

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jgar



Antibiotic expectation, behaviour, and receipt among patients presenting to emergency departments with uncomplicated upper respiratory tract infection during the COVID-19 pandemic



Zhilian Huang^{a,h}, Win Sen Kuan^{b,c}, Hann Yee Tan^d, Eillyne Seow^d, Ling Tiah^e, Li Lee Peng^{b,c}, Yanyi Weng^f, Angela Chow^{a,g,h,i,*}

^a Department of Preventive and Population Medicine, Office of Clinical Epidemiology, Analytics, and Knowledge [OCEAN], Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

^b Department Emergency Medicine, National University Hospital, Singapore

^c Department of Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

^d Acute and Emergency Care Department, Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, Singapore

^e Accident and Emergency Department, Changi General Hospital, Singapore

^fDepartment Emergency Medicine, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

^gLee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

^h Infectious Diseases Research and Training Office, National Centre for Infectious Diseases, Singapore

¹ Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University Singapore, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 November 2022 Revised 1 February 2023 Accepted 25 February 2023 Available online 10 March 2023

Editor: Wen-Chien Ko

Keywords: Antimicrobial resistance Antibiotics expectation Emergency department COVID-19

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Pre-COVID-19 pandemic, patients who attended the emergency department (ED) for upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) were more likely to receive antibiotics if they expected them. These expectations could have changed with the change in health-seeking behaviour during the pandemic. We assessed the factors associated with antibiotics expectation and receipt for uncomplicated URTI patients in four Singapore EDs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study on adult patients with URTI from March 2021 to March 2022 in four Singapore EDs and assessed the determinants of antibiotics expectation and receipt using multivariable logistic regression models. We also assessed the reasons patients expect antibiotics during their ED visit.

Results: Among 681 patients, 31.0% expected antibiotics while 8.7% received antibiotics during their ED visit. Factors (adjusted odds ratio [95% confidence interval]) that significantly influenced expectation for antibiotics include: 1) prior consultation for current illness with (6.56 [3.30–13.11]) or without (1.50 [1.01–2.23]) antibiotics prescribed; 2) anticipation for COVID-19 test (1.56 [1.01–2.41]); and 3) poor (2.16 [1.26–3.68]) to moderate (2.26 [1.33–3.84]) knowledge on antibiotics use and resistance. Patients expecting antibiotics were 10.6 times (10.64 [5.34–21.17]) more likely to receive antibiotics. Those with tertiary education were twice (2.20 [1.09–4.43]) as likely to receive antibiotics.

Conclusion: In conclusion, patients with URTI who expected antibiotics to be prescribed remained more likely to receive it during the COVID-19 pandemic. This highlights the need for more public education on the non-necessity for antibiotics for URTI and COVID-19 to address the problem of antibiotic resistance.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Population and Preventive Medicine, Office of Clinical Epidemiology, Analytics, and Knowledge, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, 11 Jalan Tan Tock Seng, Singapore 308433, Singapore.

E-mail addresses: zhilian_huang@ttsh.com.sg (Z. Huang), Win_sen_kuan@nuhs .edu.sg (W.S. Kuan), Tan.hann.yee@ktph.com.sg (H.Y. Tan), Seow.eillyne@ktph.com.sg The rise of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has been a longstanding threat to public health [1]. Bacteria that become

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2023.02.025

⁽E. Seow), Tiah.ling@singhealth.com.sg (L. Tiah), Li_lee_peng@nuhs.edu.sg (L.L. Peng), Yanyi_weng@ttsh.com.sg (Y. Weng), Angela_Chow@ttsh.com.sg (A. Chow).

^{2213-7165/© 2023} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

antibiotic-resistant can cause human infections leading to higher medical costs, decreased work productivity, and increased mortality [1]. Antibiotic misuse, both from inappropriate prescribing by health care providers and overuse by the public, drives the development of AMR, necessitating an urgent need for behavioural change in antibiotic use to slow its progression [1]. The emergence of AMR, rendering the ineffectiveness of antibiotics, will outstrip the pace of development of new antibiotics and lead to a post-antibiotic era if no action is taken [2,3].

Some antimicrobial stewardship programs, such as delaying or shortening the duration of antibiotic prescription, have effectively reduced antibiotic use in the inpatient setting [4,5]. However, such programmes are under-established in ambulatory care where there is greater patient involvement in shared clinical decision-making. Interventions targeting patient education have shown only minor effects in reducing antibiotic prescribing [5]. One study found that providing patients with information on the efficacy and side-effects of antibiotics reduces but does not eliminate clinically inappropriate expectations and requests for antibiotics [6]. Despite the already lacklustre progress in tackling AMR pre-COVID-19 pandemic, the focus on pandemic response during the pandemic further disrupted actions against AMR [3]. Uncertainties surrounding the pandemic are changing patients' health-seeking behaviour and may either shift their focus away from antibiotics or increase their expectations for receiving them.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, patients' expectations for antibiotics often contributed to physicians' decisions to prescribe antibiotics. Experimental evidence from the United Kingdom showed that physicians were more willing to prescribe antibiotics if they believed that patients expected them, even if they thought the probability of a bacterial infection was low [7]. Patients' expectations for antibiotics stems from their socio-cognitive knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs on the indications of antibiotics [6]. Studies have observed that lower education level, perceived severity of illness, previous positive experiences with antibiotics, history of antibiotics misuse, and the belief that antibiotics are effective are predictors of antibiotic expectation for upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) [8–10].

During the COVID-19 pandemic, emergency departments (EDs) worldwide, including Singapore, experienced surges in attendance for acute respiratory illness, which accentuated the problem of overcrowding in EDs [11–15]. Although uncomplicated URTI should not be managed in EDs, the uncertainties surrounding COVID-19 management and the public's lack of understanding about COVID-19 could have changed health-seeking behaviour and influenced patients' expectations for antibiotics when seeking care for URTI in the ED. Hence, we assessed the factors associated with the expectation for and receipt of antibiotics for uncomplicated URTI in four adult EDs in Singapore during the COVID-19 pandemic. We also assessed the reasons for their expectations and their behaviour surrounding the use of antibiotics.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design and setting

We conducted a cross-sectional study on adults seeking medical care at the ED for uncomplicated URTI. Our study included EDs in four acute hospitals (Changi General Hospital, Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, National University Hospital, and Tan Tock Seng Hospital), covering all three healthcare clusters in Singapore.

2.2. Participants

We recruited 681 adults who attended the EDs with a diagnosis of URTI (ICD-10 J00-J06) between March 2021 and March 2022. Patients were asked to complete a survey questionnaire post-consultation; we excluded hospitalised patients and patients with multiple attendances to the ED within 30 days for the same illness to omit possible complicated URTI cases. These exclusion criteria were verified through review of electronic medical records prior to recruitment. We initially excluded COVID-19 suspects from the study because of a default hospital admission policy but included them after the national policy was revised in July 2021. Since then, the Singaporean government has advocated home recovery for COVID-19, as most of the population has been fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and the illness is predominantly mild. Study recruitment was suspended at one study site (from May 2021) because of operational restrictions in response to the ramp-up in COVID-19 response in the ED.

2.3. Questionnaire

We collected information on the patient's demographics (age, sex, race, nationality, education level), health status (vaccination status, illness symptoms, smoking status, Charlson's co-morbidity index), health-seeking behaviour (reasons for the ED visit, prior healthcare consultation for the same illness episode, payment method), and their expectations, knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour (KAB) on the use of antibiotics (Supplementary Table S1). The attitude and behaviour questions were measured on the five-point agreement Likert scale. We adapted the KAB questions on antibiotics from a literature review [16] and a priori knowledge of our previous research [10]. The questionnaire was interviewer-administered to enable interpretation consistency across all participants. All data collectors were independent of the patients' care team and were trained to minimise bias in data collection.

2.4. Analysis

The outcome variables of interest are whether the patient 1) expected and 2) received an antibiotic prescription during the ED visit. We performed descriptive statistics to assess the differences between patients expecting antibiotics and patients prescribed antibiotics during the ED visit. We considered a positive vaccination status as follows: influenza vaccination within 12 months; ever had a pneumococcal vaccination; and at least a week after two doses of COVID-19 vaccination. The Charlson's co-morbidity index (CCI) was computed and classified into three categories (no co-morbidity, CCI 0; mild, CCI 1–2; moderate/severe, score CCI >2). We considered patients to have poor knowledge of antibiotics and AMR if they answered correctly \leq 4 out of the 10 knowledge questions; moderate knowledge if they answered correctly \leq 8 questions.

We first performed univariate analyses to assess the differences between categories in the outcome variables to inform variable selection for the subsequent multivariable model. Next, we explored the independent factors associated with antibiotic expectation and the receipt of antibiotics using multivariable logistic regression by adding and dropping variables from an initial model. The best model was chosen based on the likelihood ratio tests of nested models and the lowest Akaike's Information Criteria (Supplementary Table S2A,S2B). We then present an anchored divergent graph on the reasons for expecting antibiotics and elaborate on other reasons that were not part of the Likert scale items.

In addition, we performed principal components analysis to classify the antibiotic use behaviours (Supplementary Table S1). Likert items with smaller coefficients were removed stepwise while optimising the total variance explained (the higher the better) and internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) of each factor. Ungrouped behaviour statements were also dropped from the analysis. All analyses were performed with Stata version 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) and RStudio version 2022.02.3 (RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Baseline characteristics of respondents

Overall, 31.0% (211/681) of patients were expecting antibiotics, while 8.7% (59/681) received antibiotics during the ED visit. Of patients expecting antibiotics, 15.6% (33/211) received an antibiotic prescription. Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients expecting/not expecting antibiotics and patients who received/did not receive antibiotics.

The mean age of participants was 34.5 (12.7) and between 21 and 88 years old. Half of the patients were male (49.8%), 46.1% were of the Chinese race, 73.1% were Singaporeans, and 32.9% had tertiary education. Approximately a third (36.4%) of patients had a fever during the visit, 91.2% had no comorbidities, 69.6% had not seen another healthcare provider for the same episode of illness, and 81.3% had poor to moderate knowledge of antibiotics (Scored <80% on the knowledge questionnaire).

3.2. Antibiotic expectation

There were no statistically significant differences between patients who expected antibiotics and those who did not expect antibiotics during their ED visit, except for prior health care consult for the same episode of illness and knowledge on antibiotics and AMR. A higher proportion of patients who were expecting antibiotics during the ED visit (14.7% vs. 2.8%, P < 0.001) received antibiotics from a prior consult (primary care or specialist outpatient clinic) for the same episode of illness. A higher proportion of patients who were expecting antibiotics during the ED visit also had poor to moderate knowledge (89.2% vs. 77.9%, P = 0.001) of antibiotics and AMR.

3.3. Antibiotic receipt

There were no statistically significant differences between patients who received antibiotics and those who did not receive antibiotics during their ED visit, except for prior health care consult for the same episode of illness and expectation for antibiotics. A higher proportion of patients who received antibiotics during their ED visit received antibiotics from prior consultations (primary care or specialist outpatient clinic) for the same episode of illness (20.3% vs. 5.1%, P < 0.001). A higher proportion of patients who received antibiotics expected antibiotics during the ED visit (78.0% vs. 26.5%, P < 0.001).

3.4. Determinants of expectation for antibiotics

Patients with a prior clinical consultation for the same illness were more likely to expect antibiotics during the ED visit. Compared with patients without prior consultation, patients who received antibiotics during a prior consultation were 6.5 times (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]: 6.56, 95% confidence interval [CI] 3.30–13.11, P < 0.001) more likely to expect antibiotics, while patients

who did not receive antibiotics during their prior consultation were 1.5 times (aOR: 1.50, 95% CI 1.01–2.23, P = 0.046) more likely to expect antibiotics during the ED visit (Table 2).

Patients with poor (aOR: 2.16, 95% CI 1.26–3.68, P = 0.005) to moderate (aOR: 2.26, 95% CI 1.33–3.84, P = 0.002) knowledge of antibiotics and AMR were twice as likely to expect antibiotics compared with patients with good knowledge of antibiotics. In addition, patients expecting a COVID-19 test were 1.5 times (aOR: 1.56, 95% CI 1.01–2.41, P = 0.045) more likely to expect antibiotics (Table 2).

3.5. Determinants of antibiotics receipt

Patients expecting antibiotics during their ED visit were 10.6 times (aOR: 10.64, 95% CI 5.34–21.17, P < 0.001) more likely to receive antibiotics. Patients who received antibiotics during a prior consultation were thrice (aOR: 2.97, 95% CI 1.26–7.00, P = 0.013) as likely to receive antibiotics compared with patients with no prior consultation. Tertiary-educated patients were also twice (aOR: 2.20, 95% CI 1.09–4.43, P = 0.027) as likely to receive antibiotics. Although we did not observe statistical significance regarding severity of pre-existing comorbidity, the odds of patients receiving antibiotics increased with a higher severity of comorbidities compared with patients without any co-morbidity (Table 3).

3.6. Reasons for expecting antibiotics

The top five reasons for patients expecting antibiotics in the emergency departments are: 1) feeling extremely unwell (73% agreement); 2) perception that the illness will take longer to recover without antibiotics (66% agreement); 3) having previous experiences of receiving antibiotics for similar illness (65% agreement); 4) prolonged symptoms without improvement (64% agreement); and 5) perception that recovery from the illness is only possible with antibiotics (52% agreement). In addition, 48% agreed that antibiotics could boost their immunity; 45% felt that they had to obtain antibiotics because they were at the ED; 43% wanted antibiotics for standby; 38% had yellow/green phlegm; and 21% were influenced by their friends and/or relatives (Fig. 1).

In addition to the Likert scale statements, patients mentioned other reasons for expecting antibiotics during their ED visit. A few patients mistakenly thought that antibiotics were effective in treating viruses (including cough and flu), resolving inflammation, and improving their immunity. Some thought that antibiotics could generate antibodies and treat or prevent any infection. One patient mistakenly thought of antibiotics as a 'cure-all' medication. A few patients thought that the standard procedure for physicians was to prescribe antibiotics for their medical consultation, as they had prior experiences receiving antibiotics for similar illnesses. One patient wanted a stronger antibiotic, as the previous antibiotic received did not 'cure his/her illness', while one thought that antibiotics could substitute a sleeping pill. Another patient had concerns about developing URTI before his/her second dose of COVID-19 vaccination and was expecting antibiotics to speed up the recovery of URTI Figs. 2 and 3.

3.7. Antibiotic use behaviour

Four factors emerged from the factor analysis of antibiotics use behaviour. The first is the perception of the need for antibiotics. More than half of patients (56%) agreed that antibiotics are needed for a severe illness, while 46% agreed that antibiotics are needed if they do not feel better in the next few days. More than half of respondents disagreed that they would take or expect antibiotics to prevent/recover from the flu/cold during the COVID-19 pandemic (Fig. 2).

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of patients, by antibiotic expectation and antibiotic receipt.

		Antibiotic expectation			Antibiotic receipt		
Baseline characteristics of respondents, n(%)	All patients (N=681)	Expecting antibiotics (N=211)	Did not expect antibiotics (N=470)	P value	Prescribed antibiotics (N=59)	Was not prescribed antibiotics (N=622)	P value
Demographics	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)		n(%)	n(%)	
Age, mean (SD)	34.5 (12.7)	33.6 (12.3)	34.6 (12.9)	0.228ª	34.2 (13.3)	34.3 (12.7)	0.113 ^a
Male sex	339 (49.8%)	109 (51.7 %)	230 (48.9%)	0.566	25 (42.4%)	314 (50.5%)	0.292
Race							
Chinese	314 (46.1%)	92 (43.6 %)	222 (47.2%)	0.572	31 (52.5%)	283 (45.5%)	0.306
Malay	174 (25.6%)	61 (28.9 %)	113 (24.0%)		9 (15.3%)	165 (26.5%)	
Indian	114 (16.7%)	33 (15.6 %)	81 (17.%)		11 (18.6%)	103 (16.6 %)	
Other races	79 (11.6%)	25 (11.9 %)	54 (11.5%)		8 (13.6%)	71 (11.4%)	
Nationality							
Singaporean	498 (73.1%)	157 (74.4 %)	341 (72.6%)	0.550	40 (67.8%)	458 (73.6%)	0.550
Permanent resident	68 (10.0%)	23 (10.9 %)	45 (9.6%)		8 (13.6%)	60 (9.7 %)	
Others	115 (16.9%)	31 (14.7 %)	84 (17.9%)		11 (18.6%)	104 (16.7%)	
Tertiary education	224 (32.9%)	56 (26.5 %)	168 (35.7%)	0.023 ^b	21 (35.6%)	203 (32.6%)	0.751
Health status							
Having a fever during the visit	248 (36.4%)	82 (38.9%)	166 (35.3%)	0.422	26 (44.1%)	222 (35.7%)	0.256
Smoker	149 (21.9%)	49 (23.2%)	100 (21.3%)	0.640	12 (20.3%)	137 (22.0%)	0.893
Charlson comorbidity severi	ty						
No comorbidity	621 (91.2%)	196 (92.9%)	425 (90.4%)	0.663 ^c	51 (86.4%)	570 (91.6%)	0.139 ^c
Mild	52 (7.6%)	13 (6.2%)	39 (8.3%)		6 (10.2%)	46 (7.4%)	
Moderate/Severe	8 (1.2%)	2 (2.0%)	6 (1.3%)		2 (3.4%)	6 (1.0%)	
Influenza vaccinated	257 (37.7%)	68 (32.2%)	189 (40.2%)	0.057	18 (30.5%)	239 (38.4%)	0.290
(within 12 months)							
Pneumonia vaccinated	59 (8.7%)	15 (7.1%)	44 (9.4%)	0.413	3 (5.1%)	56 (9.0%)	0.435 ^c
COVID-19 vaccinated (2	362 (53.2%)	107 (50.7%)	255 (54.3%)	0.439	25 (42.4%)	337 (54.2%)	0.109
doses)							
Health seeking behaviour							
Prior (non-ED) consult for s	ame condition						
No prior consult	474 (69.6%)	124 (58.8%)	350 (74.5%)	< 0.001 ^d	30 (50.9%)	444 (71.4%)	<0.001 ^d
Prior consult with	44 (6.5%)	31 (14.7%)	13 (2.8%)		12 (20.3%)	32 (5.14%)	
antibiotics							
Prior consult w/o	163 (23.9%)	56 (26.5%)	107 (22.8%)		17 (28.8%)	146 (23.5%)	
antibiotics							
Expects a COVID-19 test	534 (78.4%)	171 (81.0%)	363 (77.2%)	0.309	41 (69.5%)	493 (79.3%)	0.115
Payment method	(n=676)	(n=208)	(n=468)		(n=57)	(n=619)	
Employee benefits	404 (59.8%)	111 (53.4%)	293 (62.6%)	0.123	26 (45.6%)	183 (29.6%)	0.086 ^c
Government/private	54 (8.0%)	19 (9.1%)	35 (7.5%)		26 (45.6%)	378 (61.1%)	
insurance							
Out-of-pocket	209 (30.9%)	74 (35.6%)	135 (28.9%)		4 (7.0%)	50 (8.1%)	
Social subsidies	9 (1.3%)	4 (1.9%)	5 (1.1%)		1 (1.8%)	8 (1.3%)	
Antibiotics use							
Knowledge on antibiotics							
Poor (Score \leq 4)	276 (40.5%)	90 (42.7%)	186 (39.57 %)	0.002 ^b	20 (33.9%)	256 (41.2%)	0.261
Moderate (Score 5-7)	278 (40.8%)	98 (46.5%)	180 (38.3 %)		30 (50.9%)	248 (39.9%)	
Good (Score \geq 8)	127 (18.6%)	23 (10.9%)	104 (22.13 %)		9 (15.3%)	118 (19.0%)	
Expected antibiotics	211 (30.1%)	-	-		46 (78.0%)	165 (26.5%)	<0.001 ^d

^a Kruskal-Wallis test.

^c Fisher's exact test.

^d P < 0.001.

The second factor is sharing and reusing antibiotics. Three quarters (75%) of patients disagreed that they would keep stocks of antibiotics at home for an emergency, while about 80% of respondents disagreed that they would save and use leftover antibiotics or share antibiotics with their friends and family members.

The third and fourth factor had low internal consistency but shows interesting findings on antibiotic use behaviour. The third factor is the instructional use of antibiotics. More than 90% of patients agreed that they would take antibiotics according to instructions and would trust the ED physician on the need to use antibiotics. The last factor involves concerns about the side effects of antibiotics. Seventy-nine per cent of patients agreed that they would stop taking antibiotics if they experienced side effects, but a smaller proportion (49%) agreed that they worry about the side effects of antibiotics.

Our study explored patient-related factors associated with the expectation for and receipt of antibiotics for uncomplicated URTI

in four EDs in Singapore during the COVID-19 pandemic. We also assessed the reasons patients expect antibiotics and their antibiotic use behaviours. Although these were extensively studied prior to the pandemic [7,17–19], many studies were from western countries where different cultural settings and health systems can generate different patient expectations than those from Asian settings. We also took the perspective of patients seeking care for URTI in the EDs during surges of cases in the COVID-19 pandemic.

4. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic seemingly had a minor effect on the antibiotic expectation and prescribing rates for URTI despite changes in health-seeking behaviours. Approximately a third of patients (31%) expected antibiotics during their ED visit in our study, which is similar to a study (33%) conducted at one of our institutions pre-pandemic [10]. The antibiotic prescribing rate

^b P < 0.05.



Reasons for expecting antibiotics in the emergency department

Response 📕 Strongly Disagree 📕 Disagree 🚺 Neither Agree Nor Disagree 📕 Agree 📕 Strongly Agree

Fig. 1. Reasons for expecting antibiotics in the emergency department, measured on a five-point Likert-scale.

Table 2

Factors for antibiotics expectation.

Model variables	Adjusted model				
(Reference: Not expecting antibiotics)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value	VIF		
Expects a COVID-19 test	1.56 (1.01, 2.41)	0.045 ^a	1.07		
Prior (non-ED) consult for the same condition					
No prior consult	Ref				
Consult with antibiotics	6.58 (3.30, 13.11)	$< 0.001^{b}$	1.03		
Consult w/o antibiotics	1.50 (1.01, 2.23)	0.046 ^a	1.08		
Knowledge on antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance					
Good	Ref				
Moderate	2.26 (1.33, 3.84)	0.002 ^a	1.92		
Poor	2.16 (1.26, 3.68)	0.005 ^a	1.96		

 $^{a}P < 0.05.$

 $^{b}P < 0.001$

VIF, variance inflation factor.

in our study (~9%) was also similar to a pre-pandemic study which assessed antibiotic use for URTI in the ED in the United States [18]. We also found that patients expecting antibiotics were 10.6 times more likely to receive them, although the same US study reported that physicians were 5.3 times more likely to prescribe antibiotics if they believed that patients expected antibiotics [18]. The sheer number of COVID-19-related ED attendances should have brought the antibiotic prescribing rate down, but the uncertainties surrounding new variants of COVID-19 may have prompted physicians to loosen their prescribing criteria for anxious patients who perceived their illness as severe [20,21]. We found that the top reason for expecting antibiotics was the perceived severity of illness. This reason was also a predictor for antibiotic expectation in another study assessing the expectation for antibiotics in the Singapore primary care setting pre-pandemic [22].

Table 3

Factors fo	receipt of	antibiotics.
------------	------------	--------------

Model variables	Adjusted model			
(Reference: Did not receive antibiotics)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value	VIF	
Expects an antibiotic prescription	10.64 (5.34, 21.17)	<0.001 ^b	1.08	
Expects a COVID-19 test	0.52 (0.26, 1.03)	0.061	1.08	
Age category				
Above 50 years	Ref			
26–50 years	0.60 (0.23, 1.55)	0.290	2.78	
25 years and below	1.79 (0.63, 5.09)	0.276	2.85	
Education level				
Non-tertiary	Ref			
Tertiary	2.20 (1.09, 4.43)	0.027 ^a	1.15	
Prior (non-ED) consult for the same	condition			
No prior consult	Ref			
Consult with antibiotics	2.97 (1.26, 7.00)	0.013 ^a	1.09	
Consult w/o antibiotics	1.29 (0.63, 2.65)	0.484	1.1	
Pre-existing comorbidity				
No comorbidity	Ref			
Mild	2.28 (0.75, 6.94)	0.148	1.16	
Moderate/Severe	6.17 (0.86, 44.24)	0.070	1.08	

 $^{a}P < 0.05.$

 $^{b}P < 0.001.$

VIF, variance inflation factor.

Poor knowledge of the indications of antibiotics was a significant predictor of antibiotic expectation pre-COVID-19 and has remained a strong predictor of antibiotic expectation during the pandemic [22–24]. The misconceptions that antibiotics improve immunity, help one recover faster from an illness, and are a cureall medication exist in our study and were deep-seated among the public [16,25–27]. These misconceptions likely occurred because of ingrained myths surrounding the effectiveness of antibiotics [26] and patients' past experiences with antibiotic use. We



Fig. 2. Statements on antibiotic use, measured on a five-point Likert-scale.

also found that patients with prior clinical consultation with an antibiotic prescription were 6.6 times more likely to expect antibiotics during their ED consultation, highlighting the importance of antimicrobial stewardship in primary care and the role of primary care physicians in promoting appropriate antibiotic use for URTI [28]. Highly educated patients were more likely to receive antibiotics as these patients may have appeared more confident about their needs and could have challenged the physician's decision in

their care [29]. Given the diagnostic uncertainty of URTI and the time-strapped ED environment [30], physicians may compromise by prescribing antibiotics to patients, but further investigation is needed to support this hypothesis.

Antibiotic use behaviour was not substantially different preand during the COVID-19 pandemic, according to a community survey in Singapore [23]. The survey found that 24% (3% increment from pre-pandemic) of respondents would expect antibiotics and 21% (2% increment from pre-pandemic) would take antibiotics to prevent their condition from getting worse during the pandemic. Although a higher proportion of our study respondents (\sim 30%) agreed with the above two statements, ED respondents, who were unwell at the point of the survey, could have perceived a lower health status compared with community respondents who may not have been unwell at the point of the survey. One-fifth of respondents would keep stocks of antibiotics at home, and 10% to 16% would use or share them with their family without advice from a physician. Although most respondents did not agree to sharing or reusing antibiotics, these proportions have not improved over the years despite calls for actions to change the public's antibiotic use behaviour [22,31].

Our study had several limitations. The hospital and national protocols regarding the COVID-19 pandemic were evolving during our data collection. We initially excluded patients with COVID-19 infection from the study because of a default hospital admission policy. However, with mass vaccination and the transition to home recovery for COVID-19 infections due to milder illness, we subsequently included them in our study if they were medically diagnosed with URTI. Patients' health-seeking behaviours could have varied at different periods of the pandemic. In addition, the antibiotic prescribing rate was self-reported by patients, which may differ from the rates prescribed by the physician. However, we expect the discrepancy between the self-reported and actual antibiotic prescribing rates to be low as we verified the antibiotic prescribing rates with the electronic medical records of one ED in this study and observed the discrepancy to be minimal (<5%).

The pandemic provides an invaluable opportunity for leveraging the mass communication channels to educate the public on uncomplicated URTI, as well as increase the public's knowledge of antibiotics and AMR [32,33]. Furthermore, since prior experiences with antibiotics likely occurred in primary care, future work can explore interventions in primary care to address patients' expectations for antibiotics in the ED.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, patients with URTI who expected antibiotics remained more likely than those who did not expect them to receive antibiotics during the COVID-19 pandemic. Perceived severity of illness and effectiveness of antibiotics in speeding up recovery were the top reasons for expecting antibiotics, while poor knowledge and prior experiences were strong predictors for expecting antibiotics. Our findings highlighted an opportunity for leveraging the COVID-19 mass communication channels to educate the public on the non-necessity of antibiotics for URTI to address the problem of antibiotic misuse and AMR.

Availability of data and material

The final dataset is partially available on request.

Funding

This project is supported by National Medical Research Council Clinician Scientist Award (award number: MOH-CSAINV18may-0002).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board in Singapore. NHG DSRB Ref: 2019/00174.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Ho Kia Nam, Kirstie Neo, Nadiah Binte Abd Karim, Karthiga Natarajan, Chua Hoong Kai, Dillon Wee, Yvette Jee, and Khaing Nwe Win for data collection assistance for this study.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2023.02.025.

References

- World Health Organization. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance: World Health Organization. 2014.
- [2] Kåhrström CT. Entering a post-antibiotic era? Nat Rev Microbiol 2013;11:146.
- [3] Kwon JH, Powderly WG. The post-antibiotic era is here. Science 2021:471.
- [4] Huang L-J, Chen S-J, Hu Y-W, Liu C-Y, Wu P-F, Sun S-M, et al. The impact of antimicrobial stewardship program designed to shorten antibiotics use on the incidence of resistant bacterial infections and mortality. Sci Rep 2022;12:1–9.
- [5] Thoolen B, de Ridder D, van Lensvelt-Mulders G. Patient-oriented interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in respiratory tract infections: a meta-analysis. Health Psychol Rev 2012;6:92–112.
- [6] Thorpe A, Sirota M, Orbell S, Juanchich M. Effect of information on reducing inappropriate expectations and requests for antibiotics. Br J Psychol 2021;112:804–27.
- [7] Sirota M, Round T, Samaranayaka S, Kostopoulou O. Expectations for antibiotics increase their prescribing: causal evidence about localized impact. Health Psychol 2017;36:402.
- [8] Shlomo V, Adi R, Eliezer K. The knowledge and expectations of parents about the role of antibiotic treatment in upper respiratory tract infection–a survey among parents attending the primary physician with their sick child. BMC Fam Pract. 2003 Dec 30;4:20. doi:10.1186/1471-2296-4-20.
- [9] Dosh SA, Hickner JM, Mainous AG, Ebell MH. Predictors of antibiotic prescribing for nonspecific upper respiratory infections, acute bronchitis, and acute sinusitis. J Fam Pract 2000;49:407–14.
- [10] Tan R, Huang Z, Guo H, Weng Y, Chow A. Antibiotic expectations of patients attending an emergency department with upper respiratory tract infections: clinical and behavioural determinants of antibiotic use. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2022;59:106511.
- [11] Sandhu P, Shah AB, Ahmad FB, Kerr J, Demeke HB, Graeden E, et al. Emergency department and intensive care unit overcrowding and ventilator shortages in US hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic, 2020–2021. PHR 2022:00333549221091781.
- [12] Savioli G, Ceresa IF, Gri N, Bavestrello Piccini G, Longhitano Y, Zanza C, et al. Emergency department overcrowding: understanding the factors to find corresponding solutions. J Pers Med 2022;12:279.
- [13] Bouillon-Minois J-B, Raconnat J, Clinchamps M, Schmidt J, Dutheil F. Emergency department and overcrowding during COVID-19 outbreak; a letter to editor. Arch Acad Emerg Med 2021;9:e28.
- [14] Thangayah JR, Tan KBK, Lim CS, Fua T-P. Disease outbreak surge response: how a Singapore tertiary hospital converted a multi-story carpark into a flu screening area to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. Disaster Med Public Health Prep 2021;15:e37–42.
- [15] Cheng L, Ng WM, Lin Z, Law LSC, Yong L, Liew YST, et al. Factors reducing inappropriate attendances to emergency departments before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a multicentre study. Ann Acad Med Singap 2021;50:818–26.
- [16] World Health Organization. Antibiotic resistance: multi-country public awareness survey. 2015.
- [17] Gaarslev C, Yee M, Chan G, Fletcher-Lartey S, Khan R. A mixed methods study to understand patient expectations for antibiotics for an upper respiratory tract infection. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2016;5:1–9.
- [18] Ong S, Nakase J, Moran GJ, Karras DJ, Kuehnert MJ, Talan DA, et al. Antibiotic use for emergency department patients with upper respiratory infections: prescribing practices, patient expectations, and patient satisfaction. Ann Emerg Med 2007;50:213–20.
- [19] Boiko O, Gulliford MC, Burgess C. Revisiting patient expectations and experiences of antibiotics in an era of antimicrobial resistance: qualitative study. Health Expect 2020;23:1250–8.
- [20] Dozois DJ. Anxiety and depression in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic: a national survey. Can Psychol 2021;62:136.
- [21] Malesza M, Kaczmarek MC. Predictors of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland. Pers Individ Differ 2021;170:110419.

- [22] Pan DST, Huang JH, Lee MHM, Yu Y, Chen MI, Goh EH, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards antibiotic use in upper respiratory tract infections among patients seeking primary health care in Singapore. BMC Fam Pract 2016;17:1–9.
- [23] Guo H, Hildon ZJ-L, Lye DCB, Straughan PT, Chow A. The associations between poor antibiotic and antimicrobial resistance knowledge and inappropriate antibiotic use in the general population are modified by age. Antibiotics 2021;11:47.
- [24] Zanichelli V, Tebano G, Gyssens IC, Vlahović-Palčevski V, Monnier AA, Stanic Benic M, et al. Patient-related determinants of antibiotic use: a systematic review. Clin Microbiol Infect 2019;25:48–53.
- [25] Thomas R, Greenwood H, Michaleff ZA, Abukmail E, Hoffmann TC, McCaffery K, et al. Examining Australian's beliefs, misconceptions and sources of information for COVID-19: a national online survey. BMJ Open 2021;11: e043421.
- [26] Fredericks I, Hollingworth S, Pudmenzky A, Rossato L, Syed S, Kairuz T. Consumer knowledge and perceptions about antibiotics and upper respiratory tract infections in a community pharmacy. Int J Clin Pharm 2015;37:1213–21.

- [27] Shetty P. The antibiotic paradox. Lancet Infect Dis 2002;2:704.
- [28] Kiel A, Catalano A, Clark CM, Wattengel BA, Mason J, Sellick J, et al. Antibiotic prescribing in the emergency department versus primary care: implications for stewardship. J Am Pharm Assoc 2020;60:789–95 (2003).e2.
- [29] Smith SK, Dixon A, Trevena L, Nutbeam D, McCaffery KJ. Exploring patient involvement in healthcare decision making across different education and functional health literacy groups. Soc Sci Med 2009;69:1805–12.
- [30] Lim DW, Htun HL, Ong LS, Guo H, Chow A. Systematic review of determinants influencing antibiotic prescribing for uncomplicated acute respiratory tract infections in adult patients at the emergency department. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2022;43:366–75.
- [31] Ceaser S, Wurtz R. 'Leftover' antibiotics in the medicine cabinet. Ann Intern Med 2000;133:74.
- [32] Anwar A, Malik M, Raees V, Anwar A. Role of mass media and public health communications in the COVID-19 pandemic. Cureus 2020:12.
- [33] Thanh PT. Can risk communication in mass media improve compliance behavior in the COVID-19 pandemic? Evidence from Vietnam. Int J Sociol Soc Policy 2021.