MOHAMED GUERMAH, YONG TAO, AND ROBERT G. ROEDER\*

*Laboratory of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021*

Received 27 April 2001/Returned for modification 5 June 2001/Accepted 12 July 2001

**Human transcription factor TFIID contains the TATA-binding protein (TBP) and several TBP-associated** factors (TAF<sub>US</sub>). To elucidate the structural organization and function of TFIID, we expressed and characterized the product of a cloned cDNA encoding human  $\mathrm{TAF_{II}135}$  (hTAF<sub>II</sub>135). Comparative far Western blots have shown that hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 135 interacts strongly with hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 20, moderately with hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 150, and weakly with **hTAF<sub>II</sub>43 and hTAF<sub>II</sub>250. Consistent with these observations and with sequence relationships of hTAF<sub>II</sub>20 and hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 to histones H2B and H2A, respectively, TFIID preparations that contain higher levels of hTAF<sub>II</sub>135** also contain higher levels of hTAF<sub>II</sub>20, and the interaction between hTAF<sub>II</sub>20 and hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 is critical for **human TFIID assembly in vitro. From a functional standpoint, hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 has been found to interact strongly** and directly with hTFIIA and (within a complex that also contains hTBP and  $hTAF_{II}250$ ) to specifically **cooperate with TFIIA to relieve TAFII250-mediated repression of TBP binding and function on core promoters. Finally, we report a functional synergism between TAF<sub>II</sub>s and the TRAP/Mediator complex in activated** transcription, manifested as hTAF<sub>II</sub>-mediated inhibition of basal transcription and a consequent TRAP re**quirement for both a high absolute level of activated transcription and a high and more physiological activated/ basal transcription ratio. These results suggest a dynamic TFIID structure in which the switch from a basal hTAF<sub>II</sub>-enhanced repression state to an activator-mediated activated state on a promoter may be mediated in** part through activator or coactivator interactions with  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$ .

TFIID is a general transcription factor composed of a small TATA-binding polypeptide and a large number of TATAbinding protein (TBP)-associated factors (TAFs), all of which are highly conserved in evolution (reviewed in references 7 and 17). TFIID is involved, along with other general initiation factors (TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIIE, TFIIF, and TFIIH), in both activator-independent (basal) and activator-dependent transcription. Furthermore, and in contrast to basal transcription, activator-dependent transcription in mammalian cell-free systems reconstituted with purified factors generally requires cofactor activities that include both USA (upstream factor stimulatory activity)-derived factors and TBP-associated factors  $(TAF<sub>II</sub>s)$  within TFIID (for reviews, see references 28, 35, 37, and 38).

In general, the efficiency of preinitiation complex (PIC) assembly or function is controlled by the presence of transcription factors that are usually bound to specific distal sequences. Some models of how transcription regulatory factors influence PIC assembly invoke interactions with TFIID that, through qualitative and/or quantitative effects on TFIID binding, enhance the recruitment of downstream factors (reviewed in reference 7). Whereas TFIID from metazoans was found to mediate both basal and activator-dependent transcription in cell-free systems reconstituted with partially purified components, TBP elicited mainly basal transcription. This led to the

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Laboratory of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Ave., New York, NY 10021. Phone: (212) 327-7601. Fax: (212) 327- 7949. E-mail: roeder@rockvax.rockefeller.edu.

hypothesis that  $TAF_{II}s$  within TFIID interact directly with activators to promote PIC assembly. Conversely, and using reconstituted TFIID complexes, a seemingly good correlation was drawn between the activity of a specific activator and the ability of its activation domain to selectively bind a specific given  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$  (for reviews, see references 7 and 43). In addition, in vitro studies have shown an important role for  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$ s within TFIID in core promoter recognition and transcriptional strength, especially on TATA-less promoters that contain other core promoter elements such as the initiator (Inr) and/or downstream promoter elements (for a review, see reference 40). In this regard, early in vivo studies in *Saccharomyces cer*evisiae suggested that individual TAF<sub>II</sub>s are dispensable for activated transcription of most genes (31, 44) and that core promoter elements, rather than upstream binding sites, confer  $TAF_{II}$ -dependence on some genes (39).

Consistent with these latter observations is the finding that the *S. cerevisiae* Mediator complex can support activated transcription in vitro with TBP alone (22, 25). In addition, it was also reported that  $TAF_{II}s$  are not required either for activated transcription by GAL4-VP16 in unfractionated HeLa nuclear extracts (34) or for activation by thyroid hormone receptor in association with the human TRAP/Mediator in a partially purified system (15). However, in a reexamination of the  $TAF_{II}$ requirement for activator function in *S. cerevisiae*, more extensive genetic analyses have suggested that at least some TAF<sub>II</sub>s (notably the histone-related TAF $_{\text{II}}$ s) are broadly required for transcription and that the  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$  dependency, in some cases, may require upstream activators (reviewed in reference 17). Finally, and further complicating the interpretation

of the in vivo assays, is the discovery that a subset of  $TAF_{1}$ found in the TFIID complex are also integral components of histone acetyltransferase complexes in *S. cerevisiae* and humans (for review, see reference 6). Thus,  $TAF_{II}s$  have been shown to serve as conventional coactivators acting at the DNA level, as core promoter-selective factors, and within coactivators implicated in chromatin modifications, and at least one  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$  has several catalytic activities that are potentially involved in transcription (reviewed in reference 17). It thus seems likely that different  $TAF<sub>II</sub>S$  may function by distinct mechanisms that depend on the specific regulatory elements and chromosomal architecture of a given promoter.

An understanding of the various TFIID functions is based on a resolution of the overall architecture of TFIID that requires knowledge of both the primary sequences and structures of individual subunits and their interactions and topological organization within TFIID (1, 5). Studies of *S. cerevisiae*, *Dro* $sophila$  *melanogaster*, and human  $TAF_{II}s$  have provided valuable information on a number of protein-protein interactions and, of special interest, the potential for a histone octamer-like structure within TFIID that would comprise, in the human system, the H4-like (hTAF $_{II}80$ ), the H3-like (hTAF $_{II}31$ ), and the H2B-like (hTA $F_{II}$ 20) subunits (21).

Here we describe interactions of the H2A-related hTAF $_{II}$ 135 with the H2B-related  $hTAF_{II}20$  through histone-like folds (16, 21) and the importance of this interaction for human TFIID assembly. We also report important new insights into TFIID function based on the demonstration of synergistic hTAF $_{II}$ 135-TFIIA interactions that relieve  $hTAF_{II}250$ -mediated inhibition of TBP binding and function, as well as a functional synergism between  $TAF_{II}s$  and the  $TRAP/Mediator$  complex.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolation of cDNA clone for**  $hTAF_H135$ **.** TFIID was affinity purified from either HeLa nuclear extract (NE) or a phosphocellulose (Whatman P11) fraction (0.85 KCl) of HeLa NE by use of an antibody against the N-terminal portion of hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 100 or anti-TBP antibodies, respectively (42). The 135-kDa polypeptide was excised and digested with endoproteinase Lys-C (13). Earlier sequence analysis of the purified peptides yielded several sequences that were used to design degenerate oligonucleotides and to isolate the cDNA corresponding to the truncated hTAF $_H$ 135 sequence (amino acids 239 to 1083). Subsequent  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  peptide sequence analysis revealed two additional peptides. The sequence of one of these two peptides matched the translation of a genomic DNA clone (accession number Z22478). The sequences of this genomic clone were used to obtain the missing 5' region of  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  DNA coding region. The full-length coding DNA sequence (amino acids 1 to 1083) that was obtained is identical to the one reported by Mengus et al. (30).

**Expression and purification of TFIID subunits, activators, and GST deriva**tives. The pVL derivatives for the expression of hemagglutinin  $(HA)$ -hTAF<sub>II</sub>250,  $Flag-hTAF_{II}100$ ,  $Flag-hTAF_{II}80$ ,  $Flag-hTAF_{II}55$ ,  $Flag-hTBP$ ,  $Flag-hTAF_{II}31$ , and Flag-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 28 have been described (11, 18, 19, 42). Expression pVL plasmids for Flag-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 150, Flag-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135, Flag-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20, and HA-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20 were constructed by PCR. In each case, an *Nde*I site at the N-terminal end and an appropriate restriction enzyme site at the C-terminal end following the natural stop codon were created. The large number of primers used in the PCRs has precluded description of their exact sequences, but the information is available upon request. The PCR-generated fragments were then inserted into adapter pFlag(S)-7 and pFlag (AS)-7 plasmids carrying the appropriate tag epitope (10) and subsequently subcloned into either pVL-1392 or pVL-1393 (41). Each construct was verified by sequencing.

For each TFIID subunit, an individual recombinant baculovirus was generated by cotransfecting corresponding cDNA and BacVector-3000-linearized baculovirus DNA (Novagen) into Sf9 cells. Each recombinant baculovirus was further amplified by repeated infection of Sf9 cells. For production of recombinant proteins, Sf9 cells were infected by the corresponding recombinant viruses and harvested 48 h postinfection. For the coinfection experiments, the appropriate ratio between the viruses was determined by pilot assays before the large coinfection experiments were performed. Recombinant proteins were purified from infected cells. Nuclear extracts were prepared in buffer C (20 mM Tris [pH 7.9], 20% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA) containing 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10  $\mu$ g of leupeptin per ml, and 1  $\mu$ g of pepstatin per ml, 400 mM KCl (BC400), and 0.1% NP-40 (13). Clarified extracts were subjected to the appropriate method of purification, affinity purification on anti-Flag antibody (M2 agarose; Kodak) or anti-HA antibody (12CA5 monoclonal antibody) columns, and further purified by one or two steps of ion-exchange chromatography. The recombinant proteins were more than 90% pure as judged by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Coomassie blue or silver staining.

Glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) constructs were created by inserting cDNA fragments corresponding to the different proteins and flanked, in frame, with the appropriate restriction sites into pGEX vector. GST fusion proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli*, solubilized by sonication of cells in lysis buffer (18) and removal of insoluble debris by centrifugation, and purified on glutathione-Sepharose (Pharmacia);  $1 \mu$ g of purified protein was used for each binding assay.

Bacterially expressed Flag-Gal4 fusion protein p65 was purified as described previously (18). Histidine-tagged full-length hTA $F_{II}$ 135 and hTA $F_{II}$ 135 deletion mutants were constructed and cloned in the 6HisT-pRSET vector.

**Generation of antibodies against hTAF** $_H$ **135.** A cDNA corresponding to the C-terminal portion of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  was amplified by PCR with the appropriate restriction enzymes. The PCR-generated fragment was then inserted into bacterial expression construct pET11d (Novagen), which carries the six-histidine tag. The recombinant protein was then expressed in and purified from bacteria and subjected to preparative SDS-PAGE. Gel slices containing the corresponding protein were crushed and used for immunization of two rabbits.

**Generation of hTAF** $_H$ **135 cell line.** A HeLa cell line constitutively expressing Flag-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 (f:135) was made using the pCIN4 expression vector (36).

Far Western blotting. The baculovirus-expressed and purified  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  was labeled with  $32P$  at the heart muscle kinase site present in the Flag-tagged sequence (10) by incubation with heart muscle kinase and  $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$  for 30 min at 30°C. Labeled protein was then purified through a nick column (Pharmacia) and used for protein-blot interactions as described (4).

**Gel filtration.** Purified TFIID preparations from either f:135 or f:TBP cell lines were fractionated on Superose 6 (Smart System; Pharmacia) in buffer BC200 containing 0.05% NP-40. Fractionated proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by silver staining.

**DNase I footprinting.** Plasmid pML4, containing the major late promoter, was used for DNase I footprinting as described (10). Briefly, the *Eco*RI-*Hin*dIII DNA fragment from  $pML4$  was isolated and end labeled with  $^{32}P$  by T4 polynucleotide kinase. Cleavage of the DNA fragment with *Xba*I generated a specific labeled transcribed strand. DNase footprinting reactions and the processing of the labeled products were performed essentially as described previously (10).

**In vitro RNA polymerase II transcription assays.** Nuclear extracts were prepared as previously described (12). TFIID, TFIIH, and the Flag-thyroid hormone receptor alpha (TR $\alpha$ )-TRAP complex were purified from cell lines expressing Flag-TBP, Flag-hTAF $_{II}$ 135, Flag-ERCC3, and Flag-TR $\alpha$ , respectively, using affinity purification on anti-Flag antibody columns as previously described (10, 15, 18). Flag-TR $\alpha$  and Flag-retinoid X receptor alpha (RXR $\alpha$ ) were purified from Sf9 cells as previously described (14). Native TFIIA was purified as previously described (18). For TFIIA (p55 and p12), TFIIB, TFIIE $\alpha$ , and TFIIE $\beta$ recombinant Flag-tagged proteins were expressed in and purified from *E. coli* using an anti-Flag antibody column (M2 agarose; Kodak). Histidine-tagged TBP and TFIIF subunits (RAP30 and RAP74) were prepared as described previously (18). TFIIA and TFIIF were then reconstituted from individually purified components following denaturation and renaturation (45). RNA polymerase II was purified essentially as described previously (3).

Using the purified transcription factors described above, in vitro transcription assays were carried out in  $25$ - $\mu$ l reaction mixtures containing 20 ng of pML $\Delta$ 53 or pML200 templates and 50 ng of either  $pG_5E1b$  or  $pTRE_3pML\Delta 53$  templates. All transcription factors were added simultaneously to the reactions if not indicated otherwise in the figure legends. 32P-labeled RNA was phenol-chloroform extracted, ethanol precipitated, analyzed directly by 4% polyacrylamide–urea gel electrophoresis, and visualized by autoradiography. Quantitation was done by phosphorimager.

**Partial TFIID reconstitution.** Following the method that we described earlier (18), human hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 250 or hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 20 containing a fused N-terminal HA epitope tag was immobilized on protein A-Sepharose containing covalently linked monoclonal antibodies directed against the HA epitope. After extensive washing (BC1000 with 0.1% NP-40), the beads were incubated sequentially (at 4°C for

A

B



# Autoradiography

FIG. 1. Comparative far Western assays showing strong interactions between  $TAF_{II}135$  and  $hTAF_{II}20$ . (A) Individually expressed (Sf9 cells via baculovirus vectors) and purified TFIID subunits were resolved on SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining, M, protein markers, with molecular sizes indicated in kilodaltons on the left. (B) A set of gels equivalent to those in panel A were transferred onto nitrocellulose, denatured-renatured, and used for binding with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled and purified hTAF<sub>II</sub>135. After extensive washing, bound material was analyzed by autoradiography.

4 h) with molar excesses of additional TFIID subunits. After each incubation, unbound materials were removed by several washes with 100 volumes of BC150 (with 0.1% NP-40). Finally, the resulting complex was eluted with HA peptide (1 mg/ml) in BC100 (with 0.1% NP-40).

**In vitro protein-protein interaction assays.** Sp1 activation domains A and B, TFIIA subunits p12 and p55,  $hTAF_{II}20$ , and  $hTBP$  were expressed in and purified from bacteria as GST fusion proteins. Histidine-tagged full-length hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 and hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 deletion mutant constructs were expressed in the TNT reticulocyte lysate system (Promega) and labeled with  $[35S]$ methionine according to the manufacturer's instructions. Equivalent inputs of radioactive material of full-length hTAF $_{II}$ 135 and hTAF $_{II}$ 135 deletion mutants were used for binding studies with different GST derivatives. In each reaction,  $1 \mu$ g of purified GST or GST derivative was immobilized on glutathione, and the appropriate input material was added to each reaction (in  $300$ - $\mu$ l total volume in BC300 plus 0.1% NP-40). After incubation at 4°C for 2 h, the beads were washed four times with 300  $\mu$ l of incubation buffer, and bound proteins were eluted with SDS loading buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography.

### **RESULTS**

Strong and specific interaction of  $hTAF_H135$  with  $hTAF_H20$ . The multisubunit nature of TFIID (TBP and  $TAF_{II}s$ ) and the overall stability of human TFIID suggest a multiplicity of protein-protein interactions, with any single  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$  expected to interact with a number of other subunits. To test for direct and comparative interactions of purified  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  with affinitypurified TBP and other human  $TAF_{II}$ s (hTAF $_{II}$ 250, hTAF $_{II}$ 150,  $hTAF_{II}135$ ,  $hTAF_{II}100$ ,  $hTAF_{II}80$ ,  $hTAF_{II}55$ ,  $hTAF_{II}43$ ,



FIG. 2. The extreme C-terminal portion of hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 interacts with hTAF<sub>II</sub>20. The indicated deletion mutants of hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 were [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine-labeled and incubated with  $GST- hTAF_{II}20$ . Input samples (I) contained 10% of the amount used for binding (B). The arrow indicates the band corresponding to the appropriate mutant  $hTAF_{II}135$  deletion.

 $hTAF_{II}31$ ,  $hTAF_{II}28$ ,  $hTAF_{II}20$ , and  $hTAF_{II}18$ ), purified proteins (Fig. 1A) were probed with a Flag-tagged, 32P-labeled  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  in a far Western blot. This analysis (Fig. 1B) revealed a strong interaction of  $hTAF_H135$  with  $hTAF_H20$ , which was unexpected on the basis of *Drosophila* studies (9), as well as a moderate interaction with  $hTAF_H150$  and weak interactions with hTAF $_{II}$ 250 and hTAF $_{II}$ 43. Since the interaction of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  with  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>20$  was by far the strongest among all TFIID subunits, we speculated that it might have important consequences for de novo assembly of TFIID and, in particular, the recruitment of  $hTAF_H135$ . Furthermore, although one essential feature of the original octamer-like model was the presence of two  $hTAF_{II}20$  molecules in TFIID, there was no apparent H2A-like partner for  $hTAF_H20$  (which was assumed to heterodimerize).

To test the suggestion (above) of an  $hTAF_H20$ -interacting domain within hTAF $_{II}135$  as a potential candidate for an H2A-like partner for  $hTAF_{II}20$ , radiolabeled  $hTAF_{II}135$  deletion mutants were analyzed for their ability to interact with hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20 (immobilized as a GST-hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20 fusion protein).  $hTAF_{II}$ 20 interacted with the  $hTAF_{II}$ 135 C-terminal fragment (486 to 1083), but not with the N-terminal fragment (1 to 575) (Fig. 2A). Further mapping revealed that an  $hTAF_H135$  fragment comprised of residues 486 to 896 interacts weakly with  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>20$ , whereas a fragment comprised of residues 897 to 1083 interacts strongly with  $hTAF_{II}20$  (Fig. 2B). This shows that hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20 interaction domain in hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 is located in the extreme C-terminal region. While this work was in progress, Gangloff et al. (16) showed that  $hTAF_H20$  can interact with the C-terminal portion (residues 870 to 951) of  $hTAF_{II}135$ in a yeast two-hybrid assay. Based on their mapping data between hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20 and hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135, as well as sequence alignments of H2A, hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135, hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 105, and *Drosophila* TAF $_{\text{II}}$ 110  $(dTAF<sub>II</sub>110)$ , they proposed a histone H2A-like domain for  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  in a C-terminal region encompassing amino acids 876 to 944.

Functional in vivo relevance of interaction between  $TAF_{II}135$ **and TAF** $_{\text{II}}$ **20.** To assess the physiological relevance of the interaction of  $TAF_H135$  and  $TAF_H20$  and its potential involvement in a histone octamer-like structure, we generated a cell line that stably expressed Flag-tagged  $TAF_H135$  (f:135). TFIID complexes were purified from this cell line and from a cell line expressing a Flag-tagged TBP (f:TBP). A Western blot of nuclear extract from f:135 cells revealed a level of f:135 protein expression at least fivefold higher than that of endogenous  $TAF<sub>II</sub>135$  protein (Fig. 3A). Similar Western blots using antibodies against TBP and various TAFs ( $hTAF_{II}250$ ,  $hTAF_{II}150$ ,  $hTAF_H135$ ,  $hTAF_H100$ ,  $hTAF_H80$ ,  $hTAF_H55$ ,  $hTAF_H31$ ,  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>20$ , and  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>15$ ) revealed the presence of these components both in TFIID purified from the f:135 cell line and in TFIID prepared from the f:TBP cell line (Fig. 3B). Significantly, however, there was a clear enrichment of  $hTAF_{II}20$  (and the  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>15$  isoform) in the TFIID preparation from the f:135 cell line compared to that from the f:TBP cell line when normalized to the content of TBP and other TAFs (Fig. 3B). These data are consistent with the observed in vitro interaction between hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 20 and hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135. The data further show copurification of natural endogenous  $hTAF_H135$  and exogenous Flag-hTAF $_{\text{H}}$ 135 (Fig. 3A) in the TFIID that was affinity purified (via f:135) on anti-Flag antibody columns and in the same ratio that they are expressed in the f:135 cell line (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, a Western blot with anti-Flag antibody revealed only one band, corresponding to the exogenous Flag-tagged  $TAF_{II}135$ , in the TFIID purified from the f:135 cell line and no reactive bands in TFIID purified from the f:TBP cell line (data not shown). Since there is no apparent self-association of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  (Fig. 1), this clearly indicates the presence of at least two molecules of  $hTAF_H135$  within the TFIID complex.

The enrichment of  $hTAF_{II}20$  in the TFIID preparation from the f:135 cell line could be due to an increased  $hTAF_H135$ occupancy in the TFIID and/or to the simple association of  $hTAF_{II}$ 20 with a fraction of overexpressed Flag-hTAF $_{II}$ 135 protein that is purified with, but not incorporated into, TFIID. To test this, we further fractionated purified TFIID complexes from f:135 and f:TBP cell lines on Superose 6 (Smart System). Silver staining of the Superose 6 fractions from the f:135 cellderived TFIID revealed two  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$ -containing peaks (Fig. 3D). The first peak eluted at a position corresponding to a size



FIG. 3. At least two molecules of  $TAF_{II}135$  coexist in TFIID. (A) Western blot analysis was performed using anti-hTAF $_{II}135$  (lanes 1 and 2) and anti-Flag antibodies (lanes 3 and 4) to probe SDS-PAGE-resolved proteins in nuclear extracts. Both the endogenous hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 (open arrow) and Flag-TAF<sub>II</sub>135 (solid) are present in nuclear extract (NE) prepared from the Flag-TAF<sub>II</sub>135 (f:135) cell line (lane 1), whereas only the endogenous hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 band is present (lane 2) in NE from the Flag-TBP (f:TBP) cell line. (B) Comparison of TBP and TAF<sub>II</sub> expression in the TFIID purified from f:TBP and f:135 cell lines. As indicated, increasing amounts (microliters) of purified TFIID from either cell line were analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies generated against each indicated TFIID subunit. (C) Western blot analysis was performed using anti $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  antibody. The endogenous  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  (open arrow) and Flag-hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 (solid arrow) proteins coexist in the purified TFIID prepared from the f:135 cell line (lane 2). In the TFIID purified from the f:TBP cell line, only the endogenous  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  (open arrow) is detected (lanes 1 and 3). (D and E) Indicated fractions, obtained from gel filtration on Superose 6, of purified TFIID from f:TBP and f:135 cell lines, respectively, were resolved by SDS-PAGE and silver stained.

greater than 1 MDa (Fig. 3D, fraction 10) and coincided exactly with the single peak obtained upon fractionation of TFIID purified from the f:TBP cell line (Fig. 3E, fraction 10). It also contained TBP and a normal complement of TAFs and

thus corresponds to TFIID. Significantly, however, the TFIID preparation from the f:135 cell line showed an increased occupancy of both hTAF $_{II}$ 135 and hTAF $_{II}$ 20 with respect to TBP (and other TAFs such as  $TAF_{II}100$  and  $TAF_{II}80$ ) compared to



FIG. 4. Role of  $TAF_{II}135-HTAF_{II}20$  interactions in human TFIID assembly. Partially reconstituted TFIID species were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by silver staining. The complexes were assembled with purified subunits that were individually expressed in Sf9 cells via baculovirus vectors (see text). Lane 1 contained TBP alone. Complexes analyzed in other lanes contained hTBP-hTAF $_{II}$ 250 (lane 2), hTBP-TAF $_{II}$ 250-TAF<sub>II</sub>135 (lane 3), hTBP-TAF<sub>II</sub>250-TAF<sub>II</sub>260-TAF<sub>II</sub>31, to which added TAF<sub>II</sub>135 failed to bind in a stoichiometric manner (lane 4), hTBP- $TAF_{II}$ 250-TAF<sub>II</sub>80-TAF<sub>II</sub>31-hTAF<sub>II</sub>20 (lane 5), hTBP-TAF<sub>II</sub>250-hTAF<sub>II</sub>135-hTAF<sub>II</sub>30-hTAF<sub>II</sub>31-hTAF<sub>II</sub>20 (lane 6), and hTBP-TAF<sub>II</sub>250hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 135-hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 100-hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 80-hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 31-hTAF $_{\rm II}$ 20 (lane 7).

the TFIID from the f:TBP cell line (Fig. 3D, fraction 10, versus Fig. 3E, fraction 10). Apart from background proteins, the second peak from the Superose 6 fractionation contained mainly  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  and  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>20$  and eluted at a position corresponding to a size of about 600 kDa; this suggests that  $hTAF_{II}135$ and  $hTAF_{II}20$  can form a stable oligomer (possibly a tetramer) in vivo.

Role of  $TAF_{II}20$  and  $TAF_{II}135$  interaction in human TFIID **assembly.** The interaction of  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$ , through its histone fold, with the H2B-related  $hTAF_H20$  constitutes a novel histone-like pair that parallels the well-characterized H3-related  $hTAF_{II}31$  and H4-related  $hTAF_{II}80$  pair. This is consistent with the possibility of a histone octamer-like substructure within TFIID and a central role for TAF-TAF interactions through histone-like folds in TFIID assembly. In this regard, we now show that the interaction between hTAF $_{II}$ 20 and hTAF $_{II}$ 135 is critical for TFIID assembly in vitro. By use of an earlier-described method for the assembly of human TFIID (18), several combinations of human TAFs and TBP were assembled on an immobilized HA epitope-tagged hTAF $_{II}$ 250 or hTAF $_{II}$ 20 and eluted with HA peptides. Although  $hTAF_H135$  does assemble with the  $TAF_{II}250-TBP$  complex in a stoichiometric fashion (Fig. 4, lanes 2 and 3), the subsequent addition of other  $TAF_{II}s$  $(hTAF<sub>II</sub>150, hTAF<sub>II</sub>100, and hTAF<sub>II</sub>80) resulted in the disso$ ciation of previously bound  $hTAF_H135$  (data not shown). In addition,  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  failed to stably associate with a preformed complex comprised of immobilized hTAF $_{II}$ 250, TBP, hTAF $_{II}$ 80, and hTAF $_{II}$ 31 (Fig. 4, lane 4), indicating that such an association requires an additional TAF(s). In this regard, addition to the TFIID assembly mixture of  $hTAF_H20$ , along with the histone H3- and H4-like  $TAF_{II}$ s (hTA $F_{II}$ 31 and hTA $F_{II}$ 80), greatly facilitated the incorporation of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  (Fig. 4, lane 6 versus lane 5) and, subsequently,  $hTAF_H100$  (Fig. 4, lane 7). It is also possible that  $hTAF_{II}135$  gets incorporated into de novoassembled TFIID as a complex with  $hTAF_{II}20$ . These results show a requirement for  $hTAF_{II}20$ , along with other histone fold-containing TAFs ( $hTAF_H31$  and  $hTAF_H80$ ), for the stable incorporation of  $hTAF_{II}135$  into assembling TFIID, which is

consistent with the in vivo data obtained with the f:135 cell line (Fig. 3). Our data clearly demonstrate a new pathway for the assembly of human TFIID that stresses the importance of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135-hTAF<sub>II</sub>20$  interactions and is thus distinct from the pathway proposed for *Drosophila* TFIID. In the latter case, earlier assembly studies reported a simple association of  $dTAF<sub>II</sub>110$ (*Drosophila* homologue of hTAF $_{II}135$ ) with a TBP-dTAF $_{II}250$ complex, followed by sequential association of  $dTAF<sub>II</sub>150$  and the smaller *Drosophila* TAFs (9).

 $TAF_{II}135$  interacts with TFIIA subunits. In light of previously described interactions of the *Drosophila* homologue of hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135, dTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 110, with general factor TFIIA and with activation domains of Sp1 (20, 46), we examined protein-protein interactions of  $hTAF_H135$  with individual TFIIA subunits and with Sp1 activation domains A and B. The individual p35, p19, and p12 subunits of TFIIA, the p55 precursor of p35 and p19, and Sp1 domains A and B were expressed as GST fusion proteins and used for solution interaction studies with [35S]methionine-radiolabeled full-length hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135. The results show that hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 interacts with both the unprocessed TFIIA p55 and the derived p35 subunit, but not with the derived p19 subunit, and with the p12 subunit (Fig. 5A). Further studies with several deletion mutants of  $hTAF_H135$  (Fig. 5B) revealed interactions of both TFIIA p55 (Fig. 5C) and TFIIA p12 (Fig. 5D) with the extreme C-terminal region (897 to 1083) of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$ .

Functional relevance of TAF<sub>II</sub>135 interactions with TFIIA. To assess the functional significance of the observed  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135-$ TFIIA interactions, and because TFIIA can potentiate the binding of TBP to DNA (for reviews, see references 35 and 37), we asked whether  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135-TFIIA$  interactions could affect the binding activity of TBP within a minimal complex containing only TBP,  $hTAF_H250$ , and  $hTAF_H135$ . To this end we employed DNase footprinting to compare binding of TBP $hTAF_{II}250$  and TBP-hTAF<sub>II</sub>250-hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 in both the absence and the presence of purified natural TFIIA. We also used TBP alone for binding experiments as a control. First, in the absence of TFIIA, limiting amounts of TBP alone bound weakly but specifically to the TATA box region of the adenovirus A

B

C

D

**TAF**<sub>II</sub>135  $(1-140)$  $(1-378)$  $(1-575)$  $(238 - 486)$  $(238 - 763)$  $(238-1083)$  $(486 - 896)$  $(486 - 1083)$  $(897-1083)$ 

GST-Sp1B GST-Sp1A GST-IIA12 3ST-IIA19 **GRI-185** 3ST-IIA55  $GST-Tat$ **TUAN**  $35$ TAF $\parallel$ 135  $\perp$  $(238 - 1083)$  $(238 - 486)$  $(238 - 763)$ 897-1083 486-1083  $(486 - 896)$ **TAF**<sub>II</sub>135  $(1-378)$  $(1-575)$  $(1-140)$ 897-1083) 486-1083) 238-1083)  $(238 - 763)$  $(238 - 486)$ 486-896) **TAF<sub>II</sub>135**  $(1-140)$  $(1-378)$  $(1-575)$ 

FIG. 5. Strong in vitro interactions between hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 and TFIIA subunits. (A) Equivalent amounts of full-length  $[^{35}S]$ methionine-labeled hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 were incubated with the indicated GST derivatives (1  $\mu$ g of each). After extensive washing, bound proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by autoradiography. Input samples contained 10% of the amount used for binding. The arrow indicates the band corresponding to full length hTAF<sub>II</sub>135. (B) Deletion mutants of hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 that were generated, [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine labeled, and subsequently used for interaction studies (C and D). Equivalent amounts of  $[^{35}S]$ methionine-labeled full length hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 and different deletion mutants were incubated with either GST-IIAp55 subunit (C) or GST-IIAp12 subunit (D). After washing, bound material was processed as in A.

major late promoter (Fig. 6A, lane 3 versus lane 2). As expected, the addition of TFIIA greatly potentiated TBP binding (Fig. 6A, lane 4 versus lane 3). Second, in the absence of TFIIA, the TBP-hTAF $_{II}$ 250 and TBP-hTAF $_{II}$ 250-hTAF $_{II}$ 135 complexes both failed to show significant binding to either the TATA box region or the downstream region of the adenovirus major late promoter (Fig. 6A, lane 5 versus lane 2, and Fig. 6B, lanes 3 and 5 versus lane 2). This reflects the well-documented inability of TBP, when complexed to  $hTAF_{II}250$  in the absence of a complete set of TAF $_{\text{II}}$ s, to efficiently bind DNA (8, 23, 27, 33). Whereas addition of TFIIA to the TBP-TA $F_{II}$ 250 complex only marginally increased binding to DNA (Fig. 6A, lane 6 versus lane 5, and Fig. 6B, lane 4 versus lane 3), addition of TFIIA to the TBP-hTAF $_{II}$ 250-hTAF $_{II}$ 135 complex, which



A



FIG. 6. hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 and TFIIA synergize to specifically relieve the hTAF<sub>II</sub>250-mediated inhibition of TBP binding. DNase I footprinting of hTBP, hTBP-hTAF<sub>II</sub>250, and hTBP-TAF<sub>II</sub>250-TAF<sub>II</sub>135. The transcribed DNA template spanning positions -91 to +85 of the adenovirus major late promoter was prepared. The Maxam-Gilbert sequencing method was used to prepare the G/A footprinting marker (lanes A1 and B1). No protein (lanes A2 and B2), hTBP (2.5 ng for lanes A2 and A3), hTBP-hTAF $_{11}$ 250 (10 ng of TBP content for lanes A5, A6, B3, and B4), hTBP-TAF<sub>II</sub>250-TAF<sub>II</sub>135 (10 ng of TBP content for lanes B5 and B6). Purified TFIIA was added to reactions corresponding to lanes A4, A6, A7, B4, and B6.

alone showed no binding (Fig. 6B, lane 5), led to a strong binding of TBP to the TATA region (Fig. 6B, lane 6 versus lane 5). This clearly shows a synergy between TFIIA and  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  that specifically relieves the inhibitory function of  $hTAF_{II}250$  on TBP binding. These results are relevant to the natural TFIID, since the binding of natural TFIID on the adenovirus major late promoter is potentiated by TFIIA (data not shown). Furthermore, we have shown that a complex composed of  $TAF_{II}250$ ,  $TAF_{II}80$ , and TBP failed to cooperate with TFIIA to relieve  $TAF_{II}250$ -mediated inhibition of TBP binding, thus demonstrating that the action of  $TAF<sub>II</sub>135$  and  $TFIIA$ is specific (data not shown).

**Effects of TFIIA on basal and activated transcription in the presence of TFIID and partial TFIID complexes.** To study the effects of  $TAF_{II}s$  on basal and activated transcription, we compared the effects of equimolar amounts (based on TBP

content) of f-TFIID and partial TFIID complexes. Partial in vitro-assembled TFIID species that contained  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  in addition to TBP-TA $F_{II}$ 250 were designed to test the potential core promoter and coactivator functions of  $hTAF_H135$ . The transcription system consisted of recombinant and highly purified transcription factors from HeLa cells. The ability of this system to support both basal and activator-dependent transcription was tested simultaneously by using two templates whose correctly initiated G-less transcripts could be differentiated by their size. The activator-responsive template contained three thyroid hormone-responsive elements (TRE) upstream of the adenovirus major late promoter TATA box and natural initiator regions, and activation was mediated by TR, isolated in association with TRAP complex (14), and RXR. First, there was a higher basal activity with the TBP-TA $F_{II}$ 250- $TAF<sub>II</sub>135$  complex compared to the TBP-TAF $_{II}250$  complex





FIG. 7. Effect of TFIIA on basal and  $TR\alpha$ -TRAP-activated transcription in the presence of TFIID and partial TFIID complexes. (A) The TFIID-dependent transcription system was supplemented with hTBP-TAF $_{\text{II}}$ 250 (lanes 1 to 2 and 7 to 8), hTBP-TAF $_{\text{II}}$ 250-TAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 (lanes 3, 4, 9, and 10), and f-TFIID (lanes 5, 6, 11, and 12). Added amounts of TFIID and partial TFIID complexes contained the same amount of TBP (4 ng). Transcription was tested in the absence (lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11) or presence (lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12) of 80 ng of TR $\alpha$ -TRAP complex (12 ng of TR $\alpha$ ). Recombinant TFIIA was added to lanes 7 to 12. The  $TR\alpha$ -responsive template was TRE<sub>3</sub>ML $\Delta$ 53 (50 ng), and the control template consisted of 20 ng of pML200, which contains the major late core promoter. Relative transcriptional activities (quantitated by phosphorimager analysis) from the TR-responsive template were 1 (lanes 1, 5, and 11), 2 (lane 3), 2.4 (lane 7), 4.6 (lane 9), 17 (lane 2), 24 (lane 4), 28 (lanes 8 and 10), 30 (lane 6), and 56 (lane 12). (B) Transcription was performed as in A except that f-TFIID was added to lanes 1, 2, 5, and 6 and TBP was added to lanes 3, 4, 7, and 8. Recombinant TFIIA was added to lanes 5 to 8. Relative transcriptional activities from the TR-responsive template were 1 (lane 3), 1.3 (lanes 1 and 5), 3 (lane 7), 11 (lane 8), 14 (lane 4), 42 (lane 2), and 56 (lane 6). The lower band, below the arrow indicating pML200, is a transcript generated from the activator-responsive template.

(Fig. 7A, lane 3 versus lane 1). This could be due, at least in part, to the above-described (Fig. 6) ameliorative effect of  $TAF_H$ 135 on the  $TAF_H$ 250-mediated repression of TBP binding to DNA, especially since these effects are more apparent in the presence of TFIIA (below). Second, basal transcription in the presence of the complete TFIID was totally repressed as a result of the strong repressive effect of the TAFs as a group on TBP function (Fig. 7A, lane 5 versus lanes 1 and 3). Thus, the complete complement of TAFs in TFIID appear to conditionally constrain the ability of  $TAF_H135$  to reverse the  $TAF_H250$ mediated repression of TBP function in basal transcription, but it is possible that this potential is reactivated in the presence of transcriptional activators. Third, and significantly, the absolute levels of TR-TRAP-activated transcription were slightly higher with TFIID than with the two partial complexes (Fig. 7A, lane 6 versus lanes 4 and 2). In addition, the fold activation (activated/basal transcription ratio) was much higher with TFIID

than with the partial TFIID complexes, due mainly to the potent inhibitory effect of the TAFs as a group, in the complete TFIID, on TBP function in basal transcription (for quantitation, see legend to Fig. 7).

Because of the observed stimulatory effect of TFIIA on binding of the partial TFIID complexes to the major later promoter, we next asked whether TFIIA could affect basal and/or activated transcription by the intact and partial TFIID complexes tested above. Whereas addition of TFIIA with TBP $hTAF_{II}$ 250 and TBP-hTA $F_{II}$ 250-hTA $F_{II}$ 135 increased basal activity for these complexes (Fig. 7A, lanes 7 and 9 versus lanes 1 and 3, respectively), addition with TFIID had no discernible effect on the very low basal activity observed in its absence (Fig. 7A, lane 11 versus lane 5). Furthermore, TFIIA slightly potentiated the activated transcription observed with intact TFIID but had no apparent effect on the absolute levels of activated transcription observed with partial TFIID complexes (Fig. 7A, lanes 8, 10, and 12 versus 2, 4, and 6, respectively). These findings indicate a direct correlation between the effects of TFIIA on both the binding (Fig. 6) and the basal transcription of partial TFIID complexes (Fig. 7). Moreover, like the functional assays in the absence of TFIIA (above), they also point to roles both for  $hTAF_H135$  and for other TAFs in modulating core promoter function. Thus, it is again apparent that the positive effect of  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  observed in the partial complex is constrained by the full complement of TAFs within TFIID and possibly utilized in this context only in activated transcription. Although these studies with  $TAF<sub>II</sub>135$  were performed in the absence of its histone fold partner,  $TAF<sub>II</sub>20$ , similar results were observed when a TBP-TAF $_{II}$ 250-TAF $_{II}$ 135- $TAF_{II}20$  complex was analyzed (data not shown). Thus, our analysis has allowed us to assign  $TAF_{II}20$ -independent functions to  $TAF<sub>II</sub>135$ .

Since the experiments described above were performed with either natural TFIID or partial TFIID complexes, we next asked whether TBP alone could mediate activated transcription in the presence of the TR-TRAP complex in this highly purified transcription system. To this end we compared the ability of TBP and TFIID (at approximately equimolar TBP concentrations based on quantitative Western blot analysis) to mediate TR-TRAP complex-activated transcription. The TR-TRAP complex (in conjunction with RXR) activated transcription more than 30-fold in the presence of natural TFIID and 13-fold in the presence of TBP alone (Fig. 7B, lane 2 versus lane 1 and lane 4 versus lane 3, respectively). These findings, along with our previously published data (15), indicate that TAFs are not unconditionally required for a significant level of activated transcription at the level of free DNA template and that TRAPs might fulfill functional roles analogous or redundant to those performed by the TAFs in natural TFIID. Finally, and consistent with its effect on TBP binding (Fig. 6), TFIIA increased the basal activity obtained with TBP alone (Fig. 7B, lane 7 versus lane 3), but was without effect on the low basal activity with TFIID (Fig. 7B, lane 5 versus lane 1) or the absolute levels of TR-TRAP-mediated activity of either TBP (Fig. 7B, lane 8 versus lane 4) or TFIID (Fig. 7B, lane 6 versus lane 2). This results in a much higher fold stimulation by TR-TRAPs with TFIID than with TBP and is of significance because TFIID is the natural form of the TATA-binding factor



FIG. 8. Synergy of  $TAF_{II}s$  with the TRAP complex. The TFIIDdependent transcription system was supplemented with either f-TFIID (lanes 1 to 4) or hTBP (lanes 5 to 8). TRAP complex was added to lanes 3, 4, 7, and 8. Transcription was tested in the absence (lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7) or presence (lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8) of 20 ng of purified fGAL-p65. Added amounts of f-TFIID and TBP alone contained the same amount of TBP (4 ng). The test template contains the adenovirus E1b TATA and initiator regions and consisted of 50 ng of  $pG_5E1b$ template. The control template consisted of 20 ng of  $\bar{p}ML\Delta 53$  and contains the major late core promoter sequence. Relative transcriptional activities from the fGAL4p65-responsive template were: 1 (lanes 5 and 7), 3 (lane 2), 10 (lanes 6 and 8), and 14 (lane 4). Basal levels of transcription with TFIID, either with or without TRAPs (lanes 3 and 1, respectively), were too low to be determined, in contrast to the low but measurable levels of basal transcription with TBP (lanes 5 and 7). The lower band, below the arrow indicating pML $\Delta$ 53, is a transcript generated from the activator-responsive template.

and since basal (activator-independent) transcription activities are not observed physiologically.

**Functional synergism between the TRAP complex and** TAF<sub>II</sub>s. Since the above experiments concerned activated transcription in the presence of the activator TR and the interacting TRAP complex, we next asked whether the TRAP complex, which has been shown to mediate the function of many activators in concert with other positive cofactors such as PC4 (reviewed in reference 28), would exhibit synergistic or redundant functions with the TAFs in the presence of a different activator, fGAL4p65. fGAL4p65 consists of the DNA-binding domain (amino acids 1 to 94) of *S. cerevisiae* GAL4 fused to the potent C-terminal acidic activation domain of the  $NF-\kappa B$  p65 subunit. First, to study the effects of  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$ s on basal and activated transcription, we compared the effects of equimolar amounts (based on TBP content) of TBP and f-TFIID on both basal and fGAL4p65-activated transcription in the assay system described above. The test template contained five GAL4 binding sites upstream of the adenovirus E1b TATA and natural Inr regions. Basal transcription was assayed on a template containing only the adenovirus major late core promoter sequence and in a system reconstituted with general transcription factors and the general coactivator. In this assay, and in the absence of TRAPs, fGAL4-p65 strongly activated transcription in the presence of either natural TFIID or TBP (Fig. 8, lane 2 versus lane 1 and lane 6 versus lane 5, respectively; for quantitation, see figure legend). While absolute levels of activated transcription on the E1b promoter were threefold higher with TBP than with TFIID (Fig. 8, lane 6 versus lane 2), basal activity was significantly less with TFIID than with TBP alone (Fig. 8, lane 5 versus lane 1; see figure legend). This leads to a fold activation (activation/basal transcription ratio) that is actually higher for TFIID than for TBP alone. It is important to note that this high level of induction in the presence of TFIID is due to the potent inhibitory effect of TAFs as a group, within the complete TFIID, on TBP basal activity and the partial reversal of these effects by the activator (18).

In this assay system, addition of the TRAP complex had no apparent effect on basal activity with either TFIID or TBP (Fig. 8, lane 3 versus lane 1 and lane 7 versus lane 5, respectively). Most significantly, however, the TRAP complex strongly (circa fivefold) enhanced the absolute level of activated transcription (mediated by GAL4p65) with TFIID (Fig. 8, lane 4 versus lane 2), while having no effect on the absolute level of activated transcription with TBP alone (Fig. 8, lane 8 versus lane 6). Moreover, in the presence of the TRAP complex, the absolute level of activated transcription was slightly higher with TFIID than with TBP (Fig. 7A, lane 4 versus lane 8). Thus, these results establish, for the first time, a synergism between the TRAP complex and the  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$ s that are naturally present in TFIID and, at least in some cases, inhibitory to the function of TBP. It is important to note that while activation is readily observed in the absence of both TAFs and TRAPs, the TAFs lower basal transcription to a more physiological level and, in doing so also elicit a requirement for TRAPs both for a high absolute level of activated transcription and for a high induction ratio.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$  subunits of TFIID have been implicated both as targets for gene-specific activators (reviewed in references 7 and 43) and as modulators (negative and positive) of TFIID binding to diverse core promoter elements (for a review, see reference 38). Although not generally essential for basal transcription directed by TATA elements in core promoters, TAFs are essential for the function of other core promoter elements (initiator and downstream promoter element) either alone or in conjunction with the TATA elements (reviewed in references 17 and 38). As part of our ongoing effort to understand the assembly, structure, and function of human TFIID, we have focused, subsequent to cognate cDNA cloning and expression, on structure-function studies of hTAF $_{II}$ 135. We report both extended and novel functions of  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  that include a critical role, dependent upon histone fold interactions, in TFIID assembly; a synergistic interaction with TFIIA that relieves the well-documented  $hTAF_H250$ -mediated inhibition of TBP binding and function (8, 23, 27, 33); and contributions to a functional synergy between TAFs and the human TRAP/Mediator complex in transcriptional activation. These findings support an increasing appreciation of TAFs as multifunctional components and TFIID as a dynamic complex subject to a variety of internal and external controls.

hTAF<sub>II</sub>135-hTAF<sub>II</sub>20 interactions, through the histone folds, **are critical for human TFIID assembly.** Taken together, the interaction and mapping data obtained with the baculovirusexpressed proteins and the biochemical characterization of the immunopurified TFIID from the Flag-tagged  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  cell line show that  $hTAF_H135$  and  $hTAF_H20$  interact, through histone-like folds (16), to form a complex that coexists in TFIID. The multimeric nature of this complex, presumably a heterotetramer, within TFIID is suggested by the presence of at least two molecules each of hTAF $_{II}$ 20 (21) and hTAF $_{II}$ 135 (this report) in a single TFIID species. This  $hTAF_H135-hTAF_H20$ complex may be involved, together with the H4-like  $hTAF_{II}80$ 

and the H3-like hTA $F_{II}$ 31, in the formation of an octamer-like substructure, as previously proposed for the human TFIID (21). Other human TAFs, such as  $TAF_H28$  (H3-like) and  $TAF_H18$ (H4-like), have also been shown to contain histone folds (2) and to interact strongly with one another (29).

The presence within TFIID of multiple TAFs with histone folds points to the role of this fold in stable protein-protein interactions between TAFs. Furthermore, and more significantly, we have shown that the  $hTAF_H135-hTAF_H20$  interaction is critical for human TFIID assembly, since this interaction helps stabilize the recruitment of  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$ , along with the other histone-like  $TAF_{II}S$  (hTAF<sub>II</sub>80, hTAF<sub>II</sub>31 and hTAF<sub>II</sub>20), to an hTAF $_{II}$ 250-TBP complex. This core complex is competent to recruit other TAFs, such as  $hTAF_H100$ , which may play a role in the stabilization of histone-like TAF complexes (42), and  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>150$ . Our data on the assembly of the human TFIID indicate a novel pathway for the assembly of TFIID that is distinct from the one reported for the assembly of *Drosophila* TFIID (9) and point to important roles played by the histonelike motifs in this process. The conservation of this mechanism is suggested by the presence of hTAF $_{II}$ 20, hTAF $_{II}$ 31, PAF65 $\alpha$ (homologue of hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 80), PAF65 $\beta$  (homologue of hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 100), and  $hTAF_H100$  in human PCAF and GCN5 complexes and the presence of the *S. cerevisiae* homologues of  $hTAF_{II}20$ ,  $hTAF_{II}31$ , hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 80, and hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 100 in the *S. cerevisiae* SAGA complex (6).

hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 interacts with TFIIA to specifically relieve hTAF<sub>II</sub>250-mediated inhibition of TBP binding and function. We have shown that  $hTAF_H135$  interacts with two (the largest and the smallest) of the three subunits of TFIIA. These data confirm and extend an earlier observation of an interaction of  $dTAF<sub>II</sub>110$ , the *Drosophila* homologue of  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$ , with the large subunit of *Drosophila* TFIIA (46). A more detailed analysis with hTAF $_{II}$ 135 deletion mutants further shows that the human TFIIA subunit interactions are mediated through the C-terminal portion of  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$ . Most importantly, from a functional standpoint, an analysis with highly purified TFIIA and in vitro-assembled TFIID subspecies has shown that the interaction of TFIIA with  $hTAF_H135$  has a critical role in relieving hTAF $_{II}$ 250-mediated repression. Thus, these studies with partial TFIID species have revealed an internal mechanism involving  $hTAF_H135$  that could be used in a structurally dynamic natural TFIID to facilitate the transition from  $TAF_{II}$ mediated repression to activation. This leads to speculation that hTAF $_{\text{II}}$ 135 may be a direct or indirect (e.g., via TFIIA) target for factors that use it to relieve repression during activated transcription. Although TFIIA was shown to have a role in countering inhibitory interactions of the amino terminus of the *S. cerevisiae* TAF<sub>II</sub>145 (the *S. cerevisiae* homologue of the human hTAF $_{II}$ 250) with TBP (24), our observations are the first to show a synergism between TFIIA and a specific  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$ subunit in relieving  $hTAF_{II}250$ -mediated repression. They further demonstrate new core promoter functions for both TFIIA and  $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$ .

 $TAF<sub>II</sub>135$  core promoter function. In the context of TFIID,  $TAF_{II}s$  appear to have coactivator functions mainly on the basis of in vitro studies with metazoan factors (for reviews, see references 38 and 43), whereas core promoter-selective functions are evident from in vivo studies in *S. cerevisiae* (for a review, see reference 17) and from both in vivo and in vitro

studies in metazoans (for review, see reference 38). The transcriptional requirement of  $TAF_{II}s$  was assessed originally in purified (reconstituted) cell-free systems in which TBP alone efficiently supported basal but not activator-mediated transcription for several activators. Since TFIID supported both basal and activated transcription in vitro, one or more  $TAF_{II}$ s appeared to have a critical coactivator function under the conditions employed. These results, the demonstrations of in vitro interactions between activation domains and isolated  $TAF_{11}S$ , and studies with partial (reconstituted) TFIID complexes led to the proposal, consistent with earlier demonstrations of qualitative and quantitative effects of activators on TFIID binding, that  $TAF_{II}s$  are direct targets for activators (reviewed in references 7 and 43). The prototype for this paradigm was the activator Sp1 with its proposed "obligate" direct target,  $dTAF_{II}110$ , the *Drosophila* homologue of hTAF<sub>II</sub>135 (9, 20).

Here we have shown that the partial TFIID complexes TBP $hTAF_{II}250$  and TBP-hTAF<sub>II</sub>250-hTAF<sub>II</sub>135, like TBP alone (15), can mediate robust activated transcription by the TR-TRAP complex. However, the TBP-TA $F_{II}$ 250-hTA $F_{II}$ 135 complex mediates higher basal transcription than the TBP-TAF $_{II}$ 250 complex, and this effect of  $hTAF_H135$  can be potentiated by TFIIA on the adenovirus major late promoter. Interestingly, similar data for basal transcription were also obtained with partial TFIID complexes using a different core promoter with Sp1-responsive elements (data not shown). Furthermore, the level (fold stimulation) of natural Sp1-activated transcription was comparable for TBP-hTA $F_{II}$ 250 and TBP-hTA $F_{II}$ 250 $hTAF<sub>II</sub>135$  complexes and fivefold lower than that obtained with natural TFIID (data not shown). Taken together, our data underscore a role of  $hTAF<sub>u</sub>135$  in core promoter function rather than a coactivator function both for TR-TRAP and for Sp1, at least as assayed in these partial TFIID complexes. However, it is possible that  $hTAF<sub>H</sub>135$  also exhibits a coactivator function, alone or in concert with other TAFs, in natural TFIID. In this regard, it is important to emphasize that natural TFIID promotes relative (fold stimulation) and absolute levels of activation that are higher than those obtained either with TBP alone or with partial TFIID complexes. Natural TFIID achieves this both by inhibiting basal transcription and, in the presence of activator, by reversing this inhibitory effect along with an additional net increase in activation (18). As discussed earlier, the ability of  $hTAF_H135$  (with TFIIA) to relieve hTAF $_{II}$ 250-mediated inhibition of TBP function in basal transcription, although constrained in natural TFIID relative to partial TFIID complexes, may nonetheless be utilized in TFIID-mediated transcription in response to an activator.

Synergy of TAF<sub>II</sub>s with TRAP/Mediator complex. The Mediator complex has emerged as a major conduit for communication between gene-specific regulatory factors and the general transcription machinery in both *S. cerevisiae* (reviewed in references 26 and 32) and human (reviewed in reference 28). Given the observation that individual  $TAF_{II}s$  are not universally required for transcription activation in either *S. cerevisiae* or metazoans, as well as the function of some activators in the absence of TFIID-specific  $TAF_{II}s$  in certain cell-free systems from both metazoans and *S. cerevisiae*, a question of increasing importance is whether the  $TAF_{II}s$  may have either redundant or synergistic effects with other prominent coactivators, such as the Mediator.

Here, we have shown that TRAP/Mediator complex synergizes strongly with TAFs in the complete TFIID to potentiate  $TAF<sub>II</sub>$ -mediated activated transcription. Thus, by using intact TFIID, partial TFIID complexes, and TBP, we have dissociated two major functions of TAFs in transcription regulation: intrinsic repressive effects on TBP binding and function that may be core promoter specific and activator-dependent coactivator functions that lead to the reversal of the repressive effects and a large concomitant increase in activation (manifested as synergy with the TRAP/Mediator complex). Because of the above-mentioned properties of TAFs, both the relative (fold stimulation) and absolute levels of activation in the presence of TFIID are usually much higher than those observed in the presence of TBP alone and thus recapitulate more closely the in vivo situation. It is important to note that while activation is observed with TBP, the basal levels are high and the levels of induction (activation/basal transcription ratio) are low; the effect of TAFs is both to lower basal transcription to a more physiological level and, in doing so, to elicit a TRAP requirement for simultaneously reversing the inhibition and effecting high absolute levels of activated transcription plus high levels of induction. Therefore, the presence of all TAFs in the natural TFIID increases the dynamic range of transcription regulation, and TAFs (as a group) can serve as both negative and positive cofactors. TAFs also may be subject to regulation (e.g., setting basal or activated levels) by other interacting cofactors, such as PC4 and the TRAP/Mediator.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank C.-M. Chiang for the pVL-HA-TA $F_{II}$ 20 expression plasmid and S. Malik for critical comments on the manuscript.

This work was supported by NIH grants CA 42567 and AI 37327 to R.G.R.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Andel, F. III, A. G. Ladurner, C. Inouye, R. Tjian, and E. Nogales.** 1999. Three-dimensional structure of the human TFIID-IIA-IIB complex. Science **286:**2153–2156.
- 2. **Birck, C., O. Poch, C. Romier, M. Ruff, G. Mengus, A. C. Lavigne, I. Davidson, and D. Moras.** 1998. Human TAF(II)28 and TAF(II)18 interact through a histone fold encoded by atypical evolutionary conserved motifs also found in the SPT3. Cell **94:**239–249.
- 3. **Bitter, G. A.** 1983. Purification of DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Anal. Biochem. **128:**294–310.
- 4. **Blanar, M. A., and W. J. Rutter.** 1992. Interaction cloning: identification of a helix-loop-helix zipper protein that interacts with c-Fos. Science **256:**1014– 1018.
- 5. **Brand, M., C. Leurent, V. Mallouh, L. Tora, and P. Schultz.** 1999. Threedimensional structures of the TAFII-containing complexes TFIID and TFTC. Science **286:**2151–2153.
- 6. **Brown, C. E., T. D. Lechner, L. Howe, and J. L. Workman.** 2000. The many HATs of transcription coactivators. Trends Biochem. Sci. **25:**15–19.
- 7. **Burley, S. K., and R. G. Roeder.** 1996. Biochemistry and structural biology of transcription factor IID (TFIID). Annu. Rev. Biochem. **65:**769–799.
- 8. **Burley, S. K., and R. G. Roeder.** 1998. TATA box mimicry by TFIID: autoinhibition of pol II transcription. Cell **94:**551–553.
- 9. **Chen, J.-L., L. D. Attardi, C. P. Verrijzer, K. Yokomori, and R. Tjian.** 1994. Assembly of recombinant TFIID reveals differential coactivator requirements for distinct transcriptional activators. Cell **79:**93–105.
- 10. **Chiang, C.-M., H. Ge, Z. Wang, A. Hoffmann, and R. G. Roeder.** 1993. Unique TATA-binding protein-containing complexes and cofactors involved in transcription by RNA polymerase II and III. EMBO J. **12:**2749–2762.
- 11. **Chiang, C.-M., and R. G. Roeder.** 1995. Cloning of an intrinsic human TFIID subunit that interacts with multiple transcriptional activators. Science **267:** 531–536.
- 12. **Dignam, J. D., R. M. Lebovitz, and R. G. Roeder.** 1983. Accurate transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II in a soluble extract from isolated mammalian nuclei. Nucleic Acids Res. **11:**1475–1489.
- 13. **Fernandez, J., L. Andrews, and S. M. Mische.** 1994. An improved procedure for enzymatic digestion of polyvinylidene difluoride-bound proteins for in-

ternal sequence analysis. Anal. Biochem. **218:**112–117.

- 14. **Fondell, J. D., H. Ge, and R. G. Roeder.** 1996. Ligand induction of a transcriptionally active thyroid hormone receptor coactivator complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93:**8329–8333.
- 15. **Fondell, J. D., M. Guermah, S. Malik, and R. G. Roeder.** 1999. Thyroid hormone receptor-associated proteins and general positive cofactors mediate thyroid hormone receptor function in the absence of the TATA boxbinding protein-associated factors of TFIID. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96:** 1959–1964.
- 16. **Gangloff, Y. G., S. Werten, C. Romier, L. Carre, O. Poch, D. Moras, and I. Davidson.** 2000. The human TFIID components  $TAF_{II}135$  and  $TAF_{II}20$  and the yeast SAGA components ADA1 and  $TAF_{II}68$  heterodimerize to form histone-like pairs. Mol. Cell. Biol. **20:**340–351.
- 17. **Green, M. R.** 2000. TBP-associated factors (TAFIIs): multiple, selective transcriptional mediators in common complexes. Trends Biochem. Sci. **25:** 59–63.
- 18. **Guermah, M., S. Malik, and R. G. Roeder.** 1998. Involvement of TFIID and USA components in transcriptional activation of the human immunodeficiency virus promoter by NF- $\kappa$ B and Sp1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18:3234-3244.
- 19. **Hisatake, K., T. Ohta, R. Takada, M. Guermah, M. Horikoshi, Y. Nakatani, and R. G. Roeder.** 1995. Evolutionary conservation of human TATA-binding-polypeptide-associated factors  $TAF_{II}31$  and  $TAF_{II}80$  and interactions of  $TAF_{II}80$  with other TAFs and with general transcription factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92:**8195–8199.
- 20. **Hoey, T., R. O. Weinzierl, G. Gill, J. L. Chen, B. D. Dynlacht, and R. Tjian.** 1993. Molecular cloning and functional analysis of Drosophila TAF110 reveal properties expected of coactivators. Cell **72:**247–260.
- 21. Hoffmann, A., C.-M. Chiang, T. Oelgeschläger, X. Xie, S. K. Burley, Y. **Nakatani, and R. G. Roeder.** 1996. A histone octamer-like structure within TFIID. Nature **380:**356–359.
- 22. **Kim, Y. J., S. Bjorklund, Y. Li, M. H. Sayre, and R. D. Kornberg.** 1994. A multiprotein mediator of transcriptional activation and its interaction with the C-terminal repeat domain of RNA polymerase II. Cell **77:**599–608.
- 23. **Kokubo, T., D.-W. Gong, J. C. Wooton, M. Horikoshi, R. G. Roeder, and Y. Nakatani.** 1993. Drosophila 230-KD TFIID subunit, a functional homolog of the human cell cycle gene product, negatively regulates DNA binding of the TATA box-binding subunit of TFIID. Genes Dev. **7:**1033–1046.
- 24. **Kokubo, T., M. J. Swanson, J. I. Nishikawa, A. G. Hinnebusch, and Y. Nakatani.** 1998. The *S. cerevisiae* TAF145 inhibitory domain and TFIIA competitively bind to TATA-binding protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. **18:**1003–1012.
- 25. **Koleske, A. J., and R. A. Young.** 1994. An RNA polymerase II holoenzyme responsive to activators. Nature **368:**466–469.
- 26. **Lee, T. I., and R. A. Young.** 2000. Transcription of eukaryotic protein-coding genes. Annu. Rev. Genet. **34:**77–137.
- 27. **Liu, D., R. Ishima, K. I. Tong, S. Bagby, T. Kokubo, D. R. Muhandiram, L. E. Kay, Y. Nakatani, M. Ikura.** 1998. Solution structure of a TBP-TAF(II)230 complex: protein mimicry of the minor groove surface of the TATA box unwound by TBP. Cell **94:**573–583.
- 28. **Malik, S., and R. G. Roeder.** 2000. Transcriptional regulation through Mediator-like coactivators in *S. cerevisiae* and metazoan cells. Trends Biochem. Sci. **25:**277–283.
- 29. **Mengus, G., M. May, X. Jacq, A. Staub, L. Tora, P. Chambon, and I. Davidson.** 1995. Cloning and characterization of hTAFII18, hTAFII20 and hTAFII28: three subunits of the human transcription factor TFIID. EMBO J. **14:**1520–1531.
- 30. **Mengus, G., M. May, L. Carre, P. Chambon, and I. Davidson.** 1997. Human TAF(II)135 potentiates transcriptional activation by the AF-2s of the retinoic acid, vitamin D3, and thyroid hormone receptors in mammalian cells. Genes Dev. **11:**1381–1395.
- 31. **Moqtaderi, Z., Y. Bai, D. Poon, A. P. Weil, and K. Struhl.** 1996. TBPassociated factors are not generally required for transcription activation in *S. cerevisiae*. Nature **383:**188–191.
- 32. **Myers, L. C., and R. D. Kornberg.** 2000. Mediator of transcriptional regulation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **69:**729–749.
- 33. **Nishizawa, J.-I., T. Kokubo, M. Horikoshi, R. G. Roeder, and Y. Nakatani.** 1997. Drosophila  $TAF_{II}230$  and the transcriptional activator VP16 bind competitively to the TATA box-binding domain of the TATA box-binding protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **94:**85–90.
- 34. **Oelgeschlager, T., Y. Tao, Y. K. Kang, and R. G. Roeder.** 1998. Transcription activation via enhanced preinitiation complex assembly in a human cell-free system lacking TAFIIs. Mol. Cell **1:**925–931.
- 35. **Orphanides, G., T. Lagrange, and D. Reinberg.** 1996. The general transcription factors of RNA polymerase II. Genes Dev. **10:**2657–2683.
- 36. **Rees, S., J. Coote, J. Stables, S. Goodson, S. Harris, and M. G. Lee.** 1996. Bicistronic vector for the creation of stable mammalian cell lines that predisposes all antibiotic-resistant cells to express recombinant. BioTechniques **20:**102–104, 106, 108–110.
- 37. **Roeder, R. G.** 1996. The role of general initiation factors in transcription by RNA polymerase II. Trends Biochem. Sci. **21:**327–335.
- 38. **Roeder, R. G.** 1998. Role of general and gene-specific cofactors in the regulation of eukaryotic transcription. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **63:**201–218.

## 6894 GUERMAH ET AL. MOL. CELL. BIOL.

- 39. **Shen, W.-C., and M. R. Green.** 1997. *S. cerevisiae* TAF<sub>II</sub>145 functions as a core promoter selectivity factor, not a general coactivator. Cell **90:**615–624.
- 40. **Smale, S. T.** 1997. Transcription initiation from TATA-less promoters within eukaryotic protein-coding genes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1351:**73–88.
- 41. **Summers, M., and G. E. Smith.** 1987. A manual of methods for baculovirus vectors and insect cell culture procedures. Tex. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 1555.
- 42. Tao, Y., M. Guermah, E. Martinez, T. Oelgeschläger, S. Hasegawa, R. **Takada, T. Yamamoto, M. Horikoshi, and R. G. Roeder.** 1997. Specific interactions and potential functions of human  $TAF_{II}100$ . J. Biol. Chem.  $272$ : 6714–6721.
- 43. **Verrijzer, C. P., and R. Tjian.** 1996. TAFs mediate transcriptional activation and promoter selectivity. Trends Biochem. Sci. **21:**338–342.
- 44. **Walker, W. W., J. C. Reese, L. A. Apone, and M. R. Green.** 1996. Transcription activation in cells lacking TAF<sub>II</sub>s. Nature 383:185–188.<br>45. Wu, S. Y., E. Kershnar, and C. M. Chiang. 1998. TAFII-independent acti-
- vation mediated by human TBP in the presence of the positive cofactor PC4. EMBO J. **17:**4478–4490.
- 46. **Yokomori, K., A. Admon, J. A. Goodrich, J. L. Chen, and R. Tjian.** 1993. Drosophila TFIIA-L is processed into two subunits that are associated with the TBP/TAF complex. Genes Dev. **7:**2235–2245.