nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Steven A Lubitz

Last updated by author(s) 2/21/2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a	Cor	firmed
	X	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	X	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	X	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	X	A description of all covariates tested
	X	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	X	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	X	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
X		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
X		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	X	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information	about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection	Data were collected as part of the UK Biobank prospective cohort study, as outlined in the Data section below.
Data analysis	GWAS was performed using BOLT-LMM v2.3.4 (https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/BOLT-LMM/BOLT-LMM_manual.html) and LDSC v1.0.1 (https://github.com/bulik/ ldsc). Polygenic risk scores were created using plink v1.90 (https://cog-genomics.org/plink). Statistical analyses were performed using R v4.0 (packages 'ggplot2', v3.3.3, ;data.table' v1.13.6, 'MendelianRandomization' v0.5.0, 'survival' v3.2–7, 'prodlim' v2019.11.13). Data processing scripts used to perform the analyses described herein are available at https://github.com/shaankhurshid/lvmass_gwas.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

UK Biobank data are publicly available by application (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/enable-your-research/register). LV mass estimates used for the current analysis are accessible to UK Biobank researchers as returned data (return ID #3290). The GWAS summary statistics generated in this study have been deposited in the Human Genome Research Institute GWAS Catalog under accession codes GCST90244710 for LVMI (ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gwas/summary_statistics/GCST90244001-GCST90245000/(GCST90244710) and GCST0244711 for unindexed LVM (ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gwas/summary_statistics/GCST90244001-GCST90244711). The LVMI PRS developed in this study have been deposited to the Polygenic Score (PCS) Catalog under accession code Beena deposited to the Polygenic Score (PCS) Catalog under accession code Beena deposited to the Polygenic Score (PCS) catalog.org/score/PCS003427 (https:// www.pgscatalog.org/score/PCS003427/). Mass General Brigham (MGB) data contain identifiable protected health information and participants have not consented to data sharing; therefore, the data cannot be shared publicly or with controlled access. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application #17488.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	Sex was classified on the basis of self-report for phenotypic analyses and on the basis of genetic sex in genetic association analyses. Sex was considered in study design and included as an adjustment variable in both genetic association and clinical outcomes analyses given previously reported effects of sex on left ventricular mass and disease risk. Disaggregated data on sex and gender were not collected in this analysis.
Population characteristics	We conducted a multi-ancestry GWAS including 43,230 individuals (91% European ancestry). Detailed characteristics of the study samples are shown in Supplementary Table 1.
	The discovery sample comprised the UK Biobank, a population–based prospective cohort of 502,629 participants recruited between 2006–2010 in the United Kingdom to investigate the genetic and lifestyle determinants of disease. Briefly,
Recruitment	approximately 9.2 million individuals aged 40–69 years living within 25 miles of the 22 assessment centers in England, Wales, and Scotland were invited, and 5.4% participated in the baseline assessment. Extensive questionnaire data, physical measures, and biological data were collected at recruitment, with ongoing data collection in large subsets of the cohort.
Ethics oversight	UK Biobank and MGB Biobank participants provided written informed consent. The UK Biobank was approved by the UK Biobank Research Ethics Committee (reference number 11/NW/0382) and the MGB Biobank by the MGB Institutional Review Board. Use of
	UK Biobank (application #17488) and MGB Biobank data were approved by the local MGB Institutional Review Board.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

X Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample sizeThe discovery sample comprised the UK Biobank, a population-based cohort of 502,629 participants recruited between 2006-2010 in the
United Kingdom to investigate the genetic and lifestyle determinants of disease.Data exclusionsWe included individuals who underwent CMR during a UK Biobank imaging assessment and whose bulk CMR data were available for download
as of 04-01-2020. We excluded individuals with missing height, weight, or sex, outlying LV mass estimates (ie, outside 5 interquartile ranges
from the median, or <= 0g/m2 after calibration), genetic data failing quality control, and withdrawn consent (see Figure 1).</td>ReplicationWe performed association testing between the LVMI PRS derived in our discovery sample both in the UK Biobank among n=443,326 individuals
who did not undergo CMR, and the independent Mass General Brigham sample, which included n=29,354 with adequate genetic data.RandomizationNot applicable as there was no intervention being testedBlindingNot applicable as there was no intervention being tested

Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Briefly describe the study type including whether data are quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods (e.g. qualitative cross-sectional, quantitative experimental, mixed-methods case study).
Research sample	State the research sample (e.g. Harvard university undergraduates, villagers in rural India) and provide relevant demographic information (e.g. age, sex) and indicate whether the sample is representative. Provide a rationale for the study sample chosen. For studies involving existing datasets, please describe the dataset and source.
Sampling strategy	Describe the sampling procedure (e.g. random, snowball, stratified, convenience). Describe the statistical methods that were used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient. For qualitative data, please indicate whether data saturation was considered, and what criteria were used to decide that no further sampling was needed.

Data collection	Provide details about the data collection procedure, including the instruments or devices used to record the data (e.g. pen and paper, computer, eye tracker, video or audio equipment) whether anyone was present besides the participant(s) and the researcher, and whether the researcher was blind to experimental condition and/or the study hypothesis during data collection.
Timing	Indicate the start and stop dates of data collection. If there is a gap between collection periods, state the dates for each sample cohort.
Data exclusions	If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, provide the exact number of exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.
Non-participation	State how many participants dropped out/declined participation and the reason(s) given OR provide response rate OR state that no participants dropped out/declined participation.
Randomization	If participants were not allocated into experimental groups, state so OR describe how participants were allocated to groups, and if allocation was not random, describe how covariates were controlled.

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Briefly describe the study. For quantitative data include treatment factors and interactions, design structure (e.g. factorial, nested, hierarchical), nature and number of experimental units and replicates.
Research sample	Describe the research sample (e.g. a group of tagged Passer domesticus, all Stenocereus thurberi within Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument), and provide a rationale for the sample choice. When relevant, describe the organism taxa, source, sex, age range and any manipulations. State what population the sample is meant to represent when applicable. For studies involving existing datasets, describe the data and its source.
Sampling strategy	Note the sampling procedure. Describe the statistical methods that were used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient.
Data collection	Describe the data collection procedure, including who recorded the data and how.
Timing and spatial scale	Indicate the start and stop dates of data collection, noting the frequency and periodicity of sampling and providing a rationale for these choices. If there is a gap between collection periods, state the dates for each sample cohort. Specify the spatial scale from which the data are taken
Data exclusions	If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, describe the exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.
Reproducibility	Describe the measures taken to verify the reproducibility of experimental findings. For each experiment, note whether any attempts to repeat the experiment failed OR state that all attempts to repeat the experiment were successful.
Randomization	Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates were controlled. If this is not relevant to your study, explain why.
Blinding	Describe the extent of blinding used during data acquisition and analysis. If blinding was not possible, describe why OR explain why blinding was not relevant to your study.

Field work, collection and transport

Field conditions	Describe the study conditions for field work, providing relevant parameters (e.g. temperature, rainfall).
Location	State the location of the sampling or experiment, providing relevant parameters (e.g. latitude and longitude, elevation, water depth).
Access & import/export	Describe the efforts you have made to access habitats and to collect and import/export your samples in a responsible manner and in compliance with local, national and international laws, noting any permits that were obtained (give the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).
Disturbance	Describe any disturbance caused by the study and how it was minimized.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems		Me	thods
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
Х	Antibodies	X	ChIP-seq
Х	Eukaryotic cell lines	X	Flow cytometry
Х	Palaeontology and archaeology	X	MRI-based neuroimaging
Х	Animals and other organisms		
	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ Clinical data		
Χ	Dual use research of concern		

Antibodies

Antibodies used	Describe all antibodies used in the study; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.
	Describe the validation of each primary antibody for the species and application, noting any validation statements on the manufacturer's website, relevant citations, antibody profiles in online databases, or data provided in the manuscript.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s)	State the source of each cell line used and the sex of all primary cell lines and cells derived from human participants or vertebrate models.
Authentication	Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.
Mycoplasma contamination	Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance	Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information). Permits should encompass collection and, where applicable, export.
Specimen deposition	Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.
Dating methods	If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.
Tick this box to conf	irm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.
Ethics oversight	Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals (For laboratory animals, report species, strain and age OR state that the study did not involve laboratory animals.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

was required and explain why not.

Clinical data

Ethics oversight

Wild animals

Reporting on sex

Field-collected samples

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration	N/A
Study protocol	N/A
	All UK Biobank participants are followed up for health outcomes through linkage to national health-related datasets. MGB Biobank participants are followed for health outcomes through linkage to electronic health record data. Sex was included as an adjustment variable where specified.
	Diseases were defined using combinations of self-report and inpatient International Classification of Diseases , 9th and 10th revision codes (see Supplementary Table 17).

Dual use research of concern

Policy information about dual use research of concern

Hazards

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:



Experiments of concern

Does the work involve any of these experiments of concern:

No Yes

- - Demonstrate how to render a vaccine ineffective
 - Confer resistance to therapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents
 - Enhance the virulence of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent
 - Increase transmissibility of a pathogen
 - Alter the host range of a pathogen
 - Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection modalities
 - Enable the weaponization of a biological agent or toxin
 - Any other potentially harmful combination of experiments and agents

ChIP-seq

Data deposition

Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links May remain private before publication.	For "Initial submission" or "Revised version" documents, provide reviewer access links. For your "Final submission" document, provide a link to the deposited data.	
Files in database submission	Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.	
Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u>)	Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.	

Methodology

Replicates	Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.
Sequencing depth	Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and whether they were paired- or single-end.
Antibodies	Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.
Peak calling parameters	Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.
Data quality	Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.
Software	Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	Describe the sample preparation, detailing the biological source of the cells and any tissue processing steps used.
Instrument	Identify the instrument used for data collection, specifying make and model number.
Software	Describe the software used to collect and analyze the flow cytometry data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.
Cell population abundance	Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.
Gating strategy	Describe the gating strategy used for all relevant experiments, specifying the preliminary FSC/SSC gates of the starting cell population, indicating where boundaries between "positive" and "negative" staining cell populations are defined.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design

Design type

Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.

Design specifications	Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each tria or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.	
Behavioral performance measure	State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).	
Acquisition		
Imaging type(s)	Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.	
Field strength	Specify in Tesla	
Sequence & imaging parameters	Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.	
Area of acquisition	State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.	
Diffusion MRI 📃 Used	Used Not used	
Preprocessing		
Preprocessing software	Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).	
Normalization	If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.	
Normalization template	Describe the template used for normalization/transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.	
Noise and artifact removal	Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).	
Volume censoring	Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.	

Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings	Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).	
Effect(s) tested	Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.	
Specify type of analysis: Whole brain ROI-based Both		
Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016</u>)	Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.	
Correction	Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).	

Models & analysis

n/a Involved in the study Image: State of the study Functional and/or effective connectivity Image: State of the study Graph analysis Image: State of the study Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis		
Functional and/or effective connectivity	Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).	
Graph analysis	Report the dependent variable and connectivity measure, specifying weighted graph or binarized graph, subject- or group-level, and the global and/or node summaries used (e.g. clustering coefficient, efficiency, etc.).	
Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis	Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.	