

1 ***In vivo* kinetics of early, non-random methylome and transcriptome**
2 **changes induced by DNA-hypomethylating treatment in primary AML**
3 **blasts**

4
5 Gabriele Greve^{1*}, Geoffroy Andrieux^{2*}, Pascal Schlosser^{1,3}, Nadja Blagitko-Dorfs⁴, Usama-Ur
6 Rehman⁵, Tobias Ma⁵, Dietmar Pfeifer⁵, Gerhard Heil⁶, Andreas Neubauer⁷, Jürgen Krauter⁸,
7 Michael Heuser⁹, Helmut R Salih¹⁰, Konstanze Döhner¹¹, Hartmut Döhner¹¹, Björn
8 Hackanson¹², Melanie Boerries^{2, 13}, Michael Lübbert^{5, 13}

9 *These authors contributed equally

10

11 **Supplementary Methods**

12

13 **Material & Methods**

14 **Patients**

15 One treatment cycle was scheduled for 28 days; for 6 patients (4 overlap with the 28 patients)
16 it was possible to additionally obtain purified blood blasts (days 0 and 8) also during cycle 2.
17 Additionally, matched T cells at cycle 1, day 0 and 8 were isolated from 16 patients (10 overlap
18 with the 28 patients) (detailed information on patients and sample usage are given in Suppl.
19 Fig. 1). Blasts were sorted using anti-CD34 and -CD117 and T cells with anti-CD3 MACS
20 microbeads, respectively (median purity >90%). Patients provided written informed consent for
21 the research use of the clinical data and biomaterial in accordance to the Declaration of
22 Helsinki.

23

24

25 **450K Annotation**

26 Using the IlluminaHumanMethylation450kanno.ilmn12.hg19 annotation R package, every
27 single CpG located on the gene body was annotated with 5'UTR, 1st exon, gene body, 3'UTR.
28 We also annotated CpGs having a close proximity with promoter regions, i.e. transcription start
29 site (TSS). TSS1500 and TSS200 labels define CpGs located up to 1500bp and 200bp
30 downstream the TSS respectively. Other CpGs are considered as intergenic. The relation to
31 CpG islands was also retrieved from the same annotation package. Each CpG is either located
32 on CpG Islands, Shores, Shelves or Open sea. Based on the Price et al. annotation (1), CpGs
33 overlapping with known repetitive elements are annotated as "repetitive" whenever the entire
34 probe was in a region of repetitive DNA. For each annotation layer (i.e. gene region, proximity
35 to island, repetitive elements), we performed an enrichment analysis to identify over- and
36 underrepresented regions in demethylated CpG lists compared to the 450K array distribution.
37 Using Fisher's exact test, any regions with an odds ratio above 1 and p-value below 0.05 was
38 considered as significantly enriched. Respectively, regions with odds ratios below 1 and p-
39 values below 0.05 were considered as depleted.

40

41 **Single sample delta beta analysis**

42 A single-sample analysis was performed to retrieve the demethylated CpGs in every single
43 patient. Delta beta was calculated for each patient by subtracting the beta value at day 8 from
44 the beta value at day 0. CpGs with a delta beta below -0.1 were considered as demethylated.
45 The p-value of the overlapping demethylated CpGs across the 28 patients in cycle 1 (6 patients
46 in cycle 2) was assessed using a bootstrap analysis followed by an ANOVA test. To adjust for
47 a global effect, we introduced a weighted random selection of 28 lists of CpGs. These 28
48 random lists contain the same number of CpGs as the measured ones. They also follow the
49 same distribution of gene regions as the measured ones. Then the overlap across these
50 random lists was calculated. This selection was repeated 1000 times. The number of
51 overlapping demethylated CpGs in at least 1 to 28 samples was then compared between the

52 28 measured and the 28 randomized CpGs lists, generated 1000 times. Two cubic regression
53 models were fitted to each set as described in (2). The full model captures the specific
54 trajectory for each measured and randomized CpGs separately, whereas the reduced model
55 specifies a single set of parameters for two sets. The goodness of the fit between the full and
56 the reduced models was resolved by ANOVA where p-value indicates the probability that the
57 two models are the same. Therefore, a significant p-value demonstrated a non-random overlap
58 of demethylated CpGs.

59

60 **Expression arrays**

61 Total RNA of serially sorted blasts from 23 patients (at day 0 and 8) was isolated by TRIZOL
62 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) extraction and RNA phase was purified with
63 the Qiagen RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit. Total RNA concentration was measured with the
64 Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and integrity was determined by fragment
65 analysis. RNA samples with RQN > 8.5 were further processed with the Affymetrix WT Plus kit
66 and hybridized to GeneChip Human Gene 2.0 ST expression arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara,
67 CA, USA) as described by the manufacturer (3). To avoid a possible batch effect, samples
68 were pipetted in triplicates and randomized across and within plates.

69

70 **Clinical response correlation with demethylation**

71 The clinical outcome of patients (methylation data available from day 8 and day 0) treated and
72 evaluated for response within the DECIDER trial (n=34) was scored by the response criteria
73 applied for the entire patient data set of that trial. We grouped patients as either responders
74 (complete remission with or without incomplete regeneration; partial remission, antileukemic
75 effect, stable disease; n=15) or non-responders (progressive disease; n=19).

76 We performed differential analyses comparing responder and non-responder groups using the
77 limma R package, performed in a similar manner as the groupwise methylation analysis
78 comparing day 8 vs day 0.

79

80 **RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR**

81 RNA was isolated using NucleoSpin® RNA II Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Dueren, Germany),
82 DNase-digested and transcribed using the SuperScript Reverse Transcriptase III (Invitrogen,
83 Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations.
84 Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the LightCycler® 480 SYBR
85 Green I on the LightCycler® 480 Instrument (Roche Life Science, Penzberg, Germany)
86 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Relative *IFI27* gene expression was determined
87 using Pfaffl method on *RNF20* and *TGDS* as reference genes(4). Following *IFI27* and *TGDS*
88 primers (written 5' to 3') were used: *IFI27*_{fw}, GCAGTCACTGGGAGCAACT; *IFI27*_{rev},
89 GAGGGGCAGGGAGCTAGTA; *TGDS*_{fw}, GCCAGAGTGGAGAAGTTTATTTATGTCAGC;
90 *TGDS*_{rev}, AGGATTTGTAGGTTGTTTGGGTGAAGATT. *RNF20* primers were purchased from
91 Primerdesign Ltd (Southampton, UK). P-values were calculated using Student's t-test (paired,
92 two-sided).

93

94 **Re-Analysis of RNA-sequencing data**

95 See cell line characteristics and detailed methods in Greve et al. (5) and Meier et al. (6). All
96 three cell lines, UCSD-AML1, ELF-153 and U937, were selected for their distinct (cyto)genetic
97 features which closely resemble patients' molecular characteristics often seen in the clinic. Cell
98 lines were treated with either 100 nM (UCSD-AML1 and ELF-153) or 200 nM (U937) DAC and
99 subsequent RNA-sequencing was performed with at least 35 million reads / sample. Analysis
100 of sequencing data was performed as described in (5,6).

101

102 **References**

- 103 1. Price ME, Cotton AM, Lam LL, Farré P, Emberly E, Brown CJ, et al. Additional
104 annotation enhances potential for biologically-relevant analysis of the Illumina Infinium
105 HumanMethylation450 BeadChip array. *Epigenetics Chromatin*. 2013;6:4.
- 106 2. Mar JC, Quackenbush J. Decomposition of gene expression state space trajectories.
107 *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2009;5:e1000626.

- 108 3. Blagitko-Dorfs N, Schlosser P, Greve G, Pfeifer D, Meier R, Baude A, et al.
109 Combination treatment of acute myeloid leukemia cells with DNMT and HDAC
110 inhibitors: predominant synergistic gene downregulation associated with gene body
111 demethylation. *Leukemia*. 2019;33:945–56.
- 112 4. Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR.
113 *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2001;29:45e–45.
- 114 5. Greve G, Schüler J, Grüning BA, Berberich B, Stomper J, Zimmer D, et al. Decitabine
115 Induces Gene Derepression on Monosomic Chromosomes: In Vitro and In Vivo Effects
116 in Adverse-Risk Cytogenetics AML. *Cancer Res*. 2021;81:834–46.
- 117 6. Meier R, Greve G, Zimmer D, Bresser H, Berberich B, Langova R, et al. The
118 antileukemic activity of decitabine upon PML/RARA-negative AML blasts is supported
119 by all-trans retinoic acid: in vitro and in vivo evidence for cooperation. *Blood Cancer J*.
120 2022;12:122.
- 121