Cureus

Review began 12/25/2022 Review ended 02/27/2023 Published 03/02/2023

© Copyright 2023

Cesur et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index May Predict Mortality in Neuroblastoma

Ilknur Banlı Cesur 1 , Zerrin Özçelik 1

1. Pediatric Surgery, Adana City Training Hospital, Adana, TUR

Corresponding author: Ilknur Banlı Cesur, zzcesur@yahoo.com

Abstract

Introduction: Neuroblastomas (NB) are among the most frequent childhood solid tumors. The link between inflammation and cancer is well understood. Many research studies have been conducted to determine the prognostic importance of inflammatory markers in cancer patients. C-reactive protein (CRP), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) are all potential inflammation indicators. The purpose of this study is to assess the efficacy of NLR and SII as inflammatory indicators in predicting NB patient survival.

Materials and methods: Patients with NB diagnosed between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2021 were studied retrospectively, and death was documented. By dividing the number of neutrophils by the number of lymphocytes, the NLR was obtained. The SII was calculated by multiplying the NLR by the platelet count.

Results: 46 patients with NB were included in the study with a mean age of 57.58 months (4.14-170.05). When the patients were analyzed based on mortality the NLR and SII values were statistically significantly increased in the dead group (2.71 (1.22-4.1) vs. 1.7 (0.16-5.1); p=0.02; and 677.8 (215-1322) vs. 294.6 (69.49-799.1), respectively; p=0.012). Analysis of the receiver operating curve found that 328.49 is the ideal cutoff value for SII to predict mortality with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 68% (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve = 0.814 (95% confidence interval: 0.671-0.956), p=0.005). Analyzing the influence of risk factors on survival using Cox regression analysis, SII was discovered as a significant predictor of survival in the study (HR =1.001, 95% CI =1-1.20; p=0.049).

Conclusion: SII may be used to predict the overall survival of NB patients.

Categories: Pediatrics, Pediatric Surgery, Oncology

Keywords: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, systemic immune-inflammation index, inflammation, mortality, neuroblastoma

Introduction

Studies on the role of inflammation in cancer genesis have been conducted [1]. Inflammation has been related to cancer growth and treatment resistance by increasing proliferation and angiogenesis while inhibiting apoptosis. Anti-inflammatory drugs are also being studied for their potential use in tumor prevention and treatment [2,3].

Blood inflammation biomarkers, or inflammation-based scores, have been used as cancer survival predictors in recent years. The systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), C-reactive protein (CRP), and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) are among the most often used inflammatory markers [4,5].

Neuroblastoma (NB) is the most prevalent solid extracranial pediatric malignancy [6,7]. Adult ganglioneuroma (GN), less mature ganglioneuroblastoma (GNB), and immature NB are all types of NBs [6,8]. Despite the fact that the overall survival (OS) rate for NB has grown in recent decades, the 5-year OS rate for all children diagnosed with NB remains at 71% [6]. Studies have found a relationship between NB and inflammatory markers [8,9].

The goal of this study was to see how NLR and SII, two markers of inflammation, predicted survival in NB patients.

Materials And Methods

Patients with NB who were admitted to the hospital between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2021 were assessed retrospectively. Patients having a histological diagnosis of NB and enough follow-up data were included in the research. This study finally included 46 children who had complete data. Following the initial therapy, patients were monitored every three months for the first three years, then every six months thereafter. Clinical information such as the patient's age at diagnosis, gender, International Neuroblastoma Staging System (INSS) stage, metastatic status at diagnosis, and tumor size was gathered. This probe was sanctioned by the hospital's ethics committee. The study followed the Helsinki Declaration's ethical requirements. Legal guardians for all participants provided written informed permission. This study was approved by Adana Şehir Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Klinik Araştırmalar Etik Kurulu (Approval number: 2196).

Complete blood counts were obtained from patient files prior to therapy. NLR was calculated by dividing the number of neutrophils by the number of lymphocytes. By dividing the NLR by the platelet count, the SII was computed.

SPSS 25.0 for Windows (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analysis. Unless otherwise indicated, continuous variable data is normally reported as the mean and standard deviation. To compare groups, the student's t-test (for normal distribution data) or the Mann-Whitney U test (for non-normal distribution data) were employed. We compared categorical variables with the Chi-square test. The effects of many determinants on mortality were examined using univariate analysis. In univariate analysis, the model's parameters obtained a p-value of 0.05. The cutoff value for SII and NLR for predicting mortality formation was obtained using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. The best cutoff value was chosen based on the ROC analysis's maximum sensitivity and specificity values, p=0.05 was seen as crucial on both sides.

Survival curves were created using the Kaplan-Meier method and evaluated using the Log-rank test. All twotailed tests required a p-value of 0.05 to be statistically significant.

Results

The current study comprised 46 patients with a histological diagnosis of NB, 21 (45.7%) of whom were female. The average age was 57.58 months (4.14-170.010). Abdominal mass was the most frequent presenting symptom (n = 32, 69.6%). On ultrasonography, 24 patients had masses less than 5 cm; however, this number was reduced to 21 patients (45.7%) on CT. Undifferentiated or poorly differentiated tumors accounted for 18 (38.1%) of the malignancies, whereas unknown tumors accounted for 20 (43.5%). A severe sickness plagued 39 (84.6%) of the hospitalized patients. Despite the fact that 29 (63%) of the patients had metastases, only 11 (23.9%) had lymph node involvement. The majority of the patients (78.3%) had already received chemotherapy. SII and NLR average values were 394.57 (69.49-1322) and 1.96 (0.0-5.1), respectively. The average duration of follow-up was 33.74 (2.76-107.41) months, with 12 patients (26.1%) dying beyond the term of observation (Table 1).

	N=46 (%)	
Gender (Male)	25 (54.4%)	
Age (months)	57.5 (4.14-169.05)	
Complaint	Abdominal mass	32 (69.6%)
	Fever	2 (4.4%)
	Antenatal	2 (4.4%)
	Bone pain	1 (2.2%)
	Thoracal mass	1 (2.2%)
	Neurologic	1 (2.2%)
	Vision loss	1 (2.2%)
	Other	6 (12.5%)
Diagnosis	Biopsy	16 (34.8%)
Diagnosis	Clinic-radiologic-bone marrow aspiration	30 (65.2%)
Ultrasonography	≤5 cm	24 (52.2%)
	>5 cm	22 (47.8%)
СТ	≤5 cm	21 (45.7%)
	>5 cm	25 (54.3%)
PET	SUV _{max} ≤2.6	15 (65.2%)
	SUV _{max} >2.6	8 (34.8%)

	Differentiated	5 (10.9%)
Listopothology	Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated	18 (39.1%)
Histopathology	Unknown	20 (43.5%)
	Intermixed	3 (6.6%)
Stago	Early (1,2)	7 (15.2%)
Staye	Advanced (3,4)	39 (84.8%)
Metastasis	Present	29 (63%)
INCLUSIOS	Absent	17 (37.7%)
lymph node involvement	Present	11 (23.9%)
Lymph node involvement	Absent	35 (76.1%)
	Right	24 (52.2%)
Involvement site	Left	19 (41.3%)
involvement site	Bilateral	1 (2.2%)
	Paravertebral	2 (4.3%)
Negadiuvant chemotherapy	Present	36 (78.3%)
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	Absent	10 (21.7%)
Lymphocyte number	4390 (940-7630)	
Neutrophil number	4281 (1170-8430)	
Platelet number	343.43 (22-890)	
NLR	1.96 (0.16-5.1)	
SII	394.57 (69.49-1322)	
Ferritin (ng/ml)	225.3 (0.4-1322)	
Follow-up (months)	33.74 (2.76-107.41)	
Mortality	12 (26.1%)	

TABLE 1: General properties of the patients

NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; SII: systemic immune-inflammation index

The patients were divided into two groups based on their mortality (Group 1 = died, Group 2 = alive). Age, gender, and tumor mass as measured by USG or CT were not substantially different across groups (all p > 0.05) (Table 2). Only the NLR and SII values differed between the two groups significantly (2.71 (1.22-4.1) vs. 1.7 (0.16-5.1); p=0.02; and 677.8 (215-1322) vs. 294.6 (69.49-799.1)), respectively; p=0.012.

Cureus

Image: Provide the state of						
Gender (Male) 7 (58.8%) I8 (52.9%) 0.74 Age (months) 71.74 (22.16-169.05) 52.48 (4.1-134.1) 0.14 Ultrasonography (s5 cm) 7 (58.3 %) 17 (50%) 0.61 CT (s5 cm) 5 (41.7%) 16 (47.1%) 0.74 PET (SUV maxs2.6) 3 (12%) 12 (75%) 0.17 Mifferentiated - 5 (14.7%) 0.74 PET (SUV maxs2.6) 3 (12%) 12 (75%) 0.17 Mifferentiated + poorly differentiated - 5 (14.7%) 0.74 Mifferentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 15 (44%) 0.74 Minknown 8 (66.7%) 12 (35.3%) 0.74 Unknown 8 (66.7%) 12 (35.3%) 0.74 Metastasis (Present) 9 (75%) 12 (35.3%) 0.74 Lymph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 20 (58.8%) 0.37 Lymphocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.44 Neutrophil number 428 (2520-6380) 429 (1170-8430) 0.44 NLR 27		Group 1 (Dead, N=12)		Group 2 (Alive, N=34)	p-value	
Age (months)71.74 (22.16-169.05)52.48 (4.1-134.1)0.14Ultrasonography (<5 cm)	Gender (Male)	7 (58.8%)		18 (52.9%)	0.747	
Ultrasonography (<5 cm)	Age (months)	71.74 (22.16-169.05)		52.48 (4.1-134.1)	0.140	
CT ($\le 5 \text{ cm}$) 5 (41.7%) 16 (47.1%) 0.74 PET (SUV max ≤ 2.6) 3 (12%) 12 (75%) 0.13 Histopathology differentiated \sim 5 (14.7%) 16 (47.1%) Histopathology Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 15 (44%) $\rho_{0.20}$ Histopathology Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 15 (44%) $\rho_{0.20}$ Histopathology Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 15 (44%) $\rho_{0.20}$ Histopathology Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 12 (35.3%) $\rho_{0.20}$ Stage (Early 1.2) 0 (0%) T 7 (20.6%) 0.74 Metastasis (Present) 9 (75%) 20 (58.8%) 0.66 Neutoph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 8 (23.5%) 0.66 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) $=$ 42 (70.6%) 0.44 Neutophil number 4027 (1880-6170) 41 (29 (29 (170-8430)) 0.44 Neutophil number 297.33 (22-890) 59.1 (3.3-664) <t< td=""><td>Ultrasonography (≤5 cm)</td><td>7 (58.3 %)</td><td></td><td>17 (50%)</td><td>0.619</td></t<>	Ultrasonography (≤5 cm)	7 (58.3 %)		17 (50%)	0.619	
PET (SUV max\$2.6)3 (12%)12 (75%)0.13Internated-5 (14.7%)Internated5 (14.7%)InternatedInt	CT (≤5 cm)	5 (41.7%)		16 (47.1%)	0.747	
Histopathology differentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 15 (44%) $her (4, 2, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$	PET (SUV max≤2.6)	3 (12%)		12 (75%)	0.136	
Histopathology Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated 3 (25%) 15 (44%) 0.20 Inknown 8 (66.7%) 12 (35.3%) 12 (35.3%) 0.20 Stage (Early 1,2) 0 (0%) 1 (8.3%) 2 (5.8%) 0.70 Metastasis (Present) 9 (75%) 7 (20.6%) 0.31 Lymph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 8 (23.5%) 0.60 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.42 Lymph node involvement (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.42 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.42 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 429 (170-8430) 0.42 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.42 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.42 SII 60.49-799.1) 0.42		differentiated	-	5 (14.7%)	0.201	
Inscribit of ogy Unknown 8 (66.7%) 12 (35.3%) Intermixed Intermixed I (8.3%) 2 (5.8%) I (2.3%) I (2.3%) <th (2.3%<="" i="" td=""><td>Histopathology</td><td>Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated</td><td>3 (25%)</td><td>15 (44%)</td></th>	<td>Histopathology</td> <td>Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated</td> <td>3 (25%)</td> <td>15 (44%)</td>	Histopathology	Undifferentiated + poorly differentiated	3 (25%)		15 (44%)
Intermixed 1 (8.3%) 2 (5.8%) Stage (Early 1.2) 0 (0%) 7 (20.6%) 0.70 Metastasis (Present) 9 (75%) 20 (58.8%) 0.66 Lymph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 8 (23.5%) 0.66 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.66 Lymphocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.42 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.42 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.42 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.42 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.41	nstopatiology	Unknown	8 (66.7%)	12 (35.3%)		
Stage (Early 1,2) 0 (0%) 7 (20.6%) 0.70 Metastasis (Present) 9 (75%) 20 (58.8%) 0.31 Lymph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 8 (23.5%) 0.66 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.66 Lymph ocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.44 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.29 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.44 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.01 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1)) 0.01		Intermixed	1 (8.3%)	2 (5.8%)		
Metastasis (Present) 9 (75%) 20 (58.8%) 0.31 Lymph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 8 (23.5%) 0.66 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.03 Lymphocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.44 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.44 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.02 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Stage (Early 1,2)	0 (0%)		7 (20.6%)	0.708	
Lymph node involvement (Present) 3 (25%) 8 (23.5%) 0.66 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.03 Lymphocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.44 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.25 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.02 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Metastasis (Present)	9 (75%)		20 (58.8%)	0.318	
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present) 12 (100%) 24 (70.6%) 0.03 Lymphocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.44 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.25 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.02 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Lymph node involvement (Present)	3 (25%)		8 (23.5%)	0.667	
Lymphocyte number 4027 (1880-6170) 4518 (940-7630) 0.44 Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.29 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.44 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Present)	12 (100%)		24 (70.6%)	0.034	
Neutrophil number 4428 (2520-6380) 4229 (1170-8430) 0.29 Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.40 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Lymphocyte number	4027 (1880-6170)		4518 (940-7630)	0.444	
Platelet number 297.33 (22-890) 359.1 (33-664) 0.40 NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Neutrophil number	4428 (2520-6380)		4229 (1170-8430)	0.294	
NLR 2.71 (1.22-4.1) 1.7 (0.16-5.1) 0.02 SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	Platelet number	297.33 (22-890)		359.1 (33-664)	0.406	
SII 677.8 (215-1322) 294.6 (69.49-799.1) 0.01	NLR	2.71 (1.22-4.1)		1.7 (0.16-5.1)	0.02	
	SII	677.8 (215-1322)		294.6 (69.49-799.1)	0.012	
Ferritin (ng/ml) 249 (91.6-384) 219.6 (9-787) 0.41	Ferritin (ng/ml)	249 (91.6-384)		219.6 (9-787)	0.412	

TABLE 2: Comparison of the patients who died and who are alive

NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; SII: systemic immune-inflammation index

With a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 68%, the ROC curve revealed that 328.49 is the optimal cutoff value for SII to predict mortality (area under the ROC curve = 0.814 (95% confidence interval: 0.671-0.956), p=0.005).

The ideal NLR cutoff value of 1.63 effectively predicted mortality with an 82% sensitivity, 65% specificity, and 0.778 area under the curve (AUC) (p=0.005; confidence interval: 0.638-0.918) (Figure 1).



FIGURE 1: ROC analysis of NLR and SII

NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; ROC: receiver operating curve; SII: systemic immune-inflammation index

SII was revealed as a significant predictor of survival in a survival study (HR = 1.001, 95% CI = 1-1.20; p = 0.049) using Cox regression analysis to analyze the influence of risk factors on survival. According to Cox regression analysis, gender, distant metastasis, NLR, tumor mass evaluated by USG or CT, stage, lymph node involvement, and age had no influence on death.

A Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was done using the cutoff values obtained from the ROC analysis. Patients with increased SII levels showed a worse OS rate than those with normal SII levels ((46.87 (30.5-63.24) vs. 97.53 (84.52-110.5); p=0.001); ((45.278 (29.6-61.01) vs. 94.647 (78.37-110.9); p= 0.001). Patients with a high NLR level had significantly worse OS than those with a median NLR level.

Discussion

Inflammation enhances the risk of cancer and may influence its stage and development [2,10]. Lymphocytes in tumors can be used to classify cancer [11]. Increased immune cell infiltration and systemic inflammation may potentially contribute to cancer growth [12,13].

A substantial amount of study has been conducted on the association between NB and inflammation [9]. Chemokine receptor-4 (CXCR4) expression was shown to be much higher in advanced NB tumors, and these tumors had a worse prognosis than other forms of NB [9]. Sufficient amounts of the pro-inflammatory transcription factor NF-B are required for the survival of S-type NB tumor cells. IL-6 has the capacity to increase the proliferation of NB cells that express IL-6-R. These cells are resistant to etoposide-induced apoptosis, and higher levels of IL-6 were observed in the blood of NB patients with bone metastases [9].

Furthermore, there is evidence that interactions between NB tumor cells and inflammatory cells contribute to NB growth and dissemination [14]. The number of tumor-associated macrophages, or TAM, was shown to be increased in metastatic NB [15]. It is likely that bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) and peripheral blood mononuclear cell-derived macrophages will be attracted to the tumor site and activated into cancer-associated fibroblasts and TAMs (CAFs). These changes create an environment that is conducive to the development of NB [16,17].

The SII was shown to be substantially linked with the OS of NB patients in both univariate and multivariate survival studies that controlled for clinical risk variables. The ROC curve and AUC analysis revealed that SII is a predictor of NB patients' OS.

There are several types of malignancies, and the SII index has been demonstrated to have significant connections with cancer prognosis [15-18]. A high SII indicates an increase in platelets and/or neutrophils

while decreasing the number of lymphocytes. Neutrophils are thought to have a considerable effect on the formation of cancer, its progression, and its propensity to spread [19]. A number of ligands can attract neutrophils, and neutrophils' production of matrix metalloproteinase-9, reactive oxygen species, and reactive nitrogen species may play a role in cancer growth [20]. Another method that can stimulate angiogenesis is the production of vascular endothelial growth factors by neutrophils [20]. Neutrophils are another type of cell that can contribute to cancer growth. They accomplish this by impairing the capacity of natural killer cells to remove cancer cells and boosting cancer cell motility [20].

According to a recent study, platelets create bioactive chemicals such as lipids, microRNAs, and growth factors that play critical roles in carcinogenesis, such as angiogenesis and metastasis [21,22]. Platelets and growth factors can both be used to predict chemotherapy response. For people with breast cancer who have a low platelet count or PLR, neoadjuvant therapy is more likely to be completely successful [23].

A reduction in peripheral lymphocytes can impair anti-cancer immunity and cancer immune surveillance [24,25]. SII is calculated by counting neutrophils, lymphocytes, and platelets. SII is thought to be more sensitive than other indicators in diagnosing inflammation-related mortality.

There are several drawbacks to this study. Selection bias is possible because this was retrospective research. Second, because this was a single-center study with limited sample size, it is difficult to extrapolate the findings to the entire community. Third, due to technological difficulties, we were unable to determine the MYCN status of the patients.

Conclusions

The SII was found to predict OS in individuals with NB in this retrospective analysis. Prospective studies with bigger sample numbers are required to validate the findings of this study.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Adana Şehir Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Klinik Araştırmalar Etik Kurulu issued approval 2196. We declare that the study was approved by the Ethics Committee. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

For his contributions, I would like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Ali Gözüküçük.

References

- Crusz SM, Balkwill FR: Inflammation and cancer: advances and new agents. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2015, 12:584-96. 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.105
- Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F: Cancer-related inflammation. Nature. 2008, 454:436-44. 10.1038/nature07205
- Zitvogel L, Pietrocola F, Kroemer G: Nutrition, inflammation and cancer. Nat Immunol. 2017, 18:843-50. 10.1038/ni.3754
- Wang X, Liu S, Zhao X, Fang E, Zhao X: The value of C-reactive protein as an independent prognostic indicator for disease-specific survival in patients with soft tissue sarcoma: a meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2019, 14:e0219215. 10.1371/journal.pone.0219215
- Semeniuk-Wojtaś A, Lubas A, Stec R, Syryło T, Niemczyk S, Szczylik C: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, and C-reactive protein as new and simple prognostic factors in patients with metastatic renal cell cancer treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2018, 16:e685-93. 10.1016/j.clgc.2018.01.010
- PDQ Pediatric Treatment Editorial Board: Neuroblastoma Treatment (PDQ®): Health Professional Version. PDQ Cancer Information Summaries [Internet]. National Cancer Institute (US), Bethesda, MD; 2002.
- Fu H, Zheng J, Cai J, et al.: Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) is useful to predict survival outcomes in patients after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma within Hangzhou criteria. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018, 47:293-301. 10.1159/000489807
- 8. Joshi VV, Silverman JF: Pathology of neuroblastic tumors. Semin Diagn Pathol. 1994, 11:107-17.
- 9. Nallasamy P, Chava S, Verma SS, et al.: PD-L1, inflammation, non-coding RNAs, and neuroblastoma: immuno-oncology perspective. Semin Cancer Biol. 2018, 52:53-65. 10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.11.009
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M: Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell. 2010, 140:883-99. 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025

- 11. Donnem T, Kilvaer TK, Andersen S, et al.: Strategies for clinical implementation of TNM-Immunoscore in resected nonsmall-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol. 2016, 27:225-32. 10.1093/annonc/mdv560
- 12. Coussens LM, Werb Z: Inflammation and cancer. Nature. 2002, 420:860-7. 10.1038/nature01322
- Colotta F, Allavena P, Sica A, Garlanda C, Mantovani A: Cancer-related inflammation, the seventh hallmark of cancer: links to genetic instability. Carcinogenesis. 2009, 30:1073-81. 10.1093/carcin/bgp127
- 14. Komohara Y, Takeya M: CAFs and TAMs: maestros of the tumour microenvironment. J Pathol. 2017, 241:313-5. 10.1002/path.4824
- Chen Y, Jin M, Shao Y, Xu G: Prognostic value of the systemic inflammation response index in patients with adenocarcinoma of the oesophagogastric junction: a propensity score-matched analysis. Dis Markers. 2019, 2019:1-9. 10.1155/2019/4659048
- 16. Yang R, Chang Q, Meng X, Gao N, Wang W: Prognostic value of systemic immune-inflammation index in cancer: a meta-analysis. J Cancer. 2018, 9:3295-302. 10.7150/jca.25691
- 17. Gao Y, Zhang H, Li Y, Wang D, Ma Y, Chen Q: Preoperative increased systemic immune-inflammation index predicts poor prognosis in patients with operable non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Chim Acta. 2018, 484:272-7. 10.1016/j.cca.2018.05.059
- Tomita M, Ayabe T, Maeda R, Nakamura K: Systemic immune-inflammation index predicts survival of patients after curative resection for non-small cell lung cancer. In Vivo. 2018, 32:663-7.
- Tong YS, Tan J, Zhou XL, Song YQ, Song YJ: Systemic immune-inflammation index predicting chemoradiation resistance and poor outcome in patients with stage III non-small cell lung cancer. J Transl Med. 2017, 15:1-10. 10.1186/s12967-017-1326-1
- Jamieson T, Clarke M, Steele CW, et al.: Inhibition of CXCR2 profoundly suppresses inflammation-driven and spontaneous tumorigenesis. J Clin Invest. 2012, 122:3127-44. 10.1172/JCI61067
- Spiegel A, Brooks MW, Houshyar S, et al.: Neutrophils suppress intraluminal NK cell-mediated tumor cell clearance and enhance extravasation of disseminated carcinoma cells. Cancer Discov. 2016, 6:630-49. 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-1157
- 22. Huong PT, Nguyen LT, Nguyen XB, Lee SK, Bach DH: The role of platelets in the tumor-microenvironment and the drug resistance of cancer cells. Cancers. 2019, 11:240. 10.3390/cancers11020240
- Cuello-López J, Fidalgo-Zapata A, López-Agudelo L, Vásquez-Trespalacios E: Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio as a predictive factor of complete pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. PLoS ONE. 2018, 13:e0207224. 10.1371/journal.pone.0207224
- 24. Sheng J, Yang YP, Ma YX, et al.: Low prognostic nutritional index correlates with worse survival in patients with advanced NSCLC following EGFR-TKIs. PLoS One. 2016, 11:e0147226. 10.1371/journal.pone.0147226
- Chen L, Yan Y, Zhu L, et al.: Systemic immune-inflammation index as a useful prognostic indicator predicts survival in patients with advanced gastric cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Cancer Manag Res. 2017, 9:849-67. 10.2147/CMAR.S151026