Cancer mortality of cadmium workers

C-G ELINDER,¹ T KJELLSTRÖM,² C HOGSTEDT,¹³ K ANDERSSON,⁴ AND G SPÅNG⁵

From the Department of Occupational Medicine,¹ National Board of Occupational Safety and Health, Solna, Sweden, Department of Community Health and General Practice,² University of Auckland, New Zealand, and Department of Occupational Medicine,³ Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Department of Occupational Medicine, Örebro, and Department of Occupational Medicine, Linköping,⁴ and Industrial Health Center,⁵ Oskarshamn, Sweden

ABSTRACT Several epidemiological studies of workers exposed to cadmium indicate an increased risk of lung and prostatic cancer. The increase is statistically significant in some of the studies but the SMR is greater than 100 in almost all. A cohort study of the mortality among 522 Swedish workers exposed to cadmium for at least one year in a nickel-cadmium battery plant support the earlier findings. The SMR for lung and prostatic cancer increased with increasing dose and latency but did not obtain statistical significance. A combination of all the available data from the most recent follow up of causes of death among cadmium workers in six different cohorts shows 28 cases of prostatic cancer (SMR = 162) and 195 cases of lung cancer (SMR = 121). This new analysis suggests that long term, high level exposure to cadmium is associated with an increased risk of cancer. The role of concomitant exposure to nickel needs further study.

It is well known that exposure to cadmium in the general and occupational environment may induce kidney damage but its possible carcinogenicity is more controversial.¹ In 1965 Potts observed an unusually high mortality from prostatic cancer (three cases out of eight deaths),² which was later epidemiologically confirmed in a retrospective follow up study using cancer register data.³ There were four observed prostatic cancer cases versus 0.58 expected in a group of 248 workers from a battery plant. The report by Lemen et al of a statistically significant increase of lung and prostatic cancer mortality among cadmium smelter workers created a considerable interest in the question of cadmium carcinogenicity,⁴ and several epidemiological studies were undertaken in subsequent years. Animal data had shown that cadmium injections could induce sarcomas at the injection site and Leydig cell tumours in the testicles.⁵ Based on the available human and animal data in 1976, IARC concluded that occupational exposure to cadmium in some form, possibly the oxide, increased the risk of prostatic cancer,⁵ and one study suggested an increased risk of lung cancer.4

A report in the *Lancet*, however, presents mainly negative data with regard to cancer mortality and cadmium exposure.¹ In 6995 men born before 1940 and exposed to cadmium for more than one year be-

Received 18 March 1985 Accepted 15 April 1985 tween 1942 and 1970 no excess of prostatic cancer was found and there was only a "marginal" excess of lung cancer, but this was unrelated to exposure levels. Only 199 of the men, however, had consistently experienced high cadmium exposure.⁶

We have updated the mortality of a Swedish cohort of battery workers exposed to cadmium and nickel and have considered different latent periods from the first exposure which has not been done in most previous studies.

Study group and methods

The target group comprised all 545 men who had been exposed to cadmium for at least one year between 1940 and 1980 in a Swedish cadmium-nickel battery factory and who had not died before 1951. The levels of cadmium oxide dust in the air had been about 1 mg Cd/m^3 before 1947, about 0.3 mg Cd/m^3 in 1947–62, about 0.05 mg Cd/m^3 in 1962–74,⁷ and about 0.02 mg Cd/m^3 since 1975.⁸ The nickel hydroxide exposure levels were usually 2–10 times higher than those for cadmium oxide.⁷ Identifying data were received from the factory occupational health service. Vital status was checked through parish death register and national insurance records. Underlying causes of death were ascertained from death certificates.

The expected numbers of deaths up to age 80 in 1951–83 were calculated from person-years at risk and

the five year class, sex, and calendar year specific mortality rates in the whole Swedish population. Data on cause of death at age 80 and above were not included as diagnosis was considered to be less valid at this high age. Statistical significance was tested using the Poisson distribution when the expected number of cases were less than five and χ^2 test when the expected number was five or more.

The exposure, target population, and methods have been described in more detail in earlier reports with shorter follow $up.^{9-11}$

Results from the Swedish cohort

Of the 545 men in the cohort, 17 had emigrated, three could not be traced, and three had died before 1951. The expected and observed numbers of deaths in the remaining group of 522 men (table 1) shows a low mortality from all causes (SMR = 92), which agrees with the commonly reported "healthy worker effect" frequently seen in comparisons with expected numbers calculated from national populations.¹²

In addition to the 133 deaths before age 80, 14 deaths occurred after age 79, giving an overall cumulative mortality of 28% in the cohort.

In the cohort as a whole (n = 522) the observed numbers of deaths for most diseases were similar to the expected numbers (table 1). The most common cardiovascular cause of death was disease (SMR = 91) and the second most common was cancer (SMR = 115). The excess cancer mortality is not statistically significant and neither is the excess in any other site. In the previous report six died from lung cancer,¹¹ but during the subsequent three years another two cases were recorded. There were no additional deaths from prostatic cancer. Three cases were diagnosed as nephritis and nephrosis compared with an expected number of 1.0 (SMR = 300). Scrutinising the death certificates showed that the fourth case of renal disease (table 1) should more correctly be included in this group.¹⁰

 Table 1
 Observed numbers of death before age 80 (1951–83)

 and SMR*

ICD No	Cause of death	All workers $(n = 522)$				
		Obs	SMR			
1-999	All causes	133	92			
140-209	Cancers (all sites):	39	115			
147	Nasopharynx	1	>1000			
150-151	Oesophagus stomach	4	77			
152-154	Intestines	8	195			
157	Pancreas	3	130			
162	Lung	8	133			
185	Prostate	4	108			
188	Bladder	2	182			
390-459	Diseases of circulatory system	63	91			
460-519	Diseases of respiratory system:	6	95			
490-493	Obstructive respiratory diseases	3	111			
520-577	Diseases of digestive system	5	79			
580607	Diseases of genitourinary system:	4	148			
581-584	Nephritis and nephrosis	3	300			
800-999	Violent and accidental death	12	94			

*SMR, standardised mortality ratio, is calculated as the ratio between observed number of deaths and the expected number, calculated from the general Swedish population.

Latent periods of 10 or 20 years and a minimum of five years of exposure were incorporated into the calculations of the SMR for the cancers that had an SMR greater than 100 in table 1. With the exception of nasopharyngeal cancer, only those with two or more observed cases are included. Data on nasopharyngeal cancer are given because this form of cancer was known to be associated with exposure to nickel.¹³¹⁴ For lung, prostate, and bladder cancer and nephritis or nephrosis the SMR was increased (table 2) but only the excess deaths from nephritis and nephrosis were statistically significant.

The exposure to cadmium in the battery plant was considerably higher before 1963.⁷⁸ The subgroup of workers with a 20 year latent period would all have been exposed before that year. Thus the mortality in this subgroup is of particular interest in order to elucidate the possible carcinogenic effects from high exposure to cadmium (table 2).

 Table 2
 Observed numbers of death from certain diagnoses before age 80 (1951–83) and SMR[†], with different requirements on exposure times and time lapse since the first exposure

ICD No	Cause of death	All worke (n = 522)		Workers v least 5 yea	ars	Workers with at least 5 years of exposure 20 years latency (n = 295)		
		Obs	SMR	of exposul 10 years l (n = 340)	atency			
				Obs	SMR	Obs	SMR	
152-154	Cancer of intestines	8	195	6	182	4	148	
157	Cancer of pancreas	3	130	2	105	1	67	
62	Cancer of lung	8	133	8	163	7	175	
85	Cancer of prostate	4	108	4	125	4	148	
88	Cancer of bladder	2	181	2	222	2	250	
581-584	Nephritis and nephrosis	3	300	3	375*	3	600*	

*p < 0.05.

+SMR is calculated as the ratio between observed number of deaths and the expected number, calculated from the general Swedish population.

Summary of available data on lung and prostatic cancer among cadmium workers

Thirteen reports besides this study of cancer among cadmium workers in the United Kingdom, United States, and Sweden have been published.¹⁻⁴⁶⁹¹⁰¹⁵⁻²⁰ A summary of the data on prostatic cancer (table 3) shows SMRs in the range 0 to 667 with a median of 167; in only four reports did the SMR reach statistical significance. Several of the studies mixed "high exposed" workers with others who had "median" or "low" exposure. For instance, in the study of 6995 workers reported in the Lancet¹ only 199 workers belonged to the high exposure group⁶ and a recent study of the prevalence of proteinuria among the workers in the medium or low exposure groups showed that none had cadmium induced proteinuria.²¹ In such cases the exposure will tend to be diluted and an increased mortality difficult to identify.

By contrast, 46% of the cadmium workers employed before 1962 (and still employed in 1974) in the Swedish cohort had cadmium induced proteinuria (beta-2-microglobulin higher than 97.5-percentile in reference group).⁷ Only 9% of those employed after 1962 had such proteinuria. It may be that most of the

workers in the study by Armstrong and Kazantzis¹ had insufficient exposure to cadmium to induce the cadmium associated cancers.

Several recent reports (table 3) include data updating earlier studies. If the latest follow up data for the six examined groups are combined we find that 17.2 prostatic cancers were expected and 28 were observed (SMR = 162, p = 0.02 two tailed).

The data on lung cancer mortality (table 4) show a similar pattern and the same criticism with regard to the dilution of the exposed group may be applied. The reported SMRs vary between 81 and 235 with a median of 131. In three reports the SMRs reach statistical significance. The combined data for the most recent observation on five populations is; 161.4 expected lung cancer deaths and 195 observed (SMR = 121, p = 0.008 two tailed).

General discussion

When all the available data on lung and prostatic cancer among cadmium workers are compiled (tables 3 and 4) there is a clear impression of an increased incidence of these cancers among cadmium workers compared with the expected figures from the general

Table 3 Summary of mortality and incidence data for cancer of the prostate reported in studies of cadmium workers

Study population	Type of industry	First study			Second study			Third study			Fourth study		
		SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref
$\frac{1}{2}$	Ni-Cd battery plant in UK Cd-smelter in USA	667** 444*	4/0·6 4/0·9	(3) (4)§	117 136	7/6·0 3/2·2	(17) (19)	235	4/1.7	(6)†	400**	8/2.0	(20)‡
3	Ni-Cd battery plant of Sweden	167	2/1.2	(9) i	167	4/2.4	(10)¶	148	4/2·7	(this study)	††		
4 5 6	Cd-Cu alloy plant in Sweden Cd-Cu alloy plant in UK 17 different plants using Cd in UK	148 66 0	4/2·7 1/1·5 0/2·9	(9) (15)† (1,6)†	267*	8/3-0	(16)‡‡	191	9/4·7	(6)†			

*Significant at p < 0.05; **Significant at p < 0.01.

thigh or medium exposure.

Incidence, high exposure.

§20 years of latency.

Incidence. High exposure, 10 years latency.

 \geq 5 years of high exposure, 20 years latency.

††Vicinity workers.

Table 4 Summary of mortality data for lung cancer reported in studies of cadmium workers

Study population	Type of	First study			Second study			Third study			Fourth study		
	industry	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref	SMR	Obs/Exp	Ref
1	Ni-Cd battery plant in UK Cd-smelter in USA	114 235*	5/4·4 12/5·1	(3) (4)	121 164*	77/63·4 20/12·2	(17) (19)	131	26/19-9	(6)†	127*	89/70·2	(18)
3	Ni-Cd battery plant of Sweden	143	2/1.4	(9)‡	158	6/3.8	(10)§	175	7/4·0	(this study)∥			
5 6	Cd-Cu alloy plant in UK 17 different plants using Cd in UK	81 112	10/12·4 32/28·6	(15)† (1,6)†	138	36/26-1	(16)¶	101	47/46·4	(6)†			

*Significant at p < 0.05.</p>

†High or medium exposure.

Incidence. High exposure, 10 years latency.

 \geq 5 years of high exposure, 20 years latency.

Vicinity workers.

population. If the latest data from each examined group are added together the SMR for lung and prostatic cancer are 121 and 142 respectively. These data are similar to those found in our study (table 1) when time of first exposure was not considered. With increasing latent period the SMRs progressively increased in the Swedish cohort (table 2). This is similar to the experience of occupational cancer mortality after, for instance, exposure to asbestos²² and to soot.²³ The lack of a significant excess mortality from cancer in some of the earlier reports may be due not only to the small size of the high exposure population but also to the fact that time from first exposure was not taken into account.

Seven deaths from lung cancer in the Swedish cohort occurred among 295 men who had experienced at least five years exposure to cadmium and were employed before 1963, and had thus been exposed to about 0.3 mg Cd/m³ or more for at least a part of their exposure period. This is similar to what Thun et al reported in their recent follow up on cancer mortality of cadmium production workers in the United States.¹⁹ They found a significant exposure response relation between the cumulative exposure to cadmium and the incidence of lung cancer.¹⁹ Among cadmium production workers who had experienced at least two vears of exposure to cadmium in air concentrations in the order of 0.1 to 1 mg/m³ the SMR for lung cancer was about 200. On the other hand, the new follow up on United States cadmium production workers provides no new evidence of an excess in prostatic cancer.¹⁹ It should be pointed out in this context that a recent study of rats found a dose related increase of lung cancer incidence at cadmium chloride levels below 0.1 mg Cd/m³.²⁴

The workers in the Swedish factory were exposed to nickel hydroxide as well as to cadmium oxide. The one death due to nasopharyngeal cancer (table 1) raises the suspicion of an effect of nickel as well as of cadmium. Nickel exposure may also have contributed to the excess in lung cancers seen in the British battery plant.¹⁸ The possibility of exposure to asbestos is another problem, although there are no indications that asbestos has been used to any large extent in the Swedish factory.

Differences in smoking habits between the examined and reference populations may easily bias the results of epidemiological studies, particularly with regard to lung cancer. There is no evidence to indicate that Swedish cadmium workers smoke more than the general Swedish population. In 1981 52% of the currently employed workers in the Swedish battery plant were smokers, 11% were former smokers, and 37% had never smoked.¹⁰ These percentages are similar to the smoking habits of the general Swedish population. Axelson has shown that differences in smoking habits between a study population and the general population usually do not produce SMR values higher than 140.²⁵

Our interpretation is that the accumulating data on the mortality of cadmium workers with high exposure levels in the past (above 0.3 mg Cd/m^3) support an association between lung cancer and cancer of the prostate and the exposure to cadmium. Further epidemiological studies are needed to examine the influence of associated exposures, in particular that of nickel hydroxide.

Financial support for this project was obtained from the Swedish Work Environment Fund (79-0680) and the New Zealand Medical Research Council. The skilful help from Inglis Bryngelson and Inger Fagerlund is highly appreciated.

References

- ¹ Armstrong BG, Kazantzis G. The mortality of cadmium workers. Lancet 1983;i:1424-7.
- ² Potts CL. Cadmium proteinuria. The health of battery workers exposed to cadmium oxide dust. Ann Occup Hyg 1965;8:55-61.
- ³ Kipling MD, Waterhouse JA. Cadmium and prostatic carcinoma. Lancet 1967;i:730-1.
- ⁴ Lemen R, Lee JS, Wagoner K, Blejer JP. Cancer mortality among cadmium production workers. Ann NY Acad Sci 1976;271:273-9.
- ⁵ International Agency for Research on Cancer. The evaluation of carcinogenic risk of chemicals to man: cadmium and nickel. Lyon: IARC, 1976:39-75. IARC monographs, vol II.
- ⁶ Armstrong BG, Kazantzis G. A mortality study of cadmium workers in England. A report to the Industrial Lead Zinc Research Organization, New York, 1982.
- ⁷ Kjellström T, Evrin P-E, Rahnster B. Dose-response analysis of cadmium-induced tubular proteinuria. *Environ Res* 1977;13:303-17.
- ⁸ Adamsson E. Long-term sampling of airborne cadmium dust in an alkaline battery factory. Scand J Work Environ Health 1979;5:78-87.
- ⁹ Kjellström T, Friberg L, Rahnster B. Mortality and cancer morbidity among cadmium exposed workers. *Environ Health Per*spect 1979;28:199-204.
- ¹⁰ Andersson K, Elinder CG, Hogstedt C, Kjellström T, Spång G. Dödsorsaker bland kadmium- och nickelexponerade ackumulatorarbetare. Arbete och Hälsa 1983:31. (In Swedish.)
- ¹¹ Andersson K, Elinder CG, Hogstedt C, Kjellström T, Spång G. Mortality among cadmium and nickel exposed workers in a Swedish battery factory. *Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry* 1984;9:53-62.
- ¹² Hernberg S. Evaluation of epidemiologic studies in assessing the long-term effect of occupational noxious agents. Scand J Work Environ Health 1980;6:163-9.
- ¹³ International Agency in Research on Cancer. Chemicals, industrial processes and industries associated with cancer in humans. Lyon: IARC, 1982: suppl 4.
- ¹⁴ Sunderman FW Jr. Recent advances in metal carcinogenesis. Ann Clin Lab Sci 1984;14:93-122.
- ¹⁵ Holden H. A mortality study of workers exposed to cadmium fumes. In: Proceedings from the 2nd International Cadmium Conference 1979. London: Cadmium Association, 1980:211-5.
- ¹⁶ Holden H. Further mortality studies on workers exposed to cadmium fume. In: Occupational exposure to cadmium. London: Cadmium Association, 1980:23-4.

Cancer mortality of cadmium workers

- ¹⁷ Sorahan T. A mortality study of nickel-cadmium battery workers. In: Proceedings from the 3rd International Cadmium Conference, 1981. London: Cadmium Association, 1982:138-41.
- ¹⁸ Sorahan T, Waterhouse JAH. Mortality study of nickel-cadmium battery workers by the method of regression models in life tables. *Br J Ind Med* 1983;40:293–300.
- ¹⁹ Thun MJ, Schnorr TM, Smith AB, Halperin WE, Lemen RA. Mortality among a cohort of US cadmium production workers—an update. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 1985;74:325–33.
- ²⁰ Sorahan T, Waterhouse JAH. Cancer of prostate among nickelcadmium battery workers. *Lancet* 1985;i:459.
- ²¹ Kazantzis G, Armstrong BG. Renal function in relation to low

levels of cadmium exposure in a group of smelter workers. Environ Health Perspect 1984;54:193-9.

- ²² Selikoff IJ. Recent perspectives in occupational cancer. *Ambio* 1975;4:14-7.
- ²³ Hogstedt C, Andersson K, Frenning B, Gustavsson A. A cohort study on mortality among long-term employed Swedish chimney sweeps. Scand J Work Environ Health 1982;8:72-8 (suppl 1).
- ²⁴ Takenaka S, Oldiges H, König H, Hochrainer D, Oberdörster G. Carcinogenicity of cadmium chloride aerosols in Wistar rats. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 1983;70:367-71.
- ²⁵ Axelson O. Aspects on confounding in occupational health epidemiology. Scand J Work Environ Health 1978;4:85-9.

Vancouver style

All manuscripts submitted to the *Br J Ind Med* should conform to the uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals (known as the Vancouver style).

The Br J Ind Med, together with many other international biomedical journals, has agreed to accept articles prepared in accordance with the Vancouver style. The style (described in full in Br Med J, 24 February 1979, p 532) is intended to standardise requirements for authors.

References should be numbered consecutively in the order in which they are first mentioned in the text by Arabic numerals above the line on each occasion the reference is cited (Manson' confirmed other reports²⁻⁵...). In future references to papers submitted to the Br J Ind Med should include: the names of all authors if there are six or less or, if there are more, the first three followed by *et al*; the title of journal articles or book chapters; the titles of journals abbreviated according to the style of *Index Medicus*; and the first and final page numbers of the article or chapter.

Examples of common forms of references are:

- ¹ International Steering Committee of Medical Editors. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. Br Med J 1979; 1:532-5.
- ² Soter NA, Wasserman SI, Austen KF. Cold urticaria: release into the circulation of histamine and eosinophil chemotactic factor of anaphylaxis during cold challenge. N Engl J Med 1976; 294:687-90.
- ³ Weinstein L, Swartz MN. Pathogenic properties of invading micro-organisms. In: Sodeman WA Jr, Sodeman WA, eds. *Pathologic physiology: mechanisms of disease*. Philadelphia: W B Saunders, 1974:457-72.