SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Genetic risk of primary aldosteronism and its contribution to hypertension: a cross-ancestry meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies

Naito T et al.

Table of contents

- 1. Genotyping, quality control, and whole genome imputation in the Japanese cohort
- 2. UK Biobank
- 3. MAGMA gene-based analysis
- 4. RNA-sequencing and molecular QTL analysis in the tissue of APA

- 1. Principal component analysis plot for the Japanese cohort
- Regional association and linkage disequilibrium plot for the genome-wide association locus in the Japanese GWAS of PA
- 3. Quantile-quantile plots for the GWAS of PA
- 4. Regional association and linkage disequilibrium plots for the genome-wide and nearly genome-wide association loci in the meta-analysis
- 5. Regional association plots for the genome-wide association loci conditioned on the lead variants in the meta-analysis
- 6. Manhattan plot for the gene-based association tests for primary aldosteronism
- 7. Results of MAGMA tissue expression analysis
- 8. Comparison of the genetic risk of the PA risk-associated variants for PA and hypertension
- 9. Manhattan plots for the genome-wide association analysis of APA and BAH in the Japanese cohort
- A regional association and linkage disequilibrium plot for the genome-wide association locus in the Japanese GWAS of BAH
- 11. Forest plots showing the odds ratios of lead variants for risk-associated loci for PA risk and a genome-wide association locus for BAH risk in each PA subtype
- 12. Results of colocalization analysis between PA GWAS associations and eQTL/sQTL effects
- 13. Mismapped reads on the CYPB1 and CYPB2 regions and the procedure to deal with them
- 14. Results of cis-eQTL and sQTL analysis in the APA tissue

- 1. Lead variants for genome-wide significant and suggestive loci associated with PA risk in the Japanese cohort
- 2. Coding variants in linkage disequilibrium with the lead variants in PA-risk associated loci in the meta-analysis
- 3. Cohort-specific lead variants for PA-risk associated loci identified in the meta-analysis
- 4. Genes significantly associated with PA risk based on the gene-based tests using MAGMA
- 5. Associations of the lead variants for PA risk-associated loci with hypertension risk
- 6. Results of case-case association analysis between PA and hypertension
- 7. Associations of the lead variants for PA risk-associated loci when individuals with hypertension were included in the control groups
- 8. Associations of the lead variants for PA risk-associated loci with the risk of each subtype
- 9. Associations of a previously-suggested risk-associated variant with PA risk in this study
- 10. eQTL and sQTL effects of PA risk-associated variants queried in GTEx Portal V8

1. Blood pressure-associated variants and their associations with the risk of PA and hypertension in the Japanese cohort

Supplemental Method 1. Genotyping, quality control, and whole genome imputation in the Japanese cohort

We genotyped the Japanese PA patients and BBJ cohort using Infinium Asian Screening Array (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). We excluded individuals with a genotyping call rate < 0.98. We included only the individuals estimated as East Asian ancestries based on the principal component (PC) analysis with the individuals from the HapMap project using EIGENSTRAT (**Supplemental Fig. 1a**). For a more stringent quality control for population stratification, we only included individuals belonging to the Honshu cluster based on PCs.¹⁴ We also excluded SNPs with call rate < 0.99, minor allele count < 5, and *P*-value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium < 1.0×10^{-10} . We excluded duplicated samples or individuals of ambiguous sex (sex chromosome aneuploidy and inconsistency between self-reported and genetic sex). We also excluded \leq 2nd related individuals (included cases in preference to controls) based on King's kinship index > 0.0884.

We performed genome-wide genotype imputation to estimate untyped variants computationally. We used the combined reference panel of 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 version 5 genotype (n = 2,504) and Japanese whole-genome sequencing data $(n = 1,037)^{15,16}$ as a haplotype reference for genotype imputation. First, we excluded SNPs with > 0.005 allele frequency difference with the representative reference datasets of Japanese ancestry, namely the combined reference panel aforementioned^{15,16} and the allele frequency panel of Tohoku Medical Megabank Project. Second, we conducted haplotype estimation to improve imputation performance using SHAPEIT4 software version 4.2.1 (https://odelaneau.github.io/shapeit4/) with haplotype reference. After the prephasing, we used Minimac4 software version 1.0.1 (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Minimac4) for genotype imputation. We also applied extensive quality control criteria to filter out the poorly imputed genetic variants. Variants imputed with Rsq > 0.7 and a minor allele frequency > 0.005 were used for subsequent analyses.

Supplemental Method 2. UK Biobank

The UKB comprises health-related information from approximately 500,000 individuals aged between 40–69 recruited from across the United Kingdom from 2006 to 2010.¹⁶ The patient registration process and the GWAS data are described elsewhere.¹⁶ Briefly, we used the genomic data based on genotyping either by the Applied Biosystems UK BiLEVE Axiom Array or by the Applied Biosystems UK Biobank Axiom Array and imputation using a combination of the Haplotype Reference Consortium, UK10K, and 1000 Genomes Phase 3 reference panels. The variants imputed with Rsq > 0.7 and a MAF > 0.005 were used for the analysis. We included only individuals of British ancestry according to self-identification and criteria based on PCs.¹⁷ We excluded individuals of ambiguous sex (sex chromosome aneuploidy and inconsistency between self-reported and genetic sex), and outliers of heterozygosity or call rate of high-quality markers. We also excluded \leq 2nd related individuals (randomly selected samples to remain) based on King's kinship index > 0.0884.

As in the Japanese cohort, we used individuals with no history of hypertension as controls. We excluded individuals with a diagnosis confusable with PA (E26.1, 8, and .9) from the controls. We defined the history of hypertension as individuals with a diagnosis history of ICD–10 code of I10 (essential hypertension), self-reported diagnosis of hypertension, a history of antihypertensive medications, or systolic BP > 140 mmHg or diastolic BP > 90 mmHg.

Supplemental Method 3. MAGMA gene-based analysis

Gene-based association analysis was performed using MAGMA²³ implemented in FUMA (https://fuma.ctglab.nl/) with its default setting. Considering the different LD structure between populations, we performed gene-based analyses for the Japanese and European using the 1000 Genome phase 3 EAS and EUR, respectively. For the European population, we meta-analyzed the GWAS results in the UKB and FinnGen cohort using METAL before applying them to MAGMA. For the cross-ancestry gene-based meta-analysis, the results were meta-analyzed using the sample size-based meta-analysis method for Z-scores.²⁴ The significance threshold was based on the Bonferroni correction for the number of genes tested ($P = 0.05/19,364 = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$). MAGMA gene-set enrichment analysis for tissue expression was also performed for each population separately. Tissue expression profiles were based on the GTEx V8 RNA-seq data.

Supplemental Method 4. RNA-sequencing and molecular QTL analysis in the tissue of APA

Among the APA individuals included in this study, we reanalyzed RNA-seq data of the APA tissues obtained at adrenalectomy that were used in our previous study (n = 19).²⁷ The procedure for RNA extraction was previously described.²⁸ A paired-end RNA library was prepared from 1.0 µg total RNA according to the manufacturer's protocols (Illumina Truseq Library Construction; Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA) and then sequenced to generate 150 bp paired-end reads using an Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform.

For the alignment and quantification of transcripts, we followed the pipeline provided by the GTEx project (https://github.com/broadinstitute/gtex-pipeline), with minimal changes. Briefly, RNA-seq data were aligned to hg38 human reference genome (excluding ALT, HLA and decoy contigs) using STAR 2.7.10a (https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR). For sQTL mapping, WASP correction implemented in STAR was applied to mitigate allelic mapping bias. Remapping of reads based on the variant information was applied to the *CYP11B1* and *CYP11B2* regions to deal with the sequence homology (**Supplemental Fig. 13**). All samples satisfied basic sample quality control criteria such as mapping rate (>0.8), intergenic rate (<0.3), base mismatch rate (<0.01), and ribosomal RNA rate (<0.3). Gene level quantification and normalization was performed using RSEM v1.3.3 (https://github.com/deweylab/RSEM). Transcripts per kilobase million were used for eQTL analysis. Splicing was quantified based on the intron excision rates using LeafCutter v0.2.7 (https://davidaknowles.github.io/leafcutter/). The intron excision rates were normalized using the prepare phenotype table.py script from LeafCutter.

For cis-eQTL and sQTL analysis, associations were evaluated using fastQTL v2.0 (https://github.com/francoisa/fastqtl), based on the additive effect model of the imputed dosage of each variant on the gene expression and splicing event, respectively. We included only age and sex as covariates due to the limited sample size. Variant-gene pairs located within 500 kb of the lead variant of each risk-associated locus were analyzed. The significance thresholds were Bonferroni corrected based on the number of tests ($P = 0.05/85 = 5.9 \times 10^{-4}$ and $0.05/129 = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ for cis-eQTL and sQTL, respectively).

Supplemental Figure 1. Principal component analysis plot for the Japanese cohort





PC1, principal component 1; PC2, principal component 2; PC3, principal component 3; CEU, Utah residents with Northern and Western European ancestry from the CEPH collection; CHB, Han Chinese in Beijing, China; JPT, Japanese in Tokyo, Japan; YRI, Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria; PA, primary aldosteronism; HTN, hypertension.

Supplemental Figure 2. Regional association and linkage disequilibrium plot for the genome-wide association locus in the Japanese GWAS of PA



A regional association and linkage disequilibrium plot for 6q12 in the Japanese cohort. The lead variant is highlighted in purple. The plot was generated using LocusZoom with the 1KG ASN reference panel (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/LocusZoom_Standalone).

Supplemental Figure 3. Quantile-quantile plots for the GWAS of PA



Quantile-quantile plots for the GWAS of PA in the Japanese (**a**), UK Biobank (**b**), FinnGen (**c**), and meta-analysis (**d**). In each plot, the vertical and horizontal axes indicate the observed and expected $-\log_{10}(P$ -value) for the association tests between variants and PA in the meta-analysis.

Supplemental Figure 4. Regional association and linkage disequilibrium plots for the genome-wide association loci in the meta-analysis













Regional association and linkage disequilibrium plots for 1p13 (a), 7p15 (b), 8q24 (c), 11p15 (d), 12q24 (e), and 13q12 (f) in the Japanese (upper), UKB (middle), and FinnGen (lower) cohorts. In each locus, the lead variant is highlighted in purple. In 8q24 and 13q12.3, rs4736318 and rs7983337, proxy SNPs for the lead variants ($r^2 > 0.9$), are highlighted instead, respectively. The plots were generated using LocusZoom with the 1KG ASN and EUR reference panel for the Japanese and European cohorts, respectively.

Supplemental Figure 5. Regional association plots for the genome-wide association loci conditioned on the lead variants in the meta-analysis



Regional association plots for 1p13 (**a**), 7p15 (**b**), 8q24 (**c**), 11p15 (**d**), 12q24 (**e**), and 13q12 (**f**) conditioned on the lead variants in the cross-ancestry meta-analysis. The lead variant is highlighted in purple. The plots were generated using LocusZoom.

Supplemental Figure 6. Manhattan plot for the gene-based association tests for primary aldosteronism



Manhattan plots showing $-\log_{10}(P$ -value) of the MAGMA gene-based association tests for PA in the Japanese (**a**), European (UKB + FinnGen) (**b**), and meta-analysis (**c**). The red horizontal line indicates the significance threshold based on the Bonferroni correction for the number of tested genes ($P = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$).

Supplemental Figure 7. Results of MAGMA tissue expression analysis



Each bar plot shows $-\log_{10}(P$ -value) of per-tissue expression enrichment analysis of genes based on GTEx v8 data for 53 specific tissue types in the GWAS in the Japanese cohort (**a**) and European meta-analysis (**b**). The red horizontal line indicates the nominal statistical significance threshold (P = 0.05).

Supplemental Figure 8. Comparison of the genetic risk of the PA risk-associated variants for PA and hypertension



The plot represents the odds ratio of the PA risk-associated variants for hypertension (the horizontal axis) and PA (the vertical axis) in the cross-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis. ORs of individual variants are plotted as diamond with error bars representing its 95% confidence intervals. The representative gene is shown with each variant. The directions of effects are aligned with those of increasing the PA risk.

OR, odds ratio; PA, primary aldosteronism, HTN, hypertension.

Supplemental Figure 9. Manhattan plots for the genome-wide association analysis of APA and BAH in the Japanese cohort



BAH, Japanese, 247 BAH cases and 33,802 controls



Manhattan plots showing $-\log_{10}(P$ -value) of the GWAS of APA (upper) and BAH (lower) in the Japanese. The red horizontal line indicates the genome-wide significance threshold ($P = 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$).

APA, aldosterone-producing adenoma; BAH, bilateral adrenal hyperplasia.

Supplemental Figure 10. A regional association and linkage disequilibrium plot for the genome-wide association locus in the Japanese GWAS of BAH



A regional association and linkage disequilibrium plot for 2q14 in the Japanese GWAS of BAH. The lead variant is highlighted in purple. The plot is generated using LocusZoom with the 1KG ASN reference panel.

Supplemental Figure 11. Forest plots showing the odds ratios of lead variants for risk-associated loci for PA risk and a genome-wide association locus for BAH risk in each PA subtype

b

а

rs3790604, chr1:1	113046879, A/C	>					
Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)					
APA	0.33	0.28		-			
BAH	0.38	0.28				_	
PA	0.37	0.28					
			0.75	1	1.5	2	3

rs2023843, chr7:27243221, T/C

Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)					
APA	0.68	0.58				_	
BAH	0.65	0.58					
PA	0.66	0.58			_		
			0.75	1	1.5	2	3

С

rs145725189, chr8:143982676, T/TGGAA

Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)							
APA	0.30	0.23		-	-				
BAH	0.28	0.23		-	-	-			
PA	0.29	0.23				-			
			0.5 0.7	, 75 1	1.5	2	3	4	5

d

f

rs4980379, chr11:1888614, T/C

rs35442752, chr13:32179502, CA/C

Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)					
APA	0.67	0.61			•		
BAH	0.71	0.61				-	
PA	0.70	0.61					
			0 75	1	15	2	3

е

rs35486. chr12:115526562. G/C

Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)					
APA	0.79	0.69					
BAH	0.77	0.69					
PA	0.78	0.69			-		
			0.75	1	15	2	3

Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)					
APA	0.12	0.080		-	-		_
BAH	0.10	0.080				-	
PA	0.11	0.080		-		-	
			0.75	1	1.5	2	3

g

rs78785501, chr2:116031786, G/A

Subtype	RAF (case)	RAF (control)		
APA	0.051	0.069		
BAH	0.13	0.069		
PA	0.10	0.069		
			0.5 0.75 1	1.5 2 3 4 5

Forest plots for the ORs of rs3790604 (a), rs2023843 (b), rs145725189 (c), rs4980379 (d), rs35486 (e), rs35442752 (f), and rs78785501 (g) on the risk of PA. Each forest plot shows the estimated odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval from the cohort-specific GWAS results. The variant name, chromosome position, and risk/non-risk alleles are shown above each plot. The size of square representing the OR is proportional to the effective sample size of each subtype.

RAF, risk allele frequency; APA, aldosterone-producing adenoma; BAH, bilateral adrenal hyperplasia; PA, primary aldosteronism.



Supplemental Figure 12. Results of colocalization analysis between PA GWAS associations and eQTL/sQTL effects

Each panel represents a colocalization plot (left) and regional association plots for PA GWAS (upper right) and eQTL or sQTL effects (lower right) for sQTL effect for *CYP11B1* (**a**), sQTL for *CYP11B2* (**b**), sQTL effect for *LSP1* (**c**), and eQTL effect for *RXFP2* (**d**). The posterior probability for colocalization was shown on the top of each plot. The lead variants with the lowest sum of *P*-values were highlighted in purple. The plots were generated using LocusCompareR (https://github.com/boxiangliu/ locuscomparer).

sQTL, splicing quantitative trait locus; eQTL, expression quantitative trait locus; PP, posterior probability; PA, primary aldosteronism; GWAS, genome-wide association study.

Supplemental Figure 13. Mismapped reads on the CYPB1 and CYPB2 regions and the procedure to deal with them



CYP11B1 and *CYP11B2* are paralogs with high sequence similarity (e.g., more than 90% in exon 1). The sQTL analysis in the GTEx suggested that rs145725189 was associated with a splicing event that excises the genetic region between *CYP11B1* exon 2 and *CYP11B2* exon 1 (i.e., trans-splicing; **a**, **b**). When we performed read mapping of RNA-seq using the same procedure as the GTEx, we detected reads similarly mapped across *CYP11B1* exon 2 and *CYP11B2* exon1. However, these reads were mismatched in the *CYP11B2* exon1 at the reference positions of chr8:143999035 and chr8:143999053, which correspond to rs758982680 and rs61758593, extremely rare *CYP11B2* variants converting from *CYP11B2* to *CYP11B1* (C>T and C>T, MAF = 0.000025 and 0.000008 in ExAC, respectively; **c**). In addition, these reads were strongly correlated with rs6410, a *CYP11B2* common variant, which leads to the conversion from *CYP11B1* to *CYP11B2* (T>C; **c**). Therefore, we considered that the reads mapped to *CYP11B2* exon1 with mismatches in the positions of chr8:143999035 and chr8:143999053 to *CYP11B1* exon1. Then, this intron excision like trans-splicing almost disappeared. Although a small portion of such mappings remained, they were indistinguishable from the effects of sequencing errors. We note that this remapping procedure was based on public allele frequency information, which could also be affected by ambiguity due to the sequence homology. The mapped reads were visualized using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/).



Supplemental Figure 14. Results of cis-eQTL and sQTL analysis in the APA tissue

Each dot represents $-\log_{10}(P$ -value) for the cis-eQTL and sQTL analysis above and below the horizontal axis, respectively. The cis-effects of the GWAS lead variant of each PA risk-associated locus were tested. The red horizontal lines indicate the significance threshold based on the Bonferroni correction for the number of tests ($P = 5.9 \times 10^{-4}$ and 3.9×10^{-4} for cis-eQTL and sQTL, respectively).

eQTL, expression quantitative trait locus; sQTL, splicing quantitative trait locus.

Supplemental Table 1. Lead variants for genome-wide significant and suggestive loci associated with PA risk in the Japanese cohort

Variant	Charaman	Position	Dand	Gene	Allalaa	Disk allala	J	RAF	OD (050/ CI)	D see lase
variant	Chromosome	(GRCh 37)	Band	Gene	Alleles	RISK allele	Case	Control	OR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value
rs3790604	1	113046879	1p13	WNT2B	C/A	А	0.37	0.28	1.49 (1.28–1.74)	4.1×10^{-7}
rs6850415	4	26491156	4p15	CCKAR	G/A	А	0.024	0.0065	16.57 (5.51–49.82)	$5.8 imes 10^{-7}$
rs4639218	5	137498473	5q31	BRD8	C/T	Т	0.60	0.51	1.48 (1.27–1.72)	$3.3 imes 10^{-7}$
rs9354826	6	69900836	6q12	ADGRB3	C/A	С	0.64	0.55	1.53 (1.32–1.79)	3.8×10^{-8}
rs5883064	7	27241878	7p15	HOTTIP	ACT/A	А	0.67	0.58	1.43 (1.24–1.65)	$9.7 imes 10^{-7}$
rs10993000	9	93081685	9q22	LINC01508	T/C	С	0.44	0.36	1.46 (1.26–1.70)	$4.7 imes 10^{-7}$
rs35486	12	115526562	12q24	TBX3	G/C	G	0.78	0.69	1.51 (1.29–1.76)	$1.2 imes 10^{-7}$
rs2445754	15	51705986	15q21	GLDN	A/G	G	0.13	0.086	2.01 (1.53-2.65)	$6.8 imes 10^{-7}$
rs150441652	Х	37842039	Xp11	AF241726.2	A/G	G	0.071	0.032	2.95 (1.93-4.50)	$5.5 imes 10^{-7}$
rs17145636	Х	39851489	Xp11	H2AP	A/G	G	0.061	0.021	3.80 (2.32-6.21)	1.1×10^{-7}

RAF, Risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Supplemental Table 2. Coding variants in linkage disequilibrium with the lead variants in PA-risk associated loci in the meta-analysis

T 1	Characteristic	Coding variant	Position	r ²	**	<i>P</i> -value	Corre	N7	VEP	Protein	D. L. DL	SIFT	SIFT	CADD
Lead variant	Chromosome	Coding variant	(GRCh 37)	EAS	EUR	(meta-analysis)	Gene	variant type	impact	alteration	PolyPhen	prediction	score	Phred
rs3790604	1	rs17030651	113239382	0.36	0.07	4.2×10^{-6}	MOV10	Synonymous	Low	—	—	—	—	11.70
		rs34570566	143957738	0.33	0.05	0.17		Synonymous	Low	-	-	-	-	0.160
rs145725189*		rs5283	143960597	0.13	0.91	$2.9 imes 10^{-6}$	CYP11B1	Synonymous	Low	-	-	-	-	0.117
	8	rs6410	143961005	0.96	0.75	1.3×10^{-6}		Synonymous	Low	-	—	-	-	9.259
		rs4539	143996539	0.11	0.80	1.6×10^{-6}	CYP11B2	Missense	Moderate	Lys173Arg	Benign	Tolerated	0.58	0.58
		rs4546	143996553	0.11	0.80	1.6×10^{-6}		Synonymous	Low	-	-	-	-	-
rs4980379	11	rs7938342	1887806	0.49	0.38	2.4×10^{-6}	LSP1	Missense	Moderate	His34Gln	Benign	Deleterious, low confidence	0	12.20
	11	rs621679	1902768	0.55	0.80	1.1×10^{-6}		Missense	Moderate	Ala228Thr	Benign	Tolerated, low confidence	0.009	0.001

Variants in LD with the lead variants in either population ($r^2 > 0.3$) are shown. Annotations of coding variants are based on Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (https://asia.ensembl.org/info/docs/tools/vep/).

* Although rs145725189 did not strictly satisfy the genome-wide significance threshold in the meta-analysis of PA GWAS, it is displayed here because of its significance in the gene-based test and the biological plausibility of the genes to which it maps.

** r^2 of linkage disequilibrium is based on 1KG East Asian and European data.

EAS, East Asian; EUR, European.

Supplemental Table 3. Cohort-specific lead variants for PA-risk associated loci identified in the meta-analysis

Chromosomo	Dand	Cono	Study	Lead variant	Position		Dialy allala	RAF		OD (059/ CD	D voluo
Chromosome	Бапа	Gene	Study	(each cohort)	(GRCh 37)	Alleles	KISK allele	PA	Control	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
			Japanese	rs3790604	113046879	C/A	А	0.37	0.28	1.49 (1.28–1.74)	4.1×10^{-7}
1	1p13	WNT2B	UK Biobank	rs116006884	113021884	T/C	С	0.081	0.032	4.87 (1.86–12.73)	0.0013
			FinnGen	rs10857956	113022434	A/G	G	0.34	0.27	1.43 (1.20-1.70)	$5.2 imes 10^{-5}$
			Japanese	rs5883064	27241878	ACT/A	А	0.67	0.58	1.43 (1.24–1.65)	9.7×10^{-7}
7	7p15	HOTTIP	UK Biobank	rs143861054	27273227	T/A	А	0.067	0.032	3.73 (1.44–9.65)	0.0067
			FinnGen	rs6973893	27275555	T/C	С	0.95	0.92	1.61 (1.22-2.12)	$7.9 imes 10^{-4}$
		CUDIIDI	Japanese	rs4736318	143982831	T/C	Т	0.29	0.23	1.42 (1.20–1.69)	$5.8 imes 10^{-5}$
8*	8q24	CYPIIBI, CYPIIB2	UK Biobank	rs200087692	143919441	G/C	С	0.11	0.064	2.39 (1.22-4.68)	0.011
		C11111122	FinnGen	rs13251346	144061636	C/T	Т	0.46	0.38	1.36 (1.16–1.59)	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$
			Japanese	rs569550	1887068	T/G	G	0.81	0.74	1.49 (1.26–1.76)	$3.8 imes 10^{-6}$
11	11p15	LSP1	UK Biobank	rs3741229	1857930	G/A	G	0.60	0.49	1.55 (1.14-2.10)	0.0046
			FinnGen	rs686722	1891722	C/T	Т	0.46	0.40	1.32 (1.13–1.54)	$4.4 imes 10^{-4}$
			Japanese	rs35486	115526562	G/C	G	0.78	0.69	1.51 (1.29–1.76)	1.2×10^{-7}
12	12q24	ТВХЗ	UK Biobank	rs55824016	115557234	C/T	Т	0.10	0.05	3.39 (1.53-7.50)	0.0027
			FinnGen	rs7967452	115527205	C/T	С	0.36	0.30	1.31 (1.11–1.54)	0.0015
			Japanese	rs150736839	32169902	G/A	G	0.99	0.96	1.86 (1.27-2.73)	0.0014
13	13q12	RXFP2	UK Biobank	rs796667034	32240541	C/CTTTCTTCT	CTTTCTTCT	0.89	0.78	2.08 (1.39-3.12)	$4.0 imes 10^{-4}$
			FinnGen	rs1671966	32185002	T/C	Т	0.64	0.54	1.53 (1.31–1.78)	$4.6 imes 10^{-8}$

For each cohort, variants with the strongest association signals within 150 kb of the lead variant in the meta-analysis were shown.

* The *CYP11B1/CYPY11B2* locus is displayed here because of its significance in the gene-based test and the biological plausibility. RAF, risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Supplemental Table 4. Genes significantly associated with PA risk based on the gene-based tests using MAGMA

Entron ID	Cone sumh al	Charaman	S40-4*	E- d*	<i>P</i> -value					
Entrez ID	Gene symbol	Chromosome	Start"	Ena"	Japanese	UKB + FinnGen	Meta-analysis			
7482	WNT2B	1	113009163	113072787	$8.5 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.7 imes 10^{-5}$	$5.7 imes10^{-9}$			
54879	ST7L	1	113066140	113163447	6.6×10^{-5}	0.0053	$1.8 imes 10^{-6}$			
2765	GML	8	143915663	143997922	0.0011	$1.3 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.3 imes 10^{-7}$			
1585	CYP11B2	8	143991975	143999259	$7.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.0 imes 10^{-4}$	$7.2 imes 10^{-7}$			
4046	LSP1	11	1874200	1913497	$1.6 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.7 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.5 imes 10^{-7}$			

* Positions are based on GRCh37.

UKB, UK Biobank.

Supplemental Table 5. Associations of the lead variants for PA risk-associated loci with hypertension risk

N 7 • 4	CI	Position	р I	and Gene	Alleles	Alleles Risk allele	<u>64</u> 1	RAF			ו מ
Variant	Chromosome	(GRCh 37)	Band	Gene	Alleles	Risk allele	Study	Hypertension	Control	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
							Japanese	0.29	0.28	1.06 (1.03-1.09)	1.1×10^{-5}
	1	112046970	112			٨	UK Biobank	0.076	0.071	1.09 (1.07-1.12)	$7.2 imes 10^{-15}$
rs3/90604	1	113046879	1013	WN12B	C/A	А	FinnGen	0.18	0.17	1.14 (1.11–1.17)	$1.4 imes 10^{-27}$
							Meta-analysis	_	—	1.10 (1.08–1.12)	$1.8 imes 10^{-41}$
							Japanese	0.59	0.58	1.05 (1.03-1.08)	$3.5 imes 10^{-5}$
m 2022842	7	27242221	7-15		C/T	т	UK Biobank	0.93	0.92	1.10 (1.07-1.12)	9.9×10^{-16}
182023843	1	27243221	/p15	HUITIP	C/1	1	FinnGen	0.91	0.90	1.15 (1.11–1.18)	2.0×10^{-18}
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.09 (1.08-1.11)	4.2×10^{-32}
							Japanese	0.24	0.23	1.05 (1.02-1.08)	$5.3 imes 10^{-4}$
ma145705190*	Q	142082676	9~ 7 4 2	CYP11B1,		т	UK Biobank	0.55	0.55	1.03 (1.01-1.04)	$1.0 imes 10^{-5}$
rs145/25189*	8	143982070	8q24.3	CYP11B2	1/IGGAA	1	FinnGen	0.49	0.48	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	$3.4 imes 10^{-4}$
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.03 (1.02–1.04)	9.1×10^{-11}
							Japanese	0.62	0.61	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	0.0040
ma 40.902.70	11	1000/14	11-15 5		C/T	т	UK Biobank	0.37	0.36	1.06 (1.05-1.08)	6.9×10^{-24}
184980379	11	1888014	11p15.5	LSP1	C/1	1	FinnGen	0.41	0.40	1.06 (1.04-1.08)	$7.4 imes 10^{-11}$
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.06 (1.05-1.07)	3.7×10^{-34}
							Japanese	0.70	0.69	1.06 (1.03-1.09)	$9.4 imes 10^{-6}$
ra25496	12	115506560	12-24-21		C/C	C	UK Biobank	0.25	0.25	1.03 (1.02–1.05)	$2.2 imes 10^{-6}$
1855480	12	115526562	12q24.21	ΙΔΑΟ	G/C	U	FinnGen	0.28	0.27	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	$7.7 imes 10^{-4}$
							Meta-analysis	_	—	1.04 (1.03-1.05)	$1.3 imes 10^{-12}$
							Japanese	0.082	0.080	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	0.48
m25440750	12	22170502	12~12.2				UK Biobank	0.51	0.51	1.02 (1.00-1.03)	0.010
rs33442732	13	321/9502	13912.3	KAFP2	C/CA	CA	FinnGen	0.60	0.61	0.98 (0.97-1.00)	0.091
							Meta-analysis	—	—	0.98 (0.98-0.99)	0.0016

* Although rs145725189 did not strictly satisfy the genome-wide significance threshold in the meta-analysis of PA GWAS, it is displayed here because of its significance in the gene-based test and the biological plausibility of the genes to which it maps. RAF, risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Variant	Chromosomo	Position	Band	Gene	Allolog	Disk allala	Study	RAF		OD (059/ CI)	<i>P</i> -value
variant	Chromosome	(GRCh 37)			Alleles	KISK allele	Study	PA	Hypertension	UK (95% CI)	adj. for BP
				WNT2B	C/A	A	Japanese	0.37	0.29	1.37 (1.15–1.62)	$3.9 imes 10^{-4}$
rs3790604	1	113046879	1p13				UK Biobank	0.10	0.076	1.41 (0.80-2.49)	0.24
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.37 (1.16–1.62)	$1.9 imes 10^{-4}$
				HOTTIP	C/T	Т	Japanese	0.66	0.59	1.33 (1.13–1.57)	$5.7 imes 10^{-4}$
rs2023843	7	27243221	7p15				UK Biobank	0.96	0.93	1.53 (0.84–2.79)	0.17
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.34 (1.15–1.57)	$2.3 imes 10^{-4}$
	8	143982676	8q24.3	CYP11B1, CYP11B2	T/TGGAA	Т	Japanese	0.29	0.24	1.35 (1.12–1.63)	0.0019
rs145725189*							UK Biobank	0.61	0.55	1.28 (0.94–1.75)	0.11
							Meta-analysis	-	_	1.33 (1.13–1.57)	$4.9 imes 10^{-4}$
	11	1888614	11p15.5	LSP1	C/T	Т	Japanese	0.70	0.62	1.47 (1.25–1.74)	$6.2 imes 10^{-6}$
rs4980379							UK Biobank	0.42	0.37	1.17 (0.85–1.60)	0.34
							Meta-analysis	-	_	1.47 (1.25–1.72)	1.9×10^{-6}
			12q24.21	TBX3		G	Japanese	0.78	0.70	1.51 (1.27–1.80)	4.3×10^{-6}
rs35486	12	115526562			G/C		UK Biobank	0.30	0.25	1.30 (0.92–1.84)	0.14
							Meta-analysis	—	_	1.47 (1.25–1.72)	1.9×10^{-6}
		32179502	13q12.3	RXFP2		СА	Japanese	0.11	0.080	1.37 (1.04–1.81)	0.028
rs35442752	13				C/CA		UK Biobank	0.58	0.51	1.35 (0.99–1.84)	0.054
							Meta-analysis	—	_	1.36 (1.11-1.67)	0.0034

* Although rs145725189 did not strictly satisfy the genome-wide significance threshold in the meta-analysis of PA GWAS, it is displayed here because of its significance in the gene-based test and the biological plausibility of the genes to which it maps. RAF, risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval.

Supplemental Table 7. Associations of the lead variants for PA risk-associated loci when individuals with hypertension were included in the control groups

Variant	Chromosome	Position (GRCh 37)	Band	Gene	Alleles	Risk allele	Study	PA	RAF Control + hypertension	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
							Japanese	0.37	0.29	1.41 (1.19–1.67)	$8.4 imes 10^{-5}$
2700/0/	1	11204(070	1 1 2		C/A	А	UK Biobank	0.10	0.071	1.50 (0.84-2.68)	0.17
rs3/90604	1	113046879	1p13	WNT2B			FinnGen**	0.23	0.17	1.50 (1.22-1.84)	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$
							Meta-analysis	-	—	1.48 (1.31–1.67)	$2.3 imes 10^{-10}$
							Japanese	0.66	0.59	1.41 (1.2–1.65)	$2.9 imes 10^{-5}$
2022042	7	27242221		HOTTIP	C/T	Т	UK Biobank	0.96	0.93	1.62 (0.91-2.87)	0.10
rs2023843	/	27243221	/p15				FinnGen**	0.93	0.90	1.41 (1.08–1.82)	0.010
							Meta-analysis	-	—	1.39 (1.23–1.57)	9.7×10^{-8}
145725100*	8	143982676		CYP11B1, CYP11B2	T/TGGAA	Т	Japanese	0.29	0.23	1.38 (1.15-1.67)	7.6×10^{-4}
			8q24.3				UK Biobank	0.61	0.55	1.28 (0.95-1.74)	0.11
rs145/25189*							FinnGen**	0.55	0.49	1.29 (1.10-1.50)	0.0012
							Meta-analysis	-	—	1.32 (1.19–1.47)	$2.6 imes 10^{-7}$
	11	1888614		LSP1	C/T	Т	Japanese	0.70	0.61	1.43 (1.21-1.69)	$2.3 imes 10^{-5}$
			11p15.5				UK Biobank	0.42	0.36	1.27 (0.93-1.74)	0.14
184980379							FinnGen**	0.47	0.41	1.32 (1.13-1.54)	$4.6 imes 10^{-4}$
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.35 (1.22–1.49)	4.9×10^{-9}
							Japanese	0.78	0.69	1.53 (1.28–1.82)	$1.8 imes 10^{-6}$
ma25496	10	11552(5(2	12~24.21			C	UK Biobank	0.30	0.25	1.30 (0.92–1.84)	0.14
r\$35486	12	115526562	12q24.21	IBX3	G/C	G	FinnGen**	0.32	0.27	1.27 (1.07-1.50)	0.0059
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.38 (1.24–1.54)	3.9×10^{-9}
		32179502	13q12.3				Japanese	0.11	0.081	1.43 (1.08–1.88)	0.012
******	12			RXFP2		CA	UK Biobank	0.58	0.51	1.34 (0.99–1.81)	0.059
1833442732	13				U/UA	CA	FinnGen**	0.49	0.39	1.45 (1.24–1.69)	$3.0 imes 10^{-6}$
							Meta-analysis	—	—	1.42 (1.26-1.61)	1.1×10^{-8}

* Although rs145725189 did not strictly satisfy the genome-wide significance threshold in the meta-analysis of PA GWAS, it is displayed here because of its significance in the gene-based test and the biological plausibility of the genes to which it maps.
** The results shown here are the same as those presented in the main analysis since the individual data were unavailable.

RAF, risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Variant Chromoson		Position	Band	Gene	Alleles	Risk allele	Subtype	F	RAF	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	APA vs BAH
		(GRCI 37)						Case	Control			P-value"
rs3790604	1	113046879	1p13.2	WNT2B	C/A	А	APA	0.33	0.28	1.31 (1.01–1.69)	0.042	0.19
							BAH	0.38	0.28	1.66 (1.36-2.02)	6.6×10^{-7}	0.17
rs78785501	2	116031786	2q14.1	DPP10	A/G	G	APA	0.051	0.069	0.79 (0.48-1.30)	0.35	0.0017
							BAH	0.13	0.069	3.35 (2.21-5.08)	1.2×10^{-8}	0.0017
rs2023843	7	27243221	7p15.2	HOTTIP	C/T	Т	APA	0.68	0.58	1.51 (1.19–1.92)	8.1×10^{-4}	0.34
							BAH	0.65	0.58	1.37 (1.14–1.64)	$7.0 imes 10^{-4}$	0.54
rs145725189	8	143982676	8q24.3	GML	T/TGGAA	Т	APA	0.30	0.23	1.55 (1.16-2.08)	0.0029	0.65
							BAH	0.28	0.23	1.39 (1.12–1.73)	0.0032	0.05
rs4980379	11	1888614	11p15.5	LSP1	C/T	Т	APA	0.67	0.61	1.25 (0.98-1.60)	0.072	0.20
							BAH	0.71	0.61	1.53 (1.27–1.85)	6.7×10^{-6}	0.20
rs35486	12	115526562	12q24.21	TBX3	G/C	G	APA	0.79	0.69	1.63 (1.26-2.10)	$2.0 imes 10^{-4}$	0.52
							BAH	0.77	0.69	1.48 (1.22–1.79)	6.7×10^{-5}	0.32
rs35442752	13	32179502	13q12.3	RXFP2	C/CA	CA	APA	0.12	0.080	1.65 (1.08-2.52)	0.021	0.44
							BAH	0.10	0.080	1.32 (0.96-1.82)	0.088	0.44

* *P*-values are based on the association tests between APA and BAH performed using SAIGE. RAF, risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; APA, aldosterone-producing adenoma; BAH, bilateral adrenal hyperplasia.

Supplemental Table 9. Association of a previously-suggested APA risk-associated variant with PA risk in this study

Variant	Chromosome	Position	Band	Alleles	Risk	Study	Trait	RAF		OR (95% CI)	P- value
, al lanc	emonosome	(GRCh 37)	Danu	1 meres	allele	Study	Trait	Case	Control	ok (5570 el)	
rs2224095	Х	75640802	Xq13.3	G/C	С	Japanese	APA	0.78	0.81	0.89 (0.7–1.14)	0.35
							BAH	0.82	0.81	1.03 (0.84–1.26)	0.77
							PA	0.80	0.81	0.97 (0.84-1.13)	0.73
						UK Biobank	PA	0.16	0.15	1.25 (0.79-1.98)	0.33
						FinnGen	PA	0.24	0.22	1.06 (0.91-1.23)	0.48
						Meta-analysis	PA	_	_	0.98 (0.88-1.08)	0.64

RAF, risk allele frequency; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; APA, aldosterone-producing adenoma; BAH, bilateral adrenal hyperplasia; PA, primary aldosteronism.

Variant	Chuomocomo	Position	Dand		eQTL	sQTL		
v ai iaiit	Chromosome	(GRCh 37)	Dallu	Adrenal gland	Other tissues	Adrenal gland	Other tissues	
rs3790604	1	113046879	1p13.2	n.d.	WNT2B	n.d.	n.d.	
rs2023843	7	27243221	7p15.2	n.d.	AC004540.5	n.d.	HOTTIP	
rs145725189	8	143982676	8q24.3	n.d.	CTD-2292P10.4, GML, LYNX1, MAPK15, RP11-273G15.2, RP11-706C16.7, SLURP1	CYP11B1, CYP11B2	GML, RP11-273G15.2	
rs686722*	11	1888614	11p15.5	n.d.	IGF2, LINC01219, LSP1, MRPL23-AS1, PRR33, TNNT3	LSP1, AC051649.12	LSP1, TNNT3, AC051649.12	
rs35486	12	115526562	12q24.21	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
rs35442752	13	32179502	13q12.3	RXFP2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	

* rs686722 is a proxy SNP for rs4980379. eQTL, expression quantitative locus; sQTL, splicing quantitative locus; n.d., not determined.

Excel File S1. Blood pressure-associated variants and their associations with the risk of PA and hypertension in the Japanese cohort

Blood pressure-associated variants reported in a previous Japanese GWAS and their associations with risk of PA and hypertension in the current Japanese cohort are shown in **Excel File S1**.