Bichemistry

DJ‑1 Molecular Chaperone Activity Depresses Tau Aggregation Propensity through Interaction with Monomers

Daniela [Jimenez-Harrison,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Daniela+Jimenez-Harrison"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Carol J. [Huseby,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Carol+J.+Huseby"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Claire N. [Hoffman,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Claire+N.+Hoffman"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Steven](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Steven+Sher"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Sher, Dalton [Snyder,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Dalton+Snyder"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Brayden](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Brayden+Seal"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Seal, [Chunhua](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Chunhua+Yuan"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Yuan, [Hongjun](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Hongjun+Fu"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Fu, Vicki [Wysocki,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Vicki+Wysocki"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Flaviano [Giorgini,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Flaviano+Giorgini"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Jeff [Kuret](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jeff+Kuret"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-9-0)

ABSTRACT: Tau aggregate-bearing lesions are pathological markers and potential mediators of tauopathic neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease. The molecular chaperone DJ-1 colocalizes with tau pathology in these disorders, but it has been unclear what functional link exists between them. In this study, we examined the consequences of tau/DJ-1 interaction as isolated proteins *in vitro*. When added to full-length 2N4R tau under aggregation-promoting conditions, DJ-1 inhibited both the rate and extent of filament formation in a concentration-dependent manner. Inhibitory activity was low affinity, did not require ATP, and was not affected by substituting oxidation incompetent missense mutation C106A for wild-type DJ-1. In contrast, missense mutations previously linked to familial Parkinson's disease and loss of *α*-synuclein chaperone activity, M26I and E64D, displayed diminished tau chaperone activity relative to wild-type DJ-1. Although DJ-1 directly bound the isolated microtubule-binding repeat region of tau protein, exposure of preformed tau seeds to DJ-1 did not diminish seeding activity in a biosensor cell model. These data reveal DJ-1 to be a holdase chaperone capable of engaging tau as a client in addition to *α*-synuclein. Our findings support a role for DJ-1 as part of an endogenous defense against the aggregation of these intrinsically disordered proteins.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Tauopathies are a group of progressive neurodegenerative diseases associated with cognitive decline, with Alzheimer's disease (AD) being the most common form (reviewed¹). They are defined pathologically by the appearance of lesions containing tau, an intracellular microtubule-associated protein that normally functions as an intrinsically disordered monomer but, in disease, converts into nonfunctional aggregated forms.^{2,3} In model systems, aggregation follows nucleation– elongation kinetics,⁴ characterized by the initial formation of an unstable nucleus, followed by energetically favorable aggregate extension through addition of monomers to the ends of growing polymers.^{5,6} Mature tau aggregates have prionlike properties in biological model systems where they can "seed" misfolding and aggregation of normal tau monomers in naive cell populations (reviewed⁷). Because tau aggregate formation can be toxic, 8 identification of proteostasis factors

capable of resisting *de novo* nucleation or seeded conversion of tau into aggregates is a priority in the field.

Molecular chaperones constitute one of the cell's natural defenses against protein aggregation. They protect against inappropriate interactions (e.g., misfolding and aggregation) within crowded intracellular compartments through transient interactions with their client proteins.⁹ The human molecular "chaperome" contains hundreds of members, including elements of the ATP-dependent Hsp70 and Hsp90 families and their associated co-chaperones.^{[10](#page-10-0)} Tau protein interacts

Received: October 11, 2022 Revised: January 19, 2023 Published: February 22, 2023

with members of these canonical families in model systems,¹¹ but the identities of pathophysiologically relevant chaperones have not been fully established.

Candidate tau chaperones are not limited to consensus members of the human chaperome. DJ-1, a primarily cytosolic 39-kDa homodimer encoded by the *PARK7* gene, is a noncanonical molecular chaperone structurally homologous to the DJ-1/PfpI/ThiJ protein superfamily, which includes bacterial chaperone Hsp31 and diverse enzymes possessing hydrolase or other enzymatic activities[.12](#page-10-0)[−][14](#page-10-0) The former activity is mediated by surface residues acting independently of ATP hydrolysis 15 and is capable of modulating the aggregation and toxicity of proteins associated with neurodegeneration, including α -synuclein and huntingtin.^{[16,17](#page-10-0)} In contrast, the latter activity depends on a conserved catalytic triad involving a nucleophilic Cys residue.^{[12](#page-10-0),[13](#page-10-0)} Although human DJ-1 lacks a canonical catalytic triad¹⁸ and expresses only weak hydrolase activity,^{[19,20](#page-10-0)} its Cys residue at position 106 remains highly reactive, with oxidation to sulfinic and sulfonic acid forms affecting DJ-1 subcellular localization and biological activity in model systems (reviewed 21).

Consistent with a protective role for DJ-1 in neurodegenerative disease, certain *PARK7* mutations cause autosomal recessive forms of early-onset Parkinson's disease (PD) (reviewed 22). One class of mutant, exemplified by M26I, perturbs DJ-1 folding by replacing residues buried in the hydrophobic core region.^{[23,24](#page-10-0)} A second class, exemplified by E64D, involves conservative substitution of surface residues but otherwise leaves $DJ-1$ folding unperturbed.^{[24](#page-10-0)} In cellular models, these mutants weaken the ability of DJ-1 to interact with clients such as *α*-synuclein, with M26I also weakening inhibition of α -synuclein oligomerization.^{[17](#page-10-0)}

Although DJ-1 is most closely linked with synucleinopathy, several lines of evidence indicate it may also interact with tau protein. First, DJ-1 colocalizes with tau lesions in Alzheimer's and other tauopathic neurodegenerative diseases, $25-27$ $25-27$ $25-27$ suggesting a direct molecular interaction. Second, DJ-1 protein becomes oxidatively damaged in the brains of patients with AD as it does in idiopathic $PD₁²⁸$ suggesting they share a common mechanism of DJ-1 activation. Finally, a DJ-1 mutation linked to familial PD (L172Q, a core region missense mutation) accumulates filamentous tau aggregates in addition to Lewy body pathology.^{[29](#page-10-0)} These data position DJ-1 as a candidate modulator of tau in addition to *α*-synuclein aggregation.

Tau and *α*-synuclein-bearing lesions frequently appear as copathologies in neurodegenerative diseases.[30](#page-10-0) For example, tau pathology has been observed in both sporadic and familial forms of PD (reviewed³¹), whereas α -synuclein pathology is found in most AD cases, where it occasionally colocalizes with tau in the same cell. 32 Such neuropathological comorbidities correlate with worsening severity of clinical presentation and appear even in early-onset disease[.33](#page-10-0),[34](#page-11-0) Commonalities in molecular chaperone interactions may contribute to the pathogenic synergy between tau and *α*-synuclein.

Here, we investigate the ability of human DJ-1 to chaperone 2N4R tau protein aggregation and seeding *in vitro*. In addition, we assess the chaperone activities of M26I and E64D as representative core and surface missense mutations, respectively, and C106A, an oxidation incompetent form of DJ-1. We show that DJ-1 can inhibit aggregation by acting on aggregation steps involving tau monomers, that its activity is not dependent on oxidation of C106, and that its tau chaperone activity can be compromised by disease-causing mutations. The results reveal that DJ-1 can chaperone tau protein under aggregation-promoting conditions.

■ **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Protein Preparation. Full-length human tau (2N4R isoform; UniProt Accession ID P10636-8) and its deletion mutant A2_P251del L376_L441del (Δ4R construct) were expressed in BL21CodonPlus (DE3)-RP *Escherichia coli* cells (Agilent) and purified, as described previously.^{[35](#page-11-0)} Human DJ-1 (UniProt Accession ID Q99497) encoded by plasmid DJ-1 TEV site pET15b was a gift from Mark Wilson (Addgene plasmid #60687; [http://n2t.net/addgene:60687;](http://n2t.net/addgene:60687) RRID:Addgene 60687). This vector drives expression of DJ-1 fused to a poly-His sequence via a TEV-cleavable linker. DJ-1 missense mutants M26I, C106A, and E64D were created from DJ-1 pET15b by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange Lightning Kit (Agilent). Recombinant DJ-1 fusion proteins were prepared from *E. coli* by French pressure cell lysis (10 000 psi) and immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). Poly-His tags were removed by diluting DJ-1 fusion proteins to 2 mg/mL in Lysis buffer (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0) containing phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and incubating overnight with TEV protease (prepared and used as described^{[36](#page-11-0)}) within dialysis tubing (32-mm diameter dialysis; VWR #25225-248). Cleaved poly-His tags and undigested DJ-1 fusion proteins were then removed from the preparations by IMAC, whereas DJ-1 proteins were collected from flowthrough fractions concentrated by centrifugal ultrafiltration (10k molecular weight cutoff; Millipore #UFC901024) and polished by size exclusion chromatography (Sephacryl S-100 operated in 10 mM HEPES-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EGTA, pH 7.4). Protein purity was ascertained by SDS-PAGE, and concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method.³⁷

NMR Spectroscopy. Recombinant His-tagged Δ4R tau was labeled in M9 minimal medium containing ${}^{15}N\text{-}NH_{4}Cl$ as described previously³⁸ and then purified as described above and thrombin-treated (1 U/mg tau, 4 °C overnight) to yield nontagged $^{15}N-\Delta$ 4R tau. For NMR binding studies, samples contained 50 *μ*M 15N-labeled Δ4R Tau in NMR buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.8, 10 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) D_2O) and, when present, unlabeled DJ-1 (1:1 stoichiometry). After a 2 h incubation at room temperature, two-dimensional 1 H $-{}^{15}N$ heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectra were acquired (300 K) on a Bruker Advance III HD 800 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm triple-resonance inverse cryoprobe and Z-Gradients. Spectra were acquired using 16 scans per increment with spectral widths of 12 820 and 2270 Hz in the 1 H and 15 N dimensions, respectively. Data were processed and analyzed with TopSpin (Bruker Corp.) based on deposited assignments^{[39](#page-11-0)} for K18 tau (BioMagRes-Bank accession number 19253). Signal intensity ratios (I/I_0) were calculated from these data as described previously.^{11,[40](#page-11-0)}

Mass Spectrometry. Proteins were buffer-exchanged twice sequentially into 100 mM ammonium acetate (pH 6.8) using Micro Bio-Spin P6 columns with a 6 kDa size cutoff and adjusted to 1 *μ*M final concentration. Native mass spectrometry experiments were performed on a Thermo Scientific Q Exactive UHMR Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer previously modified with a surface-induced dissociation cell replacing the transfer multipole prior to the C-trap.⁴ Proteins were transferred from the solution to the gas phase by nanoelectrospray ionization (nESI) from in-house pulled

borosilicate capillaries (via a Sutter Instruments P-97 micropipette tip puller, Novato, CA). Approximately 5 *μ*L of the sample was loaded into the nESI emitter, and ∼1 kV was applied to the solution to generate ions. Mass spectra were acquired as an average of ∼60 scans using the following settings: resolution, 12k; trap gas, 4; in-source trapping, up to 60 V to desolvate complexes for accurate mass measurements; capillary temperature, 250 °C. Deconvolution of charge state distributions was performed with Unidec.^{[42](#page-11-0)} Predicted average masses were calculated from the expected sequences using ProtParam ([https://web.expasy.org/protparam/\)](https://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

Tau Aggregation. To aggregate tau monomers, recombinant human 2N4R tau preparations (1.5 *μ*M) were incubated (24 h at 37 °C without agitation) in Assembly Buffer (10 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl pH 7.4, 5 mM dithiothreitol) in the presence or absence of DJ-1 proteins (0−4.5 *μ*M) and aggregation inducers Geranine G (100 μ M, TCI America⁴³) or octadecyl sulfate (ODS, 50 μ M, Lancaster⁴⁴). Aggregation products were then analyzed by TEM, laser light scattering, or sedimentation assays described below.

To disaggregate tau, 2N4R tau filaments prepared as described above in the absence of DJ-1 were divided into two separate microfuge tubes, diluted 6% by the addition of either water or DJ-1 (4.5 *μ*M final DJ-1 concentration), and then incubated at 37 °C without agitation. Aliquots were removed from each sample after 0, 2, 4, and 6 h incubation and subjected to TEM assay as described below.

Laser Light Scattering. Aggregate reactions (120 *μ*L) were transferred to quartz cuvettes $(3 \times 3 \text{ mm})$ and illuminated with a diode-pumped solid-state laser ($\lambda = 635$ nm; Optotronics). Scattered light was captured at an angle of 90° to the incident lighting using a CMOS digital camera (DMK 22BUC03; Imaging Source) operated at an aperture of *f*/22 and an exposure time of 35 ms. Captured images were imported into ImageJ software, $45,46$ and the intensity of scattered light $(I_{s} (90^{\circ}))$ was estimated from the average value of a 10×10 pixel region of interest. The scattering intensity captured at zero exposure time (dark current) was subtracted from all measurements as described previously. 47 Measurements were collected from biological replicates (*n* = 3).

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). Aliquots of aggregation reactions (50 *μ*L) were adsorbed onto 300-mesh formvar/carbon-coated grids (Electron Microscopy Services), stained with 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate, and imaged on the FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit TEM as described previously.^{[43](#page-11-0)} At least three images were captured at random from each experimental condition at 15−35k-fold magnification using a MacroFire camera (Optronics). Aggregate lengths were measured and calibrated with scale bars using ImageJ. Total fibril length per grid was calculated as the sum of the lengths of all fibrils in a single image. Measurements were collected from technical replicates ($n = 4-6$ images).

Sedimentation. Aggregate reactions (100 *μ*L) were centrifuged (100 000*g* for 1 h at 4 °C). The resulting supernatant fraction was carefully removed, whereas the pellet was resuspended in an equal volume of Assembly Buffer. All samples were then boiled for 5 min in SDS sample buffer, and then equal volumes of supernatant and pellet samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE (4−20% acrylamide; BioRad TGX) with Coomassie Blue staining (Precision Plus Protein Standards, BioRad). The resulting proportion of soluble tau in the supernatant versus insoluble tau in the pellet was quantified by densitometry using the ImageJ gel analyzer tool.⁴⁵ Band densities were normalized as a fraction of the sum of the supernatant and pellet for each reaction. Measurements were made from biological replicates (*n* = 3).

Cell Seeding Assays. DS9 seeds were prepared from DS9 HEK293 cells.^{[48](#page-11-0)} After cell breakage in PBS containing 0.05% Triton X-100 and 1 x Halt protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo #78440), lysates were centrifuged sequentially at 500*g* (5 min) and 1000*g* (5 min) at 4 °C and then divided into 5−10 *μ*L aliquots. Synthetic 2N4R tau seeds were obtained from aggregates prepared, as described above. These were centrifuged at 100 000*g* for 1 h to yield a pellet fraction that was resuspended in Assembly Buffer and then divided into aliquots without additional shearing or sonication. Both DS9 and 2N4R tau preparations were quantified for protein content by BCA assay and then stored at −80 °C for one-time use.

Seeding activity was quantified in biosensor cells (Tau RD P301S FRET Biosensor; ATCC CRL-3275)⁴⁹ maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin−streptomycin, and 2 mM L-alanyl-Lglutamine in a humidified atmosphere (37 °C and 5% $CO₂$). Cells were plated in 12-well plates at a density of 1×10^5 cells and grown for 24 h to 60% confluency. Tau seeds incubated with recombinant DJ-1, M26I, or control BSA for 16 h at 37 °C were transfected into these cells with Lipofectamine 3000 for 24 h.

Biosensor cell seeding was detected using flow cytometry (BD LSR Fortessa Cell Analyzer) as described previously.⁴⁹ In brief, cells were harvested with trypsin neutralized with media containing 10% FBS, washed, and resuspended in PBS containing 1% BSA. To detect CFP and FRET, cells were excited with 405 nm laser light and fluorescence-detected on 450/40 and 525/50 nm band-pass filters, respectively. FRET signals were quantified using the gating strategy described previously.[50](#page-11-0) FRET positivity, defined as the percentage of cells gated in the FRET channel, was used for all analyses. Three biological replicates were performed per condition, with each replicate capturing 30 000−50 000 events. Data were analyzed and compensated using Kaluza analysis software version 2.1 (Beckman Coulter).

Data Analysis. Tau aggregation lag time, minimal concentration (K_{min}) , and protomer dissociation rate constant (*k*^e [−]) were estimated as described previously.[43](#page-11-0) Briefly, aggregation lag times (defined as the time when the tangent to the point of maximum aggregation rate intersects the abscissa of the sigmoidal curve) 51 were obtained from time series by nonlinear regression with a three-parameter Gompertz growth function.⁵² Minimal concentrations required to support aggregation were estimated by extrapolating the tau concentration dependence of total filament length to the abscissa intercept. Protomer dissociation rate constant (k_e^-) was obtained from the exponential decay of filament length as a function of time.

Inhibition of tau aggregation (y) as a function of DJ-1 concentration (x) was quantified with the hyperbola

$$
y = \frac{y_{\text{max}} \times x}{\text{IC}_{50} + x} \tag{1}
$$

where y_{max} represents the maximum inhibition at plateau and IC_{50} is the concentration of DJ-1 at half-maximal inhibition.

Figure 1. Recombinant DJ-1 is primarily a dimer in solution. (A−D) nMS charge state distributions in the 1000−6000 *m*/*z* range and (E−H) deconvolved masses for (A, E) wild-type DJ-1 (WT) and mutants (B, F) M26I, (C, G) E64D and (D, H) C106A, where red triangles and purple circles symbolize dimers and monomers, respectively. These data indicate that all recombinant DJ-1 preparations shared primarily a dimeric quaternary structure.

Figure 2. DJ-1 suppresses tau aggregation. 2N4R tau protein (1.5 μ M) was incubated under aggregation conditions for 24 h in the absence and presence of purified recombinant DJ-1 and then assayed for fibrillation. (A) Laser light scattering assay, where each bar represents the normalized light scattering intensity $(I_s(90^\circ)) \pm$ S.D. (*n* = 3). Light scattering intensity changed biphasically in the presence of DJ-1, reaching a minimum at 1:3 and 1:1 DJ-1:tau ratios (**p* < 0.05 compared to the tau-only condition; ANOVA with Dunnett's *post-hoc* test). (B−E) Representative TEM assay, where each panel is a representative micrograph collected at the stated condition. (B) In the absence of DJ-1, tau aggregated to form filaments. (C−E) Although increasing DJ-1 concentrations depressed tau fibrillation monotonically, the highest DJ-1:tau ratios also generated large amorphous aggregates (arrowheads).

Biosensor cell FRET positivity data (*y*) as a function of seed protein amount (x) were fitted by the four-parameter logistic function

$$
y = y_{\min} + \frac{y_{\max}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{EC_{50}}\right)^n}
$$
 (2)

where y_{min} and y_{max} represent the minimum and plateau FRET positivity in the absence and presence of seeds, respectively, *n* is the Hill coefficient, and EC_{50} is the concentration of seeds at 50% of plateau FRET positivity.

All measured parameters are reported \pm S.D., whereas those estimated through parametric fitting are reported \pm standard error of the estimate (SEE). Differences among assay groups

Figure 3. Sedimentation assay. (A) Representative SDS-PAGE of pellet (P) and supernatant (S) fractions from aggregation reactions performed in the absence (−) and presence (+) of 2N4R tau, DJ-1, or ovalbumin (OA) and Geranine G inducer. M, Marker proteins calibrated in kDa. (B) Proportion of 2N4R tau (green) and (C) either DJ-1 (red) or OA (blue) in pellet and supernatant fractions quantified by densitometric analysis after SDS-PAGE (n.s., not significant; *****p* < 0.0001, ANOVA with Dunnett's *post-hoc* test).

were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's post-hoc multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism software, whereas pairwise comparisons were analyzed by *t*-test. For all data, the null hypothesis was rejected at *p* < 0.05.

■ **RESULTS**

Recombinant DJ-1 Proteins are Dimers in Solution. Human DJ-1 and mutants M26I, E64D, and C106A were expressed as His-tagged fusion proteins in *E. coli*. After purification by immobilized metal affinity chromatography, His tags were removed using Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease and gel filtration chromatography to yield preparations consisting of 189 amino acid subunits containing N-terminal Ser instead of Met. Because DJ-1 chaperone function depends in part on the maintenance of dimeric quaternary structure, $\frac{1}{2}$ the composition of all recombinant DJ-1 preparations was interrogated using native mass spectrometry (nMS). Two charge state distributions were identified, ranging from 13+ to 10+ for the first and 7+ to 4+ for the second [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 1A−D).

Mass spectra deconvolution revealed that the first distribution contained major proteoforms corresponding to the predicted molecular weights for protein dimers [\(Figure](#page-3-0) [1](#page-3-0)E−H). In contrast, the second distribution corresponded to a minor component consisting of dissociated protein monomers ([Figure](#page-3-0) 1E−H). The absolute levels of monomer in each preparation depend in part on the relative gas-phase stability of dimers and their sensitivity to the in-source collisional activation applied to all samples for accurate mass measurement. Nonetheless, the relative intensity of deconvolved nMS data reveal that the dominant oligomeric state of all recombinant DJ-1 and mutant preparations after heterologous expression and TEV cleavage is the dimer, with no evidence for higher molecular weight species.

DJ-1 Modulates Tau Aggregation *In Vitro***.** To investigate the ability of DJ-1 to chaperone tau protein aggregation, recombinant full-length human 2N4R tau was incubated in the absence and presence of the DJ-1 preparations described above and aggregation inducer Geranine G. These conditions were employed because they support aggregation of tau at physiological low micromolar tau concentrations 53 under near-physiological conditions of pH, reducing conditions, and ionic strength.^{[5](#page-10-0),[54](#page-11-0),[55](#page-11-0)} Moreover, aggregation under these conditions approximates a homogeneous nucleation scheme characterized by the initial formation of an unstable dimeric

nucleus followed by filament elongation through monomer addition.^{[5](#page-10-0)} As reported previously, 2N4R tau alone formed filamentous aggregates that were observable by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and laser light scattering methods ([Figure](#page-3-0) 2A,B). Introduction of DJ-1 at substoichiometric DJ-1 concentrations (i.e., 1:3 DJ-1:tau ratio) depressed both light scattering intensity ([Figure](#page-3-0) 2A) and total filament lengths ([Figure](#page-3-0) 2C). As DJ-1 rose to stoichiometric levels (i.e., 1:1 DJ-1:tau), the light scattering signal remained depressed [\(Figure](#page-3-0) [2](#page-3-0)A), whereas filament length observed by TEM decreased further and was accompanied by the appearance of globular coaggregates [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2D). At suprastoichiometric DJ-1 levels (3:1 DJ-1:tau), light scattering intensity rebounded toward control levels [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2A), whereas filament lengths decreased still further, and the globular co-aggregates became more pronounced ([Figure](#page-3-0) 2E).

These data show that DJ-1 can depress tau aggregation in a concentration-dependent manner. They also suggest that DJ-1 and tau form large nonfilamentous complexes that confound light scattering methods.

To test this hypothesis, products of aggregation reactions were separated into soluble and pellet fractions by ultracentrifugation and detected by SDS-PAGE (Figure 3A). In the absence of Geranine G inducer, both DJ-1 and 2N4R tau distributed almost exclusively into the soluble fraction (Figure 3B,C). However, incubation of tau alone with Geranine G shifted it primarily into the insoluble fraction (Figure 3B,C), consistent with its aggregation. In contrast, DJ-1 incubated alone with Geranine G remained soluble. These data confirm that Geranine G is an inducer of tau but not DJ-1 aggregation. Nonetheless, when DJ-1 and tau were incubated together (3:1 ratio) in the presence of Geranine G inducer, a significant portion of DJ-1 joined tau in the insoluble fraction (Figure 3B,C). This association did not appear to result from nonspecific trapping within tau aggregates because ovalbumin failed to partition into the pellet fraction when present as a replacement for DJ-1 (Figure 3C). Taken together, TEM, light scattering, and sedimentation data indicate that DJ-1 can antagonize tau filament formation at stoichiometric concentrations while yielding nonfilamentous complexes composed of tau and DJ-1. Because of its ability to resolve filamentous from amorphous morphology, the TEM assay was used for all subsequent experiments.

Figure 4. DJ-1 mutants vary with respect to tau chaperone activity. 2N4R tau protein (1.5 *μ*M) was incubated under aggregation conditions for 24 h in the absence or presence of purified recombinant wild-type (WT) DJ-1 or mutants M26I, E64D, and C106A at varying molar ratios and then assayed for fibrillation using TEM. (A) WT DJ-1 tested with Geranine G (GG) and ODS inducers, where each bar represents aggregation as a normalized percentage of total filament length/field in the absence of DJ-1 ± S.D. (**p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01; ****p* < 0.001; *****p* < 0.0001 compared to the tau-only condition; ANOVA with Dunnett's *post-hoc* test). (B) Replot of Panel (A) data, where data points represent the mean percent inhibition of aggregation relative to the tau-only condition \pm S.D. and the solid lines represent the best fit of the data points to [eq](#page-2-0) 1. (C) DJ-1 mutants M26I, E64D, and C106A tested with Geranine G inducer, with ANOVA performed as described in Panel (A). (D) Replot of Panel (C) data, with nonlinear regression performed as described for Panel (B).

Disease-Associated DJ-1 Mutations Differentially Affect Tau Chaperone Tau Activity. To quantify chaperone activity toward 2N4R tau, wild-type DJ-1, disease-associated mutants M26I and E64D, and oxidation incompetent mutant C106A were tested in the TEM assay. Wild-type DJ-1 significantly depressed total filament length at all tested concentrations in the range 0.5−4.5 *μ*M (Figure 4A). The concentration dependence appeared saturable without evidence of cooperativity, indicating the absence of tight binding kinetics despite the analytes being present at stoichiometric concentrations.[56](#page-11-0) Fitting these data with a one-site saturation binding isotherm yielded an estimate of affinity (IC_{50}) of 0.66 \pm 0.06 μ M with 87.2 \pm 2.4% aggregation inhibition predicted at saturation (Figure 4B). These data show that DJ-1 is an effective 2N4R tau chaperone at stoichiometric DJ-1:tau ratios, but like members of the classical chaperome, its interaction is low affinity (reviewed $5⁷$). To test whether chaperone activity was inducer-specific, an identical titration was performed with ODS, a tau aggregation inducer that functions in micellar form 44 (Figure 4A). Fitting the resulting data with a one-site saturation binding isotherm yielded an IC₅₀ of 0.97 \pm 0.35 μ M with 84.4 \pm 10.2% aggregation inhibition at saturation (Figure 4B). These data show that wild-type DJ-1 can antagonize 2N4R tau fibrillation driven by structurally diverse anionic inducers.

A similar inhibitory profile was observed for DJ-1 mutant C106A in the presence of Geranine G inducer (IC₅₀ = 0.50 \pm 0.02 *μ*M; Figure 4A,B), indicating that oxidation of the DJ-1 redox sensor was not required for chaperone activity on tau protein. In contrast, mutant M26I was active only at the highest tested concentration, whereas E64D was inactive at all tested concentrations. These data indicate that two representative mutants associated with autosomal recessive PD have weakened chaperone activity against 2N4R tau protein.

DJ-1 Antagonizes Nucleation and Elongation Phases of Tau Aggregation. Chaperone proteins differentially interfere with nucleation and/or elongation steps of tau aggregation. 11 11 11 To characterize the mechanism of DJ-1 chaperone activity, the effects of wild-type DJ-1 on the timedependent evolution of 2N4R total filament length were investigated using TEM. In both the presence and absence of stoichiometric concentrations of DJ-1, Geranine G-induced 2N4R tau fibrillation displayed sigmoidal kinetics comprising initial lag, exponential growth, and final plateau phases [\(Figure](#page-6-0) [5](#page-6-0)A). However, the presence of DJ-1 lengthened the lag phase nearly 2-fold, indicating a slowing of the rate-limiting step of nucleation-dependent aggregation [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 5B). DJ-1 also depressed total aggregate levels at the plateau, indicating a decrease in tau supersaturation ([Figure](#page-6-0) 5A). Consistent with this observation, the minimal concentration of tau protein

Figure 5. DJ-1 modulates tau aggregation kinetics. 2N4R tau protein was incubated under aggregation conditions (24 h at 37 °C) in the absence (solid circles) or presence (hollow circles) of purified recombinant wild-type DJ-1 (1:1 DJ-1:tau ratio) and then assayed for filament formation as a function of time using TEM. (A) Aggregation time series (1.5 *μ*M tau, absence and presence of 1:1 DJ-1:tau), where each data point represents total filament lengths/field calculated from electron microscopy images ± S.D. (*n* = 4−6) and the solid lines represent the best fit of data to a threeparameter Gompertz growth function. Values for lag time were estimated from these plots. (B) Replot of lag times determined from time series, where each bar represents the lag time ± propagated SEE. The presence of stoichiometric DJ-1:tau ratios increased lag time relative to tau-only control (****p* < 0.001, *t*-test). (C) Minimal concentration measurement in the absence and presence (1:1 DJ-1:tau ratio), where each data point represents total filament length/field at aggregation plateau as a function of bulk tau concentration (mean ± S.D. of triplicate determinations) and the solid lines represent linear regression analysis. The abscissa intercept was obtained by extrapolation (dotted lines) and taken as the minimal concentration (*K*min). (D) Data replots, where each bar represents the *K*min ± propagated S.E.E. The presence of DJ-1 increased *K*min relative to tauonly control (**p* < 0.05). (E) Disaggregation rate measurement. Tau filaments taken at the aggregation plateau were incubated in the presence or absence of 1:1 DJ-1:tau ratio and then assayed for total length as a function of time by TEM. Each data point represents the total filament length per field \pm S.D. ($n = 3$), whereas the solid line represents the best fit of data points by a simple exponential decay function. The first-order decay constant k_{app} was estimated from each regression and used in conjunction with filament length and number at time $t = 0$ to calculate dissociation rate constant *k*_c−. (F) Replot of data, where each bar represents estimated *k*_c−. The addition of DJ-1 induced filament disaggregation (***p* < 0.01).

required to support filament formation increased significantly in the presence of DJ-1 (Figure 5C,D). To determine whether this effect could destabilize filaments, 2N4R tau was aggregated to plateau and then incubated for an additional 6 h in the absence and presence of DJ-1. In the absence of DJ-1, total filament length was stable, reflecting minimal changes in equilibrium between tau monomers and filament ends under these conditions. In contrast, the presence of DJ-1 induced the time-dependent disaggregation of the filament population with first-order kinetics as predicted for endwise depolymeriza-tion^{[58,59](#page-11-0)} (Figure 5E). The dissociation rate constant (k_e^-) calculated from these data was $1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 5F). Together, these data reveal that stoichiometric levels of DJ-1 both slow the rate and lower the extent of tau fibrillation while shifting the equilibrium at filament ends to destabilize mature aggregates.

Figure 6. DJ-1 interacts with the tau microtubule-binding repeat region. (A) Schematic diagram of 2N4R tau comprising N-terminal alternatively spliced (N1 and N2), proline-rich (PRR), and microtubule-binding (MTBR) regions. The MTBR (highlighted in yellow) is composed of four imperfect repeats (R1−R4) containing two hexapeptides implicated in tau aggregate nucleation (PHF6* and PHF6). Tau truncation mutant Δ4R tau consists only of the MTBR defined on the basis of sequence alignment.[67](#page-11-0) (B) Superposition of 2D ¹ H−15N HSQC spectra of Δtau (50 *μ*M) in the absence (black) and presence (red) of equimolar concentrations of DJ-1. (C) Binding profile on Δ4R probed by NMR spectroscopy after addition of DJ-1 into ¹H−¹⁵N Δ4R at 1:1 molar ratio. Changes in NMR signal intensity ratios (I/I_0) are plotted against tau residue number. The red dotted lines represent the residues associated with the PHF6* and PHF6 nucleation motifs.

Figure 7. DJ-1 does not alter the seeding potential of tau seeds. Seeds prepared from DS9 cells and recombinant 2N4R tau protein aggregates were transfected into biosensor cells and monitored for FRET positivity using flow cytometry. Representative scatter plots in the absence (A) and presence (B) of DS9 seeds showing that the appearance of signals in the FRET-positive gate (solid lines) was seed-dependent. (C) Quantification of compensated FRET positivity rate (%) as a function of seed dose, where each point represents singlicate determination and the solid line represents best fit by a four-parameter logistic function ([eq](#page-3-0) 2). EC₅₀ values for DS9 and 2N4R tau seeds were 0.16 \pm 0.02 and 1.2 \pm 0.6 μ g, respectively. (D, E) Seeding of biosensor cells by DS9 (0.16 *μ*g) or 2N4R tau (1.2 *μ*g) after preincubation with 0, 0.8 or 2.4 *μ*M wild-type DJ-1 (WT), M26I mutant, or BSA control protein. Each bar represents the average (*n* = 3) FRET % positivity ± S.D. (**p* < 0.05 compared to the seedonly condition; ANOVA with Dunnett's *post-hoc* test).

DJ-1 Interacts with the MTBR of Tau Monomer. Tau aggregation *in vivo*^{[60](#page-11-0)} and in recombinant systems^{61,[62](#page-11-0)} is mediated by its microtubule-binding region (MTBR). In 2N4R tau, this region is composed of four imperfect repeats that harbor the PHF6* and PHF6 hexapeptide motifs implicated in aggregate nucleation^{[63](#page-11-0),[64](#page-11-0)} (Figure 6A). Certain members of the canonical chaperome family interact with this region.^{[11](#page-10-0)[,40](#page-11-0)} To test for direct interaction between tau and DJ-1, the MTBR of 2N4R (termed Δ 4R tau) was labeled with ¹⁵N, incubated in the absence and presence of DJ-1, and then subjected to two-dimensional $^{1}H-^{15}N$ heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) NMR spectroscopy. The NMR

approach has been used to map sites of interaction between chaperones and labeled tau proteins through the detection of changes in resonance position or intensity.[40](#page-11-0) In the absence of DJ-1, HSQC spectra of Δ4R tau revealed a small chemical shift dispersion with amide ¹ H frequencies clustering narrowly within the 8.6−7.6 ppm region ([Figure](#page-7-0) 6B), a characteristic feature of intrinsically disordered proteins.⁶⁵ This spectrum was consistent with previous reports of 4-repeat MTBR remaining in an intrinsically disordered and monomeric state in the absence of aggregation inducers.^{[66](#page-11-0)} The presence of DJ-1 at stoichiometric concentration did not change the pattern ([Figure](#page-7-0) 6B) but did depress signal intensity ratios throughout the MTBR, including sequences overlapping the PHF6* and PHF6 nucleation motifs ([Figure](#page-7-0) 6C). These results show that DJ-1 can interact directly with tau sequences comprising the aggregation-prone MTBR when presented in an intrinsically disordered form.

DJ-1 Does Not Directly Modulate Tau Seed Activity. In addition to interacting with tau monomers, certain canonical chaperones interact with tau seeds to depress their ability to induce aggregation within naive cell populations. 11 11 11 To investigate whether DJ-1 shared this activity, tau seeds were incubated in the presence of bovine serum albumin (BSA) control or DJ-1 and then tested for seeding activity in Tau RD P301S FRET biosensor cells.^{[49](#page-11-0)} Two sources of seeds were examined: products of 2N4R tau aggregation induced by Geranine G and 2N4R^{244−372} proteoforms derived from HEK293 cell line DS9 (a.k.a. Clone 9^{48}). The former source was used because it was composed of full-length tau, whereas the latter was included because of its ability to reproducibly seed and propagate aggregates with strain-like properties.⁴ Seeding activity was detected using a flow cytometry assay^{[50](#page-11-0)} with compensation for overlapping emission spectra performed by a positive FRET gate constructed from seeded and nonseeded biosensor cells ([Figure](#page-7-0) 7A) and validated with single-color YFP-tau and CFP-tau control cells (data not shown). As a result, seeding was quantified as the percentage of $singlet/CFP⁺$ cells exhibiting a positive FRET signal [\(Figure](#page-7-0) [7](#page-7-0)B). Both seed preparations generated concentration-dependent FRET signals when transfected into biosensor cells, with DS9 being more potent ($EC_{50} = 0.16 \ \mu$ g lysate) and efficacious (41% cell positivity at plateau) than synthetic 2N4R conformers (EC_{50} = 1.2 μ g tau; 13.8% cell positivity at plateau) [\(Figure](#page-7-0) 7C). However, the seeding efficiencies of DS9 ([Figure](#page-7-0) 7D) and 2N4R ([Figure](#page-7-0) 7E) were not altered by preincubation with either DJ-1 or M26I mutant when tested at their respective EC_{50} s. These data indicate that direct exposure of tau seeds to exogenous DJ-1 did not alter their seeding potential in this model system.

■ **DISCUSSION**

This study reveals that DJ-1 exhibits chaperone activity toward full-length 2N4R human tau protein. We find that the interaction resembles the "holdase" activity of canonical chaperones 68,69 68,69 68,69 68,69 68,69 in favoring the intrinsically disordered monomeric state of tau as a client and being ATP independent. It also is low affinity, consistent with the reported failure to detect soluble DJ-1/tau complexes by co-immunoprecipitation.[70](#page-11-0) Although we find that the tau MTBR can mediate DJ-1/tau interaction, it cannot be excluded that sequences outside this region also contribute to the binding of full-length tau isoforms.

DJ-1 interaction with full-length tau at stoichiometric ratios extends aggregation lag time (which for nucleation-dependent aggregation varies inversely with nucleation rates) 51 and elevates minimal concentrations required to support entry into the aggregation pathway. The altered equilibrium at filament ends also destabilizes mature aggregates, leading to first-order disaggregation kinetics resembling those reported upon dilution of tau aggregates below the minimal concentration 5 or exposure to aggregation inhibitors that sequester tau monomers.^{[59](#page-11-0)} In these cases, first-order kinetics result from passive endwise dissociation of tau from the filament ends. In contrast, incubation of preformed tau seeds composed of full-length tau or its MTBR with DJ-1 did not modulate their seeding efficiencies. These data indicate that DJ-1 lacks the disaggregase activity displayed by certain ATP-dependent chaperones in this model system.^{[11](#page-10-0)} Nonetheless, prion-like seeding also requires protein monomers as substrate, and the partial sequestration of tau protein by DJ-1 is likely to depress the rate of prion-like templating similar to other monomer-dependent interactions. Overall, the holdase activity of wild-type DJ-1 dimer can protect full-length tau protein from interactions that drive aggregation.

Although not upregulated in AD as part of an adaptive response to stress like certain canonical chaperones, DJ-1 is regulated post-translationally through oxidation of Cys residues, including C106. Oxidation of C106 up to its sulfinic acid form (i.e., C106-SO₂H) has minimal effects on DJ-1 dimeric structure but enhances its ability to engage specific clients such as *α*-synuclein.[71](#page-11-0) Oxidation also regulates DJ-1 subcellular distribution so that it can access binding partners localized to organelles, including stress granules $\frac{72}{2}$ $\frac{72}{2}$ $\frac{72}{2}$ and mitochondria (reviewed 21). However, further C106 oxidation to sulfonic acid form (i.e., $C106-SO₃H$) owing to chronic oxidative stress destabilizes dimeric DJ-1 structure and leads to irreversible inactivation.^{[73](#page-11-0),[74](#page-12-0)} Here, we found that oxidation incompetent C106A mutant retains full tau chaperone activity, indicating that oxidation is not required for interaction with this specific client. These data predict that DJ-1 can protect full-length tau protein against aggregation under basal conditions in the absence of oxidative stress.

DJ-1 functions normally as a soluble homodimer, but a fraction of it also forms supramolecular proteoforms that have been reported as "inclusions" when observed within cells or brain tissue and "high-molecular-weight" (HMW) complexes when detected biochemically.^{[70](#page-11-0),[75](#page-12-0)} Most HMW complexes range from 200 to 500 $kDa^{75,76}$ $kDa^{75,76}$ $kDa^{75,76}$ (although even larger species have been reported) 70 and consist of both homotypic and heterotypic species. HMW complex formation can be induced by the presence of clients such as α -synuclein,⁷⁷ by osmotic or oxidative stress,^{72,[78](#page-12-0)} by phosphate ion,^{[79](#page-12-0)} or, in the case of bacterial homologue Hsp31, by zinc cation.^{[80](#page-12-0)} Here, we found that large complexes detectable by TEM, laser light scattering spectroscopy, and sedimentation also could be induced by incubation of DJ-1 in the presence of tau protein under nearphysiological buffer conditions and bulk tau concentrations. These data suggest a potential source of the insoluble tau/DJ-1 complexes observed in tauopathic neurodegenerative disease tissue, including AD and frontotemporal dementias. $26,27$

Although heterotypic HMW complexes have been proposed to represent functional assemblies for certain constituent proteins,^{[76](#page-12-0)} their sequestration of DJ-1 reportedly renders them nonfunctional with respect to chaperone activity.^{[75](#page-12-0)} Diseasecausing DJ-1 mutants can raise complex-forming propensity

still further resulting in DJ-1 inactivation. For example, M26I maintains dimeric structure in solution, but exhibits decreased secondary structure and thermodynamic stability, 24 which in turn fosters aggregation and loss of function. $23,81$ $23,81$ The surface missense mutant E64D also greatly increases aggregation propensity, as evidenced by inclusion formation in HEK293 cells.[77](#page-12-0) Here, we found that both M26I and E64D have significantly lower tau chaperone activity than wild-type DJ-1. These data show that two distinct classes of disease-associated DJ-1 mutants modulate tau chaperone activity in parallel with previous observations.

To date, only one homozygous *PARK7* mutant linked to familial PD (case 22 years of age) has been characterized pathologically, revealing a phenotype resembling advancedstage sporadic PD with respect to *α*-synuclein-bearing Lewy bodies but to only early-stage AD with respect to tau-bearing neurofibrillary pathology.²⁹ If DJ-1 functions toward chaperone tau misfolding and aggregation in parallel with *α*-synuclein and other clients, why does a core DJ-1 mutant generate primarily Lewy body pathology over tauopathy? One possible contributing factor is that tau protein normally associates with the microtubule cytoskeleton rather than with DJ-1 in cytosol. Release of tau from its high-affinity physiological binding partner into cytosol and accumulation above the minimal concentration required for aggregation require tau hyperphosphorylation. $82,83$ The stresses that cause tau to incorporate up to 6–9 mol/mol phosphate^{84,[85](#page-12-0)} are not fully understood, but the process is age-dependent, with the onset of sporadic AD typically being over 65 years of age^{[86](#page-12-0)} and of frontotemporal lobar degeneration tauopathy over 50 years of age.^{[87](#page-12-0)} In contrast, early-onset PD, including cases caused by *PARK7* mutants, appears between ages 20 and 40.[88](#page-12-0) Under conditions of early-onset disease, release of tau into cytosol may be the rate-limiting step for aggregation rather than loss of DJ-1 chaperone activity. Indeed, complete knockout of DJ-1 in mice reproduces neither the synucleinopathy nor neurodegeneration of PD, $89,90$ $89,90$ $89,90$ indicating that DJ-1 is not the governing modulator of lesion formation under these experimental conditions. Further work will be required to identify disease stages when DJ-1-mediated effects on tau and *α*-synuclein aggregation become rate-determining.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, these data provide biochemical evidence that DJ-1 molecular chaperone activity depresses tau aggregation propensity and that its effectiveness is weakened by mutations linked to familial disease. The findings suggest an opportunity to control tau as well as *α*-synuclein aggregation and toxicity in tauopathies through stabilizing or enhancing DJ-1 activity. Such approaches are needed because comorbid cases may not respond to therapies that target a single pathology. Understanding the mechanisms that contribute to comorbidity could be important for providing a new approach to treating neurodegenerative diseases.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

Accession Codes

2N4R tau: UniProtKB P10636-8 and DJ-1: UniProtKB Q99497.

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Jeff Kuret − *Department of Biological Chemistry and Pharmacology, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-8083-8406;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8083-8406) Email: [kuret.3@](mailto:kuret.3@osu.edu) [osu.edu](mailto:kuret.3@osu.edu)

Authors

- Daniela Jimenez-Harrison − *Medical Scientist Training Program, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States;* ● orcid.org/0000-0003-0786-7988
- Carol J. Huseby − *Department of Biological Chemistry and Pharmacology, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Claire N. Hoffman − *Department of Biological Chemistry and Pharmacology, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Steven Sher − *Medical Scientist Training Program, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Dalton Snyder − *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Brayden Seal − *Department of Biological Chemistry and Pharmacology, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Chunhua Yuan − *Campus Chemical Instrument Center, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Hongjun Fu − *Department of Neuroscience, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Vicki Wysocki − *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States*
- Flaviano Giorgini − *Department of Genetics and Genome Biology, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, United Kingdom*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.2c00581](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biochem.2c00581?ref=pdf)

Funding

This project was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (RF1AG054018 [to J.K.]). Mass spectrometry experiments were supported by the Resource for Native Mass Spectrometry Guided Structural Biology (P41GM128577 [to V.W.]). C.N.H. was supported by Molecular Biophysics Training grant T32 GM118291.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank Dr. Marc Diamond, University of Texas Southwestern, for providing DS9 and single-color cell control HEK293 cell lines and Dr. Rosa Lapalombella and the Experimental Hematology Laboratory at The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center (OSUCCC) for the usage of the BD LSR Fortessa. The authors acknowledge resources from the Campus Microscopy and Imaging Facility (CMIF) and the OSUCCC Microscopy Shared Resource (MSR), the Ohio State University.

■ **ABBREVIATIONS** AD, Alzheimer's disease; BCA, bicinchoninic acid; IMAC, immobilized metal affinity chromatography; MTBR, microtubule-binding region; nMS, native mass spectroscopy; PD, Parkinson's disease; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; TEV, Tobacco Etch Virus

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Limorenko, G.; Lashuel, H. A. [Revisiting](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CS00127B) the grammar of Tau [aggregation](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CS00127B) and pathology formation: how new insights from brain pathology are shaping how we study and target [Tauopathies.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CS00127B) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2022, *51*, 513−565.

(2) Gendron, T. F.; Petrucelli, L. The role of tau in [neuro](https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-4-13)[degeneration.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-4-13) *Mol. Neurodegener.* 2009, *4*, 13.

(3) Tracy, T. E.; Gan, L. [Tau-mediated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.04.027) synaptic and neuronal dysfunction in [neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.04.027) disease. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 2018, *51*, 134−138.

(4) Friedhoff, P.; von Bergen, M.; Mandelkow, E. M.; Davies, P.; Mandelkow, E. A nucleated assembly [mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.26.15712) of Alzheimer paired helical [filaments.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.26.15712) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1998, *95*, 15712−15717.

(5) Congdon, E. E.; Kim, S.; Bonchak, J.; Songrug, T.; Matzavinos, A.; Kuret, J. [Nucleation-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M800247200) tau filament formation: the importance of [dimerization](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M800247200) and an estimation of elementary rate [constants.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M800247200) *J. Biol. Chem.* 2008, *283*, 13806−13816.

(6) Ramachandran, G.; Udgaonkar, J. B. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.271874) the kinetic roles of the inducer heparin and of rod-like [protofibrils](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.271874) during amyloid fibril [formation](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.271874) by Tau protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011, *286*, 38948−38959.

(7) Vaquer-Alicea, J.; Diamond, M. I. [Propagation](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-045049) of Protein Aggregation in [Neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-045049) Diseases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2019, *88*, 785−810.

(8) Mocanu, M. M.; Nissen, A.; Eckermann, K.; Khlistunova, I.; Biernat, J.; Drexler, D.; Petrova, O.; Schonig, K.; Bujard, H.; Mandelkow, E.; Zhou, L.; Rune, G.; Mandelkow, E. M. The [potential](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2824-07.2008) for *β*-structure in the repeat domain of tau protein [determines](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2824-07.2008) [aggregation,](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2824-07.2008) synaptic decay, neuronal loss, and coassembly with [endogenous](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2824-07.2008) Tau in inducible mouse models of tauopathy. *J. Neurosci.* 2008, *28*, 737−748.

(9) Hartl, F. U.; Bracher, A.; Hayer-Hartl, M. Molecular [chaperones](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10317) in protein folding and [proteostasis.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10317) *Nature* 2011, *475*, 324−332.

(10) Brehme, M.; Voisine, C.; Rolland, T.; Wachi, S.; Soper, J. H.; Zhu, Y.; Orton, K.; Villella, A.; Garza, D.; Vidal, M.; Ge, H.; Morimoto, R. I. A chaperome subnetwork safeguards [proteostasis](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.09.042) in aging and [neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.09.042) disease. *Cell Rep.* 2014, *9*, 1135−1150. (11) Mok, S. A.; Condello, C.; Freilich, R.; Gillies, A.; Arhar, T.; Oroz, J.; Kadavath, H.; Julien, O.; Assimon, V. A.; Rauch, J. N.; Dunyak, B. M.; Lee, J.; Tsai, F. T. F.; Wilson, M. R.; Zweckstetter, M.; Dickey, C. A.; Gestwicki, J. E. Mapping [interactions](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-018-0057-1) with the chaperone network reveals factors that protect against tau [aggregation.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-018-0057-1) *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2018, *25*, 384−393.

(12) Lucas, J. I.; Marin, I. A new [evolutionary](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl186) paradigm for the [Parkinson](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl186) disease gene DJ-1. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2006, *24*, 551−561.

(13) Bandyopadhyay, S.; Cookson, M. R. [Evolutionary](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-4-6) and functional [relationships](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-4-6) within the DJ1 superfamily. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2004, *4*, 6.

(14) Lee, S. J.; Kim, S. J.; Kim, I. K.; Ko, J.; Jeong, C. S.; Kim, G. H.; Park, C.; Kang, S. O.; Suh, P. G.; Lee, H. S.; Cha, S. S. [Crystal](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M304517200) structures of human DJ-1 and [Escherichia](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M304517200) coli Hsp31, which share an [evolutionarily](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M304517200) conserved domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003, *278*, 44552− 44559.

(15) Shendelman, S.; Jonason, A.; Martinat, C.; Leete, T.; Abeliovich, A. DJ-1 is a [redox-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020362) molecular chaperone that inhibits [alpha-synuclein](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020362) aggregate formation. *PLoS Biol.* 2004, *2*, No. e362.

(16) Sajjad, M. U.; Green, E. W.; Miller-Fleming, L.; Hands, S.; Herrera, F.; Campesan, S.; Khoshnan, A.; Outeiro, T. F.; Giorgini, F.; Wyttenbach, A. DJ-1 modulates aggregation and [pathogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt466) in models of [Huntington's](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt466) disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2014, *23*, 755−766.

(17) Zondler, L.; Miller-Fleming, L.; Repici, M.; Goncalves, S.; Tenreiro, S.; Rosado-Ramos, R.; Betzer, C.; Straatman, K. R.; Jensen, P. H.; Giorgini, F.; Outeiro, T. F. DJ-1 [interactions](https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.307) with alphasynuclein attenuate [aggregation](https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.307) and cellular toxicity in models of [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.307) disease. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014, *5*, No. e1350.

(18) Wilson, M. A.; Collins, J. L.; Hod, Y.; Ringe, D.; Petsko, G. A. The 1.1-A [resolution](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1133288100) crystal structure of DJ-1, the protein mutated in autosomal recessive early onset [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1133288100) disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2003, *100*, 9256−9261.

(19) Andreeva, A.; Bekkhozhin, Z.; Omertassova, N.; Baizhumanov, T.; Yeltay, G.; Akhmetali, M.; Toibazar, D.; Utepbergenov, D. [The](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011237) apparent deglycase activity of DJ-1 results from the [conversion](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011237) of free methylglyoxal present in fast equilibrium with [hemithioacetals](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011237) and [hemiaminals.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011237) *J. Biol. Chem.* 2019, *294*, 18863−18872.

(20) Mazza, M. C.; Shuck, S. C.; Lin, J.; Moxley, M. A.; Termini, J.; Cookson, M. R.; Wilson, M. A. DJ-1 is not a [deglycase](https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.15656) and makes a modest contribution to cellular defense against [methylglyoxal](https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.15656) damage in [neurons.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.15656) *J. Neurochem.* 2022, *162*, 245−261.

(21) Wilson, M. A. The role of cysteine [oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3481) in DJ-1 function and [dysfunction.](https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3481) *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 2011, *15*, 111−122.

(22) Repici, M.; Giorgini, F. DJ-1 in [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8091377) Disease: Clinical Insights and Therapeutic [Perspectives.](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8091377) *J. Clin. Med.* 2019, *8*, 1377.

(23) Lakshminarasimhan, M.; Maldonado, M. T.; Zhou, W.; Fink, A. L.; Wilson, M. A. Structural impact of three [Parkinsonism-associated](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi701189c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) missense [mutations](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi701189c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on human DJ-1. *Biochemistry* 2008, *47*, 1381− 1392.

(24) Malgieri, G.; Eliezer, D. Structural effects of [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.073411608) disease linked DJ-1 [mutations.](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.073411608) *Protein Sci.* 2008, *17*, 855−868.

(25) Kumaran, R.; Kingsbury, A.; Coulter, I.; Lashley, T.; Williams, D.; de Silva, R.; Mann, D.; Revesz, T.; Lees, A.; Bandopadhyay, R. [DJ-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2007.07.012)1 (PARK7) is [associated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2007.07.012) with 3R and 4R tau neuronal and glial inclusions in [neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2007.07.012) disorders. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 2007, *28*, 122−132.

(26) Rizzu, P.; Hinkle, D. A.; Zhukareva, V.; Bonifati, V.; Severijnen, L. A.; Martinez, D.; Ravid, R.; Kamphorst, W.; Eberwine, J. H.; Lee, V. M.; Trojanowski, J. Q.; Heutink, P. DJ-1 [colocalizes](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10782) with tau inclusions: a link between [parkinsonism](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10782) and dementia. *Ann. Neurol.* 2004, *55*, 113−118.

(27) Neumann, M.; Muller, V.; Gorner, K.; Kretzschmar, H. A.; Haass, C.; Kahle, P. J. [Pathological](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-004-0834-2) properties of the Parkinson's disease-associated protein DJ-1 in [alpha-synucleinopathies](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-004-0834-2) and [tauopathies:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-004-0834-2) relevance for multiple system atrophy and Pick's disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2004, *107*, 489−496.

(28) Choi, J.; Sullards, M. C.; Olzmann, J. A.; Rees, H. D.; Weintraub, S. T.; Bostwick, D. E.; Gearing, M.; Levey, A. I.; Chin, L. S.; Li, L. Oxidative damage of DJ-1 is linked to sporadic [Parkinson](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M509079200) and [Alzheimer](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M509079200) diseases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006, *281*, 10816−10824.

(29) Taipa, R.; Pereira, C.; Reis, I.; Alonso, I.; Bastos-Lima, A.; Melo-Pires, M.; Magalhaes, M. DJ-1 linked [parkinsonism](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww080) (PARK7) is associated with Lewy body [pathology.](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww080) *Brain* 2016, *139*, 1680−1687. (30) Robinson, J. L.; Lee, E. B.; Xie, S. X.; Rennert, L.; Suh, E.; Bredenberg, C.; Caswell, C.; Van Deerlin, V. M.; Yan, N.; Yousef, A.; Hurtig, H. I.; Siderowf, A.; Grossman, M.; McMillan, C. T.; Miller, B.; Duda, J. E.; Irwin, D. J.; Wolk, D.; Elman, L.; McCluskey, L.; Chen-Plotkin, A.; Weintraub, D.; Arnold, S. E.; Brettschneider, J.; Lee, V. M.; Trojanowski, J. Q. [Neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy146) disease concomitant proteinopathies are prevalent, age-related and [APOE4-associated.](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy146) *Brain* 2018, *141*, 2181−2193.

(31) Zhang, X.; Gao, F.; Wang, D.; Li, C.; Fu, Y.; He, W.; Zhang, J. Tau Pathology in [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00809) Disease. *Front. Neurol.* 2018, *9*, 809.

(32) Giasson, B. I.; Forman, M. S.; Higuchi, M.; Golbe, L. I.; Graves, C. L.; Kotzbauer, P. T.; Trojanowski, J. Q.; Lee, V. M. [Initiation](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1082324) and synergistic [fibrillization](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1082324) of tau and *α*-synuclein. *Science* 2003, *300*, 636−640.

(33) Spina, S.; La Joie, R.; Petersen, C.; Nolan, A. L.; Cuevas, D.; Cosme, C.; Hepker, M.; Hwang, J. H.; Miller, Z. A.; Huang, E. J.; Karydas, A. M.; Grant, H.; Boxer, A. L.; Gorno-Tempini, M. L.; Rosen, H. J.; Kramer, J. H.; Miller, B. L.; Seeley, W. W.; Rabinovici, G.

(34) Beach, T. G.; Malek-Ahmadi, M. [Alzheimer's](https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-201213) Disease [Neuropathological](https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-201213) Comorbidities are Common in the Younger-Old. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 2021, *79*, 389−400.

(35) Schafer, K. N.; Cisek, K.; Huseby, C. J.; Chang, E.; Kuret, J. Structural [determinants](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.503474) of Tau aggregation inhibitor potency. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2013, *288*, 32599−32611.

(36) Raran-Kurussi, S.; Cherry, S.; Zhang, D.; Waugh, D. S. [Removal](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6887-9_14) of Affinity Tags with TEV [Protease.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6887-9_14) *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2017, *1586*, 221−230.

(37) Walker, J. M. The Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) Assay for Protein Quantitation. In *The Protein Protocols Handbook*; Walker, J. M., Ed.; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, 1996; pp 11−14.

(38) Marley, J.; Lu, M.; Bracken, C. A method for [efficient](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011254402785) isotopic labeling of [recombinant](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011254402785) proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* 2001, *20*, 71−75.

(39) Barré, P.; Eliezer, D. Structural [transitions](https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2290) in tau k18 on micelle binding suggest a hierarchy in the efficacy of individual [microtubule](https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2290)binding repeats in filament [nucleation.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2290) *Protein Sci.* 2013, *22*, 1037− 1048.

(40) Karagöz, G.; Duarte, A. M.; Akoury, E.; Ippel, H.; Biernat, J.; Moran Luengo, T.; Radli, M.; Didenko, T.; Nordhues, B. A.; Veprintsev, D. B.; Dickey, C. A.; Mandelkow, E.; Zweckstetter, M.; Boelens, R.; Madl, T.; Rudiger, S. G. [Hsp90-Tau](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.037) complex reveals molecular basis for specificity in [chaperone](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.037) action. *Cell* 2014, *156*, 963−974.

(41) VanAernum, Z. L.; Gilbert, J. D.; Belov, M. E.; Makarov, A. A.; Horning, S. R.; Wysocki, V. H. [Surface-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05605?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Dissociation of [Noncovalent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05605?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Protein Complexes in an Extended Mass Range Orbitrap Mass [Spectrometer.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05605?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Anal. Chem.* 2019, *91*, 3611−3618.

(42) Marty, M. T.; Baldwin, A. J.; Marklund, E. G.; Hochberg, G. K.; Benesch, J. L.; Robinson, C. V. Bayesian [deconvolution](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00140?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of mass and ion mobility spectra: from binary interactions to [polydisperse](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00140?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [ensembles.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00140?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Anal. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 4370−4376.

(43) Huseby, C. J.; Kuret, J. Analyzing Tau [Aggregation](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2978-8_7) with Electron [Microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2978-8_7) *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2016, *1345*, 101−112.

(44) Chirita, C. N.; Necula, M.; Kuret, J. Anionic [micelles](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M301663200) and vesicles induce tau [fibrillization](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M301663200) in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003, *278*, 25644−25650.

(45) Schneider, C. A.; Rasband, W. S.; Eliceiri, K. W. NIH [Image](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089) to ImageJ: 25 years of image [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089) *Nat. Methods* 2012, *9*, 671−675. (46) Rasband, W. S. *ImageJ*, National Institutes of Health, 2022.

(47) Necula, M.; Kuret, J. A static laser light [scattering](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.05.044) assay for [surfactant-induced](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.05.044) tau fibrillization. *Anal. Biochem.* 2004, *333*, 205− 215.

(48) Sanders, D. W.; Kaufman, S. K.; DeVos, S. L.; Sharma, A. M.; Mirbaha, H.; Li, A.; Barker, S. J.; Foley, A. C.; Thorpe, J. R.; Serpell, L. C.; Miller, T. M.; Grinberg, L. T.; Seeley, W. W.; Diamond, M. I. Distinct tau prion strains [propagate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.047) in cells and mice and define different [tauopathies.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.047) *Neuron* 2014, *82*, 1271−1288.

(49) Holmes, B. B.; Furman, J. L.; Mahan, T. E.; Yamasaki, T. R.; Mirbaha, H.; Eades, W. C.; Belaygorod, L.; Cairns, N. J.; Holtzman, D. M.; Diamond, M. I. [Proteopathic](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411649111) tau seeding predicts tauopathy in [vivo.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411649111) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2014, *111*, E4376−4385.

(50) Furman, J. L.; Diamond, M. I. FRET and Flow [Cytometry](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6598-4_23) Assays to Measure [Proteopathic](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6598-4_23) Seeding Activity in Biological [Samples.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6598-4_23) *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2017, *1523*, 349−359.

(51) Evans, K. C.; Berger, E. P.; Cho, C. G.; Weisgraber, K. H.; Lansbury, P. T., Jr. [Apolipoprotein](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.3.763) E is a kinetic but not a [thermodynamic](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.3.763) inhibitor of amyloid formation: implications for the [pathogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.3.763) and treatment of Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1995, *92*, 763−767.

(52) Necula, M.; Kuret, J. Electron microscopy as a [quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.02.023) method for [investigating](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.02.023) tau fibrillization. *Anal. Biochem.* 2004, *329*, 238−246.

(53) Kanaan, N. M.; Grabinski, T. Neuronal and Glial [Distribution](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2021.607303) of Tau Protein in the Adult Rat and [Monkey.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2021.607303) *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2021, *14*, No. 607303.

(54) Zhong, Q.; Congdon, E. E.; Nagaraja, H. N.; Kuret, J. [Tau](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.364067) isoform [composition](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.364067) influences rate and extent of filament formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2012, *287*, 20711−20719.

(55) Chirita, C. N.; Congdon, E. E.; Yin, H.; Kuret, J. [Triggers](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi0500123?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of full-length tau aggregation: a role for partially folded [intermediates.](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi0500123?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Biochemistry* 2005, *44*, 5862−5872.

(56) Copeland, R. A. *Evaluation of Enzyme Inhibitors In Drug Discovery*, 2nd ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2013; p 538.

(57) Arhar, T.; Shkedi, A.; Nadel, C. M.; Gestwicki, J. E. [The](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101282) [interactions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101282) of molecular chaperones with client proteins: why are they so [weak?](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101282) *J. Biol. Chem.* 2021, *297*, No. 101282.

(58) Kristofferson, D.; Karr, T. L.; Purich, D. L. [Dynamics](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)43535-1) of linear protein polymer [disassembly.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)43535-1) *J. Biol. Chem.* 1980, *255*, 8567−8572.

(59) Necula, M.; Kuret, J. Site-specific [pseudophosphorylation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2005.01.047) modulates the rate of tau filament [dissociation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2005.01.047) *FEBS Lett.* 2005, *579*, 1453−1457.

(60) Zhang, W.; Tarutani, A.; Newell, K. L.; Murzin, A. G.; Matsubara, T.; Falcon, B.; Vidal, R.; Garringer, H. J.; Shi, Y.; Ikeuchi, T.; Murayama, S.; Ghetti, B.; Hasegawa, M.; Goedert, M.; Scheres, S. H. W. Novel tau filament fold in corticobasal [degeneration.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2043-0) *Nature* 2020, *580*, 283−287.

(61) Zhang, W.; Falcon, B.; Murzin, A. G.; Fan, J.; Crowther, R. A.; Goedert, M.; Scheres, S. H. [Heparin-induced](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43584) tau filaments are [polymorphic](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43584) and differ from those in Alzheimer's and Pick's diseases. *eLife* 2019, *8*, No. e43584.

(62) Dregni, A. J.; Mandala, V. S.; Wu, H.; Elkins, M. R.; Wang, H. K.; Hung, I.; DeGrado, W. F.; Hong, M. In vitro [0N4R](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906839116) tau fibrils contain a [monomorphic](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906839116) beta-sheet core enclosed by dynamically [heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906839116) fuzzy coat segments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2019, *116*, 16357−16366.

(63) von Bergen, M.; Friedhoff, P.; Biernat, J.; Heberle, J.; Mandelkow, E. M.; Mandelkow, E. [Assembly](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.10.5129) of tau protein into [Alzheimer](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.10.5129) paired helical filaments depends on a local sequence motif ([306VQIVYK311\)](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.10.5129) forming *β* structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2000, *97*, 5129−5134.

(64) Li, W.; Lee, V. M. [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi061422+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of two VQIXXK motifs for tau [fibrillization](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi061422+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in vitro. *Biochemistry* 2006, *45*, 15692−15701.

(65) Gibbs, E. B.; Cook, E. C.; Showalter, S. A. [Application](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2017.05.008) of NMR to studies of [intrinsically](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2017.05.008) disordered proteins. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 2017, *628*, 57−70.

(66) Kaur, J.; Giri, A.; Bhattacharya, M. The [protein-surfactant](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-020-01447-8) stoichiometry governs the [conformational](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-020-01447-8) switching and amyloid [nucleation](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-020-01447-8) kinetics of tau K18. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 2020, *49*, 425−434.

(67) Goedert, M.; Spillantini, M. G.; Potier, M. C.; Ulrich, J.; Crowther, R. A. Cloning and [sequencing](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1989.tb03390.x) of the cDNA encoding an isoform of [microtubule-associated](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1989.tb03390.x) protein tau containing four tandem repeats: [differential](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1989.tb03390.x) expression of tau protein mRNAs in human brain. *EMBO J.* 1989, *8*, 393−399.

(68) Mitra, R.; Wu, K.; Lee, C.; Bardwell, J. C. A. [ATP-Independent](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-090121-082906) [Chaperones.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-090121-082906) *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 2022, *51*, 409−429.

(69) Hall, D. On the nature of the optimal form of the [holdase-type](https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13580) [chaperone](https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13580) stress response. *FEBS Lett.* 2020, *594*, 43−66.

(70) Meulener, M. C.; Graves, C. L.; Sampathu, D. M.; Armstrong-Gold, C. E.; Bonini, N. M.; Giasson, B. I. DJ-1 is [present](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03145.x) in a large [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03145.x) complex in human brain tissue and interacts with alpha[synuclein.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03145.x) *J. Neurochem.* 2005, *93*, 1524−1532.

(71) Zhou, W.; Zhu, M.; Wilson, M. A.; Petsko, G. A.; Fink, A. L. The oxidation state of DJ-1 regulates its [chaperone](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.12.030) activity toward [alpha-synuclein.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.12.030) *J. Mol. Biol.* 2006, *356*, 1036−1048.

(72) Repici, M.; Hassanjani, M.; Maddison, D. C.; Garcao, P.; Cimini, S.; Patel, B.; Szego, E. M.; Straatman, K. R.; Lilley, K. S.; Borsello, T.; Outeiro, T. F.; Panman, L.; Giorgini, F. The [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-018-1084-y) [Disease-Linked](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-018-1084-y) Protein DJ-1 Associates with Cytoplasmic mRNP Granules During Stress and [Neurodegeneration.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-018-1084-y) *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2019, *56*, 61−77.

(73) Kiss, R.; Zhu, M.; Jojart, B.; Czajlik, A.; Solti, K.; Forizs, B.; Nagy, E.; Zsila, F.; Beke-Somfai, T.; Toth, G. [Structural](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.08.017) features of human DJ-1 in distinct Cys106 oxidative states and their [relevance](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.08.017) to its loss of [function](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.08.017) in disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2017, *1861*, 2619−2629.

(74) Catazaro, J.; Andrews, T.; Milkovic, N. M.; Lin, J.; Lowe, A. J.; Wilson, M. A.; Powers, R. (15)N CEST data and [traditional](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2017.11.012) modelfree analysis capture fast internal [dynamics](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2017.11.012) of DJ-1. *Anal. Biochem.* 2018, *542*, 24−28.

(75) Baulac, S.; LaVoie, M. J.; Strahle, J.; Schlossmacher, M. G.; Xia, W. Dimerization of Parkinson's [disease-causing](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2004.06.014) DJ-1 and formation of high molecular weight [complexes](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2004.06.014) in human brain. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 2004, *27*, 236−246.

(76) Piston, D.; Alvarez-Erviti, L.; Bansal, V.; Gargano, D.; Yao, Z.; Szabadkai, G.; Odell, M.; Puno, M. R.; Bjorkblom, B.; Maple-Grodem, J.; Breuer, P.; Kaut, O.; Larsen, J. P.; Bonn, S.; Moller, S. G.; Wullner, U.; Schapira, A. H. V.; Gegg, M. E. DJ-1 is a redox [sensitive](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx294) adapter protein for high molecular weight [complexes](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx294) involved in regulation of [catecholamine](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx294) homeostasis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2017, *26*, 4028−4041.

(77) Repici, M.; Straatman, K. R.; Balduccio, N.; Enguita, F. J.; Outeiro, T. F.; Giorgini, F. Parkinson's [disease-associated](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-012-0976-y) mutations in DJ-1 modulate its [dimerization](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-012-0976-y) in living cells. *J. Mol. Med.* 2013, *91*, 599−611.

(78) Solti, K.; Kuan, W. L.; Forizs, B.; Kustos, G.; Mihaly, J.; Varga, Z.; Herberth, B.; Moravcsik, E.; Kiss, R.; Karpati, M.; Mikes, A.; Zhao, Y.; Imre, T.; Rochet, J. C.; Aigbirhio, F.; Williams-Gray, C. H.; Barker, R. A.; Toth, G. DJ-1 can form beta-sheet structured [aggregates](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.104629) that co-localize with [pathological](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.104629) amyloid deposits. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 2020, *134*, No. 104629.

(79) Cha, S. S.; Jung, H. I.; Jeon, H.; An, Y. J.; Kim, I. K.; Yun, S.; Ahn, H. J.; Chung, K. C.; Lee, S. H.; Suh, P. G.; Kang, S. O. [Crystal](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M804243200) structure of [filamentous](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M804243200) aggregates of human DJ-1 formed in an inorganic [phosphate-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M804243200) manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2008, *283*, 34069−34075.

(80) Kim, J.; Choi, D.; Cha, S. Y.; Oh, Y. M.; Hwang, E.; Park, C.; Ryu, K. S. Zinc-mediated Reversible [Multimerization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.04.029) of Hsp31 Enhances the Activity of Holding [Chaperone.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.04.029) *J. Mol. Biol.* 2018, *430*, 1760−1772.

(81) Hulleman, J. D.; Mirzaei, H.; Guigard, E.; Taylor, K. L.; Ray, S. S.; Kay, C. M.; Regnier, F. E.; Rochet, J. C. [Destabilization](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi7001778?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of DJ-1 by familial substitution and oxidative [modifications:](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi7001778?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) implications for [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi7001778?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) disease. *Biochemistry* 2007, *46*, 5776−5789.

(82) Biernat, J.; Mandelkow, E. M.; Schroter, C.; Lichtenberg-Kraag, B.; Steiner, B.; Berling, B.; Meyer, H.; Mercken, M.; Vandermeeren, A.; Goedert, M.; et al. The switch of tau protein to an [Alzheimer-like](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05204.x) state includes the [phosphorylation](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05204.x) of two serine-proline motifs upstream of the [microtubule](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05204.x) binding region. *EMBO J.* 1992, *11*, 1593−1597.

(83) Bramblett, G. T.; Trojanowski, J. Q.; Lee, V. M. Regions with abundant neurofibrillary pathology in human brain exhibit a selective reduction in levels of binding-competent tau and accumulation of abnormal tau-isoforms (A68 proteins). *Lab. Invest.* 1992, *66*, 212− 222.

(84) Köpke, E.; Tung, Y. C.; Shaikh, S.; Alonso, A. C.; Iqbal, K.; Grundke-Iqbal, I. [Microtubule-associated](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(20)80536-5) protein tau. Abnormal [phosphorylation](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(20)80536-5) of a non-paired helical filament pool in Alzheimer [disease.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(20)80536-5) *J. Biol. Chem.* 1993, *268*, 24374−24384.

(85) Ksiezak-Reding, H.; Liu, W. K.; Yen, S. H. [Phosphate](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(92)91476-U) analysis and [dephosphorylation](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(92)91476-U) of modified tau associated with paired helical [filaments.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(92)91476-U) *Brain Res.* 1992, *597*, 209−219.

(86) Scheltens, P.; De Strooper, B.; Kivipelto, M.; Holstege, H.; Chetelat, G.; Teunissen, C. E.; Cummings, J.; van der Flier, W. M. [Alzheimer's](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32205-4) disease. *Lancet* 2021, *397*, 1577−1590.

(87) Erkkinen, M. G.; Kim, M. O.; Geschwind, M. D. [Clinical](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a033118) Neurology and Epidemiology of the Major [Neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a033118) [Diseases.](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a033118) *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2018, *10*, No. a033118.

(88) Quinn, N.; Critchley, P.; Marsden, C. D. [Young](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870020201) onset [Parkinson's](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870020201) disease. *Mov. Disord.* 1987, *2*, 73−91.

(89) Goldberg, M. S.; Pisani, A.; Haburcak, M.; Vortherms, T. A.; Kitada, T.; Costa, C.; Tong, Y.; Martella, G.; Tscherter, A.; Martins, A.; Bernardi, G.; Roth, B. L.; Pothos, E. N.; Calabresi, P.; Shen, J. Nigrostriatal [dopaminergic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.01.041) deficits and hypokinesia caused by inactivation of the familial [Parkinsonism-linked](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.01.041) gene DJ-1. *Neuron* 2005, *45*, 489−496.

(90) Chen, L.; Cagniard, B.; Mathews, T.; Jones, S.; Koh, H. C.; Ding, Y.; Carvey, P. M.; Ling, Z.; Kang, U. J.; Zhuang, X. [Age](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M413955200)dependent motor deficits and [dopaminergic](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M413955200) dysfunction in DJ-1 null [mice.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M413955200) *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005, *280*, 21418−21426.