# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Wadsworth
Last updated by author(s):	Nov 7, 2022

### **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

~		4.0			
< ⋅	トつ	1	ıct	11.	CS
٠,			151	- 11	, n

n/a	Confirmed
	The exact sample size $(n)$ for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
$\boxtimes$	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$	A description of all covariates tested
$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
$\boxtimes$	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

EPU 2 (version 2; Thermo Fisher)

Data analysis

Relion 4.0-beta (MRC-LMB, Cambridge), crYOLO 1.8.2. (Wagner et al., 2019), CTFFIND4.1 (Rohou and Grigorieff, 2015), Coot 0.9.6 (Emsley et al., 2010), PHENIX 1.19.2 (Afonine et al., 2018), REFMAC5 5.5 (Murshudov et al., 2011), ISOLDE 1.2 (Croll, 2018), MolProbity 4.5.1 (Williams et al., 2018), UCSF Chimera 1.15 (Pettersen et al., 2004), UCSF ChimeraX 1.1.1 (Pettersen et al., 2021), ProteinLynx Global Server 3.0.3 (Waters)

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The ME7 cryo-EM density map was deposited into the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/emdb) under accession code EMD-15043 (Infectious mouse-adapted ME7 scrapie prion fibril purified from terminally-infected mouse brains). The corresponding atomic coordinates were deposited in the

Protein Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org) under PDB code 8A00. The RML 3D cryo-EM density map was accessed from the EMDB (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/emdb) under accession no. EMD-13989 [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/emdb/EMD-13989] (Infectious mouse-adapted RML scrapie prion fibril purified from terminally-infected mouse brains). The corresponding atomic coordinates were accessed from the PDB (https://www.rcsb.org) under PDB code 7QIG [https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7QIG/pdb]. The atomic coordinates of the hamster 263K prion fibril (infectious mammalian prion fibril: 263K scrapie) was accessed from PDB under code 7LNA [https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7lna/pdb]. Uniprot UP000000589, mus musculus was used as the reference proteome for mass spectrometry [https://www.uniprot.org/proteomes/UP000000589]. Uncropped and unprocessed SDS-PAGE and western blot data and mass spectrometry data generated in this study are provided in Source Data files.

питнаптеѕе	arch part	cipants		
Policy information	about <u>studies i</u>	nvolving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.		
Reporting on sex	and gender	n/a		
Population chara	cteristics	n/a		
Recruitment		n/a		
Ethics oversight		n/a		
Note that full informa	ation on the app	roval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.		
Life sciences For a reference copy of	ne below that	eporting is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. Behavioural & social sciences		
All studies must dis	close on these	points even when the disclosure is negative.		
Sample size	expected to pr	size (the number of particle images or segments) was not predetermined. We aimed to collect ~6,000 multi-frame movies as this was ed to provide sufficient particles for high resolution 3D reconstruction. This was achieved. Satisfactory sample size is reflected in the ar-atomic and isotropic resolution of the 3D reconstruction, sufficient for building and refining an atomic model.		
Data exclusions	alignment with contamination	le cryo-EM data processing so called 'bad particles' are excluded due to their obvious poor quality, which precludes their the consensus data. The sparse regions of micrographs where sample image quality is poor (for example, due to grid surface giving rise to local noise in particle image or due to sample heterogeneity) would ideally be not selected for processing, but this ded, especially when using automated particle picking, as in this study. Image processing algorithms reveal such poor particles		

Replication

30 infected mouse brains were combined to produce one brain homogenate. The final cryo-EM dataset combined images from 4 independent rounds of purification of ME7 fibrils from this brain homogenate. The purification method was first reported in 2015 (Wenborn et al Sci Rep 2015) and with minor modifications in 2022 (Manka et al 2022). The method is robust and we have had no replication failures after >100 repetitions. The method has also been independently used and replicated in other laboratories. In this study the method had no replication failures during ~30 purifications of ME7 prions.

Randomization

Since only one homogeneous state was targeted randomization is not relevant to this study.

Blinding

Classifications of particle images were performed computationally and therefore objectively. Blinding was not relevant for prion purification and sample characterization as there was only one type of prion sample.

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

as not classifiable under objective computational criteria into any biologically relevant class, which objectifies exclusion.

Materials & experime	ental systems	Methods	
n/a Involved in the study		n/a Involved in the study	
Antibodies		ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and archaeology		MRI-based neuroimaging	
Animals and other of	organisms		
Clinical data  Dual use research o	f concern		
Dual use research o	redirectii		
Antibodies			
Antibodies used			
Validation	Validation is provid	ed in Wenborn et al 2015 and references cited therein.	
Eukaryotic cell lin	es		
Policy information about <u>co</u>	ell lines and Sex an	d Gender in Research	
Professor Charles We		n established cell line derived from murine L929 fibroblasts (Mahal et al 2007), were provided as a gift from harles Weissmann. LD9 cells were used to measure prion infectivity in cell culture using previously published Mahal et al 2007; Wenborn et al 2015).	
authenticated by the		ifted to us by Professor Charles Weissmann) originated from murine L929 fibroblasts that were supplied and sed by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Mahal et al 2007). The original L929 fibroblasts supplied by not used in this study. LD9 cells used by us were not authenticated.	
Mycoplasma contaminat	ion LD9 cells ha	ave been tested negative for mycoplasma contamination.	
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)			
Animals and othe	r research c	organisms	
Policy information about <u>st</u> <u>Research</u>	udies involving an	mals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in	
Laboratory animals	30 female C57Bl/6 mice, ~210 days old, terminally-infected with ME7 prions.		
Wild animals	n/a	n/a	
Reporting on sex	Only female mice were used		
Field-collected samples	n/a		
Ethics oversight	Frozen brains from mice with clinical prion disease were used to generate purified prion samples. These brain samples were generated by us as part of a previous study (Wenborn et al 2015) in which work with animals was performed in accordance with licences approved and granted by the UK Home Office (Project Licences 70/6454 and 70/7274) and conformed to University College London institutional and ARRIVE guidelines. All experimental protocols were approved by the Local Research Ethics Committee of UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology/National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery.		

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.