RESEARCH PAPER

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

a OPEN ACCESS **D** Check for updates

Sex-based differences in placental DNA methylation profiles related to gestational age: an NIH ECHO meta-analysis

Catherine M. Bulka^{[a,b](#page-0-0)}, Todd M. Everson^{[c](#page-0-1)}, Amber A. Burt^c, Carmen J. Marsit^c, Margaret R. Karagas^{[d](#page-0-2)}, Kristen E. Boyle^{e[,f](#page-0-3)}, Sierra Niemiec^f, Katerina Kechris^{f[,g](#page-0-4)}, Elizabeth J. Davidson^h, Ivana V. Yang^{[f](#page-0-3)[,h](#page-0-5)}, Jason I. F[ei](#page-0-10)nberg^{[i](#page-0-6)}, Heather E. Volkⁱ, Christine Ladd-Acosta^{[j](#page-0-6)}, C[a](#page-0-0)rrie V. Breton^k, T. Michael O'Shea^l, and Rebecca C. Fry Da[,m,n,](#page-0-9) on behalf of program collaborators for Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes

^aDepartment of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ^bCollege of Public Health, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA; 'Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, USA; ^dDepartment of Epidemiology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH, USA; ^eSection of Nutrition, Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA; f Colorado School of Public Health, The Lifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center, Aurora, CO, USA; ⁹Department of Biostatistics & Informatics, Colorado School of Public Health, Aurora, CO, USA; ^hDepartment of Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA;
'Department of Mental Health, Johns Honkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, ML, Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, ML, USA; ^jDepartment of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, ML, USA; ^kDepartment of Population and Public Health Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; "Institute for Environmental Health Solutions, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; "Curriculum in Toxicology and Environmental Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

ABSTRACT

The placenta undergoes many changes throughout gestation to support the evolving needs of the foetus. There is also a growing appreciation that male and female foetuses develop differently *in utero*, with unique epigenetic changes in placental tissue. Here, we report meta-analysed sexspecific associations between gestational age and placental DNA methylation from four cohorts in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) Programme (355 females/419 males, gestational ages 23–42 weeks). We identified 407 cytosineguanine dinucleotides (CpGs) in females and 794 in males where placental methylation levels were associated with gestational age. After cell-type adjustment, 55 CpGs in females and 826 in males were significant. These were enriched for biological processes critical to the immune system in females and transmembrane transport in males. Our findings are distinct between the sexes: in females, associations with gestational age are largely explained by differences in placental cellular composition, whereas in males, gestational age is directly associated with numerous alterations in methylation levels.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 26 July 2022 Revised 8 December 2022 Accepted 21 December 2022

KEYWORDS

Placenta; gestational age; DNA methylation; sex differences

Introduction

The placenta is a key regulator of foetal growth and development. By remodelling uterine spiral arteries, transporting oxygen and nutrients, removing waste products, releasing hormones, promoting maternal immune tolerance, and providing protection from xenobiotics, the placenta plays a critical role in establishing and maintaining a healthy pregnancy [[1](#page-15-0),[2](#page-15-1)]. Abnormalities of the placenta have been proposed to underlie a myriad of pregnancy complications, including preterm labour [[3](#page-15-2)], preeclampsia [\[4\]](#page-15-3), gestational

diabetes [\[5\]](#page-15-4), placenta accreta [[6](#page-15-5)], placental abruption [[7](#page-15-6)], and intrauterine growth restriction [[8](#page-15-7)], together affecting as many as 1 in 10 pregnancies. Placental structure and characteristics can have a lasting impact on the health of the offspring that extends beyond pregnancy. For example, measures of gross placental structure, including placental weight, thickness, and diameter, are predictive of growth during childhood even after considering their direct influence on size at birth [[9](#page-15-8)]. To gain fundamental insights into the placenta's role in immediate and long-term health,

CONTACT Rebecca C. Fry **©** rfry@unc.edu **Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 166A** Rosenau Hall, CB #7431, Chapel Hill, NC 27599

^{*}See Acknowledgements for full listing of collaborators

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2023.2179726>

^{© 2023} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/l use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

researchers are characterizing its molecular landscape. Although studies have shown that gene regulation of the placenta evolves dramatically throughout gestation [[10–](#page-15-9)[13\]](#page-15-10), examining molecular regulators of gene expression is necessary to more comprehensively describe biological pathways involved in normal human development and disease pathogenesis.

One important regulator of gene expression is DNA methylation, which refers to the addition of a methyl group to the DNA strand. DNA methylation is most commonly measured at cytosineguanine dinucleotides (CpGs), a sequence that is relatively rare across the genome [[14\]](#page-15-11). Areas where CpGs are comparatively dense and cluster together are known as CpG islands; in humans, an estimated 70% of genes have a CpG island in their promoter region [\[15](#page-15-12)]. Increasing levels of methylation at a promoter-associated CpG island tend to correspond to transcriptional repression [\[16\]](#page-15-13). In contrast, increased methylation within the gene body is often positively associated with expression [[17,](#page-15-14) [18\]](#page-15-15). Although these general patterns may be more complex in the human placenta [\[19](#page-16-0)], describing how CpG methylation relates to the length of gestation may provide insights into foetal growth and development as well as the molecular processes underlying links between intrauterine exposures and later-in-life health.

Several prior studies have examined the associations of gestational age with CpG methylation in cord blood [\[20–](#page-16-1)[25](#page-16-2)] and placental tissue [\[26–](#page-16-3) [28](#page-16-4)]. However, despite the fact that female and male foetuses exhibit distinct patterns of growth and development, no study to date has considered whether the relationship between gestational age and DNA methylation differs by foetal sex. Beginning in the first trimester, there are measurable differences in foetal size by sex, with males having greater crown-rump length in addition to larger abdominal and head circumferences [[29](#page-16-5)]. Despite their size advantage, male foetuses are generally more susceptible to pregnancy complications [[30](#page-16-6), [31\]](#page-16-7). After birth, male infants are also more likely than females to exhibit morbidities, including respiratory distress and neurological impairments [[32–](#page-16-8)[35](#page-16-9)]; it has been posited that the pathogenesis of these conditions originates *in utero* and is influenced by the placenta [[36](#page-16-10), [37](#page-16-11)].

The placenta is a sexually dimorphic organ. Female placentas exhibit global upregulation of autosomal genes, particularly for genes involved in immune regulation [[38\]](#page-16-12). In addition, variation in the placental methylome by foetal sex has been observed at an appreciable number of autosomal CpGs, for which males tend to have higher levels of methylation [[27,](#page-16-13) [39,](#page-16-14) [40](#page-16-15)]. Given such striking patterns, it is possible that important age-related alterations of the placenta could be obscured when not considering biological sex. In the present work, we investigate sex-specific patterns of placental CpG methylation in relation to gestational age. We provide results from four birth cohorts in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) Programme that we metaanalysed to detect robust differential methylation signals. We conducted analyses that considered associations of placental CpG methylation with gestational age directly, as well as associations corrected for differences in placental cell composition. We explored whether associations of gestational age and placental CpG methylation were distinct between females and males. Finally, we performed enrichment analyses of identified genes to contextualize the biological processes implicated by our findings.

Methods

Study population

Birth cohorts in the NIH ECHO programme were invited to participate in the present analysis if they had placental DNA methylation data available. Based on this criteria, four cohorts – the Early Autism Risk Longitudinal Investigation (EARLI), Extremely Low Gestational Age Newborns (ELGAN), Healthy Start, and the New Hampshire Birth Cohort Study (NHBCS) – were included in this study. Healthy Start and the NHBCS were general birth cohorts, whereas EARLI enrolled infants with a sibling affected by autism spectrum disorder and ELGAN enrolled infants born extremely preterm (<28 weeks'

gestation). Only singleton births were eligible for study inclusion and all had complete data on gestational age and assigned sex at birth. Placental tissue DNA samples that failed cohortspecific quality control procedures were excluded (as described in further detail in the **Supplementary Materials**). In addition, cohorts were instructed to exclude samples with potentially erroneous data, including gestational ages recorded as <22 or >42 weeks and any samples with discrepancies between their reported and methylation-predicted sex (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Sex prediction was ascertained using the *minfi* package by comparing the median values of probes mapped to the X and Y chromosomes [[41](#page-16-16)], which disagreed with the reported sex for 4 ELGAN and 2 Healthy Start samples (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Additional details regarding cohort eligibility criteria and participant characteristics can be found in the **Supplementary Materials** and **Supplemental Table 1**. Each cohort received ethical approval from local institutional review boards and obtained informed parental consent for all participants. Each cohort received ethical approval from local institutional review boards and obtained informed parental consent for all participants.

Gestational age ascertainment

Gestational ages were measured differently in each cohort. In EARLI, gestational ages were obtained from the labour and delivery nurse present at delivery when possible; otherwise, gestational age was calculated as the difference between the date of birth and the estimated delivery date minus 40 weeks (280 days). In ELGAN, gestational ages were estimated based on a hierarchy of the quality of available information with dates of embryo retrieval or intrauterine insemination or foetal ultrasound dating considered most accurate, followed by estimates based on last menstrual period date. In Healthy Start, gestational age at birth was obtained from the delivery medical record. Finally, in the NHBCS, gestational age was determined by foetal ultrasounds occurring between 11 and 13 weeks when possible; otherwise, it was estimated using the last menstrual period date. Gestational age was analysed in completed decimal weeks (e.g., 37 weeks and 2 days was recorded as 37.3 weeks).

Methylation measurements

DNA methylation from placental tissue was measured using either the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K or the MethylationEPIC (850 K) platform. Each cohort conducted their own quality control and normalization of DNA methylation data, as detailed in the **Supplementary Materials**. Cohorts retained all CpGs that passed quality control and corrected for technical aspects related to processing (i.e., batch effects) in their data using *ComBat* (**Supplemental Table 2**) [\[42](#page-16-17)]. Quality control checks revealed that several cohort-specific genomic inflation factors exceeded 1.25 (as shown in **Supplemental Table 3** and **Supplemental Figure 2**). Thus, we implemented a Bayesian method, BACON, to estimate empirical null distributions to the data [\[43](#page-16-18)]. Doing so resulted in markedly lower inflation values with a maximum value of 1.24. The BACON-corrected model coefficients and corresponding standard errors were subsequently used for all meta-analyses.

Coordinated epigenome-wide association studies and estimation of placental cell-type composition

Each cohort performed independent epigenomewide association studies (EWASs) according to a pre-specified analytic plan. For each CpG site, placental methylation was reported as the average β-value, ranging from 0 (unmethylated) to 1 (fully methylated). Two sex-stratified models were fit using the R package *limma* [[44\]](#page-16-19): 1) an unadjusted model with placental methylation βvalues as the dependent variable and gestational age (continuous) as the independent variable; and 2) an adjusted model that included estimated proportions of trophoblasts, syncytiotrophoblast, stromal, Hofbauer, endothelial, and nucleated red blood cells as model covariates [[45](#page-16-20)]. By comparing the coefficients for gestational age from the two models within each sex strata, we can make an indirect assessment about

the extent to which increasing gestational age may be altering the cellular composition of the placenta [[46\]](#page-16-21). Large differences between the unadjusted and cell-type adjusted coefficients are proposed to represent CpG methylation signals that are not independently correlated with the amount of time spent *in utero* but rather are primarily driven by differences in cell-type proportions.

Proportions of the placental cell types were estimated using a reference-based deconvolution method via the R package *planet* [\[45\]](#page-16-20). The *planet* algorithm was developed by characterizing the methylomes of placentas collected from terminated and healthy term pregnancies and therefore requires that users specify whether their samples were collected during the first or third trimester. For our analyses, we applied this approach as designed for all third trimester samples (≥28 weeks gestation); for any second trimester samples (<28 weeks gestation), we interpolated cell-type proportions by simply averaging across first and third trimester estimates per the *planet* authors' recommendations (V. Yuan and W. Robinson, personal communication, 17 February 2021). Heatmaps of the estimated placental cell-type proportions were produced by each cohort using R package *pheatmap* [\[47](#page-16-22)], with hierarchical clustering performed using Ward's method and annotations for infant sex and gestational age [\[48](#page-16-23)].

Meta-analyses

Prior to meta-analyses, we removed probes that were not shared across the 450 K and EPIC arrays and those that mapped to sex chromosomes, targeted non-CpG sites, were associated with singlenucleotide polymorphisms, or were previously identified as cross-hybridizing (**Supplemental Figure 1**) [\[49](#page-16-24)]. We also filtered out CpGs not retained in at least two of the study cohorts, for a maximum total of 354,869 probes for the analyses.

Next, for each of the four models (females, females with cell-type adjustment, males, males with cell-type adjustment), we calculated cohortspecific genomic inflation statistics and generated QQ plots to assess test statistic inflation. We used BACON, a Bayesian method to evaluate and control for test statistic bias and inflation that was specifically developed to deal with inflation in EWASs [[43\]](#page-16-18).

We then performed inverse-variance weighted fixed-effect meta-analyses using the R package *metafor* [[50\]](#page-17-0). Genome-wide significance was based on an FDR correction of $q < 0.05$ [\[51](#page-17-1)]. We explored between-study variability by calculating the I^2 statistic, which describes the extent to which each cohort's results were consistent. We considered I^2 values $\leq 50\%$ to reflect homogeneity across studies. For probes with I^2 values <50%, we re-ran meta-analyses using random-effects models fitted with restricted maximum likelihood, replacing fixed-effect estimates with their random-effects counterparts to better account for between-study heterogeneity [\[52](#page-17-2)]. In addition, we conducted leave-one-out analyses on all FDR-significant probes, in which we performed the overall metaanalysis repeatedly, excluding one of the four cohorts in each run, allowing us to identify whether any single cohort was overly influential.

Testing for sex-specific associations of gestational age with placental CpG methylation

To formally test whether relationships between gestational age and placental CpG methylation differed by sex, we performed heterogeneity testing on probes that reached FDR-significance. These analyses were focused on results from the cell-type–adjusted models to control for possible sex-based differences in the cellular composition of the placenta. Specifically, we used two-sample Z-tests to test for equality between the metaanalysed, BACON-corrected, cell-type–adjusted regression coefficients from males and females [[53\]](#page-17-3). This approach can be considered analogous to modelling gestational age in an interaction cross-product term with infant sex but is less biased in the event that any associations between placental cell types and methylation at individual CpGs are sex-dependent [\[54](#page-17-4)]. To identify whether gestational age – placental CpG methylation associations significantly differed between males and females, the FDR approach was implemented to account for multiple testing.

CpG annotation and pathway analyses

We annotated CpG sites to genes using the Infinium HumanMethylation450K manifest file provided by Illumina, which references Genome Build 37 (hg19) [[55\]](#page-17-5), and we verified the annotations for Bonferroni-significant CpGs in the UCSC Genome Browser [\[56](#page-17-6)]. We then performed GO pathway analyses using the gometh function from the *missMethyl* R package to identify potential developmental mechanisms linking gestational age with placental CpG methylation [[57\]](#page-17-7). This function maps CpGs to genes and performs hypergeometric tests that account for the differing number of probes within each gene. We focused these pathway analyses on CpGs that were significantly associated with gestational age within each sex strata at $q < 0.05$ after adjusting for cell-type heterogeneity. For each sex, the top 25 GO terms (all $p < 0.01$) for biological processes were consolidated and summarized using REVIGO, which removes redundant concepts [\[58](#page-17-8)]. The results from REVIGO were visualized using TreeMaps that show clusters of GO terms as rectangles; the colours depict related clustered GO terms and the size of the rectangles reflect $-log_{10}(p-values)$.

Results

Study population

Our analyses included data from four demographically diverse United States-based birth cohort studies that are part of the ECHO Programme: (1) the Early Autism Risk Longitudinal Investigation (EARLI), which enrolled infants with a sibling affected by autism spectrum disorder, (2) the Extremely Low Gestational Age Newborns (ELGAN) study, which enrolled infants born extremely preterm (<28 weeks' gestation), (3) the Healthy Start study, and (4) the New Hampshire Birth Cohort Study (NHBCS), which were both general birth cohorts. Of the four cohorts, EARLI and NHBCS profiled placental CpG methylation using the Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip, whereas Healthy Start and ELGAN used the MethylationEPIC BeadChip (850 K). The cohorts derived gestational age from multiple sources, including medical records using ultrasound estimation, last menstrual period date, or, for pregnancies conceived by assisted reproductive technology, dates of embryo retrieval or intrauterine insemination. Gestational ages ranged from 23 to 42 weeks ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Hierarchically clustered heatmaps of the placental cell-type proportions estimated by each cohort are provided in **Supplemental Figures 3–6**. The six cell types estimated by *planet* were clearly differentiated with syncytiotrophoblasts comprising the largest proportion and trophoblasts comprising the smallest proportion. Within the ELGAN cohort (**Supplemental Figure 4**), which was composed of infants born extremely preterm (<28 weeks gestation), there were no apparent patterns in placental cell-type proportions by infant sex. However, within the other cohorts (**Supplemental Figures 3, 5**, and **6**), clustering revealed more closeness in cell-type proportions by infant sex than by gestational age.

Sex-specific meta-analyses of gestational age

We identified a total of 1201 CpGs associated with gestational age. Specifically, 407 CpGs displayed methylation associated with gestational age among females and 794 CpGs associated with gestational age among males at q < 0.05 [\(Table 2](#page-6-0) and [Figure 1](#page-6-1)). Of the false discovery rate (FDR) significant CpGs, we identified only 19 that were common between males and females ([Figure 2](#page-7-0) and **Supplemental Table 4**). Within each sex, most of the FDR-significant associations survived the leave-one-out analyses [\(Table 2\)](#page-6-0), suggesting they were not driven by a single cohort. In addition, the majority of FDR-significant CpGs (99.8% in females and 99.7% in males) had I^2 values $\leq 50\%$, indicating that the results were highly consistent across the four cohorts. We highlight the sexspecific top 10 FDR-significant CpGs from the unadjusted models in [Table 3](#page-8-0) but have also provided results for all CpGs in **Supplemental Table 5**. The most significant association observed in the unadjusted models of gestational age in females was for cg06677013, located in the gene body of *CYB5R4* ([Table 3\)](#page-8-0); specifically, each additional week of gestation corresponded to a 0.015 (p-value = 2.71×10^{-13}) increase in placental methylation β-values at this specific probe, a relationship that persisted even when single

cohorts were omitted in the leave-one-out analysis. In males, the top hit was for cg05169312 (*GEMIN5*), for which a 1-week increase in gestational age in males corresponded to a 0.010 (p-value = 2.12×10^{-10}) increase in placental methylation levels, which also did not appear to be driven by any one cohort [\(Table](#page-8-0) 3).

Sex-specific meta-analyses of gestational age after cell-type adjustment

Adjusting for proportions of placental cell types attenuated the number of gestational age–asso ciated CpGs identified among females from 407 to 55 [\(Table](#page-6-0) 2). Of these, all had I^2 values less than 50%, but only 3 survived the leave-one-out ana lyses, suggesting the associations observed were not robust. Among males, the number of FDRsignificant CpGs associated with gestational age after adjusting for cell-type heterogeneity remained high, with 826 FDR-significant CpGs in the cell-type–adjusted model versus 794 FDRsignificant CpGs in the unadjusted model. For males, the cell–type adjusted associations appeared remarkably stable: all of the 826 associations deemed FDR-significant had I 2 values below 50% and the majority (679 of 826, 82.2%) survived the leave-one-out analyses. Comparing the magnitude of model coefficients from the unadjusted and celltype–adjusted models revealed generally positive correlations ([Figure](#page-9-0) 3), although they were more strongly correlated among male-derived placentas $(r = 0.93$ in males; $r = 0.79$ in females). This suggests that gestational age has a stronger and more direct relationship with the placental methy lome of males compared with females, for whom associations appear to be largely explained by differences in cell-type composition.

The 55 CpGs among females and 826 CpGs among males associated with gestational age after adjustment for placental cell-type compo sition were annotated to 41 genes and 538 genes, respectively. For females, negative and positive associations between gestational age and placental CpG methylation levels were observed in approximately equal numbers ([Figure 4\)](#page-9-1). However, for males, a 1-week increase in gestational age at birth exhibited a predominance of positive associations with

Table 2. A summary of the number of identified CpG sites from each EWAS meta-analysis of gestational age.

	Females $(N = 355)$		Males $(N = 419)$	
Model	FDR-significant CpGs	Survived leave-one-out	FDR-significant CpGs	Survived leave-one-out
Unadjusted Cell-type-adjusted	407 55	382	794 826	598 679

The false discovery rate (FDR) threshold for statistical significance was $q < 0.05$. Leave-one-out refers to performing the meta-analyses excluding one cohort each time. When any single cohort was omitted, 'survival' was defined as: (1) having a p-value < 0.05, (2) maintaining an association in the same direction as the overall meta-analysis; (3) maintaining a magnitude of association within 50% of the overall meta-analysis. EWAS, epigenome-wide association study.

Figure 1. Manhattan and volcano plots of the inverse-variance fixed meta-analysis results for gestational age and placental CpG methylation among EARLI, ELGAN, healthy start, and NHBCS.

A. Manhattan plots of placental CpGs associated with gestational age among females (N = 355; top) and males (N = 419; bottom); **B**. Volcano plots of placental CpGs associated with gestational age among females (top) and males (bottom). In the Manhattan plots, the x-axes represent genomic locations. In the volcano plots, the x-axes show the estimated mean difference in methylation β-values for a 1-week increase in gestational age. Y-axes for both plots show -log₁₀(p-values) with the solid horizontal black line indicating the false discovery rate (q < 0.05) and the dashed horizontal black line indicating the more conservative Bonferroni threshold (1.41 \times 10^{-7}) for statistical significance.

placental methylation levels (86% of FDRsignificant CpGs in males; [Figure 4](#page-9-1)). We provide results for the top 10 FDR-significant CpGs after cell-type adjustment in [Table 4](#page-10-0) while relationships for all CpGs, along with detailed annotations, are included in **Supplemental Table 6**.

The most notable associations observed after cell-type adjustment were for cg11650925 in females and cg12447744 in males, which each had the smallest p-values in the sex-specific metaanalyses ([Table 4](#page-10-0)). For cg11650925, which is located within *GNG12-AS1*, a long non-coding RNA that is downstream of the imprinted gene

DIRAS3 [\[59](#page-17-9)], we observed a positive association between gestational age in females and placental methylation levels (coefficient = 0.012 per 1-week increase in gestational age, p-value = 8.95×10^{-10}). It should be noted, however, that this association was unstable, as it did not persist when single cohorts were omitted from the meta-analysis. For cg12447744, which annotates to *MDM4*, higher gestational ages in males were associated with greater levels of placental methylation (coefficient = 0.008 per 1-week increase in gestational age, p-value = 2.06×10^{-10}).

In terms of overlap between the two sexes, we found one probe that was FDR-significant among

Figure 2. Overlap of FDR-significant CpGs across the meta-analyses of gestational age and placental methylation among females and males from EARLI, ELGAN, healthy start, and NHBCS, with and without cell-type adjustment. Female-specific results without cell-type adjustment are shown in light pink and with cell-type adjustment are shown in dark pink; male-specific results without cell-type adjustment are shown in light blue and with cell-type adjustment are shown in dark blue.

both females and males after adjusting for cell-type heterogeneity ([Figure 2](#page-7-0)): cg17284609, which annotates to the promoter region of *SOX6*. Notably, this probe was also FDR-significant among both sexes in the unadjusted models (**[Figure 5](#page-10-1)**) and survived all leave-one-out sensitivity analyses, indicating a profoundly robust relationship between increasing gestational age and higher levels of placental methylation at this specific site.

Sex differences in associations of gestational age with placental CpG methylation

Using the 880 FDR-significant CpGs from the celltype adjusted models (55 CpGs in females and 826 in males, of which one overlapped), we performed two-sample Z-tests to test for sex-specific associations of gestational age with placental methylation. Those tests revealed that a total of 164 probes mapping to 116 genes showed evidence for sexbased heterogeneity in gestational age – placental CpG methylation associations at q < 0.05. We provide Z-test statistics with accompanying p-values and q-values for all 880 probes in **Supplemental Table 6** but highlight the top 10 most significant probes in [Figure 6](#page-11-0). Of the 164 sex-heterogenous probes, 108 (65.9%) had gestational age coefficients in opposing directions when comparing females and males. For instance, a 1-week increase in gestational age among females was inversely associated with placental methylation levels at cg21747160 (located near *LMBR1L*, Limb Development Membrane Protein 1 Like), whereas a positive association was observed among males ([Figure 6\)](#page-11-0).

Enrichment of distinct biological pathways

Gene Ontology (GO) pathway analyses of the FDR-significant CpGs associated with gestational age after placental cell-type adjustment (annotating to 41 genes in females and 538 genes in males) revealed biological processes that differed between the two sexes. After being consolidated by REVIGO, top GO terms for females included cytokine production and myeloid cell development ([Figure 7\)](#page-12-0). In contrast, the top GO terms for males were primarily related to transmembrane transport [\(Figure 7\)](#page-12-0).

Discussion

In this meta-analysis of four cohorts in the NIH ECHO programme, we found associations between gestational age and the placental DNA methylome that displayed striking differences by infant sex. In females, we identified 407 CpGs that were differentially methylated by gestational age;

Table 3. Top 10 FDR-significant CpGs for the meta-analysis of gestational age and placental methylation among females and males from the EARLI, ELGAN, Healthy Start, and NHBCS

closest gene. The direction of coefficients is ordered by cohort as follows: EARLI, ELGAN, Healthy Start, NHBCS. A '?' mark indicates the respective cohort was missing methylation data for a given probe. closest gene. The direction of coefficients is ordered by cohort as follows: EARLI, ELGAN, Healthy Start, NHBCS. A '?' mark indicates the respective cohort was missing methylation data for a given probe.

Figure 3. Comparison of meta-analysis model coefficients for gestational age and placental CpG methylation among EARLI, ELGAN, Healthy Start, and NHBCS before and after cell-type adjustment.

A. Scatter plot of unadjusted and cell-type adjusted model coefficients for a 1-week increase in gestational age among females (N = 355); **B**. Scatter plot of unadjusted and cell-type adjusted model coefficients for a 1-week increase in gestational age among males $(N = 419)$.

Figure 4. Manhattan and volcano plots of the inverse-variance fixed meta-analysis results for gestational age and placental CpG methylation after cell-type adjustment among EARLI, ELGAN, healthy start, and NHBCS.

A. Manhattan plots of placental CpGs associated with gestational age after adjustment for cell-type composition among females (N = 355; top) and males (N = 419; bottom); **B**. Volcano plots of placental CpGs associated with gestational age after adjustment for cell-type composition among females (top) and males (bottom). In the Manhattan plots, the x-axes represent genomic locations, whereas in the volcano plots, the x-axes show the estimated mean difference in methylation β-values for a 1-week increase in gestational age. Y-axes for both plots show -log₁₀(p-values) with the solid horizontal black line indicating the false discovery rate (q < 0.05) and the dashed horizontal black line indicating the more conservative Bonferroni threshold (1.41 \times 10⁻⁷) for statistical significance.

after accounting for placental cellular composition, this number was reduced to only 55 CpGs and most appeared unstable in leave-one-out analyses, indicating that cell-type heterogeneity largely explains female-specific associations. This finding may reflect placental cellular composition or levels of specific cell types promoting longer courses of gestation among females, which would be an

Figure 5. Sexually monomorphic associations of gestational age with placental methylation levels at cg17284609 (*SOX6*) before and after cell-type adjustment.

Forest plot depicting cohort-specific and meta-analysed associations of gestational age with placental methylation levels at cg17284609, the only probe that was FDR-significant in metaanalyses among both females and males before and after celltype adjustment.

interesting area for future research. We identified considerably more CpGs in males (794 CpGs in unadjusted models and 826 CpGs in celltype–adjusted models, with the majority surviving leave-one-out analyses), suggesting a profound and direct relationship between increasing gestational age and placental methylation levels. Male-specific associations were generally positive in direction, an observation supported by a prior study of gestational age and DNA methylation in placental tissue [[60\]](#page-17-10). Despite differences in study eligibility and participant characteristics across the EARLI, ELGAN, Healthy Start, and NHBCS cohorts, the findings were generally consistent, with the exception of results for females for whom cell – type adjustment produced unstable estimates that should be interpreted with caution [[61\]](#page-17-11). Taken together, our findings emphasize that DNA methylation is an important mechanism underlying sex-based differences in the placenta, which may also be important for sex-specific health and developmental outcomes.

We identified a large number (164 CpGs) for which associations between gestational age and placental methylation levels appeared to be different between the two sexes. Those that differed most significantly by sex were located in or near the following genes: *LMBR1L, MIR194-2HG, UVSSA, FOXP1, AGBL4, RAP1GAP2, DDX39A, CELSR2*, and *FOXC1*. Two of these – namely *DDX39A* and *LMBR1L* – potentially contribute to immune responses and inflammation [\[62](#page-17-12), [63](#page-17-13)], whereas *FOXP1* and *FOXC1* have been linked to

Table 4. Top 10 FDR-significant CpGs for the meta-analysis of gestational age and placental methylation with adjustment for celltype proportions among females and males from the EARLI, ELGAN, healthy start, and NHBCS cohorts.

	Heterogeneity					
Probe (Gene)	Coefficient (95% CI)	Q-value	I^2 (<i>P</i> -value)	Direction in Each Cohort Survived leave-one-out		
Females $(N = 355)$						
cq11650925 (GNG12-AS1)	0.012 (0.008, 0.015)	3.18E-04	21.5(0.28)	$++++$	No	
cq06677013 (CYB5R4)	0.012 (0.008, 0.016)	4.19E-04	36.8 (0.19)	$++++$	No	
cq15030415 (RAP1GAP2)	-0.012 (-0.016 , -0.008)	4.19E-04	35.2 (0.20)	$- -$	No	
cq04732596 (MEIS1)	-0.005 ($-0.007, -0.003$)	9.63E-03	0.0(0.43)	$- -$	No	
cq10113589 (OPALIN)	0.009 (0.005, 0.012)	9.63E-03	41.3(0.16)	$++++$	No	
cg26709300 (YPEL3)	-0.008 (-0.011 , -0.005)	9.63E-03	38.7 (0.18)		No	
cq02959609 (C1orf230)	0.009 (0.006, 0.012)	9.93E-03	15.6(0.31)	$++++$	No	
cq15175129 (MSRB3)	0.009 (0.005, 0.012)	1.10E-02	43.8 (0.15)	$++++$	No	
cq12617598 (CDC73)	-0.011 (-0.015 , -0.007)	1.16E-02	26.3(0.25)	- -	No	
cq10821976 (TSNAX-DISC1)	0.014 (0.009, 0.02)	1.20E-02	0.0(0.62)	$++++$	No	
Males $(N = 419)$						
cq12447744 (MDM4)	0.008 (0.006, 0.011)	7.32E-05	40.5 (0.17)	$++++$	Yes	
cq12134349 (SLC45A4; LOC105375787)	0.008 $(0.005, 0.011)$	1.42E-04	27.9 (0.24)	$++++$	Yes	
cq23323879 (CILP ⁺)	0.007 (0.005, 0.009)	1.42E-04	0.0(0.82)	$++++$	Yes	
cq05169312 (GEMIN5)	0.010 (0.006, 0.013)	2.37E-04	0.0(0.53)	$++++$	Yes	
cq17402397 (SMTN)	0.007 (0.005, 0.010)	2.37E-04	0.0(0.76)	$++++$	Yes	
cq09753772 (RPS7 ⁺)	0.010 $(0.007, 0.013)$	3.57E-04	1.3(0.39)	$++++$	Yes	
cq05341549 (PIK3CD)	0.008 $(0.005, 0.010)$	4.21E-04	0.0(0.75)	$++++$	Yes	
cq11075561 (<i>MAPK13</i> ⁺)	0.010 (0.007, 0.014)	4.21E-04	33.4 (0.21)	$++++$	Yes	
cq11585358 (<i>EPHX4</i> ⁺)	0.007 (0.005, 0.009)	5.35E-04	31.3 (0.22)	$++++$	Yes	
cq19905757 (CORO2B)	0.007 (0.005, 0.010)	5.99E-04	0.0(0.97)	$++++$	Yes	

Coefficients correspond to the expected change in placental DNA methylation per additional week of gestation after adjustment for placental celltype proportions. Gene annotations were verified in the UCSC Genome Browser (hg19) with *+* indicating the closest gene. The direction of coefficients is ordered by cohort as follows: EARLI, ELGAN, Healthy Start, NHBCS.

Figure 6. Sex-heterogeneous associations of gestational age with placental CpG methylation after cell-type adjustment. Forest plot depicting the top 10 probes with methylation levels that differed most significantly between the two sexes. Gene annotations were verified in the UCSC Genome Browser (hg19)

with $+$ indicating the closest gene.

preeclampsia and gestational diabetes, respectively [[64,](#page-17-14) [65](#page-17-15)]. Based on our prior work, we anticipated that there would be some stark differences in the placental epigenome by sex; however, the exact mechanisms remain elusive [\[39](#page-16-14), [66\]](#page-17-16). We speculate the CpGs displaying sex-divergent gestational agemethylation associations observed may be related to androgens produced by the foetus and placenta as early as the first trimester, fluctuating throughout the course of gestation, or may be related to other, non-hormonal sex-specific factors (e.g., anatomical differences) [[67,](#page-17-17) [68\]](#page-17-18). Pathway analyses further revealed enrichment of distinct biological processes by sex. In females, myeloid cell development and cytokine production were among the top GO terms identified, highlighting pathways linked to the immune system and inflammatory responses. Enrichment among these pathways may explain why females mount a stronger immune response than males to various stimuli, including vaccines, pathogens, and autoantigens [[69\]](#page-17-19). Sex-based epigenetic differences in immune responses may also explain disparities in later-inlife outcomes, as perinatal systemic inflammation has been linked to neurodevelopmental impairments such as autism and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder characterized by male predominance [\[70–](#page-17-20)[72](#page-17-21)]. In this study, males displayed enrichment of GO terms related to transmembrane transport of solutes, including chlorides and cations. The translocation of solutes across biological membranes is of major physiologic importance. In the placenta specifically, molecular transport proteins in the syncytiotrophoblast and foetal capillary endothelium actively control the transport of a variety of compounds [[73\]](#page-17-22). Both the under- and over-expression of such transporter proteins could affect the flux of key hormones, nutrients, and xenobiotics across the placental barrier [\[74](#page-17-23), [75\]](#page-17-24). While we are unaware of any data showing that expression of transporter proteins in the placenta is sex-dependent [\[73](#page-17-22)], males appear to generally be more susceptible to the effects of prenatal chemical exposures [\[76](#page-17-25)], shedding light on one potential pathway underlying sex-based differences in pregnancy outcomes.

Prior research has examined the relationship between gestational age and autosomal DNA methylation in placental tissue without considering the role of foetal sex [[26–](#page-16-3)[28\]](#page-16-4). For instance, a 2019 study by Lee *et al*. derived three distinct placental 'clocks' to estimate 'biological' (as opposed to chronological) gestational age [\[26](#page-16-3)]. While the clocks are reported to have high accuracy in samples composed of both females and males, we observed limited overlap between the FDR-significant CpGs identified in this work and the CpGs composing the Robust Placental Clock (RPC), Control Placental Clock (CPC), and refined Robust Placental Clock (rRPC) [\[26](#page-16-3)]. One notable exception is cg05169312 (*GEMIN5*), our top hit among males in the unadjusted model that remained strongly associated with gestational age after accounting for placental cellular composition; we observed positive associations between gestational age and methylation levels for this CpG, consistent with its positive weights in each of the three Lee *et al*. clocks. The CpGs included in the clocks were selected by machine learning algorithms and therefore may prioritize predictive ability over biological meaning; however, our finding that many gestational age-related differences in placental CpG methylation levels differ by sex suggests there may be a need to move beyond 'unisex' placental epigenetic clocks and develop new clocks that are sex-specific.

Our study included data from four birth cohorts to provide foundational insights into the placental methylome but is not without limitations. To limit

a. Females

b. Males

Figure 7. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses for the cell-type adjusted association of gestational age with placental CpG methylation among females and males.

A. The top 25 GO biological processes identified by enrichment p-value among female-associated CpG sites after undergoing REVIGO pruning. **B**. The top 25 GO biological processes identified by enrichment p-value among male-associated CpG sites after undergoing REVIGO pruning. GO term clusters are identified by rectangles with labels that reflect the least redundancy and highest degree of enrichment; colours represent related clustered GO terms, and the size of the rectangles is proportional to -log₁₀(p-value for enrichment).

the burden on individual cohorts, each performed placental tissue sampling, DNA extraction, methylation interrogation, quality control, and normalization procedures according to their own existing protocols. Two cohorts (EARLI and NHBCS) measured placental methylation with the 450 K platform whereas the other two (ELGAN and Healthy Start) used the EPIC platform. By metaanalysing, we assumed measurements were comparable across the two platforms. In general, DNA methylation in placental tissue does show a high per-sample correlation between the arrays [\[77](#page-17-26)]. However, to be sure that our results were not misleading, we compared all CpGs we deemed as FDR-significant to a published list of probes that display absolute differences in percent methylation >50% in placental tissue across 450 K and EPIC; we found no overlap, supporting our use of the combined data. Some limitations of this study were the use of single placental biopsies to measure methylation profiles and the lack of standardization in sampling protocols across the cohorts. In addition, each cohort had its own eligibility criteria which contributed to distinct participant characteristics. For instance, ELGAN enrolled extremely preterm infants and thus was enriched for assisted reproduction and medically complicated pregnancies [[78\]](#page-17-27), whereas EARLI enrolled infants at high risk for autism. While these differences may have contributed to between-study heterogeneity, we found that only a small proportion of differentially methylated CpGs had I^2 values at or above 50%, indicating that the results were largely consistent across the cohorts. We also performed leave-one-out sensitivity and found that, with the exception of females for whom cell – type adjustment rendered estimates unstable, the results were generally insensitive to the exclusion of any single cohort. Our approach assumed a linear relationship between DNA methylation and gestational age across the age ranges included in this study and limited the identification of relationships to those that are consistent across preterm and term placentas. Thus, we may have missed CpGs that exhibit non-linear associations within different windows of gestation. In future studies, it would be interesting to characterize how any observed relationships may differ between preterm and term placentas, although such an analysis would be more appropriate for cohorts spanning a wider range of gestational ages, or for metaanalyses that include multiple cohorts with greater overlap than this one, such that true differences could be distinguished from other unique cohort effects. There was also variability in how each cohort assessed gestational age. Measuring gestational age by foetal ultrasound, particularly when performed early in the first trimester, is considered to be the most accurate method for pregnancy dating [\[79](#page-17-28)]. While some of the included infants had ultrasound-based estimates, others had

gestational ages calculated according to the date of the last menstrual period, a method prone to random error and an overestimation bias [\[80](#page-18-0)]. These inaccuracies may have introduced measurement error to our analyses but are expected to be small in magnitude as, on average, last menstrual period dating exceeds ultrasound-based estimates by fewer than 3 days [\[80](#page-18-0)]. Across the four cohorts, there were many complicated pregnancies and induced or caesarian section deliveries (224 of 355 females and 264 of 419 males, in total). Although pregnancy complications and obstetric interventions are associated with placental DNA methylation signatures [[81,](#page-18-1) [82](#page-18-2)], our goal was simply to describe gestational age-related changes in the placental methylome separately for female and male infants. To that end, we did not control for pregnancy complications or interventions, because we did not want to make unverifiable assumptions regarding the temporal sequence of such factors with respect to gestational age, placental cellular composition, and placental CpG methylation [\[83](#page-18-3)]. Determining the chain of events will likely require non-human population study designs such as placental cell lines or animal models [[84,](#page-18-4) [85](#page-18-5)]. Without placental mRNA data, we were unable to explore how differential CpG methylation correlated with gene expression, thus limiting our understanding of the functional relevance of gestational-age associated CpGs. Future studies of the placental methylome should consider using a systems biology approach to contextualize findings within molecular pathways. Another important point is that this meta-analysis only included infants assigned as female or male at birth and excluded a small number of samples for which the X and Y chromosome probe intensities did not match the reported sex. Because of our focus on the placenta, we also lacked information regarding gender identity, which develops in early childhood and may or may not agree with assigned sex. Although this work highlights female-male comparisons relating foetal growth and development to important placental epigenetic patterns, we acknowledge there is an urgent need to expand beyond binary sex classification to be more inclusive of intersex and transgender individuals. Finally, our analytic approach focused on identifying sex-based differences in gestational age – placental methylation associations, rather than identifying similarities in these associations between females and males. By analysing each sex separately, rather than pooled together, we may have missed some statistically weaker, but potentially biologically important relationships between gestational age and placental CpG methylation levels.

Our study has several strengths, including being the first to identify sex-heterogeneity in placental CpG methylation patterns related to gestational age. By combining data from four birth cohorts, we were able to increase the precision and generalizability of our findings. Importantly, through the inclusion of a preterm birth cohort as well as general birth cohorts, our study captured a wide range of gestational ages spanning 23–42 weeks. We were also able to control for placental cell-type heterogeneity using a relatively new reference-based approach [[45](#page-16-20)]. Placental tissue is a complex mixture of trophoblasts, Hofbauer cells, endothelial cells, and stromal cells, which are epigenetically distinct and therefore need to be considered as a source of variability in epigenome-wide association studies [\[86\]](#page-18-6). Compared with referencefree cell-type deconvolution methods that have historically been used in studies of placental DNA methylation, the reference-based approach provides a more direct interpretation of placental cell composition. Its implementation allowed for comparisons of gestational age-placental methylation associations with and without celltype adjustment, which can highlight differences between the sexes driven by placental cellular composition. Notably, we found that associations of gestational age with placental CpG methylation appear to be influenced by differences in cellular composition in females but not in males.

Conclusions

In summary, we show that methylation levels at numerous CpG sites across the genome are associated with gestational age at birth in a sex-specific manner. Our findings highlight the importance of considering foetal sex in studies of the placenta,

which may have utility for identifying the origins of sex differences in health that persist throughout life.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank our ECHO colleagues, the medical, nursing, and programme staff, as well as the children and families participating in the Early Autism Risk Longitudinal Investigation (EARLI), Extremely Low Gestational Age Newborns (ELGAN), Healthy Start, and the New Hampshire Birth Cohort Study (NHBCS), for their hard work and dedication. We also acknowledge the contribution of the following ECHO programme collaborators: Coordinating Center: Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina: Phillip B. Smith, Laura K. Newby; Data Analysis Center: Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland: Lisa P. Jacobson; Research Triangle Institute, Durham, North Carolina: Corette B. Parker; Person-Reported Outcomes Core: Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois: Richard Gershon, David Cella; University of California, Davis Medical Center - MIND Institute: Rebecca Schmidt; Kaiser Permanente Northern California Division of Research: Lisa Croen; University of Colorado Denver, Denver, CO: Dana Dabelea. Special thanks to Lisa Smeester (the ELGAN-ECHO biospecimen lead, University of North Carolina), Kyle Roell (data analyst, Institute for Environmental Health Solutions, University of North Carolina), Mercedes Martinez (the Healthy Start ECHO Study project coordinator, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado), and Melanie Kelly (project leader, ECHO Coordinating Center, Duke Clinical Research Institute).

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Data availability statement

The individual-level datasets used for conducting EWASs in this manuscript are not publicly available because, per the NIH-approved ECHO Data Sharing Policy, ECHO-wide data have not yet been made available to the public for review/ analysis. Requests to access the individual-level datasets should be directed to the ECHO Data Analysis Center, ECHO-DAC@rti.org. However, the EWAS summary statistics from each cohort that were used to conduct subsequent meta-analyses are available through the UNC Dataverse at <https://doi.org/10.15139/S3/K73DN>9.

All software and programs used to conduct these analyses are freely available and are cited in the manuscript. All code used to generate our results is available on the UNC Dataverse at <https://doi.org/10.15139/S3/EEB75X>.

Funding

Research reported in this publication was supported by the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) programme, Office of The Director, National Institutes of Health, under Award Numbers U2COD023375 (Coordinating Center), UH3OD023348 U24OD023382 (Data Analysis Center), and U24OD023319 (PRO Core). The EARLI study was supported by the National Institutes of Health, under Award Number UH3OD023342 (Craig Newschaffer and Heather Volk). The ELGAN-ECHO study was supported by the National Institutes of Health, under Award Number UH3OD023348 (Rebecca C. Fry and T. Michael O'Shea). The Healthy Start Study was supported by the National Institutes of Health, under Award Numbers R01DK076648 and UG3OD023248 (Dana Dabelea), and by the Colorado Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute via National Institutes of Health, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences for maternal visits and collection of birth measures, under Award Number UL1TR001082 (Ronald J. Sokol). The New Hampshire Birth Cohort Study was supported by the National Institutes of Health, under Award Numbers UH3OD023275 and P42ES007373 (Margaret Karagas) and P01ES022832 (Margaret Karagas and Carmen Marsit). Todd Everson and Carmen Marsit were additionally supported by the National Institutes of Health, under Award Number funded under Awarded Number UH3OD023347 (Barry Lester and Carmen Marsit). Carrie Breton was supported by the National Institutes of Health, under Award Number UH3OD023287 (Carrie Breton). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

ORCID

Rebecca C. Fry **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0899-9018

References

- [1] Burton GJ, Fowden AL. The placenta: a multifaceted, transient organ. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. [2015;](#page-0-11)370(1663):20140066.
- [2] Huppertz B. The anatomy of the normal placenta. J Clin Pathol. [2008;](#page-0-11)61(12):1296–1302.
- [3] Walker MW, Picklesimer AH, Clark RH, et al. Impact of duration of rupture of membranes on outcomes of premature infants. J Perinatol. [2014](#page-0-12);34(9):669–672.
- [4] Force USPST, Grossman DC, Curry SJ, et al. Screening for Preeclampsia: US preventive services task force recommendation statement. JAMA. [2017;](#page-0-12)317 (16):1661–1667.
- [5] Plows JF, Stanley JL, Baker PN, et al. The pathophysiology of gestational diabetes mellitus. Int J Mol Sci.

[2018;](#page-0-13)19(11):1–21. doi:[10.3390/ijms19113342](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19113342). PMID: 30373146; PMCID: PMC6274679 .

- [6] Fitzpatrick KE, Sellers S, Spark P, et al. Incidence and risk factors for placenta accreta/increta/percreta in the UK: a national case-control study. PLoS One. [2012](#page-0-13);7 (12):e52893. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0052893.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052893) Epub 2012 Dec 27. PMID: 23300807; PMCID: PMC3531337.
- [7] Ananth CV, Savitz DA, Williams MA. Placental abruption and its association with hypertension and prolonged rupture of membranes: a methodologic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. [1996](#page-0-14);88 (2):309–318.
- [8] Zhang S, Regnault T, Barker P, et al. Placental adaptations in growth restriction. Nutrients. [2015](#page-0-14);7 (1):360–389.
- [9] Baptiste-Roberts K, Salafia CM, Nicholson WK, et al. Gross placental measures and childhood growth. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. [2009](#page-0-15);22(1):13–23.
- [10] Mikheev AM, Nabekura T, Kaddoumi A, et al. Profiling gene expression in human placentae of different gestational ages: an OPRU network and UW SCOR study. Reprod Sci. [2008;](#page-1-0)15(9):866–877.
- [11] Korneeva KL, Rodriguez RR, Ralchenko SV, et al. Expression of genes, encoding the enzymes of cysteine metabolism in human placenta in the first and third trimesters of uncomplicated pregnancy. Ukr Biochem J. 2016;88(1):88–98.
- [12] Sitras V, Fenton C, Paulssen R, et al. Differences in gene expression between first and third trimester human placenta: a microarray study. PLoS One. 2012;7(3):e33294.
- [13] Winn VD, Haimov-Kochman R, Paquet AC, et al. Gene expression profiling of the human maternal-fetal interface reveals dramatic changes between midgestation and term. Endocrinology. 2007;148(3):1059–1079.
- [14] Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. [2001;](#page-1-1)409(6822):860–921.
- [15] Saxonov S, Berg P, Brutlag DL. A genome-wide analysis of CpG dinucleotides in the human genome distinguishes two distinct classes of promoters. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2006;](#page-1-2)103(5):1412–1417.
- [16] Lam LL, Emberly E, Fraser HB, et al. Factors underlying variable DNA methylation in a human community cohort. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2012;](#page-1-3)109(Suppl 2):17253–17260.
- [17] Lou S, Lee H-M, Qin H, et al. Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing of multiple individuals reveals complementary roles of promoter and gene body methylation in transcriptional regulation. Genome Biol. [2014](#page-1-4);15 (7):408.
- [18] Schroeder DI, Jayashankar K, Douglas KC, et al. Early developmental and evolutionary origins of gene body DNA methylation patterns in mammalian placentas. PLoS Genet. [2015](#page-1-4);11(8):e1005442.
- [19] Lim YC, LiJ , Ni Y, et al. A complex association between DNA methylation and gene expression in human placenta at first and third trimesters. PLoS One. [2017](#page-1-5);12(7):e0181155. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181155) [0181155.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181155) PMID: 28704530; PMCID: PMC5509291.
- [20] Lee H, Jaffe AE, Feinberg II, et al. DNA methylation shows genome-wide association of NFIX, RAPGEF2 and MSRB3 with gestational age at birth. Int J Epidemiol. [2012;](#page-1-6)41(1):188–199.
- [21] Schroeder JW, Conneely KN, Cubells JF, et al. Neonatal DNA methylation patterns associate with gestational age. Epigenetics. 2011;6(12):1498–1504.
- [22] Parets SE, Conneely KN, Kilaru V, et al. Fetal DNA methylation associates with early spontaneous preterm Birth and gestational age. PLoS One. 2013;8(6):e67489. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0067489.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067489) PMID: 23826308; PMCID: PMC3694903.
- [23] Knight AK, Craig JM, Theda C, et al. An epigenetic clock for gestational age at birth based on blood methylation data. Genome Biol. 2016;17(1):206.
- [24] Bohlin J, Håberg SE, Magnus P, et al. Prediction of gestational age based on genome-wide differentially methylated regions. Genome Biol. 2016;17(1):207.
- [25] Merid SK, Novoloaca A, Sharp GC, et al. Epigenomewide meta-analysis of blood DNA methylation in newborns and children identifies numerous loci related to gestational age. Genome Med. 2020;12(1):25.
- [26] Lee Y, Choufani S, Weksberg R, et al. Placental epigenetic clocks: estimating gestational age using placental DNA methylation levels. Aging (Albany NY). [2019](#page-1-6);11 (12):4238–4253.
- [27] Mayne BT, Leemaqz SY, Smith AK, et al. Accelerated placental aging in early onset preeclampsia pregnancies identified by DNA methylation. Epigenomics. [2017](#page-1-7);9 (3):279–289.
- [28] Schuster J, Uzun A, Stablia J, et al. Effect of prematurity on genome wide methylation in the placenta. BMC Med Genet. 2019;20(1):116.
- [29] Broere-Brown ZA, Baan E, Schalekamp-Timmermans S, et al. Sex-specific differences in fetal and infant growth patterns: a prospective population-based cohort study. Biol Sex Differ. [2016;](#page-1-8)7:65.
- [30] Aliyu MH, Salihu HM, Lynch O, et al. Placental abruption, offspring sex, and birth outcomes in a large cohort of mothers. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. [2012;](#page-1-9)25(3):248–252.
- [31] Aibar L, Puertas A, Valverde M, et al. Fetal sex and perinatal outcomes. J Perinat Med. [2012](#page-1-9);40 (3):271–276.
- [32] Mage DT, Donner EM. Is excess male infant mortality from sudden infant death syndrome and other respiratory diseases X-linked? Acta Paediatr. [2014;](#page-1-10)103 (2):188–193.
- [33] Kent AL, Wright IMR, Abdel-Latif ME, et al. Mortality and adverse neurologic outcomes are greater in preterm male infants. Pediatrics. 2012;129(1):124–131.
- [34] Stevenson DK, Verter J, Fanaroff AA, et al. Sex differences in outcomes of very low birthweight infants: the newborn male disadvantage. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2000;83(3):F182–5. doi:[10.1136/fn.83.3.](https://doi.org/10.1136/fn.83.3.f182) [f182](https://doi.org/10.1136/fn.83.3.f182). PMID: 11040165; PMCID: PMC1721180.
- [35] Kuban KC, Joseph RM, O'Shea TM, et al. Girls and boys born before 28 weeks gestation: risks of cognitive, behavioral, and neurologic outcomes at age 10 years. J Pediatr. 2016;173:69–75 e1.
- [36] Bale TL. The placenta and neurodevelopment: sex differences in prenatal vulnerability. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. [2016;](#page-1-11)18(4):459–464.
- [37] Seaborn T, Simard M, Provost PR, et al. Sex hormone metabolism in lung development and maturation. Trends Endocrinol Metab. [2010](#page-1-11);21(12):729–738.
- [38] Sood R, Zehnder JL, Druzin ML, et al. Gene expression patterns in human placenta. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2006;](#page-1-12)103(14):5478–5483.
- [39] Martin E, Smeester L, Bommarito PA, et al. Sexual epigenetic dimorphism in the human placenta: implications for susceptibility during the prenatal period. Epigenomics. [2017;](#page-1-7)9(3):267–278.
- [40] Inkster AM, Yuan V, Konwar C, et al. A cross-cohort analysis of autosomal DNA methylation sex differences in the term placenta. Biol Sex Differ. [2021;](#page-1-7)12(1):38. doi:[10.1186/s13293-021-00381-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-021-00381-4). PMID: 34044884; PMCID: PMC8162041
- [41] Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, et al. Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. Bioinformatics. [2014](#page-2-0);30(10):1363–1369.
- [42] Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. Biostatistics. [2007;](#page-2-1)8(1):118–127.
- [43] van Iterson M, van Zwet EW, BIOS Consortium, et al. Controlling bias and inflation in epigenome- and transcriptome-wide association studies using the empirical null distribution. Genome Biol. [2017](#page-2-2);18 (1):19. doi:[10.1186/s13059-016-1131-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1131-9). PMID: 28129774; PMCID: PMC5273857.
- [44] Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. [2015](#page-2-3);43 (7):e47.
- [45] Yuan V, Hui D, Yin Y, et al. Cell-specific characterization of the placental methylome. BMC Genomics. [2021;](#page-2-4)22(1):6.
- [46] Campbell KA, Colacino JA, Park SK, et al. Cell types in environmental epigenetic studies: biological and epidemiological frameworks. Curr Environ Health Rep. [2020;](#page-3-0)7(3):185–197.
- [47] Kolde R. Pheatmap: pretty Heatmaps. [2019.](#page-3-1)
- [48] Murtagh F. Multidimensional clustering algorithms. Compstat lectures. [1985](#page-3-2);4:132. Würzburg: Physica-Verlag.
- [49] Chen YA, Lemire M, Choufani S, et al. Discovery of cross-reactive probes and polymorphic CpGs in the

Illumina infinium human methylation450 microarray. Epigenetics. [2013;](#page-3-3)8(2):203–209.

- [50] Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw. [2010;](#page-3-4)36(3):1–48.
- [51] Benjamini Y, Hechtlinger Y. Discussion: an estimate of the science-wise false discovery rate and applications to top medical journals by Jager and Leek. Biostatistics. [2014;](#page-3-5)15(1):13–16.
- [52] Fleiss JL. The statistical basis of meta-analysis. Stat Methods Med Res. [1993](#page-3-6);2(2):121–145.
- [53] Paternoster R, Brame R, Mazerolle P, et al. Using the correct statistical test for the equality of regression coefficients. Criminology. [1998;](#page-3-7)36(4):859–866.
- [54] Buckley JP, Doherty, B. T, Keil, A. P, et al. Statistical approaches for estimating sex-specific effects in endocrine disruptors research. Environ Health Perspect. [2017;](#page-3-8)125(6):67013.
- [55] Illumina. HumanMethylation450 v1.2 manifest file (CSV Format). [2013.](#page-4-0)
- [56] Kent WJ, et al. The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res. [2002;](#page-4-1)12(6):996–1006.
- [57] Phipson B, Maksimovic J, Oshlack A. missMethyl: an R package for analyzing data from Illumina's Human Methylation450 platform. Bioinformatics. [2016](#page-4-2);32 (2):286–288.
- [58] Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, et al. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. PLoS One. [2011;](#page-4-3)6(7):e21800.
- [59] Stojic L, Niemczyk, M, Orjalo, A, et al. Transcriptional silencing of long noncoding RNA GNG12-AS1 uncouples its transcriptional and product-related functions. Nat Commun. [2016](#page-6-2);7:10406.
- [60] Novakovic B, Yuen RK, Gordon L, et al. Evidence for widespread changes in promoter methylation profile in human placenta in response to increasing gestational age and environmental/stochastic factors. BMC Genomics. [2011;](#page-10-2)12:529.
- [61] Cohen-Barak O, Hagiwara N, Arlt MF, et al. Cloning, characterization and chromosome mapping of the human SOX6 gene. Gene. [2001](#page-10-3);265(1–2):157–164.
- [62] Choi JH, et al. LMBR1L regulates lymphopoiesis through Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Science. [2019;](#page-10-4)364 (6440):eaau0812.
- [63] Shi P, Guo Y, Su Y, et al. SUMOylation of DDX39A alters binding and export of antiviral transcripts to control innate immunity. J Immunol. [2020;](#page-10-4)205 (1):168–180.
- [64] Lai W, Yu L. Elevated MicroRNA 183 impairs trophoblast migration and invasiveness by downregulating FOXP1 expression and elevating GNG7 expression during preeclampsia. Mol Cell Biol. [2020](#page-11-1);41(1): e00236–20. doi:[10.1128/MCB.00236-20](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00236-20). PMID: 33139493; PMCID: PMC7849397.
- [65] Cao S, Zhang S. Forkhead-box C1 attenuates high glucose-induced trophoblast cell injury during

gestational diabetes mellitus via activating adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase through regulating fibroblast growth factor 19. Bioengineered. [2022;](#page-11-1)13(1):1174–1184.

- [66] Eaves LA, Phookphan P, E Rager J, et al. A role for microRNAs in the epigenetic control of sexually dimorphic gene expression in the human placenta. Epigenomics. [2020;](#page-11-2)12(17):1543–1558.
- [67] Berkane N, Liere P, Oudinet J-P, et al. From pregnancy to preeclampsia: a key role for estrogens. Endocr Rev. [2017;](#page-11-3)38(2):123–144.
- [68] Scott HM, Mason JI, Sharpe RM. Steroidogenesis in the fetal testis and its susceptibility to disruption by exogenous compounds. Endocr Rev. [2009](#page-11-3);30(7):883–925.
- [69] Verthelyi D. Sex hormones as immunomodulators in health and disease. Int Immunopharmacol. [2001](#page-11-4);1 (6):983–993.
- [70] Tioleco N, Silberman AE, Stratigos K, et al. Prenatal maternal infection and risk for autism in offspring: a meta-analysis. Autism Res. [2021;](#page-11-5)14(6):1296–1316.
- [71] Robinson SL, Ghassabian A, Sundaram R, et al. Parental weight status and offspring behavioral problems and psychiatric symptoms. J Pediatr. 2020;220:227–236 e1.
- [72] Mahendiran T, Brian J, Dupuis A, et al. Meta-analysis of sex differences in social and communication function in children with Autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:804.
- [73] Walker N, Filis P, Soffientini U, et al. Placental transporter localization and expression in the human: the importance of species, sex, and gestational age differencesdagger. Biol Reprod. [2017](#page-11-6);96 (4):733–742.
- [74] Gaccioli F, Lager S, Powell TL, et al. Placental transport in response to altered maternal nutrition. J Dev Orig Health Dis. [2013](#page-11-7);4(2):101–115.
- [75] Prouillac C, Lecoeur S. The role of the placenta in fetal exposure to xenobiotics: importance of membrane transporters and human models for transfer studies. Drug Metab Dispos. [2010](#page-11-7);38(10):1623–1635.
- [76] DiPietro JA, Voegtline KM. The gestational foundation of sex differences in development and vulnerability. Neuroscience. [2017](#page-11-8);342:4–20.
- [77] Fernandez-Jimenez N, Allard C, Bouchard L, et al. Comparison of Illumina 450K and EPIC arrays in placental DNA methylation. Epigenetics. [2019](#page-12-1);14 (12):1177–1182.
- [78] Bangma JT, Kwiatkowski E, Psioda M, et al. Early life antecedents of positive child health among 10-year-old children born extremely preterm. Pediatr Res. [2019](#page-13-0);86 (6):758–765.
- [79] Committee opinion no 700: methods for estimating the due date. Committee on Obstetric Practice, American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, Society for

Maternal–Fetal Medicine. Obstet Gynecol. [2017;](#page-13-1)129(5): e150–e154.

- [80] Savitz DA, Terry JW, Dole N, et al. Comparison of pregnancy dating by last menstrual period, ultrasound scanning, and their combination. Am J Obstet Gynecol. [2002;](#page-13-2)187(6):1660–1666.
- [81] Slabuszewska-Jozwiak A, Malinowska, M, Kloska, A, et al. Global changes of 5-mC/5h-mC ratio and methylation of adiponectin and leptin gene in placenta depending on mode of delivery. Int J Mol Sci. [2021;](#page-13-3)22(6):3195.
- [82] Yuen RK, Peñaherrera MS, von Dadelszen P, et al. DNA methylation profiling of human placentas reveals promoter hypomethylation of multiple genes in early-onset preeclampsia. Eur J Hum Genet. [2010;](#page-13-3)18(9):1006–1012.
- [83] Conroy S, Murray EJ. Let the question determine the methods: descriptive epidemiology done right. Br J Cancer. [2020;](#page-13-4)123(9):1351–1352.
- [84] Orendi K, Kivity V, Sammar M, et al. Placental and trophoblastic in vitro models to study preventive and therapeutic agents for preeclampsia. Placenta. [2011](#page-13-5);32: S49–54.
- [85] Grigsby PL. Animal models to study placental development and function throughout normal and dysfunctional human pregnancy. Semin Reprod Med. [2016](#page-13-5);34 $(1):11-16.$
- [86] Jaffe AE, Irizarry RA. Accounting for cellular heterogeneity is critical in epigenome-wide association studies. Genome Biol. [2014](#page-14-0);15(2):R31.