# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Andreas Fischer; Juan Rodriguez-Vita
Last updated by author(s):	Aug 9, 2022

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

_				
$\varsigma$	ta	t١	c†	icc

n/a	Confirmed
	$\square$ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🔀 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$	A description of all covariates tested
	🔀 A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

## Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection Data was colletected with NextSeq 550 PE 75 HO (Illumina), BD FACSDiva, Quantstudio, Clariostar.

Data analysis

RNA-sequencing data sets was performed using the software R using the DESeq2 package. Plots were created using ggplot2 or
EnhancedVolcano. apeglm package was used for LFC shrinkage. Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism 9 software.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

RNAseq data have been uploaded to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database.

scribe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic formation, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study ign questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."  scribe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and with these are likely to impact results.  Intify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.  of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  Orting  be best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences    Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences   School   Continuous	Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."  Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.  Versight Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.  Util information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  -specific reporting  ect the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection itences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences are copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf  sciences study design  smust disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	olicy information about	studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.			
primation, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study ign questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."  Scribe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and with these are likely to impact results.  Intify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.  For the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  Orting  Be best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences  Cioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  Stions, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf  Y design	information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."  Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.  Versight  Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.  Ull information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  I—Specific reporting  ect the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection idences  Behavioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  are copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf  Sciences study design  smust disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Size  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions  No data were excluded from the analyses.  Lin vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	Reporting on sex and g	gender All patient data in this manuscript come from publicly available databases.			
w these are likely to impact results.  Intify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.  In the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  In the study protocol mus	wersight  Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.  Ull information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  I—specific reporting  ect the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection itences  Behavioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  ce copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf  Sciences study design  s must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Size  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social				
of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.  Orting  be best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  Stions, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a> Y design	Specific reporting    -specific reporting	ecruitment	Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.			
orting  be best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  Sctions, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a> Y design	Sciences study design   Series   Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.    In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	thics oversight	ight (Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.			
e best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  Ections, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a>	ect the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection iences  Behavioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  ce copy of the document with all sections, see <a href="https://nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a> Sciences study design  s must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Size  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	that full information o	n the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.			
e best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  Ections, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a> Y design	ect the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection iences  Behavioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  ce copy of the document with all sections, see <a href="https://nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a> Sciences study design  s must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Size  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.					
e best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection vioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  Ections, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a>	ect the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection iences  Behavioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences  ce copy of the document with all sections, see <a href="https://nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a> Sciences study design  s must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Size  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	. 1 . 1				
vioural & social sciences	Behavioural & social sciences	eid-specii	ic reporting			
vioural & social sciences	Behavioural & social sciences	is a salact the one ha	low that is the hest fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection			
y design	Sciences study design  s must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.		— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			
y design	Sciences study design  Is must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  Size Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences			
	Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	reference copy of the doc	ument with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>			
	Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.					
	Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.					
	Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.					
	Details regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	fe science	es study design			
its even when the disclosure is negative.	chosen to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  Clusions  No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.					
	Clusions No data were excluded from the analyses.  In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.					
	In vitro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	studies must disclose ample size Deta	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were			
led from the analyses.		ample size Deta	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.			
·	All groups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.	ample size Deta chos  vata exclusions No d	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.		ample size  Deta chos  rata exclusions  No description	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	Blind data collection and analysis was implemented.	ample size  Deta chos  rata exclusions  No description	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.			
e sample size of all exp	In vitro experiments were completed at lea	ifo sciones	s study docian			
led from the analyses		mple size Deta	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.			
led from the analyses.	All groups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.	tudies must disclose  mple size  Deta chos	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.			
·	nization All groups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.	tudies must disclose imple size chos ata exclusions No d	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.		tudies must disclose ample size  Deta chos ata exclusions  No deplication  In vii	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.	Blind data collection and analysis was implemented.	atudies must disclose  ample size  Deta chos  ata exclusions  No description	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.			
clud	DI: 1 1 1 11	Il studies must disclose Sample size Deta	on these parding			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.	,	tudies must disclose Imple size Deta chos  ata exclusions Peplication In vii	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.		studies must disclose  Sample size  Deta chos  Data exclusions  Replication  In vit  Randomization  All g	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ills regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.		studies must disclose ample size Deta chos Data exclusions Deta chos Data exclusions Deta chos Data exclusions Deta chos	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.		studies must disclose ample size Deta chos Data exclusions Deta chos Data exclusions Deta chos Data exclusions Deta chos	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ils regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  and analysis was implemented.	orting for specific materials, systems and methods	studies must disclose lample size Deta chos Data exclusions Replication Randomization Blinding Blind	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ills regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  I data collection and analysis was implemented.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  and analysis was implemented.	orting for specific materials, systems and methods	studies must disclose lample size Deta chos Data exclusions Replication Randomization Blinding Blind	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ills regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  I data collection and analysis was implemented.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  and analysis was implemented.  cific materials, systems and methods  t some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each materials.	information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each materials	studies must disclose cample size Deta chose Data exclusions No description Deta chose Data exclusions Deta ex	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ills regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  Id data collection and analysis was implemented.  For specific materials, systems and methods  m authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each materials.			
were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  ned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  and analysis was implemented.  cific materials, systems and methods  t some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each materials.		studies must disclose ample size  Deta chos  Pata exclusions  Pata exclusions  Deta chos  In vit  All grandomization  Blinc  Cporting formation fro	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.  ills regarding the sample size of all experiments are provided in Methods section and figure legends. Reasonable sample sizes were en to ensure they are sufficient for statistical comparison between different groups.  lata were excluded from the analyses.  tro experiments were completed at least in triplicate to successfully verify reproducibility.  roups were asigned randomly. Littermate controls were used to make covariates not relevant.  Id data collection and analysis was implemented.  For specific materials, systems and methods  m authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each materials.			

Mat	eriais & experimental systems	ivie	lnoas
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
	X Antibodies	$\boxtimes$	ChIP-seq
	Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry
	Palaeontology and archaeology	$\boxtimes$	MRI-based neuroimaging
	Animals and other organisms		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		
$\boxtimes$	Dual use research of concern		

# <u>Antibo</u>dies

Antibodies used

For western blot: anti-HAS2 (1:500, Abcam, ab140671) anti-VCP (1:5000, Abcam, ab109240) anti-ACTB (1:5000, Sigma Aldrich, A5441)

```
anti-LRRC15 (1:1000, Abcam, ab150376)
anti-E-Cadherin (1:2000, BD Biosciences, 610181)
anti-HAPLN1 (1:1000, Bio-Techne, AF2608)
Secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit HRP
rabbit anti-mouse HRP (1:2500, DAKO, P0448, P0260)
donkey anti-goat HRP (1:2500, R&D, HAF109)
For flow cytometry:
```

B220-APC 1:100 BioLegend 103211

CD11b-BUV805 1:800 BD Biosciences 741934 CD11b-PE-Cy7 1:800 BD Biosciences 552850 CD19-Alexa700 1:50 BD Biosciences 557958

CD25-PE 1:200 Life Technologies 12-0251-82

CD31-APC 1:100 BD Biosciences 561814

CD3-PerCp-Cy5.5 1:50 BioLegend 100217

CD45-PerCp-Cy5.5 1:200 BD Biosciences 550994

CD45-FITC 1:400 BD Biosciences 553079 CD4-PE-Cy7 1:300 Life Technologies 25-0041-82

CD8-APC-Cy7 1:100 BD Biosciences 557654

DAPI (stock: 10,5 mM) 1:10.000 Life Technologies D3571 F4/80-Alexa Fluor 488 1:100 Life Technologies 53-4801-82

FoxP3-Alexa Fluor 488 1:50 BD Biosciences 560403

Lv6C-PE 1:200 BD Biosciences 560592 Ly6G-APC-Cy7 1:100 BD Biosciences 560600 MHC-II-BV510 1:100 BD Biosciences 742893 PDPN-APC-Cy7 1:100 BioLegend 127417 SiglecF-BUV395 1:100 BD Biosciences 740280

Validation

All antibodies were validated by the manufacturers for antigen specificity and species reactivity as shown in the data sheets and attached references for each catalogue number.

# Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s) KPC cell line was provided by Prof. Stephen Konieczny, at Purdue University

The cell lines were morphologically confirmed according to the information provided by Prof. Konieczny. Authentication

Mycoplasma contamination All cell lines showed negative for mycoplasma contamination

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study

# Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

For mouse experiments, 9-11 weeks female C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Janvier Labs. Laboratory animals

Wild animals No wild animals were used in this study

Sex was not consider to have a role on the effects observed. Reporting on sex

Field-collected samples This study did not involve field-collected samples.

All mouse experiments were approved by the local authorities (RP Karlsruhe and DKFZ) and carried out following their legal Ethics oversight requirements and in accordance to the 3Rs principles.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Flow Cytometry

#### **Plots**

Confirm that:
The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.
Methodology

# Methodology

For flow cytometry and cell sorting, solid tumors were digested using 2,5 mg/ml Collagenase D (Sigma Aldrich, Sample preparation

11088866001), 0,5 mg/ml Liberase DL (Sigma Aldrich, 5466202001) and 0,2 mg/ml DNase (Sigma Aldrich, 10104159001) in 10 % FCS DMEM for 45 min at 37 °C after mincing thoroughly. If peritoneal lavage contained erythrocytes, red blood cell lysis

was performed with RBC Lysis Buffer (Life Technologies, 00-4333-57).

Instrument Analysis by flow cytometry was performed on a BD LSR Fortessa, while BD FACS Aria/BD FACS Aria Fusion Sorters were used

for cell sorting.

FlowJo Software was used for analysis of the acquired samples. Software

Solid tumors contained a mean of 40% tumor cells, determined as CD45-/CD31-/RFP+. Fibroblasts content was between 10 Cell population abundance and 20% of live cells, determined by CD45-/CD31-/RFP-/PDPN+. Macrophages were 15% or 45% of the immune populations

in the peritoneal cavity, determined by CD45+/CD11b-high/F4/80+. Stringent gating strategies were applied to ensure purity.

Gating strategy Tumor cells: DAPI-/CD45-/CD31-/RFP+.

Fibroblasts: DAPI-/CD45-/CD31-/RFP-/PDPN+ Macrophages: DAPI-/CD45+/CD11b-high/F4/80+ Neutrophils: DAPI-/CD45+/CD11b+/Ly6G+ Monocytes: DAPI-/CD45+/CD11b+/Ly6Chigh B cells: DAPI-/CD45+/CD11b- and int/MHC-II+/B220+ Eosinophils: DAPI-/CD45+/CD11b+/SiglecF+

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.