ NPs can potentially serve as a theranostics for T1-weighted MRI and therapy of osteosarcoma or other tumor. However, how to improve the targeting capacity of $MnO₂$ NPs to osteosarcoma need to be further investigated.

Aptamers (Niu et al., [2022](#page-9-10)), diphosphonate (Wu & Wan, [2012\)](#page-9-11), and aspartic acid-related oligopeptides (Ogawa et al., [2017\)](#page-9-12) exhibited good targeting ability to bones. However, their applications were restricted due to sophisticated synthetic methods or unpredictable biosafety. Phytic acid (PA), an organic phosphoric acid compound extracted from plant seeds, has been widely used as food additives (Zhou et al., [2019\)](#page-9-13), antioxidant (Lux et al., [2022\)](#page-9-14), preserving agent (Zhao et al., [2022\)](#page-9-15), and chelating agent (Chen et al., [2018\)](#page-8-9). PA has good biocompatibility since it was detected in mammalian cells. Interestingly, PA showed certain antitumor activities on colon tumor (Vucenik et al., [2020\)](#page-9-16). PA also displayed special bone-targeting capability due to its strong chelating ability to calcium ion of bone. Consequently, PA-modified NPs was employed for targeting treatment of bone tumors (Zhou & Fan et al., [2019](#page-9-17); Wang et al., [2020](#page-9-18)).

Herein, we hypothesized that PA-modified $MnO₂$ NPs $(MnO₂@PA NPs)$ could improve the targeting capability and therapy efficacy of $MnO₂$ for osteosarcoma treatment. To verify this hypothesis, we fabricated $MnO₂@PA$ NPs and investigated the *in vivo* targeting ability and therapeutic efficacy of NPs for osteosarcoma on a mice model. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) indicated that PA was successfully modified on $MnO₂$ NPs, and the fabricated $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was amorphous. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) results confirmed that a $MnO₂@PA$ NPs oligomer was formed by PA modification. *In vitro* experiments demonstrated that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs could be efficiently internalized by various tumor cells and the internalized NPs can release Mn2+ under acidic microenvironment. *In vivo* experiments verified that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs could enhance T1 weighted MRI of tumor and significantly suppress tumor growth in a 143B tumor-bearing mice model. The theranostics exhibits wide prospect clinical applications for MRI and therapy of osteosarcoma.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Regents and cells

Potassium permanganate ($KMnO₄$) and manganese sulfate (MnSO₄) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). PA, *W* = 70% was provided from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) solutions, and Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI 1640) solution were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, 480T) and dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFA-DA, 2.5μm) were received from Beyotime Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). H_2O_2 colorimetric assay kit (480T) was obtained from Abbkine Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). BBcellProbe® P01 probe was purchased from Bestbio Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

All cells including RAW 264.7 (mouse monocyte macrophage), U2OS, 4T1, Ishikawa, MC 38, Panc 02, SW480, and 143B cells were obtained from American type culture collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). These cells were cultured in DMEM media with 10% FBS, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 100 µg/mL penicillin in a 37 °C incubator with fractional concentration of 5% carbon dioxide.

2.2. Synthesis of MnO₂ NPs and MnO₂@PA NPs

A reported method was employed to synthesize $MnO₂$ NPs (Marin et al., [2020\)](#page-9-19). In brief, 2.455 g of $MnSO_4\cdot H_2O$ and 2.224 g of $KMD₄$ were dissolved in 50 mL of ultrapure water and heated to 80°C, respectively. About 100 mL of distilled water was added to a three-necked flask, then the heated $MnSO₄$ and $KMnO₄$ solution were slowly added to the three-necked flask with 1000 rpm stirring. A black suspension was obtained after 2 h reaction at 80 °C. The suspension was cooled to room temperature, ultrasonically dispersed for 10 min, filtered with suction, rinsed three times with distilled water, and dried in a drying oven at 80 °C for 12h to obtain $MnO₂$ NPs.

To prepare $MnO_2@PA$ NPs, 0.0512 g of $KMnO_4$ and 0.25 mL of PA were dissolved in 20 mL deionized water and reacted at 50 °C for 8 h. After finished the reaction, the solution was further stirred at room temperature for 24h, then centrifuged at 6000rpm for 5min and washed three times with deionized water to obtain MnO₂@PA NPs. The reaction conditions were optimized with the same method.

2.3. Characterization of the MnO₂@PA NPs

The size, polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential of MnO₂@PA NPs were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and laser Doppler anemometry with a Malvern Zetasizer (Nano ZS, Malvern, UK). To check the stability of the NPs, $0.5 \,\text{mg/mL}$ of MnO₂@PA NPs was used to determine the size and PDI of NPs at 0, 7, 14, and 28 days.

The structure of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was characterized by FTIR (FTIR-8400S, Shimadzu, Japan). The morphology of the NPs was observed by TEM (JEM-2100F, JEOL, Japan). The phase structure of the NPs was analyzed by X-ray diffractometer (Max-2550, Rigaku Corporation, Japan). The elements and valence states of manganese and phosphorus in NPs were analyzed by XPS (Escalab 250Xi spectrometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The element species and contents of NPs were determined by EDS (Elite T, EDAX Inc, USA). The thermal stability of NPs was tested by thermogravimetric/differential scanning calorimeter (TG209F3, NETZSCH, Germany).

2.4. Cytotoxicity assay

RAW 264.7, U2OS, 4T1, Ishikawa, MC 38, Panc 02, 143B, and SW480 cells were cultured in 96-well plates (104 cells/well) and incubated overnight. To evaluate the cytotoxicity of PA and MnO₂@PA NPs, cells were incubated with different concentrations of PA and MnO₂@PA NPs (0, 12.5, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 μg/mL) for 4 or 24 h. After incubation, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove PA and $MnO₂@PA$ NPs, and 100 µL medium containing 10% CCK-8 solution was added to each well with another 30-min incubation, followed by optical density was measured at 450 nm using a Thermo Multiskan Spectrum spectrophotometer (Varioskan Flash, Thermo Scientific Inc., USA).

2.5. Detection of pH value, ROS, and H₂O₂ in cells

Intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels were detected by DCFH-DA. To evaluate the varieties of ROS in cells with MnO₂@PA NPs treatment, cells were co-cultured with MnO₂@PA NPs in six-well plates (10⁶ cells/well) for 4 h, then the medium was discarded and the cells were washed with PBS to remove $MnO₂@PA$ NPs. After that, trypsinization was added and the cell suspension was transferred into a 1.5-mL Eppendorf tube for centrifugation (1000 rpm, 5 min). The centrifuged cells were re-suspended in 1mL of serum-free medium, and 1 μL of DCFH-DA reagent was added to each well with 20 min incubation at 37 °C. The cells were then washed with PBS, re-suspended in serum-free medium, and analyzed on a flow cytometer (NovoCyte. ACEA, USA).

To determine the intra- and extracellular H_2O_2 concentration varieties, MnO₂@PA NPs were incubated with cells for 4h, and the intra- and extracellular H_2O_2 concentrations were determined by H_2O_2 kit according to manufacturer's instructions.

BBcellProbe® P01 probe was used to detect the pH value in tumor cells. Cells were incubated with PA, $MnO₂$ NPs, $MnO₂@PA$ NPs for 4h to determine pH value viability in cells. After incubation, the medium was discarded and cells were washed with Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) to remove PA, MnO₂ NPs, or MnO₂@PA NPs. After washing, trypsin digestion was added and the cell suspension was centrifuged (1000 rpm, 5 min). The centrifuged cells were washed with HBSS again and re-suspended in 1mL of HBSS. Except for the blank control group, 1 μL of BBcellProbe® P01 probe was added to each well and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. After incubation, cells were washed with HBSS and re-suspended with 1 mL of HBSS for analysis on a flow cytometer.

2.6. Cell uptake of NPs

To evaluate the cellular uptake behavior of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs, cells were incubated with $MnO₂@PA$ NPs for 24h. After incubation, cells were digested with trypsin and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The collected cells were washed and centrifuged. The centrifuged cells were re-suspended in 2 mL of DMEM medium and the number of cells were counted by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)

(Agilent ICP-MS 7800, Agilent, USA) to determine the contents of manganese in the cells.

2.7. Preliminary evaluation of biosafety of MnO₂@PA NPs

To evaluate bio-safety of MnO₂@PA NPs *in vivo*, 20 female Kunming mice were divided into four groups, in which the control group was received 100μL of saline, and other groups were received 10, 20, and 40 mg/kg of MnO₂@PA NPs, respectively. Drug administration was performed every four days, three times in total. Mice were monitored and weighed every other day. After two weeks, mice were sacrificed and blood was collected for hematological analysis. The main organs of the mice including heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney were collected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for hematoxylin–eosin (H&E) staining.

2.8. In vivo antitumor evaluation

Four-week-old female BALB/c nude mice were obtained from the Experimental Animal Center of Army Medical University (Chongqing, China) and kept in a SPF-level sterile animal room. A total of 1×10^6 143B cells re-suspended in sterile RPMI 1640 medium were subcutaneously implanted into the right back of mice to establish a bone tumor model. The tumor volume was measured and calculated as follows:

$$
V\left(\text{mm}^3\right) = L \times W^2 / 2
$$

V is the tumor volume of nude mice; *L* is the longest diameter of the tumor; and *W* is the shortest diameter of the tumor. When the tumor volume grew to 100 mm^3 , mice were randomly divided into four groups (*n* = 5). Control group received 100 mL of PBS. Treatment groups received 20 mg/kg of PA, MnO₂ NPs, or MnO₂@PA NPs per mice, respectively. Drug administration was performed every four days, three times in total. Tumor volumes and mice weights were measured every two days. After treatment, mice were sacrificed, and the resected tumors were weighted and immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution for histological examination and immunohistochemical analysis. The manganese contents in tumor tissues were detected by ICP-MS.

2.9. In vivo T1-weighted MRI

When tumor volume reached 200 mm³, mice received 20 mg/ kg of MnO₂@PA NPs via tail vein injection. T1-weighted MRI was performed at 0, 0.5, 2, 4, 8, 24, and 48h after administration.

2.10. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean± standard deviation (SD). All measurements included at least three independent experiments. One-way variance (ANOVA) was used for data analysis. Tukey's multiple comparison test was used for more than three groups, and Student's *t* test was used for two groups. Statistical significance was defined as **p* < .05, ***p*<.01, and ****p*<.01.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Preparation and characterization of NPs

Reduction of $KMD₄$ is a classical method to prepare $MnO₂$ NPs (Wang et al., [2017;](#page-9-20) Gao et al., [2021\)](#page-8-10). Otherwise, $MnO₂$ NPs can be modified through addition of various ligand (Ma et al., [2021](#page-9-21); Zhang et al., [2020\)](#page-9-22) or cell membranes (Huang et al., [2022](#page-8-11)). MnO₂ NPs or its derivatives were widely used for tumor treatment because $MnO₂$ can react with $H₂O₂$ in tumor region to produce $O₂$ to alleviate tumor hypoxia (Lin and Zhao et al., [2018\)](#page-9-23). PA is a natural extracts from plant seeds and widely used as additives, antioxidant, and chelating agent (Bloot et al., [2021\)](#page-8-12). Interestingly, recent research demonstrated that PA exhibited antitumor activities and special bone targeting ability (Zhou et al., [2019;](#page-9-24) Wang et al., [2020](#page-9-25)). Based on these results, we speculated that PA-modified $MnO₂$ NPs can enhance the antitumor activity of $MnO₂$ and can serve as targeting therapeutics for osteosarcoma treatment. To verify the hypothesis, the PA-modified $MnO₂$ NPs was prepared through a simple method [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)). The synthesis method was optimized by adjusting reaction temperature, time, as well as reactant ratio. As shown in [Figure 2\(A\),](#page-4-0) the size of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was obviously increased with higher reaction temperature ([Figure 2A\)](#page-4-0). Interestingly, with prolonged heating time from 5h to 6h, the size of NPs increased obviously. However, when heating time was increased to 8h, the size of NPs decreased dramatically. Otherwise, the size of NPs increased again when prolonging the heating time continuously ([Figure 2\(B\)\)](#page-4-0). Longer stirring time was also beneficial to decreasing the size of NPs. However, when prolonging the stirring time to 36 h, the size of NPs increased obviously ([Figure 2\(C\)\)](#page-4-0). The size of NPs was also significantly

[Figure 1.](#page-3-1) Fabrication of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer for *in vivo* MRI and therapy amorphous. of osteosarcoma.

affected by the ratio of $KMnO₄$ and PA. As displayed in Figure 2(D), when the ratio of $KMD₄$ and PA was 1:1, the size of NPs reached the minimum.

The morphology of NPs was confirmed by TEM. Interestingly, TEM results indicated that MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer was formed [\(Figure 2\(E\)](#page-4-0)). The reason may be that the six phosphate of PA can bond several manganese, which caused the MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer formation. In addition, DLS results demonstrated that the size of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer was 111.1 ± 1.9 nm ([Figure 2\(F\)](#page-4-0)) and the PDI was 0.28 ± 0.03 . Otherwise, the zeta potential of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer was -25.9 ± 0.6 mV ([Figure 2\(G\)](#page-4-0)). Interestingly, the size of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs oligomer was not significantly increased when stored in water for 20days [\(Figure 2\(H\)](#page-4-0)). These results indicated that the MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer showed good stability in water.

The composition of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs oligomer was confirmed by FTIR and EDS analysis. The FTIR spectra of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs oligomer showed that Mn-O stretching vibration was observed at 514 cm−1 ([Figure 3\(A\)](#page-4-1)). In addition, the absorption peak of HPO_4^{2-} and PO_4^{3-} appeared in the absorption spectrum of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs ([Figure 3\(A\)\)](#page-4-1), indicating that PA was successfully modified on $MnO₂@PA$. The EDS analysis results showed that C, Mn, O, and P elements was observed in $MnO₂@PA$ NPs ([Figure 3\(B\)](#page-4-1)), this result further demonstrated that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was successfully modified by PA.

The XRD diffractograms of $MnO₂$ NPs showed many diffraction peaks, which indicated that the $MnO₂$ NPs had a highly crystalline structure [\(Figure 3\(C\)](#page-4-1)). However, amorphous structure was found in the $MnO₂QPA$ NPs, which implied that the crystal morphology of $MnO₂$ was destroyed by PA modification. The XPS results showed that the signals of Mn, O, C, and P could be observed in $MnO₂@PA$ NPs, this results further demonstrated that PA was successfully modified on $MnO₂$ NPs [\(Figure 3\(D\)\)](#page-4-1). Otherwise, the spin-orbit coupling level splitting peaks of Mn2p_{3/2} and Mn2p_{1/2} appeared at 642 eV and 655 eV [\(Figure 3\(E\)\)](#page-4-1), and the spectral peak of P2p appeared at 134 eV [\(Figure 3\(F\)\)](#page-4-1), respectively. These data further indicated that the $MnO₂@PA$ NPs were successfully fabricated and the structure of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was amorphous. PA may change the surface free energy of the MnO₂ crystal, which may influence the growth rate of the crystal surface according to the Curie–Wulifu principle. Consequently, the heterogeneous crystal surface may disrupt the crystal morphology of $MnO₂$. In addition, we used α -Al₂O₃ as a control to analyze the thermal stability of the synthesized NPs under nitrogen atmosphere. As shown in the [Figure 3\(G\)](#page-4-1), 15% and 2% weight loss was observed in $MnO₂@PA$ NPs and $MnO₂$ NPs in the low temperature range, respectively. The weight loss may be attributed to the loss of water and the absorbed PA. $MnO₂@PA$ NPs lose 15.6% weight between 150 and 600 °C, while $MnO₂$ NPs only lost 5.2% weight during the same temperature range ([Figure](#page-4-1) [3\(G\)\)](#page-4-1). The difference of thermogravimetric loss of the NPs may be contributed to the decomposition of PA in $MnO₂@$ PA NPs. All the results confirmed that $MnO₂@$ PA NPs oligomer has been successfully fabricated and its structure is

[Figure 2.](#page-3-2) A–D: The size distribution of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer under various preparation conditions. (A) Reaction temperature, (B) heating time, (C) stirring time, and (D) various molar ratio of KMnO₄ and PA. E: The morphology of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer observed by TEM, (Scale bar: 100 nm). F and G: The size distribution and zeta potential of NPs. H: The size distribution of MnO₂@ PA NPs oligomer in water under various storage time.

[Figure 3.](#page-3-3) Characterization of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. A: FTIR spectra of PA, MnO₂ NPs, and MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. B: EDS spectra of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. C: XRD spectra of MnO₂ NPs and MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. D–F: XPS spectra of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer, Mn and P elements. G: The thermogravimetric curves of MnO₂ NPs and MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer.

3.2. Cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity of PA and MnO₂@PA NPs in RAW 264.7 cells and tumor cells were evaluated by CCK-8. As shown in Figure $4(A)$, both PA and MnO₂@PA NPs displayed poor cytotoxicity on RAW 264.7 cells with 4-h incubation under various concentration. The cell viability of 4T1, Ishikawa, MC 38, Panc 02, and SW480 cells were decreased with high concentration of PA treatment after 4-h incubation ([Figure 4\(C–G\)\)](#page-5-0), suggesting that PA can inhibit these tumor cells proliferation. In addition, PA displayed poor cytotoxicity on U2OS and 143B cells [\(Figure 4\(B,H\)](#page-5-0)). Interestingly, the cell viability of all the tested tumor cells were decreased with high concentration of MnO₂@PA NPs treatment (Figure 4(B-H)). Importantly, compared to PA, the cell viability of U2OS, 4T1, Ishikawa, SW480, and 143B were significantly decreased with high concentration of MnO₂@PA NPs treatment (Figure $4(B-D,G,H)$). These results implied that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs exhibited enhanced *in vitro* antitumor activity compared to PA.

After 24-h incubation, the cell viability of RAW 264.7 cells was not significantly decreased with high concentration of PA treatment (Figure S1A, [Supporting Information](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743)). However, the cell viability of U2OS, 4T1, MC 38, Panc 02, and SW480 cells were obviously decreased with high concentration of PA treatment after 24-h incubation (Figure S1(B,C,E–G), [Supporting Information\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743). These results indicate that the

[Figure 4.](#page-4-2) Cytotoxicity of PA and MnO₂@ PA NPs oligomer on macrophages (A), U2OS cells (B), 4T1 cells (C), Ishikawa cells (D), MC 38 cells (E), Panc 02 cells (F), SW480 cells (G), and 143B cells (H) were measured by CCK-8 assay with 4-h incubation. The data were shown as mean±SD. **p*<.05, ***p*<.01, ****p*<.001, and *****p*<.0001 vs. PA group.

[Figure 5.](#page-5-2) A: Detection of intracellular hydrogen peroxide concentrations after MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer treatment. B: The cellular manganese contents when cells treated with MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. C and D: The fluorescence intensity of cells when cells treated by MnO₂ NPs or MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer (Strong fluorescence indicating to weak acid conditions). E: The intracellular pH values when cells treated by MnO₂ NPs or MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. The data were shown as mean \pm SD. $*p$ < .05, $*p$ < .01, $**p$ < .001, and $**p$ < .0001 vs. control group.

antitumor activity of PA was enhanced on U2OS, 4T1, MC 38, Panc 02, and SW480 cells with prolonged incubation time. Interestingly, the cell viability of U2OS, 4T1, Ishikawa, Panc 02, and 143B cells were distinctly decreased by $MnO₂@PA$ NPs treatment compared to that of PA after 24-h incubation (Figure S1(B–D,F,H), [Supporting Information](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743)). These results further demonstrated that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs displayed increased antitumor activity compared to PA.

3.3. Cellular uptake

To investigate whether $MnO₂QPA$ NPs can be internalized by macrophage or tumor cells, the manganese contents in cells were determined by ICP-MS. As shown in [Figure 5\(B\),](#page-5-1) only a small amount of manganese were detected in RAW 264.7 cells, suggesting that only few $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was internalized by macrophages. Interestingly, the manganese contents in MC 38, 4T1, and Ishikawa is close to 500 ng per

 10^6 cells, indicating that MnO₂@PA NPs can be efficiently internalized by these cells. Furthermore, the manganese contents in SW480 and 143B cells were further increased, indicating that the cellular uptake of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs was enhanced by these cells. It is worth noting that the highest manganese contents were detected in U2OS cells, implying that U2OS cells had the strongest cellular uptake ability for MnO₂@PA NPs compared to other tumor cells.

3.4. Detection of ROS and H₂O₂ concentrations in cells

NPs can significantly influence the ROS concentration in cells (Sun et al., [2022](#page-9-26)). Otherwise, $MnO₂$ NPs can react with ROS such as H_2O_2 to produce O_2 to alleviate tumor hypoxia (Guo et al., [2020](#page-8-13)). Therefore, the cellular ROS concentrations were detected with MnO₂@PA NPs treatment. As shown in [Figure 5\(A\)](#page-5-1), the fluorescence intensity of tumor cells was obviously increased when cells were treated by MnO₂@PA NPs compared to that of negative control. Semi-quantitative analysis results were consistent with the fluorescence intensity determination (Figure S2, [Supporting Information\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743). These results demonstrated that the uptake of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can elevate the intracellular ROS concentrations, which is consistent with literature report (Hafez et al., [2019](#page-8-14)). The reason may be that MnO₂ NPs only reacted with H_2O_2 to produce $O₂$ (Jiang et al., [2020\)](#page-8-15), which didn't alter other ROS such as \cdot OH, O₂⁻, and ¹O₂.

To further investigate the influence of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs on ROS concentration varieties, the intra- and extracellular H_2O_2 concentration in RAW 264.7 and tumor cells were also detected. When cells treated with MnO₂@PA NPs, the extracellular H_2O_2 concentration of all cells was not changed obviously (Figure S3, [Supporting Information](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743)). In contrast, compared to control group, the intracellular H_2O_2 concentration of U2OS, 4T1, Panc 02, SW480, and 143B was significantly decreased. As previously described, $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can be efficiently internalized by tumor cells, and the internalized NPs can react with H₂O₂ to produce O₂, which may reduce the intracellular H_2O_2 concentration.

As mentioned, MnO₂ NPs can react with H_2O_2 under acid condition to produce $O₂$. Therefore, the pH value viability in cells was detected. As shown in [Figure 5\(C–E\)](#page-5-1), the pH value in 143B cells was obviously increased when cells treated with MnO₂ or MnO₂@PA NPs compared to that of untreated group. MnO₂ can exhaust H⁺ to react with H₂O₂ to produce O₂, consequently, the cellular pH values were increased when cells were treated with $MnO₂$ or $MnO₂$ @PA NPs. In addition, $MnO₂@PA$ NPs treated cells has higher cellular pH value than that of MnO₂ group. The reason could be that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can be easily internalized by tumor cells than $MnO₂$ NPs. All the results demonstrated that the internalized $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can react with H_2O_2 under acid conditions to produce O_2 to alleviate tumor hypoxia.

3.5. In vivo imaging on a 143B tumor-bearing mice model

MnO₂ NPs can react with H₂O₂ to produce Mn²⁺, which can serve as T1-weighted MRI contrast for tumor (Lin et al., [2018\)](#page-9-27). Consequently, we checked the T1-weighted MRI ability of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs in tumor-bearing mice. After injection of 20 mg/kg NPs, the T1-weighted MRI at 0.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24, and

[Figure 6.](#page-7-0) *In vivo* T1-weighted MRI of 143B tumor-bearing mice after 0.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24, and 48h injection of MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer.

[Figure 7.](#page-7-2) *In vivo* antitumor efficacy evaluation of PA, MnO₂ NPs and MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer in 143B tumor-bearing mice. A: The dosage frequency of therapeutics after tumor inoculation. Mice received 20mg/kg of PA, MnO, NPs or MnO₂@PA NPs. B: The tumor growth curves after i.v. injection of PA, MnO₂ NPs, and MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer. The data were shown as mean±SD, **p < .01 vs. PA group and MnO, NPs group. C: The excised tumor images with PA, MnO₂ NPs, or MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer treatment. D: The contents of manganese in excised tumor. The data were shown as mean±SD, ***p*<.01 vs. control group. E: TUNEL detection and immunohistochemistry assay for Ki67 in tumor tissues, (scale bar: 50μm).

48 h were recorded. As shown in [Figure 6,](#page-6-0) no enhanced MRI were observed after 0.5h injection. Interestingly, an enhanced MRI was obtained when mice received $MnO₂@PA$ NPs after 4, 6, and 8h injection. In addition, the MR signals were attenuated after 24 or 48 h injection. These results indicated that $MnO₂$ NPs can be passively accumulated in tumor tissues and the accumulated NPs can produce Mn^{2+} under acidic tumor microenvironment. To further investigate if $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can be cleaned from body, the T1-weighted MRI for kidney in tumor-bearing mice was performed. As shown in Figure S4 [\(Supporting Information\),](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743) an enhanced T1 signal was observed in the kidney after 4h injection, and the MR signal was obviously attenuated after 24 h injection, suggesting that the $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can be cleaned from body by kidney.

3.6. In vivo antitumor efficacy

In vitro experiments demonstrated that MnO₂@PA NPs can significantly decrease tumor cells viability, which inspired us to further investigate the *in vivo* antitumor ability. The *in vivo* antitumor efficacy of the NPs was evaluated using 143B tumor-bearing mouse model. As shown in [Figure S6](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743) (Supporting Information), all of the tumor-bearing mice maintained their weight during the treatment. PA and $MnO₂$ NPs could obviously inhibit tumor growth compared to saline group during the treatment ([Figure 7\(B\)\)](#page-7-1), because PA and MnO2 NPs had been confirmed owning antitumor activity *in vivo* (Masunaga et al., [2019;](#page-9-28) Liu et al., [2022\)](#page-9-29). Importantly, tumor growth was significantly inhibited when mice treated with $MnO₂@PA$ NPs compared to that of PA and $MnO₂$ NPs after 16 days of treatment ([Figure 7\(C\)](#page-7-1)), indicating that $MnO₂$ @ PA NPs treatment had better antitumor activity than PA or $MnO₂$ NPs. The reason may that $MnO₂@PA$ NPs can combine the antitumor activity of PA and MnO₂ NPs ([Figure 7\(D\)\)](#page-7-1), which cause MnO₂@PA NPs show better *in vivo* antitumor activity than other groups. In addition, we also detected the apoptosis of cancer cells after therapeutics treatment by TDT-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay [\(Figure](#page-7-1) $7(E)$). Compared with saline group, MnO₂@PA NPs could significantly induce 143B cell apoptosis, which was consistent with *in vivo* antitumor results. In summary, MnO₂@PA NPs showed enhanced *in vivo* antitumor activity compared to PA or $MnO₂$ NPs.

3.7. Preliminary evaluation of the biosafety of MnO₂@ *PA NPs*

Healthy Kunming mice were selected to evaluate the biosafety of $MnO₂@PA$ NPs. There was no significant difference in body weight and organ weight when mice treated with different concentrations of MnO₂@PA NPs or saline (Figure S8(A,B), [Supporting Information\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743). Otherwise, the hematological parameters of mice treated with MnO₂@PA NPs did not show significant difference compared to saline group (Figure S7, [Supporting Information](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743)). Typical biomarker related to hepatic and renal functions such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), creatinine (CREA), and urea also did not show obvious difference compared to saline group. H&E staining showed no changes in heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, necrosis, congestion, or vascular morphology (Figure S8(C), [Supporting Information](https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2023.2181743)). These results demonstrate that MnO₂@PA NPs has good biocompatibility and no obvious adverse effects when administrated intravenously.

4. Conclusion

Patients with osteosarcoma remain high mortality after surgery. Herein, we developed a PA-modified MnO₂ NPs by a simple method for targeting MRI and therapy of osteosarcoma. The physicochemical properties of MnO₂@PA NPs were thoroughly characterized by FTIR, XRD, XPS, EDS, TEM, and TGA. The results demonstrated that PA was successfully modified on MnO₂ NPs, and the structure of MnO₂@PA NPs is amorphous. TEM results verified that a $MnO₂$ oligomer was formed by PA modification. The $MnO₂@PA$ NPs oligomer has uniform size distribution and negative zeta potential. *In vitro* experiments confirmed that MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer could be internalized by various tumor cells, and the internalized MnO₂ could react with H₂O₂ to produce Mn²⁺ and O₂ under acid microenvironment. *In vivo* experiments demonstrated that MnO₂@PA NPs oligomer can accumulate in tumor tissues, and the accumulated NPs can release Mn^{2+} and $O₂$ for T1-weighted MRI and targeting therapy of osteosarcoma, respectively. Compared to $MnO₂$ NPs and PA, $MnO₂@PA$ NPs oligomer can significantly inhibit tumor growth without obvious adverse effects. Our results provide novel candidate for targeting MRI and therapy of osteosarcoma.

Author contributions

Qian Ju and Rong Huang contributed equally to this study. Qian Ju and Rong Huang performed the main experiments in this manuscript and co-drafted the manuscript. Ruimin Hu, and Junjie Fan participated in the animal experiments and cell experiments. Dinglin Zhang, Rong Li and Jun Ding designed the project and modified the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final manuscript.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval statement

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Army Medical University (NO. AMUWEC2020121, Chongqing, China). All authors have read the manuscript and consent for publication.

Funding

The authors acknowledge support via the Key Support Object of Army Medical University (no. 410301060191) and the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (no. cstc2020jcyj-msxmX0469).

ORCID

Dinglin Zhang **b** <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4400-919X>

References

- Ambrosio L, Raucci MG, Vadala G, et al. [\(2021](#page-0-6)). Innovative biomaterials for the treatment of bone cancer. Int J Mol Sci 22:1.
- Bloot APM, Kalschne DL, Amaral JAS, et al. ([2021\)](#page-3-4). A review of phytic acid sources, obtention, and applications. Food Rev Int 37:1–20.
- Chen K, Zhang SH, Li AR, et al. ([2018](#page-1-0)). Bioinspired interfacial chelating-like reinforcement strategy toward mechanically enhanced lamellar materials. ACS Nano 12:4269–10.
- Fu LW, Zhang WY, Zhou XJ, et al. [\(2022](#page-1-1)). Tumor cell membrane-camouflaged responsive nanoparticles enable mri-guided immuno-chemodynamic therapy of orthotopic osteosarcoma. Bioact Mater 17:221–33.
- Gao MW, Song YB, Liu YD, et al. ([2021\)](#page-3-5). Controlled fabrication of Au@ $MnO₂$ core/shell assembled nanosheets by localized surface plasmon resonance. Appl Surf Sci 537:147912.
- Guo SY, Sun D, Ni DL, et al. [\(2020](#page-0-7)). Smart tumor microenvironment-responsive nanotheranostic agent for effective cancer therapy. Adv Funct Mater 30:2000486.
- Hafez AA, Naserzadeh P, Mortazavian AM, et al. ([2019\)](#page-6-1). Comparison of the effects of $MnO₂-NPs$ and $MnO₂-MPs$ on mitochondrial complexes in different organs. Toxicol Mech Methods 29:86–94.
- Heyder RS, Sunbul FS, Almuqbil RM, et al. ([2021](#page-0-8)). Poly(anhydride-ester) gemcitabine: synthesis and particle engineering of a high payload hydrolysable polymeric drug for cancer therapy. J Control Release 330:1178–90.
- Huang QL, Pan YJ, Wang M, et al. ([2022\)](#page-3-6). Tumor microenvironment-responsive versatile "trojan horse" theranostic nanoplatform for magnetic resonance imaging-guided multimodal synergistic antitumor treatment. Acta Biomater 147:270–86.
- Jiang XM, Gray P, Patel M, et al. ([2020\)](#page-6-2). Crossover between anti- and pro-oxidant activities of different manganese oxide nanoparticles and their biological implications. J Mater Chem B 8:1191–201.
- Jiang ZY, Liu JB, Wang XF, et al. ([2022\)](#page-0-9). Current status and prospects of clinical treatment of osteosarcoma. Technol Cancer Res Treat 21:15330338221124696.
- Jing DD, Wu W, Chen XZ, et al. [\(2022](#page-0-10)). Quercetin encapsulated in folic acid-modified liposomes is therapeutic against osteosarcoma by non-covalent binding to the jh2 domain of jak2 via the jak2-stat3 pdl1. Pharmacol Res 182:106287.
- Jo DH, Kim JH, Lee TG, et al. [\(2015](#page-0-11)). Size, surface charge, and shape determine therapeutic effects of nanoparticles on brain and retinal diseases. Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med 11:1603–11.
- Li DJ, Zhang T, Min CW, et al. ([2020](#page-0-12)). Biodegradable theranostic nanoplatforms of albumin-biomineralized nanocomposites modified hollow mesoporous organosilica for photoacoustic imaging guided tumor synergistic therapy. Chem Eng J 388:124253.
- Lim YG, Kim HJ, Park K. [\(2021\)](#page-0-13). A novel method for synthesizing manganese dioxide nanoparticles using diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid as a metal ion chelator. J Ind Eng Chem 93:407–14.
- Lin LS, Song JB, Song L, et al. [\(2018](#page-3-7)). Simultaneous fenton-like ion delivery and glutathione depletion by MnO₂-based nanoagent to enhance chemodynamic therapy. Angew Chem Int Ed 57:4902–6.
- Lin TS, Zhao XZ, Zhao S, et al. [\(2018](#page-6-3)). O-2-generating MnO₂ nanoparticles for enhanced photodynamic therapy of bladder cancer by ameliorating hypoxia. Theranostics 8:990–1004.
- Liu J, Feng LD, Wu YZ. [\(2021\)](#page-0-14). Enzymatically synthesised MnO₂ nanoparticles for efficient near-infrared photothermal therapy and dual-responsive magnetic resonance imaging. Nanoscale 13:11093–103.
- Liu JZ, Zhang WZ, Kumar A, et al. ([2020\)](#page-0-15). Acridine orange encapsulated mesoporous manganese dioxide nanoparticles to enhance radiotherapy. Bioconjugate Chem 31:82–92.
- Liu XY, Kifle MT, Xie HX, et al. [\(2022\)](#page-7-3). Biomineralized manganese oxide nanoparticles synergistically relieve tumor hypoxia and activate immune response with radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Nanomaterials 12:3138.
- Lux PE, Fuchs L, Wiedmaier-Czerny N, et al. [\(2022](#page-1-2)). Oxidative stability of tocochromanols, carotenoids, and fatty acids in maize (zea mays l.) porridges with varying phytate concentrations during cooking and in vitro digestion. Food Chem 378:132053.
- Ma ZY, Xu YF, Li PP, et al. [\(2021](#page-3-8)). Self-catalyzed surface reaction-induced fluorescence resonance energy transfer on cysteine-stabilized $MnO₂$ quantum dots for selective detection of dopamine. Anal Chem 93:3586–93.
- Marin E, Tapeinos C, Lauciello S, et al. [\(2020](#page-1-3)). Encapsulation of manganese dioxide nanoparticles into layer-by-layer polymer capsules for the fabrication of antioxidant microreactors. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 117:111349.
- Masunaga T, Murao N, Tateishi H, et al. ([2019\)](#page-7-4). Anti-cancer activity of the cell membrane-permeable phytic acid prodrug. Bioorg Chem 92:103240.
- Niu YT, Yang Y, Yang Z, et al. ([2022](#page-1-4)). Aptamer-immobilized bone-targeting nanoparticles in situ reduce sclerostin for osteoporosis treatment. Nano Today 45:101529.
- Ogawa K, Ishizaki A, Takai K, et al. [\(2017](#page-1-5)). Evaluation of Ga-DOTA $(D-Asp)_n$ as bone imaging agents: d-aspartic acid peptides as carriers to bone. Sci Rep 7:13971.
- Peira E, Chirio D, Sapino S, et al. ([2022\)](#page-0-16). Naked and decorated nanoparticles containing h2s-releasing doxorubicin: preparation, characterization and assessment of their antitumoral efficiency on various resistant tumor cells. Int J Mol Sci 23:11555.
- Rathore R, Van Tine BA. [\(2021\)](#page-0-17). Pathogenesis and current treatment of osteosarcoma: perspectives for future therapies. J Clin Med 10:1182.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, et al. [\(2021\)](#page-0-18). Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin 71:7–33.
- Sun FJ, Wang Y, Wang QM, et al. [\(2022\)](#page-6-4). Self-illuminating triggered release of therapeutics from photocleavable nanoprodrug for the targeted treatment of breast cancer. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 14:8766–81.
- Vucenik I, Druzijanic A, Druzijanic N. [\(2020\)](#page-1-6). Inositol hexaphosphate (ip6) and colon cancer: from concepts and first experiments to clinical application. Molecules 25:5931.
- Wang CP, Li L, Zhang S, et al. ([2020](#page-1-7)). Carrier-free platinum nanomedicine for targeted cancer therapy. Small 16:e2004829.
- Wang L, Li D, Hao YW, et al. [\(2017\)](#page-3-9). Gold nanorod-based poly(lactic-*co*-glycolic acid) with manganese dioxide core-shell structured multifunctional nanoplatform for cancer theranostic applications. Int J Nanomed 12:3059–74.
- Wu DC, Wan MX. ([2012\)](#page-1-8). Methylene diphosphonate-conjugated adriamycin liposomes: Preparation, characteristics, and targeted therapy for osteosarcomas in vitro and in vivo. Biomed Microdevices 14:497–510.
- Wu KZ, Yu BB, Li D, et al. ([2022](#page-0-19)). Recent advances in nanoplatforms for the treatment of osteosarcoma. Front Oncol 12:805978.
- Yang CF, Tian Y, Zhao F, et al. ([2020\)](#page-0-20). Bone microenvironment and osteosarcoma metastasis. Int J Mol Sci 21:6985.
- Yang LF, Ren CC, Xu M, et al. ([2020](#page-0-21)). Rod-shape inorganic biomimetic mutual-reinforcing $MnO₂$ -Au nanozymes for catalysis-enhanced hypoxic tumor therapy. Nano Res 13:2246–58.
- Yang LT, Chueng STD, Li Y, et al. [\(2018](#page-1-9)). A biodegradable hybrid inorganic nanoscaffold for advanced stem cell therapy. Nat Commun 9:3147.
- Zhang L, Yang Z, Ren JH, et al. ([2020](#page-3-10)). Multifunctional oxygen-enriching nano-theranostics for cancer-specific magnetic resonance imaging and enhanced photodynamic/photothermal therapy. Nano Res 13:1389–98.
- Zhang Y, Wang F, Li MQ, et al. ([2018\)](#page-0-22). Self-stabilized hyaluronate nanogel for intracellular codelivery of doxorubicin and cisplatin to osteosarcoma. Adv Sci 5:1700821.
- Zhao ZX, Ma FM, Zhang BQ. ([2022](#page-1-10)). Study on preservation method and mechanism of peeling waxy corn kernels treated with composite film. J Food Process Preserv 46:e16451.
- Zhou Q, Zhao Y, Dang H, et al. ([2019\)](#page-1-11). Antibacterial effects of phytic acid against foodborne pathogens and investigation of its mode of action. J Food Prot 82:826–33.
- Zhou ZJ, Fan TQ, Yan Y, et al. [\(2019\)](#page-1-12). One stone with two birds: Phytic acid-capped platinum nanoparticles for targeted combination therapy of bone tumors. Biomaterials 194:130–8.