

Scoping review of guidance on cessation interventions for electronic cigarettes and dual electronic and combustible cigarettes use.

Appendix 4. AGREE II critical appraisal scores for Owens et al., 2020⁵¹.

	AGREE II Instrument	Appraiser 1	Appraiser 2	Domain scores
Domain 1: Scope and Purpose				
1.	The overall objective(s) of the guideline is (are) specifically described.	7	7	
2.	The health question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described.	7	7	
3.	The population (patients, public, etc.) to whom the guideline is meant to apply is specifically described.	7	7	
Domain 1. total		21	21	100%
Domain 2: Stakeholder involvement				
4.	The guideline development group includes individuals from all the relevant professional groups.	7	7	
5.	The views and preferences of the target population (patients, public, etc.) have been sought.	5	5	
6.	The target users of the guideline are clearly defined.	7	7	
Domain 2. total		19	19	88%
Domain 3: Rigour of Development				
7.	Systematic methods were used to search for evidence.	7	7	
8.	The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described.	7	7	
9.	The strengths and limitations of the body of evidence are clearly described.	7	7	
10.	The methods for formulating the recommendations are clearly described.	3	3	
11.	The health benefits, side effects, and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations.	7	7	
12.	There is an explicit link between the recommendations and the supporting evidence.	7	7	
13.	The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to its publication.	4	4	
14.	A procedure for updating the guideline is provided.	4	3	
Domain 3. total		46	45	78%
Domain 4: Clarity of Presentation				
15.	The recommendations are specific and unambiguous.	7	7	
16.	The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented.	7	7	
17.	Key recommendations are easily identifiable.	7	7	

Scoping review of guidance on cessation interventions for electronic cigarettes and dual electronic and combustible cigarettes use.

Domain 4. total		21	21	100%
Domain 5. Applicability				
18.	The guideline describes facilitators and barriers to its application.	6	6	
19.	The guideline provides advice and/or tools on how the recommendations can be put into practice.	2	2	
20.	The potential resource implications of applying the recommendations have been considered.	1	1	
21.	The guideline presents monitoring and/ or auditing criteria.	Omit	Omit	
Domain 5. total		9	9	33%
Domain 6. Editorial Independence				
22.	The views of the funding body have not influenced the content of the guideline.	7	7	
23.	Competing interests of guideline development group members have been recorded and addressed.	7	7	
Domain 6. total		14	14	100%
Overall Guideline Assessment				
1.	Rate the overall quality of the guideline.	5	5	
2.	I would recommend this guideline for use	Yes, with modifications	Yes, with modifications	