SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Stereoretentive Post-Translational Protein Editing

Xia-Ping Fu^{1,2}¥, Yizhi Yuan^{1,2}¥, Ajay Jha^{1,2}, Nikita Levin¹, Andrew M. Giltrap^{1,2}, Jack Ren^{1,3}, Dimitrios Mamalis^{1,3}, Shabaz Mohammed^{1,3}, Benjamin G. Davis^{*1,2,3}

¹ Rosalind Franklin Institute, Harwell, Oxfordshire, OX11 0QX, UK ² Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QT UK ³ Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK ¥ These authors contributed equally.

*Email: Ben.Davis@rfi.ac.uk

Supplementary Figures S1-S18, Supplementary Tables S1-S2, Supplementary Methods and Supplementary References.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure S1: MS/MS analysis of PstS-Ala178.

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of [GNAGIAAFVQR]²⁺, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Ala178. The peak at m/z = 932.5 Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y9.

Supplementary Figure S2: MS/MS analysis of PstS-Bal178.

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $\frac{G N_A (B(OH))_2 G I A A F V Q R]^2}{(B O H)_{20}}$ acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Bal178. The peak at m/z $= 976.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y₉ modified by B(OH)₂.

Supplementary Figure S3: MS/MS analysis of PstS-TEMPO-A178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $\left[\frac{GNA(TEMPO)}{GMAFVQR}\right]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-TEMPO-A178. The peak at $m/z = 1101.6$ Th corresponds to the mass of $[GN(Dha)GIAAFVQR]$ ⁺ resulting from the collisional dissociation of the C-O bond between Ala178 residue and TEMPO modification, and the peak at $m/z = 1119.6$ Th corresponds to the mass of [GNSGIAAFVQR]⁺ resulting from the collisional dissociation of O-N bond in the TEMPO modification.

Supplementary Figure S4: MS/MS analysis of PstS-SecPh178.

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $[GNA(SePh)GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-SecPh178. The peak at $m/z = 1088.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y₉ modified by SePh.

Supplementary Figure S5: MS/MS analysis of PstS-Sel178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $[GNA(Sec)GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Sel178. The peak at m/z = 1099.5 Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y₉ modified by Sec.

Supplementary Figure S6: MS/MS analysis of PstS-Mal-L178.

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of

 $[GNA(CH_3CHCH(CO_2Me)_2)GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Sel178. The peak at $m/z = 1090.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y₉ modified by CH₃CHCH(CO₂Me)₂.

Supplementary Figure S7: MS/MS analysis of PstS-Hag178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of

 $[GNA(\text{CH}_2CHCH_2)GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Hag178. The peak at $m/z = 972.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y9 modified by CH2CHCH2.

Supplementary Figure S8: MS/MS analysis of PstS-A(Ketone)178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $\left[\frac{GN_A(CH_2COPh)}{GH_2COPh}\right]$ GIAAFVQR $]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-A(Ketone)178. The peak at $m/z = 1050.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y₉ modified by CH₂COPh.

Supplementary Figure S9: MS/MS analysis of PstS-Lys178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $[GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Lys178. This tryptic peptide is the product of the specific proteolytic cleavage of the bond between L-A178K and glycine in PstS-Lys178.

Supplementary Figure S10: MS/MS analysis of PstS-KAc178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $[GNK(Ac)GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-KAc178. The peak at $m/z = 1032.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the acetylated fragment y₉.

Supplementary Figure S11: MS/MS analysis of Psts-Ser178

HCD (30% normalised collision energy) mass spectrum of $[GN\overline{S}GIAAFVQR]^{2+}$, acquired in the tandem LC-MS analysis of the tryptic digest of PstS-Ser178. The peak at $m/z = 948.5$ Th corresponds to the mass of the fragment y9.

Supplementary Figure S12: Spectral signature of EDA complexation

Absorption spectra of bis(catecholato)diboron $(B_2$ Cat₂, electron donor, 0.1 mM, in black) and pentafluoropyridine (py F_5 , electron acceptor, 0.1 mM, in magenta). The red trace represents 1:1 mixture of donor and acceptor in buffer solution (Tris, $pH = 8.0$). A weak charge transfer (CT) band lower in energy than the parent molecular transitions can be observed, which confirms EDA complexation.

Supplementary Figure S13: Spectral signature of EDA complexation on protein

Absorption spectra of bis(catecholato)diboron (B_2 Cat₂, electron donor, 0.1 mM, in black) and PstS-Fpc178 (protein with electron acceptor, 0.02 mM, in magenta). The red trace represents 1:100 mixture of donor and acceptor in buffer solution (Tris, pH = 8.0). A weak charge transfer (CT) band lower in energy than the parent molecular transitions can be observed, which confirms EDA complexation.

Supplementary Figure S14: Frontier molecular orbitals from DFT Calculations

The frontier molecular orbitals of P1 molecule is shown in its neutral and anion radical state. Molecular orbitals shown here are obtained by performing DFT calculations using B3LYP/6311G(d,p).

(**a**)

AAWKTNIK LNPGLKLPSONIAVVR DQKKPEQGTEVLK LPGAIGYVEYAYAKQNNLAYTK DSSGKPLY NNVGTGSTVKWPIGLGGK FFDWAYKTGAK SGELVLDGKTLGDIYLGK GADWSKTFAQDLTNQK TGAKQANDLDYASLPDSVVEQVR GEDAWPITSTTFILIHK TLGDIYLGKIK GNA(178)GIAAFVOR TNIKDSSGKPLY IKKWDDEAIAK VNEEWKNNVGTGSTVK KPEQGTEVLK VNEEWKNNVGTGSTVKWPIGLGGK KWDDEAIAK WADTYQKETGNK LISADGKPVSPTEENFANAAK WDDEAIAKLNPGLK LISADGKPVSPTEENFANAAKGADWSK

ETGNKVNYQGIGSSGGVK QNNLAYTKLISADGKPVSPTEENFANAAK

(**b**)

MEASLTGAGATFPAPVYAKWADTYQKETGNKVNYQGIGSSGGVKQII ANTVDFGASDAPLSDEKLAQEGLFQFPTVIGGVVLAVNIPGLKSGELV LDGKTLGDIYLGKIKKWDDEAIAKLNPGLKLPSONIAVVRRADGSGTS FVFTSYLAKVNEEWKNNVGTGSTVKWPIGLGGKGNAGIAAFVQRLP GAIGYVEYAYAKQNNLAYTKLISADGKPVSPTEENFANAAKGADWSK TFAQDLTNQKGEDAWPITSTTFILIHKDQKKPEQGTEVLKFFDWAYKT GAKQANDLDYASLPDSVVEQVRAAWKTNIKDSSGKPLY

Supplementary Figure S15: MS/MS analysis of Psts-A(Sulphone)178

(**a**) A list of peptides with the mass shift corresponding (within the mass accuracy of 0.005 Da) to CH₂CH₂SO₂Ph identified in the tryptic digest of PstS-A(Sulfone)178. Possible additional non-specific modification sites are labelled with the red colour. The modification of the lysine residues in these peptides led to missed cleavages by trypsin at these sites. (**b**) Coverage of the amino-acid sequence of PstS by peptides listed in (a). Modification sites are highlighted in red. (c) MS/MS spectrum for PstS¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁸⁶ peptide in PstS-A(Sulfone)178.

Supplementary Figure S16: Testing of Disulfide Compatibility in the Editing of Single Domain Ab cabVCAM to form cabVCAM-Bal118 and the Disruptive Effect of TCEP.

(**a**) Intact protein ESI-MS spectrum for the cabVCAM-Bal118 following treatment with Ellman's reagent for detection of any free thiols [Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), Ellman's reagent (20 mM in DMSO, 20 equiv, 2.7 μL), 37 ˚ C for 30 min]. The calculated masses $[m/z = 14631$ (Bal), 14613 (Bal- H₂O), 14695 (Bal- 2H₂O] and observed masses $[m/z = 14631$ (Bal), 14613 (Bal- H₂O), 14694 (Bal- 2H₂O)] indicate editing without disruption of the internal disulfide.

(**b**) To test the comparable disruption that would arise from phosphines such as TCEP cabVCAM-Bal118 was also analyzed in the same way after treatment with TCEP [54 μM, 50 μL in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), TCEP·HCl (20 mM in H₂O, 20 equiv, 2.7 μL), 37 ˚ C for 30 min]. Following treatment with Ellman's reagent [DTNB, 20 mM in DMSO, 40 equiv, 5.4 μL, incubated at 37 ˚ C for a further 30 min] intact protein ESI-MS spectra indicate clear disruption of the internal disulfide and subsequent detection by Ellman's; calculated masses: $m/z = 15027$ (Bal+DTNB), 15009 (Bal+DTNB-H₂O), 14991

(Bal+DTNB-2H₂O); observed masses m/z = 15027 (Bal+DTNB), 15009 (Bal+DTNB-H₂O), 14991 (Bal+DTNB-2H₂O)].

Supplementary Figure S17: Testing of Marfey's Analysis for Detection of Configuration in edited TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2 protein.

(**a**) Intact protein ES-MS spectra of TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2, ion series raw (**left**), deconvoluted total mass spectrum (**right**).

(**b**) TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2 was cleaved using TEV protease to release the N-terminal fragment shown in (c). Intact protein ES-MS spectra of cleaved-TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2, ion series raw (**left**), deconvoluted total mass spectrum (**right**) confirmed cleavage.

(**c**) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) of the isolated, cleaved N-terminal peptide from treatment with TEV protease containing the relevant edited Ser2 [EIC for m/z 971, **left**; with corresponding mass spectrum for retention time = 253 - 262 s (see methods for further details).

(**d**) UPLC analyses of Marfey's analyses on N-terminal peptide.

(i) Analysis of mixture of L-FDAA derivatives of the residues found in the N-terminal peptide as standards [total ion chromatogram (TIC) of control mixture, in red (**left**); EIC for L-FDAA-L-Asp, m/z = 386 m/z, in purple (**right, top**), 3.59 min; EIC for L-FDAA-L-Ser, m/z = 358, in green (**right, bottom**), 3.32 min]

(ii) Analysis of the sample control mixture additionally spiked with L-FDAA-D-Ser (0.1 mM) [total ion chromatogram (TIC) of spiked mixture, in red (**left**); EIC for L-FDAA-L-Asp, m/z = 386 m/z, in purple (**right, top**), 3.59 min; EIC for L-FDAA-L-Ser with L-FDAA-D-Ser, m/z = 358, in green (**right, bottom**), 3.32 min L-FDAA-L-Ser, 3.53 L-FDAA-D-Ser] (iii) Analysis of N-terminal peptide [total ion chromatogram (TIC) of sample in red (**left**); EIC for L-FDAA-L-Asp, m/z = 386 m/z, in purple (**right, top**), 3.61 min; EIC for L-FDAA-D/L-Ser m/z = 358, in green (**right, bottom**) – only L-FDAA-L-Ser at 3.32 min is observed in the analyzed sample]

(iv) Comparison of the EICs for FDAA-Ser, $m/z = 358$ [control mixture with only L-FDAA-L-Ser in black (**top**), spiked mixture with L-FDAA-L-Ser and L-FDAA-D-Ser in purple (**middle**); analysis of N-terminal peptide in green (**bottom**) – only L-FDAA-L-Ser at 3.32 min is observed in the analyzed sample]

(v) Diode Array UV-Vis chromatogram comparison of the same samples [control mixture with L-FDAA-L-Ser and in black (**top**), spiked mixture with L-FDAA-L-Ser and L-FDAA-D-Ser in purple (**middle**); analysis of N-terminal peptide in green (**bottom**) – L-FDAA-L-Ser at 3.32 min is observed in the analyzed sample; note L-FDAA-L-Asp appears as a shoulder in both the spiked and analyzed sample at 3.60 min]

Supplementary Figure S18: Possible Modes of C–S Homolysis to Putative On-protein C• Side-chain Radical Generation for Stereoretentive Sidechain Editing.

It has been proposed for over 60 years that alanyl radicals may be intermediates in Cys desulfurization reactions. 1,2

(**a**, top) Such reactions are now commonly exploited in so-called 'traceless native chemical ligation^{, 3,4,5} to convert Cys to desulfurized Ala residues. In peptidic systems alanyl-radicals have shown promise by taking advantage of phosphine to activate the C_8 -S_γ bond.^{6,7} Such prior strategies for desulfurization at cysteine, cystine or selenenylcysteines proceed via a seemingly complex or likely multiple-manifold process⁸ involving the likely intermediate formation of thiophosphoranyl radical adducts as precursors to C• radicals formed upon bscission.

(**a**, bottom) The requirement in these systems for use of phosphines or other P(III) reagents, which are strongly reducing, effectively precludes more general use in typical protein systems since these are commonly used to disrupt disulfides (**a**, bottom left) (e.g. TCEP, see also **Supplementary Figure S16**). We have shown that eliminative mechanisms to Dha may compete in some phosphine mediated desulfurization manifolds thereby raising the potential for loss of stereochemistry or side-reaction (**a**, bottom right). 9

(**b**) On-protein C• radicals, when stabilised by α -fluoro-substitution as C(F)_n•, allow reactivity that enables C–Se, C–O and C–C bond formation¹⁰ but require the creation of unnatural (e.g. fluorine substituted) sidechain precursors.

 (c) Alternative methods for tuning the radical scission potential of the C β –S bond are explored in this manuscript. The presence of electron-withdrawing substituents on S is known to enhance $C-S$ bond cleavage via homolytic and mesolytic manifolds.^{11,12} In reductive initiation this may stabilize appropriate radical anion intermediates formed upon singleelectron transfer (SET) / charge transfer (CT) and/or thiolates in mesolysis / homolysis.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table S1: Optimisation of chemical introduction of tetrafluoropyridylcysteine (Fpc) into proteins

General procedure: AcrA-Cys123 (20 μM, 50 μL, in buffer), perfluoropyridine (x equiv, 100 mM, in DMSO), were mixed and incubated at 4 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ or 25 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ for 30 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

Supplementary Table S2: Optimisation of light-mediated Cβ-Sγ bond cleavage

In glovebox PstS-Fpc178 (15 μM, 50 μL), reductants, were mixed and irradiated with *hυ* at 4 ^oC for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

^a 9% conversion to PstS-A178; 91% conversion to PstS-Bal178.

Supplementary Methods

General Experimental Procedures

Chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich UK, Acros UK, Alfa Aesar UK, Carbosynth, Fluorochem or Fischer UK and were used as delivered unless stated otherwise. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using plastic 0.20 mm Polygram® Silg/UV254 plates that were dried using a heat gun and visualised under UV (λmax 254 nm or 366 nm) or by use of anisaldehyde, potassium permanganate, sulfuric acid or vanillin dip. Flash column chromatography was performed using Geduran® Si 60.8.2 or a Teldyne Flash Purification System with either Kinesis Telos or Biotage Snap columns.

NMR Spectroscopy General: Deuterated solvents were used as the lock and the residual protonated solvent as the internal reference peak. Spectra were analysed using MestReNova.

Glovebox Usage: Anaerobic atmosphere was achieved using a Belle Technology glovebox equipped with the BASF R3-11G catalyst. The oxygen level was measured below 6 ppm during all reactions.

Photobox usage: Reactions were performed on the Zinsser Analytic off-deck irradiation system with two reaction positions irradiated by Lumidox II 96-LED arrays. 96-position, open-bottom Desyre reaction blocks equipped with several 1.2 mL vials were placed in the irradiation box, agitated at 400 rpm, and irradiated with 365 nm light at 230 mW per well for 60 mins. Cooling of reaction positions to 4 °C was provided by an off-deck circulating cooler. Raw data is deposited at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7011026

Protein Mass Spectrometry

Protein samples were analysed on Waters Xevo G2-XS QTof mass spectrometers equipped with a Waters Acquity UPLC. Separation was achieved using a Thermo Scientific ProSwift RP-2H monolithic column (4.6 mm \times 50 mm) using water + 0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and acetonitrile $+ 0.1\%$ formic acid (solvent B) as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min and running a 10-min linear gradient as follows: 5% solvent B for 1 min, 5 to 95% solvent B over 6 min, 95 to 5% solvent B over 1 min, and 5% solvent B for 2 min. Spectra were deconvoluted using MassLynx 4.1 (Waters) and the "MaxEnt1" deconvolution algorithm with the following settings: resolution: 1.0 Da per channel; damage model: uniform Gaussian; width

at half height: 0.4 Da; minimum intensity ratios: 33% (left) and 33% (right); and iterate to convergence. Con-versions were calculated from peak intensities.

Protein digestion and analysis by MS/MS

For in-solution proteolytic digestion, samples were dissolved in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate, reduced with 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (Thermo Fisher) at 56 °C for 30 min and alkylated with 30 mM 2-chloroacetamide (Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. Trypsin (Pierce) was added to each sample for an overnight incubation at 37 °C with 1:25 trypsin: protein ratio (w/w). The samples were desalted by Oasis HLB cartridges (Waters), dried, and reconstituted in water containing 5% formic acid, 5% DMSO right before the LC-MS analysis.

For in-gel proteolytic digestion (PstS-Bal178 and PstS-TEMPO-A178), samples were resolved via SDS-PAGE and stained with InstantBlue® (Abcam). After destaining in MilliQ® H_2O for 20 min, the corresponding bands were excised and cut into small cubes. The gels were extensively destained twice with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃/MeCN (1:1, v/v) at room temperature for 10 min. After washing with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ for 10 min, samples were reduced with 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (Thermo Fisher) at room temperature for 60 min and alkylated with 30 mM 2-chloroacetamide (Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. The gels were washed twice with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃/MeCN (1:1, v/v) at room temperature for 10 min. In turn, the gels were dehydrated with MeCN and dried under air for 10 min before overnight trypsin (Pierce) digestion in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ at 37 °C with 1:25 trypsin: protein ratio (w/w).

Samples were subjected to LC-MS/MS using a UltiMate 3000 nanoUHPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The peptides were trapped on a C18 PepMap100 pre-column $(300 \mu m \text{ i.d. x } 5 \text{ mm}, 100 \text{ Å},$ Thermo Fisher Scientific) using solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water), then separated on an in-house packed analytical column (75 µm i.d. x 50 cm in-house packed with ReproSil Gold 120 C18, 1.9 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH) with a gradient of 12% to 40% B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) over 15 min at a flow rate of 200 nL/min. Full scan MS spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap (scan range 350-1400 m/z, resolution 60000, AGC target 1200000). The 20 most intense peaks were selected for HCD fragmentation at 30% of normalised collision energy and with a resolution 7500, AGC target 20000.

Spectra were searched using FragPipe (v18.0) MSFragger 3.513 with standard 'open' search settings against database (PDB ID 2abh with mutation D178A). Data was filtered using the inbuilt tools within FragPipe to an FDR of below 1%. Modified peptides were discerned by filtering the resulting dataset using the expected changes in mass caused by each modification.

General procedure 1: Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide (Fpc) formation in proteins

To a solution of Protein (1.0 mL, 1 mg/mL, 1.00 equiv) NaPi buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4)*^a* was added prepared stock solutions of perfluoropyridine (1 M in DMSO, 100 equiv). The mixture was shaken for 30 min at room temperature. The protein was desalted by passing through a GE MiniTrap G-25 column pre-equilibrated with Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

a For protein PstS-D57C, PstS-D103C, PstS-A197C, NaPi buffer (100 mM, 3 M Gdn·HCl, pH 7.4) was used; for histone proteins, tricine buffer (100 mM, 3 M Gdn·HCl, pH 7.4) was used.

General procedure 2: Alanyl radical trapped by HAT

In glovebox PstS-Fpc178 (15 μ M, 50 μ L) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), 4-Me-PhSH (50 mM in DMSO, 100 equiv, 1.5 μL) were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4° C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

General procedure 3: Alanyl radical trapped by TEMPO

In glovebox PstS-Fpc178 (15 μM, 50 μL) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), 4-Me-PhSH (50 mM in DMSO, 100 equiv, 1.5 μL), TEMPO (100 mM in DMSO, 200 equiv, 1.5 μ L) were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4 °C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

General procedure 4: Alanyl radical trapped by diselenides

In glovebox PstS-Fpc178 (15 μM, 50 μL) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), 2,6 di-Cl-PhSH*^b* (50 mM in DMSO, 100 equiv, 1.5 μL), diselenides (100 mM in DMSO, 200 equiv, 1.5 μL) were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at $4 °C$ for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

b For PhSeSePh, 2,6-di-Cl-PhSH (50 mM in DMSO, 200 equiv, 3.0 equiv) was used.

General procedure 5: Alanyl radical trapped by alkenes

In glovebox PstS-Fpc178 (15 μM, 50 μL) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), 2-Cl-6-F-PhSH (50 mM in DMSO, 100 equiv, 1.5 μL), alkenes*^c* (100 mM in DMSO, 200 equiv,

1.5 μL) were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4 °C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

c For allylic amine and 1-phenyl-1-trimethylsiloxyethylene, 2,6-di-Cl-PhSH (50 equiv) was used. For allylic phenyl sulfone (50 mM in DMSO, 100 equiv, 1.5 μL), 2-Cl-6-F-PhSH (50 mM in DMSO, 200 equiv, 3.0 μL) was used.

General procedure 6: Alanyl radical trapped by B₂Cat₂.

In glovebox PstS-Fpc178 (15 μ M, 50 μ L) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), B_2 Cat₂ (100 mM in H₂O, 1000 equiv, 7.5 µL), were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4 °C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

General procedure 7: Alanyl radical formally trapped by O2.

In air PstS-Fpc178 (15 μM, 50 μL) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0), B_2 Cat₂ (100 mM in H₂O, 1000 equiv, 7.5 μ L), were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4 °C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

General procedure 8: Chemical introduction of boronoalanine (Bal) into proteins.

Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide containing proteins were prepared *via* general procedure 1 without desalting. The mixture was directly used for the following borylation. In glovebox Cystetrafluoropyridylsulfide containing proteins (15 μM, 50 μL) in NaPi buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4), B_2 Cat₂ (100 mM in H₂O, 1000 equiv, 7.5 µL), were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4 °C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS.

Histone H3-Fpc2, -Fpc4, -Fpc9, -Fpc18, -Fpc27, Histone H4-Fpc20: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

Histone proteins expression and purification

Histone proteins were expressed and purified following a previously published procedure.¹⁴
Histone H3-K4C

ARTCQTARKSTGGKAPRKQLATKAARKSAPATGGVKKPHRYRPGTVALREIRRYQK STELLIRKLPFQRLVREIAQDFKTDLRFQSSAVMALQEASEAYLVALFEDTNLAAIHA KRVTIM PKDIQLARRIRGERA

Calculated mass $= 15214$

Observed mass $= 15214$

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing histone H3-Fpc4 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 15363$

Histone H3-K9C

ARTKQTARCSTGGKAPRKQLATKAARKSAPATGGVKKPHRYRPGTVALREIRRYQK STELLIRKLPFQRLVREIAQDFKTDLRFQSSAVMALQEASEAYLVALFEDTNLAAIHA KRVTIM PKDIQLARRIRGERA

Calculated mass $= 15214$

Observed mass = 15214

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing histone H3-Fpc9 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 15363$

Histone H3-K18C

ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRCQLATKAARKSAPATGGVKKPHRYRPGTVALREIRRYQK STELLIRKLPFQRLVREIAQDFKTDLRFQSSAVMALQEASEAYLVALFEDTNLAAIHA KRVTIM PKDIQLARRIRGERA

Calculated mass $= 15214$

Observed mass $= 15214$

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing histone H3-Fpc18 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 15363$

Histone H3-K27C

ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQLATKAARCSAPATGGVKKPHRYRPGTVALREIRRYQK STELLIRKLPFQRLVREIAQDFKTDLRFQSSAVMALQEASEAYLVALFEDTNLAAIHA KRVTIM PKDIQLARRIRGERA

Calculated mass $= 15214$

Observed mass $= 15214$

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing histone H3-Fpc27 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 15363$

Histone H3-R2C

ACENLYFQGTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQLATKAARKSAPATGGVKKPHRYRPGTVAL REIRRYQKSTELLIRKLPFQRLVREIAQDFKTDLRFQSSAVMALQEASEAYLVALFED TNLAAIHAKRVTIMPKDIQLARRIRGERA

Calculated mass $= 16038$

Observed mass $= 16038$

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing TEV-Histone H3-Fpc2 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 16186

H4-Fpc20: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

SGRGKGGKGLGKGGAKRHRCVLRDNIQGITKPAIRRLARRGGVKRISGLIYEETRGV LKVFLENVIRDAVTYTEHAKRKTVTAMDVVYALKRQGRTLYGFGG

Calculated mass $= 11211$;

Observed mass = 11212

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing histone H4-Fpc20 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 11360$;

PstS -Fpc57, -Fpc103, -Fpc178, -Fpc197: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

E. coli DH5α carrying the plasmid pET22b-PstS-A197C was kindly donated by Martin Webb and distributed by Addgene (Addgene plasmid #78198) as an agar stab. Site-directed mutagenesis to introduce Cys mutations was performed using QuikChange II (Agilent), according to manufacturer's instructions.

The appropriate PstS encoding plasmid was transformed into BL21 (DE3) cells, with ampicillin added. A single colony was selected after overnight growth and used to inoculate 20 mL LB medium with the same antibiotics. This culture was grown at 37 °C overnight. 20 mL of starter culture was then added to 1 L LB medium containing the same antibiotics and grown at 37 °C until $OD_{600} = 0.6$ -0.8. IPTG was added, to a final concentration of 1 mM and the flask was shaken at 37 °C for 2-4 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation $(9,000 \text{ rpm}, 20 \text{ min}, 4$ °C), suspended in 12.5 mL of lysis buffer each (10 mM Tris base, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.6, one tablet cOmplete™ Mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)) and frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C.

Frozen cells were thawed in a water bath, when the solution was viscous DNase I was added, sonicated (10 cycles, 40% amplitude, 15 sec. on, 60 sec. off) and the cell debris was removed by centrifugation (30,000 rpm, 30 min, 4 °C). The solution was loaded onto a HiTrap Q HP column (5 mL) (GE Healthcare) and washed with 10 CV of binding buffer (10 mM Tris base, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.6) and eluted with a 20 CV gradient 0−100% elution buffer (10 mM Tris base, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.6). The fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and clean fractions were pooled together. The protein concentration was determined using an A280 spectrophotometer. The expression yield was determined to be 20 mg (30 mg/L expression volume). The protein solution was divided into aliquots, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until needed.

PstS-D57C

MEASLTGAGATFPAPVYAKWADTYQKETGNKVNYQGIGSSGGVKQIIANTVDFGAS CAPLSDEKLAQEGLFQFPTVIGGVVLAVNIPGLKSGELVLDGKTLGDIYLGKIKKWD DEAIAKLNPGLKLPSQNIAVVRRADGSGTSFVFTSYLAKVNEEWKNNVGTGSTVKW PIGLGGKGNDGIAAFVQRLPGAIGYVEYAYAKQNNLAYTKLISADGKPVSPTEENFA NAAKGADWSKTFAQDLTNQKGEDAWPITSTTFILIHKDQKKPEQGTEVLKFFDWAY KTGAKQAN DLDYASLPDSVVEQVRAAWKTNIKDSSGKPLY

Calculated mass = 34541

Observed mass = 34541

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing PstS-Fpc57 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 34690$

PstS-D103C

MEASLTGAGATFPAPVYAKWADTYQKETGNKVNYQGIGSSGGVKQIIANTVDFGAS DAPLSDEKLAQEGLFQFPTVIGGVVLAVNIPGLKSGELVLDGKTLGCIYLGKIKKWD DEAIAKLNPGLKLPSQNIAVVRRADGSGTSFVFTSYLAKVNEEWKNNVGTGSTVKW PIGLGGKGNDGIAAFVQRLPGAIGYVEYAYAKQNNLAYTKLISADGKPVSPTEENFA NAAKGADWSKTFAQDLTNQKGEDAWPITSTTFILIHKDQKKPEQGTEVLKFFDWAY KTGAKQANDLDYASLPDSVVEQVRAAWKTNIKDSSGKPLY

Calculated mass = 34541 Observed mass = 34541

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing PstS-Fpc103 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34690

PstS-D178C

MEASLTGAGATFPAPVYAKWADTYQKETGNKVNYQGIGSSGGVKQIIANTVDFGAS DAPLSDEKLAQEGLFQFPTVIGGVVLAVNIPGLKSGELVLDGKTLGDIYLGKIKKWD DEAIAKLNPGLKLPSQNIAVVRRADGSGTSFVFTSYLAKVNEEWKNNVGTGSTVKW PIGLGGKGNCGIAAFVQRLPGAIGYVEYAYAKQNNLAYTKLISADGKPVSPTEENFA NAAKGADWSKTFAQDLTNQKGEDAWPITSTTFILIHKDQKKPEQGTEVLKFFDWAY KTGAKQANDLDYASLPDSVVEQVRAAWKTNIKDSSGKPLY

Calculated mass = 34541

Observed mass $= 34541$

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing PstS_178PyfS was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 34690$

PstS-A197C

MEASLTGAGATFPAPVYAKWADTYQKETGNKVNYQGIGSSGGVKQIIANTVDFGAS DAPLSDEKLAQEGLFQFPTVIGGVVLAVNIPGLKSGELVLDGKTLGDIYLGKIKKWD DEAIAKLNPGLKLPSQNIAVVRRADGSGTSFVFTSYLAKVNEEWKNNVGTGSTVKW PIGLGGKGNDGIAAFVQRLPGAIGYVEYCYAKQNNLAYTKLISADGKPVSPTEENFA NAAKGADWSKTFAQDLTNQKGEDAWPITSTTFILIHKDQKKPEQGTEVLKFFDWAY KTGAKQANDLDYASLPDSVVEQVRAAWKTNIKDSSGKPLY

Calculated mass = 34585

Observed mass = 34585

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing PstS-Fpc197 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34734

pre-SUMO1-Fpc51: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

pre-SUMO1-Cys51 protein was expressed and purified following a previously published procedure. 15

pre-SUMO1-Cys51

ADQEAKPSTEDLGDKKEGEYIKLKVIGQDSSEIHFKVKMTTHLKKLKESYCQRQGVP MNSLRFLFEGQRIADNHTPKELGMEEEDVIEVYQEQTGGHSTVLEHHHHHH

Calculated mass = 12475

Observed mass = 12474

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing pre-SUMO1-Fpc51 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified pre-SUMO1 is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 12623$

Npβ-Fpc61: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

Np β -C61 was expressed and purified following a previously published procedure.¹⁵

Npβ-Cys61

MFSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHIDVGKLRQLYAAGERDFSIVDLRGAVLENINLSGAIL HGACLDEANLQQANLSRADLSGATLNGADLRGANLSKADLSDAILDNAILEGAILDE AVLNQANLKAANLEQAILSHANIREADLSEANLEAADLSGADLAIADLHQANLHQA ALERANLTGANLEDANLEGT ILEGGNNNLAT

Calculated mass $= 21031$

Observed mass = 21031

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing Npβ-Fpc61 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified Npβ is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 21180$

cAbVCAM1-Fpc118: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

A fresh stock of WK6 competent *E. coli* cells (received as a gift from Prof. Ray Owens) was amplified according to the manufacturer's instructions

Single colonies were transferred to 15 mL of LB media supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) and incubated at 37 °C for 16 hours. The resulting suspension was immediately used to inoculate 1 L Terrific Broth (TB) media supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/mL, 0.1 % glucose, and 2 mM MgCl₂. The cultures were incubated at 37 °C (180 rpm), for approximately 4 hours, until an OD_{600} between 0.9 and 1.1 was reached. Protein overexpression was induced by the addition of IPTG (final conc. 1 mM) and the cultures were incubated for 16 more hours at 27 °C (180 rpm). The cells were harvested by centrifugation (12,000 x g, 10 minutes, 4 °C) to afford cell pellets (25 g wet weight per liter of culture). The cell pellets were kept at -80 $^{\circ}$ C until further manipulation.

A single cell pellet was thawed on ice and mixed with 40 mL of TES lysis buffer (0.2 M Tris pH 7.8, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 M sucrose) containing one pre-dissolved cOmplete protease inhibitor mix tablet (EDTA free, Roche). The cell pellet was vortexed until bacterial clumps were not visible and then shaken via end-over-end mixing for 30 minutes at $4 \text{ }^{\circ}C$. 2 mg of DNase I were added, and the mixture was further shaken via end-over-end mixing for 2 hours at 4 °C. The lysate was centrifuged at 4 °C and 22,000 \times g for 15 min.

Supernatant was filtered through 0.2 μm syringe filter and loaded to a pre-equilibrated HisTrap HP 5 mL column (GE Healthcare, 2.5 mL/min), using a 50 mL superloop (GE Healthcare). The protein was eluted running a stepwise gradient of 30 CV to 100% buffer B (10 CV Buffer A, 2 CV 5% Buffer B, 2 CV 7% Buffer B, 2 CV 10% Buffer B, 2 CV 20% Buffer B, 2 CV 35% Buffer B, 8 CV 100% Buffer B). The fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and clean fractions containing protein were combined. The protein fractions were buffer exchanged to 50 mM NaPi, pH 8 using an Amicon® Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Unit and samples were flashfrozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. Protein expression yield was measured after the final buffer exchange and was measured at 11.2 mg/L.

Buffer A - 20 mM Tris-HCl, 15 mM imidazole, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.05% (v/v) βmercaptoethanol, pH 7.8

Buffer B - 20 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM imidazole, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.05% (v/v) βmercaptoethanol, pH 7.8

cAbVCAM-Cys118

NVQLQESGGGSVQTGGSLRLSCAASGYTNSIMYMAWFRQAPGKKREGVAAIRFPDD SAYYAGSVKGRFTISHDNAKNTVYLQMNNLNPEDTAMYYCAARSSPYSFAWNDPN YNYWGCGTQVTVSSHHHHHH

Calculated mass $= 14619$

Observed mass $= 14619$

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing cabVCAM-Fpc118 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified cAbVCAM is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 14768$

AcrA-Fpc123: protein expression, purification and Fpc generation

AcrA-C123 was expressed and purified following a previously published procedure. 15

AcrA-Cys123

SKEEAPKIQMPPQPVTTMSAKSEDLPLSFTYPAKLVSDYDVIIKPQVSGVIVNKLFKA GDKVKKGQTLFIIEQDKFKASVDSAYGQALMAKATFENASKDFCRSKALFSKSAISQ KEYDSSLATFNNSKASLASARAQLANARIDLDHTEIKAPFDGTIGDALVNIGDYVSAS TTELVRVTNLNPIYADFFISDTDKLNLVRNTQSGKWDLDSIHANLNLNGETVQGKLY FIDSVIDANSGTVKAKAVFDNNNSTLLPGAFATITSEGFIQKNGFKVPQIGVKQDQND VYVLLVKNGKVEKSSVHISYQNNEYAIIDKGLQNGDKIILDNFKKIQVGSEVKEIGAQ **LEHHHHHH**

Calculated mass = 38817

Observed mass = 38817

The Cys-tetrafluoropyridylsulfide-containing Acra-Fpc123 was prepared according to general procedure 1.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified Acra is shown below.

Calculated mass = 38966

On-protein radical trapping from PstS-Fpc178

The PstS-A178 was prepared according to general procedure 2.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34509

Observed mass = 34509

The PstS-TEMPO-A178 was prepared according to general procedure 3.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34664

Observed mass = 34663

The PstS-SecPh178 was prepared according to general procedure 4.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34664

Observed mass = 34664

The PstS-Sel178 was prepared according to general procedure 4.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34675

Observed mass = 34675

The PstS-Mal-L178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 34667$

Observed mass = 34668

The PstS-A(Sulfone)178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34676 (1 adduct), 34844 (2 adducts), 35012 (3 adducts)

Observed mass = 34676 (1 adduct), 34844 (2 adducts), 35013 (3 adducts)

Conversion

See also **Supplementary Figure 15**, which suggests that adducts may be non-specific.

The PstS-A(Phosphonate)178 was prepared according to general procedure 5. ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below. Calculated mass = 34673 (n = 0), 34837 (n = 1), 35001 (n = 2), 35165 (n = 3) Observed mass = 34672 (n = 0), 34837 (n = 1), 35000 (n = 2), 35164 (n = 3)

The PstS-A(Amide)178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34847 (n = 2), 34960 (n = 3), 35073 (n = 4), 35186 (n = 5), 35299 (n = 6), 35412 (n = 7), 35525 (n = 8), 35638 (n = 9), 35751 (n = 10), 35864 (n = 11), 35977 (n = 12). Observed mass = 34847 (n = 2), 34961 (n = 3), 35073 (n = 4), 35187 (n = 5), 35300 (n = 6), 35414 (n = 7), 35527 (n = 8), 35640 (n = 9), 35753 (n = 10), 35866 (n = 11), 35978 (n = 12).

Conversion

The PstS-A(Ester)178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34766 (n = 2), 34852 (n = 3), 34938 (n = 4), 35024 (n = 5), 35110 (n = 6), 35196 (n = 7).

Observed mass = 34766 (n = 2), 34852 (n = 3), 35938 (n = 4), 35025 (n = 5), 35110 (n = 6), 35196 ($n = 7$).

The PstS-A(Ketone)178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is show below.

Calculated mass $=$ 34627

Observed mass = 34628

The PstS-Lys178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34566

Observed mass $= 34565$

The PstS-KAc178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34607 (n = 0), 34706 (n = 1)

Observed mass = 34607 (n = 0), 34706 (n = 1)

The PstS-Hag178 was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34548

Observed mass = 34549

The PstS-Bal178 was prepared according to general procedure 6. ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below. Calculated mass = 34553 (Bal), 34535 (Bal-H₂O) Observed mass = 34552 (Bal), 34533 (Bal-H2O)

The PstS-Ser178 was prepared according to general procedure 7.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34525

Conversion

The PstS-Bal57 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34553 (Bal), 34535 (Bal-H₂O), 34517 (Bal-2H₂O)

Observed mass = 34551 (Bal), 34533 (Bal-H2O), 34516 (Bal-2H2O)

The PstS-Bal103 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below.

Calculated mass = 34553 (Bal), 34535 (Bal-H₂O)

Observed mass = 34551 (Bal), 34536 (Bal-H₂O).

The PstS-Bal197 was prepared according to general procedure 8. ESI-MS spectrum for the modified PstS is shown below. Calculated mass = 34597 (Bal), 34579 (Bal-H₂O), 34561 (Bal-2H₂O) Observed mass = 34596 (Bal), 34578 (Bal-H2O), 34562 (Bal-2H2O)

The HistoneH3-Bal4 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 15226 (Bal), 15208 (Bal-H₂O)

Observed mass = 15224 (Bal), 15207 (Bal-H₂O).

The Histone H3-Bal9 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 15226$ (Bal)

Observed mass = 15225 (Bal)

The Histone H3-Bal18 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 15226 (Bal)

Observed mass = 15225 (Bal)

The Histone H3-Bal27 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass $= 15226$ (Bal)

Observed mass $= 15224$ (Bal)

The TEV-Histone H3-Bal2 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 16049 (Bal), 16031 (Bal-H₂O), 16013 (Bal-2H₂O)

Observed mass = 16048 (Bal), 16029 (Bal-H2O), 16012 (Bal-2H2O)

The Histone H4-Bal20 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 11223 (Bal), 11205 (Bal-H₂O)

Observed mass = 11222 (Bal), 11204 (Bal-H2O)

The pre-SUMO1-Bal51 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified pre-SUMO1 is shown below.

Calculated mass = 12487 (Bal), 12469 (Bal-H₂O)

Observed mass = 12486 (Bal), 12469 (Bal-H2O)

The Npβ-Bal61 was prepared according to general procedure 8. ESI-MS spectrum for the modified Npβ is shown below. Calculated mass = 21043 (Bal), 21025 (Bal-H₂O), 21007 (Bal-2H₂O) Observed mass = 21040 (Bal), 21024 (Bal-H2O), 21006 (Bal-2H2O)

The cAbVCAM1-Bal118 was prepared according to general procedure 8. ESI-MS spectrum for the modified cAbVCAM1 is shown below. Calculated mass = 14631 (Bal), 14613 (Bal-H₂O), 14595 (Bal-2H₂O)

Observed mass = 14631 (Bal), 14613 (Bal-H2O), 14595 (Bal-2H2O)

The AcrA-Bal123 was prepared according to general procedure 8.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified AcrA is shown below.

Calculated mass = 38811 (Bal-H₂O)

Observed mass = 38812 (Bal-H₂O)

Characterization of the retention of native L-stereochemistry by protein 19F NMR.

Protein ¹⁹F-NMR using shift reagent: Determination of the Histone ^{TEV}H3-L-Bal9. Histone TEVH3-L-Bal9 was prepared according to general procedure 8 and then it was desalted to binding buffer (40 mM NaPi, 5 M urea, pH 7.0, 10% D₂O) at a final concentration of 97 μ M. Then 10 equiv. of chiral shift reagent were added and the sample was vortexed. The sample was transferred to a NMR tube and analyzed on a Bruker AVIII 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy N₂ broadband cryoprobe (3,500 scans, $d1 = 2$ s).

Protein 19F-NMR using shift reagent of reference epimeric mixture: Determination of the Histone H3-D/L-Bal9. Histone H3-D/L-Bal9 was prepared as reported¹⁵ and then it was desalted to binding buffer (40 mM NaPi, 5 M urea, pH 7.0, 10% D₂O) at a final concentration of 144 μM. Then 10 equiv. of chiral shift reagent were added and the sample was vortexed. The sample was transferred to a NMR tube and analyzed on a Bruker AVIII 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy N₂ broadband cryoprobe (3,500 scans, d1 = 2 s).

Protein 19F-NMR using shift reagent with spiking of Histone H3-D/L-Bal9 in binding buffer (40 mM NaPi, 5 M urea, pH 7.0, 10% D₂O) was mixed with Histone H3-L-Bal9 in binding buffer (40 mM NaPi, 5 M urea, pH 7.0, 10% D_2O), then transferred to a NMR tube and analyzed on a Bruker AVIII 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy N_2 broadband cryoprobe (3,500 scans, $d1 = 2$ s).

Creation of H3-L-KAc18 and Assessment of Enzymatic Processing as a Substrate using Sirt 2

The Histone_H3_18KAc was prepared according to general procedure 5.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 15281

Observed mass = 15280

Histones H3-KAc18 was dialyzed thrice against HEPES buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4), twice for 2 h, once overnight at 4 °C. The solutions were pre-warmed to 37 °C, and Sirt2 (0.5 μg from a stock in the same buffer) and NAD^+ (150 μ M final concentration from a 10x stock in buffer) were added to solutions containing either Histone H3-KAc18 (20 μM histone, 50 μL final reaction volume). The reactions were shaken at 37 °C, 600 rpm, with aliquots of the crude reaction mixture taken out at 2 time points (30 min, 15 h), diluted (1:50 in $H_2O + 1\%$ formic acid) and immediately analysed via LC-MS.

ESI-MS spectrum for the modified histone is shown below.

Calculated mass = 15238

Observed mass = 15238

Marfey's Analysis of TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2

TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2 was prepared from TEV-HistoneH3-Fpc2 in the usual way as follows. TEV-HistoneH3-Fpc2 (32 μM, 50 μL) in Tris buffer (20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 8.0) and B_2 Cat₂ (50 mM in H₂O, 100 equiv, 32 μ L) were mixed and irradiated with 365 nm light at 4 \degree C for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then analysed by LC-MS (calculated mass = 16021, observed mass = 16021, see **Supplementary Figure S17a**). Product TEV-HistoneH3-Ser2 protein was treated with TEV protease and the reaction mixture analysed by LC-MS (calculated mass = 15068, observed mass = 15068 see **Supplementary Figure S17b**).

The resulting N-terminal octapeptide (ASENLYFQ1-8 , see **Supplementary Figure S17c**) was separated by HPLC. see **Supplementary Figure S17a** An aliquot of the TEV protease digestion mixture (10 µL) was injected onto an Acquity BEH C18 column, 1.7 µm, 2.1 x 50 mm, 45 °C, with a 0.8 mL/min, 2.1 min linear gradient from 5% to 40% MeCN/H₂O with 0.1% formic acid. The cleaved peptide was detected by both UV-Vis (diode array) and ESI-MS (1.24 min).

Using the method of Marfey,¹⁶ L-FDAA derivatives of amino acid standards found in the N-terminal peptide were prepared as a standard mixture. 50 mM L- or D- amino acid dissolved in H₂O (50 μ L) was treated with 1M NaHCO₃ (20 μ L) and L- FDAA (1% solution in acetone, 100 μ L) at 40 °C for 1 h, after which the reaction was neutralized with 1 M HCl (20 μ L), diluted with MeCN (810 μ L) and filtered (0.45 μ m PTFE) prior to HPLC-DAD-ESIMS analysis. Each L-amino acid component $(10 \mu L)$ was added to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf and mixed together to make a standard / control mixture (standard / control mixture 1: L-FDAA-L-Ala, L-FDAA-L-Ser, L-FDAA-L-Glu, L-FDAA-L-Asp, L-FDAA-L-Leu, L-FDAA-L-Phe, L-FDAA-L-Tyr; standard / control mixture 2: L-FDAA-L-Ala, L-FDAA-L-Ser, L-FDAA-D-ser, L-FDAA-L-Glu, L-FDAA-L-Asp, L-FDAA-L-Leu, L-FDAA-L-Phe, L-FDAA-L-Tyr).

An aliquot of analyte (5 µL) was injected onto an Acquity BEH C18 column, 1.7 µm, 2.1 x 50 mm, 60 °C, with a 0.8 mL/min, 7.5 min linear gradient from 5% to 95% MeCN/H₂O with 0.1% formic acid. The mixture was assessed by UV (diode array) and ESI-MS (see **Supplementary Figure S17d**). Retention times observed: L-FDAA-L-Ser 3.32 min; L-FDAA-L-Asp 3.54 min; L-FDAA-D-ser 3.59 min; L-FDAA-L-Glu 4.08 min; L-FDAA 4.30; L-FDAA-L-Ala 4.54 min, L-FDAA-L-Tyr 5.56 min; L-FDAA-L-Leu and L-FDAA-L-Phe \sim 6.73 min. Importantly, L-FDAA-L-Ser (retention time 3.32 min) can be separated from L-

FDAA-D-ser (retention time 3.54); L-FDAA-L-Asp (retention time 3.59 min) is an overlapped shoulder but additional use of EIC allowed clear distinction.

For analysis, a sample of the N-terminal peptide (242 µg, calculated based on 4 mg of starting protein) in 6 M HCl (200 μ L) was heated at 100 °C in a sealed vial overnight, after which the hydrolysate was concentrated to dryness at 40 $^{\circ}$ C under a stream of dry N₂. The hydrolysate was then treated with 1 M NaHCO₃ (20 μ L) and L-FDAA (1% solution in acetone, 40 μ L) at 40 °C for 1 h, after which the reaction was neutralised with 1 M HCl (20 μ L), then diluted with 100 μ L CH₃CN and centrifuged prior to MS analysis. If necessary, the mixture could be evaporated again, and dissolved in 1 M NaHCO₃ (100 μ L), washed with ether acetate (\times 3, 100 μ L), neutralised with 1 M HCl (100 μ L), then used for MS analysis. An aliquot of analyte (5 μ L) was injected onto an Acquity BEH C18 column, 1.7 μ m, 2.1 \times 50 mm, 60 °C, with a 0.8 mL/min, 7.5 min linear gradient from 5% to 95% MeCN/H2O with 0.1% formic acid. The mixture was assessed by UV (diode array) and ESI-MS (see **Supplementary Figure 17**). No L-FDAA-D-Ser could be detected.

DFT Calculations for Model PyF Containing Systems

QM calculations were performed using the basis sets shown below using GAUSSIAN 16. Molecular systems with different electron withdrawing substituents on electron acceptor were used as shown.

LUMO-SOMO gap (eV) for electron acceptors' radical anions:

Optimized energy (kJ/mol) for electron acceptors' radical anions:

	B3LYP/TZVP		B3LYP/631G(d,p)		B3LYP/6311G(d,p)	
	Neutral	Radical Anion	Neutral	Radical Anion	Neutral	Radical Anion
P1	1.83792	1.87942	1.83225	1.89356	1.83315	1.89074
P ₂	1.83938	1.84511	1.83489	1.84455	1.83458	1.84248
P ₃	1.826	1.93578	1.82269	1.92979	1.82122	1.92908
P4	1.8388	2.0158	1.83608	1.99276	1.83542	1.99583

S-C(sp3) bond length (Å) comparison for electron acceptors: neutral vs radical anions:

S-C(Ar) bond length (Å) comparison for electron acceptors: neutral vs radical anions:

Optimized energy levels for neutral electron acceptors:

Supplementary References

(1) Walling, C.; Rabinowitz, R. THE REACTION OF THIYL RADICALS WITH TRIALKYL PHOSPHITES 1. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1957**, *79* (19), 5326-5326. DOI: 10.1021/ja01576a077.

(2) Walling, C.; Basedow, O. H.; Savas, E. S. Some Extensions of the Reaction of Trivalent Phosphorus Derivatives with Alkoxy and Thiyl Radicals; a New Synthesis of Thioesters 1. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1960**, *82* (9), 2181-2184. DOI: 10.1021/ja01494a023

(3) Wan, Q.; Danishefsky, S. J. Free-Radical-Based, Specific Desulfurization of Cysteine: A Powerful Advance in the Synthesis of Polypeptides and Glycopolypeptides. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2007**, *46* (48), 9248-9252. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200704195.

(4) Haase, C.; Rohde, H.; Seitz, O. Native Chemical Ligation at Valine. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2008**, *47* (36), 6807-6810. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200801590.

(5) Rohde, H.; Seitz, O. Ligation—Desulfurization: A powerful combination in the synthesis of peptides and glycopeptides. *Peptide Science* **2010**, *94* (4), 551-559. DOI: 10.1002/bip.21442.

(6) Griffiths, R. C.; Smith, F. R.; Long, J. E.; Williams, H. E. L.; Layfield, R.; Mitchell, N. J. Site-Selective Modification of Peptides and Proteins via Interception of Free-Radical-Mediated Dechalcogenation. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2020**, *59* (52), 23659-23667. DOI: 10.1002/anie.202006260.

(7) Griffiths, R. C.; Smith, F. R.; Long, J. E.; Scott, D.; Williams, H. E. L.; Oldham, N. J.; Layfield, R.; Mitchell, N. J. Site-Selective Installation of Nϵ-Modified Sidechains into Peptide and Protein Scaffolds via Visible-Light-Mediated Desulfurative C–C Bond Formation. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2022**, *61* (2), e202110223. DOI: 10.1002/anie.202110223.

(8) Metanis, N.; Keinan, E.; Dawson, P. E. Traceless Ligation of Cysteine Peptides Using Selective Deselenization. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2010**, *49* (39), 7049-7053. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201001900.

(9) Bernardes, G. J. L.; Grayson, E. J.; Thompson, S.; Chalker, J. M.; Errey, J. C.; El Oualid, F.; Claridge, T. D. W.; Davis, B. G. From Disulfide- to Thioether-Linked Glycoproteins. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2008**, *47* (12), 2244-2247. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200704381.

(10) Josephson, B.; Fehl, C.; Isenegger, P. G.; Nadal, S.; Wright, T. H.; Poh, A. W. J.; Bower, B. J.; Giltrap, A. M.; Chen, L.; Batchelor-McAuley, C.; et al. Light-driven post-translational installation of reactive protein side chains. *Nature* **2020**, *585* (7826), 530-537. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2733-7.

(11) Fleming, S. A.; Jensen, A. W. Photocleavage of benzyl-sulfide bonds. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **1993**, *58* (25), 7135-7137. DOI: 10.1021/jo00077a041.

(12) Maslak, P.; Theroff, J. Intrinsic Barriers of the Alternative Modes of Mesolytic Fragmentations of C−S Bonds. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1996**, *118* (30), 7235-7236. DOI: 10.1021/ja960735x.

(13) Kong, A. T.; Leprevost, F. V.; Avtonomov, A. M.; Mellacheruvu, D.; Nesvizhskii, A. I., MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identification in mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nat. Methods* **2017**, 14, 513-520.

(14) Wright, T. H.; Bower, B. J.; Chalker, J. M.; Bernardes, G. J. L.; Wiewiora, R.; Ng, W.-L.; Raj, R.; Faulkner, S.; Vallée, M. R.J.; Phanumartwiwath, A.; Coleman, O. D.; Thézénas, M.- L.; Khan, M.; Galan, S. R. G.; Lercher, L.; Schombs, M. W.; Gerstberger, S.; Palm-Espling, M. E.; Baldwin, A. J.; Kessler, B. M.; Claridge, T. D. W.; Mohammed, S.; Davis, B. G., Posttranslational mutagenesis: a chemical strategy for exploring protein side-chain diversity. *Science* **2016**, *354*, aag1465.

(15) Mollner, T. A.; Isenegger, Josephson, P.G.; B.; Buchanan, C.; Lercher, L.; Oehlrich, D.; Hansen, D.F.; Mohammed, S.; Baldwin, A.J.; Gouverneur, V.; Davis, B.G., Post-translational Insertion of Boron in Proteins to Probe and Modulate Function. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2021**, *184*, 2680-2695.

(16) Marfey, P., Determination of D-amino acids. II. Use of a bifunctional reagent, 1,5 difluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene. *Carlsberg Research Communications*, **1984**, *49*, 591.