Effect on gastric and duodenal mucosal prostaglandins of repeated intake of therapeutic doses of naproxen and etodolac in rheumatoid arthritis

A S Taha, S McLaughlin, P J Holland, R W Kelly, R D Sturrock, R I Russell

Abstract

The synthesis of gastric and duodenal mucosal prostaglandin E2, prostaglandin I2, and thromboxane B₂ during a 60 minute incubation of biopsy specimens, the degree of endoscopic and histological damage, and the antiinflammatory response were all studied after a four week, double blind study of therapeutic doses of two non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, naproxen and etodolac, received by 27 patients with active rheumatoid arthritis (13 receiving naproxen, 14 etodolac). Prostaglandin values after treatment did not differ from the baseline levels when all the patients were analysed as one group. Subgroup analysis showed that naproxen suppressed gastric prostaglandin E_2 from a median of 29 to 9 ng/mg protein, duodenal prostaglandin E2 from 34 to 11 ng/mg, and duodenal prostaglandin I₂ from 62 to 15 ng/mg protein. No overall suppression occurred with etodolac. Also, on the second assessment patients receiving naproxen had lower gastric and duodenal prostaglandin E_2 and prostaglandin I_2 , but higher values of duodenal thromboxane B₂, than patients receiving etodolac. Both drugs had comparable anti-arthritic activity and caused microscopic gastritis in similar proportions of patients. No correlation was detected between prostaglandin values and the mucosal damage which developed in seven patients receiving naproxen (54%) and three receiving etodolac (21%).

These findings indicate that, unlike naproxen, etodolac does not seem to affect gastric or duodenal prostaglandin synthesis; other mechanisms of injury need to be considered.

It has been increasingly recognised that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and prostaglandins have opposite effects on the defensive mechanisms of the gastric mucosa.¹² One theory suggests that NSAID induced damage is due to suppression of mucosal prostaglandin synthesis.³⁴ Most knowledge in this field has come from animal work,^{35–7} or from studies of young, healthy, human volunteers given single doses or short term courses of aspirin or indomethacin.⁸⁹ When patients with rheumatic disorders were studied¹⁰ basal values of the ability of gastroduodenal mucosa to synthesise prostaglandins were not known, and the precise nature of their disease was not adequately described. Etodolac is a member of a new class of NSAIDs, the pyranocarboxylic acids; it has been reported to be better tolerated by the stomach than naproxen,¹¹ a propionic acid derivative with established efficacy in arthritis, but both agents were reported to have a comparable anti-arthritic activity.¹² An animal study suggested that the different effect of these two agents on the gastric mucosa might be due to the sparing of gastric prostaglandin synthesis by etodolac.¹³

The purpose of this prospective, double blind, single centre study was to assess the effect of four weeks' treatment with therapeutic doses of naproxen or etodolac in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis on gastroduodenal mucosal prostaglandin synthesis, anti-inflammatory activity, and endoscopic and histological changes.

Subjects, materials, and methods PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

The patients studied were 18-70 years with active rheumatoid arthritis according to the criteria of the American Rheumatism Association. Patients receiving second line agents-gold, penicillamine, or hydroxychloroquine but not sulphasalazine-were included if the drug treatment had been started six or more months before the start of the study and the doses had been unchanged for the last two months. Sulphasalazine was excluded because 5-10% of its 5-aminosalicyclic acid component is absorbed, which might affect prostaglandin production, although there is no evidence for this. Subjects receiving NSAIDs underwent a washout period of at least five to seven days before receiving the study drugs, during which time paracetamol was used as an analgesic agent. Preliminary work at our units showed that there was no significant difference in gastric or duodenal mucosal prostaglandin synthesis between arthritic patients who had stopped receiving NSAIDs for four days and controls who were not receiving NSAIDs. Patients with abdominal complaints, a history of peptic ulceration, or any systemic diseases were excluded. Those taking cytotoxic agents, steroids, or ulcer healing drugs were also excluded.

STUDY DRUGS

Naproxen 500 mg twice daily and etodolac 300 mg twice daily were given in a double blind, randomised design for a period of four weeks,

Gastroenterology Unit, Glasgow Royal Infirmary A S Taha S McLaughlin R I Russell

Centre for Rheumatic Diseases, Glasgow Royal Infirmary R D Sturrock

MRC Unit for Reproductive Biology, Edinburgh P J Holland R W Kelly

Correspondence to: Dr A S Taha, Gastroenterology Unit, Royal Infirmary, Glasgow G31 2ER, Scotland. Accepted for publication 18 July 1989 with paracetamol used as a baseline analgesic. Compliance was checked by a tablet count.

ASSESSMENTS

Assessments were made on two visits-just before the start of the study and on completion four weeks later. They included a general medical history and examination, assessment of the activity of the rheumatoid arthritis, and endoscopy. Rheumatoid disease activity was assessed by measuring the erythrocyte sedimentation rate, grip strength (mmHg), Ritchie articular index, duration of morning stiffness, and both the patients' and investigators' evaluation of the global condition. Endoscopy was performed after giving 5-15 mg diazepam intravenously. Endoscopic abnormalities were graded according to a (0-5) scale modified from Lanza et al¹⁴: 0=normal; 1=any erythematous changes; 2=submucosal haemorrhage; 3=single erosion; 4=multiple erosions; and 5=frank ulceration.

Patients showing abnormal endoscopic findings at the initial visit were not admitted to the study. On both visits biopsy specimens weighing 5–10 mg were taken from healthy looking mucosa in the gastric antrum and the first part of the duodenum for prostaglandin assays and for histology. All assessments were done under double blind conditions. Suitable patients were given the study drugs within 12 hours of completing the initial assessment.

HISTOLOGY

Specimens were fixed in formalin buffered saline, embedded in paraffin wax, and 5 μ m sections prepared for light microscopy. Sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Histological appearances were broadly divided into mild or severe inflammation: mild inflammation referred to the presence of few inflammatory cells in the lamina propria, while severe inflammatory infiltration of the lamina propria, glands, and crypts.¹⁵

PROSTAGLANDIN ASSAYS

Biopsy specimens were taken and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70° C. Each specimen was later thawed, weighed, and washed in 0.5 ml of phosphate buffer for five minutes at room temperature to remove debris and prostaglandins induced by trauma. The supernatant was removed and specimens were incubated at 20°C for 30 minutes and at 37°C for another 30 minutes. Fresh phosphate buffer (0.5 ml) was added at the start of each incubation step, and the supernatant removed at the end of each stage was mixed with an equal volume (1:1) of methyloximation agent,¹⁶ left overnight at room temperature, and stored at 4°C until needed for radioimmunoassay. After incubation at 20°C the biopsy specimens were incubated at 37°C to stimulate further prostaglandin synthesis. Prostaglandins were assayed in both incubates separately and their values summated. Prostaglandin I_2 and thromboxane A_2 were measured as their stable metabolites 6-oxoprostaglandin $F_{1\alpha}$ and thromboxane B_2 respectively.

Intra-assay variations were 14.8% for prostaglandin E_2 , 11.0% for 6-oxo-prostaglandin $F_{1\alpha}$, and 5.0% for thromboxane B₂. Inter-assay variations were 13.5-26% for prostaglandin E_2 , 13.0% for 6-oxo-prostaglandin $F_{1\alpha}$, and 5.6% for thromboxane B₂. Cross reactions of the antisera were as follows: prostaglandin E_2 (methyloximation agent) antiserum with prostaglandin E₁ 53%, prostaglandin E₃ 31%, prostaglandin B_2 0.2%, 15-oxo-prostaglandin E_2 0.25%. 6-Oxo-prostaglandin $F_{1\alpha}$ (methyloximation agent) antiserum with thromboxane B_2 0.02%, prostaglandin E₂ 0.01%, prostaglandin E₁ 0.01%. Thromboxane B_2 antiserum with prostaglandin D₂ 0.02%, 6-oxo-prostaglandin E_1 0.02%, prostaglandin E_2 0.02%. The sensitivity of prostaglandin assays (as defined by the amount distinguishable from zero with 95% confidence limit) was 2 pg in all assays. Other details of prostaglandin cross reactions, the sensitivity of prostaglandin assays, intra-assay and interassay precisions have been described previously.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The protein content of each biopsy specimen was measured,¹⁹ and the results of prostaglandin synthesis are expressed in ng prostaglandin/mg protein after a total of 60 minutes' incubation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Statistical analyses were carried out with the Wilcoxon signed ranks and the Mann-Whitney tests, where appropriate. p Values of less than 0.05 were regarded as significant. Correlation between prostaglandin values and endoscopic scores was tested using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

Informed consent was obtained from patients, and the study was approved by the local ethical committee.

Results

Twenty seven patients completed the study; 13 (nine women, four men), median age 60 years, were found to have been receiving naproxen, and 14 (10 women, four men), median age 50, etodolac. Three further patients were not entered into the study because their initial endoscopy was abnormal and two other patients dropped out before completing the study owing to protocol violations. In the group receiving naproxen six patients smoked, nine were receiving second line drugs, and 10 had previous exposure to NSAIDs, compared with seven, eight, and 11 patients in the etodolac group respectively. Compliance was good and comparable in both groups (median of 89% of naproxen tablets and 87% of etodolac tablets provided were taken), though patients receiving etodolac used less paracetamol.

PROSTAGLANDIN SYNTHESIS

Baseline values were similar in both groups. When all rheumatoid patients were considered as one group there was no significant change in gastric or duodenal prostaglandin values before

Table 1: Gastric and duodenal prostaglandin E_2 , median (interquartile ranges), ng/mg protein, at baseline and after non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug treatment

Subjects	Baseline		4 Weeks		
	Gastric	Duodenal	Gastric	Duodenal	
All rheumatoid patients (n=27)	29	28	20	19	
	(23-41)	(17-39)	(9–76)	(10–33)	
Patients receiving naproxen (n=13)	29	34	(9 [∞]	11*	
	(24–65)	(21-39)	(4–17)	(6–16)	
Patients receiving etodolac (n=14)	29	18	64	29	
	(16–35)	(15–35)	(21–101)	(20–42)	

Significant drop: p<0.01 (compared with baseline values in the naproxen group and with values after treatment in patients receiving etodolac).

Table 2: Gastric and duodenal prostaglandin I_2 (6-oxo-prostaglandin F_{Iu}), median (interquartile range), ng/mg protein, at baseline and after non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug treatment

Subjects	Baseline		4 Weeks		
	Gastric	Duodenal	Gastric	Duodena	
All rheumatoid patients (n=27)	12	50	18	28	
	(8–17)	(28–84)	(2-37)	(11-42)	
Patients receiving naproxen (n=13)	11	62	2**	15°	
	(7–14)	(34–86)	(1-27)	(2–32)	
Patients receiving etodolac (n=14)	15	39	23	40	
	(9–18)	(16–63)	(13-42)	(29–44)	

Significant drop: p<0.05 (compared with baseline values in the naproxen group and with gastric and duodenal values after treatment in patients receiving etodolac).

Table 3: Gastric and duodenal thromboxane B_2 , median (interquartile ranges), ng/mg protein, at baseline and after non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug treatment

Subjects	Baseline		4 Weeks		
	Gastric	Duodenal	Gastric	Duodenal	
All rheumatoid patients (n=27)	33 (23-61)	36 (21-41)	38 (24-48)	31 (20-41)	
Patients receiving naproxen (n=13)	35 (23–60)	36 (28-45)	(24-48) 40 (28-45)	35* (27-42)	
Patients receiving etodolac (n=14)	33 (21-61)	27 (21–38)	34 (22–48)	22 (14-41)	

Significant rise: *p<0.05 (compared with etodolac).

or after NSAID treatment. Significant differences became noticeable when patients were classified according to whether they had received naproxen or etodolac (tables 1–3); gastric prostaglandin E_2 , duodenal prostaglandin E_2 and prostaglandin I_2 were all suppressed by naproxen. Etodolac seemed to have no effect on prostaglandin concentrations. In addition, compared with etodolac patients on the second assessment, naproxen patients had lower gastric and duodenal prostaglandin E_2 and prostaglandin I_2 but higher values of duodenal thromboxane B_2 .

Table 4 shows the improvement in the indices

ANTI-ARTHRITIC ACTIVITY

of rheumatoid disease activity; all variables improved after treatment but not necessarily to a significant degree, apart from the duration of morning stiffness (p<0.001) and the articular index (p<0.05). The overall results indicate that in this small group of patients naproxen and etodolac had similar anti-inflammatory efficacy.

ENDOSCOPIC AND HISTOLOGICAL CHANGES

The second endoscopy was abnormal in seven patients receiving naproxen (54%) with a median score of 2 (0–4), (interquartile ranges), compared with three patients receiving etodolac (21%) and a score of 0 (0–1) (p<0.05). Lesions developed

Table 4: Duration of morning stiffness, grip strength, articular index, and erythrocyte sedimentation (ESR) before and after treatment: median and interquartile ranges

Indices of activity	All rheumatoid patients (n=27)		Naproxen group (n=13)		Etodolac group (n=14)	
	Baseline	4 Weeks	Baseline	4 Weeks	Baseline	4 Weeks
Duration of morning stiffness (min)	60	30*	90	50**	60	30**
	(60–120)	(5-60)	(60–150)	(17–90)	(48–105)	(12–55)
Grip strength (mmHg)	(. ,				
Right hand	74	83	74	102	76	81
	(60–105)	(65–135)	(60–102)	(60–154)	(59–126)	(71–120)
Left hand	80	94	77	93	88	96
	(68–116)	(72–144)	(65–129)	(67–174)	(67–130)	(70–139)
Articular index	13	8***	16	10	13	6
	(10–19)	(5-11)	(10–22)	(7-12)	(9–18)	(3–11)
ESR	22	17	23	17	18	16
	(7–39)	(8–33)	(10–36)	(9–34)	(7-41)	(5-35)

Significant improvement: *p<0.001; ***p<0.01; ****p<0.05.

in the stomach in all 10 cases (seven naproxen, three etodolac) but one patient receiving naproxen had them in both the stomach and the duodenum. Only three patients (naproxen) developed upper abdominal complaints, and the rest were all asymptomatic. Prostaglandins were not suppressed in the three patients with endoscopic abnormalities due to etodolac. As mentioned above, comparable numbers of patients took other NSAIDs before receiving either naproxen or etodolac. Prior exposure to NSAIDs did not seem to affect the side effects due to the study drugs, possibly because of the washout period. Only seven patients (five naproxen, two etodolac) out of 21 (33%) previously receiving NSAIDs developed endoscopic damage on completion of the study. Prostaglandin values in such patients were not significantly different from those of other members of their respective groups. The number of patients with severe inflammation in their gastric biopsy specimens rose from three (23%) to 10 (77%) after taking naproxen, and from four (29%) to 11 (79%) after etodolac treatment. There was no correlation between prostaglandin values and the degree of gastric endoscopic damage (r=-0.3196 for prostaglandin E_2 , -0.3793 for prostaglandin I_2 , and -0.2339 for thromboxane B₂ in the entire population of rheumatoid patients). Also, no significant correction was found between the prostaglandin E₂/thromboxane B₂ ratio and the endoscopic scores (r=-0.2495). Both gastric and duodenal prostaglandin E_2 /thromboxane B_2 ratios were significantly higher in patients receiving etodolac than in those who took naproxen (p < 0.005). From these results it seems that there should be some form of negative correlation between endoscopic scores and prostaglandin E_2 /thromboxane B_2 ratios as patients receiving naproxen appear to have lower ratios and higher scores. This cannot be proved, however, as no correlations were significant.

Discussion

This study shows that, unlike naproxen, etodolac does not suppress gastric or duodenal prostaglandin synthesis. In this respect these results disagree with most of the available data obtained from studies on gastric prostaglandins in patients with rheumatic diseases or those receiving regular NSAID treatment; such studies have shown that the NSAIDs tested do suppress gastric prostaglandins.^{10 20} The effects of individual NSAIDs were not known in those reports, however, baseline prostaglandins were not measured, and the number of patients taking the same agent was small.

Patients receiving naproxen had both a greater number of endoscopic abnormalities and lower prostaglandin values. Possibly, these two events were interrelated, but we, like others,⁸ were unable to show a correlation between the endoscopic scores and prostaglandin values. Gastritis²⁰ does not adequately explain the sparing of prostaglandin by etodolac as inflammation was present in similar numbers of patients who took either agent. The significance of this gastritis is unclear; it was not evident on endoscopic examination and was only shown by histology.

Possibly, agents like etodolac may be selective in their effects on various tissues and different types of prostaglandins. Such an effect was previously described with salicylic acid, which caused preferential reduction in prostaglandin E_2 in sheep vesicular tissue, whereas indomethacin suppressed all classes of prostaglandins.²¹

The fact that prostaglandins were not suppressed in patients who developed endoscopic abnormalities due to etodolac may mean that the mucosa recovered its capacity to synthesis prostaglandin before full healing of erosions, though we have not shown that such capacity was lost to begin with, or that mechanisms other than prostaglandin deficiency were involved in causing gastric damage: in theory, these could include increased mucosal permeability,²² interference with active ion transport,²³ redistribution of mucosal blood flow,²⁴ capillary stasis,²⁵ or interference with the mucus layer.²⁶

Little is known about the duodenal mucosal prostaglandin response to the intake of NSAIDs as most studies have concentrated on their effect on the gastric mucosa,^{3 5 6 8 9} possibly owing to the fact that the stomach is more commonly affected by NSAIDs than the duodenum as shown by this study and by others.²⁷ Like one of the previous studies on patients with duodenal ulcers,²⁸ our results may suggest that the duodenal mucosal potential to synthesise prostaglandin I₂ becomes limited in the presence of ulceration or when subjected to naproxen. Duodenal prostaglandin E2 was also suppressed in our patients taking naproxen, but not in those of Hillier *et al*, 2^{28} the difference probably being due to the fact that their patients did not take NSAIDs. It is also interesting to find that patients who took naproxen had higher values of thromboxane B_2 (the stable metabolite of thromboxane A_2) than those receiving etodolac. Animal studies have suggested that vasoconstriction with thromboxane A₂ induces ulceration of the gastric mucosa,²⁹ and that the selective inhibition of its synthesis results in gastric mucosal protection.³⁰ The effect of thromboxane A₂ on the duodenal mucosa was not clarified by those studies. We found that both gastric and duodenal prostaglandin E₂/ thromboxane B_2 ratios were higher in patients taking etodolac than in those receiving naproxen. The significance of this is not fully clear but it may explain, at least in part, the greater damaging effects of naproxen, though we could not show a significant correlation between the prostaglandin E_2 /thromboxane B_2 ratio and the endoscopic scores.

In conclusion, after four weeks of regular intake in therapeutic doses, naproxen suppressed gastric prostaglandin E_2 , duodenal prostaglandin E_2 and prostaglandin I_2 , while etodolac did not. At the same time etodolac caused a lesser degree of endoscopic damage than naproxen; this may be related to their different effects on prostaglandins, though there was no correlation between prostaglandin values and endoscopic scores. If it is assumed that more We are very grateful to Wyeth Laboratories for providing the study drugs and financial support. We also thank Miss Margaret Black for her secretarial assistance.

- 1 Domschke S. Domschke W. Gastroduodenal damage due to drugs, alcohol and smoking. Clin Gastroenterol 1984; 13: 405-37.
- Robert A. Cytoprotection by prostaglandins. Gastroenterology 1979; 77: 761-7.
 Main I H, Whittle B J. Investigation of the vasodilator and
- antisecretory role of prostaglandins in the rat gastric mucosa by use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. $Br \mathcal{J}$ Pharmacol 1975; 53: 217-24. 4 Konturek S J, Obtulowicz W, Sito E, Oleksy J, Wilkon S,
- Kiec-Dembinska A. Distribution of prostaglandins in gas-tric and duodenal mucosa of healthy subjects and duodenal ulcer patients; effect of aspirin and paracetamol. Gut 1981; 22: 283–9.
- 5 Whitle B J R, Higgs G A, Eakins K E, Moncada S, Vane J R. Selective inhibition of prostaglandin production in 1000 inflammatory exudates and gastric mucosa. Nature 1980; 284: 271-
- 2 Joint 271-3.
 6 Ligumsky M, Golanska E M, Hansen D G, Kauffman G L. Aspirin can inhibit gastric mucosal oxygenase without causing lesions in rat. *Gastroenterology* 1983; 84: 756-61.
 7 Whittle B J R. Temporal relationship between cyclo-oxy-genase inhibition as measured by prostaglandin biosynthesis and the contraintential demagning theory of the constraints.

- genase innibition as measured by prostagiandin biosynthesis and the gastrointestinal damage induced by indomethacin in the rat. Gastroenterology 1981; 80: 94-8.
 Redfern J S, Lee E, Feldman M. Effects of indomethacin on gastric mucosal prostaglandins in humans, correlation with mucosal damage. Gastroenterology 1987; 92: 969-77.
 Konturek S J, Obutlowicz W, Kwiecien N, Oleksy J. Generation of prostaglandins in gastric mucosa of patients with peptic ulcer disease: effect of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory compounds. Scand J Gastroenterol 1984; 19 (suppl 101): 75-7.
 Rachmilewitz D, Lieumsky M, Fich A, Goldin E, Eliakim A.
- (supplies): To Tailer (supplies): Note of the supplication of the supplic pathogenesis and therapy of duodenal ulcer. Gastroenterology 1986; 90: 963–9.
- 11 Lanza F, Rack M F, Lynn M, Wolf J, Sanda M. An endoscopic comparison of the effect of etodolac, indomethacin, ibuprofen, naproxen and placebo on the gastrointestinal mucosa. J Rheumatol 1987; 14: 338-41.

- 12 Waltham-Weeks C D. Etodolac versus naproxen in rheumatoid arthritis: a double-blind crossover study. Curr Med Res Opin 1987; 10: 540-7. Lee D, Dvornik D. Etodolac: effect on prostaglandin
- 13 concentrations in gastric mucosa of rats. Life Sci 1985; 36: 1157-62.

- 1157-62.
 14 Lanza F, Panagides J, Salom I L. Etodolac compared with aspirin: an endoscopic study of the gastrointestinal tract of normal volunteers. J Rheumatol 1986; 13: 299-303.
 15 Whitehead R, Truelove S C, Gear M W. The histological diagnosis of chronic gastritis in fiberoptic gastroscope biopsy specimens. J Clin Pathol 1972; 25: 1-11.
 16 Kelly R W, Deam S, Cameron M J, Seamark R F. Measurement by radioimmunoassay of prostaglandins as their methyloximes. Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Med 1986; 24: 1-14.
 17 Kelly R W, Healy D L, Cameron M J, Cameron IT, Baird J, Kelly R W, Theal D, Cameron M J, Cameron IT, Baird J, Kelly R W, Cameron M J, Cameron J, Seamark R, Seamark R K, Seamark R, Seamark R
- Kelly R W, Healy D L, Cameron M J, Cameron I T, Baird D T. The stimulation of prostaglandin production by two antiprogesterone steroids in human endometrial cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1986; 62: 1116–23. 18 Grant H W, Palmer K R, Kelly R W, Wilson N H. Misiewicz
- Grant H W, Palmer K K, Kelly K W, Wilson N H. Mistewicz J J. Dietary linoleic acid, gastric acid and prostaglandin secretion. Gastroenterology 1988; 94: 955–9.
 Lowry O H, Rosebrough N J, Farr A L, Randall R J. Protein measurements with the folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 1951; 193: 265–75.
 Hawkey C J. Synthesis of prostaglandin E2, thromboxane B2 and prostaglandin catabilizer in gratific ulcar
- Hawkey C J. Synthesis of prostaglandin E2, thromboxane B2 and prostaglandin catabolism in gastritis and gastric ulcer. *Gut* 1986; 27: 1484-92.
 Maddox I S. The role of copper in prostaglandin production. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1973; 306: 74-81.
 Smith B M, Skillman J J, Edwards G, Silen W. Permeability of the human gastric mucosa. Alteration by acetylsalicylic acid and ethanol. N Engl J Med 1971; 285: 716-21.
 Stern A I, Hogan D L, Isenberg J I. A new method for quantitation of ion fluxes across in vivo human gastric mucosa: effect of aspirin, ethanol and hyperosmolar solu-

- mucosa: effect of aspirin, ethanol and hyperosmolar solutions. Gastroenterology 1984; 86: 60-70.
 24 Ashley S W, Sonnenschein L A, Cheung L Y. Focal gastric
- Ministry 5 W, Soliteristerier L R, Cheung D F. Focar gastree mucosa blood flow at the site of aspirin-induced ulceration. Am J Surg 1985; 149: 53–9.
 Rosam A C, Wallace J L, Whittle B J R. Potent ulcerogenic
- actions of platelet-activating factor on the stomach. *Nature* 1986; **319**: 54-6.
- 26 Turner N C, Martin G P, Marriott C. The influence of native effect of potentially ulcerogenic agents. J Pharm Pharmacol 1985; 37: 776-80.
- 1985; 37: 776-80.
 27 Duggan J M, Dobson A J, Johnson H, Fahey P. Peptic ulcer and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents. *Gut* 1986; 27: 929-33.
- 28 Hillier K, Smith C L, Jewell R, Arthur M J P, Ross G.
- Hillier K, Smith C L, Jewell R, Arthur M J P, Ross G. Duodenal mucosa synthesis of prostaglandins in duodenal ulcer disease. Gut 1985; 26: 237-40.
 Whittle J R, Kauffman G L, Moncada S. Vasoconstriction with thromboxane A₂ induces ulceration of the gastric mucosa. Nature 1981; 292: 472-4.
 Walt, R P, Kemp R T, Filipowicz B, Davies J G, Bhaskar N K, Hawkey C J. Gastric mucosal protection with selective inhibition of thromboxane synthesis. Gut 1987; 28: 541-4.