SPARC in cancer-associated fibroblasts is an independent poor prognostic factor in nonmetastatic triple-negative breast cancer and exhibits pro-tumor activity

Lindsay B Alcaraz^{1#}, Aude Mallavialle¹, Caroline Mollevi^{2,3}, Florence Boissière-Michot⁴, Hanane Mansouri^{1,5}, Joelle Simony-Lafontaine⁴, Valérie Laurent-Matha¹, Thierry Chardès¹, William Jacot^{1,4,6}, Andrei Turtoi¹, Pascal Roger^{1,7*}, Séverine Guiu^{1,6*}, Emmanuelle Liaudet-Coopman^{1*#}

- Supplementary Material and Methods

RT-qPCR

- Supplementary Tables

 Table S1. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models to identify prognostic

 factors of overall survival (OS) in TNBC.

Table S2. Clinicopathological characteristics in function of SPARC expression (SPARC⁺ and SPARC⁻) in CAFs.

- Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Relapse-free survival in function of the SPARC expression status in TNBC cancer cells.

Figure S2. Relapse-free survival in function of SPARC status in TAMs. Patients with TNBC were

Figure S3. Relapse-free survival according in function of SPARC expression status in endothelial cells.

Figure S4. Relapse-free survival according to SPARC expression status in TILs.

Figure S5. THP1 monocyte differentiation into M2 macrophages

Figure S6. Differential *SPARC* gene expression in different cell populations within TNBC samples by single-cell RNA-seq data analysis.

Figure S7. Expression of *SPARC* and *POSTN* mRNAs in TNBC by single-cell RNA-seq analysis Figure S8. Expression of *SPARC* and *POSTN* mRNAs in CAF-S1 clusters in breast cancer by single-cell RNA-seq analysis

1

Figure S9. Co-localization of SPARC with periostin in TNBC PDX

Figure S10. Immunodepletion of SPARC secreted in the conditioned medium from HMFs.

Supplementary Material and Methods

RT-qPCR

RNA was isolated using the RNeasy[®] Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 1 μ g of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the SuperScriptTM III reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR[®] Premix Ex TaqTM (Tli RNaseH Plus) (Takara Clontech) on a Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I master and a Light Cycler 480 apparatus (both from Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) with the following primers: human CD206 forward: 5'-GGGTTGCTATCACTCTCTATG-3'; human CD206 reverse: 5'-TTTCTTGTCTGTTGCCGTAGTT-3'; human GAPDH forward: 5'-GAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATT-3'; human GAPDH reverse: 5'-TGACGGTGCCATGGAATTTG-3'. *CD206* expression was normalized to *GAPDH* expression.

| Clinical and tumor characteristics | Univariate analysis HR 95% Cl N = 148 | Multivariate analysis HR 95% Cl N = 148 |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Age | N=148 | |
| < 55 years | 1 | |
| ≥ 55 years | 2.21 [1.03-4.75] | |
| | <i>P</i> = 0.027 | |
| Tumor size | N=148 | |
| T1 | 1 | 1 |
| T2 | 3.04 [1.17-7.93] | 1.99 [0.74-5.30] |
| T3/T4 | 6.73 [2.34-19.38] | 3.66 [1.22-11.0] |
| | <i>P</i> < 0.001 | <i>P</i> = 0.050 |
| Nodal status | N=148 | |
| N- | 1 | 1 |
| N+ | 2.47 [1.36-4.47] | 2.38 [1.25-4.55] |
| | P = 0.002 | P = 0.008 |
| Histological grade (SBR) | N=146 | |
| 1-2 | 1 | |
| 3 | 1.07 [0.45-2.53] | |
| | <i>P</i> = 0.883 | |
| Histology | N=145 | |
| Ductal | 1 | |
| Lobular | 0.70 [0.22-2.29] | |
| Other | 1.17 [0.42-3.29] | |
| | P = 0.777 | |
| Adiuvant chemotherapy | N=148 | |
| No | 1 | 1 |
| Yes | 0.36 [0.20-0.64] | 0.33 [0.18-0.60] |
| | P = 0.006 | <i>B <</i> 0.001 |

| Basal-like phenotype Yes No | N=147 1 1.16 [0.64-2.08] <i>P</i> = 0.624 | |
|---|--|--|
| SPARC expression in tumor cells Negative Positive | N=132 1 0.75 [0.40-1.41] <i>P</i> = 0.363 | |
| SPARC expression in CAFs Negative Positive | N=126 1 1.92 [0.59-6.23] <i>P</i> = 0.235 | |
| SPARC expression in TAMs Negative Positive | N=118 1 0.59 [0.29-1.16] P = 0.139 | |
| SPARC expression in endothelial cells Negative Positive | N=109 1 0.73 [0.35-1.51] <i>P</i> = 0.401 | |
| SPARC expression in TILs Negative Positive | N=82 1 1.10 [0.32-3.75] <i>P</i> = 0.882 | |
| TIL density [0-1] >1 | N=142 1 0.94 [0.50-1.75] <i>P</i> = 0.839 | |
| PD-L1 expression in tumor cells < 1% ≥ 1% | N=136 1 0.91 [0.49-1.70] <i>P</i> = 0.770 | |

| PD-L1 expression in TILs | N=134 | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 0 | 1 | |
|]0-50[| 1.90 [0.66-5.45] | |
| ≥ 50 | 1.10 [0.33-3.66] | |
| | P = 0.238 | |
| PD1 expression in TILs | N=140 | |
| 0 | 1 | |
|]0-50[| 0.94 [0.40-2.25] | |
| ≥ 50 | 0.73 [0.22-2.38] | |
| | P = 0.832 | |
| Fibrosis | | |
| ≤ 50% | 1 | |
| > 50% | 1.10 [0.60-2.02] | |
| | P = 0.746 | |
| TAMs (inflammation) | N=143 | |
| 0/1 | 1 | |
| 2 | 1.11[0.51-2.43] | |
| 3 | 0.67 [0.31-1.45] | |
| | <i>P</i> = 0.285 | |
| | | |

Table S1. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models to identify prognostic factors of overall survival (OS) in TNBC

SBR: Scarff-Bloom-Richardson; CAFs: cancer-associated fibroblasts; TAMs: tumor-associated macrophages; TILs: tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; p values in bold, statistically significant.

| Clinical and tumor characteristics | SPARC expr Negati | SPARC expression in CAFs Negative (N=15) | | SPARC expression in CAFs Positive (N=111) | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------|--|-------|--|
| Age | | | - | | 0.018 | |
| < 55 years | 1 | (6.7%) | 43 | (38.7%) | | |
| ≥ 55 years | 14 | (93.3%) | 68 | (61.3%) | | |
| Tumor size | | | - | | 0.334 | |
| T1 | 6 | (40.0%) | 36 | (32.4%) | | |
| T2 | 9 | (60.0%) | 59 | (53.2%) | | |
| Т3/Т4 | 0 | | 16 | (14.4%) | | |
| Nodal status | | | | | 0.863 | |
| N- | 9 | (60.0%) | 64 | (57.7%) | | |
| N+ | 6 | (40.0%) | 47 | (42.3%) | | |
| Histological grade (SBR) | | | <u>.</u> | • | 0.130 | |
| 1-2 | 3 | (20.0%) | 8 | (7.3%) | | |
| 3 | 12 | (80.0%) | 101 | (92.7%) | | |
| Histology | | | | | 0.080 | |
| Ductal | 11 | (73.3%) | 95 | (88.0%) | | |
| Lobular | 3 | (20.0%) | 5 | (4.6%) | | |
| Other | 1 | (6.7%) | 8 | (7.4%) | | |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | | | | | 0.186 | |
| No | 7 | (46.7%) | 33 | (29.7%) | | |

| Yes | 8 (53.3%) | 78 (70.3%) | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Basal-like phenotype | | | 0.678 |
| ≤ 10% | 9 (60.0%) | 72 (65.4%) | |
| Basal | 6 (40.0%) | 38 (34.6%) | |
| SPARC expression in tumor cells | | · | 0.603 |
| Negative | 8 (53.3%) | 67 (60.4%) | |
| Positive | 7 (46.7%) | 44 (39.6%) | |
| SPARC expression in TAMs | | · | 0.007 |
| Negative | 7 (58.3%) | 20 (19.4%) | |
| Positive | 5 (41.7%) | 83 (80.6%) | |
| SPARC expression in endothelial cells | | | 0.026 |
| Negative | 7 (50.0%) | 20 (22.0%) | |
| Positive | 7 (50.0%) | 71 (78.0%) | |
| SPARC expression in TILs | | | 1.000 |
| Negative | 8 (100.0%) | 65 (89.0%) | |
| Positive | 0 | 8 (11.0%) | |
| TIL density | | | 0.127 |
| [0-1] | 6 (42.9%) | 26 (23.9%) | |
| >1 | 8 (57.1%) | 83 (76.1%) | |
| PD-L1 expression in tumor cells | | | 0.109 |
| < 1% | 7 (53.9%) | 31 (28.4%) | |
| ≥ 1% | 6 (46.1%) | 78 (71.6%) | |

| PD-L1 expression in TILs | | | | - | 0.049 |
|--------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|-------|
| 0 | 4 | (30.8%) | 10 | (9.2%) | |
|]0-50[| 7 | (53.8%) | 61 | (56.0%) | |
| ≥ 50 | 2 | (15.4%) | 38 | (34.8%) | |
| PD1 expression in TILs | | | | | 0.415 |
| 0 | 2 | (15.4%) | 11 | (10.2%) | |
|]0-50[| 8 | (61.5%) | 83 | (76.9%) | |
| ≥ 50 | 3 | (23.1%) | 14 | (12.9%) | |
| Fibrosis | | | | | 0.028 |
| ≤ 50% | 3 | (20.0%) | 56 | (51.4%) | |
| > 50% | 12 | (80.0%) | 53 | (48.6%) | |
| TAMs (inflammation) | | | | | 0.349 |
| 0/1 | 4 | (28.6%) | 15 | (13.8%) | |
| 2 | 3 | (21.4%) | 32 | (29.4%) | |
| 3 | 7 | (50.0%) | 62 | (56.9%) | |

Table S2. Clinicopathological characteristics in function of SPARC expression (SPARC⁺ and SPARC⁻) in CAFs

SBR: Scarff-Bloom-Richardson; CAFs: cancer-associated fibroblasts; TAMs: tumor-associated macrophages; TILs: tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.



Figure S1. Relapse-free survival in function of the SPARC expression status in TNBC cancer cells.

Patients with TNBC were divided in two subgroups according to SPARC expression in tumor cells: SPARC⁺ and SPARC⁻.



Figure S2. Relapse-free survival in function of SPARC status in TAMs.

Patients with TNBC were divided in two subgroups according to SPARC expression in TAMs: SPARC⁺ and SPARC⁻.



Figure S3. Relapse-free survival according in function of SPARC expression status in endothelial cells.

Patients with TNBC were divided in two subgroups according to SPARC expression in endothelial cells within the tumor microenvironment: SPARC⁺ and SPARC⁻.



Figure S4. Relapse-free survival according to SPARC expression status in TILs. Patients with TNBC were divided in two subgroups according to SPARC expression in TILs: SPARC⁺ and SPARC⁻.



Figure S5. THP1 monocyte differentiation into M2 macrophages.

mRNA expression of the M2 macrophage marker CD206 was quantified by RTqPCR in THP1 monocytes, M0-, and M2-polarized THP1 macrophages. Data were normalized to GAPDH expression level. Results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 3).

| SPARC | myCAFs | iCAFs | imPVL | dPVL | Myoepithelial | Endothelial |
|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| myCAFs | - | 2.39e-63 | | 1.07e-25 | 4.26e-59 | 3.19e-33 |
| iCAFs | 2.39e-63 | - | 2.22e-15 | 1.01e-51 | | 5.48e-120 |
| imPVL | | 2.22e-15 | - | | 8.09e-22 | |
| dPVL | 1.07e-25 | 1.01e-51 | | - | 9.12e-6 | |
| Myoepithelial | 4.26e-59 | | 8.09e-22 | 9.12e-6 | - | 3.78e-24 |
| Endothelial | 3.19e-33 | 5.48e-120 | | | 3.78e-24 | - |

Figure S6. Differential *SPARC* gene expression in different cell populations within TNBC samples by single-cell RNA-seq data analysis.

The previously published single-cell RNA-seq dataset PRJEB35405 included five patients with TNBC⁹. P values were calculated with the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and adjusted using the Bonferroni correction based on the total number of genes.



Figure S7. Expression of SPARC and POSTN mRNAs in TNBC by single-cell RNA-seq analysis.

(A) Cell populations. Thirteen cell populations were identified by single-cell RNA-seq analysis of the previously published GSE118390 dataset (n=6 TNBC samples), according to¹⁰.

(B) *SPARC* and *POSTN* mRNA expression. *SPARC* and *POSTN* mRNA relative expressions in each of the 13 cell populations identified by single-cell RNA-seq analysis according to¹⁰. Individual cell populations were annotated as published in the original single-cell RNA-seq study¹⁰ except for TNBC cells that are labelled Cancer_P1, _P2, _P3, _P4, _P5, _P6 (patient 1 to 6, respectively).



Β

| SPARC | Acto- myCAF | IFNαβ- myCAF | IFNγ- iCAF | Wound- myCAF | TGFβ- myCAF | IL-iCAF | Detox- iCAF | ECM- myCAF |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Acto- myCAF | - | | 2.84e-76 | | | 1.47e- 139 | 6.54e- 116 | |
| IFNαβ- myCAF | | - | 4.88e- 173 | | | 0 | 0 | |
| IFNγ-iCAF | 2.84e- 76 | 4.88e- 173 | - | 1.26e- 192 | 6.87e- 222 | 5.86e- 153 | | 0 |
| Wound- myCAF | | | 1.26e- 192 | - | | 0 | 0 | |
| TGFβ- myCAF | | | 6.87e- 222 | | - | 0 | 0 | |
| IL-iCAF | 1.47e- 139 | 0 | 5.86e- 153 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Detox- iCAF | 6.54e- 116 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| ECM- myCAF | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | - |

Figure S8. Expression of SPARC and POSTN mRNAs in CAF-S1 clusters in breast cancer by single-cell RNA-seg analysis.

(A) Eight CAF-S1 clusters were identified in the previously published single-cell RNA-seq dataset EGAS00001004030 (n = 8 primary breast cancer samples) according to ¹¹. SPARC and POSTN mRNA relative expression in the eight CAF-S1 clusters, according to ¹¹, is shown. Individual CAF-S1 clusters were annotated as published in the original single-cell RNA-seq study ¹¹. According to ¹¹, myCAFs were identified in the following five clusters: ECM-myCAF: associated with extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling, cell-substrate adhesion, and collagen formation; TGFb-myCAF: associated with TGFb signaling pathway and matrisome; Wound-myCAF: associated with assembly of collagen fibrils and wound healing; IFNab-myCAF: associated with IFNa/b signaling; Acto-myCAF: associated with the actomyosin complex. iCAF were identified in the following three clusters: Detox-iCAF: associated with the detoxification and inflammatory responses; IL-iCAF: associated with the response to growth factors, TNF signaling, and interleukin (IL) pathway; IFNg-iCAF: associated with the response to IFNg and cytokine-mediated signaling pathways.

(B) Differential SPARC gene expression analysis in the indicated cell populations; p values were calculated with the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and adjusted using the Bonferroni correction based on the total number of genes.



Figure S9. Co-localization of SPARC with periostin in TNBC PDX.

PDX B1995 tumor sections were co-incubated with an anti-SPARC polyclonal antibody (15274-1-AP) (green) and an anti-periostin monoclonal antibody (Proteintech) (red). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). Top panels: SPARC, periostin, and merge. Bottom panels: higher magnification of the boxed areas. Arrows indicate SPARC and periostin co-localization. Scale bar, 10 µm.



Figure S10. Immunodepletion of SPARC secreted in the conditioned medium from HMFs. SPARC was immunodepleted or not from HMF CM by immunoprecipitation. SPARC immunodepletion in HMF CM (HMF CM - SPARC) was confirmed by western blot analysis with an anti-SPARC monoclonal antibody (clone AON-5031).