Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of the AC, IQR/median, and CV among UGAP_3, UGAP_6, UGAP_9, and UGAP_12 according to each hepatic steatosis stage

| Parameter | UGAP_3 | UGAP_6 | UGAP_9 | UGAP_12 | P-value |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| AC (dB/cm/MHz) | | | | | |
| Overall | 0.727±0.009 | 0.731±0.116 | 0.724±0.009 | 0.734±0.113 | 0.398 |
| SO | 0.600±0.017 | 0.574±0.078 | 0.579±0.020 | 0.582±0.076 | 0.199 |
| S1 | 0.630±0.013 | 0.643±0.068 | 0.642±0.016 | 0.639±0.068 | 0.218 |
| S2 | 0.711±0.020 | 0.706±0.079 | 0.708±0.015 | 0.713±0.072 | 0.710 |
| S3 | 0.770±0.010 | 0.778±0.103 | 0.783±0.010 | 0.780±0.100 | 0.537 |
| IQR/median (%) | | | | | |
| Overall | 7.2±0.3 | 8.9±0.6 | 9.7±0.3 | 9.8±0.5 | <0.001 ^{a)} |
| SO | 11.0±2.0 | 14.2±0.7 | 15.8±1.9 | 17.8±0.9 | 0.111 |
| S1 | 8.8±1.2 | 8.7±0.5 | 9.5±0.8 | 9.6±0.3 | 0.227 |
| S2 | 8.5±0.9 | 10.6±0.7 | 10.9±1.0 | 10.4±0.4 | 0.088 |
| S3 | 6.1±0.2 | 7.8±0.1 | 8.6±0.3 | 7.8±1.0 | <0.001 ^{a)} |
| CV (%) | | | | | |
| Overall | 5.5±0.3 | 7.6±0.3 | 7.8±0.3 | 8.0±0.3 | <0.001 ^{a)} |
| SO | 8.3±1.2 | 11.0±1.1 | 11.5±1.1 | 12.2±1.3 | 0.007 ^{a)} |
| S1 | 5.5±0.7 | 7.7±0.6 | 8.2±0.7 | 8.6±0.8 | $0.004^{a)}$ |
| S2 | 6.4±0.6 | 9.0±1.0 | 8.6±0.9 | 8.9±0.9 | 0.033 ^{a)} |
| S3 | 4.8±0.3 | 6.8±0.3 | 7.0±0.3 | 7.1±0.3 | < 0.001 a) |

A P-value of <0.05 represents statistical significance.

AC, attenuation coefficient; IQR, interquartile range; CV, coefficient of variation; UGAP, ultrasound-guided attenuation parameter; UGAP_3, 3-repeated measurements obtaining 3 attenuation coefficients using UGAP; UGAP_6, 6-repeated measurements obtaining 6 attenuation coefficients using UGAP; UGAP_9, 9-repeated measurements obtaining 9 attenuation coefficients using UGAP; UGAP_12, 12-repeated measurements obtaining 12 attenuation coefficients using UGAP.

^{a)}According to the *post-hoc* analysis using the paired t test with the Bonferroni correction, the IQR/median and CV of UGAP_3 was significantly lower than those of other repetition protocols (P<0.008, statistical significance), and those were not statistically different among UGAP_6, UGAP_9, and UGAP_12.