

$\mathbb{C}_{\mathsf{PINION}}$ Neurological complications of sepsis

Simone Piva^{a,b}, Michele Bertoni^b, Nicola Gitti^a, Francesco A, Rasulo^{a,b,c} and Nicola Latronico^{a,b,c}

Purpose of review

Sepsis, defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection, is a leading cause of hospital and ICU admission. The central and peripheral nervous system may be the first organ system to show signs of dysfunction, leading to clinical manifestations such as sepsis-associated encephalopathy (SAE) with delirium or coma and ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW). In the current review, we want to highlight developing insights into the epidemiology, diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of patients with SAE and ICUAW.

Recent findings

The diagnosis of neurological complications of sepsis remains clinical, although the use of electroencephalography and electromyography can support the diagnosis, especially in noncollaborative patients, and can help in defining disease severity. Moreover, recent studies suggest new insights into the longterm effects associated with SAE and ICUAW, highlighting the need for effective prevention and treatment.

Summary

In this manuscript, we provide an overview of recent insights and developments in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of patients with SAE and ICUAW.

Keywords

delirium, sepsis, sepsis-associated encephalopathy

INTRODUCTION

Sepsis, defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection [\[1\]](#page-7-0), is a leading cause of hospital and ICU admission. Sepsis-associated mortality remains high among critically ill patients [\[2\]](#page-7-0), and early recognition and treatment of sepsis are of vital importance to reduce mortality [\[3\]](#page-7-0). The nervous system may be the first organ to show signs of dysfunction, especially in elderly and immunocompromised patients, leading to a wide range of clinical syndromes, including sepsis-associated encephalopathy (SAE), seizures, cerebrovascular events, and neuromuscular disorders that increase mortality and ICU length of stay (LOS).

SAE is a diffuse cerebral dysfunction originating from a systemic inflammatory response to sepsis. Its clinical manifestations range from mild delirium to severe coma and are associated with an increased mortality rate [\[4\]](#page-7-0) and long-term physical, mental and cognitive dysfunctions [\[5\]](#page-7-0).

The systemic inflammatory responses triggered during sepsis can also affect the peripheral nerves, skeletal muscles, or both, ultimately leading to critical illness polyneuropathy (CIP) and myopathy (CIM), along with disuse atrophy. This pathological sequence sets the base for what is known as ICU- acquired weakness, which affects 25% of prolonged mechanically ventilated patients, and up to 65% of septic patients. It causes difficulty in weaning from the ventilator, prolonged ICU stay, and increases long-term morbidity and mortality [\[6,7\]](#page-7-0).

This review aims to clarify key aspects of the neurological sequelae of sepsis, providing useful clinical information on the diagnosis, management, and prognosis.

Curr Opin Crit Care 2023, 29:75–84

DOI:10.1097/MCC.0000000000001022

aDepartment of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University of Brescia, ^bDepartment of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Emergency, Spedali Civili University Hospital and ^c'Alessandra Bono' University Research Center on Long-term Outcome in Critical Illness Survivors, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

Correspondence to Professor Simone Piva, MD, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University of Brescia, Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Emergency, Spedali Civili University Hospital, Brescia, Italy. Tel: +39 30 3995561; fax: +39 30 3995779; e-mail: simone.piva@unibs.it

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

KEY POINTS

- Neurological complications of sepsis are early and common and have an impact on short-term and longterm outcomes.
- Sepsis-associated encephalopathy (SAE) manifests with various neurological symptoms, including delirium and coma, and is associated with long-term cognitive decline and dementia. ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW) is also common and may prolong mechanical ventilation, ICU and hospital stay and costs, and shortterm and long-term mortality. Weakness and functional limitations may persist for years after discharge.
- Diagnosis of SAE and ICUAW is clinical, but EEG and electromyography can be important in noncollaborative patients and to define severity.
- Recent advances in the management of both SAE and ICUAW are presented in the article along with the longterm impact on morbidity and mortality.

SEPSIS-ASSOCIATED ENCEPHALOPATHY

Definition

SAE is the most common neurologic complication of sepsis. SAE can be defined as a condition of acute encephalopathy [\[8\]](#page-7-0) arising in patients with clinical evidence of sepsis or septic shock [\[9](#page-7-0)"], which cannot be attributed to drug intoxication, fat embolism syndrome, autoimmune or inflammatory brain diseases, such as vasculitis or thrombotic microangiopathy, acute brain infections such as meningitis or encephalitis, anoxic brain injury, or other acute primary brain diseases [\[10–16\]](#page-7-0). Thus, SAE is diagnosed by exclusion, as the acute encephalopathy should not be attributable to any other cause than sepsis itself. SAE is usually described as an organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response without direct infection of the brain. However, postmortem neuropathology studies have reported cerebral abscesses in 10% of patients with septic shock. Moreover, viable gut-associated bacteria have been demonstrated in mice brains 5 days after surviving experimental peritonitis [\[17\]](#page-7-0).

SAE manifests as a rapid change from baseline cognitive status or level of consciousness, and consists of a wide range of symptoms, from subsyndromal delirium to coma [\[8\]](#page-7-0). Lacking a clear consensus on the definition, a precise description of the epidemiological features of SAE is challenging: reported incidence varies from 9 to 71% [\[10,12,18\].](#page-7-0) In a recent retrospective study [\[19\]](#page-7-0), the incidence of SAE in a cohort of 291 septic patients was 43.6%. Although SAE is a reversible syndrome, mild-tomoderate residual neuropsychiatric symptoms including depression, anxiety, or cognitive disturbances, may persist in up to 40% of patients 1 year after hospital discharge [\[20,21\].](#page-7-0)

The pathophysiology of SAE is multifactorial and the exact molecular and cellular alterations are still poorly understood. The mechanisms underlying SAE include a complex interaction between systemic inflammation and cytokine storm derived from sepsis, endothelial damage with loss of blood– brain barrier integrity, neuroinflammation and glial activation, altered neuronal metabolism, oxidative stress, and impaired brain perfusion. Multifactorial cellular damage is likely to result in a profound alteration in brain electrochemical signaling of varying magnitude, clinically manifested as delirium or coma in the most severe cases [\[22\].](#page-7-0)

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of SAE is clinical and one of exclusion when septic patients develop clinical signs of an acute encephalopathy with or without focal neurological deficit, in the absence of other neurological, systemic, or metabolic conditions that may explain the acute encephalopathy [\[18\]](#page-7-0).

Clinical manifestations of SAE encompass impairment of attention, cognition, and consciousness, ranging from delirium (50%) to coma (46%) [\[22,23\]](#page-7-0). Delirium in SAE is more frequently hypoactive than hyperactive, and it can be associated with focal deficits, seizures, asterixis, or tremors [\[22\]](#page-7-0).

As SAE is often the first sign of organ failure to occur in sepsis, physicians must look for sepsis in any patient who develops delirium [\[22,24\].](#page-7-0) Indeed, clinical neurological screening should be done using validated tools such as the Confusion Assessment Methods for the Intensive Care Unit [\[25\]](#page-7-0), the Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist [\[26\]](#page-7-0), the Glasgow Coma Scale, and the Full Outline of UnResponsiveness (FOUR) Score [\[25\].](#page-7-0)

An accurate differential diagnosis of SAE is often complicated by systemic disturbances, including multiorgan failure, hypoglycemia, electrolyte abnormalities, or severe hypoxemia, conditions that can cause acute nonseptic-related acute encephalopathy. Moreover, septic patients may share many of the predisposing and precipitating risk factors for nonsepsis-associated delirium, such as advanced age, frailty, baseline cognitive impairment, metabolic disturbances, sedation, hypotension, multiple comorbidities, sleep disturbances, and surgery [\[27,28\].](#page-7-0)

Electroencephalography (EEG) and neuroimaging may help in the differential diagnosis of SAE [\[11,16\]](#page-7-0).

Although EEG patterns described in SAE are not specific, they occur in a majority of patients with sepsis and are associated with the severity of SAE. EEG abnormalities include generalized slowing in the background activity, and the presence of theta and delta waves, which are indicators of diffuse cortical dysfunction [\[29\]](#page-7-0). Theta waves often appear in patients with mild and moderate encephalopathy (confusion, delirium). Delta activity appears in a coma, where the impairment in consciousness level is more severe and during deep sedation [\[30](#page-7-0)"]. The presence of triphasic waves and a burst-suppression pattern indicates the dysfunction of deeper brain structures [\[11\].](#page-7-0) Young's classification provides a structured approach and defines how these data should be interpreted [\[31\]](#page-7-0). Interestingly, mortality is increased in patients with severe abnormalities on EEG; patients who have triphasic waves or burstsuppression patterns on EEG have higher mortality rates than those with abnormal theta or delta wave patterns [\[31,32\]](#page-7-0). Lastly, sepsis can be associated with electroencephalographic seizures or periodic epileptiform discharges [\[33\]](#page-7-0).

Neuroimaging techniques, such as CT scans and MRI, are often unremarkable in patients with SAE. Indeed, a CT scan should be performed in patients with SAE to exclude brain lesions or intracranial abnormalities, but brain lesions can be detected in the most severe patients and are related to disease severity [\[34\]](#page-7-0). MRI abnormalities are present in up to 60% of patients with SAE [\[35,36\]](#page-7-0), with heterogeneous and nonspecific patterns including ischemic lesions [\[36\]](#page-7-0), leukoencephalopathy [\[35,36\],](#page-7-0) vasogenic edema with signs of posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome possibly attributable to blood–brain barrier breakdown [\[37\]](#page-7-0), and white matter hyperintensity in T2-weighted image [\[38,39\]](#page-7-0).

Management

The cornerstone of SAE management relies on early diagnosis and prompt treatment of infection and organ dysfunction, including states of mildly altered consciousness and delirium.

Severe sepsis in the elderly population is independently associated with a tripling in the odds of moderate-to-severe new cognitive impairment [\[40\]](#page-7-0). Septic episodes are associated with the development of dementia within 10 years independent of age and other risk factors [\[41\]](#page-7-0). This suggests that effective sepsis prevention and treatment may reduce the risk of long-lasting, profound cognitive impairment in survivors.

Reduced consciousness is associated with a reduced ability to clear tracheobronchial secretions, increases the risk of pulmonary complications, and requires strict patient surveillance and supportive care. To date, there are no evidence-based pharmacological options that have demonstrated efficacy in the prevention and/or treatment of delirium in SAE. The PADIS guidelines [\[42\]](#page-7-0) advise against routine usage of haloperidol, atypical antipsychotics, or statins to treat delirium. If antipsychotics are chosen to manage the hyperactive behavior of delirious patients, or stress-related symptoms (anxiety, hallucinations, delusion, fear, etc.), they should be used in the lowest dose and for the shortest period possible. In a recent, large randomized controlled trial in ICU patients with delirium, treatment with haloperidol did not significantly increase the number of days alive and out of the hospital at 90 days compared with placebo [\[43\].](#page-7-0) Melatonin did not reduce the prevalence of delirium when administered prophylactically in a large randomized controlled trial of 847 patients, of whom a quarter had sepsis as an admission diagnosis [\[44\]](#page-7-0). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 77 trials constituting 11 997 critically ill patients found that in mechanically ventilated adults, the use of dexmedetomidine compared with other sedatives resulted in a lower risk of delirium, and a modest reduction in the duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay at a cost of increasing the risk of bradycardia and hypotension $[45$ ^{...}. Prophylactic use of antiepileptic drugs is not recommended, and EEG monitoring should be used in comatose or deeply sedated patients to detect nonconvulsive seizures and guide therapy [\[16\].](#page-7-0)

Multimodal interventions such as the ABCDEF bundle (A assess, prevent, and manage pain; B both spontaneous awakening and spontaneous breathing trials; C choice of analgesic and sedation; D delirium: assess, prevent, and manage; E early mobility, and exercise; and F family engagement and empowerment) have been shown to be effective in improving patient outcomes. Implementation of such a bundle may increase days alive and freedom from delirium and coma [\[46\],](#page-8-0) lower the likelihood of death within 7 days, lower physical restraint use, lower ICU readmissions, lower discharge to a facility other than home [\[47\]](#page-8-0), and decrease ICU and hospital length of stay [\[48\]](#page-8-0).

Prognosis

Beyond short-term life-threatening complications, adult sepsis survivors experience increased longterm mortality and morbidity, higher rates of rehospitalization, and reduced quality of life. Mortality rates after surviving the initial sepsis episode remain high; the 1-year postdischarge mortality rate varies between 7 and 43%, depending on sepsis severity [\[49\]](#page-8-0) and age [\[50\].](#page-8-0) Long-term mortality and morbidity are often because of the 'postsepsis syndrome', a condition characterized by an increased risk of developing physical, cognitive, and mental health problems after sepsis [\[5,51\].](#page-7-0)

Duration of delirium in septic patients is strongly associated with the development of cognitive impairment and increased odds of disability in daily life activities at long-term follow-up [\[52\].](#page-8-0) Therefore, monitoring of delirium during the acute stage of the disease may provide important clues to the risk of subsequent cognitive impairment. Markers of systemic inflammation and coagulation measured early in the ICU are not associated with long-term cognitive outcomes [\[53\].](#page-8-0)

ICU-ACQUIRED WEAKNESS

Systemic inflammation during sepsis can negatively impact peripheral nerves, limb skeletal muscle, and the diaphragm. ICUAW, along with diaphragmatic weakness, is the most common neuromuscular impairment in ICU patients, and it is detected in up to 67% of patients with sepsis [\[6\]](#page-7-0); diaphragmatic weakness seems to develop earlier than limb weakness and is more frequent [\[54–56\].](#page-8-0)

Definition

ICUAW is defined as a symmetrical weakness that arises after the onset of a critical illness, affecting all four limbs and the respiratory muscles with sparing of the facial muscles. The muscle tone is reduced, but the deep tendon reflex can either be reduced or normal. ICUAW is due to a different grade of overlap between the critical illness polyneuropathy (CIP), critical illness myopathy (CIM), and muscle disuse atrophy. [\[57\]](#page-8-0) The simultaneous presence of CIP and CIM or critical illness polyneuromyopathy is the most frequent condition [\[58\]](#page-8-0). CIP is defined as a sensory–motor axonal polyneuropathy (Fig. 1), whereas CIM is an acute primary myopathy, not related to denervation.

Diagnosis

The flowchart of ICUAW diagnosis (Fig. 1 and Table 1) is based on the patient's level of consciousness.

In awake, collaborative patients, the diagnosis is clinical and relies on the Medical Research Council Sum Score (MRCss), in which the strength of functional muscle groups of the limbs is graded from 0

FIGURE 1. Diagnostic flow-chart for ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW), critical illness polyneuropathy, and critical illness myopathy. CMAP, compound muscle action potential; ENMG, electroneuromyography; MRCss, Medical Research Council Sum Score; PENT, peroneal nerve test.

to 5 (i.e. none, weak, poor, acceptable, good, and normal) [\[59\]](#page-8-0). The scores obtained from the six bilateral muscle groups (wrist flexion, forearm flexion, shoulder abduction, ankle dorsiflexion, knee extension, and hip flexion) can range from 0 (complete paralysis) to 60 (normal muscle strength). A clinically relevant ICUAW is defined as MRCss below 48/60, whereas severe ICUAW is defined as below 36/60 [\[59,60\].](#page-8-0) A four-point ordinal MRCss scale has been recently introduced, but validation is still pending [\[61\].](#page-8-0) Although the inter-rater reliability of the MRCss remains high (intraclass correlation coefficient from 0.83 [\[62\]](#page-8-0) to 0.99 [\[63\]](#page-8-0)), its correct application requires specific training and is time-consuming. Handgrip dynamometry (HGD) is a simple, inexpensive, and repeatable test that can be used as a screening test for ICUAW. Although the HGD values depend on sex and age, an absolute cutoff value of 11 kg in men and 7 kg in women allows discrimination of the ICUAW presence with a sensitivity of 0.81 and a specificity of 0.83 [\[64,65\]](#page-8-0).

In noncollaborative patients, the presence of CIM and CIP can be established using electrophysiology (Fig. 2 and Table 1). CIP is an axonal sensorimotor polyneuropathy depicting a reduction in the total number of nerve fibers. In nerve conduction

FIGURE 2. Differential diagnosis of critical illness polyneuropathy and critical illness myopathy. Critical illness polyneuropathy (CIP) is an acute sensory--motor axonal neuropathy with reduced nerve action potential amplitude and normal conduction velocity. In demyelinating Guillain-Barré syndrome, the nerve action potential amplitude is normal while the nerve conduction velocity is reduced (right). Direct muscle stimulation (DMS) may distinguish CIP from CIM in noncollaborative patients. In CIM, the compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) obtained through nerve stimulation (neCMAP) and direct muscle stimulation (dmCMAP) are proportionally reduced and their ratio is around 1. In CIP, the CMAP is reduced, while dmCMAP is normal and their ratio is small and around zero (left). However, CIP and CIM often coexist in patients with ICUAW (center). Adapted from Latronico et al. Curr Opin Crit Care 2005; 11: 126; and Kramer et al. Neurol Clin 2017; 35: 723. CIM, critical illness myopathy; CIP, critical illness polyneuropathy; CIPNM, critical illness polyneuromyopathy; CMAP, compound muscle action potential; CRIMYNE, critical illness myopathy and neuropathy; DMS, direct muscle stimulation; GBS, Guillain--Barre syndrome; ICUAW, ICU-acquired weakness.

studies (NCS), this is reflected as reduced amplitudes on compound motor action potential (CMAP), sensory nerve action potential (SNAP), or both. The myelin sheath is not affected in CIP, indeed NCS shows normal velocity and normal latency. This feature is an important factor in differentiating between CIP and Guillain–Barré syndrome. A simplified screening test (peroneal nerve test, PENT) has been proposed that solely evaluates the CMAP amplitude of the peroneal nerves. A PENT with CMAP amplitude below a normal value had 100% sensitivity and high specificity in diagnosing CIP/ CIM [\[54,66\].](#page-8-0)

CIM is a primary myopathy, and needle electromyography (EMG) examination in collaborative ICU patients performing voluntary muscle contraction shows short-duration, low-amplitude, polyphasic motor unit potentials with early or normal full recruitment together with a normal SNAP. Fibrillation potentials at rest indicate nonspecific changes in the muscle arising either from the nerve or directly from the muscle. Patients in the ICU may not be cooperative enough to evaluate the morphological aspects of the motor units and the recruitment patterns, two pivotal factors in differentiating CIP from CIM. For this reason, direct muscle stimulation (DMS) in conjunction with standard nerve testing may help in distinguishing CIP from CIM in noncollaborative patients. With DMS, both the stimulating and recording electrodes are placed over the muscle belly. A patient with CIM will have proportionally reduced CMAP amplitude after both standard stimulation and DMS. The ratio between the CMAP obtained through nerve stimulation and the CMAP obtained through DMS (neCMAP/dmCMAP) will be around 1 and the dmCMAP will be reduced below 3 mV (normal values are above 3.0–3.2 mV) [\[57\].](#page-8-0) Conversely, in a patient with CIP, the CMAP will be reduced, whereas dmCMAP will be normal and their ratio will be below small and around zero (Fig. 2 and Table 1) [\[67,68\]](#page-8-0).

CIM, critical illness myopathy; CIP, critical illness polyneuropathy; CMAP, compound muscle action potential; DMS, direct muscle stimulation; EMG, electromyogram; ICUAW, ICU-acquired weakness; MRC sum score, Medical Research Council sum score; MUP, motor unit potential; SNAP, sensory nerve action potential.

Although electrophysiological abnormalities are useful to establish the presence of CIP and/or CIM, their presence does not invariably correlate with clinically detectable muscle weakness. Indeed, pure electrophysiological alterations are clinically relevant, as they predict functional limitations at ICU discharge [\[72\]](#page-8-0) and increased long-term mortality 1– 5 years after ICU or hospital discharge [\[73,74\]](#page-8-0).

Management

As there are still no specific therapies with proven benefits on ICUAW, it is crucial to aggressively treat sepsis and implement strategies to minimize exposure to ICUAW risk factors.

Light sedation and early mobilization are probably the most effective strategies to prevent ICUAW. Enacting program to reduce sedatives to the minimal dose possible for patient comfort and safety and implementing early rehabilitation maneuvers and occupational therapy in the ICU may be an effective strategy to avoid immobility and prevent ICUAW [\[75\].](#page-8-0) Several studies showed that early mobilization may be feasible and well tolerated, may improve physical function, decrease the risk of ICUAW and delirium, and shorten the time to weaning from mechanical ventilation [\[76–80\]](#page-8-0). However, other studies have shown contrasting results [\[81–83\]](#page-8-0) and, therefore, the efficacy of early mobilization remains uncertain [\[84](#page-8-0)"]. Moreover, a strategy to achieve the maximum intensity of mobilization tolerated by patients at an early stage should be avoided, as it does not improve short-term outcomes and is associated with increased adverse events when compared with a lower level of early mobilization [\[85](#page-8-0)^{$+$}]. Instead, a step-by-step increase in the level of early mobilization adjusted to the patient's medical situation, muscle strength, and level of cooperation should be pursued.

Hyperglycemia should be promptly treated. Although normalizing glycemia reduces the electrophysiological sign of CIP/CIM and the need for mechanical ventilation [\[86,87\]](#page-8-0), mortality is increased in patients treated with insulin to achieve normoglycemia as compared with patients receiving insulin to target blood glucose below 180 mg/dl [\[88\]](#page-8-0). The optimal blood glucose target still needs to be established [\[89\].](#page-8-0)

The old paradigm that full caloric and protein intakes should be implemented early has been challenged by studies reporting a more pronounced muscle wasting associated with increased protein delivery during the first week in the ICU [\[90\]](#page-8-0). Indeed, no clear evidence supports amino acid supplements [\[91\],](#page-8-0) and a post hoc analysis of EPaNIC (Early Parenteral Nutrition to Supplement Insufficient Enteral Nutrition in Intensive Care trial) confirmed a higher incidence of ICUAW in early parenteral nutrition patients [\[92\].](#page-8-0) These findings suggest that the early catabolic phase during critical illness cannot be averted by artificial nutrition, as critically ill patients are not able to use the exogenous amino acids for muscle protein synthesis $[93$.

Prognosis

CIM and CIP have a decisive influence on the prognosis of intensive care patients. ICUAW is associated with difficult liberation from mechanical ventilation, higher extubation failure rate, postextubation dysphagia, impaired effective cough, prolonged ICU and hospital stay, increased hospital cost, and increased short-term mortality. In the long-term, ICUAW is associated with increased weakness, reduced walking exercise ability, reduced quality of life, and increased long-term mortality [\[7,89\].](#page-7-0)

Recovery from weakness typically occurs within weeks or months [\[94\],](#page-9-0) but the most severe cases may not recover, with many ICU survivors continuing to demonstrate weakness and persistent functional impairments (e.g. mobility, coordination, self-care, endurance). In two studies, the long-term sequelae of ICUAW have been reported for up to 5 years [\[95,96\]](#page-9-0). Other studies also describe persistent physical disability [\[97–99\]](#page-9-0). There are myriad contributors to the persistence of reduced muscle and strength, and diminished exercise capacity after sepsis [\[97\],](#page-9-0) and include the severity of the ICUAW and underlying cause. The multicentre Italian study CRIMYNE (CRitical Illness MYopathy and/or Neuropathy) found that CIM has a better prognosis than CIP [\[100–102\]](#page-9-0). Physical impairment substantially impacts the quality of life [\[74,97,98,103\]](#page-8-0) and joblessness [\[95,102,104,105\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, survivors who do not return to work report worse health-related quality of life compared with patients returning to work, thus creating a vicious cycle [\[104\]](#page-9-0).

CONCLUSION

With an estimated 50 million new cases reported every year [\[106\]](#page-9-0), sepsis is a major health problem worldwide. Neurological complications such as acute encephalopathy, delirium, coma, reduced mobility, exercise tolerance, weakness, neuropathy, and myopathy have a profound impact not only on mortality but also on persistent morbidity and reduced quality of life in survivors. Rapid recognition of neurological complications is vital and can be supported by the use of ENG-EMG and EEG, but treatments remain supportive. We urgently need mechanistic studies to understand the pathophysiology of these complications and to develop more specific treatments. Combining treatments, such as early mobilization, personalized use of sedative drugs, cognitive stimulation, and appropriate nutritional strategy would be important to reduce the burden of cognitive and physical disability in sepsis survivors.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Vitaliy Kharchuk (nurse) for his contributions in Fig. 2.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- \blacksquare of outstanding interest
	- 1. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA 2016; 315:801–810.
	- 2. Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT, Lidicker J, et al. Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the United States: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care. Crit Care Med 2001; 29:1303–1310.
	- 3. Seymour CW, Gesten F, Prescott HC, et al. Time to treatment and mortality during mandated emergency care for sepsis. N Engl J Med 2017; 376:2235–2244.
	- 4. Sonneville R, de Montmollin E, Poujade J, et al. Potentially modifiable factors contributing to sepsis-associated encephalopathy. Intensive Care Med 2017; 43:1075–1084.
	- 5. Mostel Z, Perl A, Marck M, et al. Postsepsis syndrome an evolving entity that afflicts survivors of sepsis. Mol Med 2019; 26:6.
	- 6. Fan E, Cheek F, Chlan L, et al. An official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice guideline: the diagnosis of intensive care unit-acquired weakness in adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014; 190:1437–1446.
	- 7. Latronico N, Herridge M, Hopkins RO, et al. The ICM research agenda on intensive care unit-acquired weakness. Intensive Care Med 2017; 43: 1270–1281.
	- 8. Slooter AJC, Otte WM, Devlin JW, et al. Updated nomenclature of delirium and acute encephalopathy: statement of ten Societies. Intensive Care Med 2020; 46:1020–1022.
	- 9. Evans L, Rhodes A, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: interna-& tional guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. Intensive Care Med 2021; 47:1181–1247.

This article contains the revised recommendations intended to provide guidance for the clinician caring for adult patients with sepsis or septic shock in the hospital setting.

- 10. Gofton TE, Young GB. Sepsis-associated encephalopathy. Nat Rev Neurol 2012; 8:557–566.
- 11. Hosokawa K, Gaspard N, Su F, et al. Clinical neurophysiological assessment of sepsis-associated brain dysfunction: a systematic review. Crit Care 2014; 18:674.
- 12. Young GB, Bolton CF, Austin TW, et al. The encephalopathy associated with septic illness. Clin Invest Med 1990; 13:297–304.
- 13. Davies NWS, Sharief MK, Howard RS. Infection-associated encephalopathies—their investigation, diagnosis, and treatment. J Neurol 2006; 253: 833–845.
- 14. Oldham MA, Holloway RG. Delirium disorder. Neurology 2020; 95: 173–178.
- 15. Ebersoldt M, Sharshar T, Annane D. Sepsis-associated delirium. Intensive Care Med 2007; 33:941–950.
- 16. Robba C, Crippa IA, Taccone FS. Septic encephalopathy. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2018; 18:82.
- 17. Singer BH, Dickson RP, Denstaedt SJ, et al. Bacterial dissemination to the brain in sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 197:747–756.
- 18. Ely EW, Shintani A, Truman B, et al. Delirium as a predictor of mortality in mechanically ventilated patients in the intensive care unit. JAMA 2004; 291:1753–1762.
- 19. Chen J, Shi X, Diao M, et al. A retrospective study of sepsis-associated encephalopathy: epidemiology, clinical features and adverse outcomes. BMC Emerg Med 2020; 20:77.
- 20. Barichello T, Sayana P, Giridharan VV, et al. Long-term cognitive outcomes after sepsis: a translational systematic review. Mol Neurobiol 2019; 56:186–251.
- 21. Semmler A, Widmann CN, Okulla T, et al. Persistent cognitive impairment, hippocampal atrophy and EEG changes in sepsis survivors. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2013; 84:62–69.
- 22. Mazeraud A, Righy C, Bouchereau E, et al. Septic-associated encephalopathy: a comprehensive review. Neurotherapeutics 2020; 17:392–403.
- 23. Heming N, Mazeraud A, Verdonk F, et al. Neuroanatomy of sepsis-associated encephalopathy. Crit Care 2017; 21:1–6.
- 24. Rasulo FA, Bellelli G, Ely EW, et al. Are you Ernest Shackleton, the polar explorer? Refining the criteria for delirium and brain dysfunction in sepsis. J Intensive Care Med 2017; 5:23.
- 25. Sharshar T, Citerio G, Andrews PJD, et al. Neurological examination of critically ill patients: a pragmatic approach. Report of an ESICM expert panel. Intensive Care Med 2014; 40:484–495.
- 26. Gusmao-Flores D, Salluh JIF, Chalhub RÁ, Quarantini LC. The confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU) and intensive care delirium screening checklist (ICDSC) for the diagnosis of delirium: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical studies. Crit Care 2012; 16: R115.
- 27. Hayhurst CJ, Pandharipande PP, Hughes CG. Intensive care unit delirium: a review of diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. Anesthesiology 2016; 125:1229–1241.
- 28. Kotfis K, Marra A, Ely EW. ICU delirium a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge in the intensive care unit. Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 2018; 50:160–167.
- 29. Guérit J-M. Neurophysiological testing in neurocritical care. Curr Opin Crit Care 2010; 16:98–104.
- 30. Rasulo FA, Hopkins P, Lobo FA, et al. Processed electroencephalogram-& based monitoring to guide sedation in critically ill adult patients: recommendations from an international expert panel-based consensus. Neurocrit Care 2022. [Epub ahead of print]
- This article represent the recommendations on how to use this type of monitoring for critical care adult patients within the ICU.
- 31. Young GB, Bryan Young G, Bolton CF, et al. The electroencephalogram in sepsis-associated encephalopathy. J Clin Neurophysiol 1992; 9:145–152.
- 32. Oddo M, Carrera E, Claassen J, et al. Continuous electroencephalography in the medical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2009; 37:2051–2056.
- 33. Pantzaris N-D, Platanaki C, Tsiotsios K, et al. The use of electroencephalography in patients with sepsis: a review of the literature. J Transl Int Med 2021; 9:12–16.
- 34. Orhun G, Esen F, Özcan PE, et al. Neuroimaging findings in sepsis-induced brain dysfunction: association with clinical and laboratory findings. Neurocrit Care 2019; 30:106–117.
- 35. Suchyta MR, Jephson A, Hopkins RO. Neurologic changes during critical illness: brain imaging findings and neurobehavioral outcomes. Brain Imaging Behav 2010; 4:22–34.
- 36. Polito A, Eischwald F, Maho A-L, et al. Pattern of brain injury in the acute setting of human septic shock. Crit Care 2013; 17:R204.
- 37. Sharshar T, Carlier R, Bernard F, et al. Brain lesions in septic shock: a magnetic resonance imaging study. Intensive Care Med 2007; 33:798–806.
- 38. Morandi A, Gunther ML, Vasilevskis EE, et al. Neuroimaging in delirious intensive care unit patients: a preliminary case series report. Psychiatry 2010; 7:28–33.
- 39. Morandi A, Rogers BP, Gunther ML, et al. The relationship between delirium duration, white matter integrity, and cognitive impairment in intensive care unit survivors as determined by diffusion tensor imaging: the VISIONS prospective cohort magnetic resonance imaging study*. Crit Care Med 2012; 40:2182–2189.
- 40. Iwashyna TJ, Ely EW, Smith DM, Langa KM. Long-term cognitive impairment and functional disability among survivors of severe sepsis. JAMA 2010; 304:1787–1794.
- 41. Peters van Ton AM, Meijer-van Leijsen EMC, Bergkamp MI, et al. Risk of dementia and structural brain changes following nonneurological infections during 9-year follow-up. Crit Care Med 2022; 50:554–564.
- 42. Devlin JW, Skrobik Y, Gélinas C, et al. Executive summary: clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of pain, agitation/sedation, delirium, immobility, and sleep disruption in adult patients in the ICU. Crit Care Med 2018; 46:1532.
- 43. Andersen-Ranberg NC, Poulsen LM, Perner A, et al. Haloperidol for the treatment of delirium in ICU patients. N Engl J Med 2022. [Epub ahead of print]
- 44. Wibrow B, Martinez FE, Myers E, et al. Prophylactic melatonin for delirium in intensive care (Pro-MEDIC): a randomized controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 2022; 48:414–425.
- **45.** Lewis K, Alshamsi F, Carayannopoulos KL, *et al.* Dexmedetomidine vs other $\Box\hspace{-0.4cm}\Box\hspace{-0.4cm}\Box$ sedatives in critically ill mechanically ventilated adults: a systematic review
- and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Intensive Care Med 2022; 48:811–840.

This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs in mechanically ventilated adults. The article concludes that the use of dexmedetomidine compared with other sedatives, resulted in a lower risk of delirium, and a modest reduction in the duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay but increased the risks of bradycardia and hypotension.

- 46. Barnes-Daly MA, Phillips G, Ely EW. Improving hospital survival and reducing brain dysfunction at seven california community hospitals: implementing PAD guidelines via the ABCDEF bundle in 6,064 patients. Crit Care Med 2017; 45:171–178.
- 47. Pun BT, Balas MC, Barnes-Daly MA, et al. Caring for critically ill patients with the ABCDEF bundle. Crit Care Med 2019; 47:3–14.
- 48. Hsieh SJ, Jean Hsieh S, Otusanya O, et al. Staged implementation of awakening and breathing, coordination, delirium monitoring and management, and early mobilization bundle improves patient outcomes and reduces hospital costs*. Crit Care Med 2019; 47:885-893.
- 49. Winters BD, Eberlein M, Leung J, et al. Long-term mortality and quality of life in sepsis: a systematic review. Crit Care Med 2010; 38:1276–1283.
- 50. Iwashyna TJ, Cooke CR, Wunsch H, Kahn JM. Population burden of longterm survivorship after severe sepsis in older Americans. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60:1070–1077.
- 51. van der Slikke EC, An AY, Hancock REW, Bouma HR. Exploring the pathophysiology of postsepsis syndrome to identify therapeutic opportunities. EBioMedicine 2020; 61:103044.
- 52. Girard TD, Thompson JL, Pandharipande PP, et al. Clinical phenotypes of delirium during critical illness and severity of subsequent long-term cognitive impairment: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med 2018; 6:213–222.
- 53. Brummel NE, Hughes CG, Thompson JL, et al. Inflammation and coagulation during critical illness and long-term cognitive impairment and disability. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2021; 203:699–706.
- 54. Latronico N, Nattino G, Guarneri B, et al., GiVITI Study Investigators. Validation of the peroneal nerve test to diagnose critical illness polyneuropathy and myopathy in the intensive care unit: the Multicentre Italian CRIM-YNE-2 Diagnostic Accuracy Study. F1000Res 2014; 3:127.
- 55. Hermans G, Van Mechelen H, Clerckx B, et al. Acute outcomes and 1-year mortality of intensive care unit-acquired weakness. A cohort study and propensity-matched analysis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014; 190:410–420.
- 56. Jung B, Moury PH, Mahul M, et al. Diaphragmatic dysfunction in patients with ICU-acquired weakness and its impact on extubation failure. Intensive Care Med 2016; 42:853–861.
- 57. Latronico N, Hermans G. Critical illness neuromyopathy: clinical, electrophysiological, and histological diagnosis. In: Preiser J-C, Herridge M, Azoulay E, editors. Post-intensive care syndrome. New York: Springer International; 2020. pp. 43–59.
- 58. Latronico N, Bolton CF. Critical illness polyneuropathy and myopathy: a major cause of muscle weakness and paralysis. Lancet Neurol 2011; 10: 931–941.
- 59. Hermans G, Clerckx B, Vanhullebusch T, et al. Interobserver agreement of medical research council sum-score and handgrip strength in the intensive care unit. Muscle Nerve 2012; 45:18–25.
- 60. De Jonghe B, Sharshar T, Lefaucheur J-P, et al. Paresis acquired in the intensive care unit: a prospective multicenter study. JAMA 2002; 288: 2859–2867.
- 61. Vanhoutte EK, Faber CG, van Nes SI, et al. Modifying the Medical Research Council grading system through Rasch analyses. Brain 2012; 135: 1639–1649.
- 62. Hough CL, Lieu BK, Caldwell ES. Manual muscle strength testing of critically ill patients: feasibility and interobserver agreement. Crit Care 2011; 15:R43.
- 63. Fan E, Ciesla ND, Truong AD, et al. Inter-rater reliability of manual muscle strength testing in ICU survivors and simulated patients. Intensive Care Med 2010; 36:1038–1043.
- 64. Ali NA, O'Brien JM Jr, Hoffmann SP, et al. Acquired weakness, handgrip strength, and mortality in critically ill patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008; 178:261–268.
- 65. Parry SM, Berney S, Granger CL, et al. A new two-tier strength assessment approach to the diagnosis of weakness in intensive care: an observational study. Crit Care 2015; 19:52.
- 66. Latronico N, Bertolini G, Guarneri B, et al. Simplified electrophysiological evaluation of peripheral nerves in critically ill patients: the Italian multicentre CRIMYNE study. Crit Care 2007; 11:R11.
- 67. Rich MM, Bird SJ, Raps EC, et al. Direct muscle stimulation in acute quadriplegic myopathy. Muscle Nerve 1997; 20:665–673.
- 68. Koch S, Spuler S, Deja M, et al. Critical illness myopathy is frequent: accompanying neuropathy protracts ICU discharge. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2011; 82:287–293.
- 69. Stevens RD, Marshall SA, Cornblath DR, et al. A framework for diagnosing and classifying intensive care unit-acquired weakness. Crit Care Med 2009; 37:S299–S308.
- 70. Visser LH. Critical illness polyneuropathy and myopathy: clinical features, risk factors and prognosis. Eur J Neurol 2006; 13:1203–1212.
- 71. Latronico N, Fenzi F, Recupero D, et al. Critical illness myopathy and neuropathy. Lancet 1996; 347:1579–1582.
- 72. Kelmenson DA, Quan D, Nordon-Craft A, et al. Electrophysiological abnormalities can differentiate prehospital discharge functional status in critically ill patients with normal strength. Intensive Care Med 2016; 42:1504–1505.
- 73. Hermans G, Van Mechelen H, Bruyninckx F, et al. Predictive value for weakness and 1-year mortality of screening electrophysiology tests in the ICU. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41:2138–2148.
- 74. Van Aerde N, Meersseman P, Debaveye Y, et al. Five-year impact of ICUacquired neuromuscular complications: a prospective, observational study. Intensive Care Med 2020; 46:1184–1193.
- 75. Piva S, Fagoni N, Latronico N. Intensive care unit-acquired weakness: unanswered questions and targets for future research: [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]. F1000Res 2019; 8:F1000 Faculty Rev-508.
- 76. Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS, et al. Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009; 373:1874–1882.
- 77. Burtin C, Clerckx B, Robbeets C, et al. Early exercise in critically ill patients enhances short-term functional recovery. Crit Care Med 2009; 37: 2499–2505.
- 78. Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C, et al. Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. Crit Care Med 2008; 36:2238–2243.
- 79. Fuke R, Hifumi T, Kondo Y, et al. Early rehabilitation to prevent postintensive care syndrome in patients with critical illness: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ Open 2018; 8:e019998.
- 80. Tipping CJ, Harrold M, Holland A, et al. The effects of active mobilisation and rehabilitation in ICU on mortality and function: a systematic review. Intensive Care Med 2017; 43:171–183.
- 81. Denehy L, Skinner EH, Edbrooke L, et al. Exercise rehabilitation for patients with critical illness: a randomized controlled trial with 12 months of follow-up. Crit Care 2013; 17:R156.
- 82. Moss M, Nordon-Craft A, Malone D, et al. A randomized trial of an intensive physical therapy program for patients with acute respiratory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016; 193:1101–1110.
- 83. Okada Y, Unoki T, Matsuishi Y, et al. Early versus delayed mobilization for inhospital mortality and health-related quality of life among critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Intensive Care Med 2019; 7:57.
- 84. Menges D, Seiler B, Tomonaga Y, et al. Systematic early versus late & mobilization or standard early mobilization in mechanically ventilated adult

ICU patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2021; 25:16. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to determine the effectiveness of systematic early mobilization in improving muscle strength and physical function in mechanically ventilated ICU patients. Although the evidence regarding a benefit of systematic early mobilization remained inconclusive, the authors highlighted the importance of an early application of the mobilization program.

85. TEAM Study Investigators and the ANZICS Clinical Trials Group. Hodgson && CL, Bailey M, et al. Early active mobilization during mechanical ventilation in the ICU. N Engl J Med 2022. [Epub ahead of print]

This article is an RCT on 750 critically ill adult patients, where the authors compare an early active mobilization (increased early mobilization with sedation minimization and daily physiotherapy) or usual care (the level of mobilization that was normally provided in each ICU). The authors find that an increase in early active mobilization did not result in a significantly greater number of days that patients were alive and out of the hospital than did the usual level of mobilization in the ICU. The intervention was associated with increased adverse events.

- 86. Hermans G, Wilmer A, Meersseman W, et al. Impact of intensive insulin therapy on neuromuscular complications and ventilator dependency in the medical intensive care unit. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007; 175:480–489.
- 87. Van den Berghe G, Schoonheydt K, Becx P, et al. Insulin therapy protects the central and peripheral nervous system of intensive care patients. Neurology 2005; 64:1348–1353.
- 88. NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators. Finfer S, Chittock DR, et al. Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 2009; 360:1283–1297.
- 89. Vanhorebeek I, Latronico N, Van den Berghe G. ICU-acquired weakness. Intensive Care Med 2020; 46:637–653.
- 90. Puthucheary ZA, Rawal J, McPhail M, et al. Acute skeletal muscle wasting in critical illness. JAMA 2013; 310:1591–1600.
- 91. Rugeles S, Villarraga-Angulo LG, Ariza-Gutiérrez A, et al. High-protein hypocaloric vs normocaloric enteral nutrition in critically ill patients: a randomized clinical trial. J Crit Care 2016; 35:110–114.
- 92. Hermans G, Casaer MP, Clerckx B, et al. Effect of tolerating macronutrient deficit on the development of intensive-care unit acquired weakness: a subanalysis of the EPaNIC trial. Lancet Respir Med 2013; 1:621–629.
- 93. Chapple L-AS, Kouw IWK, Summers MJ, e*t al.* Muscle protein synthesis after && protein administration in critical illness. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2022; 206:740–749.

This is an important article since for the first time the authors find an explanation of the inefficacy of the amino acid administration during the early phase of critical illness. The authors described how the capacity for critically ill patients to use ingested protein for muscle protein synthesis is markedly blunted despite relatively normal protein digestion and amino acid absorption.

- 94. Bolton CF. Critical illness polyneuropathy: a useful concept. Muscle Nerve 1999; 22:419–422.
- 95. Herridge MS, Tansey CM, Matté A, et al. Functional disability 5 years after acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2011; 364:1293–1304.
- 96. Herridge MS, Cheung AM, Tansey CM, et al. One-year outcomes in survivors of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:683–693.
- 97. Fan E, Dowdy DW, Colantuoni E, et al. Physical complications in acute lung injury survivors: a two-year longitudinal prospective study. Crit Care Med 2014; 42:849–859.
- 98. Needham DM, Dinglas VD, Bienvenu OJ, et al., NIH NHLBI ARDS Network. One year outcomes in patients with acute lung injury randomised to initial trophic or full enteral feeding: prospective follow-up of EDEN randomised trial. BMJ 2013; 346:f1532.
- 99. Latronico N, Peli E, Calza S, et al. Physical, cognitive and mental health outcomes in 1-year survivors of COVID-19-associated ARDS. Thorax 2022; 77:300–303.
- 100. Latronico N, Herridge MS. Unraveling the myriad contributors to persistent diminished exercise capacity after critical illness. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41:1854–1856.
- 101. Guarneri B, Bertolini G, Latronico N. Long-term outcome in patients with critical illness myopathy or neuropathy: the Italian multicentre CRIMYNE study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2008; 79:838–841.
- 102. Koch S, Wollersheim T, Bierbrauer J, et al. Long-term recovery In critical illness myopathy is complete, contrary to polyneuropathy. Muscle Nerve 2014; 50:431–436.
- 103. Hopkins RO, Weaver LK, Collingridge D, et al. Two-year cognitive, emotional, and quality-of-life outcomes in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 171:340–347.
- 104. Kamdar BB, Huang M, Dinglas VD, et al., National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Joblessness and lost earnings after acute respiratory distress syndrome in a 1-year national multicenter study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017; 196:1012–1020.
- 105. Su H, Thompson HJ, May S, et al. Association of job characteristics and functional impairments on return to work after ARDS. Chest 2021; 160:509–518.
- 106. Rudd KE, Johnson SC, Agesa KM, et al. Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990–2017: analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 2020; 395:200–211.