PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	The CATERPILLAR-study protocol: an assessor-blinded
	randomized controlled trial comparing taurolidine-citrate-heparin to
	heparin-only lock solutions for the prevention of central-line
	associated bloodstream infections in paediatric oncology patients
AUTHORS	van den Bosch, Ceder; Loeffen, Yvette; van der Steeg, Alida; van
	der Bruggen, Jan-Tom; Frakking, Florine; Fiocco, Marta; van de
	Ven, Cornelis; Wijnen, Marc; van de Wetering, Marianne

VERSION 1 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Dydak, Karolina
	Wroclaw Medical University
REVIEW RETURNED	05-Dec-2022
GENERAL COMMENTS	One thing I miss is a description of how the results of the TCHL- study arm patients will be analyzed if in the shared care centers or at home a regular benarin lock is instilled after the TCHL is used

study arm patients will be analyzed if in the shared care centers or at home a regular heparin lock is instilled after the TCHL is used. Authors wrote that patients will not be excluded from the study in such a case. in my opinion, it will be valuable to describe how the results will be classified in this case - what if CLABSI occurs after changing TCHL to HL? Will be the HL changed immediately after the patient arrives to the Princess Máxima Centre or after 1 or 3 weeks? If TCHL is changed to HL, will the patient still be classified in the TCHL- study group or will be transferred to the HL-group and subsequent locks also be HL? I am guessing that completely avoiding TCHL being replaced with HL will be logistically difficult or impossible, so I believe that if patients are not excluded for this reason, a more detailed description of the procedure in such a situation should be included in the protocol.

REVIEWER	Sinha, Arpan The University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Pediatrics
REVIEW RETURNED	24-Jan-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS	The authors present a study protocol for a randomized control trial to evaluate efficacy of taurolidine containing lock solutions for the prevention of CLABSI in pediatric oncology patients. This study protocol has potential benefit if the trial is successful. The protocol is overall comprehensive and well-written.
	Comments - Specific - Some sentences in the abstract are difficult to follow. The abstract will benefit from language editing Tunneled CVAD/CVAD/Tunneled external CVAD are used interchangeably at places, it will be helpful to keep them distinct especially since tunneled catheters are a subgroup within all

CVAD. For e.g. – In introduction (page 5 -line 80) – CVAD might be more appropriate than tunneled CVAD. It might also help the reader to add a few introductory sentences on types of CVADs to help clear the distinction between the types/subgroups.

- As the rates of infections/complications may vary between tunneled catheters vs others - subgroup analyses between the two groups will be very relevant.

- Similarly, as complication rates may vary between different oncology diagnosis – e.g. leukemia/lymphoma vs solid tumors and often the diagnosis guides type of CVAD; inpatient vs outpatient treatment; and incidence of complications - it will be helpful to keep the groups similar to eliminate confounding factors as much

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

as possible.

Reviewer: 1

Reviewer 1 Karolina Dydak, Wroclaw	Reply	Page
Medical University		
One thing I miss is a description of	Thank you for your review and	Page 5-6, line 149-162
how the results of the TCHL-study	feedback. This was indeed not	Page 14, line 410-411
arm patients will be analysed if in the	thoroughly described in the	
shared care centres or at home a	method section, we added more	
regular heparin lock is instilled after	information in the "Design and	
the TCHL is used. Authors wrote that	setting" part of the	
patients will not be excluded from the	manuscript. Furthermore, all	
study in such a case. in my opinion, it	patients will be analysed in the	
will be valuable to describe how the	intervention group they were	
results will be classified in this case -	initially randomized in, this note is	
what if CLABSI occurs after changing	added to the statistical analysis	
TCHL to HL? Will be the HL changed	part of the manuscript.	
immediately after the patient arrives		
to the Princess Máxima Centre or		
after 1 or 3 weeks? If TCHL is		
changed to HL, will the patient still be		
classified in the TCHL- study group or		
will be transferred to the HL-group		
and subsequent locks also be HL? I		
am guessing that completely avoiding		
TCHL being replaced with HL will be		
logistically difficult or impossible, so I		
believe that if patients are not		
excluded for this reason, a more		
detailed description of the procedure in such a situation should be included		
in the protocol.		

Reviewer 2 Dr. Arpan Sinha, The	Reply	Page
University of Oklahoma Health		
Sciences Center		
The authors present a study protocol for a	Thank you for these kind	
randomized control trial to evaluate	comments.	
efficacy of taurolidine containing lock		
solutions for the prevention of CLABSI		
in pediatric oncology patients. This study		
protocol has potential benefit if the trial is		
successful. The protocol is overall		
comprehensive and well-written.		
Some sentences in the abstract are	Thank you, we revised the	Page 2, line 32-50
difficult to follow. The abstract will benefit	language in the abstract.	
from language editing.		
Tunneled CVAD/CVAD/Tunneled external	Thank you for this comment, we	Page 4, line 100-101
CVAD are used interchangeably at	added an extra sentence to the	
places, it will be helpful to keep them	introduction and design and	
distinct especially	setting section. Also, we	
since tunneled catheters are a subgroup	checked/corrected all sentences	
within all CVAD. For e.g. – In introduction	with CVAD in it.	
(page 5 -line 80) – CVAD might be more		
appropriate than tunneled CVAD. It might		
also help the reader to add a few		
introductory sentences on types of		
CVADs to help clear the distinction		
between the types/subgroups.		
As the rates of infections/complications	Thank you for this comment.	Page 15, 437-438
may vary between tunneled catheters vs	This was indeed not yet	
others - subgroup analyses between the	described in the statistical	
two groups will be very relevant.	method section. A sentence has	
Similarly, as complication rates may vary	now been added.	
between different oncology diagnosis –		
e.g. leukemia/lymphoma vs		
solid tumours and often the diagnosis		
guides type of CVAD; inpatient vs		
outpatient treatment; and incidence of		
complications - it will be helpful to keep		
the groups similar to eliminate		
confounding factors as much as possible.		

VERSION 2 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Dydak, Karolina Wroclaw Medical University
REVIEW RETURNED	09-Mar-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS I have no remarks on the revised version of the manuscript.
