

Supplemental information

The impact of coding germline variants on contralateral breast cancer risk and survival

Anna Morra, Nasim Mavaddat, Taru A. Muranen, Thomas U. Ahearn, Jamie Allen, Irene L. Andrulis, Päivi Auvinen, Heiko Becher, Sabine Behrens, Carl Blomqvist, Stig E. Bojesen, Manjeet K. Bolla, Hiltrud Brauch, Nicola J. Camp, Sara Carvalho, Jose E. Castelao, Melissa H. Cessna, Jenny Chang-Claude, Georgia Chenevix-Trench, NBCS Collaborators, Kamila Czene, Brennan Decker, Joe Dennis, Thilo Dörk, Leila Dorling, Alison M. Dunning, Arif B. Ekici, Mikael Eriksson, D. Gareth Evans, Peter A. Fasching, Jonine D. Figueroa, Henrik Flyger, Manuela Gago-Dominguez, Montserrat García-Closas, Willemina R.R. Geurts-Giele, Graham G. Giles, Pascal Guénel, Melanie Gündert, Eric Hahnen, Per Hall, Ute Hamann, Patricia A. Harrington, Wei He, Päivi Heikkilä, Maartje J. Hooning, Reiner Hoppe, Anthony Howell, Keith Humphreys, kConFab Investigators, Anna Jakubowska, Audrey Y. Jung, Renske Keeman, Vessela N. Kristensen, Jan Lubiński, Arto Mannermaa, Mehdi Manoochehri, Siranoush Manoukian, Sara Margolin, Dimitrios Mavroudis, Roger L. Milne, Anna Marie Mulligan, William G. Newman, Tjoung-Won Park-Simon, Paolo Peterlongo, Paul D.P. Pharoah, Valerie Rhenius, Emmanouil Saloustros, Elinor J. Sawyer, Rita K. Schmutzler, Mitul Shah, Amanda B. Spurdle, Ian Tomlinson, Thérèse Truong, Elke M. van Veen, Maaïke P.G. Vreeswijk, Qin Wang, Camilla Wendt, Xiaohong R. Yang, Heli Nevanlinna, Peter Devilee, Douglas F. Easton, and Marjanka K. Schmidt




Figure S1. Cumulative incidence curves of contralateral breast cancer occurrence in the presence of competing risk of death for any cause.

Cumulative incidence for carriers (blue line) and non-carriers (red line) of: protein-truncating variants (PTVs) in *ATM* (panel A), PTVs in *BARD1* (panel B), PTVs in *RAD51C* (panel C), PTVs in *RAD51D* (panel D). PTVs as in Dorling et al. (NEJM, 2021) were considered. The y-axis is limited to the range (0.00,0.30) to better visualize the curves. The x-axis is restricted to 15 years from diagnosis due to the low number of carriers after 15 years follow-up.




Figure S2. Kaplan-Meier plots of breast cancer-specific survival.

Kaplan-Meier plots for carriers (blue line) and non-carriers (red line) of: protein-truncating variants (PTVs) in *ATM* (panel A), PTVs in *BARD1* (panel B), combined PTVs and pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants (MSVs) in *BRCA1* (panel C), combined PTVs and pathogenic/likely pathogenic MSVs in *BRCA2* (panel D), PTVs in *CHEK2* (panel E), PTVs in *PALB2* (panel F), PTVs in *RAD51C* (panel G), PTVs in *RAD51D* (panel H), combined PTVs and pathogenic/likely MSVs in *TP53* (panel I). Pathogenic/likely pathogenic MSVs as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM, 2021) were considered. The y-axis is limited to the range (0.4,1.0) to better visualize the curves. The x-axis is restricted to 15 years from diagnosis due to the low number of carriers after 15 years follow-up.

Table S2. Characteristics of the study sample and breast tumors, overall and by ER status of the first breast cancer, excluding women who developed a contralateral breast cancer before study entry.

	All	ER-positive first BC	ER-negative first BC
Number of women	34401	22590	5665
Number of all-cause deaths	6898	4207	1467
Number of breast cancer-specific deaths	3449	1997	834
Number of contralateral breast cancers	692	433	139
Age at CBC diagnosis, median (IQR)	60 (52-70)	60 (52-69)	57 (47-67)
Characteristics of the first BC			
Age at diagnosis, median (IQR)	56 (48-64)	57 (49-65)	54 (45-62)
Year of diagnosis, median (IQR)	2003 (1999-2006)	2003 (2000-2006)	2002 (1999-2005)
Missing, n	447	304	91
Nodal status, n (%)			
Negative	18292 (63.7)	13029 (63.7)	3065 (60.5)
Positive	10441 (36.3)	7434 (36.3)	2002 (39.5)
Missing, n	5668	2127	598
Tumor size, n (%)			
≤2cm	17306 (65.5)	12661 (67.6)	2405 (53.1)
>2cm and ≤5cm	8261 (31.2)	5535 (29.5)	1893 (41.8)
>5cm	870 (3.3)	535 (2.9)	232 (5.1)
Missing, n	7964	3859	1135
Tumor grade, n (%)			
1	5667 (20.1)	4749 (23.7)	234 (4.7)
2	13919 (49.5)	11024 (55.0)	1407 (28.4)
3	8556 (30.4)	4259 (21.3)	3321 (66.9)
Missing, n	6259	2558	703
ER status, n (%)			
Negative	5665 (20.0)	-	5665 (100.0)
Positive	22590 (80.0)	22590 (100.0)	-
Missing, n	6146	-	-
PR status, n (%)			
Negative	7886 (32.7)	3483 (18.3)	4376 (86.1)
Positive	16261 (67.3)	15523 (81.7)	707 (13.9)
Missing	10254	3584	582
ERBB2 status, n (%)			
Negative	15234 (82.7)	12515 (86.0)	2589 (69.8)
Positive	3185 (17.3)	2041 (14.0)	1122 (30.2)
Missing, n	15982	8034	1954
Surgery, n (%)			
No surgery	548 (2.2)	189 (1.2)	49 (1.2)
Breast saving	8391 (34.3)	6338 (38.8)	1522 (35.9)
Mastectomy (with or without axillary)	6379 (26.1)	4185 (25.6)	1461 (34.5)
Type unknown	9122 (37.3)	5639 (34.5)	1206 (28.5)
Missing, n	9961	6239	1427
Radiation, n (%)			
No radiation	6544 (26.3)	3947 (23.6)	1091 (25.9)
Breast	6991 (28.1)	5310 (31.8)	1225 (29.1)
Breast and lymph nodes	2016 (8.1)	1443 (8.6)	476 (11.3)
Lymph nodes only	318 (1.3)	256 (1.5)	50 (1.2)
Organ unknown	8980 (36.1)	5747 (34.4)	1374 (32.6)
Missing, n	9552	5887	1449
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)			
No	20061 (93.9)	13487 (95.0)	3339 (89.2)
Yes	1302 (6.1)	713 (5.0)	406 (10.8)
Missing, n	13038	8390	1920
Adjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)			
No	14785 (61.9)	10485 (65.1)	1493 (36.2)
Yes	9111 (38.1)	5629 (34.9)	2628 (63.8)
Missing, n	10505	6476	1544
Endocrine therapy, n (%)			
No	7454 (30.7)	2963 (18.0)	2983 (73.9)
Yes	16795 (69.3)	13454 (82.0)	1053 (26.1)
Missing, n	10152	6173	1629
Trastuzumab, n (%)			
No	13866 (96.1)	8760 (96.3)	2548 (92.0)
Yes	566 (3.9)	337 (3.7)	221 (8.0)

Missing, n	19969	13493	2896
------------	-------	-------	------

Abbreviations: BC = breast cancer; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; IQR = interquartile range; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; *ERBB2* = ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2. Percentages are given within women with no missing values.

Table S3. Characteristics of protein-truncating variants carriers in 9 breast cancer genes and pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants carriers in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* out of the study sample of 34401 women as specified in Table S2.

	ATMPTVs carriers	<i>BARD1</i> PTVs carriers	<i>BRCA1</i> carriers (PTVs + P/LP MSVs)	<i>BRCA2</i> carriers (PTVs + P/LP MSVs)	<i>CHEK2</i> PTVs carriers	<i>PALB2</i> PTVs carriers	<i>RAD51C</i> PTVs carriers	<i>RAD51D</i> PTVs carriers	<i>TP53</i> carriers (PTVs + P/LP MSVs)
Women, n	250	54	364	487	699	224	40	31	47
All-cause deaths, n	56	14	88	129	163	56	8	9	18
Breast cancer-specific deaths, n	28	5	43	77	100	35	3	6	8
Contralateral breast cancers, n	6	2	21	20	39	11	2	1	6
Age at CBC diagnosis, median (IQR)	59 (52-65)	59 (57-61)	49 (43-54)	53 (41-57)	55 (46-70)	57 (49-63)	56 (56-56)	53 (53-53)	37 (30-39)
Characteristics of the first BC									
Age at diagnosis, median (IQR)	54 (46-62)	53(44-60)	45 (38-53)	50 (42-59)	53 (44-61)	52 (44-61)	57(49-63)	54 (49-62)	42 (32-57)
Year of diagnosis, median (IQR)	2003 (1999-2006)	2001 (1998-2005)	2002 (1999-2006)	2003 (1999-2006)	2002 (1998-2006)	2002 (2000-2005)	2003 (1999-2006)	2002 (1998-2005)	2002 (1999-2007)
Missing, n	1	0	8	10	13	4	1	0	0
Nodal status, n (%)									
Negative	115 (56.7)	29 (60.4)	188 (62.0)	200 (52.1)	340 (56.7)	106 (55.5)	20 (58.8)	12 (50.0)	23 (54.8)
Positive	88 (43.3)	19 (39.6)	115 (38.0)	184 (47.9)	260 (43.3)	85 (44.5)	14 (41.2)	12 (50.0)	19 (45.2)
Missing, n	47	6	61	103	99	33	6	7	5
Tumor size, n (%)									
≤2cm	117 (65.0)	28 (66.7)	165 (60.0)	211 (57.7)	332 (61.5)	100 (56.2)	16 (53.3)	13 (59.1)	19 (65.5)
>2cm and ≤5cm	55 (30.6)	12 (28.6)	97 (35.3)	132 (36.1)	188 (34.8)	71 (39.9)	13 (43.3)	6 (27.3)	8 (27.6)
>5cm	8 (4.4)	2 (4.8)	13 (4.7)	23 (6.3)	20 (3.7)	7 (3.9)	1 (3.3)	3 (13.6)	2 (6.9)
Missing, n	70	12	89	121	159	46	10	9	18
Tumor grade, n (%)									
1	22 (11.1)	8 (17.8)	12 (4.0)	26 (6.4)	89 (16.1)	13 (6.8)	8 (23.5)	2 (7.1)	2 (5.3)
2	90 (45.5)	16 (35.6)	65 (21.9)	192 (47.2)	312 (56.3)	90 (46.9)	11 (32.4)	9 (32.1)	18 (47.4)
3	86 (43.4)	21 (46.7)	220 (74.1)	189 (46.4)	153 (27.6)	89 (46.4)	15 (44.1)	17 (60.7)	18 (47.4)
Missing, n	52	9	67	80	145	32	6	3	9
ER status, n (%)									
Negative	23 (12.5)	21 (47.7)	218 (71.9)	99 (25.4)	76 (13.2)	48 (26.7)	12 (38.7)	11 (47.8)	10 (24.4)
Positive	161 (87.5)	23 (52.3)	85 (28.1)	291 (74.6)	501 (86.8)	132 (73.3)	19 (61.3)	12 (52.2)	31 (75.6)
Missing, n	66	10	61	97	122	44	9	8	6
PR status, n (%)									
Negative	38 (24.5)	22 (57.9)	218 (79.0)	136 (41.3)	127 (25.1)	69 (42.9)	13 (50.0)	10 (55.6)	15 (38.5)
Positive	117 (75.5)	16 (42.1)	58 (21.0)	193 (58.7)	378 (74.9)	92 (57.1)	13 (50.0)	8 (44.4)	24 (61.5)
Missing, n	95	16	88	158	194	63	14	13	8
<i>ERBB2</i> status, n (%)									
Negative	96 (83.5)	20 (87.0)	200 (93.5)	220 (85.3)	300 (79.4)	94 (79.7)	17 (89.5)	16 (100.0)	17 (60.7)
Positive	19 (16.5)	3 (13.0)	14 (6.5)	38 (14.7)	78 (20.6)	24 (20.3)	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	11 (39.3)
Missing, n	135	31	150	229	321	106	21	15	19
Surgery, n (%)									
No surgery	4 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	9 (3.6)	13 (3.8)	10 (1.9)	6 (3.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)	0 (0.0)
Breast saving	54 (30.3)	21 (53.8)	76 (30.4)	88 (25.9)	178 (33.9)	47 (27.5)	9 (31.0)	6 (23.1)	9 (32.1)
Mastectomy (with/without axillary)	49 (27.5)	9 (23.1)	97 (38.8)	101 (29.7)	190 (36.2)	63 (36.8)	10 (34.5)	3 (11.5)	11 (39.3)

Type unknown	71 (39.9)	9 (23.1)	68 (27.2)	138 (40.6)	147 (28.0)	55 (32.2)	10 (34.5)	16 (61.5)	8 (28.6)
Missing, n	72	15	114	147	174	53	11	5	19
Radiation, n (%)									
No radiation	39 (21.8)	7 (18.9)	67 (26.5)	81 (23.4)	151 (27.6)	53 (31.0)	8 (25.0)	8 (30.8)	10 (37.0)
Breast	45 (25.1)	17 (45.9)	65 (25.7)	72 (20.8)	173 (31.6)	48 (28.1)	9 (28.1)	3 (11.5)	9 (33.3)
Breast and lymph nodes	19 (10.6)	1 (2.7)	14 (5.5)	30 (8.7)	59 (10.8)	19 (11.1)	3 (9.4)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.7)
Lymph nodes only	5 (2.8)	1 (2.7)	3 (1.2)	13 (3.8)	7 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (7.4)
Organ unknown	71 (39.7)	11 (29.7)	104 (41.1)	150 (43.4)	157 (28.7)	51 (29.8)	12 (37.5)	14 (53.8)	5 (18.5)
Missing, n	71	17	111	141	152	53	8	5	20
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)									
No	152 (89.9)	35 (100.0)	201 (83.8)	270 (87.1)	397 (90.0)	132 (94.3)	24 (82.8)	21 (95.5)	25 (92.6)
Yes	17 (10.1)	0 (0.0)	39 (16.2)	40 (12.9)	44 (10.0)	8 (5.7)	5 (17.2)	1 (4.5)	2 (7.4)
Missing, n	81	19	124	177	258	84	11	9	20
Adjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)									
No	101 (56.7)	19 (54.3)	75 (30.1)	152 (45.8)	311 (59)	80 (49.1)	17 (58.6)	15 (60.0)	11 (42.3)
Yes	77 (43.3)	16 (45.7)	174 (69.9)	180 (54.2)	216 (41.0)	83 (50.9)	12 (41.4)	10 (40.0)	15 (57.7)
Missing, n	72	19	115	155	172	61	11	6	21
Endocrine therapy, n (%)									
No	51 (29.0)	18 (48.6)	164 (66.7)	106 (32.1)	178 (33.5)	59 (35.5)	12 (40.0)	15 (57.7)	7 (26.9)
Yes	125 (71.0)	19 (51.4)	82 (33.3)	224 (67.9)	353 (66.5)	107 (64.5)	18 (60.0)	11 (42.3)	19 (73.1)
Missing, n	74	17	118	157	168	58	10	5	21
Trastuzumab, n (%)									
No	108 (97.3)	31 (100.0)	168 (98.8)	193 (98.0)	319 (94.4)	87 (92.6)	17 (100.0)	9 (100.0)	19 (82.6)
Yes	3 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.2)	4 (2.0)	19 (5.6)	7 (7.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (17.4)
Missing, n	139	23	194	290	361	130	23	22	24

Abbreviations: BC= breast cancer; IQR = interquartile range; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; *ERBB2* = ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; P/LP = pathogenic/likely pathogenic; MSVs = missense variants. Percentages are given within women with no missing values.

Table S4. Characteristics of the study sample and breast tumors, overall and by ER status of the first breast cancer, including women who developed a contralateral breast cancer before study entry or within 3 months after date of first breast cancer diagnosis.

	All	ER-positive first BC	ER-negative first BC
Number of women	35232	23098	5759
Number of all-cause deaths	7114	4326	1490
Number of breast cancer-specific deaths	3556	2053	852
Number of contralateral breast cancers	1523	941	233
Age at CBC diagnosis, median (IQR)	59 (50-68)	59 (51-68)	55 (46-66)
Missing, n	74	63	6
Characteristics of the first BC			
Age at diagnosis, median (IQR)	56 (48-64)	57 (49-65)	54 (45-62)
Year of diagnosis, median (IQR)	2003 (1999-)	2003 (2000-2006)	2002 (1999-2005)
Missing, n	468	322	93
Nodal status, n (%)			
Negative	18704 (63.6)	13324 (63.6)	3111 (60.3)
Positive	10696 (36.4)	7611 (36.4)	2044 (39.7)
Missing, n	5832	2163	604
Tumor size, n (%)			
≤2cm	17645 (65.4)	12900 (67.4)	2438 (53.0)
>2cm and ≤5cm	8443 (31.3)	5675 (29.7)	1923 (41.8)
>5cm	896 (3.3)	553 (2.9)	238 (5.2)
Missing, n	8248	3970	1160
Tumor grade, n (%)			
1	5825 (20.3)	4880 (23.8)	238 (4.7)
2	14239 (49.5)	11266 (55.0)	1438 (28.5)
3	8693 (30.2)	4335 (21.2)	3363 (66.7)
Missing, n	6475	2617	720
ER status, n (%)			
Negative	5759 (20.0)	-	5759 (100.0)
Positive	23098 (80.0)	23098 (100.0)	-
Missing, n	6375	-	-
PR status, n (%)			
Negative	8032 (32.5)	3564 (18.3)	4441 (85.9)
Positive	16659 (67.5)	15899 (81.7)	729 (14.1)
Missing, n	10541	3635	589
ERBB2 status, n (%)			
Negative	15541 (82.7)	12774 (86.0)	2632 (69.8)
Positive	3251 (17.3)	2087 (14.0)	1141 (30.2)
Missing, n	16440	8237	1986
Surgery, n (%)			
No surgery	560 (2.2)	194 (1.2)	49 (1.1)
Breast saving	8594 (34.3)	6490 (38.8)	1548 (35.8)
Mastectomy (with or without axillary)	6722 (26.8)	4374 (26.1)	1512 (35.0)
Type unknown	9181 (36.6)	5678 (33.9)	1212 (28.0)
Missing, n	10175	6362	1438
Radiation, n (%)			
No radiation	6756 (26.5)	4067 (23.8)	1110 (25.8)
Breast	7205 (28.3)	5457 (31.9)	1251 (29.1)
Breast and lymph nodes	2081 (8.2)	1495 (8.7)	487 (11.3)
Lymph nodes only	324 (1.3)	260 (1.5)	52 (1.2)
Organ unknown	9115 (35.8)	5830 (34.1)	1399 (32.5)
Missing, n	9751	5989	1460
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)			
No	20517 (93.9)	13772 (94.9)	3396 (89.1)
Yes	1332 (6.1)	733 (5.1)	414 (10.9)
Missing, n	13383	8593	1949
Adjuvant chemotherapy, n (%)			
No	15178 (61.9)	10730 (65.0)	1522 (36.2)
Yes	9327 (38.1)	5770 (35.0)	2680 (63.8)
Missing, n	10727	6598	1557
Endocrine therapy, n (%)			
No	7714 (31.0)	3071 (18.3)	3029 (73.6)
Yes	17156 (69.0)	13742 (81.7)	1088 (26.4)
Missing, n	10362	6285	1642
Trastuzumab, n (%)			

No	14342 (96.1)	9010 (96.3)	2606 (92.1)
Yes	578 (3.9)	346 (3.7)	224 (7.9)
Missing, n	20312	13742	2929

Abbreviations: BC = breast cancer; IQR = interquartile range; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; *ERBB2* = ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2.
Percentages are given within women with no missing values.

Table S5. Overview of the variables included for multiple imputation with the R package MICE.

Variable	Missing data percentage ^a	Pre-processing performed before imputation	Imputation method
Time to CBC	0.1	If CBC status missing, then set to time to last follow-up	Predictive mean matching
Year of diagnosis	1.3		Predictive mean matching
Morphology group of the tumor	6.3		Polytomous regression
CBC status ^b	10.5		Predictive mean matching
Lymph node status	16.6		Logistic regression
ER status	18.1		Logistic regression
Histopathological grade	18.4		Polytomous regression
Number of positive lymph nodes	22.0		Predictive mean matching
Size category of the tumor	23.4		Polytomous regression
Tumor stage	26.2		Polytomous regression
Radiation	27.7		Polytomous regression
Surgery	28.9		Polytomous regression
Adjuvant ET	29.4		Logistic regression
PR status	29.9		Logistic regression
Tumor size in mm	30.0		Predictive mean matching
Adjuvant CT	30.4		Logistic regression
Neoadjuvant CT	38.0		Logistic regression
Anthracyclines (neoadjuvant)	40.0		Logistic regression
Taxanes (neoadjuvant)	40.0		Logistic regression
CMF-like CT (neoadjuvant)	40.0		Logistic regression
Anthracyclines (adjuvant)	40.9		Logistic regression
CMF-like CT (adjuvant)	40.9		Logistic regression
Taxanes (adjuvant)	41.2		Logistic regression
Aromatase inhibitor	41.5		Logistic regression
Tamoxifen	41.5		Logistic regression
<i>ERBB2</i> status	46.7		Logistic regression
Trastuzumab	57.7	If missing and corresponding value of Year of diagnosis observed and < 1998, then set equal to 0 (=no trastuzumab).	Logistic regression
Distant metastases status	58.6		Logistic regression

Abbreviations: CBC = contralateral breast cancer; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; *ERBB2* = ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2; CT = chemotherapy; ET = endocrine therapy; CMF = Cyclophosphamide Methotrexate Fluorouracil.

^a Based on the total number of women included in the imputation process (N=35232) as specified in Table S4.

^b Event indicator for CBC.

The Nelson-Aalen estimator of the baseline cumulative hazard and the event indicator of breast cancer-specific survival and overall survival were included in all imputation models to improve imputation, as well as the time to contralateral breast cancer and the corresponding event indicator.

Table S6. Number of contralateral breast cancers from all studies and corresponding percentages of protein-truncating variants carriers in 9 breast cancer genes and pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants carriers in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53*, overall and by subgroups based on age.

Selection	Total number of CBCs, n	Carriers in 9 BC genes, n (PTVs + P/LP MSVs,%)	<i>ATM</i> PTVs carriers, n (%)	<i>BARD1</i> PTVs carriers, n (%)	<i>BRCA1</i> carriers, n (PTVs + P/LP MSVs, %)	<i>BRCA2</i> carriers, n (PTVs + P/LP MSVs, %)	<i>CHEK2</i> PTVs carriers, n (%)	<i>PALB2</i> PTVs carriers, n (%)	<i>RAD51C</i> PTVs carriers, n (%)	<i>RAD51D</i> PTVs carriers, n (%)	<i>TP53</i> carriers, n (PTVs + P/LP MSVs, %)
Numbers and percentages of CBCs from the sample of 35232 women used for multiple imputation (as in Table S4), including women with unknown time to CBC and women who developed a CBC before study entry or within 3 months after date of first breast cancer diagnosis											
All CBCs ^a	1523	189 (12.4)	12 (0.8)	5 (0.3)	32 (2.1)	44 (2.9)	69 (4.5)	18 (1.2)	4 (0.3)	2 (0.1)	11 (0.7)
CBCs diagnosed at age < 50 years	344	74 (21.5)	4 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	19 (5.5)	19 (5.5)	23 (6.7)	3 (0.9)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	9 (2.6)
CBCs diagnosed at age ≥ 50 years	1105	111 (10.0)	8 (0.7)	5 (0.5)	13 (1.2)	23 (2.1)	44 (4.0)	15 (1.4)	3 (0.3)	2 (0.2)	2 (0.2)
Missing age at diagnosis of CBC	74	4 (5.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.7)	2 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Numbers and percentages of CBCs from the sample of 30628 women included in the CBC risk analyses, excluding women with unknown time to CBC and women who developed a CBC before study entry or within 3 months after date of first breast cancer diagnosis											
All CBCs ^b	676	103 (15.2)	6 (0.9)	2 (0.3)	21 (3.1)	20 (3.0)	38 (5.6)	11 (1.6)	2 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	5 (0.7)
CBCs diagnosed at age < 50 years	127	40 (31.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (8.7)	9 (7.1)	14 (11.0)	3 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (3.9)
CBCs diagnosed at age ≥ 50 years	549	63 (11.5)	6 (1.1)	2 (0.4)	10 (1.8)	11 (2.0)	24 (4.4)	8 (1.5)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)

Abbreviations: BC = breast cancer; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; P/LP = pathogenic/likely pathogenic; MSVs = missense variants.

In each row, percentages corresponding to separate genes do not exactly sum up to the percentage of carriers in any of the 9 BC genes due to the fact that some women carry mutations in more than one gene.

^a All considered including invasive (68.7), in-situ (11.0), and missing behavior (20.4).

^b All considered including invasive (70.7), in-situ (10.9), and missing behavior (18.3).

Table S7. Association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.22 (0.44-3.39)	7.0E-01	1.25 (0.45-3.52)	6.7E-01	19733	144	414	4
<i>BARD1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19854	23	418	0
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	1.53 (0.34-6.76)	5.8E-01	1.62 (0.36-7.31)	5.3E-01	19800	77	416	2
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	2.66 (1.40-5.04)	2.7E-03	2.66 (1.40-5.09)	3.1E-03	19634	243	405	13
<i>CHEK2</i>	2.11 (1.35-3.29)	1.0E-03	2.06 (1.31-3.22)	1.7E-03	19412	465	392	26
c.1100delC	2.48 (1.56-3.94)	1.3E-04	2.45 (1.53-3.91)	1.9E-04	19412	384	392	25
Other	0.45 (0.08-2.48)	3.6E-01	0.41 (0.08-2.24)	3.0E-01	19412	81	392	1
<i>PALB2</i>	2.49 (0.97-6.35)	5.7E-02	2.57 (0.99-6.64)	5.2E-02	19761	116	412	6
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19859	18	418	0
<i>RAD51D</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19866	11	418	0
<i>TP53</i> ^b	10.33 (2.66-40.12)	7.4E-04	10.74 (2.72-42.38)	7.4E-04	19851	26	414	4

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S8. Association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5016	22	139	0
<i>BARD1</i>	2.01 (0.22-18.18)	5.3E-01	1.76 (0.20-15.72)	6.1E-01	5020	18	138	1
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	2.96 (1.62-5.43)	4.4E-04	2.98 (1.58-5.63)	9.3E-04	4844	194	123	16
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	1.26 (0.38-4.13)	7.1E-01	1.19 (0.36-3.94)	7.E-01	4951	87	136	3
<i>CHEK2</i>	2.48 (0.96-6.36)	5.9E-02	2.50 (0.95-6.57)	6.3E-02	4965	73	133	6
c.1100delC	1.37 (0.41-4.63)	6.1E-01	1.36 (0.39-4.69)	6.2E-01	4965	54	133	3
Other	10.44(2.16-50.50)	3.5E-03	11.9 (2.32-61.19)	3.3E-03	4965	19	133	3
<i>PALB2</i>	2.55 (0.68 - 9.56)	1.6E-01	2.69 (0.70-10.37)	1.5E-01	4995	43	136	3
<i>RAD51C</i>	2.35 (0.25-22.25)	4.6E-01	2.31 (0.24-22.62)	4.7E-01	5027	11	138	1
<i>RAD51D</i>	2.44 (0.25-24.01)	4.5E-01	1.87 (0.20-17.56)	5.8E-01	5027	11	138	1
<i>TP53</i> ^b	4.87 (0.41-58.18)	2.1E-01	4.75 (0.38-58.74)	2.2E-01	5029	9	138	1

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S9. Heterogeneity test for the association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with contralateral breast cancer risk and breast cancer-specific survival, by ER status of the first breast cancer.

Gene	Contralateral breast cancer risk		Breast cancer-specific survival	
	P	P ^a	P	P ^a
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)				
<i>ATM</i>	1.5E-01	9.9E-01	4.6E-01	5.4E-01
<i>BARD1</i>	2.5E-01	9.9E-01	6.3E-01	2.8E-01
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	2.7E-01	3.7E-01	8.8E-03	1.5E-02
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	3.1E-01	3.1E-01	6.4E-04	2.2E-02
<i>CHEK2</i>	7.8E-01	7.7E-01	4.8E-01	4.6E-01
<i>PALB2</i>	9.5E-01	9.7E-01	3.3E-01	7.4E-01
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>RAD51D</i>	NA	NA	9.8E-01	8.6E-01
<i>TP53</i> ^b	4.6E-01	4.6E-01	2.0E-01	5.7E-01

Abbreviations: P = p-value; PTVs = protein-truncating variants.

Heterogeneity tests are for the hazard ratio (HR) estimates presented in Tables S7-S8 (contralateral breast cancer risk) and S26-S27 (breast cancer-specific survival) and compare a model including main effects and an interaction term between the mutation carrier status and the ER status of the first breast cancer, with a model without the interaction term. Statistically significant associations (P<5E-02) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable within ER-positive and/or ER-negative tumors due to absence of mutation carriers or of mutation carriers with events.

^a The two models compared additionally include the covariates specified in Tables S7-S8 and S26-S27.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S10. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.33 (0.97-1.81)	7.4E-02	1.34 (0.98-1.84)	6.2E-02	28846	1553	622	48
<i>BARD1</i>	0.79 (0.37-1.72)	5.6E-01	0.80 (0.37-1.73)	5.7E-01	30226	351	668	6
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.44 (0.94-2.19)	9.4E-02	1.44 (0.94-2.21)	9.2E-02	29521	817	631	25
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.33 (0.98-1.82)	6.9E-02	1.34 (0.98-1.83)	6.7E-02	28627	1612	612	47
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.78 (1.08-2.93)	2.4E-02	1.78 (1.08-2.94)	2.5E-02	29420	552	619	19
<i>PALB2</i>	0.63 (0.28-1.41)	2.6E-01	0.63 (0.28-1.43)	2.7E-01	30025	403	660	5
<i>RAD51C</i>	0.79 (0.21-3.00)	7.3E-01	0.78 (0.21-2.98)	7.2E-01	30468	123	672	2
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.36 (0.41-4.49)	6.1E-01	1.43 (0.43-4.77)	5.6E-01	30498	101	672	3
<i>TP53</i>	2.40 (1.08-5.32)	3.2E-02	2.54 (1.13-5.69)	2.4E-02	30461	165	668	8

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value. Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S11. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.38 (0.93-2.05)	1.1E-01	1.40 (0.94-2.08)	1.0E-01	18721	1012	385	29
<i>BARD1</i>	0.64 (0.22-1.84)	4.1E-01	0.64 (0.22-1.84)	4.1E-01	19628	226	415	3
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.56 (0.93-2.63)	9.3E-02	1.58 (0.94-2.67)	8.6E-02	19289	521	400	17
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.31 (0.88-1.94)	1.8E-01	1.32 (0.88-1.96)	1.7E-01	18637	1014	377	29
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.70 (0.88-3.26)	1.1E-01	1.67 (0.87-3.20)	1.2E-01	19029	383	381	11
<i>PALB2</i>	0.70 (0.28-1.78)	4.6E-01	0.71 (0.28-1.80)	4.6E-01	19481	280	408	4
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19788	71	418	0
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.43 (0.33-6.24)	6.4E-01	1.54 (0.35-6.88)	5.7E-01	19802	64	416	2
<i>TP53</i>	2.43 (0.88-6.66)	8.6E-02	2.67 (0.95-7.50)	6.1E-02	19771	106	413	5

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value. Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S12. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.35 (0.71-2.59)	3.6E-01	1.38 (0.71-2.68)	3.3E-01	4756	260	127	12
<i>BARD1</i>	0.57 (0.10-3.44)	5.4E-01	0.55 (0.09-3.33)	5.1E-01	4954	66	137	1
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.50 (0.62-3.62)	3.6E-01	1.51 (0.62-3.72)	3.6E-01	4712	156	117	6
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.30 (0.66-2.54)	4.4E-01	1.28 (0.65-2.52)	4.8E-01	4663	293	127	10
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.12 (0.27-4.76)	8.7E-01	1.13 (0.25-5.01)	8.7E-01	4907	58	131	2
<i>PALB2</i>	0.82 (0.12-5.51)	8.4E-01	0.86 (0.12-6.06)	8.8E-01	4937	58	135	1
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.16 (0.15-9.24)	8.9E-01	1.08 (0.13-8.66)	9.4E-01	4999	28	137	1
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.90 (0.21-16.86)	5.7E-01	1.65 (0.19-14.62)	6.5E-01	5007	20	137	1
<i>TP53</i>	1.96 (0.41-9.27)	4.0E-01	2.01 (0.41-9.79)	3.9E-01	5003	33	137	2

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value. Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S13. Heterogeneity test for the association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with contralateral breast cancer risk and breast cancer-specific survival, by ER status of the first breast cancer.

Gene	Contralateral breast cancer risk		Breast cancer-specific survival	
	P	P ^a	P	P ^a
<i>ATM</i>	9.9E-01	9.8E-01	3.8E-01	4.5E-01
<i>BARD1</i>	8.4E-01	8.7E-01	3.9E-01	5.4E-01
<i>BRCA1</i>	9.1E-01	9.3E-01	5.8E-01	6.2E-01
<i>BRCA2</i>	9.2E-01	8.8E-01	4.8E-01	7.3E-01
<i>CHEK2</i>	6.2E-01	6.5E-01	3.4E-01	3.3E-01
<i>PALB2</i>	8.7E-01	8.6E-01	5.2E-01	4.9E-01
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	8.9E-01	8.0E-01
<i>RAD51D</i>	8.8E-01	8.6E-01	6.1E-01	7.7E-01
<i>TP53</i>	8.5E-01	7.5E-01	1.2E-01	4.6E-01

Abbreviation: P = p-value.

Heterogeneity tests are for the hazard ratio (HR) estimates presented in Tables S11-S12 (contralateral breast cancer risk) and S29-S30 (breast cancer-specific survival) and compare a model including main effects and an interaction term between the mutation carrier status and the ER status of the first breast cancer, with a model without the interaction term. NA: not assessable within ER-positive and/or ER-negative tumors due to absence of mutation carriers or of mutation carriers with events.

^a The two model compared additionally include the covariates specified in Tables S11-S12 and S29-S30.

Table S14. Sensitivity analyses for the association of protein-truncating variants and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* in 9 breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer, with the set of non-carriers restricted to women who do not carry protein-truncating variants in any of the 9 breast cancer genes nor pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53*.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.12 (0.46-2.75)	8.1E-01	1.17 (0.47-2.92)	7.3E-01	28668	221	573	5
<i>BARD1</i>	1.16 (0.16-8.68)	8.8E-01	1.13 (0.15-8.44)	9.0E-01	28668	47	573	1
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	3.04 (1.80-5.15)	3.4E-05	3.21 (1.86-5.54)	3.3E-05	28668	321	573	20
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	2.56 (1.54-4.28)	3.2E-04	2.71 (1.61-4.56)	1.9E-04	28668	409	573	20
<i>CHEK2</i>	2.36 (1.61-3.47)	1.1E-05	2.35 (1.59-3.45)	1.7E-05	28668	632	573	36
c.1100delC	2.51 (1.67-3.79)	1.0E-05	2.50 (1.65-3.77)	1.6E-05	28668	512	573	32
Other	1.60 (0.56-4.64)	3.8E-01	1.57 (0.54-4.53)	4.1E-01	28668	120	573	4
<i>PALB2</i>	2.94 (1.46-5.93)	2.6E-03	3.10 (1.52-6.30)	1.9E-03	28668	199	573	11
<i>RAD51C</i>	2.84 (0.56-14.48)	2.1E-01	2.80 (0.55-14.33)	2.2E-01	28668	33	573	2
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.91 (0.22-16.83)	5.6E-01	1.84 (0.21-16.19)	5.8E-01	28668	27	573	1
<i>TP53</i> ^b	7.63 (2.06-28.25)	2.3E-03	8.52 (2.25-32.28)	1.7E-03	28668	38	573	4

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S15. Sensitivity analysis for the association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer, with the set of non-carriers restricted to women who do not carry protein-truncating variants in any of the 9 breast cancer genes nor pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53*.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.37 (0.99-1.91)	6.1E-02	1.39 (1.00-1.94)	5.2E-02	27198	1470	530	43
<i>BARD1</i>	0.75 (0.32-1.74)	5.1E-01	0.76 (0.33-1.76)	5.2E-01	28338	330	568	5
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.43 (0.90-2.28)	1.3E-01	1.44 (0.91-2.30)	1.2E-01	27928	740	552	21
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.24 (0.89-1.75)	2.1E-01	1.26 (0.89-1.77)	1.9E-01	27157	1511	535	38
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.71 (1.01-2.90)	4.4E-02	1.73 (1.02-2.93)	4.3E-02	28132	536	556	17
<i>PALB2</i>	0.29 (0.10-0.87)	2.8E-02	0.29 (0.10-0.88)	2.9E-02	28291	377	571	2
<i>RAD51C</i>	0.93 (0.23-3.66)	9.1E-01	0.92 (0.23-3.65)	9.1E-01	28555	113	571	2
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.12 (0.27-4.63)	8.7E-01	1.17 (0.28-4.88)	8.3E-01	28574	94	571	2
<i>TP53</i>	0.88 (0.23-3.45)	8.6E-01	0.95 (0.24-3.79)	9.4E-01	28556	112	571	2

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR= hazard ration; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value. Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. The analysis for each gene also excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations (P<5E-02) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S16. Sensitivity analysis for the association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women from population- and hospital-based studies plus women without family history from studies including women with family history of breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.13 (0.35-3.61)	8.3E-01	1.15 (0.36-3.70)	8.1E-01	26297	179	432	3
<i>BARD1</i>	1.49 (0.18-12.04)	7.1E-01	1.35 (0.17-10.71)	7.8E-01	26435	41	434	1
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	3.45 (2.01-5.92)	6.6E-06	3.13 (1.80-5.45)	6.1E-05	26167	309	415	20
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	2.40 (1.36-4.24)	2.6E-03	2.26 (1.27-4.00)	5.4E-03	26088	388	419	16
<i>CHEK2</i>	2.61 (1.58-4.32)	2.0E-04	2.65 (1.59-4.40)	1.9E-04	25973	503	414	21
c.1100delC	2.70 (1.54-4.74)	5.5E-04	2.72 (1.54-4.79)	5.7E-04	25973	398	414	17
Other	2.33 (0.76-7.15)	1.4E-01	2.39 (0.77-7.41)	1.3E-01	25973	105	414	4
<i>PALB2</i>	4.20 (1.94-9.07)	2.7E-04	4.00 (1.85-8.64)	4.5E-04	26322	154	425	10
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	26450	26	435	0
<i>RAD51D</i>	2.16 (0.24-19.92)	5.0E-01	1.66 (0.19-14.18)	6.4E-01	26451	25	434	1
<i>TP53</i> ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	26453	23	435	0

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S17. Sensitivity analysis for the association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women from population- and hospital-based studies plus women without family history from studies including women with family history of breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.18 (0.78-1.77)	4.4E-01	1.17 (0.77-1.75)	4.6E-01	25012	1285	406	26
<i>BARD1</i>	0.42 (0.13-1.40)	1.6E-01	0.43 (0.13-1.41)	1.6E-01	26136	299	432	2
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.32 (0.77-2.27)	3.1E-01	1.34 (0.78-2.30)	2.9E-01	25499	706	401	15
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.38 (0.94-2.03)	9.9E-02	1.37 (0.93-2.02)	1.1E-01	24740	1371	390	31
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.71 (0.89-3.28)	1.1E-01	1.76 (0.91-3.39)	9.2E-02	25527	446	403	11
<i>PALB2</i>	0.73 (0.29-1.87)	5.2E-01	0.75 (0.29-1.93)	5.5E-01	25974	348	421	4
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	26350	100	435	0
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.64 (0.10-3.96)	6.3E-01	0.62 (0.10-3.80)	6.0E-01	26366	85	433	1
<i>TP53</i>	0.53 (0.09-3.08)	4.8E-01	0.54 (0.09-3.16)	4.9E-01	26348	126	434	1

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S18. Association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30618	10	676	0
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30626	2	676	0
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30622	6	676	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	0.94 (0.13-6.53)	9.5E-01	1.01 (0.14-7.21)	1.0E+00	30571	57	675	1
<i>CDH1</i>	8.74(0.61-124.37)	1.1E-01	8.22(0.58-115.74)	1.2E-01	30622	6	675	1
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30621	7	676	0
<i>FANCC</i>	1.33 (0.31-5.71)	7.0E-01	1.28 (0.30-5.50)	7.4E-01	30579	49	674	2
<i>FANCM</i>	0.64 (0.26-1.61)	3.5E-01	0.64 (0.26-1.61)	3.4E-01	30384	244	672	4
<i>GEN1</i>	6.85 (0.52-90.72)	1.4E-01	5.78 (0.46-73.22)	1.8E-01	30611	17	675	1
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30625	3	676	0
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30625	3	676	0
<i>MRE11A</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30596	32	676	0
<i>MSH2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30619	9	676	0
<i>MSH6</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30601	27	676	0
<i>MUTYH</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30601	27	676	0
<i>NBN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30556	72	676	0
<i>NF1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30609	19	676	0
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30622	6	676	0
<i>PMS2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30606	22	676	0
<i>PTEN</i>	7.14 (1.13-44.88)	3.6E-02	5.35 (0.87-32.91)	7.0E-02	30616	12	674	2
<i>RAD50</i>	4.81 (1.88-12.27)	1.0E-03	4.75 (1.86-12.15)	1.2E-03	30537	91	669	7
<i>RECQL</i>	1.95 (0.22-17.22)	5.5E-01	1.8 (0.21-15.62)	5.9E-01	30589	39	675	1
<i>RINT1</i>	2.92 (0.57-15.00)	2.0E-01	2.86 (0.56-14.70)	2.1E-01	30605	23	674	2
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30626	2	676	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30620	8	676	0

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. Statistically significant associations after Bonferroni correction for 25 tests ($P < 2E-03$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S19. Association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	0.95 (0.31-2.93)	9.3E-01	0.96 (0.31-2.97)	9.4E-01	30488	130	673	3
<i>AKT1</i>	1.98 (0.66-5.93)	2.2E-01	2.03 (0.67-6.10)	2.1E-01	30543	83	672	4
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30524	98	676	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	1.09 (0.62-1.90)	7.7E-01	1.08 (0.62-1.89)	7.9E-01	30093	478	662	13
<i>CDH1</i>	0.90 (0.45-1.80)	7.7E-01	0.90 (0.45-1.78)	7.5E-01	30266	356	667	8
<i>EPCAM</i>	2.22 (1.01-4.89)	4.7E-02	2.27 (1.02-5.03)	4.4E-02	30482	139	668	8
<i>FANCC</i>	0.72 (0.34-1.54)	3.9E-01	0.74 (0.34-1.58)	4.3E-01	30212	367	668	6
<i>FANCM</i>	0.98 (0.62-1.55)	9.4E-01	0.98 (0.62-1.54)	9.2E-01	29497	887	653	19
<i>GEN1</i>	0.43 (0.18-1.00)	5.0E-02	0.42 (0.18-0.98)	4.6E-02	30228	383	671	4
<i>MEN1</i>	0.66 (0.11-4.07)	6.5E-01	0.71 (0.11-4.53)	7.2E-01	30548	77	675	1
<i>MLH1</i>	1.05 (0.56-1.98)	8.7E-01	1.09 (0.58-2.06)	7.9E-01	30190	435	666	10
<i>MRE11A</i>	1.27 (0.64-2.52)	4.9E-01	1.29 (0.65-2.58)	4.6E-01	30251	345	667	9
<i>MSH2</i>	0.57 (0.27-1.18)	1.3E-01	0.58 (0.28-1.21)	1.4E-01	30141	478	670	6
<i>MSH6</i>	1.08 (0.64-1.81)	7.7E-01	1.07 (0.64-1.80)	8.0E-01	29974	627	660	16
<i>MUTYH</i>	0.69 (0.30-1.58)	3.8E-01	0.68 (0.30-1.56)	3.6E-01	30255	346	671	5
<i>NBN</i>	1.49 (0.81-2.74)	2.0E-01	1.48 (0.80-2.73)	2.1E-01	30190	366	664	12
<i>NF1</i>	1.48 (0.86-2.55)	1.6E-01	1.52 (0.88-2.64)	1.3E-01	30117	492	661	15
<i>PIK3CA</i>	0.73 (0.19-2.71)	6.3E-01	0.72 (0.19-2.68)	6.2E-01	30504	118	674	2
<i>PMS2</i>	1.69 (1.02-2.81)	4.3E-02	1.68 (1.01-2.79)	4.6E-02	30049	557	658	18
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	30561	55	674	0
<i>RAD50</i>	1.36 (0.85-2.18)	1.9E-01	1.36 (0.85-2.18)	2.0E-01	29882	655	649	20
<i>RECQL</i>	0.66 (0.29-1.51)	3.3E-01	0.68 (0.30-1.56)	3.6E-01	30250	339	670	5
<i>RINT1</i>	1.03 (0.57-1.88)	9.2E-01	1.00 (0.55-1.81)	9.9E-01	30152	453	663	11
<i>STK11</i>	1.04 (0.14-7.47)	9.7E-01	1.04 (0.14-7.57)	9.7E-01	30573	53	675	1
<i>XRCC2</i>	3.87 (1.81-8.29)	5.0E-04	4.05 (1.88-8.73)	3.8E-04	30494	126	666	10

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. Statistically significant associations after Bonferroni correction for 25 tests ($P < 2E-03$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

Table S20. Association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19871	6	418	0
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19875	2	418	0
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19872	5	418	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19847	30	418	0
<i>CDH1</i>	11.54 (0.74-179.25)	8.1E-02	9.79 (0.65-146.35)	9.8E-02	19873	4	417	1
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19872	5	418	0
<i>FANCC</i>	1.31 (0.17-10.28)	8.0E-01	1.32 (0.17-10.52)	7.9E-01	19849	28	417	1
<i>FANCM</i>	0.97 (0.36-2.59)	9.5E-01	0.97 (0.36-2.63)	9.6E-01	19728	149	414	4
<i>GEN1</i>	12.36 (0.78-196.33)	7.5E-02	10.62 (0.69-162.98)	9.0E-02	19867	10	417	1
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19874	3	418	0
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19875	2	418	0
<i>MRE11A</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19855	22	418	0
<i>MSH2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19874	3	418	0
<i>MSH6</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19860	17	418	0
<i>MUTYH</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19858	19	418	0
<i>NBN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19823	54	418	0
<i>NF1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19866	11	418	0
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19873	4	418	0
<i>PMS2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19863	14	418	0
<i>PTEN</i>	22.74 (1.15-450.24)	4.0E-02	24.95 (1.21-513.65)	3.7E-02	19873	4	417	1
<i>RAD50</i>	5.15 (1.68-15.79)	4.1E-03	5.00 (1.63-15.34)	5.1E-03	19820	57	413	5
<i>RECQL</i>	2.12 (0.23-19.34)	5.1E-01	1.96 (0.22-17.73)	5.5E-01	19850	27	417	1
<i>RINT1</i>	2.75 (0.28-27.25)	3.9E-01	2.86 (0.28-28.95)	3.7E-01	19860	17	417	1
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19876	1	418	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19872	5	418	0

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S21. Association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5037	1	139	0
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5038	0	139	0
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5038	0	139	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	5.11 (0.42-62.75)	2.0E-01	5.41 (0.42-70.24)	1.9E-01	5027	11	138	1
<i>CDH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5036	2	139	0
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5037	1	139	0
<i>FANCC</i>	1.76 (0.20-15.18)	6.1E-01	1.59 (0.18-13.87)	6.7E-01	5025	13	138	1
<i>FANCM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	4989	49	139	0
<i>GEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5034	4	139	0
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5038	0	139	0
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5037	1	139	0
<i>MRE11A</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5032	6	139	0
<i>MSH2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5035	3	139	0
<i>MSH6</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5029	9	139	0
<i>MUTYH</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5032	6	139	0
<i>NBN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5026	12	139	0
<i>NF1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5034	4	139	0
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5038	0	139	0
<i>PMS2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5035	3	139	0
<i>PTEN</i>	7.38 (0.53-101.84)	1.4E-01	3.92 (0.30-52.00)	3.0E-01	5036	2	138	1
<i>RAD50</i>	6.03 (0.99-36.70)	5.1E-02	6.27 (0.98-39.95)	5.2E-02	5022	16	137	2
<i>RECQL</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5032	6	139	0
<i>RINT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5036	2	139	0
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5038	0	139	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5036	2	139	0

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S22. Heterogeneity test for the association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with contralateral breast cancer risk and breast cancer-specific survival, by ER status of the first breast cancer.

Gene	Contralateral breast cancer risk		Breast cancer-specific survival	
	P	P ^a	P	P ^a
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>BRIP1</i>	NA	NA	4.5E-01	2.6E-01
<i>CDH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>FANCC</i>	7.9E-01	7.9E-01	3.7E-01	3.1E-01
<i>FANCM</i>	NA	NA	3.2E-01	3.4E-01
<i>GEN1</i>	NA	NA	1.7E-02	1.3E-02
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>MRE11A</i>	NA	NA	4.8E-01	4.8E-01
<i>MSH2</i>	NA	NA	5.6E-01	8.6E-01
<i>MSH6</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>MUTYH</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>NBN</i>	NA	NA	8.5E-01	8.0E-01
<i>NF1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>PMS2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>RAD50</i>	7.5E-01	7.5E-01	3.0E-01	6.5E-01
<i>RECQL</i>	NA	NA	1.4E-01	1.0E-01
<i>RINT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>XRCC2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviation: P = p-value. Heterogeneity tests are for the hazard ratio (HR) estimates presented in Tables S20-S21 (contralateral breast cancer risk) and S34-S35 (breast cancer-specific survival) and compare a model including main effects and an interaction term between the mutation carrier status and the ER status of the first breast cancer, with a model without the interaction term. NA: not assessable within ER-positive and/or ER-negative tumors due to absence of mutation carriers or of mutation carriers with events. Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*,

RAD51D, and *TP53* are presented here. ^a The two model compared additionally include the covariates specified in Tables S20-S21 and S34-S35.

Table S23. Association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	1.55 (0.46-5.25)	4.8E-01	1.57 (0.46-5.36)	4.7E-01	19777	94	415	3
<i>AKT1</i>	3.46 (0.88-13.6)	7.5E-02	3.74 (0.93-15.02)	6.3E-02	19831	44	415	3
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19810	62	418	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	1.14 (0.56-2.34)	7.1E-01	1.14 (0.56-2.35)	7.2E-01	19548	299	410	8
<i>CDH1</i>	1.24 (0.57-2.70)	5.8E-01	1.24 (0.57-2.71)	5.8E-01	19624	249	410	7
<i>EPCAM</i>	0.48 (0.09-2.72)	4.1E-01	0.49 (0.09-2.83)	4.3E-01	19792	80	417	1
<i>FANCC</i>	1.25 (0.54-2.89)	6.0E-01	1.27 (0.55-2.94)	5.8E-01	19603	246	411	6
<i>FANCM</i>	1.47 (0.87-2.50)	1.5E-01	1.46 (0.86-2.49)	1.6E-01	19171	557	398	16
<i>GEN1</i>	0.19 (0.04-0.78)	2.1E-02	0.18 (0.04-0.75)	1.9E-02	19622	245	416	1
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19822	52	418	0
<i>MLH1</i>	1.22 (0.56-2.63)	6.2E-01	1.26 (0.58-2.75)	5.6E-01	19614	261	411	7
<i>MRE11A</i>	1.46 (0.62-3.44)	3.9E-01	1.51 (0.64-3.59)	3.5E-01	19639	216	412	6
<i>MSH2</i>	0.67 (0.29-1.54)	3.4E-01	0.68 (0.29-1.59)	3.7E-01	19550	324	413	5
<i>MSH6</i>	1.38 (0.75-2.52)	3.0E-01	1.35 (0.74-2.47)	3.3E-01	19456	404	406	12
<i>MUTYH</i>	0.65 (0.23-1.87)	4.2E-01	0.64 (0.22-1.85)	4.1E-01	19644	214	415	3
<i>NBN</i>	1.85 (0.86-4.00)	1.2E-01	1.87 (0.86-4.06)	1.1E-01	19602	221	410	8
<i>NF1</i>	1.18 (0.58-2.43)	6.5E-01	1.24 (0.60-2.56)	5.7E-01	19533	333	410	8
<i>PIK3CA</i>	1.08 (0.26-4.39)	9.2E-01	1.04 (0.25-4.22)	9.6E-01	19790	83	416	2
<i>PMS2</i>	1.66 (0.87-3.17)	1.3E-01	1.67 (0.87-3.20)	1.2E-01	19507	356	407	11
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	19834	39	417	0
<i>RAD50</i>	1.36 (0.76-2.42)	3.0E-01	1.35 (0.75-2.43)	3.1E-01	19389	431	400	13
<i>RECQL</i>	0.21 (0.05-0.91)	3.7E-02	0.21 (0.05-0.94)	4.1E-02	19624	226	416	1
<i>RINT1</i>	0.80 (0.34-1.89)	6.2E-01	0.78 (0.33-1.82)	5.6E-01	19566	294	412	5
<i>STK11</i>	1.60 (0.19-13.29)	6.6E-01	1.64 (0.19-13.80)	6.5E-01	19839	37	417	1
<i>XRCC2</i>	2.28 (0.74-6.98)	1.5E-01	2.35 (0.76-7.27)	1.4E-01	19790	82	414	4

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events. Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

Table S24. Association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with risk of contralateral breast cancer in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of CBC	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5021	16	139	0
<i>AKT1</i>	2.14 (0.23-19.70)	5.0E-01	2.29 (0.24-22.21)	4.7E-01	5021	17	138	1
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5020	18	139	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	0.34 (0.07-1.80)	2.1E-01	0.33 (0.06-1.73)	1.9E-01	4935	92	137	1
<i>CDH1</i>	0.87 (0.13-5.98)	8.9E-01	0.83 (0.12-5.81)	8.5E-01	4990	46	138	1
<i>EPCAM</i>	4.08 (1.00-16.67)	5.0E-02	4.24 (1.00-18.00)	5.0E-02	5009	28	136	3
<i>FANCC</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	4960	65	138	0
<i>FANCM</i>	0.63 (0.22-1.83)	3.9E-01	0.68 (0.23-2.03)	4.9E-01	4830	159	136	3
<i>GEN1</i>	0.51 (0.09-2.99)	4.6E-01	0.47 (0.08-2.72)	3.9E-01	4973	61	138	1
<i>MEN1</i>	3.76 (0.33-42.79)	2.9E-01	4.52 (0.36-56.4)	2.4E-01	5028	10	138	1
<i>MLH1</i>	0.77 (0.20-2.96)	7.0E-01	0.81 (0.20-3.19)	7.6E-01	4958	79	137	2
<i>MRE11A</i>	1.23 (0.29-5.29)	7.8E-01	1.27 (0.29-5.68)	7.5E-01	4975	57	137	2
<i>MSH2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	4971	64	139	0
<i>MSH6</i>	0.38 (0.07-2.02)	2.6E-01	0.37 (0.07-1.96)	2.4E-01	4936	93	138	1
<i>MUTYH</i>	0.86 (0.12-5.88)	8.8E-01	0.85 (0.12-6.04)	8.7E-01	4968	64	138	1
<i>NBN</i>	1.70 (0.49-5.92)	4.0E-01	1.85 (0.51-6.66)	3.5E-01	4958	68	136	3
<i>NF1</i>	1.86 (0.53-6.58)	3.3E-01	1.92 (0.53-6.98)	3.2E-01	4957	77	136	3
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5018	20	139	0
<i>PMS2</i>	1.53 (0.53-4.45)	4.3E-01	1.56 (0.53-4.64)	4.2E-01	4934	101	135	4
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5028	8	138	0
<i>RAD50</i>	0.77 (0.20-2.95)	7.0E-01	0.81 (0.20-3.20)	7.6E-01	4939	83	135	2
<i>RECQL</i>	2.36 (0.76-7.35)	1.4E-01	2.55 (0.79-8.20)	1.2E-01	4976	56	135	4
<i>RINT1</i>	1.57 (0.54-4.58)	4.1E-01	1.40 (0.48-4.09)	5.3E-01	4945	91	135	4
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5032	6	139	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	3.94 (0.71-22.04)	1.2E-01	4.77 (0.80-28.49)	8.6E-02	5014	22	137	2

Abbreviations: No. = number; CBC = contralateral breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 32 studies with information about contralateral breast cancer diagnosis. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events. Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

Table S25. Heterogeneity test for the association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with contralateral breast cancer risk and breast cancer-specific survival, by ER status of the first breast cancer.

Gene	Contralateral breast cancer risk		Breast cancer-specific survival	
	P	P ^a	P	P ^a
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	9.5E-01	8.5E-01
<i>AKT1</i>	6.9E-01	6.4E-01	5.4E-01	3.1E-01
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	4.9E-02	4.9E-02
<i>BRIP1</i>	3.1E-01	3.5E-01	8.4E-01	7.8E-01
<i>CDH1</i>	6.1E-01	6.2E-01	3.2E-01	6.1E-01
<i>EPCAM</i>	4.3E-02	6.6E-02	2.1E-01	4.1E-01
<i>FANCC</i>	NA	NA	1.7E-01	2.0E-01
<i>FANCM</i>	1.6E-01	2.2E-01	1.2E-01	2.4E-01
<i>GEN1</i>	5.3E-01	5.4E-01	2.9E-01	1.8E-01
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	5.5E-01	8.9E-01
<i>MLH1</i>	7.0E-01	7.3E-01	9.7E-01	8.2E-01
<i>MRE11A</i>	7.9E-01	8.0E-01	3.2E-01	3.5E-01
<i>MSH2</i>	NA	NA	2.0E-01	4.1E-01
<i>MSH6</i>	1.5E-01	2.4E-01	7.2E-01	9.8E-01
<i>MUTYH</i>	7.7E-01	7.2E-01	3.2E-01	5.3E-01
<i>NBN</i>	8.5E-01	9.0E-01	3.2E-01	4.2E-01
<i>NF1</i>	4.5E-01	4.5E-01	8.7E-02	4.5E-02
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	9.2E-01	9.1E-01
<i>PMS2</i>	8.8E-01	9.2E-01	6.0E-01	7.5E-01
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	6.9E-01	8.8E-01
<i>RAD50</i>	3.1E-01	3.5E-01	9.7E-01	5.1E-01
<i>RECQL</i>	1.6E-02	3.0E-02	1.8E-01	1.6E-01
<i>RINT1</i>	2.3E-01	2.4E-01	5.0E-02	6.2E-02
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	1.2E-01	2.5E-01
<i>XRCC2</i>	4.2E-01	3.2E-01	3.8E-02	3.6E-02

Abbreviation: P = p-value. Heterogeneity tests are for the hazard ratio (HR) estimates presented in Tables S23-S24 (contralateral breast cancer risk) and S37-S38 (breast cancer-specific survival) and compare a model including main effects and an interaction term between the mutation carrier status and the ER status of the first breast cancer, with a model without the interaction term. NA: not assessable within ER-positive and/or ER-negative tumors due to absence of mutation carriers or of mutation carriers with events. Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^aThe two model compared additionally include the covariates specified in Tables S23-S24 and S37-S38.

Table S26. Association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.37 (0.83-2.27)	2.2E-01	1.08 (0.66-1.76)	7.6E-01	22429	161	1980	17
<i>BARD1</i>	1.12 (0.27-4.59)	8.8E-01	1.69 (0.37-7.71)	5.0E-01	22567	23	1995	2
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	1.87 (1.00-3.51)	5.0E-02	1.60 (0.86-2.97)	1.4E-01	22505	85	1985	12
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	2.14 (1.57-2.93)	1.7E-06	1.53 (1.13-2.07)	5.4E-03	22299	291	1946	51
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.62 (1.25-2.10)	2.4E-04	1.46 (1.13-1.89)	3.9E-03	22089	501	1927	70
c.1100delC	1.73 (1.31-2.29)	1.1E-04	1.55 (1.18-2.05)	1.9E-03	22089	408	1927	61
Other	1.14 (0.58-2.23)	7.0E-01	1.04 (0.54-2.02)	9.1E-01	22089	93	1927	9
<i>PALB2</i>	2.02 (1.26-3.23)	3.3E-03	1.50 (0.96-2.36)	7.8E-02	22458	132	1975	22
<i>RAD51C</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22571	19	1997	0
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.71 (0.11-4.49)	7.1E-01	0.71 (0.11-4.51)	7.1E-01	22578	12	1996	1
<i>TP53</i> ^b	2.86 (1.02-8.02)	4.6E-02	1.92 (0.72-5.12)	1.9E-01	22559	31	1992	5

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

^aAdjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S27. Association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	0.90 (0.34-2.40)	8.4E-01	0.77 (0.30-2.02)	6.0E-01	5642	23	830	4
<i>BARD1</i>	0.73 (0.19-2.71)	6.4E-01	0.58 (0.16-2.09)	4.1E-01	5644	21	832	2
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	0.66 (0.44-0.99)	4.3E-02	0.72 (0.48-1.09)	1.2E-01	5447	218	812	22
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	0.74 (0.43-1.26)	2.7E-01	0.76 (0.44-1.31)	3.2E-01	5566	99	822	12
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.32 (0.77-2.25)	3.1E-01	1.15 (0.67-1.95)	6.1E-01	5589	76	819	15
c.1100delC	1.24 (0.67-2.30)	5.0E-01	1.17 (0.63-2.19)	6.1E-01	5589	57	819	11
Other	1.58 (0.55-4.56)	4.0E-01	1.08 (0.39-2.95)	8.9E-01	5589	19	819	4
<i>PALB2</i>	1.29 (0.65-2.56)	4.7E-01	1.26 (0.63-2.50)	5.1E-01	5617	48	825	9
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.34 (0.41-4.41)	6.3E-01	1.41 (0.41-4.83)	5.8E-01	5653	12	831	3
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.82 (0.21-3.13)	7.7E-01	0.70 (0.19-2.62)	6.0E-01	5654	11	832	2
<i>TP53</i> ^b	0.73 (0.11-4.70)	7.4E-01	1.06 (0.14-7.77)	9.6E-01	5655	10	833	1

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S28. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.00 (0.85-1.16)	9.6E-01	0.98 (0.84-1.14)	7.8E-01	32411	1740	3248	173
<i>BARD1</i>	1.17 (0.87-1.59)	3.0E-01	1.15 (0.85-1.55)	3.7E-01	33944	403	3399	45
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.14 (0.93-1.39)	2.0E-01	1.13 (0.92-1.38)	2.4E-01	33160	921	3307	103
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.05 (0.90-1.21)	5.5E-01	1.01 (0.87-1.18)	8.5E-01	32116	1833	3190	189
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.27 (1.00-1.62)	5.1E-02	1.23 (0.97-1.57)	9.4E-02	33091	611	3277	72
<i>PALB2</i>	1.11 (0.84-1.48)	4.6E-01	1.09 (0.82-1.45)	5.6E-01	33735	442	3365	49
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.17 (0.69-1.96)	5.6E-01	1.19 (0.70-2.00)	5.2E-01	34223	138	3431	15
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.80 (0.42-1.50)	4.8E-01	0.74 (0.40-1.39)	3.5E-01	34256	114	3434	9
<i>TP53</i>	1.84 (1.26-2.70)	1.8E-03	1.63 (1.11-2.38)	1.2E-02	34218	179	3416	32

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^aAdjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S29. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.11 (0.91-1.35)	3.1E-01	1.05 (0.87-1.28)	6.0E-01	21275	1154	1870	110
<i>BARD1</i>	1.10 (0.73-1.66)	6.4E-01	1.04 (0.69-1.56)	8.6E-01	22301	266	1971	24
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.10 (0.84-1.43)	4.9E-01	1.10 (0.84-1.44)	4.8E-01	21920	595	1928	58
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.00 (0.82-1.22)	9.8E-01	1.00 (0.82-1.23)	9.8E-01	21144	1174	1847	102
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.44 (1.07-1.96)	1.8E-02	1.33 (0.98-1.80)	6.5E-02	21665	424	1879	48
<i>PALB2</i>	1.14 (0.79-1.64)	4.8E-01	1.10 (0.76-1.57)	6.2E-01	22152	306	1944	31
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.11 (0.55-2.25)	7.7E-01	1.17 (0.57-2.39)	6.7E-01	22488	83	1989	8
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.83 (0.36-1.95)	6.7E-01	0.75 (0.32-1.73)	5.0E-01	22505	73	1991	5
<i>TP53</i>	2.06 (1.26-3.37)	4.0E-03	1.64 (1.01-2.64)	4.4E-02	22470	119	1977	20

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations after ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^aAdjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S30. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	0.93 (0.68-1.27)	6.4E-01	0.88 (0.64-1.20)	4.2E-01	5357	285	789	41
<i>BARD1</i>	0.81 (0.43-1.53)	5.1E-01	0.87 (0.45-1.65)	6.6E-01	5571	73	823	9
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.21 (0.83-1.76)	3.3E-01	1.21 (0.83-1.77)	3.3E-01	5302	172	784	30
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.13 (0.86-1.50)	3.9E-01	1.05 (0.79-1.39)	7.4E-01	5241	332	770	55
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.11 (0.59-2.10)	7.5E-01	0.96 (0.51-1.81)	9.1E-01	5522	67	809	10
<i>PALB2</i>	0.88 (0.46-1.67)	7.0E-01	0.82 (0.43-1.56)	5.5E-01	5550	67	816	9
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.02 (0.37-2.76)	9.7E-01	1.02 (0.37-2.82)	9.7E-01	5622	31	827	4
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.59 (0.17-2.11)	4.2E-01	0.64 (0.17-2.33)	5.0E-01	5632	22	830	2
<i>TP53</i>	1.05 (0.47-2.37)	9.0E-01	1.15 (0.50-2.64)	7.3E-01	5629	33	827	6

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S31. Sensitivity analysis for the association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with breast cancer-specific survival in women from population- and hospital-based studies plus women without family history from studies including women with family history of breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.20 (0.78-1.83)	4.1E-01	1.08 (0.71-1.64)	7.3E-01	30022	200	3068	23
<i>BARD1</i>	1.05 (0.39-2.83)	9.2E-01	0.79 (0.31-2.04)	6.3E-01	30178	44	3087	4
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	1.27 (0.92-1.75)	1.5E-01	0.89 (0.66-1.22)	4.8E-01	29879	343	3050	41
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	1.62 (1.27-2.08)	1.3E-04	1.24 (0.98-1.58)	7.7E-02	29767	455	3016	75
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.38 (1.09-1.76)	8.4E-03	1.27 (1.00-1.61)	5.1E-02	29676	546	3016	75
c.1100delC	1.41 (1.07-1.84)	1.3E-02	1.35 (1.03-1.76)	3.0E-02	29676	429	3016	60
Other	1.30 (0.77-2.21)	3.3E-01	1.03 (0.62-1.72)	9.0E-01	29676	117	3016	15
<i>PALB2</i>	1.71 (1.15-2.53)	7.3E-03	1.36 (0.93-1.98)	1.2E-01	30044	178	3061	30
<i>RAD51C</i>	0.71 (0.19-2.63)	6.1E-01	0.68 (0.18-2.52)	5.6E-01	30193	29	3089	2
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.36 (0.54-3.43)	5.1E-01	0.85 (0.36-2.00)	7.0E-01	30195	27	3086	5
<i>TP53</i> ^b	2.73 (1.15-6.47)	2.3E-02	2.17 (0.93-5.07)	7.4E-02	30194	28	3084	7

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^aAdjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S32. Sensitivity analysis for the association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women from population- and hospital-based studies plus women without family history from studies including women with family history of breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	1.02 (0.87-1.21)	7.8E-01	0.99 (0.84-1.17)	9.0E-01	28553	1469	2914	154
<i>BARD1</i>	1.14 (0.82-1.57)	4.3E-01	1.12 (0.81-1.55)	4.8E-01	29827	351	3048	39
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.11 (0.90-1.38)	3.2E-01	1.12 (0.90-1.38)	3.2E-01	29111	810	2963	90
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.05 (0.90-1.23)	5.6E-01	1.00 (0.86-1.17)	9.8E-01	28203	1591	2854	167
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.26 (0.97-1.63)	8.5E-02	1.20 (0.92-1.55)	1.8E-01	29171	505	2954	62
<i>PALB2</i>	1.18 (0.88-1.59)	2.7E-01	1.13 (0.84-1.52)	4.0E-01	29657	387	3014	47
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.02 (0.58-1.81)	9.3E-01	1.10 (0.62-1.96)	7.4E-01	30078	115	3077	12
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.88 (0.46-1.67)	7.0E-01	0.82 (0.44-1.56)	5.5E-01	30097	98	3077	9
<i>TP53</i>	1.98 (1.32-2.98)	9.8E-04	1.68 (1.12-2.50)	1.1E-02	30080	139	3061	29

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S33. Association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	0.66 (0.11-4.14)	6.6E-01	0.79 (0.12-5.31)	8.1E-01	34389	12	3448	1
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34398	3	3449	0
<i>BABAM2</i>	5.01 (1.20-21.03)	2.8E-02	7.74 (1.67-35.84)	8.8E-03	34394	7	3446	3
<i>BRIP1</i>	1.88 (0.97-3.61)	6.0E-02	1.89 (0.97-3.67)	6.0E-02	34341	60	3438	11
<i>CDH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34395	6	3449	0
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34393	8	3449	0
<i>FANCC</i>	0.79 (0.34-1.83)	5.8E-01	0.84 (0.36-1.99)	7.0E-01	34342	59	3444	5
<i>FANCM</i>	1.45 (1.03-2.06)	3.6E-02	1.33 (0.94-1.88)	1.1E-01	34139	262	3413	36
<i>GEN1</i>	2.73 (1.07-6.93)	3.5E-02	2.15 (0.86-5.35)	1.0E-01	34379	22	3443	6
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34398	3	3449	0
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34398	3	3449	0
<i>MRE11A</i>	1.14 (0.42-3.09)	8.0E-01	1.46 (0.51-4.15)	4.8E-01	34366	35	3445	4
<i>MSH2</i>	2.63 (0.71-9.78)	1.5E-01	3.09 (0.77-12.33)	1.1E-01	34390	11	3446	3
<i>MSH6</i>	0.38 (0.07-1.96)	2.5E-01	0.31 (0.06-1.48)	1.4E-01	34373	28	3448	1
<i>MUTYH</i>	0.43 (0.08-2.35)	3.3E-01	0.48 (0.08-2.68)	4.0E-01	34372	29	3448	1
<i>NBN</i>	1.20 (0.61-2.37)	5.9E-01	0.95 (0.50-1.83)	8.8E-01	34318	83	3440	9
<i>NF1</i>	0.94 (0.24-3.73)	9.3E-01	0.93 (0.24-3.68)	9.2E-01	34381	20	3447	2
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34395	6	3449	0
<i>PMS2</i>	1.50 (0.53-4.26)	4.5E-01	1.06 (0.39-2.86)	9.1E-01	34374	27	3445	4
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34386	15	3449	0
<i>RAD50</i>	1.08 (0.55-2.09)	8.3E-01	1.24 (0.63-2.44)	5.4E-01	34305	96	3440	9
<i>RECQL</i>	1.37 (0.54-3.45)	5.0E-01	1.66 (0.64-4.32)	3.0E-01	34358	43	3444	5
<i>RINT1</i>	0.52 (0.15-1.88)	3.2E-01	0.72 (0.19-2.77)	6.4E-01	34376	25	3447	2
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34399	2	3449	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	1.17 (0.16-8.73)	8.8E-01	1.14 (0.15-8.61)	9.0E-01	34391	10	3448	1

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S34. Association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22584	6	1997	0
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22587	3	1997	0
<i>BABAM2</i>	6.91 (1.56-30.71)	1.1E-02	10.07 (2.11-47.97)	3.7E-03	22585	5	1994	3
<i>BRIP1</i>	2.13 (0.86-5.24)	1.0E-01	2.05 (0.83-5.08)	1.2E-01	22559	31	1991	6
<i>CDH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22586	4	1997	0
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22584	6	1997	0
<i>FANCC</i>	0.36 (0.07-1.84)	2.2E-01	0.39 (0.07-2.07)	2.7E-01	22557	33	1996	1
<i>FANCM</i>	1.47 (0.93-2.32)	1.0E-01	1.31 (0.84-2.07)	2.4E-01	22432	158	1976	21
<i>GEN1</i>	1.65 (0.37-7.40)	5.2E-01	1.30 (0.30-5.57)	7.2E-01	22577	13	1995	2
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22587	3	1997	0
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22588	2	1997	0
<i>MRE11A</i>	1.63 (0.48-5.54)	4.4E-01	2.31 (0.63-8.40)	2.1E-01	22567	23	1994	3
<i>MSH2</i>	2.87 (0.29-28.72)	3.7E-01	2.36 (0.25-22.3)	4.5E-01	22585	5	1996	1
<i>MSH6</i>	0.68 (0.11-4.29)	6.9E-01	0.62 (0.10-3.82)	6.1E-01	22572	18	1996	1
<i>MUTYH</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22569	21	1997	0
<i>NBN</i>	1.04 (0.43-2.53)	9.2E-01	0.93 (0.39-2.24)	8.8E-01	22528	62	1992	5
<i>NF1</i>	0.70 (0.11-4.43)	7.0E-01	0.71 (0.11-4.58)	7.2E-01	22578	12	1996	1
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22586	4	1997	0
<i>PMS2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22571	19	1997	0
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22583	7	1997	0
<i>RAD50</i>	1.10 (0.45-2.67)	8.4E-01	1.14 (0.46-2.81)	7.8E-01	22531	59	1992	5
<i>RECQL</i>	1.25 (0.38-4.03)	7.1E-01	1.24 (0.38-4.04)	7.2E-01	22560	30	1994	3
<i>RINT1</i>	0.92 (0.22-3.83)	9.1E-01	1.28 (0.29-5.56)	7.4E-01	22572	18	1995	2
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22589	1	1997	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	22585	5	1997	0

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events. Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S35. Association of protein-truncating variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5663	2	834	0
<i>AKT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5665	0	834	0
<i>BABAM2</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5665	0	834	0
<i>BRIP1</i>	3.51 (1.06-11.60)	4.0E-02	4.97 (1.42-17.43)	1.2E-02	5653	12	830	4
<i>CDH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5663	2	834	0
<i>EPCAM</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5664	1	834	0
<i>FANCC</i>	0.98 (0.32-3.05)	9.8E-01	1.31 (0.39-4.34)	6.6E-01	5648	17	831	3
<i>FANCM</i>	0.89 (0.43-1.84)	7.5E-01	0.82 (0.39-1.69)	5.9E-01	5609	56	827	7
<i>GEN1</i>	8.79 (2.32-33.31)	1.4E-03	7.41 (1.99-27.66)	2.9E-03	5660	5	830	4
<i>MEN1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5665	0	834	0
<i>MLH1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5664	1	834	0
<i>MRE11A</i>	0.76 (0.12-4.92)	7.7E-01	0.80 (0.12-5.38)	8.2E-01	5657	8	833	1
<i>MSH2</i>	1.40 (0.18-11.10)	7.5E-01	2.74 (0.26-29.39)	4.0E-01	5662	3	833	1
<i>MSH6</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5656	9	834	0
<i>MUTYH</i>	1.84 (0.21-16.06)	5.8E-01	1.65 (0.19-14.07)	6.5E-01	5659	6	833	1
<i>NBN</i>	1.32 (0.40-4.33)	6.5E-01	0.91 (0.30-2.80)	8.7E-01	5650	15	831	3
<i>NF1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5661	4	834	0
<i>PIK3CA</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5665	0	834	0
<i>PMS2</i>	1.86 (0.21-16.23)	5.8E-01	2.92 (0.29-29.74)	3.6E-01	5662	3	833	1
<i>PTEN</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5663	2	834	0
<i>RAD50</i>	0.42 (0.08-2.29)	3.2E-01	0.64 (0.10- 4.00)	6.4E-01	5647	18	833	1
<i>RECQL</i>	4.64 (0.82-26.45)	8.4E-02	4.34 (0.76-24.73)	9.8E-02	5658	7	832	2
<i>RINT1</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5663	2	834	0
<i>STK11</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	5665	0	834	0
<i>XRCC2</i>	2.40 (0.25-22.82)	4.5E-01	2.00 (0.21-19.33)	5.5E-01	5661	4	833	1

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded.

Statistically significant associations after Bonferroni correction for 25 tests ($P < 2E-03$) are highlighted in bold. NA: not assessable due to absence of mutation carriers with events. Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here. ^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S36. Association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	0.74 (0.42-1.30)	3.0E-01	0.78 (0.44-1.37)	3.9E-01	34235	154	3437	11
<i>AKT1</i>	1.40 (0.80-2.43)	2.4E-01	1.57 (0.88-2.79)	1.2E-01	34298	100	3435	14
<i>BABAM2</i>	0.85 (0.47-1.57)	6.1E-01	0.87 (0.47-1.60)	6.5E-01	34277	117	3436	10
<i>BRIP1</i>	1.03 (0.79-1.34)	8.4E-01	1.05 (0.81-1.38)	7.0E-01	33796	545	3381	57
<i>CDH1</i>	0.98 (0.72-1.34)	9.0E-01	1.03 (0.74-1.41)	8.8E-01	33992	403	3410	39
<i>EPCAM</i>	1.03 (0.63-1.68)	9.1E-01	1.05 (0.64-1.72)	8.6E-01	34237	156	3433	16
<i>FANCC</i>	0.97 (0.72-1.31)	8.6E-01	0.99 (0.73-1.34)	9.6E-01	33919	423	3401	43
<i>FANCM</i>	0.84 (0.68-1.04)	1.1E-01	0.82 (0.66-1.01)	6.3E-02	33126	1013	3328	85
<i>GEN1</i>	0.78 (0.57-1.08)	1.3E-01	0.78 (0.56-1.07)	1.3E-01	33936	443	3408	35
<i>MEN1</i>	1.45 (0.77-2.71)	2.5E-01	1.53 (0.81-2.89)	1.9E-01	34312	86	3438	11
<i>MLH1</i>	0.99 (0.75-1.32)	9.6E-01	0.98 (0.74-1.31)	9.1E-01	33902	496	3401	48
<i>MRE11A</i>	0.98 (0.70-1.35)	8.8E-01	0.96 (0.70-1.34)	8.3E-01	33976	390	3409	36
<i>MSH2</i>	1.07 (0.83-1.38)	6.2E-01	1.06 (0.82-1.37)	6.8E-01	33841	549	3385	61
<i>MSH6</i>	1.23 (0.99-1.52)	6.7E-02	1.22 (0.98-1.51)	8.0E-02	33650	723	3359	89
<i>MUTYH</i>	1.21 (0.89-1.64)	2.2E-01	1.17 (0.86-1.58)	3.2E-01	33979	393	3403	45
<i>NBN</i>	0.95 (0.69-1.29)	7.2E-01	0.89 (0.66-1.22)	4.8E-01	33902	416	3400	40
<i>NF1</i>	0.90 (0.68-1.19)	4.7E-01	0.92 (0.70-1.22)	5.8E-01	33829	552	3398	49
<i>PIK3CA</i>	0.79 (0.44-1.44)	4.4E-01	0.86 (0.47-1.58)	6.3E-01	34263	132	3439	10
<i>PMS2</i>	1.14 (0.90-1.44)	2.7E-01	1.13 (0.90-1.43)	2.9E-01	33758	616	3369	76
<i>PTEN</i>	1.35 (0.65-2.80)	4.2E-01	1.42 (0.68-3.00)	3.5E-01	34325	61	3441	8
<i>RAD50</i>	0.84 (0.66-1.06)	1.5E-01	0.88 (0.69-1.13)	3.2E-01	33583	722	3377	63
<i>RECQL</i>	0.89 (0.65-1.23)	4.8E-01	0.95 (0.68-1.32)	7.6E-01	33971	387	3408	36
<i>RINT1</i>	0.96 (0.72-1.27)	7.7E-01	0.96 (0.72-1.27)	7.7E-01	33864	512	3398	49
<i>STK11</i>	0.93 (0.42-2.06)	8.7E-01	1.22 (0.53-2.80)	6.4E-01	34340	59	3443	6
<i>XRCC2</i>	0.99 (0.58-1.67)	9.6E-01	1.13 (0.66-1.93)	6.6E-01	34248	143	3434	14

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S37. Association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-positive first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	0.72 (0.36-1.46)	3.6E-01	0.76 (0.38-1.56)	4.6E-01	22471	113	1990	7
<i>AKT1</i>	1.28 (0.56-2.96)	5.6E-01	1.41 (0.60-3.35)	4.3E-01	22533	54	1991	6
<i>BABAM2</i>	0.27 (0.09-0.82)	2.0E-02	0.28 (0.09-0.83)	2.3E-02	22508	77	1992	2
<i>BRIP1</i>	0.98 (0.69-1.40)	9.3E-01	1.03 (0.72-1.47)	8.8E-01	22213	346	1960	31
<i>CDH1</i>	0.83 (0.55-1.26)	3.8E-01	0.88 (0.58-1.35)	5.7E-01	22300	286	1976	21
<i>EPCAM</i>	1.42 (0.76-2.65)	2.8E-01	1.37 (0.73-2.57)	3.2E-01	22493	91	1986	11
<i>FANCC</i>	0.91 (0.61-1.37)	6.6E-01	0.93 (0.61-1.39)	7.1E-01	22271	286	1973	23
<i>FANCM</i>	0.75 (0.57-1.00)	5.0E-02	0.75 (0.56-1.00)	4.8E-02	21773	659	1932	44
<i>GEN1</i>	0.71 (0.45-1.11)	1.3E-01	0.69 (0.44-1.09)	1.1E-01	22290	287	1978	17
<i>MEN1</i>	1.88 (0.87-4.04)	1.1E-01	1.83 (0.85-3.96)	1.2E-01	22530	57	1989	8
<i>MLH1</i>	0.95 (0.65-1.39)	7.8E-01	0.94 (0.64-1.38)	7.5E-01	22290	298	1971	26
<i>MRE11A</i>	0.78 (0.49-1.23)	2.8E-01	0.77 (0.48-1.21)	2.6E-01	22317	250	1977	17
<i>MSH2</i>	1.15 (0.83-1.59)	3.9E-01	1.10 (0.79-1.51)	5.8E-01	22210	375	1957	39
<i>MSH6</i>	1.25 (0.94-1.67)	1.3E-01	1.27 (0.95-1.69)	1.1E-01	22107	465	1945	51
<i>MUTYH</i>	1.51 (1.03-2.21)	3.3E-02	1.40 (0.96-2.03)	8.1E-02	22325	244	1966	31
<i>NBN</i>	1.01 (0.67-1.53)	9.5E-01	0.97 (0.64-1.47)	8.8E-01	22273	255	1969	23
<i>NF1</i>	0.78 (0.54-1.13)	1.9E-01	0.77 (0.53-1.11)	1.6E-01	22200	378	1970	26
<i>PIK3CA</i>	0.70 (0.33-1.49)	3.5E-01	0.73 (0.34-1.55)	4.1E-01	22492	94	1991	6
<i>PMS2</i>	1.26 (0.94-1.69)	1.2E-01	1.24 (0.93-1.67)	1.5E-01	22173	398	1948	49
<i>PTEN</i>	1.53 (0.65-3.61)	3.3E-01	1.48 (0.63-3.50)	3.7E-01	22539	44	1991	6
<i>RAD50</i>	0.78 (0.57-1.07)	1.3E-01	0.76 (0.55-1.04)	9.0E-02	22051	480	1956	36
<i>RECQL</i>	1.06 (0.70-1.59)	7.9E-01	1.19 (0.78-1.80)	4.1E-01	22301	259	1970	24
<i>RINT1</i>	0.75 (0.50-1.12)	1.6E-01	0.79 (0.53-1.18)	2.5E-01	22236	336	1973	22
<i>STK11</i>	0.48 (0.14-1.63)	2.4E-01	0.72 (0.19-2.67)	6.2E-01	22548	41	1995	2
<i>XRCC2</i>	0.69 (0.33-1.47)	3.4E-01	0.78 (0.36-1.69)	5.3E-01	22487	98	1991	6

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S38. Association of rare missense variants in 25 putative breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival in women diagnosed with ER-negative first breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ABRAXAS1</i>	0.76 (0.20-2.87)	6.9E-01	0.75 (0.20-2.85)	6.8E-01	5644	19	832	2
<i>AKT1</i>	1.73 (0.72-4.16)	2.2E-01	2.23 (0.89-5.55)	8.6E-02	5644	21	828	6
<i>BABAM2</i>	1.34 (0.48-3.75)	5.8E-01	1.32 (0.47-3.73)	6.0E-01	5644	21	830	4
<i>BRIP1</i>	1.10 (0.64-1.88)	7.4E-01	1.17 (0.67-2.02)	5.8E-01	5551	102	816	14
<i>CDH1</i>	1.27 (0.64-2.53)	4.9E-01	1.11 (0.56-2.18)	7.7E-01	5614	49	825	9
<i>EPCAM</i>	0.68 (0.23-1.96)	4.7E-01	0.76 (0.25-2.25)	6.1E-01	5635	29	831	3
<i>FANCC</i>	1.38 (0.83-2.29)	2.2E-01	1.37 (0.82-2.28)	2.3E-01	5577	71	814	17
<i>FANCM</i>	1.12 (0.76-1.64)	5.8E-01	1.00 (0.68-1.46)	9.9E-01	5437	172	799	28
<i>GEN1</i>	1.13 (0.63-2.03)	6.7E-01	1.17 (0.65-2.10)	6.1E-01	5589	71	818	12
<i>MEN1</i>	1.11 (0.27-4.57)	8.8E-01	1.40 (0.32-6.21)	6.6E-01	5651	14	832	2
<i>MLH1</i>	0.98 (0.56-1.74)	9.6E-01	0.87 (0.49-1.53)	6.3E-01	5568	96	822	12
<i>MRE11A</i>	1.22 (0.64-2.34)	5.4E-01	1.23 (0.64-2.38)	5.3E-01	5594	63	823	10
<i>MSH2</i>	0.72 (0.38-1.34)	2.9E-01	0.74 (0.39-1.39)	3.5E-01	5584	78	824	9
<i>MSH6</i>	1.31 (0.84-2.03)	2.4E-01	1.24 (0.80-1.93)	3.4E-01	5541	115	812	22
<i>MUTYH</i>	1.00 (0.54-1.88)	9.9E-01	1.06 (0.56-2.01)	8.6E-01	5584	75	823	10
<i>NBN</i>	0.68 (0.35-1.32)	2.6E-01	0.67 (0.35-1.31)	2.4E-01	5577	73	823	8
<i>NF1</i>	1.28 (0.75-2.19)	3.6E-01	1.41 (0.82-2.44)	2.2E-01	5575	86	819	15
<i>PIK3CA</i>	0.74 (0.20-2.77)	6.5E-01	0.76 (0.20-2.90)	6.9E-01	5643	22	832	2
<i>PMS2</i>	1.08 (0.67-1.77)	7.4E-01	1.13 (0.69-1.85)	6.3E-01	5552	110	816	17
<i>PTEN</i>	0.89 (0.13-6.11)	9.0E-01	0.97 (0.13-6.96)	9.7E-01	5655	8	833	1
<i>RAD50</i>	0.81 (0.44-1.48)	4.9E-01	0.96 (0.51-1.79)	8.9E-01	5554	93	823	10
<i>RECQL</i>	0.64 (0.32-1.28)	2.1E-01	0.67 (0.33-1.36)	2.7E-01	5593	65	825	7
<i>RINT1</i>	1.33 (0.84-2.12)	2.3E-01	1.32 (0.83-2.12)	2.4E-01	5560	103	814	20
<i>STK11</i>	2.16 (0.45-10.37)	3.4E-01	2.11 (0.44-10.20)	3.5E-01	5658	7	832	2
<i>XRCC2</i>	2.12 (0.92-4.90)	7.8E-02	2.34 (1 - 5.49)	5.1E-02	5638	23	826	7

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene.

Genes on the BRIDGES panel (Dorling et al., NEJM 2021) other than *ATM*, *BARD1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, and *TP53* are presented here.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S39. Sensitivity analysis for the association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with breast cancer-specific survival, censoring for contralateral breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.24 (0.84-1.84)	2.8E-01	1.07 (0.73-1.58)	7.3E-01	34151	250	3303	27
<i>BARD1</i>	1.16 (0.47-2.86)	7.4E-01	0.92 (0.39-2.21)	8.6E-01	34347	54	3325	5
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	1.29 (0.94-1.77)	1.2E-01	0.95 (0.70-1.30)	7.5E-01	34037	364	3288	42
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	1.51 (1.18-1.94)	1.1E-03	1.19 (0.93-1.51)	1.7E-01	33914	487	3258	72
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.41 (1.13-1.77)	2.4E-03	1.34 (1.07-1.67)	1.1E-02	33702	699	3241	89
c.1100delC	1.39 (1.08-1.78)	9.2E-03	1.35 (1.05-1.73)	1.8E-02	33702	561	3241	71
Other	1.50 (0.92-2.47)	1.1E-01	1.28 (0.79-2.08)	3.2E-01	33702	138	3241	18
<i>PALB2</i>	1.71 (1.18-2.47)	4.3E-03	1.45 (1.01-2.08)	4.4E-02	34177	224	3296	34
<i>RAD51C</i>	0.55 (0.16-1.93)	3.5E-01	0.57 (0.16-2.01)	3.8E-01	34361	40	3328	2
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.27 (0.51-3.16)	6.1E-01	0.81 (0.35-1.89)	6.2E-01	34370	31	3325	5
<i>TP53</i> ^b	2.80 (1.24-6.30)	1.3E-02	2.32 (1.04-5.16)	4.0E-02	34354	47	3322	8

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^aAdjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S40. Sensitivity analysis for the association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with breast cancer-specific survival, censoring for contralateral breast cancer.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of BC deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	0.99 (0.85-1.16)	8.9E-01	0.97 (0.83-1.14)	7.3E-01	32411	1740	3138	165
<i>BARD1</i>	1.19 (0.88-1.62)	2.6E-01	1.15 (0.85-1.57)	3.6E-01	33944	403	3281	44
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.12 (0.91-1.37)	2.9E-01	1.11 (0.90-1.36)	3.4E-01	33160	921	3195	97
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.01 (0.87-1.17)	9.2E-01	0.98 (0.84-1.14)	7.7E-01	32116	1833	3087	176
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.29 (1.00-1.64)	4.6E-02	1.24 (0.97-1.59)	9.1E-02	33091	611	3171	70
<i>PALB2</i>	1.10 (0.82-1.47)	5.3E-01	1.07 (0.80-1.43)	6.6E-01	33735	442	3249	47
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.20 (0.71-2.02)	5.0E-01	1.21 (0.72-2.05)	4.7E-01	34223	138	3313	15
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.82 (0.44-1.55)	5.4E-01	0.76 (0.41-1.42)	3.9E-01	34256	114	3316	9
<i>TP53</i>	1.94 (1.32-2.86)	7.5E-04	1.70 (1.16-2.49)	6.6E-03	34218	179	3297	32

Abbreviations: No. = number; BC = breast cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Table S41. Association of protein-truncating variants in 9 breast cancer genes and of pathogenic/likely pathogenic rare missense variants in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53* with overall survival.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
PTVs (unless indicated otherwise)								
<i>ATM</i>	1.25 (0.95-1.64)	1.1E-01	1.18 (0.90-1.56)	2.3E-01	34151	250	6842	56
<i>BARD1</i>	1.45 (0.83-2.53)	1.9E-01	1.30 (0.75-2.25)	3.6E-01	34347	54	6884	14
<i>BRCA1</i> ^b	1.08 (0.87-1.34)	4.7E-01	1.20 (0.96-1.50)	1.1E-01	34037	364	6810	88
<i>BRCA2</i> ^b	1.28 (1.07-1.54)	7.5E-03	1.27 (1.06-1.52)	1.1E-02	33914	487	6769	129
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.22 (1.04-1.43)	1.5E-02	1.21 (1.03-1.43)	2.0E-02	33702	699	6735	163
c.1100delC	1.15 (0.96-1.38)	1.4E-01	1.16 (0.96-1.39)	1.2E-01	33702	561	6735	123
Other	1.51 (1.08-2.10)	1.5E-02	1.43 (1.02-1.99)	3.6E-02	33702	138	6735	40
<i>PALB2</i>	1.29 (0.98-1.69)	7.1E-02	1.18 (0.90-1.55)	2.2E-01	34177	224	6842	56
<i>RAD51C</i>	1.11 (0.55-2.26)	7.7E-01	0.98 (0.49-1.95)	9.5E-01	34361	40	6890	8
<i>RAD51D</i>	1.34 (0.67-2.66)	4.0E-01	1.08 (0.55-2.09)	8.3E-01	34370	31	6889	9
<i>TP53</i> ^b	3.10 (1.79-5.36)	5.4E-05	3.47 (1.98-6.09)	1.5E-05	34354	47	6880	18

Abbreviations: No. = number; PTVs = protein-truncating variants; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value. Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

^b Combined PTVs and rare pathogenic/likely pathogenic missense variants as defined in Dorling et al. (NEJM 2021).

Table S42. Association of rare missense variants in 9 breast cancer genes with overall survival.

Gene	Unadjusted analyses		Adjusted analyses ^a		No. of women		No. of deaths	
	HR (95%CI)	P	HR (95%CI)	P	Non-carriers	Carriers	Non-carriers	Carriers
<i>ATM</i>	0.99 (0.88-1.10)	8.0E-01	1.00 (0.90-1.12)	9.8E-01	32411	1740	6498	344
<i>BARD1</i>	1.04 (0.83-1.31)	7.2E-01	1.02 (0.81-1.28)	8.4E-01	33944	403	6806	78
<i>BRCA1</i>	1.04 (0.90-1.21)	5.9E-01	1.10 (0.94-1.27)	2.3E-01	33160	921	6633	185
<i>BRCA2</i>	1.02 (0.92-1.13)	6.9E-01	1.02 (0.92-1.14)	6.8E-01	32116	1833	6405	374
<i>CHEK2</i>	1.14 (0.96-1.37)	1.4E-01	1.21 (1.01-1.46)	4.0E-02	33091	611	6609	126
<i>PALB2</i>	1.10 (0.90-1.35)	3.6E-01	1.06 (0.86-1.30)	6.0E-01	33735	442	6748	94
<i>RAD51C</i>	0.94 (0.63-1.39)	7.5E-01	0.93 (0.63-1.39)	7.3E-01	34223	138	6866	24
<i>RAD51D</i>	0.65 (0.40-1.03)	6.9E-02	0.60 (0.38-0.96)	3.2E-02	34256	114	6874	15
<i>TP53</i>	1.45 (1.06-1.97)	1.9E-02	1.47 (1.08-2.00)	1.5E-02	34218	179	6849	46

Abbreviations: No. = number; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; P = p-value.

Analyses included women from 34 studies listed in Table S1. Women who developed a CBC before study entry are excluded. The analysis for each gene excluded carriers of protein-truncating variants in that gene. Statistically significant associations ($P < 5E-02$) are highlighted in bold.

^a Adjusted analyses were performed by including age at diagnosis, nodal status, size category, grade, estrogen receptor (ER) status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (*ERBB2*) status of the first breast cancer, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and trastuzumab as covariates in the Cox regression model.

Supplementary methods

Rare missense variants calling and analyses

Rare missense variants (MSVs) identified in the BRIDGES study¹ were analyzed in aggregate, by gene. For *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53*, subsets of rare MSVs were also considered, which were likely to be considered pathogenic according to commonly accepted guidelines as described previously¹. More specifically, for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, MSVs were classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic by either ClinVar (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/>) or the ENIGMA *BRCA1/2* expert panel guidelines (<https://enigmaconsortium.org/>). For *TP53*, additional definitions of (likely) pathogenic, based on *TP53* Variant Curation Expert Panel Specifications² of the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) guidelines³, and on a published quantitative model for *TP53* missense variant⁴ were also used.

Definition of contralateral breast cancer and survival

Primary second tumors were defined by individual studies. In particular, data on laterality was collected by individual studies and reported to the central Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC) database. Information about follow-up, including vital status was also collected by individual studies. Table S1 provides, for each included study, information on: 1) how follow-up (including vital status) information was obtained; 2) how disease recurrence/progression was obtained; 3) how information on contralateral breast cancer was obtained and how it was defined; and 4) when the most recent attempt to have

complete follow-up was. Sources of data varied across studies but mostly came from medical records. A variable in the central BCAC database indicates, for women who died, whether death was due to breast cancer, to other causes, or whether it was unknown, at least in the study database.

Multiple imputation of missing data

Multiple imputation was performed to address the presence of missing data in several clinical and pathological variables included as covariates in the multivariable Cox regression models. The R package MICE (version 3.13.0) was used to impute 10 datasets through 30 iterations of the multivariate imputation by chained equations (MICE) algorithm. Imputation was performed on a total of 35232 women with available vital status and number of years from diagnosis of the first breast cancer to last follow-up (Table S4).

The list of imputed variables, corresponding percentage of missing values, imputation methods, and information about pre-processing of the data can be found in Table S5. Variables included in the imputation process were imputed according to the number of missing values, from the least to the most missing.

For each imputed variable, predictors in the corresponding imputation model were selected among all the variables included in the imputation process based on the correlation coefficient with the variable to impute (≥ 0.125) and the proportion of observed values among the cases with missing data on the variable to impute (≥ 0.200). In particular, neo-adjuvant chemotherapy status (yes vs no) was added as predictor in the imputation models of neoadjuvant anthracyclines status, neoadjuvant taxanes status and neoadjuvant CMF-like chemotherapy status. Year of diagnosis was included as predictor in the imputation models of (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy status (yes vs no), adjuvant

endocrine therapy status (yes vs no), and adjuvant trastuzumab status (yes vs no). The variable “study” was included in all imputation models, in order to preserve the heterogeneity among studies as much as possible, and because in case of systematic missing values (variables not measured/reported by entire studies) it is an informative predictor, which needs to be included to fulfill the missing at random assumption⁵.

The Nelson-Aalen estimator of the baseline cumulative hazard and the event indicator of breast cancer-specific survival and overall survival were included in all imputation models to improve imputation, as well as the time to contralateral breast cancer (CBC) and the corresponding event indicator ⁶. Due to the presence of missing values, time to CBC and corresponding event indicator were also imputed, but the imputed values for these two variables were not used in the adjusted CBC risk analyses, which were based only on women with observed CBC status and time to CBC recorded.

Estimates from the analyses across different imputed datasets were combined via Rubin’s rule ^{7,8}.

Heterogeneity of hazard ratio (HR) estimates for ER-positive and ER-negative first breast cancer

Heterogeneity of the HR estimates for the carrier status by ER status of the first BC was tested in both unadjusted analyses and analyses adjusted for first BC tumor characteristics (size, grade, lymph node status, ERB-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (ERBB2, MIM: 164870) status), age at diagnosis and systemic treatment (endocrine therapy, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy and trastuzumab). For HR estimates from the unadjusted analyses, the heterogeneity test was performed by comparing a model

including the main effects and an interaction term between carrier status and the ER status of the first BC (full model), with a model without the interaction term (reduced model), using a likelihood ratio test via the “anova” function in R. For HR estimates from the adjusted analyses, the full and reduced model compared additionally included size, grade, lymph node status, age at diagnosis of the first BC, endocrine therapy, (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy and trastuzumab as covariates and were compared via the D1-statistic (multivariate Wald test) across multiply imputed datasets ⁹, using the R package MICE (version 3.13.0).

CBC risk analyses by age at first BC diagnosis for *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *TP53*

Unadjusted CBC analyses were performed separately for combined PTVs and pathogenic/likely pathogenic MSVs in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, and in *TP53*, within subgroups of women diagnosed with first BC at age younger than 40 years and at age equal or older than 40 years. Heterogeneity of the HR estimates for the carrier status by age at diagnosis of the first BC was tested by comparing a model including the main effects and an interaction term between carrier status and a binary variable for age at first BC diagnosis with categories “< 40 years” and “≥ 40 years” (full model), with a model without the interaction term (reduced model), using a likelihood ratio test via the “anova” function in R.

CBC cumulative incidence estimation

CBC cumulative incidence estimates for carriers and non-carriers of PTVs in *ATM*, *BARD1*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *RAD51C*, and *RAD51D*, and pathogenic/likely pathogenic MSVs in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *TP53*, accounting for death from any cause as competing event, were computed using the R package “survival”^{10,11} as explained in the vignette “Multi-state models and competing risks” available at <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival>.

Supplemental Acknowledgments

Funding

BCAC is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant numbers 634935 and 633784 for BRIDGES and B-CAST respectively), and the PERSPECTIVE I&I project, funded by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Ministère de l'Économie et de l'Innovation du Québec through Genome Québec, the Quebec Breast Cancer Foundation. The EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme funding source had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation or writing of the report. Additional funding for BCAC is provided via the Confluence project which is funded with intramural funds from the National Cancer Institute Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health.

The BRIDGES panel sequencing was supported by the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation program BRIDGES (grant number, 634935) and the Wellcome Trust (v203477/Z/16/Z).

The ABCS study was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society [grants NKI 2007-3839; 2009 4363]. The work of the BBCC was partly funded by ELAN-Fond of the University Hospital of Erlangen. For BIGGS, ES is supported by NIHR Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London, United Kingdom. IT is supported by the Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. The BREast Oncology GALician Network (BREGAN) is funded by Acción Estratégica de Salud del Instituto de Salud Carlos III FIS PI12/02125/Cofinanciado and

FEDER PI17/00918/Cofinanciado FEDER; Acción Estratégica de Salud del Instituto de Salud Carlos III FIS Intrasalud (PI13/01136); Programa Grupos Emergentes, Cancer Genetics Unit, Instituto de Investigación Biomedica Galicia Sur. Xerencia de Xestión Integrada de Vigo-SERGAS, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain; Grant 10CSA012E, Consellería de Industria Programa Sectorial de Investigación Aplicada, PEME I + D e I + D Suma del Plan Gallego de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación Tecnológica de la Consellería de Industria de la Xunta de Galicia, Spain; Grant EC11-192. Fomento de la Investigación Clínica Independiente, Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, Spain; and Grant FEDER-Innterconecta. Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Xunta de Galicia, Spain. The BSUCH study was supported by the Dietmar-Hopp Foundation, the Helmholtz Society and the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). CCGP is supported by funding from the University of Crete. The CECILE study was supported by Fondation de France, Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire, de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail (ANSES), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). The CGPS was supported by the Chief Physician Johan Boserup and Lise Boserup Fund, the Danish Medical Research Council, and Herlev and Gentofte Hospital. FHRISK and PROCAS are funded from NIHR grant PGfAR 0707-10031. DGE, AH and WGN are supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (IS-BRC-1215-20007). The GC-HBOC (German Consortium of Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer) is supported by the German Cancer Aid (grant no 110837 and 70114178, coordinator: Rita K. Schmutzler, Cologne) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Germany (grant no 01GY1901). This work was also funded by the European Regional Development Fund and Free State of Saxony, Germany (LIFE - Leipzig Research Centre

for Civilization Diseases, project numbers 713-241202, 713-241202, 14505/2470, 14575/2470). The GENICA was funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany grants 01KW9975/5, 01KW9976/8, 01KW9977/0 and 01KW0114, the Robert Bosch Foundation, Stuttgart, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, the Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Bochum, as well as the Department of Internal Medicine, Johanniter GmbH Bonn, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany. The GESBC was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe e. V. [70492] and the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). The HABCS study was supported by the Claudia von Schilling Foundation for Breast Cancer Research, by the Lower Saxonian Cancer Society, and by the Rudolf Bartling Foundation. The HEBCS was financially supported by the Helsinki University Hospital Research Fund, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation and the Cancer Foundation Finland. Financial support for KARBAC was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Cancer Society, The Gustav V Jubilee foundation and Bert von Kantzows foundation. The KARMA study was supported by Märit and Hans Rausings Initiative Against Breast Cancer. The KBCP was financially supported by the special Government Funding (VTR) of Kuopio University Hospital grants, Cancer Fund of North Savo, the Finnish Cancer Organizations, and by the strategic funding of the University of Eastern Finland. kConFab is supported by a grant from the National Breast Cancer Foundation, and previously by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), the Queensland Cancer Fund, the Cancer Councils of New South Wales, Victoria, Tasmania and South Australia, and the Cancer Foundation of Western Australia. Financial support for the AOCS was provided

by the United States Army Medical Research and Materiel Command [DAMD17-01-1-0729], Cancer Council Victoria, Queensland Cancer Fund, Cancer Council New South Wales, Cancer Council South Australia, The Cancer Foundation of Western Australia, Cancer Council Tasmania and the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC; 400413, 400281, 199600). G.C.T. and P.W. are supported by the NHMRC. RB was a Cancer Institute NSW Clinical Research Fellow. The MARIE study was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe e.V. [70-2892-BR I, 106332, 108253, 108419, 110826, 110828], the Hamburg Cancer Society, the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany [01KH0402]. MBCSG is supported by grants from the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC). The Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study (MCCS) cohort recruitment was funded by VicHealth and Cancer Council Victoria. The MCCS was further augmented by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council grants 209057, 396414 and 1074383 and by infrastructure provided by Cancer Council Victoria. Cases and their vital status were ascertained through the Victorian Cancer Registry and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, including the National Death Index and the Australian Cancer Database. The NBCS has received funding from the K.G. Jebsen Centre for Breast Cancer Research; the Research Council of Norway grant 193387/V50 (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen) and grant 193387/H10 (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen), South Eastern Norway Health Authority (grant 39346 to A-L Børresen-Dale) and the Norwegian Cancer Society (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen). The OFBCR was supported by a grant from the U.S. National Cancer Institute (UM1 CA164920) to the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR). The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute, nor does

mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government or the BCFR. The PBCS was funded by Intramural Research Funds of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services, USA. Genotyping for PLCO was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, NCI, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics. The PLCO is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics and supported by contracts from the Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. The RBCS was funded by the Dutch Cancer Society (DDHK 2004-3124, DDHK 2009-4318). The SASBAC study was supported by funding from the Agency for Science, Technology and Research of Singapore (A*STAR), the US National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation. SEARCH is funded by Cancer Research UK [C490/A10124, C490/A16561] and supported by the UK National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University of Cambridge. The University of Cambridge has received salary support for PDPP from the NHS in the East of England through the Clinical Academic Reserve. NM and JD were supported by the CR-UK funding (Grant number PPRPGM-Nov20\100002). SKKDKFZS is supported by the DKFZ. The SZBCS was supported by Grant PBZ_KBN_122/P05/2004 and the program of the Minister of Science and Higher Education under the name "Regional Initiative of Excellence" in 2019-2022 project number 002/RID/2018/19 amount of financing 12 000 000 PLN. UBCS was supported by funding from National Cancer Institute (NCI) grant R01 CA163353 (to N.J. Camp) and the Women's Cancer Center at the Huntsman Cancer Institute (HCI). Data collection for UBCS was supported by the Utah Population Database (UPDB) and Utah Cancer Registry (UCR). The UPDB is supported by HCI (including the Huntsman Cancer Foundation),

University of Utah program in Personalized Health and Center for Clinical and Translational Science, and NCI grant P30 CA2014. The UCR is funded by the NCI's SEER Program, Contract No. HHSN261201800016I, the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries, Cooperative Agreement No. NU58DP0063200, the University of Utah and Huntsman Cancer Foundation. ABS was supported by an NHMRC Investigator Fellowship (APP177524).

Acknowledgements

We thank all the individuals who took part in these studies and all the researchers, clinicians, technicians and administrative staff who have enabled this work to be carried out. We thank Dr. Cristina Fortuno for her advisory role about the *TP53* missense variants classification. ABCFS thank Maggie Angelakos, Judi Maskiell, Gillian Dite. ABCS thanks the Blood bank Sanquin, The Netherlands. The BREOGAN study would not have been possible without the contributions of the following: Manuela Gago-Dominguez, Jose Esteban Castelao, Angel Carracedo, Victor Muñoz Garzón, Alejandro Novo Domínguez, Maria Elena Martinez, Sara Miranda Ponte, Carmen Redondo Marey, Maite Peña Fernández, Manuel Enguix Castelo, Maria Torres, Manuel Calaza (BREOGAN), José Antúnez, Máximo Fraga and the staff of the Department of Pathology and Biobank of the University Hospital Complex of Santiago-CHUS, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago, IDIS, Xerencia de Xestión Integrada de Santiago-SERGAS; Joaquín González-Carreró and the staff of the Department of Pathology and Biobank of University Hospital Complex of Vigo, Instituto de Investigación Biomedica Galicia Sur, SERGAS, Vigo, Spain. The BSUCH study acknowledges the Principal Investigator, Barbara Burwinkel, and,

thanks Peter Bugert, Medical Faculty Mannheim. CCGP thanks Styliani Apostolaki, Anna Margiolaki, Georgios Nintos, Maria Perraki, Georgia Saloustrou, Georgia Sevastaki, Konstantinos Pompodakis. CGPS thanks staff and participants of the Copenhagen General Population Study. For the excellent technical assistance: Dorthe Uldall Andersen, Maria Birna Arnadottir, Anne Bank, Dorthe Kjeldgård Hansen. The Danish Cancer Biobank is acknowledged for providing infrastructure for the collection of blood samples for the cases. FHRISK and PROCAS thank NIHR for funding. The GENICA Network: Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, and University of Tübingen, Germany [HB, RH, Wing-Yee Lo], Department of Internal Medicine, Johanniter GmbH Bonn, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany [Yon-Dschun Ko, Christian Baisch], Institute of Pathology, University of Bonn, Germany [Hans-Peter Fischer], Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany [Ute Hamann], Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Bochum, Germany [Thomas Brüning, Sylvia Rabstein, Anne Lotz], and Institute of Occupational Medicine and Maritime Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany [Volker Harth]. HABCS thanks Michael Bremer. HEBCS thanks Maija Suvanto, Kristiina Aittomäki, Kirsimari Aaltonen, Karl von Smitten, Outi Malkavaara. KARMA and SASBAC thank the Swedish Medical Research Counsel. KBCP thanks Eija Myöhänen. kConFab/AOCS wish to thank Heather Thorne, Eveline Niedermayr, all the kConFab research nurses and staff, the heads and staff of the Family Cancer Clinics, and the Clinical Follow Up Study (which has received funding from the NHMRC, the National Breast Cancer Foundation, Cancer Australia, and the National Institute of Health (USA)) for their contributions to this resource, and the many families who contribute to kConFab.

MARIE thanks Petra Seibold, Nadia Obi, Sabine Behrens, Ursula Eilber and Muhabbet Celik. MBCSG (Milan Breast Cancer Study Group) thanks: Paolo Radice, Bernard Peissel, Jacopo Azzollini, Erica Rosina, Daniela Zaffaroni, Bernardo Bonanni, Irene Feroce, Mariarosaria Calvello, Aliana Guerrieri Gonzaga, Monica Marabelli, Davide Bondavalli and the personnel of the Cogentech Cancer Genetic Test Laboratory. The MCCS was made possible by the contribution of many people, including the original investigators, the teams that recruited the participants and continue working on follow-up, and the many thousands of Melbourne residents who continue to participate in the study. The following are NBCS Collaborators: Kristine K. Sahlberg (PhD), Anne-Lise Børresen-Dale (Prof. Em.), Inger Torhild Gram (Prof.), Karina Standahl Olsen (Ass. Prof.), Olav Engebråten (MD), Bjørn Naume (MD), Jürgen Geisler (MD), OSBREAC and Grethe I. Grenaker Alnæs (MSc). The OFBCR thanks Teresa Selander, Nayana Weerasooriya and Gord Glendon. PBCS thanks Louise Brinton, Mark Sherman, Neonila Szeszenia-Dabrowska, Beata Peplonska, Witold Zatonski, Pei Chao, Michael Stagner. The RBCS thanks Jannet Blom, Saskia Pelders, Wendy J.C. Prager – van der Smissen, and the Erasmus MC Family Cancer Clinic. We thank the SEARCH team. SKKDKFZS thanks all study participants, clinicians, family doctors, researchers and technicians for their contributions and commitment to this study. UBCS thanks all study participants, the ascertainment, laboratory and research informatics teams at Huntsman Cancer Institute and Intermountain Healthcare, and Justin Williams, Brandt Jones, Myke Madsen, Stacey Knight and Kerry Rowe for their important contributions to this study.

Supplemental references

1. Dorling, L., Carvalho, S., Allen, J., González-Neira, A., Luccarini, C., Wahlström, C., Pooley, K.A., Parsons, M.T., Fortuno, C., Wang, Q., et al. (2021). Breast Cancer Risk Genes - Association Analysis in More than 113,000 Women. *N Engl J Med* 384, 428-439. [10.1056/NEJMoa1913948](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1913948).
2. Fortuno, C., Lee, K., Olivier, M., Pesaran, T., Mai, P.L., de Andrade, K.C., Attardi, L.D., Crowley, S., Evans, D.G., Feng, B.J., et al. (2021). Specifications of the ACMG/AMP variant interpretation guidelines for germline TP53 variants. *Hum Mutat* 42, 223-236. [10.1002/humu.24152](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24152).
3. Sue Richards, N.A., Sherri Bale, David Bick, Soma Das, Julie Gastier-Foster, Wayne W. Grody, Madhuri Hegde, Elaine Lyon, Elaine Spector, Karl Voelkerding, Heidi L. Rehm (2015). Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genetics in Medicine* 17, 405-424. <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30>.
4. Fortuno, C., Cipponi, A., Ballinger, M.L., Tavtigian, S.V., Olivier, M., Ruparel, V., Haupt, Y., Haupt, S., Study, I.S.K., Tucker, K., et al. (2019). A quantitative model to predict pathogenicity of missense variants in the TP53 gene. *Human Mutation* 40, 788-800. <https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.23739>.
5. Buuren, S.v. (2018). *Flexible imputation of missing data.*, Second edition Edition (Chapman & Hall/CRC).
6. White, I.R., and Royston, P. (2009). Imputing missing covariate values for the Cox model. *Statistics in Medicine* 28, 1982-1998. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.3618>.
7. Barnard, J., and Rubin, D.B. (1999). Small-Sample Degrees of Freedom with Multiple Imputation. *Biometrika* 86, 948-955.
8. Rubin, D.B. (1987). *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys* (Wiley).
9. Li, K.H., Raghunathan, T.E., and Rubin, D.B. (1991). Large-Sample Significance Levels from Multiply Imputed Data Using Moment-Based Statistics and an F Reference Distribution. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 86, 1065-1073. [10.2307/2290525](https://doi.org/10.2307/2290525).
10. Therneau, T.M. (2021). A Package for Survival Analysis in R. R package version 3.2-13, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival>. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival>.
11. Therneau, T.M., and Grambsch, P.M. (2000). *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*, 1 Edition (Springer). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3294-8>.