# RESEARCH Open Access



# The effect of combining nutrient intake and physical activity levels on central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity: a population-based cross-sectional study in South Korea

Jong Eun Park<sup>1</sup>, Seulgi Lee<sup>2</sup> and Kirang Kim<sup>2\*</sup>

## **Abstract**

**Background** This study was conducted to investigate the effects of combining nutritional and physical activity (PA) factors on four different categories, according to the presence or absence of sarcopenia and central obesity.

**Methods** From the 2008–2011 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2971 older adults aged ≥ 65 years were included and divided into four groups based on their sarcopenia and central obesity status: healthy control (39.3%), central obesity (28.9%), sarcopenia (27.4%), and sarcopenic obesity (4.4%). Central obesity was defined as a waist circumference of ≥ 90 cm in men and ≥ 85 cm in women. Sarcopenia was defined as an appendicular skeletal mass index of < 7.0 kg/m² in men and < 5.4 kg/m² in women, and sarcopenic obesity was defined as the coexistence of sarcopenia and central obesity.

**Results** Participants who consumed more energy and protein than the average requirement had a lower likelihood of having sarcopenia (odds ratio (OR): 0.601, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.444–0.814) than those who did not consume enough nutrients. The likelihood of central obesity and sarcopenic obesity decreased in groups with recommended PA levels, regardless of whether energy intake met or did not meet the average requirement. Whether PA met or did not meet the recommended level, the likelihood of sarcopenia decreased in groups with energy intake that met the average requirement. However, when PA and energy requirements were met, there was a greater reduction in the likelihood of sarcopenia (OR: 0.436, 95% CI: 0.290–0.655).

**Conclusion** These findings suggest that adequate energy intake that meets requirements is more likely to be effective as a major prevention and treatment goal for sarcopenia, whereas PA guidelines should be prioritized in the case of sarcopenic obesity.

**Keywords** Sarcopenia, Sarcopenic obesity, Central obesity, Energy, Protein, Physical activity

\*Correspondence: Kirang Kim kirangkim@dankook.ac.kr

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Health & Science Convergence, Chungbuk National University, 28644 Cheongju, South Korea

# **Background**

Changes in body composition, including an increase in adipose tissue mass and a decrease in skeletal muscle mass, have age-related health implications for the older adults [1, 2]. A recent Korean meta-analysis for the prevalence of sarcopenia in the older adults found



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Dankook University, 119 Dandae-ro, Dongnam-gu, 31116 Cheonan, South Korea

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 2 of 12

that it was 13.1% in the overall older adults, 14.9% in men, and 11.4% in women [3]. Obesity prevalence in older adults aged  $\geq$  70 years was 31.9% in men and 37.8% in women, according to the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) [4]. Prevalence of central obesity based on waist circumference was 48.4% and 57.5% for men and women aged  $\geq$  70 years, respectively [5]. The double burden of these outcomes with aging is a major concern among the older adults.

Sarcopenia is characterized as "age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass plus loss of muscle strength and/or decreased physical performance" [6], and obesity is commonly defined as an excessive amount of body fat [7]. Sarcopenia and obesity, especially visceral obesity, affect each other via common pathophysiological mechanisms, such as changes in hormone levels, vascular changes, low-grade inflammation, and immunological factors [8]. In addition, sarcopenia is related to low physical activity (PA) and energy expenditure, which increases the risk of obesity, whereas obesity increases inflammation associated with the development of sarcopenia [9-11]. Thus, sarcopenia often coexists with obesity, and these comorbidities are often clinically observed in the older adults; The coexistence of low skeletal muscle mass and high adiposity levels, known as sarcopenic obesity, is a new category of obesity in older adults [7, 12]. Several previous studies have demonstrated that sarcopenic obesity may have a greater impact on worse cardiovascular risk profiles than either sarcopenia or obesity alone [7, 13, 14].

Sarcopenia and obesity are both modifiable health outcomes that can be managed by modifying risk factors [15, 16]. Although the risks of sarcopenia and obesity are multifactorial, they may be linked to lower rates of energy expenditure and inadequate dietary intake as age increases [17]. Thus, effective nutritional and exercise strategies are required to treat sarcopenia, obesity, or sarcopenic obesity. Previous research focused on combined nutrition and exercise strategies, including exercise with a hypocaloric diet or protein intake. However, despite being effective in reducing body weight, these interventions may result in skeletal muscle mass loss [15].

There are numerous effective strategies for simultaneously reducing body fat and increasing skeletal muscle mass, but evidence in older Asians with different dietary patterns and body sizes compared with Western older adults is limited. Korean older adults, in particular, have lower PA and energy intakes, with a high percentage of energy derived from carbohydrates [4]. Thus, optimal strategies for the target population must be developed to prevent and manage obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the combined effect of energy intake with macronutrients

and exercise on obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity using the KNHANES dataset.

#### **Methods**

# Data source and study population

This cross-sectional study used data from the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA) 4th (2008–2009) and 5th (2010–2011) KNHANES. A complex, stratified, multistage probability cluster survey was used to select a representative sample of the South Korean non-institutionalized civilian population. The survey was designed to assess the Korean population's health and nutritional status through health interviews, physical examinations, and nutrition surveys as previously described [18].

Of the 37,753 people who participated in the 4th and 5th KNHANES, a total of 6370 older adults aged  $\geq$  65 years were enrolled for this study. Subsequently, we excluded all participants who met the following exclusion criteria: (i) missing appendicular skeletal muscle mass data (n=2205), (ii) missing height or waist circumference values (n=25), (iii) non-participation in 24-h dietary recall interview or extreme energy intake (<500 or >5000 kcal/day) (n=327), (iv) strict diet to manage disease or weight (n=708), (v) failure to answer PA questionnaires (n=49), and (vi) patients with severe diseases such as cancer, renal failure, and liver cirrhosis (n=85). Finally, 3399 participants were excluded, leaving 2971 participants (1275 males and 1696 females) eligible for the final analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1).

# Definition of sarcopenia and central obesity

Muscle mass was measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Discovery-W fan-beam densitometer, Hologic, Inc., MA, USA), and appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASM) was calculated by adding the lean muscle mass of both arms and legs. The appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI), which is used to diagnose sarcopenia, was calculated by dividing the ASM by the square of the height (kg/m²). Sarcopenia was defined as an ASMI of < 5.4 kg/m² in women and < 7.0 kg/m² in men [6]. The Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) proposed this cutoff as part of a diagnostic algorithm for sarcopenia in older Asians in light of ethnic differences in skeletal muscle mass.

Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the midpoint between the lower rib cage margin and the top of the iliac crest. According to the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity, central obesity was defined as a waist circumference of  $\geq$  90 cm in men and  $\geq$  85 cm in women [19]. Sarcopenic obesity was defined as the coexistence of both low ASM and central obesity.

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 3 of 12

All participants were divided into four groups based on their sarcopenia and central obesity status: healthy control (non-sarcopenic and non-central obese), central obesity (non-sarcopenic and central obese), sarcopenia (sarcopenic and non-central obese), and sarcopenic obesity (sarcopenic and central obese).

#### **Nutritional assessment**

Dietary intake was assessed using a 24-h dietary recall method. Detailed information about all foods and beverages consumed by the participant in the previous 24 h was collected by trained dietitians. To compare the nutritional status of participants, the total daily energy and macronutrient intake, as well as the percentage of energy delivered by macronutrients, were calculated. The percentage of subjects consuming insufficient amounts of major nutrients was determined to be less than the estimated energy requirement (EER) or the estimated average requirement (EAR) using the revised 2020 Korean Dietary Reference Intakes (KDRIs) [20].

#### PA assessment

PA was determined using a questionnaire about the frequency of moderate- or vigorous-intensity activities per week (how many times a week and how many minutes and hours at a time). The participants were divided based on their level of moderate- or vigorous-intensity PA per week into three groups: inactive (no PA), insufficient PA level, and recommended PA level. The "Recommended PA level" was defined as moderate-intensity PA for at least 150 min per week, or vigorous-intensity PA for at least 75 min per week, or a combination of moderate-and vigorous-intensity PAs for at least 75 min per week. When moderate- and vigorous-intensity PAs were combined, 1 min of vigorous-intensity PA was considered to correspond to 2 min of moderate-intensity PA [21].

# Other variables

Sociodemographic characteristics, including age  $(65-69, 70-74, 75-79, or \ge 80 \text{ years})$ , sex, marital status (spouse/partner present or absent), educational level (elementary school graduate or less, middle school graduate, high school graduate, or college graduate or more), and household income (first, second, third, and fourth quartile in each period), and health behavior variables, including smoking (never, former, or current smokers) and frequency of binge drinking in the previous year, and diabetes as a comorbid disease, were identified. Binge drinking was defined as consuming seven or more alcoholic drinks on a single occasion for men and five or more alcoholic drinks on a single occasion for women. Participants were divided into four groups based on their reported

number of binge drinking episodes in the previous year: lifetime abstainer, never in the previous year, once a month or less, once a week, and almost daily. Diabetes was defined as a fasting plasma glucose of > 126 mg/dL, or the use of oral hypoglycemic medications or insulin. Blood pressure and biochemical indicators such as triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and others, as well as body mass index (BMI) and body fat percentage were assessed directly as part of the physical examination using standardized techniques and methods. The components of the metabolic syndrome were defined as follows: high triglycerides (≥150 mg/ dL or drug treatment for lipid abnormality), low HDL cholesterol (<40 mg/dL in men and < 50 mg/dL in women or drug treatment for lipid abnormality), high blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg or drug treatment for elevated blood pressure), and high fasting glucose (>100 mg/dL or antidiabetic drug treatment) [22]. Body composition, including fat-free mass and body fat percentage, was measured by DXA scans.

# Statistical analysis

In all analyses, survey sample weights were used to generate estimates that were representative of the Korean population. The characteristics of groups based on sarcopenia and central obesity status were compared using the PROC SURVEYREG procedure for continuous variables and the PROC SURVEYFREQ procedure for categorical variables. Multinomial logistic regression was used to assess the relationship between nutrition and PA factors and sarcopenia and central obesity status, with odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) calculated for central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity. Age, sex, marital status, educational level, household income, smoking, frequency of binge drinking, PA level, and diabetes were all included in the model as covariates. Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS statistical software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

The characteristics of the study subjects are shown in Table 1. A total of 2971 participants were included, with the healthy control, central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity groups accounting for 39.3%, 28.9%, 27.4%, and 4.4%, respectively. The healthy control group had the lowest mean age  $(71.9\pm0.2\ \text{years})$ , whereas the sarcopenic obesity group had the highest  $(74.0\pm0.5\ \text{years})$  (p<0.001). Compared with the healthy control group (37.0%), central obesity (31.3%), sarcopenic obesity (42.9%), and sarcopenia groups (60.1%) had a higher frequency of men (p<0.001). The proportion of married people was highest in the sarcopenia group

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 4 of 12

 Table 1
 General characteristics of participants based on sarcopenia and central obesity status

|  | Healthy control | Central obesity | <i>p</i> value | Sarcopenia     | Sarcopenic obesity | <i>p</i> value | p value <sup>a</sup> |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| All subjects   | 1167            | 858             |                | 814            | 132                |                |                      |
| Age, years (Mean $\pm$ SE)   | $71.9 \pm 0.2$  | $72.2 \pm 0.2$  | 0.213          | $73.5 \pm 0.2$ | $74.0 \pm 0.5$     | 0.337          | < 0.001              |
| 65 – 69  | 456 (38.4)      | 345 (34.9)      | 0.503          | 210 (26.9)     | 28 (20.6)          | 0.658          | < 0.001              |
| 70 – 74  | 375 (30.5)      | 295 (33.8)      |                | 251 (27.5)     | 46 (30.2)          |                |                      |
| 75 <b>–</b> 79   | 219 (19.6)      | 141 (20.2)      |                | 214 (26.8)     | 36 (28.3)          |                |                      |
| ≥80  | 117 (11.4)      | 77 (11.1)       |                | 139 (18.8)     | 22 (21.0)          |                |                      |
| Sex  |                 |                 | 0.028          |                |                    | 0.002          | < 0.001              |
| Male   | 432 (37.0)      | 269 (31.3)      |                | 512 (60.1)     | 62 (42.9)          |                |                      |
| Female   | 735 (63.0)      | 589 (68.7)      |                | 302 (39.9)     | 70 (57.1)          |                |                      |
| Marital status   |                 |                 | 0.288          |                |                    | 0.017          | 0.003                |
| Spouse/partner present   | 759 (61.7)      | 537 (58.7)      |                | 589 (68.9)     | 81 (56.0)          |                |                      |
| Spouse/partner absent (separated, divorced, widowed, or never married) | 406 (38.3)      | 318 (41.3)      |                | 222 (31.1)     | 51 (44.0)          |                |                      |
| Educational level  |                 |                 | 0.943          |                |                    | 0.092          | 0.290                |
| Elementary school graduate or less                                     | 878 (75.0)      | 640 (75.1)      |                | 561 (69.9)     | 99 (79.0)          |                |                      |
| Middle school graduate   | 113 (10.0)      | 93 (10.5)       |                | 106 (13.4)     | 18 (12.0)          |                |                      |
| High school graduate   | 116 (9.8)       | 85 (9.9)        |                | 93 (10.3)      | 11 (6.8)           |                |                      |
| College graduate or more   | 55 (5.2)        | 38 (4.5)        |                | 48 (6.4)       | 4 (2.1)            |                |                      |
| Household income   |                 |                 | 0.386          |                |                    | 0.172          | 0.125                |
| First quartile (lowest)  | 618 (51.2)      | 445 (51.3)      |                | 471 (58.0)     | 65 (47.3)          |                |                      |
| Second quartile  | 287 (25.1)      | 203 (23.3)      |                | 181 (23.1)     | 37 (28.4)          |                |                      |
| Third quartile   | 148 (13.9)      | 98 (12.6)       |                | 78 (10.1)      | 22 (17.7)          |                |                      |
| Fourth quartile (highest)  | 96 (9.8)        | 101 (12.8)      |                | 67 (8.9)       | 5 (6.6)            |                |                      |
| Smoking  |                 |                 | 0.070          |                |                    | 0.009          | < 0.001              |
| Never-smokers  | 739 (62.1)      | 581 (67.0)      |                | 340 (41.5)     | 72 (56.9)          |                |                      |
| Former smokers   | 120 (11.7)      | 86 (11.8)       |                | 137 (17.0)     | 13 (10.1)          |                |                      |
| Current smokers  | 307 (26.2)      | 191 (21.2)      |                | 337 (41.5)     | 47 (33.0)          |                |                      |
| Frequency of binge drinking  |                 |                 | 0.971          |                |                    | 0.301          | 0.602                |
| Lifetime abstainer   | 611 (52.2)      | 443 (52.2)      |                | 375 (46.9)     | 78 (58.3)          |                |                      |
| Never in the previous year   | 317 (26.9)      | 225 (25.6)      |                | 252 (30.4)     | 24 (21.0)          |                |                      |
| Once a month or less   | 139 (12.1)      | 112 (12.7)      |                | 104 (11.4)     | 17 (10.9)          |                |                      |
| Once a week  | 53 (5.2)        | 46 (5.8)        |                | 40 (6.0)       | 7 (5.2)            |                |                      |
| Almost daily   | 46 (3.7)        | 31 (3.7)        |                | 41 (5.3)       | 6 (4.6)            |                |                      |
| Presence of diabetes   |                 |                 | < 0.001        |                |                    | 0.019          | < 0.001              |
| No   | 981 (82.0)      | 614 (70.5)      |                | 612 (72.9)     | 89 (65.8)          |                |                      |
| Yes  | 105 (9.3)       | 170 (18.5)      |                | 98 (13.2)      | 29 (24.2)          |                |                      |
| Unknown  | 81 (8.7)        | 74 (11.0)       |                | 104 (13.9)     | 14 (10.0)          |                |                      |

Abbreviation: KNHANES Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

 $All \ data \ analyses \ conducted \ in \ the \ present \ study \ were \ based \ on \ weighted \ estimates \ with \ sample \ weight \ provided \ by \ KNHANES$ 

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error, and categorical variables are presented as n (%)

( $p\!=\!0.003$ ), with no significant difference between groups in socioeconomic characteristics such as educational level and household income. The proportion of current smokers was significantly higher in the sarcopenia group (41.5%) ( $p\!<\!0.001$ ), and the proportion of diabetes cases was significantly higher in the sarcopenic obesity group (24.2%) ( $p\!<\!0.001$ ).

All obesity indicators, including waist circumference, BMI, and total body fat percentage adjusted for age and sex, were significantly higher in the central obesity and sarcopenic obesity groups than in the healthy control and sarcopenia groups (Table 2; all p < 0.001). ASM and total fat-free mass were lower in the sarcopenic group than in the non-sarcopenic group, with the sarcopenic group

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Indicates statistical significance between the four groups

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 5 of 12

Table 2 Anthropometric and metabolic characteristics of participants based on sarcopenia and central obesity status

|   | Healthy control | Central obesity | p value | Sarcopenia      | Sarcopenic obesity | p value | p value <sup>a</sup> |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|----------------------|
| ASM (kg) <sup>b</sup>                                     | 16.7±0.1        | 17.8±0.1        | < 0.001 | 14.1 ± 0.1      | 14.7 ± 0.1         | 0.005   | < 0.001              |
| ASM/height <sup>2</sup> (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> | $6.74 \pm 0.02$ | $7.05 \pm 0.03$ | < 0.001 | $5.71 \pm 0.02$ | $5.80 \pm 0.04$    | 0.084   | < 0.001              |
| Total fat-free mass (kg) <sup>b</sup>                     | $41.4 \pm 0.1$  | $45.0 \pm 0.2$  | < 0.001 | $36.7 \pm 0.2$  | $39.5 \pm 0.3$     | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup>                     | $79.9 \pm 0.2$  | $93.4 \pm 0.2$  | < 0.001 | $76.2 \pm 0.3$  | $91.8 \pm 0.4$     | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| BMI (kg/m²)b  | $22.8 \pm 0.1$  | $26.5 \pm 0.1$  | < 0.001 | $20.7 \pm 0.1$  | $24.1 \pm 0.2$     | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| Total body fat percentage (%) <sup>b</sup>                | $25.4 \pm 0.2$  | $31.5 \pm 0.2$  | < 0.001 | $27.1 \pm 0.3$  | $34.2 \pm 0.4$     | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| Metabolic syndrome componen                               | ts              |                 |         |                 |                    |         |                      |
| High triglycerides  |                 |                 | < 0.001 |                 |                    | 0.004   | < 0.001              |
| No  | 705 (63.2)      | 398 (50.2)      |         | 481 (64.6)      | 54 (46.0)          |         |                      |
| Yes   | 380 (36.8)      | 382 (49.8)      |         | 232 (35.4)      | 59 (54.0)          |         |                      |
| Low HDL cholesterol                                       |                 |                 | < 0.001 |                 |                    | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| No  | 473 (42.6)      | 232 (29.7)      |         | 397 (55.2)      | 38 (32.0)          |         |                      |
| Yes   | 612 (57.4)      | 548 (70.3)      |         | 316 (44.8)      | 75 (68.0)          |         |                      |
| High blood pressure                                       |                 |                 | < 0.001 |                 |                    | 0.034   | < 0.001              |
| No  | 415 (35.2)      | 192 (22.0)      |         | 285 (32.5)      | 28 (21.5)          |         |                      |
| Yes   | 752 (64.8)      | 666 (78.0)      |         | 528 (67.5)      | 104 (78.5)         |         |                      |
| High fasting glucose                                      |                 |                 | < 0.001 |                 |                    | 0.013   | < 0.001              |
| No  | 737 (65.8)      | 374 (47.5)      |         | 449 (61.3)      | 52 (47.4)          |         |                      |
| Yes   | 349 (34.2)      | 410 (52.5)      |         | 261 (38.7)      | 66 (52.6)          |         |                      |
| Number of metabolic syndrome components present           |                 |                 | < 0.001 |                 |                    | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| 0   | 118 (9.7)       | 34 (4.0)        |         | 89 (10.5)       | 2 (4.3)            |         |                      |
| 1   | 326 (29.6)      | 110 (13.6)      |         | 218 (30.8)      | 19 (12.9)          |         |                      |
| 2   | 306 (29.1)      | 232 (30.5)      |         | 221 (29.8)      | 35 (29.1)          |         |                      |
| 3   | 236 (22.4)      | 243 (32.1)      |         | 113 (18.5)      | 34 (36.7)          |         |                      |
| 4   | 91 (9.2)        | 156 (20.0)      |         | 65 (10.3)       | 22 (16.9)          |         |                      |

Abbreviation: ASM appendicular skeletal muscle mass, BMI body mass index, HDL high-density lipoprotein

 $All \ data \ analyses \ conducted \ in \ the \ present \ study \ were \ based \ on \ weighted \ estimates \ with \ sample \ weight \ provided \ by \ KNHANES$ 

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error, and categorical variables are presented as n (%)

having the lowest mean values (all p < 0.001). In terms of metabolic characteristics, triglycerides, blood pressure, and fasting glucose levels were significantly higher in the obese groups than in the healthy control and sarcopenia groups, while HDL-cholesterol levels were significantly lower (all p < 0.001).

After adjusting for age and sex, the total daily energy intake and the percentage of energy intake to EER were significantly lower in the sarcopenia group than in the non-sarcopenia group (Table 3; all p < 0.001). Both absolute fat intake (p = 0.036) and the percentage of energy from fat (p = 0.015) were the highest in the central obesity group. The proportion of individuals with a protein intake below the EAR was significantly lower in the sarcopenia group than in the non-sarcopenia group (p = 0.002). The proportion of individuals who met their energy and protein intake requirements was significantly higher in the non-sarcopenia group, but the

proportion of those who did not was higher in the sarcopenia groups, particularly in the sar-copenic obesity group (54.3%) (p < 0.001).

The proportion of participants who had moderate-intensity PA in the previous week was lowest in the sarcopenic obesity group (Table 4; p=0.008). Likewise, the proportion of participants with vigorous-intensity PA was lowest in the sarcopenic obesity group (p<0.001), which was significantly lower than in the sarcopenia group (p=0.037). In terms of total time spent in PA after adjusting for age and sex, moderate-intensity exercise time (p<0.001), vigorous-intensity exercise time (p<0.001) were the lowest in the sarcopenic obesity group, followed by the central obesity group. Similarly, the proportion of participants who met the recommended PA level was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Indicates statistical significance between the four groups

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted for age and sex

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 6 of 12

Table 3 Daily energy and nutrient intake of participants based on sarcopenia and central obesity status

|   | Healthy control   | Central obesity   | p value | Sarcopenia        | Sarcopenic obesity | p value | <i>p</i> value <sup>a</sup> |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Total daily intake  |                   |                   |         |                   |                    |         |                             |
| Energy (kcal/day) <sup>b</sup>  | $1676.8 \pm 22.6$ | $1669.9 \pm 29.8$ | 0.860   | $1525.3 \pm 25.3$ | $1557.7 \pm 47.7$  | 0.587   | < 0.001                     |
| Carbohydrate (g/day) <sup>c</sup>   | $301.0 \pm 2.1$   | $295.7 \pm 2.7$   | 0.087   | $294.5 \pm 2.9$   | $292.5 \pm 5.4$    | 0.783   | 0.138                       |
| Protein (g/day) <sup>c</sup>  | $52.0 \pm 0.6$    | $53.0 \pm 0.7$    | 0.208   | $52.2 \pm 0.8$    | $51.1 \pm 1.4$     | 0.460   | 0.500                       |
| Fat (g/day) <sup>c</sup>  | $20.5 \pm 0.6$    | $22.8 \pm 0.7$    | 0.004   | $21.3 \pm 0.6$    | $20.9 \pm 1.2$     | 0.685   | 0.036                       |
| Percentage of energy from macronutrients  |                   |                   |         |                   |                    |         |                             |
| Percentage energy from carbohydrate (%) <sup>c</sup>  | $76.4 \pm 0.3$    | $75.0 \pm 0.5$    | 0.004   | $75.5 \pm 0.4$    | $75.7 \pm 0.9$     | 0.888   | 0.025                       |
| Percentage energy from protein (%) <sup>c</sup>   | $12.8 \pm 0.1$    | $13.0 \pm 0.2$    | 0.240   | $13.0 \pm 0.1$    | $12.8 \pm 0.3$     | 0.577   | 0.516                       |
| Percentage energy from fat (%) <sup>c</sup>   | $10.8 \pm 0.3$    | $12.0 \pm 0.4$    | 0.002   | $11.5 \pm 0.2$    | $11.5 \pm 0.7$     | 0.939   | 0.015                       |
| Intake of key nutrients compared to KDRIs   |                   |                   |         |                   |                    |         |                             |
| Percentage of energy intake to EER (%) <sup>b</sup>   | $95.2 \pm 1.3$    | $95.2 \pm 1.8$    | 0.965   | $86.8 \pm 1.4$    | $88.6 \pm 2.8$     | 0.540   | < 0.001                     |
| Level of energy intake  |                   |                   | 0.454   |                   |                    | 0.568   | < 0.001                     |
| < EER   | 695 (59.1)        | 522 (61.3)        |         | 574 (70.7)        | 94 (67.9)          |         |                             |
| ≥ EER   | 472 (40.9)        | 336 (38.7)        |         | 240 (29.3)        | 38 (32.1)          |         |                             |
| Percentage of protein intake to RNI (%) <sup>c</sup>  | $93.6 \pm 1.0$    | $96.0 \pm 1.3$    | 0.097   | $94.1 \pm 1.4$    | $92.0 \pm 2.6$     | 0.519   | 0.307                       |
| Level of protein intake   |                   |                   | 0.747   |                   |                    | 0.160   | 0.002                       |
| <ear< td=""><td>499 (42.8)</td><td>338 (41.8)</td><td></td><td>407 (50.3)</td><td>77 (57.9)</td><td></td><td></td></ear<> | 499 (42.8)        | 338 (41.8)        |         | 407 (50.3)        | 77 (57.9)          |         |                             |
| ≥ EAR   | 668 (57.2)        | 520 (58.2)        |         | 407 (49.7)        | 55 (42.1)          |         |                             |
| Different levels of energy and protein intake   |                   |                   | 0.441   |                   |                    | 0.201   | < 0.001                     |
| Energy intake $\geq$ EER, protein intake $\geq$ EAR   | 438 (38.1)        | 320 (37.1)        |         | 212 (25.9)        | 35 (28.5)          |         |                             |
| Energy intake $\geq$ EER, protein intake $<$ EAR  | 34 (2.8)          | 16 (1.6)          |         | 28 (3.4)          | 3 (3.6)            |         |                             |
| Energy intake < EER, protein intake $\geq$ EAR  | 230 (19.1)        | 200 (21.1)        |         | 195 (23.8)        | 20 (13.6)          |         |                             |
| Energy intake < EER, protein intake < EAR   | 465 (40.0)        | 322 (40.2)        |         | 379 (46.9)        | 74 (54.3)          |         |                             |

Abbreviation: KDRIs, Korean dietary reference intakes; EER, estimated energy requirement; RNI, recommended nutrient intake; EAR, estimated average requirement All data analyses conducted in the present study were based on weighted estimates with sample weight provided by KNHANES

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error, and categorical variables are presented as n (%)

lowest in the sarcopenic obesity group and highest in the healthy control group (p < 0.001).

Table 5 summarizes the findings of the multivariate multinomial logistic regression analysis according to sarcopenia and central obesity status. After adjusting for potential confounders, increases in daily energy intake (per 100 kcal) were negatively associated with sarcopenia (OR: 0.956, 95% CI: 0.934-0.977) and sarcopenic obesity (OR: 0.964, 95% CI: 0.931-0.999). Participants with an energy intake below the EER had an increased likelihood of sarcopenia (OR: 1.616, 95% CI: 1.256–2.079) compared with those with an energy intake reaching or exceeding the EER. Protein intake yielded similar results, with the likelihood of sarcopenia decreasing with every 10 g increase in protein intake (OR: 0.933, 95% CI: 0.886-0.982). When protein intake did not meet EAR, the adjusted ORs of sarcopenia (OR: 1.329, 95% CI: 1.034-1.709) and sarcopenic obesity (OR: 1.608, 95% CI: 1.013-2.553) were significantly increased. However, this association was no longer significant when the model was further adjusted for total energy intake. Participants with inactive or insufficient PA levels had a higher likelihood of central obesity (OR: 1.513, 95% CI: 1.173–1.951) and sarcopenia (OR: 1.370, 95% CI: 1.052–1.784), particularly sarcopenic obesity (OR: 3.054, 95% CI: 1.612–5.784) when compared with those who met the recommended PA level. These associations persisted even after adjusting for total energy intake.

The association of the combination of energy intake, protein intake, and PA with sarcopenia and central obesity status is shown in Table 6. In terms of the combined effect of energy and protein intake, those who consumed both nutrients above the requirements had a 40% lower OR of sarcopenia (OR: 0.601, 95% CI: 0.444–0.814) than those who did not. However, this combined effect of energy and protein intake was not significantly associated with central obesity or sarcopenic obesity. In terms of the effect of combined energy intake and PA, the likelihood of central obesity and sarcopenic obesity decreased in participants with recommended PA levels regardless

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Indicates statistical significance between the four groups

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted for age and sex

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, and total energy intake (continuous)

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 7 of 12

**Table 4** Physical activity of participants according to sarcopenia and central obesity status

|  | Healthy control  | Central obesity  | p value | Sarcopenia       | Sarcopenic obesity | p value | p value <sup>a</sup> |
|--|------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|--------------------|---------|----------------------|
| Moderate-intensity PA in the previous week                                 |                  |                  | 0.158   |                  |                    | 0.099   | 0.008                |
| No   | 727 (66.5)       | 575 (70.4)       |         | 576 (73.1)       | 103 (81.1)         |         |                      |
| Yes  | 439 (33.5)       | 283 (29.6)       |         | 238 (26.9)       | 29 (18.9)          |         |                      |
| Vigorous-intensity PA in the previous week                                 |                  |                  | 0.002   |                  |                    | 0.037   | < 0.001              |
| No   | 929 (79.5)       | 725 (85.7)       |         | 699 (86.8)       | 122 (93.7)         |         |                      |
| Yes  | 238 (20.5)       | 133 (14.3)       |         | 115 (13.2)       | 10 (6.3)           |         |                      |
| Total minutes of moderate-intensity PA (min/wk) <sup>b</sup>               | $181.0 \pm 19.4$ | $131.6 \pm 20.3$ | 0.038   | 150.0 ± 21.3     | 52.2 ± 16.1        | < 0.001 | < 0.001              |
| Total minutes of vigorous-intensity PA (min/wk) <sup>b</sup>               | $115.2 \pm 16.5$ | $75.2 \pm 14.1$  | 0.038   | 78.4±11.7        | $45.0 \pm 20.0$    | 0.134   | 0.004                |
| Total minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity PA (min/wk) <sup>b,c</sup> | 411.9 ± 43.3     | 281.9±40.0       | 0.012   | $306.9 \pm 36.8$ | 142.2 ± 45.1       | 0.005   | < 0.001              |
| Level of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity PA                                 |                  |                  | 0.005   |                  |                    | 0.022   | < 0.001              |
| Inactive (No PA)   | 648 (58.7)       | 524 (64.7)       |         | 536 (68.7)       | 100 (79.2)         |         |                      |
| Insufficient level of PA   | 106 (8.6)        | 92 (10.6)        |         | 56 (6.1)         | 13 (8.2)           |         |                      |
| Recommended level of PA  | 413 (32.6)       | 242 (24.7)       |         | 222 (25.2)       | 19 (12.7)          |         |                      |

Abbreviation: PA physical activity

All data analyses conducted in the present study were based on weighted estimates with sample weight provided by KNHANES

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error, and categorical variables are presented as n (%)

of energy intake, with ORs for central obesity (OR: 0.536, 95% CI: 0.391–0.736) or sarcopenic obesity (OR: 0.262, 95% CI: 0.114–0.602) being lowest in those with low energy intake and sufficient PA. On the other hand, the likelihood of sarcopenia decreased in participants with an energy intake reaching or exceeding the EER, regardless of whether their PA meets or does not meet the recommended level. In contrast to central obesity and sarcopenic obesity, the OR of sarcopenia (OR: 0.436, 95% CI: 0.290–0.655) was lowest in those who met energy and PA requirements.

# Discussion

This South Korean population-based study of the older adults aged  $\geq$  65 focused on body composition as defined by the presence of sarcopenia and central obesity. This approach differs significantly from the traditional conservative methods that examined obesity and sarcopenia as separate entities using only a single anthropometric index or did not distinguish sarcopenic obesity from sarcopenia, and it may be even more important and necessary in the older adults population. Only a few studies have focused on the combination of both of these conditions.

Sedentary or inactive lifestyles, as well as imbalances between energy intake and needs, which are well known to influence obesity, have been linked to the maintenance or increase of skeletal muscle mass [23, 24]. In this study, the combination of energy with protein and with PA was studied as the key to understanding the overriding determinants of central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity. There were clear differences in energy and protein intake between the healthy control, central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity groups. The energy intakes of the sarcopenia group, including sarcopenia and sarcopenia obesity, were lower than the Korean EER. In particular, those who did not meet the EER had a significantly higher likelihood of sarcopenia. However, those who did not meet the EAR for protein had a significantly higher likelihood of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity; these associations, however, were no longer statistically significant after adjusting for total energy intake.

Protein is one of the most effective nutrients for muscle synthesis, and adequate intake of protein, such as leucine-enriched amino acids, can promote skeletal muscle regeneration [25, 26]. However, in this study, energy-adjusted protein intake was not independently associated with sarcopenia or sarcopenic obesity. A previous Korean study, which was consistent with our findings, explained that it was due to the traditional Korean diet of the older adults, which is high in carbohydrates and low in animal protein [27, 28]. Furthermore, it is possible that people with low skeletal muscle mass are already on a deliberate diet, and the differences in protein intake between groups

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Indicates statistical significance between the four groups

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted for age and sex

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  Includes sum of time spent in moderate-intensity and weighted vigorous-intensity ( $\times$  2) PA in the previous week

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 8 of 12

**Table 5** Association of nutritional and physical activity factors with sarcopenia and central obesity status: results from multinomial logistic regression analysis

|                                    | Model 1: AOR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup> |                        |                        | Model 2: AOR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> |                      |                       |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|                                    | Central obesity                    | Sarcopenia             | Sarcopenic obesity     | Central obesity                    | Sarcopenia           | Sarcopenic obesity    |  |
| Energy intake (per<br>100 kcal)    | 0.993 (0.973–1.014)                | 0.956 (0.934–0.977)*** | 0.964 (0.931–0.999)*   |                                    |                      |                       |  |
| Level of energy intake             |                                    |                        |                        |                                    |                      |                       |  |
| ≥ EER                              | 1.000                              | 1.000                  | 1.000                  |                                    |                      |                       |  |
| < EER                              | 1.122 (0.878-1.435)                | 1.616 (1.256-2.079)*** | 1.321 (0.815-2.140)    |                                    |                      |                       |  |
| Protein intake (per<br>10 g)       | 1.005 (0.965–1.048)                | 0.933 (0.886-0.982)**  | 0.930 (0.855–1.012)    | 1.043 (0.980–1.110)                | 1.026 (0.949–1.109)  | 0.984 (0.851–1.137)   |  |
| Level of protein intake            |                                    |                        |                        |                                    |                      |                       |  |
| ≥ EAR                              | 1.000                              | 1.000                  | 1.000                  | 1.000                              | 1.000                | 1.000                 |  |
| < EAR                              | 0.951 (0.742-1.219)                | 1.329 (1.034-1.709)*   | 1.608 (1.013-2.553)*   | 0.862 (0.649-1.144)                | 0.965 (0.718-1.295)  | 1.436 (0.784-2.630)   |  |
| Level of moderate-to-v             | igorous-intensity PA               |                        |                        |                                    |                      |                       |  |
| Recommended PA<br>Level            | 1.000                              | 1.000                  | 1.000                  | 1.000                              | 1.000                | 1.000                 |  |
| Insufficient or inactive PA levels | 1.513 (1.173–1.951)**              | 1.370 (1.052–1.784)*   | 3.054 (1.612–5.784)*** | 1.505 (1.167–1.941)**              | 1.338 (1.024–1.750)* | 2.996 (1.579–5.687)** |  |

Abbreviation: AOR adjusted odds ratio, CI confidence interval, EER estimated energy requirement, EAR estimated average requirement, PA physical activity All data analyses conducted in the present study were based on weighted estimates with sample weight provided by KNHANES

**Table 6** Association of combination of nutritional and physical activity factors with sarcopenia and central obesity status: results from multinomial logistic regression analysis

|  | AOR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup> |                        |                       |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|  | Central obesity           | Sarcopenia             | Sarcopenic obesity    |
| Different energy and protein intake levels                 |                           |                        |                       |
| Energy intake < EER, protein intake < EAR                  | 1.000                     | 1.000                  | 1.000                 |
| Energy intake < EER, protein intake ≥ EAR                  | 1.134 (0.833-1.543)       | 1.038 (0.777-1.385)    | 0.586 (0.314-1.093)   |
| Energy intake ≥ EER, protein intake < EAR                  | 0.563 (0.263-1.206)       | 0.932 (0.523-1.661)    | 0.880 (0.253-3.054)   |
| Energy intake $\geq$ EER, protein intake $\geq$ EAR        | 0.961 (0.723-1.278)       | 0.601 (0.444-0.814)**  | 0.635 (0.373-1.080)   |
| Different energy intake and moderate-to-vigorous-intensity | PA levels                 |                        |                       |
| Energy intake < EER, insufficient or inactive PA levels    | 1.000                     | 1.000                  | 1.000                 |
| Energy intake < EER, recommended PA level                  | 0.536 (0.391-0.736)***    | 0.744 (0.537-1.031)    | 0.262 (0.114-0.602)** |
| Energy intake ≥ EER, insufficient or inactive PA levels    | 0.774 (0.571-1.049)       | 0.634 (0.469-0.856)**  | 0.684 (0.398-1.176)   |
| Energy intake $\geq$ EER, recommended PA level             | 0.678 (0.467-0.984)*      | 0.436 (0.290-0.655)*** | 0.316 (0.126-0.796)*  |

Abbreviation: AOR adjusted odds ratio, CI confidence interval, EER estimated energy requirement, EAR estimated average requirement, PA physical activity All data analyses conducted in the present study were based on weighted estimates with sample weight provided by KNHANES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, education level, household income, smoking status, frequency of binge drinking, physical activity level, and diabetes

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b}$  Adjusted for Model 1 + total energy intake (continuous)

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05

<sup>\*\*</sup> p < 0.01, and

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> p < 0.001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, educational level, household income, smoking, frequency of binge drinking, physical activity level, and diabetes

<sup>\*</sup> p < 0.05

<sup>\*\*</sup> p < 0.01 and

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> p < 0.00

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 9 of 12

may not have been well detected because total energy intake was generally low (about 1,525 to 1,677 kcal) in all of these subjects. Energy, as well as protein, may play a role in the outcomes of a population with relatively low energy intakes, such as the Korean older adults in our study. Previous studies have demonstrated that increasing energy intake can help to maintain skeletal muscle mass and lower sarcopenia risk [23, 29]. Low energy intake was also linked to frailty in the older adults [30]. Several studies also found that the relationship between macronutrient intakes and frailty prevalence differed based on energy intakes. After adjusting for energy intake, the effect of protein intake on frailty was either eliminated [31] or reduced [30, 32, 33].

In this study, there was a difference in predicting the association of sarcopenia with combinations of energy intake and protein intake based on the accompanying abdominal obesity. We found that adherence to the recommendations for energy and protein intake was strongly associated with a lower sarcopenia prevalence. However, these findings did not apply to sarcopenic obesity, indicating that sarcopenic obesity may require a different treatment than isolated sarcopenia. Similarly, in the case of patients with sarcopenia who are malnourished, energy supplementation or increasing protein intake within the normal range of energy consumption may help to maintain skeletal muscle mass. Since skeletal muscle accounts for a substantial portion of the body's total energy expenditure, providing enough calories may help to preserve skeletal muscle mass [23].

In terms of assessment of obesity, this study used the waist circumference which is widely accepted as an indicator of abdominal adiposity. Since the optimal cut-off value of body fat percentage has not yet been clearly specified for Korean adults, we were concerned that this cutoff value might overestimate obesity prevalence to some extent. The prevalence of obesity in this population, which was estimated using the criteria of the body fat percentage of the American Council on Exercise, was 32.1% in men and 66.5% in women. Moreover, the abdominal adiposity may be more associated with obesity-related diseases than whole body fat accumulation [34], and the waist circumference had a higher correlation with insulin resistance than percent whole body fat in the sample of healthy Korean adults [35].

Although the effects of combining energy and protein on sarcopenia or frailty have not been thoroughly investigated, it was observed that the total daily energy and protein intake were significantly lower in common among the older adults with low appendicular lean mass [36]. A community-based randomized controlled study was also conducted to investigate the effect of combining energy and protein intake. Protein-energy

supplementation (an extra 400 kcal of energy, 25 g of protein, and 9.4 g of essential amino acids per day) given to the frail older adults slowed the progression of physical functional decline compared with controls who did not receive this supplement [37]. The Society for Sarcopenia, Cachexia, and Wasting Disease recommended adequate protein and energy intake as a key nutritional component for the prevention and management of sarcopenia [38].

In our study, physical inactivity was another factor that contributed significantly to both sarcopenia and central obesity. However, the combined effect of energy intake and PA produced different results for central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity. Although the likelihood of central obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity was reduced in the group that met energy intake and PA recommendations, the positive combination of energy intake and PA appeared to greatly affect sarcopenia. Those with sufficient PA combined with a low energy intake had the most optimal association for central obesity or sarcopenic obesity. Furthermore, when only one of the two recommendations was met, PA at the recommended level was very important for both central obesity and sarcopenic obesity, whereas total energy intake to the EAR was more important for sarcopenia. Although data were not presented in the results, we also found that there were no specific sex differences in these patterns.

These findings imply that, while combining exercise and diet has a positive effect on both sarcopenia and obesity, the key determinants of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity may be different. Sarcopenia and obesity share several pathophysiological mechanisms [39], but the pathogenesis of sarcopenic obesity has yet to be investigated. In terms of natural history, sarcopenic obesity may follow a different path than sarcopenia [40]. However, few studies have compared biochemical status, lifestyle, and risk factors in people with sarcopenic obesity, isolated sarcopenia, or isolated obesity [41–43].

Previous studies, like this one, have revealed the importance of PA in sarcopenic obesity. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials demonstrated that exercise, particularly resistance exercise, is critical for improving body composition and physical performance in people with sarcopenic obesity [44]. A multi-continent study using nationally representative data from nine countries found that lower PA levels were significantly associated with both sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity. Furthermore, people with sarcopenic obesity had lower PA levels than those with sarcopenia alone [41]. In Korean studies, all types of PA were found to be beneficial for both sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity [42], and moderate-to-vigorous PA was found to be highly correlated with skeletal muscle index and hand grip strength [45].

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 10 of 12

The combined effects of exercise and nutrition on muscle strength, mass, and function have been investigated in interventional studies and systematic reviews [16, 46, 47]. The literature suggests that interventions based on providing an adequate energy supply and supplementing specific nutrients could be effective in either or both preventing and reversing sarcopenia and frailty, especially when combined with physical exercise [38, 47]. Furthermore, as in our study, the effects of combining energyyielding nutrients with exercise were demonstrated in a study on Asians; post-exercise macronutrient supplementation (equivalent to 200 kcal) during home-based interval walking training enhanced increases in skeletal muscle mass and strength compared with exercise alone among middle-aged and older Japanese women [48]. Another study investigated the effects of caloric restriction-induced weight loss alone and in combination with moderate aerobic exercise on skeletal muscle mass; energy intake restriction for weight loss reduced skeletal muscle mass even more in the obese older adults, but adding moderate aerobic exercise to diet-induced weight loss reduced muscle mass loss [49]. These findings support the importance of exercise in the intervention of sarcopenic obesity.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, the AWGS 2019 algorithm for identifying and diagnosing older adults with sarcopenia requires measurements of both muscle quality and quantity, but the KNHANES dataset did not include hand grip strength data. Therefore, we could not consider muscle strength to define sarcopenia and adopted only low ASM as the diagnostic criterion. Second, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study design, the causal relationships among variables could not be determined. Third, we focused primarily on general recommendations for macronutrient intake and PA. However, more research is needed to understand the effects of micronutrients and specific components of exercise, such as exercise frequency, intensity, time, and type, in order to propose more effective strategies to counteract sarcopenia and obesity [21]. Fourth, as with any observational study, residual confounding by unmeasured or uncontrolled confounders existed and cannot be considered, such as age-related physiological factors, including changes in hormone levels, vascular changes, low-grade inflammation, and immunological factors that could contribute to the development of both sarcopenia and obesity [8]. Finally, we were unable to analyze the most recent KNHANES data due to the limited availability of data for bone density and body composition measured with DXA.

Despite these limitations, we investigated whether adherence to recommendations for energy intake, as well as protein intake or PA, was associated with a lower prevalence of obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity. Furthermore, the study samples included relatively healthy, non-institutionalized citizens (excluding severely ill patients and those already on diets) in a nationwide, population-based setting, rather than being limited to a specific community-based setting or sex group, which is an additional strength of our study. However, further studies are needed to longitudinally compare the combined effects of nutrition and exercise interventions in sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity.

# **Conclusion**

In this study, the combined effect of energy intake, protein intake, and PA on sarcopenia and central obesity status in the Korean older adult population was investigated. Although adequate energy intake that meets requirements is more likely to be effective as a major treatment goal for sarcopenia, a combined strategy that considers both exercise and diet is required. Similarly, regular and active exercise at the recommended level should be considered an important treatment goal for sarcopenic obesity. Our findings provide insight into effective and optimal intervention strategies for the prevention and management of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity, both of which are major concerns in an aging society.

# Abbreviations

PA Physical activity
OR Odds ratio
CI Confidence interval

KNHANES Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

KDCA Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency

DXA Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
ASM Appendicular skeletal muscle mass
ASMI Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index
EER Estimated energy requirement
EAR Estimated average requirement
KDRI Korean Dietary Reference Intakes

HDL High-density lipoprotein BMI Body mass index

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-023-03748-x.

**Additional file 1: Supplementary Figure 1.** Flow chart of selection of the study population. Abbreviation: KNHANES, Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; ASM, appendicular skeletal muscle mass.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

J.E.P. and K.K. conceived and designed the study. J.E.P. analyzed and summarized the results. J.E.P., S.L., and K.K wrote the first draft of the article. J.E.P. and K.K. reviewed the manuscript and contributed to the discussion. K.K. supervised the project. All authors approved the final version.

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 11 of 12

#### **Funding**

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(NRF-2021R1I1A3049883).

#### Availability of data and materials

The data of the current study are available from the KDCA (https://knhanes.kdca.go.kr/knhanes/main.do) on reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the KDCA's Institutional Review Board (Ethics Committee reference numbers: 2008-04EXP-01-C, 2009-01CON-03-2 C, 2010-02CON-21-C, and 2011-02CON-06-C). All participants provided written informed consent prior to participating in the study. The KNHANES dataset did not contain any personal information, and access to the data was granted only after authorization by the KDCA.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Received: 19 July 2022 Accepted: 11 January 2023 Published online: 03 March 2023

#### References

- De Stefano F, Zambon S, Giacometti L, Sergi G, Corti MC, Manzato E, Busetto L. Obesity, muscular strength, muscle composition and physical performance in an elderly population. J Nutr Health Aging. 2015;19(7):785–91.
- Walrand S, Guillet C, Salles J, Cano N, Boirie Y. Physiopathological mechanism of sarcopenia. Clin Geriatr Med. 2011;27(3):365–85.
- 3. Choo YJ, Chang MC. Prevalence of sarcopenia among the elderly in Korea: a meta-analysis. J Prev Med Public Health. 2021;54(2):96–102.
- Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. Korea Health Statistics 2020: Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES VIII-2). Osong: Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency; 2022.
- Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. Chronic Disease Health Statistics: Obesityprevalence (based on waist circumference). 2022. https://health.kdca.go.kr/healthinfo/biz/pblcVis/details.do?ctgrSn=31. Accessed 20 May 2022.
- Chen LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Chou MY, Iijima K, Jang HC, Kang L, Kim M, Kim S, et al. Asianworking group for sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis andtreatment. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2020;21(3):300-307e302.
- Wannamethee SG, Atkins JL. Muscle loss and obesity: the health implications of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity. Proc Nutr Soc. 2015;74(4):405–12.
- Molino S, Dossena M, Buonocore D, Verri M. Sarcopenic obesity: an appraisal of the current status of knowledge and management in Elderly People. J Nutr Health Aging. 2016;20(7):780–8.
- Kim TN, Choi KM. The implications of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity on cardiometabolic disease. J Cell Biochem. 2015;116(7):1171–8.
- Zamboni M, Mazzali G, Fantin F, Rossi A, Di Francesco V. Sarcopenic obesity: a new category of obesity in the elderly. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2008;18(5):388–95.
- 11. Gregor MF, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammatory mechanisms in obesity. Annu Rev Immunol. 2011;29:415–45.
- 12. Cauley JA. An overview of sarcopenic obesity. J Clin Densitom. 2015;18(4):499–505.
- 13. Kohara K. Sarcopenic obesity in aging population: current status and future directions for research. Endocrine. 2014;45(1):15–25.

- 14. Chung JY, Kang HT, Lee DC, Lee HR, Lee YJ. Body composition and its association with cardiometabolic risk factors in the elderly: a focus on sarcopenic obesity. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2013;56(1):270–8.
- Trouwborst I, Verreijen A, Memelink R, Massanet P, Boirie Y, Weijs P, Tieland M. Exerciseand nutrition strategies to counteract sarcopenic obesity. Nutrients. 2018;10(5):605.
- Denison HJ, Cooper C, Sayer AA, Robinson SM. Prevention and optimal management of sarcopenia: a review of combined exercise and nutrition interventions to improve muscle outcomes in older people. Clin Interv Aging. 2015;10:859–69.
- 17. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, Martin FC, Michel JP, Rolland Y, Schneider SM, et al. Sarcopenia: european consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European working group on sarcopenia in older people. Age Ageing. 2010;39(4):412–23.
- Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang MJ, Kim Y, Kim K, Choi S, Chun C, Khang YH, Oh K. Data resource profile: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43(1):69–77.
- Lee SY, Park HS, Kim DJ, Han JH, Kim SM, Cho GJ, Kim DY, Kwon HS, Kim SR, Lee CB, et al. Appropriate waist circumference cutoff points for central obesity in korean adults. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2007;75(1):72–80.
- 20. Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korean Nutrition Society. Dietary reference intakes for Koreans 2020. Republic of Korea: Ministry of Health and Welfare; Sejong; 2020.
- World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC Jr., et al. Diagnosisand management of the metabolic syndrome: an American heartassociation/national heart, lung, and blood institute scientific statement. Circulation. 2005;112(17):2735–52.
- Jang BY, Bu SY. Total energy intake according to the level of skeletal muscle mass in korean adults aged 30 years and older: an analysis of the Korean National Health and Nutrition examination surveys (KNHANES) 2008–2011. Nutr Res Pract. 2018:12(3):222–32.
- Kyle UG, Genton L, Hans D, Karsegard VL, Michel JP, Slosman DO, Pichard C. Total body mass, fat mass, fat-free mass, and skeletal muscle in older people: cross-sectional differences in 60-year-old persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2001;49(12):1633–40.
- Houston DK, Nicklas BJ, Ding JZ, Harris TB, Tylavsky FA, Newman AB, Lee JS, Sahyoun NR, Visser M, Kritchevsky SB, et al. Dietary protein intake is associated with lean mass change in older, community-dwelling adults: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition (Health ABC) study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87(1):150–5.
- Rong S, Wang L, Peng Z, Liao Y, Li D, Yang X, Nuessler AK, Liu L, Bao W, Yang W. The mechanisms and treatments for sarcopenia: could exosomes be a perspective research strategy in the future? J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2020;11(2):348–65.
- Park SH, Lee KS, Park HY. Dietary carbohydrate intake is associated with cardiovascular disease risk in Korean: analysis of the third Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES III). Int J Cardiol. 2010;139(3):234–40.
- 28. Son J, Yu Q, Seo JS. Sarcopenic obesity can be negatively associated with active physical activity and adequate intake of some nutrients in korean elderly: findings from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2008–2011). Nutr Res Pract. 2019;13(1):47–57.
- Shad BJ, Wallis G, van Loon LJ, Thompson JL. Exercise prescription for the older population: the interactions between physical activity, sedentary time, and adequate nutrition in maintaining musculoskeletal health. Maturitas. 2016;93:78–82.
- Bartali B, Frongillo EA, Bandinelli S, Lauretani F, Semba RD, Fried LP, Ferrucci L. Low nutrient intake is an essential component of frailty in older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61(6):589–93.
- Jang W, Ryu HK. Association of low hand grip strength with protein intake in korean female elderly: based on the Seventh Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES VII), 2016–2018. Korean J Community Nutr. 2020;25(3):226–35.
- Rahi B, Colombet Z, Gonzalez-Colaco Harmand M, Dartigues JF, Boirie Y, Letenneur L, Feart C. Higherprotein but not energy intake is associated with a lower prevalence of frailtyamong community-dwelling older adults in the French three-city cohort. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016;17(7):672 e677-672 e611.

Park et al. BMC Geriatrics (2023) 23:119 Page 12 of 12

- 33. Schoufour JD, Franco OH, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Trajanoska K, Stricker B, Brusselle G, Rivadeneira F, Lahousse L, Voortman T. The association between dietary protein intake, energy intake and physical frailty: results from the Rotterdam Study. Br J Nutr. 2019;121(4):393–401.
- Sheu WHH, Chan SP, Matawaran BJ, Deerochanawong C, Mithal A, Chan J, Suastika K, Khoo CM, Nguyen HM, Linong J, et al. Use of SGLT-2 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes Mellitus and abdominal obesity: an Asian perspective and expert recommendations. Diabetes Metab J. 2020;44(1):11–32.
- Oh B, Kim YJ, Kim TJ, Jeong SM, Shin HK, Linton J. Associationsbetween body fat measurement by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and abdominalobesity and insulin resistance in Korean populations: cross-sectional study fromthe fourth (2008–2009) and fifth (2010) Korea National Health and NutritionExamination Survey (KNHANES). KJFP. 2017;7(2):293–7.
- Nikolov J, Norman K, Spira D, Buchmann N, Eckardt-Felmberg R, Steinhagen-Thiessen E. Impact of distribution of protein and energy intake on appendicular lean mass in older people. Clin Nutr. 2018;37:61.
- Kim CO, Lee KR. Preventive effect of protein-energy supplementation on the functional decline of frail older adults with low socioeconomic status: a community-based randomized controlled study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2013;68(3):309–16.
- Morley JE, Argiles JM, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Cella D, Deutz NE, Doehner W, Fearon KC, Ferrucci L, Hellerstein MK, et al. Nutritional recommendations for the management of sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2010;11(6):391–6.
- 39. Choi KM. Sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity. Korean J Intern Med. 2016;31(6):1054–60.
- Donini LM, Busetto L, Bauer JM, Bischoff S, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Dicker D, Fruhbeck G, Giustina A, et al. Critical appraisal of definitions and diagnostic criteria for sarcopenic obesity based on a systematic review. Clin Nutr. 2020;39(8):2368–88.
- Tyrovolas S, Koyanagi A, Olaya B, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Miret M, Chatterji S, Tobiasz-Adamczyk B, Koskinen S, Leonardi M, Haro JM. Factors associated with skeletal muscle mass, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity in older adults: a multi-continent study. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2016;7(3):312–21.
- 42. Oh C, Jeon BH, Reid Storm SN, Jho S, No J-K. The most effective factors to offset sarcopenia and obesity in the older korean: physical activity, vitamin D, and protein intake. Nutrition. 2017;33:169–73.
- Lim H-S, Park Y-H, Suh K, Yoo MH, Park HK, Kim HJ, Lee J-H, Byun D-W. Association between sarcopenia, sarcopenic obesity, and chronic disease in Korean elderly. J Bone Metab. 2018;25(3):187–93.
- Hsu KJ, Liao CD, Tsai MW, Chen CN. Effectsof exercise and nutritional intervention on body composition, metabolic health, and physical performance in adults with sarcopenic obesity: a meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2019;11(9):2163
- Seo JH, Lee Y. Association of physical activity with sarcopenia evaluated based on muscle mass and strength in older adults: 2008–2011 and 2014–2018 Korea National Health and Nutrition examination surveys. BMC Geriatr. 2022;22(1):217.
- Beaudart C, Dawson A, Shaw SC, Harvey NC, Kanis JA, Binkley N, Reginster JY, Chapurlat R, Chan DC, Bruyere O, et al. Nutrition and physical activity in the prevention and treatment of sarcopenia: systematic review. Osteoporos Int. 2017;28(6):1817–33.
- Calvani R, Miccheli A, Landi F, Bossola M, Cesari M, Leeuwenburgh C, Sieber CC, Bernabei R, Marzetti E. Current nutritional recommendations and novel dietary strategies to manage sarcopenia. J Frailty Aging. 2013;2(1):38–53.
- 48. Okazaki K, Yazawa D, Goto M, Kamijo YI, Furihata M, Gen-no H, Hamada K, Nose H. Effects of macronutrient intake on thigh muscle mass during home-based walking training in middle-aged and older women. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2013;23(5):e286–292.
- Chomentowski P, Dube JJ, Amati F, Stefanovic-Racic M, Zhu S, Toledo FG, Goodpaster BH. Moderate exercise attenuates the loss of skeletal muscle mass that occurs with intentional caloric restriction-induced weight loss in older, overweight to obese adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2009;64(5):575–80.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

